DREW UNIVERSITY LIBRARY

Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2009

http://www.archive.org/details/journalofgeneral01meth

JOURNAL OF THE
1964
GENERAL CONFERENCE
OF
THE METHODIST CHURCH
Volume I

JOURNAL

OF THE

1964

GENERAL CONFERENCE

OF

THE METHODIST CHURCH

Volume I

Held at
PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA
April 26-May 8, 1964

Edited by
LEON T. MOORE, Secretary
and
J. WESLEY HOLE, Secretary-Designate
Assisted by
Charles D. White and W. Carleton Wilson

THE METHODIST PUBLISHING HOUSE
NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

CERTIFICATION

This certifies that the following pages constitute the Official Journal of the 1964 General Conference of The Methodist Church, held at Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, April 26-May 8, 1964, including the Officers, Personnel, Commissions, Committees, Representatives on Boards and Commissions that acted during the Conference, or were elected by it, proceedings of business, communications, and other matter ordered printed by The General Conference.

Leon J. Moore

The General Conference, Secretary
The Methodist Church.

(Note abbreviations in Journal: DCA-22 refers to the *Daily Christian Advocate* and the numeral to the page where the Reports, etc. can be found; Jurisdictions: Northeastern, NE; Southeastern, SE; Central, C; North Central, NC; South Central, SC; Western, W; Overseas Conferences, OS; italics designate minister.)

METHODIST
REFERENCE
BX
8382
.A25
1964
vol. 1

CONTENTS

	PAGE
Title Page	3
Certification of Journal	4
Our Hosts, Commission on Entertainment, 1964	8
Our Pittsburgh Hosts	9
Council of Bishops	11
Conference of Methodist Bishops	13
General Conference Commission on Entertainment and Program, 1964	13
General Conference Commission on Entertainment and Program, Adjourned Session, 1966	14
General Conference Commission on Entertainment and Program, 1968	14
Judicial Council	15
Secretary, General Conference	14
Jurisdictions, The	16
Central Conferences Overseas	28
Officers and Committees, General Conference	30
Secretarial Staff	30
Daily Christian Advocate	36
General Conference Musical Directors	36
Standing Administrative Committees	37
Standing Legislative Committees	41
Personnel of the General Conference	63
Chairmen Annual Conference Delegations	127
Alphabetical List of Delegates	130
Alphabetical List of Reserve Delegates	145
Plan of Organization and Rules of Order, 1964	165
Episcopal Address	193
Journal of the General Conference	212
1st Day, Sunday, April 26, Evening	212
2nd Day, Monday, April 27, Morning	235
3rd Day, Tuesday, April 28, Morning	247
4th Day, Wednesday, April 29, Morning	249
5th Day, Thursday, April 30, Morning	277
Afternoon	301
Evening	328
6th Day, Friday, May 1, Morning	353
Afternoon	379
7th Day, Saturday, May 2, Morning	407
8th Day, Monday, May 4, Morning	428
Evening	457
9th Day, Tuesday, May 5, Morning	493
Evening	541
Afternoon	569

348535 Cop. 2

10th Day, Wednesday, May 6, Morning 601
Afternoon 644
Evening 669
11th Day, Thursday, May 7, Morning 714
Afternoon 758
Evening 788
12th Day, Friday, May 8, Morning 854

APPENDIX 929

I. COMMUNION SERVICE, ORDER OF WORSHIP 933

II. CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS 942

III. DECISIONS OF THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL 945

IV. REPORTS 995

STANDING ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEES ... 996

V. COMMISSION ON ENTERTAINMENT AND PROGRAM 997
1. Agenda 1002
2. Chairmen 1004
3. Correlation and Editorial Revision ... 1006
4. Courtesies and Privileges 1007
5. Credentials 1009
6. Fraternal Delegates 1041
7. Interim Committee on Rules 1042
8. Journal 1051
9. Plan of Organization and Rules of Order 1052
10. Presiding Officers 1082
11. Reference 1083
12. Tellers 1264

STANDING LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEES 1267

1. Christian Social Concerns 1268
2. Conferences 1336
3. Education 1372
4. Lay Activities and Temporal Economy . 1423
5. Membership and Evangelism 1471
6. Ministry 1496
7. Missions 1547
8. Pensions 1624
9. Publishing Interests 1643
10. Hospitals and Homes 1660
11. Interdenominational Relations 1674
12. Judicial Administration, Enabling Acts, and Legal Forms 1689
13. Local Church 1696
14. Ritual and Worship 1716

V. Special Commissions, Committee Reports . . . 1725
1. Church and State Relations, Commission on 1727
2. Church Union, Commission on 1751
3. Coordinating Council 1768
4. Family Life, General Committee on . . . 1816
5. Interjurisdictional Relations, Commission on 1818
6. Methodist Corporation 1865
7. Methodist Historical Societies, Association of 1870
8. Structure of Methodism Overseas, Commission on 1876
9. Study of The Ministry, Committee on . 1893
10. Trustees of The Methodist Church 1917
11. World Service and Finance, Council on . 1920
12. Worship, Commission on 2116

VI. Resolutions of Appreciation—Deceased Judicial Council Members 2117

VII. Commissions, Committees, Etc., Authorized by the General Conference of 1964 2122

VIII. The General Conference, Sessions of 2144

IX. Conferences in The Methodist Church 2147

X. Assignment of Bishops to Jurisdictions and Areas 2150

XI. Sunday Preaching Assignments 2156

XII. Methodism—A World-Wide Church 2159

XIII. Greetings 2166

XIV. Devotional Messages 2197

XV. Index 2255

OUR HOSTS

Italics denote ministers, other than bishops.

COMMISSION ON ENTERTAINMENT OF THE 1964 GENERAL CONFERENCE

Chairman: Frank E. Baker, 924 Philadelphia Nat'l Bank Bldg., Philadelphia, Pa., 19107.
Vice-Chairman: *Norman L. Conard,* First Methodist Church, Eugene, Ore.
Vice-Chairman: *J. Otis Young,* 77 W. Washington St., Chicago, Ill., 60602.
Secretary: J. Wesley Hole, 5250 Santa Monica Blvd., Los Angeles, Calif., 90029.

Members

Central Jurisdiction—*L. Scott Allen* (Georgia), 631 Baronne St., New Orleans 12, La.; Thurman L. Dodson (Washington), 626 3rd St., N.W., Washington, D. C.

North Central Jurisdiction—*J. Otis Young* (Ohio), 77 W. Washington Street, Chicago, Ill., 60602; John R. Van Sickle (Rock River), 1904 Grenshaw St., Rockford, Ill.

Northeastern Jurisdiction—*William H. Alderson* (New York East), 33 Woodside Circle, Bridgeport 4, Conn.; Frank E. Baker (Philadelphia), 924 Philadelphia Nat'l Bank Bldg., Philadelphia, Pa., 19107.

South Central Jurisdiction—*Ira E. Williams* (Oklahoma), 3108 N.W. 61st St., Oklahoma City, Okla.; Carl C. Hall (Little Rock), 46 Edgehill St., Little Rock, Ark.

Southeastern Jurisdiction—*Robert C. Holmes* (Florida), Box 3545 MSS, Tallahassee, Fla.; A. G. Jefferson (Virginia), Box 99, Lynchburg, Va.

Western Jurisdiction—*Norman L. Conard* (Oregon), First Methodist Church, Eugene, Ore.; J. Wesley Hole (Southern California-Arizona), 5250 Santa Monica Blvd., Los Angeles, Calif., 90029.

Ex Officio—Secretary, General Conference: *Leon T. Moore* (Philadelphia), 1701 Arch St., Philadelphia, Pa., 19103; Treasurer, General Administration Fund: *Donald A. Cooke* (Florida), 1200 Davis St., Evanston, Ill.

Committees

(Chairman is named first)

Executive: Baker, *Conard, Cooke,* Dodson, Hall, Hole, *Holmes, Young.*
Program: Young, Alderson, Allen, Baker, Hole, Jefferson, *Moore, Williams.*
Finance: Alderson, Baker, *Conard, Cooke,* Hole, *Young.*
Auditorium and Furnishings (including exhibits): Conard, Baker, Dodson, Hall, Hole, *Holmes,* Van Sickle.
Badges: Van Sickle, *Holmes,* Jefferson.
Seating of Delegates: The Officers.
Distribution of Printed Material: Williams, Dodson, Van Sickle.
Fraternal Delegates: Allen, Hall, *Williams.*
Public Relations and Press: Ralph Stoody, Baker, Hole.

OUR PITTSBURGH HOSTS

Co-Chairmen of the Board ..... Bishop Edgar A. Love
Bishop W. Vernon Middleton
President ..... Robert B. Pease
Vice-President ..... *W. Sproule Boyd*
Vice-President ..... *Ramsey M. Bridges*
Vice-President ..... *Arthur B. R. Colley*
Secretary ..... Mrs. Donald Flanigan
Treasurer ..... K. Henry Pearson
Assistant Treasurer ..... W. H. Sellers
Executive Director ..... *David J. Wynne*
Director ..... *Allan J. Howes*
Director ..... *E. L. Parks*
Director ..... *Robert C. Howe*
Director ..... *John B. Warman*
Legal Counsel ..... Leon E. Hickman

DIVISION CHAIRMEN

Equipment ..... *Allan J. Howes*
Program ..... *E. L. Parks*
Entertainment ..... *Robert C. Howe*
Fellowship ..... *John B. Warman*
Finance ..... K. Henry Pearson

COMMITTEE CHAIRMEN

Auditorium ..... Herbert J. Dunsmore
First Aid ..... James C. Campbell, M.D.
Post Office ..... William M. Beatty
Communion Service ..... *Winston Trever*
Music ..... *Cuthbert E. Haine*
Reception ..... Edward R. Lawrence
Pittsburgh Night ..... *Harry N. Peelor*
Ushers, Pages, Badges ..... *William E. Daugherty*
Excursion and Hospitality ..... *James A. Gaiser*
Friendship Center ..... Mrs. Paul L. Lindberg
Children's Center ..... Mrs. Clyde Armstrong
Transportation and Side Trips ..... *J. S. Spangler*
Coffee Hour ..... Mrs. Robert Repp, Jr.
Hotel Reservations ..... *Raymond W. Faus*
Registration and information ..... Newton H. Fritchley
Pulpit Assignment ..... *Albert G. Curry*
Press ..... Dwight P. Carpenter
Radio and Television ..... *W. Howard Lenhart*
Secretarial Personnel ..... A. W. Leonard

ADMINISTRATIVE AND JUDICIAL BODIES

OF

THE METHODIST CHURCH

THE COUNCIL OF BISHOPS

President—Bishop Paul N. Garber, 4016 W. Broad Street, Richmond 30, Va.
Vice-President—Bishop Lloyd C. Wicke, 475 Riverside Drive, New York 27, N. Y.
Secretary—Bishop Roy H. Short, Box 871, Nashville, Tennessee, 37202.
Executive Committee—Officers and Bishops F. Gerald Ensley, Noah W. Moore, Jr., Shot K. Mondol.

EFFECTIVE BISHOPS

Alejandro, Dionisio D., Box 756, Manila, Philippines.
Alton, Ralph T., 516 First Nat'l Bank Bldg., Madison 3, Wis.
Amstutz, Hobart B., Box 483, 23B Coleman St., Singapore 6, Malaysia.

Barbieri, Sante Uberto, Casilla 5296, Correo Central, Buenos Aires, Argentina.
Booth, Newell S., Box 1316, Kitwe, No. Rhodesia, Africa.
Brashares, Charles W., 77 W. Washington St., Chicago 2, Ill.

Chen, W. Y., China.
Clair, Matthew W., Jr., Box 234, Main Post Office, St. Louis, Mo., 63166.
Copeland, Kenneth W., 2641 N. 49th St., Lincoln, Neb., 68504.
Corson, Fred Pierce, 1701 Arch St., Philadelphia, Pa., 19103.

Dodge, Ralph E., Box 8293, Causeway, Salisbury, Southern Rhodesia.

Ensley, F. Gerald, 508 10th St., Des Moines 9, Ia.

Frank, Eugene M., Centenary Meth. Bldg., 1611 Pine St., St. Louis, Mo., 63103.
Franklin, Marvin A., 758 Pinehurst Pl., Jackson 1, Miss.

Galloway, Paul V., 2120 Nat'l Bank of Commerce Bldg., San Antonio 5, Tex.
Garber, Paul N., 108-110 Meth. Bldg., 4016 W. Broad St., Richmond 30, Va.
Garrison, Edwin R., 502 Capitol Bldg., Aberdeen, S. D.
Golden, Charles F., 1908 Grand Ave., Nashville, Tenn., 37203.
Grant, A. Raymond, 814 Jackson Tower, Portland 5, Ore.
Gum, Walter C., 1115 S. 4th St., Louisville 3, Ky.

Hagen, Odd, Sibyllegatan 18, Stockholm O, Sweden.
Hardin, Paul, Jr., 1420 Lady St., Columbia 1, S. C.
Harmon, Nolan B., N. Tryon & 8th St., Charlotte 2, N. C.
Harris, Marquis L., 250 Auburn Ave., N.E., Atlanta 3, Ga.
Henley, James W., 225 E. Duval St., Jacksonville 2, Fla.

Holloway, Fred G., 524-26 Kanawha Valley Bldg., Charleston 1, W. Va.

Kennedy, Gerald, 5250 Santa Monica Blvd., Los Angeles, Calif., 90029.

Lord, John Wesley, 100 Maryland Ave., N.E., Washington 2, D. C.
Love, Edgar A., 828 N. Carrollton Ave., Baltimore 17, Md.

Martin, Paul E., 5215 S. Main St., Houston 2, Tex.
Martin, William C., Rm. 501, 1910 Main St., Dallas 1, Tex.
Mathews, James K., 581 Boylston St., Boston 16, Mass.
Middleton, W. Vernon, 408 7th Ave., Pittsburgh, Pa., 15222.
Mondol, Shot K., 12 Boulevard Rd., Delhi 6, India.
Moore, Noah W., Jr., 631 Baronne St., New Orleans 12, La.

Nall, T. Otto, 305 W. Franklin Ave., Minneapolis 4, Minn.

Palmer, Everett W., 800 Olympic Nat'l Bldg., 920 2nd Ave., Seattle 4, Wash.
Phillips, Glenn R., 2200 S. University Blvd., Denver 10, Colo.
Pope, W. Kenneth, 723 Center St., Little Rock, Ark.

Raines, Richard C., 527 E. 38th St., Indianapolis 5, Ind.
Reed, Marshall R., Francis Palms Bldg., 2111 Woodward Ave., Detroit, Mich., 48201.

Short, Roy H., Box 871, Nashville, Tenn., 37203.
Sigg, Ferdinand, 69 Badenerstr., Zurich 4, Switzerland.
Singh, Mangal, Robinson Memorial, Byculla, Bombay 8, India.
Slater, Oliver Eugene, 4125 Gage Center Dr., Topeka, Kas.
Smith, J. Owen, 63 Auburn Ave., N.E., Atlanta 3, Ga.
Smith, W. Angie, 606 Cravens Bldg., Oklahoma City 2, Okla.
Subhan, John A., Bishop's Lodge, Abid Rd., Hyderabad, Deccan, A.P., India.
Sundaram, Gabriel, 37 Cantonment Rd., Lucknow, India.

Taylor, Prince A., Jr., Box 458, Monrovia, Liberia.
Tippett, Donald H., 330 Ellis St., San Francisco, Calif., 94102.

Valencia, José L., 34-36 Lopez Bldg., Session Rd., Baguio City, Philippines.
Voigt, Edwin E., 705-706 Myers Bldg., 5th & Washington Sts., Springfield, Ill.

Walton, Aubrey G., 1715 American Nat'l Bank Bldg., 200 Carondelet St., New Orleans 12, La.
Ward, W. Ralph, 501 E. Fayette St., Syracuse 2, N. Y.
Werner, Hazen G., 395 E. Broad St., Columbus 15, Ohio.
Wicke, Lloyd C., Rm. 1922, 475 Riverside Dr., New York, N. Y., 10027.
Wunderlich, Friedrich, Grillparzerstr. 34, Frankfurt a/M, Germany.

Zottele, Pedro, Casilla 3491, Santiago, Chile.

RETIRED BISHOPS

Archer, Raymond L., 3229 Arapahoe Dr., Bethel Park, Pittsburgh 34, Pa.

Baker, James C., 676 W. Harrison Ave., Claremont, Calif.
Balloch, Enrique C., Casilla 732, Colonia, Uruguay.

Dawson, Dana, 3414 Madison Park Blvd., Shreveport, La.

Flint, Charles W., 63 Moore Ave., Binghamton, N. Y.

Gattinoni, Juan E., Rivadavia 4044, Buenos Aires, Argentina.

Hammaker, Wilbur E., 110 Maryland Ave., N.E., Washington 2, D. C.
Harrell, Costen J., 1787 Vickers Cir., Decatur, Ga.
Holt, Ivan Lee, 20 N. Kingshighway, St. Louis 8, Mo.

Kelly, Edward W., 2518 Atkinson St., Detroit 6, Mich.
King, Willis J., 4834 Prentiss Ave., New Orleans 26, La.

Ledden, W. Earl, Wesley Theol. Sem., 4400 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., Washington 16, D. C.

Magee, J. Ralph, 1864 Sherman Ave., Evanston, Ill.
Moore, Arthur J., 1391 N. Decatur Rd., N.E., Atlanta, Ga., 30306.

Newell, Frederick B., 79 Iron Gate Rd., Stamford, Conn.
Northcott, H. Clifford, 2902 Arbor Dr., Madison 5, Wis.

Pickett, J. Waskom, 301 Parkwood Dr., Glendale 2, Calif.

Rockey, Clement D., St. Mary's Church, Mayo Rd., Multan Cantonment, West Pakistan.

Shaw, Alexander P., 2151 W. 20th Street, Los Angeles 18, Calif.
Straughn, James H., 303 Northway, Baltimore 18, Md.

Wade, Raymond J., 431 Moss Ave., Bay View, Mich.
Welch, Herbert, 520 W. 110th St., New York 25, N. Y.

CONFERENCE OF METHODIST BISHOPS

Composed of the bishops elected by the General, Jurisdictional, and Central Conferences of The Methodist Church, and bishops of affiliated autonomous Methodist churches (*Discipline*, ¶ 427). The bishops of the affiliated autonomous Methodist churches are:

METHODIST CHURCH OF BRAZIL

Bishop Isaias Sucasas, Rua Juriti 399 (Moema), São Paulo, Brazil.
Bishop José Petro Pinheiro, Caixa 66, Santa Maria, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil.
Bishop João A. do Amaral, Rua João Pessoa, 106, Apto. 21, Petropolis, Estado do Rio, Brazil.

METHODIST CHURCH OF MEXICO

Bishop Alejandro Ruiz, Maple 10, Santa Maria Insurgentes, Mexico 4, D. F., Mexico.

KOREAN METHODIST CHURCH

Bishop Wan Shin Lee, International P.O. Box 1182, Seoul, Korea.

COMMISSION ON ENTERTAINMENT OF THE 1964 GENERAL CONFERENCE

Chairman—Frank E. Baker, 924 Philadelphia Nat'l Bank Bldg., Philadelphia, Pa., 19107.
Vice-Chairman—*Norman L. Conard*, First Methodist Church, Eugene, Ore.
Vice-Chairman—*J. Otis Young*, 77 W. Washington St., Chicago, Ill., 60602.
Secretary—J. Wesley Hole, 5250 Santa Monica Blvd., Los Angeles, Calif., 90029.

Members

Central Jurisdiction—*L. Scott Allen* (Georgia), 631 Baronne St., New Orleans 12, La.; Thurman L. Dodson (Washington), 626 3rd St., N.W., Washington, D.C.

North Central Jurisdiction—*J. Otis Young* (Ohio), 77 W. Washington Street, Chicago, Ill., 60602; John R. Van Sickle (Rock River), 1904 Grenshaw St., Rockford, Ill.

Northeastern Jurisdiction—*William H. Alderson* (New York East), 33 Woodside Circle, Bridgeport 4, Conn.; Frank E. Baker (Philadelphia), 924 Philadelphia Nat'l Bank Bldg., Philadelphia, Pa., 19107.

South Central Jurisdiction—*Ira E. Williams* (Oklahoma), 3108 N.W. 61st St., Oklahoma City, Okla.; Carl C. Hall (Little Rock), 46 Edgehill St., Little Rock, Ark.

Southeastern Jurisdiction—*Robert C. Holmes* (Florida), Box 3545 MSS, Tallahassee, Fla.; A. G. Jefferson (Virginia), Box 99, Lynchburg, Va.

Western Jurisdiction—*Norman L. Conard* (Oregon), First Methodist Church, Eugene, Ore.; J. Wesley Hole (Southern California-Arizona), 5250 Santa Monica Blvd., Los Angeles, Calif., 90029.

Ex Officio—Don A. Cooke (Florida—SE), 1200 David Street, Evanston, Ill., 60201; *Leon T. Moore* (Philadelphia—NE), 1701 Arch St., Philadelphia, Pa., 19103.

COMMISSION ON ENTERTAINMENT OF THE 1966 ADJOURNED SESSION and THE 1968 GENERAL CONFERENCES

Chairman—*J. Otis Young,* 77 W. Washington Street, Chicago, Ill.
Vice-Chairman—*Norman L. Conard,* 1185 Willamette, Eugene, Ore.
Vice-Chairman—*L. Scott Allen,* 631 Baronne Street, New Orleans, La.
Secretary—J. Wesley Hole, 5250 Santa Monica Blvd., Los Angeles, Calif., 90029.

Members

Class of 1968—*William H. Alderson* (New York East—NE), Thurman Dodson (Washington—C), Marion Walker (Southern California-Arizona—W), A. G. Jefferson (Virginia—SE), Henry V. Leoppert (Rock River—NC), *Irvin I. Smith* (Oklahoma—SC).

Class of 1972—*L. Scott Allen* (Georgia—C), Frank E. Baker (Philadelphia—NE), *Norman Conard* (Oregon—W), Carl C. Hall (Little Rock—SC), *Robert C. Holmes* (Florida—SE), *J. Otis Young* (Ohio—NC).

Ex Officio—Don A. Cooke (Florida—SE), 1200 Davis Street, Evanston, Illinois, 60201; *Leon T. Moore* (Philadelphia—NE), 1701 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pa., 19103 until July 1, 1965; J. Wesley Hole (Southern California-Arizona—W) after July 1, 1965.

SECRETARIES OF THE GENERAL CONFERENCE

Secretary Emeritus—*Lud H. Estes* (Memphis—SE), 1669 N. Parkway, Memphis 12, Tenn.

Secretary—*Leon T. Moore* (Philadelphia—NE), 1701 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pa., 19103.

(Leon T. Moore retired July 1, 1965 as Secretary of the General Conference and Dr. J. Wesley Hole, Secretary-Designate, elected at Pittsburgh, April 26, 1964, assumed the office. Dr. Hole's address, 5250 Santa Monica Blvd., Los Angeles, Calif., 90029. Leon T. Moore was elected Secretary Emeritus of the General Conference on May 2, 1964.)

THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

Italics denote ministers.

President—*Lester A. Welliver*, 1311 Vernon St., Harrisburg, Pa.
Vice-President—Paul R. Ervin, Attorneys Bldg., 806 E. Trade St., Charlotte, N. C.
Secretary—*A. Wesley Pugh*, 401 W. Bougainvillea, Lehigh Acres, Fla.

Terms Expiring 1964

A. Wesley Pugh (North Indiana—NC), 401 W. Bougainvillea, Lehigh Acres, Fla.
Lester A. Welliver (Central Pennsylvania—NE), 1311 Vernon St., Harrisburg, Pa.
R. F. Curl (Southwest Texas—SC), 1209 Whitewing, McAllen, Tex.
Vincent P. Clarke (New England—NE), 27 State St., Boston 9, Mass.
Donald A. Odell (Southern California-Arizona—W), 420 S. Greenwood Ave., Pasadena 10, Calif.

Terms Expiring 1968

John D. Humphrey (North Mississippi—SE), Box 721, Grenada, Miss.
J. Russell Throckmorton (Central Kansas—SC), 760 Highland Ave., Salina, Kas.
Theodore M. Berry (Lexington—C), 18 E. 4th St., Cincinnati 2, Ohio.
Paul R. Ervin (Western North Carolina—SE), Attorneys Building, 806 E. Trade St., Charlotte, N. C.

Alternates

Terms Expiring 1964

H. Hughes Wagner (New England—NE), 87 Maplewood Ter., Springfield, Mass.
Ben H. Christner (Rocky Mountain—W), 2125 S. Josephine, Denver 10, Colo.
Walter G. Williams (Rocky Mountain—W), 2125 S. Josephine, Denver 10, Colo.
Robert D. Blue (North Iowa—NC), 502 Broadway St., Eagle Grove, Ia.
Leon E. Hickman (Western Pennsylvania—NE), 829 Osage Rd., Pittsburgh 28, Pa.

Terms Expiring 1968

Ralph M. Houston (New York East—NE), 112 Long Dr., Hempstead, N. Y.
Ivan Lee Holt, Jr. (Missouri East—SC), 56 Kingsbury Pl., St. Louis 1, Mo.
Lester L. Cecil (Ohio—NC), 448 Red Haw Rd., Dayton 5, Ohio.
Samuel W. Witwer (Rock River—NC), 111 Abingdon Ave., Kenilworth, Ill.

THE JURISDICTIONS

Italics denote ministers, other than bishops.

NORTHEASTERN JURISDICTION

The Jurisdictional Conference meets at Syracuse University, Syracuse, N. Y., June 24, 1964, at 10:00 A.M.

Secretary—*Frank W. Ake* (Central Pennsylvania), 441 Pine St., Williamsport, Pa.

Treasurer—Eleanor Lowenstein (Newark), West Tryon Ave., Teaneck, N. J.

College of Bishops

President—Fred G. Holloway, 524-26 Kanawha Valley Bldg., Charleston 1, W. Va.

Secretary—W. Vernon Middleton, 408 7th Ave., Pittsburgh 22, Pa.

Effective Members—Newell S. Booth, Fred Pierce Corson, Fred G. Holloway, John Wesley Lord, James K. Mathews, W. Vernon Middleton, W. Ralph Ward, Lloyd C. Wicke.

Retired Members—Charles W. Flint, W. Earl Ledden, Frederick B. Newell, James H. Straughn, Herbert Welch.

Affiliated Retired Member—Raymond L. Archer (Southeastern Asia Central Conference).

Deceased—John Gowdy, Sept. 9, 1963; G. Bromley Oxnam, March 13, 1963.

Episcopal Areas

Boston—James K. Mathews.
Maine, New England, New England Southern, New Hampshire.

New York—Lloyd C. Wicke.
Newark, New York, New York East, Troy.

Philadelphia—Fred Pierce Corson.
New Jersey, Philadelphia, Puerto Rico Provisional, Wyoming; visitation responsibility for Hong Kong Provisional, Taiwan Provisional.

Syracuse—W. Ralph Ward.
Central New York, Genesee, Northern New York.

Washington—John Wesley Lord.
Baltimore, Peninsula.

Western Pennsylvania—W. Vernon Middleton.
Central Pennsylvania, Western Pennsylvania.

West Virginia—Fred G. Holloway.
West Virginia.

Service Overseas—Newell S. Booth.
Africa Central Conference.

Commission on Expense and Arrangements

Chairman—*William H. Alderson* (New York East), 33 Woodside Cir., Bridgeport 4, Conn.

Vice-Chairman—DeWitt C. LeFevre (Northern New York), Beaver Falls, N. Y.

Secretary—*Frank W. Ake* (Central Pennsylvania), 441 Pine St., Williamsport, Pa.

Treasurer—Eleanor Lowenstein (Newark), West Tryon Ave., Teaneck, N. J.

Program Chairman—*F. LaMont Henninger* (Central Pennsylvania), 3132 Green St., Harrisburg, Pa.

Property Chairman—*Warren G. Odom* (Central New York), 330 W. Church St., Elmira, N. Y.

Members

Boston Area—*Morrill O. Martin*, Mason N. Hartman.
New York Area—*William H. Alderson*, Mrs. Frank Brooks.
Philadelphia Area—*Russell J. Hawke*, Howard Stainton.
Syracuse Area—*Warren G. Odom*, DeWitt C. LeFevre.
Washington Area—*Lowell S. Ensor*, Hurst R. Anderson.
Western Pennsylvania Area—*F. LaMont Henninger*, Edward Lawrence.
West Virginia Area—*J. B. F. Yoak, Jr.*, John L. Thomas.

Committee on Procedure, Rules of Order, and Organization

Chairman—*John D. Herr.*
Vice-Chairman—*Harold S. Swales.*
Secretary—M. Philip Susag.
Boston Area—*Harold C. Case*, M. Philip Susag.
New York Area—*E. B. Bostock*, F. K. Kirchner.
Philadelphia Area—*John D. Herr*, Fred Applegate.
Syracuse Area—*Harold S. Swales*, Herbert F. Barrett.
Washington Area—Mrs. Stella Werner.
Western Pennsylvania Area—
West Virginia Area—
Secretary of the Conference—*Frank W. Ake.*

Court of Appeals

Members—**D. J. Imler, William H. Alderson, Leon W. Bouton, John E. Hanifan, Henry G. Budd, Lowell S. Ensor, Roy T. Henwood, Merrill C. Johnson, Robert C. Root.*
Reserves—*F. LaMont Henninger, John B. Warman, Lemuel K. Lord, William N. Raver, Ernest F. Tripp, H. Hughes Wagner, *Paul R. Roy, Daniel W. Justice, Truman W. Potter.*

Special Committee on Boundaries

Chairman—Leon Hickman.
Secretary—*Charles Bollinger.*
Boston Area—*C. F. Avey*, Philip Susag.
New York Area—*H. N. Smith*, Robert Preusch.
Philadelphia Area—*A. K. Smith*, G. Wesley Lewis.
Syracuse Area—*Charles Bollinger*, Dana L. Wortman.
Washington Area—*Clarence Fossett*, Mrs. Ruth Streeter.
Western Pennsylvania Area—*F. L. Henninger*, Leon Hickman.
West Virginia Area—*Alfred Bennett*, E. R. Jones.

SOUTHEASTERN JURISDICTION

The Jurisdictional Conference meets in the Auditorium at Lake Junaluska, N. C., July 8, 1964, at 9:00 A.M.
Secretary—*Charles D. White* (Western North Carolina), Box 667, Asheboro, N. C.
Treasurer—Ben A. Whitmore (Tennessee), 170 4th Ave., N., Nashville, Tenn., 37219.

College of Bishops

President—Paul Hardin, Jr., 1420 Lady St., Columbia 1, S. C.
Secretary—J. Owen Smith, 63 Auburn Ave., N.E., Atlanta 3, Ga.

---

* Deceased.

Effective Members—Marvin A. Franklin, Paul N. Garber, Walter C. Gum, Paul Hardin, Jr., Nolan B. Harmon, James W. Henley, Roy H. Short, J. Owen Smith.
Retired Members—Costen J. Harrell, Arthur J. Moore.
Deceased—Bachman G. Hodge, Jan. 5, 1961; William T. Watkins, Feb. 6, 1961; Clare Purcell, Feb. 8, 1964.

Episcopal Areas

Atlanta—J. Owen Smith.
North Georgia, South Georgia.
Birmingham—Paul Hardin, Jr., and Nolan B. Harmon.
Alabama-West Florida (Hardin), North Alabama (Harmon).
Charlotte—Nolan B. Harmon.
Western North Carolina.
Columbia—Paul Hardin, Jr.
South Carolina.
Jackson—Marvin A. Franklin.
Memphis, Mississippi, North Mississippi.
Jacksonville—James W. Henley.
Cuba, Florida.
Louisville—Walter C. Gum.
Kentucky, Louisville.
Nashville—Roy H. Short.
Holston, Tennessee.
Richmond—Paul N. Garber.
North Carolina, Virginia.

Committee on Entertainment

Chairman—*Waights G. Henry, Jr.*, LaGrange College, LaGrange, Ga.
Vice-Chairman—Edwin L. Jones, Sr., 3601 Sharon Rd., Charlotte, N. C., 28211.
Secretary—Henry King Stanford, Univ. of Miami, Coral Gables 46, Fla.
Members—*Waights G. Henry, Jr.* (North Georgia), *A. Jarvis Hobbs* (North Carolina), Edwin L. Jones, Sr. (Western North Carolina), Henry King Stanford (Florida), R. H. Bond (Memphis).
Ex Officio—Secretary, Jurisdictional Conference, *Charles D. White;* Treasurer, Jurisdictional Expense Fund, Ben A. Whitmore.

SOUTHEASTERN JURISDICTIONAL COUNCIL

Executive Committee

Officers

President—Bishop Paul N. Garber, 4016 W. Broad St., Richmond 30, Va.
Vice-President—R. Howard Berg, Box 608, Melbourne, Fla.
Vice-President—Bishop J. Owen Smith, 63 Auburn Ave., N.E., Atlanta 3, Ga.
Recording Secretary—*Carl J. Sanders*, 411 E. Grace St., Richmond, Va., 23219.
Treasurer—Charles R. Clegg, deceased.

Bishops (Other than Officers)

Bishop Marvin A. Franklin, 758 Pinehurst Pl., Jackson 1, Miss.
Bishop Walter C. Gum, 1115 S. 4th St., Louisville 3, Ky.
Bishop Paul Hardin, Jr., 1420 Lady St., Columbia 1, S. C.
Bishop Nolan B. Harmon, N. Tryon & 8th St., Charlotte 2, N. C.
Bishop James W. Henley, 225 E. Duval St., Jacksonville 2, Fla.
Bishop Roy H. Short, Box 871, Nashville, Tenn., 37202.

Executive Secretaries

D. Trigg James, Sr. (Holston), 63 Auburn Ave., N.E., Atlanta 3, Ga.
James W. Sells (Mississippi), 63 Auburn Ave., N.E., Atlanta 3, Ga.

Chairmen of Jurisdictional Committees

Education—L. Stacy Weaver, Methodist College, Fayetteville, N. C.
Evangelism—*J. Willard Leggett, Jr.*, Box 303, Jackson 5, Miss.
Lay Activities—Roy H. Black, Nettleton, Miss.
Missions—*Edward L. Tullis*, 2400 Forest Ave., Ashland, Ky.
Town and Country Work—*Carl J. Sanders*, 411 E. Grace St., Richmond, Va., 23219.
Christian Social Concerns—Robert T. Mann, Seffner, Fla.

Representatives from Episcopal Areas

Atlanta Area—*Lester Rumble*, 650 N. Superior Ave., Decatur, Ga.
Birmingham Area—L. S. Moore, Centerville, Ala.
Charlotte Area—*Charles P. Bowles*, 302 W. Market St., Greensboro, N. C.
Columbia Area—R. C. Edwards, Clemson College, Clemson, S. C.
Jackson Area—*William S. Evans*, 4523 Highway 59S, Memphis 16, Tenn.
Jacksonville Area—*Henry W. Blackburn*, Box 2478, Lakeland, Fla.
Louisville Area—*E. Wade Weldon*, 318 W. Catherine St., Louisville 3, Ky.
Nashville Area—*Farris F. Moore*, 2009 Acklen Ave., Nashville, Tenn., 37212.
Richmond Area—W. Jasper Smith, Box 1375, Rocky Mount, N. C.

President, Jurisdiction Woman's Society of Christian Service

Mrs. E. L. Grossbrenner, 8213 Brookfield Rd., Richmond, Va.

President, Bd. of Trustees, Lake Junaluska Assembly, Inc.

Edwin L. Jones, Sr., 3601 Sharon Rd., Charlotte, N. C., 28211.

Superintendent-Treasurer, Lake Junaluska Assembly, Inc.

James W. Fowler, Jr., Box 327, Lake Junaluska, N. C.

Members

The effective and retired bishops (see list above)

Jurisdiction Woman's Society of Christian Service

Mrs. E. L. Grossbrenner, 8213 Brookfield Rd., Richmond, Va.
Mrs. O. D. Thomas, 2011 11th St., Tuscaloosa, Ala.
Mrs. Ralph B. Shumaker, Walterboro, S. C.
Mrs. Dow Hamrick, Ellijay, Ga.
Mrs. W. P. Bailey, Box 53, Nettleton, Miss.
Mrs. S. M. Harmon, 2179 Poplar, Apt. 44, Memphis, Tenn.
Mrs. A. C. Johnson, 766 Covington Ave., Bowling Green, Ky.
Mrs. George Shiplett, 800 Jackson St., Vidalia, Ga.

Methodist Youth Fellowship Workshop

President—Sharon Adams, Methodist Youth Camp, Leesburg, Fla.
Record Secretary—George W. Quiggle, 5120 6th Ave., Birmingham, Ala.

President, Board of Trustees, Lake Junaluska Assembly, Inc.

Edwin L. Jones, Sr., 3601 Sharon Rd., Charlotte, N. C., 28211.

Annual Conference Representatives

Andrews, Harold (Tennessee), Sparta, Tenn.
Beasley, N. Craig (Tennessee), Middle Tenn. State College, Murfreesboro, Tenn., 37131.
Berg, R. Howard (Florida), Box 608, Melbourne, Fla.
Black, Roy H. (North Mississippi), Nettleton, Miss.
Blackburn, Henry W. (Florida), Box 2478, Lakeland, Fla.
Blazer, Earl W. (Holston), Bank of Maryville Bldg., Maryville, Tenn.
Boggs, Clyde S. (North Carolina), 1516 Market St., Wilmington, N. C.
Bostwick, W. E. (South Georgia), Arlington, Ga.
Bowles, Charles P. (Western North Carolina), 1100 Queens Rd., Charlotte, N. C.
Bray, Jerry G. (Virginia), 1020 Ohio St., Norfolk, Va.
Brown, William G. (Holston), 736 Market St., Chattanooga 1, Tenn.
Cannon, William R. (North Georgia), Candler School of Theol., Emory Univ., Atlanta 22, Ga.
Chitwood, J. Henry (North Alabama), 800 Greensboro Ave., Tuscaloosa, Ala.
Clegg, Charles R. (North Georgia), deceased.
Cochran, Leonard H. (South Georgia), 719 Mulberry St., Macon, Ga.
Cook, P. E. (South Carolina), 306 McDaniel Ave., Greenville, S. C.
Dill, R. Laurence, Jr. (North Alabama), Box 1000, Anniston, Ala.
Edwards, Alonzo C. (North Carolina), Hookerton, N. C.
Edwards, Robert C. (South Carolina), Clemson College, Clemson 1, S. C.
Evans, Paul F. (Western North Carolina), Route 4, Lexington, N. C.
Evans, William S., Sr. (Memphis), 4523 Highway 59S, Memphis 16, Tenn.
Finger, H. Ellis, Jr. (North Mississippi), Millsaps College, Jackson, Miss.
Flatt, Franklin Alton (Memphis), Rm. 519, 2158 Union Ave. Bldg., Memphis, Tenn.
Fowler, Conrad M. (North Alabama), Columbiana, Ala.
Fowler, H. Thornton (Tennessee), 3rd Nat'l Bank Bldg., Nashville, Tenn., 37219.
Fowler, James W., Jr. (Western North Carolina), Lake Junaluska, N. C.
Fridy, W. Wallace (South Carolina), Box 728, Anderson, S. C.
Gomez, Mrs. Idalisa (Cuba), Punta Brava, Cuba.
Goodson, W. Kenneth (Western North Carolina), Box 608, Winston-Salem, N. C.
Grantham, Dan L. (Virginia), 201 N. Jackson St., Arlington, Va.
Hardin, Cecil P. (Holston), Box 335, Oak Ridge, Tenn.
Henderson, Zach S. (South Georgia), Georgia Southern College, Statesboro, Ga., 30459.
Hobbs, A. J. (North Carolina), 1307 Glenwood Ave., Raleigh, N. C.
Holmes, Robert C. (Florida), Box 3545 MSS, Tallahassee, Fla.
Hubbard, Albert (Louisville), 202 Brown Ave., Louisville, Ky.
Ingram, Ben L. (North Alabama), 110 Ave. V, Pratt City, Ala.
Inman, W. O. (Memphis), 602 Jackson St., Paris, Tenn.
Ivey, A. R., Mrs. (Florida), New Smyrna Beach, Fla.
Jefferson, Allie G. (Virginia), Allied Arts Bldg., Lynchburg, Va.
Jones, Howard M. (Kentucky), 705 W. 5th St., London, Ky.
Kerlin, George W. (Alabama-West Florida), 210 Church St., Selma, Ala.
Kimbrough, R. Edwin (North Alabama), 1406 Oxmoor Rd., Birmingham 9, Ala.
Lawrence, M. W. (North Carolina), 2402 E. Ash St., Goldsboro, N. C.
Leggett, J. Willard, Jr. (Mississippi), Box 303, Jackson, Miss.

León, Jorge A. (Cuba), Virtudes 152, Habana, Cuba.
Long, Nat G. (North Georgia), 1200 Ponce de Leon Ave., N.E., Atlanta, Ga., 30306.
Lundy, Clyde E. (Holston), 5502 Spring Garden Ln., Chattanooga, Tenn., 37411.
Mann, Robert T. (Florida), 703 Wallace S. Bldg., Tampa, Fla.
Martin, S. Walter (North Georgia), 244 Washington St., S.W., Atlanta, Ga., 30303.
Medlin, Jere (Memphis), 354 Roland Ave., Jackson, Tenn.
Medlock, Melvin K. (South Carolina), 2501 Heyward St., Columbia, S. C., 29205.
Miller, Clyde, Jr. (Alabama-West Florida), 111 W. Gonzales St., Pensacola, Fla.
Moore, Farris F. (Tennessee), 2009 Acklen Ave., Nashville, Tenn., 37212.
Moore, L. S. (Alabama-West Florida), Centreville, Ala.
Nichols, John B. (Alabama-West Florida), Box 766, Andalusia, Ala.
Patterson, Dwight F. (South Carolina), Box 256, Laurens, S. C.
Patton, Russell R. (Kentucky), Box 1451, Ashland, Ky.
Potts, J. Manning (Virginia), 1908 Grand Ave., Nashville, Tenn., 37203.
Prewitt, Thomas O. (Mississippi), Box 234, Gulfport, Miss.
Riddick, Roland P. (Virginia), 719 S. Glebe Rd., Arlington 4, Va.
Rooks, John J. (Florida), 800 E. Palmetto St., Lakeland, Fla.
Rumble, Lester (North Georgia), 650 N. Superior Ave., Decatur, Ga.
Sanders, Carl J. (Virginia), 411 E. Grace St., Richmond, Va., 23219.
Savage, William E. (Kentucky), Route 2, Lexington, Ky.
Sherrill, Flake (Western North Carolina), deceased.
Smith, Ben L. (Western North Carolina), deceased.
Smith, Holiday H. (Holston), 1105 Watauga St., Kingsport, Tenn.
Smith, W. Jasper (North Carolina), Box 1375, Rocky Mount, N. C.
Stafford, James P. (Mississippi), Box 467, Cary, Miss.
Stanley, Sam C. (North Mississippi), 604 Cypress, Greenwood, Miss.
Steele, William S. (Holston), 4315 Brainerd Rd., Chattanooga 11, Tenn.
Stites, Frank M. (Louisville), 2132 Woodford Pl., Louisville 5, Ky.
Stevens, Ben M., Sr. (Mississippi), Richton, Miss.
Stockham, R. J. (North Alabama), Box 2592, Birmingham, Ala.
Styron, Mrs. Arthur (North Georgia), 262 Peachtree Hills Ave., N.E., Atlanta 5, Ga.
Taylor, Arthur M. (South Carolina), 3124 Duncan St., Columbia, S. C.
Tullis, Edward L. (Kentucky), 2400 Forest Ave., Ashland, Ky.
Trulock, Albert S. (South Georgia), Box 2233, Valdosta, Ga.
Weaver, L. Stacy (North Carolina), Box 592, Fayetteville, N. C.
Weldon, E. Wade (Louisville), 318 W. St. Catherine St., Louisville 3, Ky.
Williams, George R. (North Mississippi), Box 603, Clarksdale, Miss.
Wood, George S. (Louisville), 609 Hampton Rd., Bowling Green, Ky.

Board of Trustees, Lake Junaluska Assembly, Inc.

President—Edwin L. Jones, Sr., 3601 Sharon Rd., Charlotte, N. C., 28211.
First Vice-President—Bishop Nolan B. Harmon, N. Tyron and 8th St., Charlotte 2, N. C.
Second Vice-President—Hugh Massie, Waynesville, N. C.
Secretary—*Lee F. Tuttle*, Box 397, Lake Junaluska, N. C.
Treasurer-Superintendent—*James W. Fowler, Jr.*, Box 327, Lake Junaluska, N. C.

CENTRAL JURISDICTION

The Jurisdictional Conference meets in Bethune-Cookman College, Daytona Beach, Fla., June 17-26, 1964, at 9:30 A.M.
Secretary—*George W. Carter, Jr.* (Louisiana), 2309 Dryades St., New Orleans 13, La.
Treasurer—A. M. Carter (Georgia), 1421 12th St., Augusta, Ga.

College of Bishops

President—Noah W. Moore, Jr., 631 Baronne St., New Orleans 12, La.
Secretary—Charles F. Golden, 1908 Grand Ave., Nashville, Tenn., 37203.
Effective Members—Matthew W. Clair, Jr., Charles F. Golden, Marquis Lafayette Harris, Edgar A. Love, Noah W. Moore, Jr., Prince A. Taylor, Jr.
Retired Members—Edward W. Kelly, Sr., Willis J. King, Alexander P. Shaw.
Deceased Members—Robert Elijah Jones, May 18, 1960; John Wesley Edward Bowen, July 12, 1962.

Episcopal Areas

Atlantic Coast—Marquis L. Harris.
Florida, Georgia, South Carolina.
Baltimore—Edgar A. Love.
Delaware, North Carolina, Washington.
New Orleans—Noah W. Moore, Jr.
Louisiana, Texas, West Texas.
Nashville-Birmingham—Charles F. Golden.
Mississippi, Upper Mississippi, Central Alabama, Tennessee, East Tennessee.
St. Louis—Matthew W. Clair, Jr.
Lexington, Central West, Southwest.
Overseas Service: Prince A. Taylor, Jr.
Liberia.

Commission on Expense and Agenda

Chairman—Bishop Edgar A. Love, 828 N. Carrollton Ave., Baltimore 17, Md.
Vice-Chairman—*Damon P. Young*, 1792 E. Long St., Columbus, Ohio.
Treasurer—A. M. Carter, 1421 12th St., Augusta, Ga.
Secretary—Clarence M. Winchester, Box 1621, Greensboro, N. C.
Members—The College of Bishops (see list above).
L. Scott Allen (Georgia), 631 Baronne St., New Orleans 12, La.
A. M. Carter (Georgia), 1421 12th St., Augusta, Ga.
L. B. Felder (Texas), 3015 Southmore Blvd., Houston, Texas.
Joe D. Grier (Georgia), 596 Glen Iris Dr., N.E., Atlanta, Ga.
Edward L. Harrington (East Tennessee), 2205 Blackford St., Chattanooga, Tenn.
Wendell Taylor (Mississippi), 616 E. 12th St., Laurel, Miss.
Kelly L. Jackson (Washington), 507 W. Lanvole St., Baltimore, Md.
John T. King (West Texas), 2400 Givens Ave., Austin, Tex.
Clarence M. Winchester (North Carolina), Box 1621, Greensboro, N. C.
Damon P. Young (Lexington), 1792 E. Long St., Columbus, Ohio.

Committee on Plan of Organization and Rules of Order

James P. Brawley (Georgia), Clark College, 240 Chestnut St., Atlanta, Ga.

Thurman L. Dodson (Washington), 626 3rd St., N.W., Washington, D. C.
H. B. Gibson (Lexington), 121 E. 56th St., Chicago 37, Ill.
T. R. W. Harris (Louisiana), 217 N. Prieur St., New Orleans, La.

NORTH CENTRAL JURISDICTION

The Jurisdictional Conference meets at the Sheraton-Cleveland Hotel, Cleveland, Ohio, July 8, 1964, at 1:30 P.M.
Secretary—*Robert Merrill Powers* (Rock River), 2121 Lincolnwood Dr., Evanston, Ill.
Treasurer—Blanche Rutledge (Rock River), 77 W. Washington St., Chicago, Ill., 60602.

College of Bishops

President—Edwin E. Voigt, 705-706 Myers Bldg., 5th & Washington Sts., Springfield, Ill.
Vice-President—F. Gerald Ensley, 508 10th St., Des Moines 9, Ia.
Secretary—Ralph T. Alton, 516 First Nat'l Bank Bldg., Madison 3, Wis.
Effective Members—Ralph T. Alton, Charles W. Brashares, F. Gerald Ensley, Edwin R. Garrison, T. Otto Nall, Richard C. Raines, Marshall R. Reed, Edwin E. Voigt, Hazen G. Werner.
Retired Members—J. Ralph Magee, H. Clifford Northcott, Raymond J. Wade.
Affiliated Retired Member—Clement D. Rockey (Southern Asia Central Conference).
Deceased—Ralph S. Cushman, August 10, 1960; John M. Springer, December 2, 1963.

Episcopal Areas

Chicago—Charles W. Brashares.
Rock River.
Dakotas—Edwin R. Garrison.
North Dakota, South Dakota.
Illinois—Edwin E. Voigt.
Central Illinois, Southern Illinois.
Indiana—Richard C. Raines.
Indiana, North Indiana, Northwest Indiana, visitation responsibility for Hong Kong Provisional, Taiwan Provisional.
Iowa—F. Gerald Ensley.
North Iowa, South Iowa.
Michigan—Marshall R. Reed.
Detroit, Michigan.
Minnesota—T. Otto Nall.
Minnesota.
Ohio—Hazen G. Werner.
North-East Ohio, Ohio.
Wisconsin—Ralph T. Alton.
East Wisconsin, West Wisconsin.

Committee on Entertainment

Chairman—Francis H. Faber (Minnesota), Rt. 5, Box 148W, Excelsior, Minn.
Secretary—*Paul M. Hann* (South Iowa), 713 N. Main St., Mt. Pleasant, Ia.
Treasurer—Blanche Rutledge (Rock River), 77 W. Washington St., Chicago, Ill., 60602.

Members—The College of Bishops (see list above).
Russell A. Huffman (Minnesota), 305 W. Franklin Ave., Minneapolis, Minn., 55404.
Mrs. Robert C. Armstrong (North Iowa), Dairydale Rd. & Beaver Ave., Cedar Rapids, Ia.
Carleton C. Rogers (Rock River), 926 Douglas Ave., Elgin, Ill.
John R. Van Sickle (Rock River), 1904 Grenshaw Ave., Rockford, Ill.
Walter Day (Central Illinois), Stratford Court, Cape Coral, Fla.
Mrs. Robert Davis (Rock River), Robinson, Ill.
Edward A. Smith (Detroit), deceased.
Ray Labbitt (Detroit), deceased.
Russell R. King (Michigan), First Meth. Ch., Petosky, Mich.
Russell H. Rayburn (Northwest Indiana), 215 Hobbs St., Plainfield, Ind.
Byron F. Stroh (North Indiana), 527 E. 38th St., Indianapolis 5, Ind.
Henry H. Lewis (South Dakota), 2115 W. 18th St., Sioux Falls, S. D.
Mrs. L. W. Osgood (South Dakota), Colman, S. D.
Clifford J. Lau (West Wisconsin), Jim Falls, Wis.
Alvin J. Lindgren (East Wisconsin), Garrett Theol. Sem., Evanston, Ill.
Claude Garrison (Ohio), 670 Morning St., Worthington, Ohio.
Kenneth Cope (North-East Ohio), deceased.

SOUTH CENTRAL JURISDICTION

The Jurisdictional Conference meets in Dallas, Tex., June 29, 1964.
Secretary—*E. L. Jorns* (Oklahoma), 331 Cravens Bldg., Oklahoma City, Okla., 73102.
Treasurer—T. Russell Reitz (Kansas), 726 Sunset Dr., Manhattan, Kas.
Executive Secretary—*Virgil D. Morris* (Louisiana), 201-203 Bixler Bldg., 2400 N.W. 23rd St., Oklahoma City, Okla., 73107.

College of Bishops

President—Paul E. Martin, 5215 S. Main St., Houston 2, Tex.
Secretary—Kenneth W. Copeland, 2641 N. 49th St., Lincoln, Neb., 68504.
Effective Members—Kenneth W. Copeland, Eugene M. Frank, Paul V. Galloway, Paul E. Martin, William C. Martin, W. Kenneth Pope, Eugene Slater, W. Angie Smith, Aubrey G. Walton.
Retired Members—Dana Dawson, Ivan Lee Holt.
Affiliated Retired Member—J. Waskom Pickett (Southern Asia Central Conference).
Deceased—Hiram A. Boaz, Jan. 2, 1962; A. Frank Smith, Oct. 5, 1962.

Episcopal Areas

Arkansas—W. Kenneth Pope.
Little Rock, North Arkansas.
Dallas-Fort Worth—William C. Martin.
Central Texas, North Texas.
Houston—Paul E. Martin.
Rio Grande, Texas.
Kansas—Eugene Slater.
Central Kansas, Kansas.
Louisiana—Aubrey G. Walton.
Louisiana, visitation responsibility for Central and South America.

Missouri—Eugene M. Frank.
Missouri East, Missouri West.
Nebraska—Kenneth W. Copeland.
Nebraska.
Oklahoma-New Mexico—W. Angie Smith.
Indian Mission, New Mexico, Oklahoma, visitation responsibility for Mexico, Hong Kong Provisional, Taiwan Provisional.
San Antonio-Northwest Texas—Paul V. Galloway.
Northwest Texas, Southwest Texas.

Commission on Arrangements and Expense

Chairman—*Allen A. Peacock* (Central Texas), 3652 Manderly Pl., Fort Worth, Tex.
Marshall Steel (Little Rock), Hendrix College, Conway, Ark.
Walter R. Willis (Texas), Box 2382, Longview, Tex.
Joseph S. Ploughe (Central Kansas), 151 N. Volutsia, Wichita, Kas.
Jolly B. Harper (Louisiana), 839 Monrovia, Shreveport, La.
Clarence P. Folkins (Missouri West), 2262 E. Latoka, Springfield, Mo.
Sidney L. McCaig (Nebraska), 1402 W. 2nd, McCook, Neb.
LeRoy Massengale (Oklahoma), 209 Bixler Bldg., 2400 N.W. 23rd St., Oklahoma City, Okla., 73107.
R. Luther Kirk (Northwest Texas), 505 Tennessee St., Amarillo, Tex.
T. Russell Reitz (Kansas), 726 Sunset Dr., Manhattan, Kas.
Virgil D. Morris (Louisiana), 201-203 Bixler Bldg., 2400 N.W. 23rd St., Oklahoma City, Okla., 73107.

Committee on Program

Bishop William C. Martin, Rm. 501, 1910 Main St., Dallas 1, Tex.
Alsie Carleton (North Texas), 1910 Main St., Dallas 1, Tex.
Joseph M. Connally (North Texas), 931 W. 10th St., Dallas, Tex.
E. L. Jorns (Oklahoma), 331 Cravens Bldg., Oklahoma City, Okla., 73102.
Virgil D. Morris (Louisiana), 201-203 Bixler Bldg., 2400 N.W. 23rd St., Oklahoma City, Okla., 73107.
Allen A. Peacock (Central Texas), 3652 Manderly Pl., Fort Worth, Tex.
Mrs. A. R. Marquardt (Nebraska), 1130 S. 47th St., Lincoln, Neb.
J. Clinton Hawkins (Missouri East), 7421 Warwick Dr., St. Louis, Mo.
Robert E. Goodrich, Jr. (North Texas), 1928 Ross Ave., Dallas, Tex.

South Central Jurisdictional Council

Bishop W. Angie Smith, 606 Cravens Bldg., Oklahoma City 2, Okla., 73102.
Chairman—*Robert E. Goodrich, Jr.* (North Texas), 1928 Ross Ave., Dallas, Tex.
Vice-Chairman—*William E. Trice* (Louisiana), 3350 Dalrymple Dr., Baton Rouge, La.
Secretary—*Alva H. Clark* (Nebraska), 5410 Corby St., Omaha, Neb.
Treasurer—T. Russell Reitz (Kansas), 726 Sunset Dr., Manhattan, Kas.
Executive Secretary—*Virgil D. Morris* (Louisiana), 201-203 Bixler Bldg., 2400 N.W. 23rd St., Oklahoma City, Okla., 73107.

WESTERN JURISDICTION

The Jurisdictional Conference meets in the First Methodist Church, S.W. 18th & Jefferson Sts., Portland, Ore., Wednesday, July 8, 1964; registration at 11:00 A.M., Communion Service at 2:00 P.M., first business session at 7:30 P.M.

Secretary—*Donald H. Baldwin* (California-Nevada), 9 Ross Valley Dr., San Rafael, Calif.
Treasurer—J. Wesley Hole (Southern California-Arizona), 5250 Santa Monica Blvd., Los Angeles, Calif., 90029.

College of Bishops

President—Everett W. Palmer, 800 Olympic Nat'l Bldg., 920 2nd Ave., Seattle 4, Wash.
Secretary—A. Raymond Grant, 814 Jackson Tower, Portland 5, Ore.
Effective Members—A. Raymond Grant, Gerald Kennedy, Everett W. Palmer, Glenn R. Phillips, Donald H. Tippett.
Retired Members—James C. Baker, Wilbur E. Hammaker.
Deceased—George Amos Miller, Oct. 13, 1961.

Episcopal Areas

Denver—Glenn R. Phillips.
Montana, Rocky Mountain.
Los Angeles—Gerald Kennedy.
Hawaii Mission, Southern California-Arizona.
Portland—A. Raymond Grant.
Alaska Mission, Idaho, Oregon.
San Francisco—Donald H. Tippett.
California-Nevada, Pacific Japanese Provisional.
Seattle—Everett W. Palmer.
Pacific Northwest.

Committee on Entertainment and Program

Chairman—*Joyce W. Farr* (California-Nevada), 1701 Truxton Ave., Bakersfield, Calif.
Secretary—Mrs. R. K. Smith (Pacific Northwest), 2511½ W. Chestnut, Yakima, Wash.
Members—Chester M. Alter (Rocky Mountain), 360 High St., Denver 10, Colo.
Raymond E. Balcomb (Oregon), 1838 S.W. Jefferson, Portland, Ore.
Mrs. Ted F. Baun (California-Nevada), 2741 N. Channing Way, Fresno 5, Calif.
Clifford E. Cornutt (Oregon), 600 S.W. 271st Ave., Hillsboro, Ore.
K. Morgan Edwards (Southern California-Arizona), Sch. of Theol., Claremont, Calif.
Melvin M. Finkbeiner (Pacific Northwest), Central United Ch., Richland, Wash.
Harvey H. Potthoff (Rocky Mountain), 2125 S. Josephine, Denver 10, Colo.
Mrs. Charles A. Trowbridge (Southern California-Arizona), 410 S. Michigan Ave., Pasadena 5, Calif.
Donald H. Baldwin (Secretary, Jurisdictional Conference), 9 Ross Valley Dr., San Rafael, Calif.
J. Wesley Hole (Treasurer, Jurisdictional Conference), 5250 Santa Monica Blvd., Los Angeles, Calif., 90029.

Budget and Finance Committee

Chairman—*J. Henry Ernst* (Pacific Northwest), Olympic Nat'l Bldg., 920 2nd Ave., Seattle 4, Wash.
Secretary—*Frank S. Williams* (Southern California-Arizona), 5250 Santa Monica Blvd., Los Angeles, Calif., 90029.
Members—John Cranston (Southern California-Arizona), 3690 Pringle St., San Diego 1, Calif.

Lawrence Guderian (Oregon), 1115 W. 28th St., Albany, Ore.
Marshall Hjelte (Oregon), 245 S. 1st St., St. Helens, Ore.
Ben F. Lehmberg (Rocky Mountain), 126 E. Boulder, Colorado Springs, Colo.
Robert W. Moon (California-Nevada), 1350 M St., Fresno 1, Calif.
Norman L. Ovitt (Montana), Dillon, Mont.
Lyle H. Truax (Pacific Northwest), 3717 E. Evergreen Blvd., Vancouver, Wash.
Frank Webber (California-Nevada), Box 467, San Francisco, Calif.

CENTRAL CONFERENCES OVERSEAS AREAS, BISHOPS, CONFERENCES

AFRICA CENTRAL CONFERENCE

Elisabethville Area—Newell S. Booth.
Central Congo, Southern Congo.
Salisbury Area—Ralph E. Dodge.
Angola, Rhodesia, Southeast Africa.

CENTRAL AND SOUTHERN EUROPE CENTRAL CONFERENCE

Geneva Area—Ferdinand Sigg.
Austria Provisional, Belgium, Bulgaria Provisional, Czechoslovakia, Hungary Provisional, North Africa Provisional, Poland, Madeira Mission, Switzerland, Yugoslavia Mission.

CHINA CENTRAL CONFERENCE

Bishop W. Y. Chen—no report of assignment to area.

GERMANY CENTRAL CONFERENCE

Frankfurt Area—Friedrich Wunderlich.
Central Germany, Northeast Germany, Northwest Germany, South Germany, Southwest Germany.

LATIN AMERICA CENTRAL CONFERENCE

Buenos Aires Area—Sante Uberto Barbieri.
Argentina, Bolivia, Patagonia Provisional, Uruguay.
Pacific Area—Pedro Zottele.
Chile, Costa Rica Provisional, Panama Provisional, Peru Provisional.
Retired—Enrique C. Balloch, Juan E. Gattinoni.
Deceased—Roberto Elphick, May 6, 1961; Julio M. Sabanes, Aug. 29, 1963; Bowman Foster Stockwell, June 5, 1961.

NORTHERN EUROPE CENTRAL CONFERENCE

Northern Europe Area—Odd Hagen.
Baltic-Slavic Provisional, Denmark, Finland Provisional, Finland-Swedish Provisional, Norway, Sweden.
Deceased—Theodor Arvidson, March 12, 1964.

PAKISTAN PROVISIONAL CENTRAL CONFERENCE

West Pakistan Area—Clement D. Rockey, on annual appointment by the Council of Bishops.
Indus River, Karachi Provisional.

PHILIPPINES CENTRAL CONFERENCE

Baguio Area—José L. Valencia.
Mindanao, Northern Philippines, Northwest Philippines.
Manila Area—D. D. Alejandro.
Middle Philippines, Philippines.

SOUTHEASTERN ASIA CENTRAL CONFERENCE

Singapore Area—Hobart B. Amstutz.
Burma, Iban Provisional, Malaya, Malaysia Chinese, Sarawak, Sumatra.

SOUTHERN ASIA CENTRAL CONFERENCE

Bombay Area—Mangal Singh.
Bombay, Gujarat.
Delhi Area—Shot K. Mondol.
Agra, Delhi, Moradabad, North India.
Hyderabad Area—John A. Subhan.
Hyderabad, Madhya Pradesh, South India.
Lucknow Area—Gabriel Sundaram.
Bengal, Lucknow.
Retired—J. Waskom Pickett, Clement D. Rockey.

WORK NOT INCLUDED IN CENTRAL CONFERENCES

Hong Kong Area—Administered for one year each by Fred Pierce Corson, Richard C. Raines, W. Angie Smith, on appointment by the Council of Bishops.
Hong Kong Provisional, Taiwan Provisional.
Monrovia Area—Prince A. Taylor, Jr.
Liberia.

OFFICERS AND COMMITTEES OF THE 1964 GENERAL CONFERENCE

PRESIDING BISHOPS

(In order of their presiding)

Paul N. Garber
Ralph E. Dodge
Lloyd C. Wicke
Fred Pierce Corson
Donald H. Tippett
William C. Martin
F. Gerald Ensley
Glenn R. Phillips
John Wesley Lord
Paul E. Martin
Newell S. Booth
Nolan B. Harmon
W. Angie Smith
Walter C. Gum
Edgar A. Love
James K. Mathews
Hazen G. Werner
Sante Uberto Barbieri
Edwin R. Garrison
Prince A. Taylor, Jr.
Richard C. Raines
W. Vernon Middleton
Gerald Kennedy
Roy H. Short

SECRETARIAL STAFF

Italics denote ministers

Secretary

Leon T. Moore (Philadelphia—NE)

Assistant Secretary

J. Wesley Hole (Southern California-Arizona—W)

Calendar Secretary

Charles D. White (Western North Carolina—SE)

Committee and Printed Reports Secretary

Walter R. Hazzard (Delaware—C)

Cross Reference Secretary
Thurman L. Dodson (Washington—C)
Document Secretary
Richard E. Carlyon (Nebraska—NC)
Journal Secretary
W. Carleton Wilson (North Carolina—SE)
Memorials Secretary
Newell P. Knudson (California-Nevada—W)
Non-Concurrent Secretary
W. T. Handy, Jr. (Louisiana—C)
On the Table Secretary
Ernst P. Scholz (Northeast Germany—OS)
Orders of the Day Secretary
Robert H. Courtney (North-East Ohio—NC)
Organization of Committees Secretary
Dwight S. Large (Michigan—NC)
Reference Secretary
Hobart Hildyard (Kansas—SC)
Roll Call Secretaries
Marvin L. Boyd (Northwest Texas—SC)
Calvin M. Pinkard (North Alabama—SE)
Telegrams and Greetings Secretary
Kenneth P. Rutter (Western Pennsylvania—NE)
Assistants
Mrs. Harold A. Hardy (Maine—NE)
Mrs. Leon T. Moore (Philadelphia—NE)
Pages for Secretarial Staff
Philip C. Herr II (Philadelphia—NE)
L. T. Wilson (North Carolina—SE)

BALLOTS AND TELLERS

TELLERS—GROUP A

Chairman: *Calvin M. Pinkard* (North Alabama—SE)

Section A

Regular—*Chairman—Allen M. Mayes* (Texas)
1- 2 *Allen H. Randolph* (Tennessee)
3- 4 *Leon W. Bouton* (Wyoming)
5- 6 Cartelyou C. Hall (Southwest)
7- 8 Mrs. John A. Owens (South Iowa)
9-10 J. C. Hawkins (Missouri East)
11-12 Wilmer F. Davis (Peninsula)
13 Ray W. Goens (Texas)

Reserve—*Chairman*—Thomas W. Cole (Texas)
1- 2 *Madella D. Robert* (Hyderabad)
3- 4 G. Wesley Lewis (Wyoming)
5- 6 *Paul M. Hann* (South Iowa)
7- 8 *Wesley H. Hager* (Missouri East)
9-10 *Wm. F. Dunkle, Jr.* (Peninsula)
11-12-13 *Wayne H. McCleskey* (Texas)

Section B

Regular—*Chairman—Walter L. Scranton* (New York)
1- 2 *Major J. Jones* (East Tennessee)
3- 4 *Harvey H. Potthoff* (Rocky Mt.)
5- 6 *Earl G. Hunt* (Holston)
7- 8 *Francis T. Cunningham* (So. Car.)
9-10 *Robert G. Tuttle* (Western N. C.)
11-12 *Roy Nichols* (California-Nevada)
13-14 *Offie L. Hathaway* (North Carolina)

Reserve—*Chairman*—Richard N. Lander (New York)
1- 2 *Poul B. Poulsen* (Denmark)
3- 4 R. S. Downges (Rocky Mountain)
5- 6 L. T. Prigmore, Jr. (Holston)
7- 8 Marion L. Mobley (South Carolina)
9-10 Edwin L. Jones, Sr. (Western North Carolina)
11-12 Frank Webber (California-Nevada)
13-14 J. Nelson Gibson, Jr. (North Carolina)

Section C

Regular—*Chairman—Henry C. Whyman* (New York East)
1- 2 *Maurice E. Descamps* (Belgium)
3- 4 Mason N. Hartman (New England)
5- 6 John D. Wolf (Northwest Indiana)
7- 8 Ronald Quellhorst (Kansas)
9-10 *Ted Richardson* (Southwest Texas)
11-12 Paul B. Momberg (Ohio)
13-14 *Lacy H. Burns* (West Virginia)

Reserve—*Chairman*—Louis C. Hansen (New York East)
1- 2 Kim-Hao Yap (Malaya)
3- 4 Wilbur C. Ziegler (New England)
5- 6 Mrs. C. J. Rogers (N. W. Indiana)
7- 8 *Albert F. Bramble* (Kansas)
9-10 James M. Walker (Southwest Texas)
11-12 *Raoul C. Calkins* (Ohio)
13-14 Wm. A. Byers, Jr. (West Virginia)

Section D

Regular—*Chairman—Dennis Fletcher* (Delaware)
1- 2 *H. Ellis Finger, Jr.* (N. Mississippi)
3- 4 Harold M. Karls (Detroit)
5- 6 *John B. Jones* (Baltimore)
7- 8 Robert P. Cook (Central Kansas)
9-10 *Finis A. Crutchfield* (Oklahoma)
11-12 Samuel Tsopotsa (Rhodesia)
13-14 *Harvey H. Sander* (So. Dakota)

Reserve—*Chairman*—James C. Hardcastle (Delaware)
1- 2 Roy Black (North Mississippi)
3- 4 *Orville H. McKay* (Detroit)
5- 6 W. Carroll Beatty (Baltimore)
7- 8 *George W. Richards* (Central Kansas)
9-10 Wm. C. Doenges (Oklahoma)
11-12 Josiah Chidzikwe (Rhodesia)
13-14 Ervin R. Ortman (South Dakota)

Section E

Regular—*Chairman—Franklin A. Flatt* (Memphis)
1- 2 *Stafford S. Robinson* (Florida)
3- 4 *Eric A. Mitchell* (Bombay)

5- 6 *Sisa M. Sagar* (Agra)
7- 8 Wilbert K. Flaming (Nebraska)
9-10 *John A. Bayliss* (N. Arkansas)
11-12 Joe T. Salem (N. W. Texas)
13-14 *Wm. W. Bennett* (Central Illinois)

Reserve—*Chairman*—Red H. Bond (Memphis)
1- 2 Charlemagne P. Payne (Mississippi)
3- 4 Tomas De los Santos (Mindanao)
5- 6 Joel Bulaya (Southern Congo)
7- 8 *Alva H. Clark* (Nebraska)
9-10 E. Clay Bumpers (North Arkansas)
11-12 *Marvin P. Boyd* (N. W. Texas)
13-14 Richard H. Ganty (Central Illinois)

Section F

Regular—*Chairman—John H. Graham* (Upper Mississippi)
1- 2 *James V. Claypool* (New England Southern)
3- 4 *Leander A. Brown* (North Carolina)
5- 6 Curt Amark (Sweden)
7- 8 *George A. Foster* (Florida)
9-10 L. S. Moore (Alabama-West Florida)
11-12-13 *Fidel P. Galang* (Middle Philippines)

Reserve—*Chairman—Isaac P. Presley* (Upper Mississippi)
1- 2 Mrs. F. Movis Cochran (New Eng. Southern)
3- 4 Ram Datt Joshi (North India)
5- 6 *Arne G. Widegard* (Sweden)
7- 8 R. Howard Berg (Florida)
9-10 *Edward L. Hardin* (Alabama-West Florida)
11-12-13 *Adolfo C. Angles* (Bolivia)

TELLERS—GROUP B

Chairman: *Marvin L. Boyd* (Northwest Texas—SC)

Section A

Regular—*Chairman*—Mrs. Robert Davis (Southern Illinois)
1- 2 *Luther B. Felder* (Texas)
3- 4 *Hubert G. Hurley* (Southern Illinois)
5- 6 *Wm. F. B. Rodda* (Newark)
7- 8 Sam A. Munson (Montana)
9-10 *Daniel B. Massey* (Moradabad)
11-12 Erwin H. Schwiebert (Idaho)
13 Claude Edge (Texas)

Reserve—*Chairman—Joseph C. Evers* (Southern Illinois)
1- 2 Mrs. H. L. Wooten (Texas)
3- 4 Russell Rigden (Southern Illinois)
5- 6 Robert W. Carson (Newark)
7- 8 Ernest Ryser (Switzerland)
9-10 Daniel D. Lura Villanueva (Argentina)
11-12-13 *Durwood Fleming* (Texas)

Section B

Regular—*Chairman*—George M. Northrop (New York)
1- 2 *Wm. M. James* (New York)
3- 4 *A. James Armstrong* (Indiana)
5- 6 *Chas. W. Richards* (Little Rock)
7- 8 *Robert N. Arbaugh* (Missouri West)
9-10 *Lewis H. Moulton* (New Hampshire)
11-12 *Wallace F. Stettler* (Philadelphia)
13-14 *George A. Butters* (Minnesota)

Reserve—*Chairman—Harold A. Bosley* (New York)
1- 2 Chester A. Smith (New York)
3- 4 Glenn Dougherty (Indiana)
5- 6 Bento Naves (Southwest Africa)
7- 8 Mrs. W. L. Perryman (Missouri West)
9-10 James P. Lytle (New Hampshire)
11-12 J. Lee Bausher (Philadelphia)
13-14 Mrs. Clarence E. Richardson (Minnesota)

Section C

Regular—*Chairman—Wm. H. Alderson* (New York East)
1- 2 Wm. W. Reid (New York East)
3- 4 Johann M. Bruns (N.W. Germany)
5- 6 Mrs. Kenneth E. Lundy (Central N.Y.)
7- 8 *Ted Hightower* (Louisville)
9-10 Joseph T. Johnson (Lexington)
11-12 *John W. Curry* (South Carolina)
13-14 Mrs. Clarence W. Tompkins (North Iowa)

Reserve—*Chairman—Robt. W. Preusch* (New York East)
1- 2 *Richard A. Thornburg* (New York East)
3- 4 Rudolf Schiele (S.W. Germany)
5- 6 Henry G. Budd (Central New York)
7- 8 Thomas McQuary (Louisville)
9-10 *Sumpter M. Riley, Jr.* (Lexington)
11-12 Mrs. Beulah L. Baxley (So. Carolina)
13-14 J. Richard Palmer (North Iowa)

Section D

Regular—*Chairman—Robert D. Jones* (No. New York)
1- 2 Willard A. Zellmer (Pacific Northwest)
3- 4 *H. Brown Loyd* (Central Texas)
5- 6 Norman E. Amtower (Oregon)
7- 8 Edsel A. Ammons (Rock River)
9-10 Paul B. Daugherty (North Indiana)
11-12 *Harry W. Backhus III* (Virginia)
13-14 Melvin Gammage (So. Calif.-Arizona)

Reserve—*Chairman*—Vernon L. Lee (Northern New York)
1- 2 *Wm. H. Ritchey* (Pacific Northwest)
3- 4 Jarrard Secrest (Central Texas)
5- 6 *Raymond E. Balcomb* (Oregon)
7- 8 Samuel W. Witwer (Rock River)
9-10 *O. Wayne Paulen* (North Indiana)
11-12 John H. Rixse (Virginia)
13-14 *Stanley S. McKee* (So. Calif.-Arizona)

Section E

Regular—*Chairman—Joseph E. Lowery* (Central Alabama)
1- 2 Mrs. R. S. Hammer (Memphis)
3- 4 *Carl C. Bracy* (Northwest Ohio)
5- 6 Robert P. Lay (Louisiana)
7- 8 *T. Cecil Myers* (North Georgia)
9-10 Joseph H. Owens (North Alabama)
11-12 *Warren A. Bugbee* (Western Penn.)
13-14 Albert S. Milikies (North Texas)

Reserve—*Chairman*—Quentin D. Adams (Central Alabama)
1- 2 *Paul T. Lyles* (Memphis)
3- 4 Robert L. Moulton (North East Ohio)
5- 6 *Daniel W. Poole* (Louisiana)

7- 8 Warren Haisten (North Georgia)
9-10 *W. Nelson Guthrie, Sr.* (North Alabama)
11-12 Fred E. Murdock (Western Penn.)
13-14 *J. Daniel Barron* (North Texas)

Section F

Regular—*Chairman—Lawrence F. Almond* (New England Southern)
1- 2 Ezekias G. Gacutan (N. W. Philippines)
3- 4 Matthew R. Davidson (Lucknow)
5- 6 Clifford G. Lau (West Wisconsin)
7- 8 *Henry G. Blackburn* (Florida)
9-10 Mrs. E. Boettcher (East Wisconsin)
11-12-13 *Hobart F. Goewey* (Troy)

Reserve—*Chairman*—Thomas Bell (New England Southern)
1- 2 Ralph F. Gunkleman (North Dakota)
3- 4 Mrs. May Alvirez (Rio Grande)
5- 6 *Gomer W. Finch* (West Wisconsin)
7- 8 Fred B. Noble (Florida)
9-10 *Marvin A. Schilling* (East Wisconsin)
11-12-13 Don S. Robb (Troy)

ORGANIZATION OF COMMITTEES

Administrative Committees

COMMITTEE	BISHOP	ASSISTANT SECRETARY
Presiding Officers	Bishop T. Otto Nall	*Dwight S. Large* (Michigan—NC)
Entertainment and Program	Bishop Paul Hardin, Jr.	*Dwight S. Large* (Michigan—NC)
Courtesies and Privileges	Bishop James W. Henley	*Walter R. Hazzard* (Delaware—C)
Credentials	Bishop Marquis L. Harris	*Robert H. Courtney* (North-East Ohio—NC)
Fraternal Delegates	Bishop Aubrey G. Walton	Already organized
Journal	No Record	No Record

Legislative Committees

Christian Social Concerns	Bishop Fred G. Holloway	*Charles D. White* (Western North Carolina—SE)
Conferences	Bishop Oliver E. Slater	J. Wesley Hole (Southern California-Arizona—W)
Education	Bishop Ralph T. Alton	*Kenneth P. Rutter* (Western Pennsylvania—NE)
Lay Activities and Temporal Economy	Bishop Charles F. Golden	*Marvin L. Boyd* (Northwest Texas—SC)
Membership and Evangelism	Bishop W. Kenneth Pope	*Calvin M. Pinkard* (North Alabama—SE)
Ministry	Bishop Walter C. Gum	*Robert H. Courtney* (North-East Ohio—NC)
Missions	Bishop J. Owen Smith	*Richard E. Carlyon* (Nebraska—NC)
Pensions	Bishop Edwin R. Garrison	Thurman L. Dodson (Washington—C)
Publishing Interests	Bishop Kenneth W. Copeland	*Hobart Hildyard* (Kansas—SC)
Hospitals and Homes	Bishop Paul V. Galloway	*Walter R. Hazzard* (Delaware—C)

Interdenominational Relations and Activities—
Bishop James K. Mathews . . *William T. Handy, Jr.* (Louisiana—C)
Judicial Administration—Bishop Dionisio D. Alejandro
Dwight S. Large (Michigan—NC)
Local Church—Bishop W. Ralph Ward
Dwight S. Large (Michigan—NC)
Rituals and Orders of Worship—Bishop Everett W. Palmer
Ernst P. Scholz (Northeast Germany—OS)

DAILY CHRISTIAN ADVOCATE

Ewing T. Wayland ..... Editor
James M. Wall ..... Managing Editor
Grant J. Verhulst ..... Assistant Editor
Charles E. Munson ..... Assistant Editor
Ira M. Mohler ..... Assistant Editor
James A. Miner ..... Assistant Editor
Lovick Pierce ..... Publisher
Warren P. Clark ..... Circulation Manager
Hammock and Pace ..... Official Reporters

Published daily, except Sunday, during the 1964 session of the General Conference of The Methodist Church at Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, by The Methodist Publishing House.

Christian Advocate, an official organ of The Methodist Church, is issued every other Thursday by The Methodist Publishing House, P. O. Box 423, Park Ridge, Illinois 60068.

Subscription prices: Picked up daily at counter, $2.00; by airmail, $5.00. Sets mailed after session: Unbound, $2.00; bound volume, $3.00. Single copy 25 cents.

GENERAL CONFERENCE MUSICAL DIRECTORS

General Conference Director of Music—Austin C. Lovelace

General Conference Organist—Dr. V. Earle Copes

STANDING ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEES

(*Italics* indicate minister)

(See (2) page 1004)

CHAIRMEN

Chairman, Committee of Chairmen—Willis M. Tate (North Texas—SC)
Christian Social Concerns—*Harold A. Bosley* (New York—NE)
Conferences—*D. Trigg James, Sr.* (Holston—SE)
Education—Willis M. Tate (North Texas—SC)
Lay Activities and Temporal Economy—R. Howard Berg (Florida—SE)
Membership and Evangelism—*Truman W. Potter* (West Virginia—NE)
Ministry—*William R. Cannon* (North Georgia—SE)
Missions—*Jack S. Wilkes* (Oklahoma—SC)
Pensions—*Roland P. Riddick* (Virginia—SE)
Publishing Interests—*Carl J. Sanders* (Virginia—SE)
Hospitals and Homes—*Harvey H. Potthoff* (Rocky Mountain—W)
Interdenominational Relations and Activities—*Wilson O. Weldon* (Western North Carolina—SE)
Judicial Administration, Enabling Acts and Legal Forms—Lyle H. Truax (Pacific Northwest—W)
Local Church—*Merlyn Northfelt* (Rock River—NC)
Ritual and Orders of Worship—*Amos A. Thornburg* (Rock River—NC)

AGENDA

(See (1) page 1002)

Chairman—J. Otis Young (Ohio—NC), Chairman, Program Committee, Commission on Entertainment and Program
Vice-Chairman—Walter L. Hunt (Wyoming—NE)
Secretary—Mrs. Louis H. Fields (Lexington—C)

Members—*Hubert G. Hurley* (Southern Illinois—NC)
Nat G. Long (North Georgia—SE)
Mrs. Charles W. Mead (Nebraska—SC)
Meher Singh (Agra—OS)
Frank S. Williams (Southern Arizona-Arizona—W)
Willis M. Tate (North Texas—SC), Chairman of the Committee of Chairmen.

CORRELATION AND EDITORIAL REVISION

(See (3) page 1006)

Chairman—Murray H. Leiffer (Southern California-Arizona—W)
Secretary—Alexander K. Smith (Philadelphia—NE)

Members—*Walter I. Munday* (Louisville—SE)
Emory S. Bucke (New England—NE)
Leon T. Moore (Philadelphia—NE)

COURTESIES AND PRIVILEGES

(See (4) page 1007)

Chairman—John Bailey Jones (Baltimore—NE)
Vice-Chairman—Leonard H. Cochran (South Georgia—SE)

Secretary—William C. Patten (New Mexico—SC)

Members—*J. Carlton Babbs* (Rocky Mountain—W)
Alsie H. Carleton (North Texas—SC)
Arthur Fleming (Oregon—W)
Harry B. Gibson, Jr. (Lexington—C)
George Ivey (Western North Carolina—SE)
Alvin Lindgren (East Wisconsin—NC)
Richard V. Moore (Florida—C)
H. Paul Shaffer (West Virginia—NE)
Clay Steel (Rock River—NC)
Samuel Tsopotsa (Rhodesia—OS)
Raymond Valenzuela (Chile—OS)

CREDENTIALS

(See (5) page 1009)

Chairman—Paul M. Hann (South Iowa—NC)
Vice-Chairman—Ernest T. Dixon, Jr. (West Texas—C)
Secretary—Margaret Currie (Maine—NE)

Members—*Offie L. Hathaway* (North Carolina—SE)
Lowell D. Jones (Nebraska—NC)
Ram Datt Joshi (North India—OS)
Stanley S. McKee (Southern California-Arizona—W)

ENTERTAINMENT AND PROGRAM 1964 GENERAL CONFERENCE

(See (V) page 997)

Chairman—Frank E. Baker, 924 Philadelphia Nat'l Bank Bldg., Philadelphia, Pa., 19107.
Vice-Chairman—Norman L. Conrad (Auditorium), First Methodist Church, Eugene, Oregon
Vice-Chairman—J. Otis Young (Program), 77 W. Washington St., Chicago, Ill. 60602.
Secretary—J. Wesley Hole, 5250 Santa Monica Blvd., Los Angeles, Calif. 90029.

Members—Central Jurisdiction—
L. Scott Allen (Georgia)
Thurman L. Dodson (Washington)
North Central Jurisdiction—
J. Otis Young (Ohio)
John R. Van Sickle (Rock River)
Northeastern Jurisdiction—
William H. Alderson (New York East)
Frank E. Baker (Philadelphia)
South Central Jurisdiction—
Ira E. Williams (Oklahoma)
Carl C. Hall (Little Rock)
Southeastern Jurisdiction—
Robert C. Holmes (Florida)
A. G. Jefferson (Virginia)
Western Jurisdiction—
Norman L. Conard (Oregon)
J. Wesley Hole (Southern California-Arizona—W)
Ex Officio—Don A. Cooke (Florida—SE), Treasurer General Conference
Leon T. Moore (Philadelphia—NE), Secretary General Conference

FRATERNAL DELEGATES

(See (6) page 1041)

Chairman—H. Hughes Wagner (New England—NE)
Secretary—Lawrence Whiteley (Minnesota—NC)

Members—Roy Black (North Mississippi—SE)
Alsie H. Carleton (North Texas—SC)
John W. Curry (South Carolina—C)
Arthur Fleming (Oregon—W)
Pierre Shaumba (Central Congo—OS)

INTERIM

(See (7) page 1042)

Chairman—Harold S. Swales (Central New York—NE)
Secretary—John R. Wilkins (California-Nevada—W)

Members—*George W. Carter, Jr.* (Louisiana—C)
John A. Dixon, Jr. (Louisiana—SC)
A. R. Samuel (Indus River—OS)
Francis V. Tannehill (North Dakota—NC)
L. Stacy Weaver (North Carolina—SE)
Secretary of the General Conference—*Leon T. Moore* (Philadelphia—NE)

JOURNAL

(See (8) page 1051)

Chairman—William M. James (New York—NE)
Secretary—F. Clyde Watkins (Holston—SE)

Members—*Robert W. Burtner* (Oregon—W)
Mrs. William Cansfield (Detroit—NC)
Mrs. H. L. Georg (Central Kansas—SC)
Kelly L. Jackson (Washington—C)
Jozef Szczepkowski (Poland—OS)

PLAN OF ORGANIZATION AND RULES OF ORDER

(See (9) page 1052)

Chairman—John D. Herr (Philadelphia—NE)
Secretary—Robert Fletcher (Southern California-Arizona—W)

Members—D. W. Brooks (North Georgia—SE)
Woodie D. Lester (Central West—C)
Dale E. Pitcher (Central Illinois—NC)
George W. Richards (Central Kansas—SC)
Ernst Scholz (Northeast Germany—OS)

PRESIDING OFFICERS

(See (10) page 1082)

Chairman—R. Marvin Stuart (California-Nevada—W)
Vice-Chairman—Dennis R. Fletcher (Delaware—C)
Secretary—Thurman B. Morris (North Indiana—NC)

Members—R. H. Berg (Florida—SE)
Harold C. Buckingham (Wyoming—NE)
Ernest C. Colwell (Southern California—Arizona—W)
Francis H. Faber (Minnesota—NC)
Leon E. Hickman (Western Pennsylvania—NE)

John T. King (West Texas—C)
Sverre Knudsen (Norway—OS)
Wayne McCleskey (Texas—SC)
Mrs. W. L. Perryman (Missouri—SC)
E. Wade Weldon (Louisville—SE)
Kim-Hao Yap (Malaya—OS)

REFERENCE

(See (11) page 1083)

Chairman—W. Carroll Beatty (Baltimore—NE)
Secretary—Paul V. Shearer (South Iowa—NC)

Members—Curt Amark (Sweden—OS)
Thurman L. Dodson (Washington—C)
H. Thornton Fowler (Tennessee—SE)
John H. Graham (Upper Mississippi—C)
Edgar A. Henry (Central Pennsylvania—NE)
Robert T. Mann (Florida—SE)
Robert J. O'Neal (Louisiana—SC)
Erwin H. Schwiebert (Idaho—W)
F. O. Stockwell (Malaysia Chinese—OS)
L. L. White (Southern California-Arizona—W)
Austin R. Whitmore (Ohio—NC)
Ira E. Williams (Oklahoma—SC)

STANDING LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEES

(Members of the following five Standing Legislative Committees: Hospitals and Homes, Interdenominational Relations and Activities, Judicial Administration, Enabling Acts and Legal Forms, Local Church, and Ritual and Orders of Worship are nominated by the Council of Bishops and elected by the General Conference. See VI-C Membership of Committees, (2) page 175, Editor.)

HOSPITALS AND HOMES

(See (10) page 1660)

Chairman—Harvey H. Potthoff (Rocky Mountain—W)
Vice-Chairman—R. Jervis Cooke (Peninsula—NE)
Secretary—Mrs. Russell O. Watson (Oregon—W)

Members—*Kenneth W. Adams* (California-Nevada—W)
Mrs. Beulah L. Baxley (South Carolina—C)
Derwood L. Blackwell (Texas—SC)
Leon W. Bouton (Wyoming—NE)
Luis Bucafusco (Argentina—OS)
R. S. Doenges (Rock River—NC)
John B. A. Dyson (Washington—C)
Luther B. Felder (Texas—C)
Gomer W. Finch (West Wisconsin—NC)
James C. Hardcastle (Delaware—C)
Mason N. Hartman (New England—NE)
Hermann Jeuther (South Germany—OS)
Frede Johansen (Denmark—OS)
G. Eliot Jones (Mississippi—SE)
Wade H. Littrell (Missouri East—SC)
E. D. McDonald (Southwest Texas—SC)
Arthur Milne (Ohio—NC)
Ervin Ortman (South Dakota—NC)
W. Judson Ready (South Carolina—SE)
Richard Roberts (West Virginia—NE)
Mrs. Clarence Tompkins (North Iowa—NC)
L. Stanley Williams (Central Texas—SC)
Kim-Hao Yap (Malaya—OS)

INTERDENOMINATIONAL RELATIONS AND ACTIVITIES

(See (11) page 1674)

Chairman—Wilson O. Weldon (Western North Carolina—SE)
Vice-Chairman—Major J. Jones (East Tennessee—C)
Secretary—Richard W. Cain (Southern California-Arizona—W)

Members—*P. C. B. Balaram* (Delhi—OS)
Mrs. Ted F. Baun (California-Nevada—W)
William N. Burton (Indiana—NC)
Tom W. Cole (Texas—C)
Claude Collins (West Virginia—NE)
Lloyd M. Conyers (North Arkansas—SC)
Mrs. John Eby (Pacific Northwest—W)
Clyde R. Funkhauser (Southern Illinois—NC)
David Gallardo (Chile—OS)
Roy C. Goens (Texas—SC)
Benjamin I. Guansing (Philippines—OS)
Ralph L. Gunkleman (North Dakota—NC)

Horace B. Hauk (Holston—SE)
Hugh Herbert (Montana—W)
Eugene F. Jud (Central Texas—SC)
Mrs. John E. Kelly (Genesee—NE)
Frederick Kirchner (Troy—NE)
Robert L. Moulton (North-East Ohio—NC)
S. M. Riley, Jr. (Lexington—C)
Clement Soans (Bombay—OS)
Wallace F. Stettler (Philadelphia—NE)
William E. Trice (Louisiana—SC)
C. M. Winchester (North Carolina—C)

JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATION, ENABLING ACTS AND LEGAL FORMS

(See (12) page 1689)

Chairman—Lyle H. Truax (Pacific Northwest—W)
Vice-Chairman—Clare N. Pettit (California-Nevada—W)
Secretary—Mrs. Beryl Williams (Washington—C)

Members—*K. Ragnar Alm* (Sumatra—OS)
W. Carroll Beatty (Baltimore—NE)
V. Leon Bolen (Idaho—W)
Prentiss Brown (Detroit—NC)
George A. Butters (Minnesota—NC)
Floyd Coffman (Kansas—SC)
Arthur B. R. Colley (Western Pennsylvania—NE)
George W. Cooke (Genesee—NE)
W. Davis Cotton (Louisiana—SC)
J. Howard Crawford (Northwest Texas—SC)
Frank Dominick (North Alabama—SE)
Robert B. Goodwin (Newark—NE)
Joseph T. Johnson (Lexington—C)
Arthur V. Long (South Iowa—NC)
Joseph E. Lowery (Central Alabama—C)
Lurá Villanuena, Daniel D. (Argentina—OS)
Eric A. Mitchell (Bombay—OS)
Earl M. Nowlin (New Mexico—SC)
Clare Pettit (California-Nevada—W)
A. Rudolph Daniels (Hyderabad—OS)
James S. Thomas (South Carolina—C)
John Thomas (Northwest Indiana—NC)
Jack Tuell (Pacific Northwest—W)

LOCAL CHURCH

(See (13) page 1696)

Chairman—Merlyn W. Northfelt (Rock River—NC)
Vice-Chairman—Taylor McConnell (Rocky Mountain—W)
Secretary—Mrs. Ethan Taylor (North Georgia—SE)

Members—Norman Amtower (Oregon—W)
Adolfo C. Angles (Bolivia—OS)
Albert F. Bramble (Kansas—SC)
Leander A. Brown (North Carolina—C)
William A. Byus (West Virginia—NE)
Russell E. Clay (Southern California-Arizona—W)
N. Wayne Cox (North-East Ohio—NC)
Kenneth Dryden (Nebraska—NC)
Mrs. John Gridley (Minnesota—NC)
Elmer N. Haley (Troy—NE)
Karl Hutapea (Sumatra—OS)

Francis E. Kearns (East Wisconsin—NC)
Robert E. Knupp (Central Pennsylvania—NE)
Ernest W. Lee (New Jersey—NE)
Paul T. Lyles (Memphis—SE)
Luther L. Miller (Little Rock—SC)
P. K. Mullick (Bengal—OS)
Simon A. Nieto (Rio Grande—SC)
Isaac P. Presley (Upper Mississippi—C)
Stafford S. Robinson (Florida—C)
S. M. Sagar (Agra—OS)
Mrs. John Paul Stone (Southern California-Arizona—W)
Mrs. H. L. Wooten (Texas—C)

RITUALS AND ORDERS OF WORSHIP

(See (14) page 1716)

Chairman—Amos A. Thornburg (Rock River—NC)
Vice-Chairman—William F. Dunkle, Jr. (Peninsula—NE)
Secretary—Mrs. J. L. MacAdam (Central Illinois—NC)

Members—Mrs. B. V. Allen (North Indiana—NC)
Mrs. May Alvirez (Rio Grande—SC)
James W. Averitt (Louisville—SC)
Raymond E. Balcomb (Oregon—W)
Warren A. Bugbee (Western Pennsylvania—NE)
Richard E. Carlyon (Nebraska—SC)
Mrs. F. Morris Cochran (New England Southern—NE)
Jim A. Egan (Oklahoma—SC)
Allnutt T. Franklin (Lucknow—OS)
Melvin Gammage (Southern California-Arizona—W)
William T. Handy, Jr. (Louisiana—C)
C. Douglas Hayward (California-Nevada—W)
Carl-Axel Holm (Sweden—OS)
William C. Jason, Jr. (Delaware—C)
Vernon L. Lee (Northern New York—NE)
Frank E. Manton (Burma—OS)
Robert J. Palmer (South Carolina—C)
Charlemagne P. Payne, Sr. (Mississippi—C)
Hoover Rupert (Detroit—NC)
Hermann Schaad (Switzerland—OS)
Lycurgus M. Starkey, Jr. (Missouri West—SC)
Robert M. Thorpe (Pacific Northwest—W)
Mrs. David R. Thurman (Florida—SE)

(Membership on the following Standing Legislative Committees is determined by the Annual Conference Delegations themselves—Christian Social Concerns, Conferences. Education, Lay Activities and Temporal Economy, Membership and Evangelism, Ministry, Missions, Pensions, and Publishing Interests. See Membership of Committees, page 175.)

NO. 1. CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

To this committee shall be referred all memorials, petitions, resolutions, etc., relating to social service, labor relations, Sabbath observance, divorce, amusements, world peace, temperance, prohibition of the liquor traffic, all matters relating to the Board of Christian Social Concerns, and aspects of the social and spiritual welfare of the church not specifically referred by the General Conference to another committee.

Chairman—Harold A. Bosley (New York—NE)
Vice-Chairman—Ted Hightower (Louisville—SE)

Secretary—Lycurgus M. Starkey, Jr. (Missouri West—SC)

Place of meeting: Penn-Sheraton Hotel, Mellon Square (PS on map, page 8), Rooms 470-471.

Time of meeting: 2:30 P.M.

(*Italics* denote ministerial delegates)

Ackman, Frederick J. ..... North Iowa (NC)
Albrecht, Joseph H. ..... Central Illinois (NC)
Alderson, William H. ..... New York East (NE)
Aldrich, Charles S. ..... Genesee (NE)

Bailen, Gregorio R. ..... Northwest Philippines (OS)
Bailey, Joe N., Jr. ..... North Mississippi (SE)
Baun, Mrs. Ted F. ..... California-Nevada (W)
Bausher, J. Lee ..... Philadelphia (NE)
Bosley, Harold A. ..... New York (NE)
Bostwick, W. E. ..... South Georgia (SE)
Bramble, Albert F. ..... Kansas (SC)
Bryan, Monk ..... Missouri East (SC)
Burtner, Robert W. ..... Oregon (W)

Calkins, Raoul C. ..... Ohio (NC)
Campbell, R. C. ..... Holston (SE)
Clendenin, Stewart ..... Texas (SC)
Cochran, Mrs. F. Morris ..... New England Southern (NE)
Collins, Claude R. ..... West Virginia (NE)
Cook, Robert P. ..... Central Kansas (SC)
Copeland, K. B. ..... North Texas (SC)
Craven, J. Braxton ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Cushman, Robert E. ..... North Carolina (SE)

Davis, Wilmer F. ..... Peninsula (NE)
Dix, Mrs. W. Gordon ..... Michigan (NC)
Doenges, William C. ..... Oklahoma (SC)
Dominick, Frank M. ..... North Alabama (SE)

Edgar, Thomas A. ..... North Alabama (SE)

Fields, Mrs. Louis H. ..... Lexington (C)
Fischer, Heinz P. ..... South Germany (OS)
Flatt, Franklin Alton ..... Memphis (SE)
Forbes, James K. ..... Indiana (NC)
Foster, George A. ..... Florida (SE)

Georg, Mrs. H. L. ..... Central Kansas (SC)
Granberry, Seth W. ..... Mississippi (SE)
Gunkelman, Ralph F. ..... North Dakota (NC)

Hamilton, Charles W. ..... North-East Ohio (NC)
Hazzard, Walter R., Sr. ..... Delaware (C)
Herbert, Chesley C., Jr. ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Hightower, Ted ..... Louisville (SE)
Hunaty, Vaclav ..... Czechoslovakia (OS)
Hurley, Hubert G. ..... Southern Illinois (NC)

Johnston, Mrs. E. K. ..... West Virginia (NE)
Jolly, Elmer ..... Central Illinois (NC)
Jones, Everett ..... Baltimore (NE)
Jones, Gerald H. ..... North Indiana (NC)
Jones, John B. ..... Baltimore (NE)

Jones, Major J. ..... East Tennessee (C)
Jones, Robert D. ..... Northern New York (NE)

Kahl, Norman F. ..... West Wisconsin (NC)
Karls, Harold M. ..... Detroit (NC)

Lambeth, M. Thomas ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Landis, Theodore E. ..... Virginia (SE)
Layton, Charles R. ..... North-East Ohio (NC)
Lovern, J. Chess ..... Northwest Texas (SC)
Lundy, Mrs. Kenneth E. ..... Central New York (NE)
Lytle, James R. ..... New Hampshire (NE)

Mann, Robert T. ..... Florida (SE)
Martin, Mrs. W. D. ..... North Iowa (NC)
Marvin, John E. ..... Detroit (NC)
McConnell, Taylor ..... Rocky Mountain (W)
McFall, Carl ..... Oklahoma (SC)
McKee, Stanley S. ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Meredith, Victor K., Sr. ..... Central Pennsylvania (NE)
Moon, Robert W. ..... California-Nevada (W)
Moore, Lester L. ..... South Iowa (NC)
Moore, Mark M. ..... Holston (SE)
Moore, Roy C. ..... South Carolina (SE)
Morgan, James Wm. ..... Southwest Texas (SC)
Morgan, R. Kenneth ..... Tennessee (SE)
Murdock, Fred E. ..... Western Pennsylvania (NE)
Murphy, C. Edwin ..... Nebraska (SC)
Myers, T. Cecil ..... North Georgia (SE)

Nyberg, Dennis F. ..... Minnesota (NC)

Oliphint, Benjamin R. ..... Louisiana (SC)

Palmer, Robert J. ..... South Carolina (C)

Richardson, Ted ..... Southwest Texas (SC)
Robb, Don S. ..... Troy (NE)
Rodda, William F. B. ..... Newark (NE)

Salazar, Noel ..... Bolivia (OS)
Schilling, Marvin A. ..... East Wisconsin (NC)
Schwiebert, Erwin H. ..... Idaho (W)
Scott, Charles S. ..... Central West (C)
Self, David ..... Alabama-West Florida (SE)
Shipps, Hammel P. ..... New Jersey (NE)
Sone, Law ..... Central Texas (SC)
Spears, R. W. ..... South Carolina (SE)
Starkey, Lycurgus M., Jr. ..... Missouri West (SC)
Styron, Mrs. Arthur ..... North Georgia (SE)

Terasawa, Tosh ..... Pacific Japanese Provisional (W)
Thomas, John ..... Northwest Indiana (NC)
Thompson, Pat ..... Texas (SC)
Truax, Lyle H. ..... Pacific Northwest (W)

Van Sickle, John R. ..... Rock River (NC)

Ware, Mrs. Carl E. ..... Ohio (NC)
Warman, John B. ..... Western Pennsylvania (NE)
White, Albert L., Jr. ..... Virginia (SE)

White, L. L. ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Williams, Mrs. E. W. ..... Washington (C)
Williams, Frank S. ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)

Ziegler, Wilbur C. ..... New England (NE)

NO. 2. CONFERENCES

To this committee shall be referred all memorials, petitions, resolutions, etc., relating to the General, Jurisdictional, Annual, Provisional Annual, and District Conferences, and to Missions. All memorials, petitions, resolutions, etc., relating to Central Conferences shall be referred to the Commission on the structure of Methodism Overseas.

(See *Discipline*, ¶ 2012.1)

Chairman—D. Trigg James, Sr. (Holston—SE)
Vice-Chairman—Leonard Slutz (Ohio—NC)
Secretary—Floyd H. Coffman (Kansas—SC)

Place of meeting: Penn-Sheraton Hotel, Mellon Square (PS on map, page 8), 17th Floor, Sky Room.

Time of meeting: 2:30 P.M.

(*Italics* denote ministerial delegates)

Allen, Mrs. B. V. ..... North Indiana (NC)
Anderson, Hurst R. ..... Baltimore (NE)
Andrade, Miguel de ..... Angola (OS)
Atkinson, George H. ..... California-Nevada (W)
Atterling, Henry J. ..... Sweden (OS)

Bacon, Mrs. Martha ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Balcomb, Raymond E. ..... Oregon (W)
Beasley, N. C. ..... Tennessee (SE)
Bennett, William W. ..... Central Illinois (NC)
Black, Roy ..... North Mississippi (SE)
Boettcher, Mrs. E. H. ..... East Wisconsin (NC)
Bond, Red H. ..... Memphis (SE)
Brannon, William C. ..... North Alabama (SE)
Brawley, James P. ..... Georgia (C)
Bray, Jerry G. ..... Virginia (SE)
Brun, Miguel A. ..... Uruguay (OS)
Bryant, R. Orman ..... Virginia (SE)
Butler, J. Weldon ..... Northwest Texas (SC)

Chittum, John W. ..... North-East Ohio (NC)
Chubb, James S. ..... Nebraska (SC)
Cintron, Jorge N. ..... Puerto Rico Provisional (NE)
Cochran, Leonard H. ..... South Georgia (SE)
Coffman, Floyd H. ..... Kansas (SC)
Colley, Arthur B. R. ..... Western Pennsylvania (NE)
Collier, Otis L. ..... Northwest Indiana (NC)
Collins, Thomas A. ..... North Carolina (SE)
Cotton, W. Davis ..... Louisiana (SC)
Courtney, Robert H. ..... North-East Ohio (NC)
Cunningham, Francis T. ..... South Carolina (SE)
Currie, Margaret ..... Maine (NE)
Curry, Ed ..... North Texas (SC)

Davis, Mrs. Robert ..... Southern Illinois (NC)

Dennis, James B. ..... Liberia (OS)
DeWitt, Jesse R. ..... Detroit (NC)
Dill, R. Laurence, Jr. ..... North Alabama (SE)
Dodson, Thurman L. ..... Washington (C)

Eady, Virgil Y. C. ..... North Georgia (SE)
Ernst, J. Henry ..... Pacific Northwest (W)

Faber, Francis H. ..... Minnesota (NC)
Faubion, Mrs. E. M. ..... Texas (SC)
Fenn, G. Lemuel ..... New Mexico (SC)
Flood, Harold D. ..... Philadelphia (NE)

Gibson, J. Nelson, Jr. ..... North Carolina (SE)
Gold, Glenn W. ..... Florida (SE)

Hagood, Delma L. ..... North Georgia (SE)
Haley, Elmer N. ..... Troy (NE)
Hartman, Mason N. ..... New England (NE)
Hauk, Horace B. ..... Holston (SE)
Havighurst, Lawrence D. ..... North Iowa (NC)
Henry, Edgar A. ..... Central Pennsylvania (NE)
Hickman, Leon E. ..... Western Pennsylvania (NE)
Holbrook, Donald E. ..... Michigan (NC)
Holler, J. Carlisle ..... South Carolina (SE)

James, D. Trigg, Sr. ..... Holston (SE)
Jason, William C., Jr. ..... Delaware (C)
Johnson, Joseph T. ..... Lexington (C)
Jones, Edwin L., Sr. ..... Western North Carolina (SE)

King, John T. ..... West Texas (C)

Lee, Ernest W. ..... New Jersey (NE)
Lindstrom, David E. ..... Central Illinois (NC)
Little, Thomas M. ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Lowery, Joseph E. ..... Central Alabama (C)
Loyd, H. Brown ..... Central Texas (SC)
Lurá Villanueva, Daniel D. ..... Argentina (OS)

Madison, J. Clay ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
McCoy, Paul E. ..... Peninsula (NE)
Michael, Marion S. ..... Baltimore (NE)
Miller, Luther J. ..... Little Rock (SC)
Moore, L. S. ..... Alabama-West Florida (SE)

Naves, Bento ..... Southeast Africa (OS)
Netterville, George L. ..... Louisiana (C)

Oden, Tal ..... Oklahoma (SC)
Osamba, Albert ..... Central Congo (OS)

Parlin, Charles C. ..... Newark (NE)
Patton, Russell R. ..... Kentucky (SE)
Pendergrass, Edward J. ..... Florida (SE)
Pennington, Chester A. ..... Minnesota (NC)
Persinger, John ..... Rocky Mountain (W)

Reeves, Edwin E. ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Respicio, Alfredo R. ..... Mindanao (OS)
Richards, George W. ..... Central Kansas (SC)

Richardson, Dean E. ..... Genesee (NE)
Roberts, Richard L. ..... West Virginia (NE)

Satterfield, John C., Sr. ..... Mississippi (SE)
Scranton, Walter L. ..... New York (NE)
Seiberling, George ..... Missouri West (SC)
Shamblin, J. Kenneth ..... Texas (SC)
Shearer, Paul V. ..... South Iowa (NC)
Shivley, Charles S. ..... North Arkansas (SC)
Slutz, Leonard ..... Ohio (NC)
Smith, Irving L. ..... Oklahoma (SC)
Sommer, Carl Ernst ..... Southwest Germany (OS)
Swales, Harold S. ..... Central New York (NE)

Thomas, James S. ..... South Carolina (C)
Trice, William E. ..... Louisiana (SC)
Tyler, Charles A. ..... Indiana (NC)

Vaughan, William C. ..... Virginia (SE)

Walker, James M. ..... Southwest Texas (SC)
Webb, Lance ..... Ohio (NC)
Weldon, E. Wade ..... Louisville (SE)
Wilkins, John R. ..... California-Nevada (W)
Wilson, Winslow N. ..... West Wisconsin (NC)
Witwer, Samuel W. ..... Rock River (NC)
Woolsey, Mrs. V. G. ..... Southwest Texas (SC)
Worley, Loyd F. ..... New York East (NE)

NO. 3. EDUCATION

To this committee shall be referred all memorials, petitions, resolutions, etc., relating to the Board of Education and to the interests and activities which by the law of the church are made the concern of his board.

Chairman—Willis M. Tate (North Texas—SC)
Vice-Chairman—Charles T. Thrift, Jr. (Florida-SE)
Secretary—Thomas W. Cole (Texas—C)

Place of meeting: Smithfield Congregational Church, 620 Smithfield St. (SC on map, page 8), Lower Level.

Time of meeting: 2:30 P.M.

(*Italics* denote ministerial delegates)

Acker, T. E. ..... Texas (SC)
Almond, Lawrence F. ..... New England Southern (NE)
Alter, Chester M. ..... Rocky Mountain (W)
Armstrong, A. James ..... Indiana (NC)

Bailey, A. Purnell ..... Virginia (SE)
Bailey, Donald E. ..... North Indiana (NC)
Baxley, Mrs. Beulah L. ..... South Carolina (C)
Bayliss, John A. ..... North Arkansas (SC)
Bell, Raymond M. ..... Western Pennsylvania (NE)
Bennett, Gordon R. ..... Northwest Texas (SC)
Bonds, Alfred B., Jr. ..... North-East Ohio (NC)
Brown, Miss Marion ..... Ohio (NC)
Burns, Robert E. ..... California-Nevada (W)

Calderwood, Robert C. ..... Central Illinois (NC)
Cherry, Clinton M. ..... Philadelphia (NE)
Clay, Russell E. ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Cole, Thomas W. ..... Texas (C)
Colwell, Ernest C. ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Contractor, Joseph M. ..... Gujarat (OS)
Cox, Alva I. ..... North-East Ohio (NC)
Crawford, Arthur M. ..... Western Pennsylvania (NE)

Das, Dalchand ..... Moradabad (OS)

Egan, Jim A. ..... Oklahoma (SC)
Evans, Garrett H. ..... West Virginia (NE)
Evers, Joseph C. ..... Southern Illinois (NC)

Fisher, James A. ..... Memphis (SE)
Fleming, Arthur ..... Oregon (W)
Foote, Gaston ..... Central Texas (SC)
Fossett, Clarence L. ..... Baltimore (NE)
Frisch, Mrs. Violeta M. ..... Uruguay (OS)

Gacutan, Ezekias G. ..... Northwest Philippines (OS)
Goewey, Hobart F. ..... Troy (NE)
Gridley, Mrs. John ..... Minnesota (NC)
Griffin, Frederick ..... Belgium (OS)

Heck, J. Holland ..... Philadelphia (NE)
Henderson, Zack S. ..... South Georgia (SE)
Herbert, R. B. ..... South Carolina (SE)
Holm, Carl-Axel ..... Sweden (OS)
Hunt, Earl G., Jr. ..... Holston (SE)

Jackson, Douglas E. ..... Louisiana (SC)
Jackson, Kelly L. ..... Washington (C)
Johnson, Ethel R. ..... New York East (NE)
Johnston, Joseph S. ..... Virginia (SE)
Jones, A. L. ..... Missouri East (SC)
Jones, G. Eliot ..... Mississippi (SE)
Jones, Lowell D. ..... Nebraska (SC)

Kearns, Francis E. ..... East Wisconsin (NC)
Kimbrough, R. Edwin ..... North Alabama (SE)
King, Mrs. Carl H. ..... Western North Carolina (SE)

LeFevre, DeWitt C. ..... Northern New York (NE)
Lewis, G. Wesley ..... Wyoming (NE)
Loder, Dwight E. ..... Rock River (NC)

Marsh, Charles F. ..... South Carolina (SE)
Matthew, Glenn E. ..... Central Kansas (SC)
McCain, Virgil B. ..... North Alabama (SE)
McDavid, Joel D. ..... Alabama-West Florida (SE)
Meadows, Archie L. ..... North Mississippi (SE)
Mills, Charles B. ..... Ohio (NC)
Moore, Richard V. ..... Florida (C)
Moreland, J. Earl ..... Virginia (SE)
Munson, Sam A. ..... Montana (W)
Mwenda, Gaston ..... Southern Congo (OS)

Nilsen, Einar-Anker ..... Norway (OS)
Noble, Fred B. ..... Florida (SE)

Northfelt, Merlyn W. ..... Rock River (NC)

Outler, Albert C. ..... North Texas (SC)
Oxnam, Robert F. ..... Newark (NE)

Palmer J. Richard ..... North Iowa (NC)
Prasad, Timothius K. ..... Madhya Pradesh (OS)
Propert, George R. ..... New Jersey (NE)
Pryor, Thomas M. ..... Michigan (NC)

Rae, Mrs. Kirk ..... Detroit (NC)
Rising, Lloyd H. ..... Kansas (SC)
Ristow, Cecil F. ..... Pacific Northwest (W)
Robey, William T., Jr. ..... Virginia (SE)

Savage, William E. ..... Kentucky (SE)
Schaff, Lester ..... Central New York (NE)
Schodde, Gerhard A. ..... Northwest Germany (OS)
Schuh, Harry W. ..... Baltimore (NE)
Shaw, Alfred J. ..... Lucknow (OS)
Shore, Phillip L., Jr. ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Singh, Meher ..... Agra (OS)
Smith, Chester A. ..... New York (NE)
Standard, Forrest L. ..... Missouri West (SC)
Steel, Marshall T. ..... Little Rock (SC)
Steele, Sam ..... New Mexico (SC)
Stocking, Carl L. ..... California-Nevada (W)
Stockwell, F. O. ..... Malaysia Chinese (OS)
Stowe, W. McFerrin ..... Oklahoma (SC)
Strother, William Bruce ..... Tennessee (SE)

Tate, Willis M. ..... North Texas (SC)
Thant, Miss Khin Khin ..... Burma (OS)
Thompson, Gordon G., Jr. ..... North Georgia (SE)
Thrift, Charles T., Jr. ..... Florida (SE)
Tower, Joe Z. ..... Texas (SC)
Tsopotsa, Samuel ..... Rhodesia (OS)

Uhlinger, James R. ..... New England (NE)

Vosburg, Frederick C. ..... Detroit (NC)

Weaver, L. Stacy ..... North Carolina (SE)
Wertz, D. Frederick ..... Central Pennsylvania (NE)
Wheatley, Melvin E., Jr. ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Wheeler, Sterling F. ..... Southwest Texas (SC)
Whitmore, Austin R. ..... Ohio (NC)
Wilcox, Wilbur ..... South Iowa (NC)
Willson, James M., Sr. ..... Northwest Texas (SC)

NO. 4. LAY ACTIVITIES AND TEMPORAL ECONOMY

To this committee shall be referred all memorials, petitions, resolutions, etc., relating distinctly to lay activities and the Board of Lay Activities; and relating to trustees, properties, church finance (general and local), and such activities of the church at large in and through its institutions and boards as are not made the specific responsibility of any other committee.

Chairman—R. Howard Berg (Florida—SE)
Vice-Chairman—William C. Patten (New Mexico-SC)
Secretary—Clare N. Pettit (California-Nevada—W)

Place of meeting: Penn-Sheraton Hotel, Mellon Square (PS on map, page 8), 17th Floor, Ft. Duquense Room.

Time of meeting: 2:30 P.M.

(*Italics* denote ministerial delegates)

Adams, Quinton D. ..... Central Alabama (C)
Amark, Curt ..... Sweden (OS)
Amtower, Norman E. ..... Oregon (W)
Angles, Adolfo C. ..... Bolivia (OS)

Bascom, Lester ..... Central New York (NE)
Bautista, Samuel ..... Philippines (OS)
Beatty, W. Carroll ..... Baltimore (NE)
Beatty, William M. ..... Western Pennsylvania (NE)
Bell, Thomas ..... New England Southern (NE)
Berg, R. Howard ..... Florida (SE)
Bertholf, Lloyd M. ..... Central Illinois (NC)
Blackburn, Henry W. ..... Florida (SE)
Broadbent, Smith, Jr. ..... Louisville (SE)
Brown, Prentiss, Jr. ..... Detroit (NC)
Bumpers, E. Clay ..... North Arkansas (SC)
Byus, William A., Jr. ..... West Virginia (NE)

Carson, Robert W. ..... Newark (NE)
Clay, Thomas B. ..... Genesee (NE)
Cox, N. Wayne ..... North-East Ohio (NC)
Cruz Chanin, José ..... Puerto Rico Provisional (NE)
Cullins, John S. ..... Southwest (C)
Cummings, E. Millett ..... Maine (NE)

Daniels, A. Rudolph ..... Hyderabad (OS)
Daughenbaugh, Howard L., Sr. ..... Louisiana (SC)
Davidson, Matthew R. ..... Lucknow (OS)
DeWeese, H. Owen ..... North Indiana (NC)
Dodd, Paul R. ..... Holston (SE)
Doenges, R. S. ..... Rocky Mountain (W)
Don Carlos, Waldo E. ..... South Iowa (NC)
DuBois, Hugh ..... Missouri West (SC)

Flaming, Wilbert K. ..... Nebraska (SC)
Fletcher, Dennis R. ..... Delaware (C)
Folsom, Jack V. ..... North Texas (SC)
Fraggos, James ..... New England (NE)
Fröhlich, Günter ..... Northeast Germany (OS)

Gallardo, David ..... Chile (OS)
Gammage, Melvin ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Garcia, Manuel ..... Cuba (SE)
Goens, Ray W. ..... Texas (SC)
Gordon, Harry M. ..... Wyoming (NE)
Grogan, Roy J. ..... Central Texas (SC)

Harper, John R. ..... Philadelphia (NE)
Hauser, Louis C. ..... New York East (NE)
Hutapea, Karl M. ..... Sumatra (OS)

Isaacs, Jay W. ..... Indus River (OS)

Jayaprabhu, Lingampalli ..... South India (OS)

Jefferson, Allie G. ..... Virginia (SE)
Johansen, Frede ..... Denmark (OS)
Jones, Howard M. ..... Kentucky (SE)
Jordan, Bert C. ..... Mississippi (SE)

Kelly, Owen T. ..... Virginia (SE)
Kerr, Robert S., Jr. ..... Oklahoma (SC)
Kirchner, Frederick K. ..... Troy (NE)
Knupp, Robert E. ..... Central Pennsylvania (NE)

Lau, Bing-Hua ..... Sarawak (OS)
Lau, Clifford G. ..... West Wisconsin (NC)
Lee, Vernon L. ..... Northern New York (NE)
Littrell, Wade H. ..... Missouri East (SC)
Livengood, Marion ..... Central Kansas (SC)

Martin, James I. ..... East Wisconsin (NC)
Massey, Murad ..... North India (OS)
McCormick, Amos D. ..... East Tennessee (C)
McCullum, Ira W. ..... Mississippi (C)
Moller, Roy P. ..... Pacific Northwest (W)
Mumford, Robert J. ..... New Jersey (NE)
Myers, Arthur L. ..... Rock River (NC)

Neller, Alvin A. ..... Michigan (NC)
Newton, Alexander P. ..... Delhi (OS)

Ortman, Ervin R. ..... South Dakota (NC)
Orton, Hubert E. ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Owens, Joseph H. ..... North Alabama (SE)

Parks, W. S. ..... South Georgia (SE)
Parsons, Elton W. ..... Peninsula (NE)
Patten, William C. ..... New Mexico (SC)
Patterson, D. Stewart ..... Baltimore (NE)
Pettit, Clare N. ..... California-Nevada (W)
Pettygrove, G. Clifford ..... California-Nevada (W)
Pfeiffer, Walter ..... Central Germany (OS)
Presley, Isaac P. ..... Upper Mississippi (C)
Prigmore, L. T., Jr. ..... Holston (SE)
Proctor, George H. ..... Alabama-West Florida (SE)

Quellhorst, Ronald ..... Kansas (SC)

Ready, W. J. ..... South Carolina (SE)
Reavley, Tom ..... Southwest Texas (SC)
Riley, Sumpter M., Jr. ..... Lexington (C)
Rosser, Harold ..... Ohio (NC)

Salem, Joe T. ..... Northwest Texas (SC)
Schiele, Rudolf ..... Southwest Germany (OS)
Shelton, Roland M. ..... Little Rock (SC)
Shindledecker, George W. ..... Western Pennsylvania (NE)
Smith, Robert M. ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Soltman, John C. ..... Pacific Northwest (W)
Stanley, Sam C. ..... North Mississippi (SE)
Steele, Clay E. ..... Rock River (NC)
Surfus, Leland C. ..... North Iowa (NC)
Susat, Edward ..... Indiana (NC)
Sutton, William A. ..... North Georgia (SE)

Taylor, Eben ..... South Carolina (SE)

Thompson, Everett K. ..... Southern Illinois (NC)
Thompson, Lionel E. ..... Detroit (NC)
Turnage, Roy L. ..... North Carolina (SE)
Turpen, H. H. ..... Tennessee (SE)

Velasco, Virgilio T. ..... Northern Philippines (OS)

Ward, Paul M. ..... North-East Ohio (NC)
White, Charles D. ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Whiteley, Lawrence E. ..... Minnesota (NC)
Wiant, Paul ..... Ohio (NC)
Williams, R. Clarence ..... North Alabama (SE)
Wong, Lai-Yuen ..... Malaya (OS)

Yancey, Charles L. ..... Memphis (SE)
Young, Harry E. ..... North Iowa (NC)

NO. 5. MEMBERSHIP AND EVANGELISM

To this committee shall be referred all memorials, petitions, resolutions, etc., relating to church membership, including conditions, duties, and transfer thereof; and all matters pertaining to evangelism and to the devotional life and literature of the church, made by the law of the church the concern of the Board of Evangelism.

Chairman—Truman W. Potter (West Virginia—NE)
Vice-Chairman—Wilson O. Weldon (Western North Carolina—SE)
Secretary—Mrs. Edwin A. Ingham (Southern California-Arizona—W)

Place of meeting: Penn-Sheraton Hotel, Mellon Square (PS on map, page 8, 17th Floor, Monongahela Room.

Time of meeting: 2:30 P.M.

(*Italics* denote ministerial delegates)

Adams, Charles V. ..... Central Pennsylvania (NE)
Anderson, Walter F. ..... North Carolina (SE)
Artzberger, A. T. ..... West Virginia (NE)

Babbs, J. Carlton ..... Rocky Mountain (W)
Blackwell, Derwood L. ..... Texas (SC)
Bolen, V. Leon ..... Idaho (W)
Bugbee, Warren A. ..... Western Pennsylvania (NE)

Coffee, Mrs. C. C. ..... Northwest Texas (SC)
Crain, Nuell C. ..... Oklahoma (SC)
Creech, Mrs. John A. ..... Kentucky (SE)
Culver, Cecil R. ..... Little Rock (SC)
Cummings, Mrs. C. Clifford ..... Rock River (NC)

Duck, David A. ..... South Georgia (SE)
Dyson, John B. A. ..... Washington (C)

Felder, Luther B. ..... Texas (C)
Fidler, W. Z. ..... North Iowa (NC)
Finch, Gomer W. ..... West Wisconsin (NC)

Galang, Fidel P. ..... Middle Philippines (OS)
Gentry, Mrs. Max ..... Nebraska (SC)
Goodwin, Mrs. Everett ..... Ohio (NC)

Goodrich, Robert E., Jr. ..... North Texas (SC)
Goodson, Gordon L. ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Grantham, Dan L. ..... Virginia (SE)

Hall, N. Guy ..... Missouri West (SC)
Hartenbower, Mrs. G. E. ..... Central Illinois (NC)
Hayes, Clare J. ..... Kansas (SC)
Howell, Mrs. J. P. ..... California-Nevada (W)
Hoy, Russell H. ..... North-East Ohio (NC)
Hubbard, Fred ..... South Dakota (NC)

Ingham, Mrs. Edwin A. ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)

Jerome, J. E. ..... South Carolina (SE)
Jeuther, Hermann ..... South Germany (OS)
Johnson, Lyman S. ..... Central Kansas (SC)
Jud, Eugene F. ..... Central Texas (SC)

Kapangue, Adreano ..... Angola (OS)
Keese, William A. ..... Baltimore (NE)
Kelly, Mrs. John E. ..... Genesee (NE)
Khoo, Siaw-Hua ..... Malaysia Chinese (OS)
Kibler, Russell ..... Indiana (NC)

Law, Mrs. Ronald S. ..... New York East (NE)
Lawrence, Mrs. Melvin E. ..... New England (NE)
Lester, Woodie D. ..... Central West (C)
Lurwick, George C. ..... Philadelphia (NE)
Lyman, Howard A. ..... Michigan (NC)

Manton, Frank E. ..... Burma (OS)
Marquardt, Mrs. A. R. ..... Nebraska (SC)
Mathison, Marion C. ..... Alabama-West Florida (SE)
Mell, M. G. ..... Texas (SC)
Metcalf, Kenneth E. ..... North Iowa (NC)
Montgomery, Edward ..... North Alabama (SE)
Moore, J. Barcus ..... Southwest Texas (SC)
Moorhead, Edwin E. ..... Mississippi (SE)
Morris, Walter J. ..... North-East Ohio (NC)
Murray, John V. ..... South Carolina (SE)

Owens, Mrs. John A. ..... South Iowa (NC)

Parrish, John W. ..... Detroit (NC)
Perez, Carlos ..... Cuba (SE)
Pike, Mrs. LeRoy A. ..... Central Illinois (NC)
Poole, Daniel W. ..... Louisiana (SC)
Potter, Truman W. ..... West Virginia (NE)
Potts, Edgar A. ..... Virginia (SE)

Ragsdale, Ray W. ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Richardson, Mrs. Clarence E. ..... Minnesota (NC)
Robert, Maddala D. ..... Hyderabad (OS)
Robinson, Stafford S. ..... Florida (C)
Rogers, Mrs. C. J. ..... Northwest Indiana (NC)
Rose, Robert ..... North Carolina (SE)
Roudebush, Roy R. ..... North Indiana (NC)

Sargeant, John B. ..... Florida (SE)
Schoellkopf, Herbert J. ..... New Jersey (NE)
Scholz, Ernst P. ..... Northeast Germany (OS)

Sisson, W. Rembert ..... North Georgia (SE)
Smith, Holiday ..... Holston (SE)
Sprowls, Claude S. ..... Louisville (SE)

Taylor, Mrs. Adrienne ..... Newark (NE)
Thorpe, Robert M. ..... Pacific Northwest (W)

Valenzuela, Raymond ..... Chile (OS)

Wagner, Joseph H. ..... Missouri East (SC)
Walkup, Elbert E. ..... Tennessee (SE)
Watkins, Clyde F. ..... Holston (SE)
Weldon, Wilson O. ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Whatley, John W. ..... North Georgia (SE)
Woodruff, Ralph ..... North Arkansas (SC)

Yocom, Donald R. ..... Ohio (NC)

NO. 6. MINISTRY

To this committee shall be referred all memorials, petitions, resolutions, etc., relating to the ministry of the church in all its forms, grades, and orders.

Chairman—William R. Cannon (North Georgia—SE)
Vice-Chairman—Amos A. Thornburg (Rock River—NC)
Secretary—Finis A. Crutchfield (Oklahoma—SC)

Place of meeting: Penn-Sheraton Hotel, Mellon Square (PS on map, page 8), Club Floor, Aereo Room.

Time of meeting: 2:30 P.M.

(*Italics* denote ministerial delegates)

Adams, Kenneth W. ..... California-Nevada (W)
Archer, Leslie C. ..... Central Illinois (NC)
Averitt, James W. ..... Louisville (SE)

Backhus, Harry W. III ..... Virginia (SE)
Baidya, Sukumar ..... Bengal (OS)
Beckford, Lewis H. ..... Maine (NE)
Borger, Clarence J. ..... Central Kansas (SC)
Bouton, Leon W. ..... Wyoming (NE)
Bowles, L. Lee ..... Oklahoma (SC)
Boyd, Marvin L. ..... Northwest Texas (SC)
Brooks, D. W. ..... North Georgia (SE)
Bruns, Johann M. ..... Northwest Germany (OS)
Bucafusco, Luis P. ..... Argentina (OS)
Burns, Lacy H. ..... West Virginia (NE)
Burton, William N. ..... Indiana (NC)

Cain, Richard W. ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Cannon, William R. ..... North Georgia (SE)
Carleton, Alsie H. ..... North Texas (SC)
Chidzikwe, Josiah ..... Rhodesia (OS)
Chitwood, J. Henry ..... North Alabama (SE)
Clark, Alva H. ..... Nebraska (SC)
Claypool, James V. ..... New England Southern (NE)
Crippen, James A. ..... Detroit (NC)
Crutchfield, Finis A. ..... Oklahoma (SC)
Curry, John W. ..... South Carolina (C)

Daludado, Pedro F. ..... Northern Philippines (OS)
Dickey, Edwin H. ..... Ohio (NC)
Dixon, Ernest T., Jr. ..... West Texas (C)
Dodgen, Ethan W. ..... North Arkansas (SC)
Dunkle, William F., Jr. ..... Peninsula (NE)

Edwards, K. Morgan ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Eutsler, R. Kern ..... Virginia (SE)

Finger, H. Ellis, Jr. ..... North Mississippi (SE)
Fowler, H. Thornton ..... Tennessee (SE)
Freeman, G. Ross ..... South Georgia (SE)
Fridy, W. Wallace ..... South Carolina (SE)
Fulton, Ross A. ..... Missouri West (SC)
Funkhouser, Clyde R. ..... Southern Illinois (NC)

Goodwin, Heath T. ..... Michigan (NC)
Goodwin, Robert B. ..... Newark (NE)
Graham, John H. ..... Upper Mississippi (C)
Guderian, Lawrence E. ..... Oregon (W)
Guffick, William R. ..... New Jersey (NE)

Hager, Wesley H. ..... Missouri East (SC)
Hagler, Albert Dale ..... Florida (SE)
Hall, Cartelyou C. ..... Southwest (C)
Handy, William T., Jr. ..... Louisiana (C)
Hann, Paul M. ..... South Iowa (NC)
Hardin, Edward L. ..... Alabama-West Florida (SE)
Hefner, Cecil G. ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Herbert, Hugh S. ..... Montana (W)
Herr, John D. ..... Philadelphia (NE)
Hierholzer, Elmer J. ..... Southwest Texas (SC)
Holt, D. W. ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Holter, Don W. ..... Kansas (SC)
Huffman, Russell A. ..... Minnesota (NC)

Jarvis, Charles S. ..... Rock River (NC)
Joshi, Ram Datt ..... North India (OS)

Kessler, Charles W. ..... Troy (NE)

Lander, Richard N. ..... New York (NE)
Lawrence, Marquis W. ..... North Carolina (SE)
Leggett, J. Willard, Jr. ..... Mississippi (SE)
Lindgren, Alvin J. ..... East Wisconsin (NC)
Lord, Lemuel K. ..... New England (NE)
Loyd, W. Harold ..... Central Illinois (NC)
Lueg, Carl F. ..... Louisiana (SC)
Luster, Cosum M. ..... Texas (C)
Lyles, Paul T. ..... Memphis (SE)

Mall, Inayat S. ..... Indus River (OS)
Massey, Daniel B. ..... Moradabad (OS)
Master, Ithiel V. ..... Gujarat (OS)
Matthies, Johannes ..... South Germany (OS)
McCleskey, Wayne H. ..... Texas (SC)
McKay, Orville H. ..... Detroit (NC)
Miller, Frederick E. ..... North Iowa (NC)
Milne, W. Arthur ..... Ohio (NC)
Mitchell, Eric A. ..... Bombay (OS)
Moorehead, Lee C. ..... Ohio (NC)

Morris, Thurman B. ..... North Indiana (NC)
Mowry, Clytus F. ..... Genesee (NE)

Nichols, John B. ..... Alabama-West Florida (SE)
Nieto, Simón A. ..... Rio Grande (SC)
Nowlin, Earl M. ..... New Mexico (SC)

Oot, Arthur B. ..... Northern New York (NE)

Pinkard, Calvin M. ..... North Alabama (SE)
Potthoff, Harvey H. ..... Rocky Mountain (W)

Randolph, Allen H. L. ..... Tennessee (C)
Richards, Charles W. ..... Little Rock (SC)
Rixse, John H., Jr. ..... Virginia (SE)
Robbins, Cecil W. ..... North Carolina (SE)
Rowe, Earl N. ..... Central Pennsylvania (NE)
Rutter, Kenneth P. ..... Western Pennsylvania (NE)

Sagar, Sisa M. ..... Agra (OS)
Sander, Harvey H. ..... South Dakota (NC)
Schaad, Hermann ..... Switzerland (OS)
Stokes, Mack B. ..... Holston (SE)

Thornburg, Amos A. ..... Rock River (NC)
Thornburg, Richard A. ..... New York East (NE)
Trott, Norman L. ..... Baltimore (NE)
Tuell, Jack M. ..... Pacific Northwest (W)

Vogel, Hans ..... Central Germany (OS)

Weaver, Adolph P. ..... Western Pennsylvania (NE)
Weems, Mrs. Howard V. ..... Florida (SE)
Wesley, Ralph G. ..... Kentucky (SE)
Widegard, Arne G. ..... Sweden (OS)
Williams, Harold B. ..... North-East Ohio (NC)
Williams, L. Stanley ..... Central Texas (SC)
Wolf, John D. ..... Northwest Indiana (NC)

Yoder, Harvey C. ..... North-East Ohio (NC)

NO. 7. MISSIONS

To this committee shall be referred all memorials, petitions, resolutions, etc., relating to the Board of Missions and to the interests and activities made by the law of the church the concern of this board.

Chairman—Jack S. Wilkes (Oklahoma—SC)
Vice-Chairman—Mrs. Harold M. Baker (Ohio—NC)
Secretary—Richard E. Carlyon (Nebraska—SC)

Place of meeting: Smithfield Congregational Church, 620 Smithfield St. (SC on map, page 8), First Level.

Time of meeting: 2:30 P.M.

(*Italics* denote ministerial delegates)

Ake, Mrs. Frank W. ..... Central Pennsylvania (NE)
Alm, K. Ragnar ..... Sumatra (OS)
Alvirez, Mrs. May ..... Rio Grande (SC)
Armstrong, Mrs. Robert C. ..... North Iowa (NC)
Arterburn, Mrs. Haskel E. ..... Louisville (SE)

Baker, Mrs. Harold M. ..... Ohio (NC)
Bane, Wilford V. ..... Central Texas (SC)
Boobar, Lester L. ..... Maine (NE)
Brown, Leander A. ..... North Carolina (C)
Budd, Henry G. ..... Central New York (NE)
Bulaya, Joel ..... Southern Congo (OS)
Burress, Mrs. Paul W. ..... Kansas (SC)

Cansfield, Mrs. William ..... Detroit (NC)
Carlyon, Richard E. ..... Nebraska (SC)
Cate, George H., Jr. ..... Tennessee (SE)
Conyers, Lloyd M. ..... North Arkansas (SC)
Cooke, Raymond J. ..... Peninsula (NE)
Copeland, Mrs. Paul L. ..... Rock River (NC)
Countryman, Frank L. ..... Rock River (NC)
Cromwell, Thomas L. ..... North-East Ohio (NC)
Cryer, Donald W. ..... Ohio (NC)
Cunningham, Mrs. B. J. ..... West Virginia (NE)
Curtis, Mrs. Wilbur F. ..... Troy (NE)

Dameron, Mrs. George W. ..... Louisiana (SC)
Descamps, Maurice E. ..... Belgium (OS)
Duffey, Paul A. ..... Alabama-West Florida (SE)

Eby, Mrs. John ..... Pacific Northwest (W)
Eldridge, Edgar A. ..... Holston (SE)

Flegal, Mrs. Robert ..... Holston (SE)
Freeman, Urias B. ..... Liberia (OS)

Gantz, Richard H. ..... Central Illinois (NC)
Garlington, J. Ezell ..... North Carolina (SE)
Gibson, Harry B., Jr. ..... Lexington (C)
Gillespie, Mrs. Charles B. ..... Missouri East (SC)
Goodson, W. Kenneth ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Grad, Albert ..... South Germany (OS)
Guansing, Benjamin I. ..... Philippines (OS)

Hagiya, Paul H. ..... Pacific Japanese Provisional (W)
Hamer, Mrs. R. S. ..... Memphis (SE)
Hamilton, J. Wallace ..... Florida (SE)
Hardt, John W. ..... Texas (SC)
Hartl, Mrs. Emil M. ..... New England (NE)
Henderson, Vernon N. ..... Northwest Texas (SC)
High, Mrs. R. S. ..... Central Texas (SC)
Hildebrand, Will M. ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Hill, Ennis B. ..... Southwest Texas (SC)
Ho, Sieu-Liong ..... Sarawak (OS)
Hughes, Harold H., Sr. ..... Virginia (NE)
Hulasi Rae, John V. ..... Madhya Pradesh (OS)
Hundley, Mrs. R. Lee ..... East Wisconsin (NC)
Hunter, J. Duncan, Jr. ..... North Alabama (SE)

Ivey, George M. ..... Western North Carolina (SE)

Jones, Lillian L. ..... Tennessee (C)

Kingman, Henry L. ..... South Carolina (SE)
Knudsen, Sverre W. ..... Norway (OS)

Laird, James H. ..... Detroit (NC)

Lehmberg, Ben F. ..... Rocky Mountain (W)
Long, Arthur V. ..... South Iowa (NC)
Long, Nat G. ..... North Georgia (SE)

Mabuce, Mrs. John O. ..... Genesee (NE)
Masters, Mrs. Paul G. ..... Philadelphia (NE)
McAdam, Mrs. J. L. ..... Central Illinois (NC)
McDonald, E. D. ..... Southwest Texas (SC)
Mehl, Mrs. Ernest ..... Missouri West (SC)
Metzel, Mrs. George ..... Oklahoma (SC)
Miller, Luther L. ..... Little Rock (SC)
Mitchell, Mrs. B. V. ..... North-East Ohio (NC)
Mullick, P. K. ..... Bengal (OS)

Nehemiah, Narsappa ..... South India (OS)
Newman, Mrs. Clyde J. ..... South Georgia (SE)
Nichols, Roy H. ..... California-Nevada (W)
Northrop, George M. ..... New York (NE)

Paulen, O. Wayne ..... North Indiana (NC)
Payne, Charlemagne P., Sr. ..... Mississippi (C)
Pitcher, Philip N. ..... Wyoming (NE)
Pope, Mrs. Rex ..... North Indiana (NC)
Poulsen, Poul B. ..... Denmark (OS)
Pounds, W. A., Jr. ..... Texas (SC)
Priest, Mrs. Roy ..... Indiana (NC)

Reid, William W. ..... New York East (NE)
Rentz, Mrs. Jacob F. ..... Western Pennsylvania (NE)
Robertson, Frank L. ..... South Georgia (SE)

Santos, Tomás de los ..... Mindanao (OS)
Shaumba, Pierre ..... Central Congo (OS)
Slack, William B. ..... North Texas (SC)
Smith, C. Asbury ..... Baltimore (NE)
Smith, Eugene L. ..... Newark (NE)
Sowards, Mrs. Leonard N. ..... Baltimore (NE)
Spear, Mrs. Charles W. ..... Minnesota (NC)
Stevens, Ben M. ..... Mississippi (SE)
Stretch, Mrs. Mabel K. ..... New Jersey (NE)
Stuart, R. Marvin ..... California-Nevada (W)
Szczepkowski, Jozef ..... Poland (OS)

Tannehill, Francis V. ..... North Dakota (NC)
Tarroza, Felipe A. ..... Middle Philippines (OS)
Taylor, Mrs. Ethan L. ..... North Georgia (SE)
Tennant, John W. ..... Michigan (NC)
Thistle, Richard C. ..... Northwest Indiana (NC)
Thurman, Mrs. David R. ..... Florida (SE)
Tullis, Edward L. ..... Kentucky (SE)
Turlington, Mrs. H. C. ..... North Carolina (SE)
Tuttle, Robert G. ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Tyrrel, Mrs. J. Boyd ..... Virginia (SE)

Watson, Mrs. D. E. ..... Central Kansas (SC)
Watson, Mrs. Russell O. ..... Oregon (W)
Whyman, Henry C. ..... New York East (NE)
Wilkes, Jack S. ..... Oklahoma (SC)
Williams, George R. ..... North Mississippi (SE)
Winter, Hauser ..... Missouri West (SC)
Wittman, Mrs. Otto J. ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)

Wooten, Mrs. H. L. ..... Texas (C)

Yap, Kim-Hao ..... Malaya (OS)

Zunguze, Escrivão A. ..... Southeast Africa (OS)

NO. 8. PENSIONS

To this committee shall be referred all memorials, petitions, resolutions, etc., relating to the support of retired and supernumerary ministers, and of widows and dependent children of deceased ministers, not including bishops, and all matters relating to pensions of lay employees of church organizations, boards, agencies, or institutions.

Chairman—Roland P. Riddick (Virginia—SE)
Vice-Chairman—Floyd V. Brower (Missouri East—SC)
Secretary—Frank Webber (California-Nevada—W)

Place of meeting: Penn-Sheraton Hotel, Mellon Square (PS on map, page 8), Club Floor, Grant Room.

Time of meeting: 2:30 P.M.

(*Italics* denote ministerial delegates)

Ammons, Edsel A. ..... Rock River (NC)
Arbaugh, Robert N. ..... Missouri West (SC)

Barclift, Chancie D. ..... North Carolina (SE)
Blazer, Earl W. ..... Holston (SE)
Brower, Floyd V. ..... Missouri East (SC)
Brown, C. W. ..... Southwest Texas (SC)
Buckingham, Harold C. ..... Wyoming (NE)

Carper, John H. ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Cleveland, M. C. ..... Florida (SE)
Coulter, H. Russell ..... Central Illinois (NC)
Crawford, J. Howard ..... Northwest Texas (SC)

Daugherty, Paul B. ..... North Indiana (NC)
Day, George H. ..... Ohio (NC)
Dougherty, Glenn ..... Indiana (NC)

Fleming, Durwood ..... Texas (SC)
Fletcher, Robert ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Frey, John W. ..... Nebraska (SC)
Fuess, Forest M. ..... Newark (NE)

Guthrie, W. Nelson, Sr. ..... North Alabama (SE)

Haisten, Warren ..... North Georgia (SE)
Hardcastle, James C. ..... Delaware (C)
Herbst, Arnold T. ..... South Dakota (NC)
High, Henry R. ..... West Virginia (NE)
Hole, J. Wesley ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Hooper, Granville ..... Peninsula (NE)

Innis, Frank ..... Northwest Indiana (NC)
Irion, James P. ..... Memphis (SE)

McQuary, Thomas J. ..... Louisville (SE)
Milikien, Albert S. ..... North Texas (SC)

Momberg, Paul B. ..... Ohio (NC)
Moore, Manley ..... Oklahoma (SC)
Moulton, Lewis H. ..... New Hampshire (NE)
Moulton, Robert L. ..... North-East Ohio (NC)
Mouser, Vincent M. ..... Louisiana (SC)

Pease, Robert B. ..... Western Pennsylvania (NE)
Pieh, Charles E. ..... Minnesota (NC)

Ragland, James ..... Detroit (NC)
Riddick, Roland P. ..... Virginia (SE)
Robison, Harold ..... Central Kansas (SC)
Rogers, James F. ..... North Carolina (SE)

Secrest, Jarrard ..... Central Texas (SC)
Shaffer, H. P. ..... West Virginia (NE)
Skilling, Mrs. Thelma ..... Baltimore (NE)
Smith, R. E. ..... Texas (SC)
Soans, Clement ..... Bombay (OS)
Stamm, John F. ..... Central Pennsylvania (NE)
Stettler, Wallace F. ..... Philadelphia (NE)
Stockton, Ralph M. ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Stump, Phil D. ..... Central New York (NE)

Tedcastle, Arthur T. ..... New York East (NE)
Thornton, B. I. ..... South Georgia (SE)

Walker, Harvey A. ..... North Iowa (NC)
Walker, W. Roland ..... Virginia (SE)
Webber, Frank ..... California-Nevada (W)
West, T. Albert, Jr. ..... Alabama-West Florida (SE)
White, John A. ..... South Carolina (SE)

Zellmer, Willard A. ..... Pacific Northwest (W)

NO. 9. PUBLISHING INTERESTS

To this committee shall be referred all memorials, petitions, resolutions, etc., relating to the Board of Publication and to the interests and activities made by the law of the church the concern of this board.

Chairman—Carl J. Sanders (Virginia—SE)
Vice-Chairman—Henry V. Loeppert (Rock River—NC)
Secretary—Clarence M. Winchester (North Carolina—C)

Place of meeting: Penn-Sheraton Hotel, Mellon Square (PS on map, page 8), Club Floor, Club Room.

Time of meeting: 2:30 P.M.

(*Italics* denote ministerial delegates)

Allen, L. Scott ..... Georgia (C)

Baker, Frank E. ..... Philadelphia (NE)
Balaram, Prabhakar C. B. ..... Delhi (OS)
Barron, J. Daniel ..... North Texas (SC)
Bowles, Charles P. ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Boyd, W. Sproule ..... Western Pennsylvania (NE)
Bracy, Carl C. ..... North-East Ohio (NC)
Brown, G. Alfred ..... Central Texas (SC)
Butters, George A. ..... Minnesota (NC)

Culp, Jesse A. ..... North Alabama (SE)
Curry, James S. ..... Louisville (SE)

Denson, Charles Elwood ..... Tennessee (SE)
Dryden, Kenneth ..... Nebraska (SC)

Earley, Charles M. ..... Virginia (SE)
Edge, Claude A. ..... Texas (SC)

Garrison, Claude ..... Ohio (NC)
Gentry, Edd W. ..... Florida (SE)
Godwin, Charles ..... West Virginia (NE)

Hathaway, Offie L. ..... North Carolina (SE)
Hattaway, William L. ..... Texas (SC)
Hawkins, J. C. ..... Missouri East (SC)
Hayward, C. Douglas ..... California-Nevada (W)
Henry, Mrs. Albert ..... Kansas (SC)
Hunt, Walter L. ..... Wyoming (NE)
Hyatt, Carl B., Jr. ..... Western North Carolina (SE)

Jackson, H. Leo ..... Holston (SE)
James, William M. ..... New York (NE)

Lay, Robert P. ..... Louisiana (SC)
Loeppert, Henry V. ..... Rock River (NC)
Lucas, Mrs. Robert ..... South Iowa (NC)

Mahon, Eldon ..... Northwest Texas (SC)
McClure, Oren F. ..... Central Kansas (SC)
Mobley, Marion R. ..... South Carolina (SE)

Orr, J. Herbert ..... Alabama-West Florida (SE)
Orr, Verne, Sr. ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)

Perryman, Mrs. W. L. ..... Missouri West (SC)
Pitcher, Dale E. ..... Central Illinois (NC)
Preusch, Robert W. ..... New York East (NE)
Prewitt, Thomas O. ..... Mississippi (SE)

Renick, Mrs. Bruce ..... Rocky Mountain (W)
Rigden, Russell ..... Southern Illinois (NC)
Ritchey, William H. ..... Pacific Northwest (W)
Rogers, Carleton C. ..... Rock River (NC)
Rooks, John J. ..... Florida (SE)
Ruff, William H. ..... North Georgia (SE)
Rupert, Hoover ..... Detroit (NC)
Ryser, Ernst ..... Switzerland (OS)

Sanders, Carl J. ..... Virginia (SE)
Sayre, Charles A. ..... New Jersey (NE)
Smith, William E. ..... Baltimore (NE)
Stone, Mrs. John Paul ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Stratton, Leslie M. III ..... Memphis (SE)
Stroh, Byron F. ..... North Indiana (NC)
Strong, Donald T. ..... Michigan (NC)

Tompkins, Mrs. Clarence W. ..... North Iowa (NC)
Tuttle, Lee F. ..... Western North Carolina (SE)

Wagner, H. Hughes ..... New England (NE)
Warner, William B. ..... Southwest Texas (SC)
Williams, Ira E. ..... Oklahoma (SC)
Wilson, J. F. ..... South Georgia (SE)
Winchester, Clarence M. ..... North Carolina (C)

Young, J. Otis ..... Ohio (NC)

PERSONNEL
OF THE 1964 GENERAL CONFERENCE

OF

THE METHODIST CHURCH

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, Sunday, April 26, 1964

The Annual Conference delegations are here listed alphabetically by conferences. The names of delegates, ministerial (in italics) and lay, appear in order of their election, with appointments or occupations and addresses. The names of the reserve delegates of each Annual Conference follow in similar fashion.

The figure after the Annual Conference name in each heading is the total number of delegates to which it is entitled (see *Discipline*, ¶¶ 5, 7, 23, 501), and is followed by the abbreviation for its jurisdiction or for "overseas." Underneath the heading is shown the seating location in the conference hall assigned to that Annual Conference. The chairman of the delegation is indicated by an asterisk.

Appointments of ministerial delegates are indicated by the name of the district for a district superintendent or the name of the church for a pastor. Note that the appointments shown here are as of the time of election. Occupations of lay delegates are shown by common abbreviations, and in addition their conference offices (such as lay leader, etc.) are given so far as reported.

Note: *Italics* denote ministerial delegates. Asterisk (*) denotes chairman of delegation.

AGRA (2) OS

Sec. E, Row 6, Seats 1-2

**Sagar, Sisa M.;* Mathura Dist.; Meth. Ch., Mathura, U.P., India.
Singh, Mehar; Asst. Tchr.; Pickett Higher Secondary School, Khatauli, Dist. Muzaffarnagar, U.P., India.

Reserves

Daniel, Dayal M.; Vice-Prin., Ingraham Inst.; Ghaziabad, U.P., India.
Turner, Barty A.; Asst. Tchr.; Clancy High School, Mathura, U.P., India.

ALABAMA-WEST FLORIDA (10) SE

Sec. F, Rows 9-10, Seats 1-5

**Hardin, Edward L.;* Marianna Dist.; Box 487, Marianna, Fla.
Duffey, Paul A.; First Ch.; 6 E. Wright St., Pensacola, Fla.
Mathison, Marion C.; Pensacola Dist.; 3680 Bayou Blvd., Pensacola, Fla.
McDavid, Joel D.; First Ch.; 2135 College St., Montgomery, Ala.
Nichols, John B.; Andalusia Dist.; Box 766, Andalusia, Ala.
Moore, L. S.; Jurist; Centreville, Ala.
Proctor, George H.; Conf. LL; Box 591, Andalusia, Ala.
West, T. Albert, Jr.; Banker; Dothan, Ala.
Orr, J. Herbert; Industrialist; Opelika, Ala.
Self, David; Edu.; 1602 45th St., Phenix City, Ala.

Reserves

DuBois, W. Earl; Mobile Dist.; 251 Island Ct., Mobile, Ala.

Miller, J. Carlisle; Montgomery Dist.; 2252 Allendale Rd., Montgomery, Ala.
Mathison, H. Paul; First Ch.; Andalusia, Ala.
Hendricks, Ralph R.; First Ch.; 3 Jordan Ave., Tallassee, Ala.
Hildreth, Charles H.; Capitol Heights Ch.; 2000 Winona Ave., Montgomery, Ala.
Walton, Wilbur L.; First Ch.; Box 665, Dothan, Ala.
Ray, Grover; Ins.; Headland, Ala.
Allen, Mrs. J. T.; Conf. WSCS Pres.; Cromwell, Ala.
McDavid, Harry; Gov. Emp.; 4106 St. Stephens Rd., Whistler, Ala.
Gilmore, Bryan C.; Gov. Emp.; 2201 N. 8th Ave., Pensacola, Fla.
Nevin, E. Claud; Educator; Kinston, Ala.
Eich, Foster, Jr.; Farmer, Merchant; Fort Davies, Ala.

ANGOLA (2) OS

Sec. C., Row 4, Seats 5-6

**Andrade, Miguel de;* Pastor; c/o Bishop Ralph E. Dodge, 475 Riverside Dr., New York, N. Y., 10027.
Kapangue, Adreano; Refugee; c/o Bishop Ralph E. Dodge, 475 Riverside Dr., New York, N. Y., 10027.

Reserves

Webba, Julião; Pastor; c/o Bishop Ralph E. Dodge, 475 Riverside Dr., New York, N. Y., 10027.
Silva, Domingos da; Pastor; c/o Bishop Ralph E. Dodge, 475 Riverside Dr., New York, N. Y., 10027.
McVeigh, Malcolm; Miss.; 475 Riverside Dr., New York, N. Y., 10027.
Cardosa, Zacarias C.; Student; Drew Theol. Sem., Madison, N. J.
Neto, Felipe J.; Refugee; c/o Bishop Ralph E. Dodge, 475 Riverside Dr., New York, N. Y., 10027.
Buta, Antonio; Refugee; c/o Bishop Ralph E. Dodge, 475 Riverside Dr., New York, N. Y., 10027.
Rodrigues, Miss Deolinda; Secretary; c/o Bishop Ralph E. Dodge, 475 Riverside Dr., New York, N. Y., 10027.
Sousa, Mrs. Bernarda da; Hmkr.; c/o Bishop Ralph E. Dodge, 475 Riverside Dr., New York, N. Y., 10027.

ARGENTINA (2) OS

Sec. A, Row 10, Seats 4-5

**Bucafusco, Luis P.;* DS; Carabobo 369, Buenos Aires, Argentina.
Lurá Villanueva, Daniel D.; Atty.; Tucumán 1424, 5o C, Buenos Aires, Argentina.

Reserves

Rios, Robert E.; DS; Laprida 1443, Rosario, Santa Fe, Argentina.
Bauman, Ernesto J.; Edu.; Casilla 35, Ramos Mejia, Buenos Aires, Argentina.

BALTIMORE (14) NE)

Sec. D, Rows 5-6, Seats 1-7

**Jones, John B.;* Baltimore South Dist.; 516 N. Charles St., Baltimore 1, Md.
Michael, Marion S.; Washington East Dist.; 100 Maryland Ave., N.E., Washington 2, D. C.
Keese, William A.; Grace Ch.; 5405 N. Charles St., Baltimore 10, Md.
Trott, Norman L.; Pres., Wesley Theol. Sem.; 3825 Univ. Ave., N.W., Washington 16, D. C.
Smith, C. Asbury; First Ch.; 4113 Hamilton St., Hyattsville, Md.

Fossett, Clarence L.; Baltimore East Dist.; 1215 Southview Rd., Baltimore 18, Md.
Smith, William E.; Vice-Pres., Wesley Theol. Sem.; 5910 Wiltshire Dr., Washington 10, D. C.
Beatty, W. Carroll; Atty.; 7000 Forest Hill Dr., Hyattsville, Md.
Sowards, Mrs. Leonard N.; Conf. WSCS Pres.; 705 N. Edison St., Arlington, Va.
Patterson, D. Stewart; Gen. Bd. of Camp Act.; 4700 S. Chelsea Ln., Bethesda, Md.
Schuh, Harry W.; Ins.; 309 Thornhill Rd., Baltimore 12, Md.
Anderson, Hurst R.; Pres., American Univ.; Massachusetts & Nebraska Aves., N.W., Washington 16, D. C.
Jones, Everett; Farmer; Damascus, Md.
Skilling, Mrs. Thelma; Conf. Treas.; 516 N. Charles St., Baltimore 1, Md.

Reserves

Bowen, Theodore R.; Calvary Ch.; 1615 Decatur St., N.W., Washington 11, D. C.
Firth, William E.; Baltimore West Dist.; 516 N. Charles St., Baltimore 1, Md.
Porter, Edward H.; Northwood-Appold Ch.; 516 N. Charles St., Baltimore 1, Md.
Ensor, Lowell S.; Pres., Western Maryland College; Westminster, Md.
Van Brunt, F. Norman; St. Paul's Ch.; 131 N. Potomac St., Hagerstown, Md.
Cromwell, G. Custer; Rockville Ch.; 111 W. Jefferson St., Rockville, Md.
Lewis, Edward B.; Capitol Hill Parish; 110 D St., S.E., Washington 3, D. C.
Riggin, E. Cranston; Exec. Sec., Conf. Bd. of Miss.; 516 N. Charles St., Baltimore 1, Md.
Kittrell, Flemme; Head, Dept. of Economics, Howard Univ.; 3200 Warder St., N.W., Washington 10, D. C.
Ross, Mrs. Martha; Jur. WSCS Sec. of Miss. Personnel; 1010 Dale Dr., Silver Spring, Md.
Woodfield, William R.; Lumberman; Galesville, Md.
Stansbury, William B.; Atty.; 405 Mercantile Trust Bldg., Baltimore 2, Md.
Starr, Mrs. Helen; City Bd. of Edu.; 1308 Appleby Ave., Baltimore 9, Md.
Wilkie, Mrs. John B.; Hmkr.; 2002 Hanover St., Silver Spring, Md.
Warne, A. Harry; Electrical Appliance Dist.; 1322 The Terrace, Hagerstown, Md.
Snavely, Guy; Edu.; 2122 Mass. Ave., N.W., Washington 8, D. C.

BELGIUM (2) OS

Sec. C, Row 1, Seats 1-2

**Descamps, Maurice E.;* Pastor; 5 Rue du Champ de Mars, Bruxelles 5, Belgium.
Griffin, Frederick; Employee; 96 Rue de Linthout, Bruxelles 4, Belgium.

Reserves

Pieters, André J.; Pastor; 5 Rue du Champ de Mars, Bruxelles 5, Belgium.
Dralans, Arthur; Director; 46 Markgravenlei, Antwerp, Belgium.

BENGAL (2) OS

Sec. A, Row 1, Seats 3-4

**Baidya, Sukumar;* Pastor; 9 Beliaghata Main Rd., Calcutta 10, India.
Mullick, P. K.; Tchr., Collins Inst.; 140 Dharamtala St., Calcutta 13, India.

Reserves

Biswas, Filip; Pastor; P. O. Panchet Dam, Dist. Dhanbad, Bihar, India.
Singh, A. B.; Prin., Collins Inst.; 140 Dharamtala St., Calcutta 13, India.

BOLIVIA (2) OS

Sec. F, Row 12, Seats 4-5

**Angles, Adolfo C.;* Pastor; Casilla 2093, La Paz, Bolivia.
Salazar, Noel; Arch.; Casilla 2285, La Paz, Bolivia.

Reserves

McCleary, Paul; Pastor; Casilla 356, La Paz, Bolivia.
Rojas, Juan B.; Atty.; Ave. Ecuador 2458, La Paz, Bolivia.

BOMBAY (2) OS

Sec. E, Row 4, Seats 3-4

**Mitchell, Eric A.;* DS and Pastor, Taylor Mem. Ch.; Clare Rd., Byculla, Bombay 8, India.
Soans, Clement; Ins.; The Retreat, 258 C Belassis Rd., Byculla, Bombay 8, India.

Reserves

David, Joshua; DS and Pastor; Methodist Ch., Civil Lines, Nagpur, B.S., India.
Joshi, Purushottam R.; Postal Serv.; Centenary Bldg., Grant Rd., Bombay 7, India.

BURMA (2) OS

Sec. E, Row 3, Seats 3-4

Chu, Chau F.; Christ Ch.; Pegu, Burma.
Lyall, John C.; Research Officer; 46 Sandwith Road, Rangoon, Burma.

Reserves

Sein, U. Hla; Pastor; Thongwa, Burma.
Manton, Frank E.; DS; 22 Signal Pagoda Rd., Rangoon, Burma.
Yung, Chen S.; Christ Ch.; 105 Canal St., Rangoon, Burma.
Wan, Lim K.; Phys.; 65 Latter St., Rangoon, Burma.
Nyoon, Daw Thein; Prin., Meth. High School; Syriam, Burma.
Aye, U San; Student, Burma Divinity School; Seminary Hill, Insein, Burma.
Thant, Miss Khin Khin; Crusade Scholar; c/o Clara M. French, WDCS, 475 Riverside Dr., New York, N. Y., 10027.
Manton, Mrs. Karis B.; Miss.; 22 Signal Pagoda Rd., Rangoon, Burma.
Winslow, Hazel; Miss.; 242 A Creek St., Rangoon, Burma.
Cavett, Maurine; Miss.; Methodist House, MacKertich Rd., Pegu, Burma.

CALIFORNIA-NEVADA (14) W

Sec. B, Rows 11-12, Seats 1-7

Nichols, Roy H.; Downs Mem. Ch.; 6026 Idaho St., Oakland 8, Calif.
Stuart, R. Marvin; First Ch.; Box 559, Palo Alto, Calif.
Adams, Kenneth W.; Sacramento-Nevada Dist.; 1171 Volz Dr., Sacramento 22, Calif.
Wilkins, John R.; Dir. of Miss. Ed., Gen. Bd. of Miss.; 444 E. 20th St., Apt. 10E, New York 9, N. Y.
Moon, Robert W.; First Ch.; 1350 M. St., Fresno 5, Calif.
Stocking, Carl L.; Dir. of Personnel, Gen. Bd. of Educ.; Box 871, Nashville 2, Tenn.
Hayward, C. Douglas; Trinity Ch.; 2320 Dana St., Berkeley 4, Calif.
*Webber, Frank; Conf. Treas. & Adm. Sec.; Box 467, San Francisco 1, Calif.
Pettygrove, G. Clifford; Ret.; 157 Purdue, Berkeley 8, Calif.
Pettit, Clare N.; Judge, Rl. Est.; Box 67, Parlier, Calif.
Burns, Robert E.; Pres., Univ. of Pacific; Stockton, Calif.
Atkinson, George H.; Cont.; 150 Sycamore, San Mateo, Calif.
Baun, Mrs. Ted F.; Hmkr.; 2714 N. Channing Way, Fresno 5, Calif.
Howell, Mrs. J. P.; Hmkr.; 1832 17th Ave., San Francisco 22, Calif.

Reserves

Thurman, Arthur V.; Bay View Dist; 1011 Park Hills Rd., Berkeley 8, Calif.
Farr, Joyce W.; First Ch.; 1701 Truxton Ave., Bakersfield, Calif.
Boswell, Robert N.; Fresno Dist.; 1045 W. Harvard Ave., Fresno, Calif.
Panzer, Robert A.; First Ch.; 2100 J St., Sacramento 16, Calif.
Crummey, D. Clifford; Golden Gate Dist.; Box 467, San Francisco 1, Calif.
Lord, Charles E.; First Ch.; 2352 Broadway, Oakland 12, Calif.
Moore, John V.; Glide Mem. Ch.; 322 Ellis St., San Francisco 2, Calif.
Walker, Carl E.; First Ch.; 1443 Howard Ave.; Burlingame, Calif.
Boswell, Hamilton T.; Jones Mem. Ch.; 1975 Post St., San Francisco 15. Calif.
Jacoby, Wilbur; Banker; Box 275, Alamo. Calif.
McGiffin, James; Youth Worker; 22490 Havenhurst Dr., Los Altos, Calif.
Machado, Abel P.; Ins.; Box 467. Los Banos. Calif.
King, John R.: Tchr.; 1625 Los Robles Dr., Bakersfield, Calif.
Carrell. Mrs. John W.; Hmkr.: 3480 W. Alluvial, Fresno, Calif.
Cary, Robert J.; Conf. Youth Dir.: Box 467. San Francisco 1, Calif.
Sumner, Maurice H.; Atty.; 350 Edgehill Way, San Francisco 27, Calif.
Daniel, Mrs. J. L.; Hmkr.; 307 W. Durian, Coalinga, Calif.
Stover, Earl; Ret.; 882 2nd Street, E. Sonoma, Calif.

CENTRAL ALABAMA (2) C

Sec. E, Row 1, Seats 3-4

**Lowery, Joseph E.;* Area Dir.; 1908 Grand Ave., Nashville, Tenn., 37203.
Adams, Quinton D.; Dry Cleaner; 1905 E. Broad St., Gadsden, Ala.

Reserves

Norwood, John F.; Huntsville Dist.; 1605 Armstrong St., N.W., Huntsville, Ala.
Lee. Knowledge; Vet. Adm.; 1911 Howard Rd., Tuskegee Institute, Ala.

CENTRAL CONGO (2) OS

Sec. B, Row 2, Seats 3-4

**Shaumba, Pierre;* Sec. Gen., Congo Prot. Council; B.P. 3094, Leopoldville-Kalina, Congo.

Osamba, Albert; School Dir.; M.M.C.C., Wembo Nyama, par Lodja, Congo-Leopoldville.

Reserves

Davis, Joseph M.; Lodja North Dist.; M.M.C.C., B.P. 226, Lodja, Congo-Leopoldville.

Ukunda, André; School Inspector; M.M.C.C., B.P., 126, Kindu, Congo-Leopoldville.

CENTRAL GERMANY (2) OS

Sec. C, Row 3, Seats 7-8

**Vogel, Hans;* DS; Zwickau i. Sa., Lessingstr. 6, Germany DDR (Eastern Zone).

Pfeiffer, Walter; Employee; Kottengrün, Vogtland, Kreis Auerbach, Vogtl., Germany DDR (Eastern Zone).

Reserves

Witzel, Hans; Pastor; Theologische Schule, Bad Klosterlausnitz, Thür., Germany DDR (Eastern Zone).

Meier, Arno; Shoemaker-master; Zschopau, Erzgeb., August-Bebel-Str. 69, Germany DDR (Eastern Zone).

CENTRAL ILLINOIS (14) NC

Sec. E, Rows 13-14, Seats 1-7

Bennett, William W.; Bloomington Dist.; 1303 N. Clinton Blvd., Bloomington, Ill.

Loyd, W. Harold; Springfield Dist.; 1121 S. Walnut St., Springfield, Ill.

Archer, Leslie C.; First Ch.; 120 W. Chestnut, Canton, Ill.

Albrecht, Joseph H.; First Ch.; 501 E. Capitol, Springfield, Ill.

Calderwood, Robert C.; Decatur Dist.; 231 Redwood Ln., Decatur, Ill.

Coulter, H. Russell; Jacksonville Dist.; 275 E. Vandalia Rd., Jacksonville, Ill.

Pitcher, Dale E.; Peoria Dist.; 3910 Keenland St., Box 3188, Peoria, Ill.

*Gantz, Richard H.; Farmer; Deland, Ill.

Bertholf, Lloyd M.; Pres., Ill. Wesleyan Univ.; 1307 N. Park St., Bloomington, Ill.

McAdam, Mrs. J. L.; Hmkr.; 716 S. Clay, Taylorville, Ill.

Pike, Mrs. LeRoy A.; Hmkr.; 706 S. Main, Pontiac, Ill.

Jolly, Elmer; Exec. Dir., Housing Authority; 104 Greenwood Ave., East Peoria, Ill.

Hartenbower, Mrs. G. E.; Hmkr.; 1212 Broadway, Normal, Ill.

Linstrom, David E.; Prof., Univ. of Ill.; College of Agric., Urbana, Ill.

Reserves

Cox, J. Henry; Intbd. Prog. Couns. & Conf. Sec.; Box 732, Bloomington, Ill.

Brown, Clifford C.; Intbd. Exec. Dir.; 210 E. University, Bloomington, Ill.

North, Jack B.; First Ch.; 196 S. Harrison, Kankakee, Ill.

Nestler, Frank H.; Grace Ch.; Church and State, Jacksonville, Ill.

Mason, Joseph A.; Grace Ch.; 501 N. 4th, Box 107, Pekin, Ill.
Muir, J. Dewey; First Ch.; 1315 Court St., Pekin, Ill.
Knox, Kenneth C.; University Ave. Ch., Peoria; 1521 27th St., Moline, Ill.
Cutlip, William W.; First Ch.; 201 W. North St., Decatur, Ill.
Gregory, Kermit C.; Champaign Dist.; 1509 Alma Dr., Champaign, Ill.
Horst, Preston, E.; First Ch., Moline; 902 Brown Ave., Galesburg, Ill.
Reeves, Richard E.; Engr.; 425 Karen Dr., Decatur, Ill.
Rigg, Maynard; Contr.; 608 Eureka St., Peoria, Ill.
Thorp, Ernest; Bus.; Rt. 3, Clinton, Ill.
Anderson, Scott; Physicist; 1116 W. Church, Champaign, Ill.
Heninger, Harry; Banker; Momence, Ill.
Bonser, Rex; Ret.; 146 N. Rosewood Ave., Kankakee, Ill.
Tombaugh, Reid R.; Farm Mgr.; 555 W. Grove St., Pontiac, Ill.
Barnes, Bryce; Farmer; Chatham, Ill.
Baldwin, Harry; Mail Carrier; 2802 E. Williams, Danville, Ill.
Boley, Arthur W.; Ret.; 701 S. Tremont, Kewanee, Ill.

CENTRAL KANSAS (10) SC

Sec. D, Rows 7-8, Seats 1-5

**Richards, George W.;* Hutchinson Dist.; 15 Cherokee Ln., Hutchinson, Kan.
Borger, Clarence J.; Trinity Ch.; 16th and Main, Hutchinson, Kan.
Johnson, Lyman S.; First Ch.; Box 1268, Hutchinson, Kan.
Matthew, Glenn E.; Salina Dist.; 903 Mellinger Dr., Salina, Kan.
McClure, Oren F.; Dodge City Dist.; Box 472, Dodge City, Kan.
Cook, Robert P.; Ins.; Mulvane, Kan.
Livengood, Marion; Stockman, Farmer; Greensburg, Kan.
Georg, Mrs. H. L.; Hmkr.; St. John, Kan.
Watson, Mrs. D. E.; Hmkr.; 925 S. 11th St., Salina, Kan.
Robison, Harold; Mail Carrier; Oberlin, Kan.

Reserves

Taylor, George B.; Univ. Ch.; 1507 S. Santa Fe, Salina, Kan.
Miles, E. Loyal; Winfield Dist.; 104 Michigan, Winfield, Kan.
Ploughe, Joseph S.; Conf. Exec. Sec.; 151 N. Volutsia, Wichita 14, Kan.
Zook, D. Arthur; Pres., Kansas Wesleyan Univ.; Salina, Kan.
Meredith, Ronald R.; First Ch.; 330 N. Broadway, Wichita 2, Kan.
Hamm, Clarence H.; First Ch.; Arkansas City, Kan.
Ebright, Arlon O.; Hays Dist.; Box 269, Hays, Kan.
Becker, Joe; Farmer, Rancher; 504 S. Jackson, Pratt, Kan.
McGill, Mrs. Kenneth L.; Hmkr.; Canton, Kan.
Gott, Mrs. Henry V., Hmkr.; 3925 Pine Knott Ct.. Wichita, Kan.
Payne, Bert E.; Mgr. and Buyer; 14 S. Grove, Wichita, Kan.
Rundle, Foster; Tchr.; 701 Lane, Clay Center, Kan.
Hickerson, Walter J.; Conf. Treas.; 151 N. Volutsia, Wichita 14, Kan.
Snyder, Merle K.; Prof. and Regis., Southwestern College; Winfield, Kan.

CENTRAL NEW YORK (6) NE

Sec. C, Rows 5-6, Seats 10-12

Swales, Harold S.; Syracuse Dist.; 104 N. Main St., Canandaigua, N. Y.
Schaff, Lester; Lafayette Ave. Ch.; 2016 Midland Ave., Syracuse 5, N. Y.

Budd, Henry G.; Elmira Dist.; 711 Fassett Rd., Elmira, N. Y.
*Bascom, Lester; Tchr.; 137 Burns Terr., Penn Yan, N. Y.
Stump, Phil D.; Controller, Grange League Federation; 128 Glenside, Ithaca, N. Y.
Lundy, Mrs. Kenneth E.; Hmkr.; 48 Sodue St., Clyde, N. Y.

Reserves

Odom, Warren G.; Hedding Ch.; W. Church at Columbia, Elmira, N. Y.
Stephenson, Sheldon B.; St. Paul's Ch.; 402 N. Aurora St., Ithaca, N. Y.
Guiles Floyd E.; Geneva Dist.; 85 Maxwell Ave., Geneva, N. Y.
Nason, Phillip S.; First Ch.; 39 Church St., Cortland, N. Y.
Sears, Fred R.; Fun. Dir.; 209 N. Main St., North Syracuse, N. Y.
Grant, Ernest; Ret.; 111 Lexington Ave., Elmira, N. Y.
Anderson, Mrs. William T.; Hmkr.; 34 S. Hunter Ave., Auburn, N. Y.
Taylor, Kenneth A.; Contr.; 230 Edgemont Dr., Syracuse, N. Y.

CENTRAL PENNSYLVANIA (8) NE

Sec. B, Rows 3-4, Seats 5-8

**Henry, Edgar A.*, Harrisburg Dist.; 2426 N. Second St., Harrisburg, Pa.
Stamm, John F.; Altoona Dist.; 2908 Union Ave., Altoona, Pa.
Wertz, D. Frederick; Pres., Lycoming College; Williamsport, Pa.
Rowe, Earl N.; State College Dist.; 434 W. Ridge Ave., State College, Pa.
Knupp, Robert E.; Atty.; 1 Francis Dr., Harrisburg, Pa.
Ake, Mrs. Frank W.; Hmkr.; 457 Pine St., Williamsport, Pa.
Adams, Charles V.; Banker; 357 Broad St., Montoursville, Pa.
Meredith, Victor K., Sr.; Plumbing Contr.; 346 Queen St., Northumberland, Pa.

Reserves

Howes, John B.; Prof., Wesley Seminary; 4908 Chesapeake St., Washington 16, D. C.
Croyle, Robert R.; First Ch.; 346 Market St., Bloomsburg, Pa.
Henninger, F. LaMont; Exec. Sec., Intbd. Council; 3132 Green St., Harrisburg, Pa.
Myers, Paul E.; Pastor; York, Pa.
Hann, Victor B.; Supt., Home for Children; Box 348, Mechanicsburg, Pa.
Keemer, Leland W.; Pastor; 328 Walnut St., Curwensville, Pa.
Rich, Robert F.; Mfr.; Woolrich, Pa.
Baker, Edgar R.; Bus.; Benton, Pa.
Law, James G.; Exec.; 434 Market St., Bloomsburg, Pa.
Campbell, Richard W.; Ins.; Altoona Trust Bldg., Altoona, Pa.
Hayden, Mrs. Harold W.; Librarian; 616 Ikler St., Lewisburg, Pa.
Williams, Charles S.; Atty.; 2095 Misner Rd., Williamsport, Pa.

CENTRAL TEXAS (10) SC

Sec. D, Rows 3-4, Seats 8-12

Williams, L. Stanley; Waco Dist.; Box 7116, Waco, Tex.
Bane, Wilford V.; Ft. Worth East Dist.; 5701 Danciger Dr., Ft. Worth 12, Tex.
Foote, Gaston; First Ch.; 800 W. 5th St., Ft. Worth 2, Tex.
Brown, G. Alfred; Ft. Worth West Dist.; 800 W. 5th St., Ft. Worth 2, Tex.

Loyd, H. Brown; Waxahachie Dist.; Box 406, Waxahachie, Tex.
*Grogan, Roy J.; Atty.; Kindel Bldg., Weatherford, Tex.
Jud, Eugene F.; Dir., Texas Meth. Stewardship Movement; 2104 N. 5th St., Waco, Tex.
High, Mrs. R. S.; Conf. WSCS Pres.; Blooming Grove, Tex.
Sone, Law; Pres., Texas Wesleyan College; Ft. Worth 5, Tex.
Secrest, Jarrard; Atty.; First National Bldg., Temple, Tex.

Reserves

Weaver, Bruce; Meadowbrook Ch.; 3901 Mt. Vernon St., Ft. Worth 3, Tex.
Sessions, Cleo C.; Cleburne Dist.; Box 171, Cleburne, Tex.
Howell, Maggart B.; Polytechnic Ch.; 1310 Collard, Ft. Worth 5, Tex.
Reynolds, S. Wayne; Cisco Dist.; Box 309, Cisco, Tex.
Farrell. Leighton; Edge Park Ch.; 5467 McConnell St., Ft. Worth 15, Tex.
Peacock, Allen A.; Central Ch.; 1527 Lipscomb St., Ft. Worth 4, Tex.
Walker, Morris D.; Bus.; 5311 Timberwilde Cir., Ft. Worth 12, Tex.
Hooper, Mrs. Joel W.; Hmkr.; Lorena, Tex.
Bickham, Mrs. R. W.; Hmkr.; 4333 W. Vickery, Ft. Worth 7, Tex.
Johnson, Hubert; Supt., The Meth. Home; 1111 Herring Ave., Waco, Tex.
Rider, Walter B.; Banker; Ennis State Bank, Ennis, Tex.
Wedemeyer, Mrs. B. B.; Hmkr.; 1304 N. 15th St., Waco, Tex.

CENTRAL WEST (2) C

Sec. A, Row 3, Seats 4-5

**Lester, Woodie D.;* Asso. Sec., Gen. Bd. of Evang.; 1908 Grand Ave., Nashville 5, Tenn.
Scott, Charles S.; Atty.; 514 Kansas Ave., Topeka, Kan.

Reserves

Hicks, John J., Sr.; Union Mem. Ch.; 1141 Belt Ave., St. Louis 12, Mo.
Clardy, Mrs. Sara J.; Sec. to the Bishop; 5109 A. Northland Ave., St. Louis 13, Mo.

CHILE (2) OS

Sec. F, Row 11, Seats 4-5

**Valenzuela, Raymond;* Pastor; Casilla 3, Concepción, Chile.
Gallardo, David; Acct.; Casilla 2476, Santiago de Chile.

Reserves

Pulgar, José S.; Pastor; Casilla 233, Quilleta, Chile.
Isaias, Gutierrez; First Ch.; Casilla 2476, Santiago de Chile.
Navarrete, Osvaldo; Pastor; Casilla 4214, Valparaiso, Chile.
Vallette. Samuel; Second Ch.; Casilla 7035, Santiago de Chile.
Fierro, Victor; Bus.; Casilla 3, Concepción, Chile.
Astorga, Mario; Acct.; Casilla 2476 Santiago de Chile.
Helas. Marta de; Tchr.; Casilla 4214, Valparaiso, Chile.
Bañados, Homero; Acct.; Casilla 152, Antofagasta, Chile.

CUBA (2) SE

Sec. D, Row 6, Seats 8-9

**Perez, Carlos;* Pastor; Calle 54, No. 3304, Marianao, Cuba.
Garcia, Manuel; Phys.; Santiago de Cuba.

Reserves

Toledo, Reynaldo; DS; Apartado 149, Matanzas, Cuba.
Guerra, Mrs. Esther; Hmkr.; 148, No. 24321, Bauta, Habana, Cuba.

CZECHOSLOVAKIA (2) OS

Sec. F, Row 2, Seat 3

Hunaty, Vaclav; DS; Cirkevni Rada, Evangelicke Cirkve Methodisticke, Jecna Ulice 19, Praha II, Czechoslovakia.

Reserve

Schneeberger, Vilem; Central Ch.; Cirkevni Rada, Evangelicke Cirkve Methodisticke, Jecna Ulice 19, Praha II, Czechoslovakia.

DELAWARE (4) C

Sec. D, Rows 1-2, Seats 4-5

**Fletcher, Dennis R.;* Div. of Nat. Miss.; 867 N. 50th St., Philadelphia 39, Pa.
Hazzard, Walter R., Sr.; Tindley Temple Ch.; 1451 N. 56th St., Philadelphia 31, Pa.
Jason, William C., Jr.; Ret.; 614 N. 56th St., Philadelphia 31, Pa.
Hardcastle, James C.; HS Prin.; 121 Kirkwood St., Dover, Del.

Reserves

Carrington, Charles L.; Brooks Mem. Ch.; 139-19 111th Ave., Jamaica 35, N. Y.
Lyght, William L. D.; Ezion Ch.; 200 E. 9th St., Wilmington 1, Del.
Waters, Mrs. Helen C.; Beautician; 231 High St., Cambridge, Md.
Webb, James C.; Ret.; 700 Elm St., Laurel, Del.

DELHI (OS) 2

Sec. A, Row 4, Seats 4-5

**Balaram, Prabhakar C. B.;* Central Conf. Dir. of Pub. Rel.; 4 B Battery Lane, Delhi 6, India.
Newton, Alexander P.; Gov't. Service; Davis Lodge, Christian Colony, Karol Bagh, New Delhi 5, India.

Reserves

Lance, Joseph R.; Christ Ch.; 1 Butler Road, Delhi 6, India.
Soans, Simson D.; Gen. Sup., Madar Union Sanatorium; Madar, Ajmer, Rajasthan, India.

DENMARK (2) OS

Sec. B, Row 2, Seats 1-2

**Poulsen, Poul B.;* Pastor; Stokhusgade 2, Copenhagen K, Denmark.
Johansen, Frede; Surgeon; Kloevervaenget 20 A 7, Odense, Denmark.

Reserves

Nielsen, Robert H.; DS; Torvegade 43, Esbjerg, Denmark.
Nyberg, Fletcher; DS; Ostergade 16, Ronne, Denmark.
Bjerno, Henning; Sales Ass't.; Teglkasvej 4, Kastrup, Denmark.
Saermark, Johannes; Youth Sec.; Laurvigsgade 27, Aarhus N, Denmark.

DETROIT (14) NC

Sec. D, Rows 3-4, Seats 1-7

McKay, Orville H.; First Ch.; 144 Jerome, Midland, Mich.

Marvin, John E.; Edit., *Mich. Chr. Adv.;* 1029 Maumee St., Adrian, Mich.
Vosburg, Frederick C.; First Ch.; 22124 Garrison, Dearborn, Mich.
Laird, James H.; Central Ch.; 23 E. Adams St., Detroit 26, Mich.
Parrish, John W.; First Ch.; 22331 Woodward Ave., Ferndale, Mich.
Rupert, Hoover; First Ch.; 120 S. State St., Ann Arbor, Mich.
DeWitt, Jesse R.; Exec. Sec., Miss. & Ch. Ext.; 800 Francis Palms Bldg., 2111 Woodward Ave., Detroit 1, Mich.
*Karls, Harold M.; Atty.; 20 Hammond Rd., Saginaw, Mich.
Labbitt, Ray W.; Deceased, Sept. 14, 1963.
Crippen, James A.; Atty.; 911 Robin Road, Ann Arbor, Mich.
Cansfield, Mrs. William; Conf. WSCS Pres.; 404 W. Dunlap St., Northville, Mich.
Thompson, Lionel E.; Bus.; 6607 Marlette St., Marlette, Mich.
Rae, Mrs. Kirk; Hmkr.; 2326 Raskob St., Flint, Mich.
Brown, Prentiss, Jr.; Atty.; St. Ignace, Mich.

Reserves

Smoot, Jewell M.; Court St. Ch.; 225 W. Court St., Flint, Mich.
Seymour, Everett K.; Detroit Dist.; 800 Francis Palms Bldg., 2111 Woodward Ave., Detroit 1, Mich.
Townley, Hugh S.; Ames Ch.; 2015 Hanchett, Saginaw, Mich.
Williams, W. Leslie; Flint Dist.; 3221 Lapeer Rd., Flint, Mich.
Dawson, John H.; Pres., Adrian College; 135 S. Madison St., Adrian, Mich.
Bristah, James W.; Exec. Sec., Chr. Soc. Conc.; 800 Francis Palms Bldg., 2111 Woodward Ave., Detroit 1, Mich.
Gonser, Ivan O.; Marquette Dist.; 300 E. Hewitt Ave., Marquette, Mich.
Brubaker, Herbert C.; Saginaw Bay Dist.; 4091 Weiss, Saginaw, Mich.
Hocking, Reginald S.; Conf. Sec., St. Matthew's Ch.; 19173 Plainview, Detroit 19, Mich.
Ragland, James; Mgr., Lake Huron Camp; Jeddo, Mich.
Ammerman, Carl R.; Sup't., Genesee Township; G-1315 E. Mt. Morris Rd., Mt. Morris, Mich.
Fischer, Ronald E.; Gen. Sec., YMCA; 4668 Richardson Dr., Bay City, Mich.
Church, Benjamin D.; Ret.; 181 State Ave., Pontiac, Mich.
Houston, Mrs. Colin; Hmkr.; 211 McLean Ave., Highland Park 3, Mich.
Beck, Russell F.; Mfr.; 404 Germania Ave., Bay City, Mich.
McKelvey, Paul C.; Bus.; 2404 22nd St., Wyandotte, Mich.
Lipton, Howard; Edit.; 22504 Statler Blvd., St. Clair Shores, Mich.
Fox, Raymond A.; Atty.; 284 Kenwood Ct., Grosse Pointe, Mich.

EAST CHINA (2) OS

EAST TENNESSEE (2) C

Sec. B, Row 1, Seats 3-4

**Jones, Major J.;* Knoxville Dist.; 3709 Rogers Rd., Chattanooga, Tenn.
McCormick, Amos D.; Miner; 102 Oak St., Welch, W. Va.

Reserves

Washington, John R.; John Stewart Ch.; 200 Jones St., Bluefield, W. Va.
Gaines, Mrs. Marie M.; Hmkr.; Box 606, Pocahontas, Va.

EAST WISCONSIN (6) NC

Sec. F, Rows 9-10, Seats 6-8

Lindgren, Alvin J.; Prof., Garrett Theol. Sem.; 2734 Asbury Ave., Evanston, Ill.
Kearns, Francis E.; First Ch.; 1529 Wauwatosa Ave., Wauwatosa 13, Wis.
Schilling, Marvin A.; First Ch.; 325 E. Franklin St., Appleton, Wis.
*Martin, James I.; Bus.; 3275 S. Stigler Rd., New Berlin, Wis.
Hundley, Mrs. R. Lee; Hmkr., Conf. WSCS Pres.; 8409 W. Hillview Dr. 114N, Mequon, Wis., 53092
Boettcher, Mrs. E. H.; Hmkr.; 401 Fleming St., Wausau, Wis.

Reserves

Simon, Leslie E.; Gillett Circuit; Box 96, Gillett, Wis.
Strosahl, M. Stanford; Fond du Lac Dist.; 40 Park Ln., Fond du Lac, Wis.
Miller, Richard W.; Central Parish; 629 N. 25th St., Milwaukee 3, Wis.
Kelley, L. Clarence; Green Bay Dist.; 3401 S. Clay St., Green Bay, Wis.
Krueger, Gerald; Bus.; Abrams, Wis.
Conway, Ralph W.; Comptroller; 1610 N. Prospect Ave., Milwaukee 2, Wis.
Kenneth, Kenneth; Eng'r.; Springhill Dr., Rt. 4, Pewaukee, Wis.
Churchill, Kelly; Mechanic; 120 Allard Ave., Green Bay, Wis.

FLORIDA (2) C

Sec. E, Row 1, Seats 1-2

**Robinson, Stafford S.;* Gainesville Dist.; 1206 9th Ave., Tampa, Fla.
Moore, Richard V.; Pres., Bethune-Cookman College; 2nd Ave., Daytona Beach, Fla.

Reserves

Hall, Aaron D.; Ebenezer Ch.; 2110 N.W. 60th St., Miami, Fla.
Burney, Harry L.; HS Prin.; Middleton High School, Crescent City, Fla.

FLORIDA (16) SE

Sec. F, Rows 7-8, Seats 1-8

**Foster, George A.;* Palma Ceia Ch.; 3712 Empedrado, Tampa 9, Fla.
Pendergrass, Edward J.; First Ch.; 42 E. Jackson St., Orlando, Fla.
Hamilton, J. Wallace; Pasadena Ch.; 7127 2nd Ave., S., St. Petersburg, Fla.
Rooks, John J.; Lakeland Dist.; 800 E. Palmetto, Lakeland, Fla.
Hagler, Albert Dale; St. Luke Ch.; 4444 5th Ave., N., St. Petersburg 13, Fla.
Thrift, Charles T., Jr.; Pres., Fla. Southern College; 48 Lake Hollingsworth Dr., Lakeland, Fla.
Cleveland, M. C.; First Ch.; Box 1138, St. Petersburg, Fla.
Blackburn, Henry W.; Conf. Exec. Sec., Ch. Ext.; Box 2478, Lakeland, Fla.
Berg, R. Howard; Rl. Est.; Box 608, Melbourne, Fla.
Thurman, Mrs. David R.; Conf. WSCS Pres.; 2712 Hilola St., Miami 33, Fla.
Gold, Glenn W.; Adm., Meth. Ret. Home; 3227 Biscayne Blvd., Miami, Fla.
Mann, Robert T.; Atty.; 703 Wallace S. Bldg., Tampa, Fla.
Gentry, Edd W.; Bus.; 1536 Geraldine Dr., Jacksonville 5, Fla.

Weems, Mrs. Howard V.; Hmkr.; 160 S. Lakeview Dr., Sebring, Fla.
Sargeant, John B.; Dairyman; Box 17, Lakeland, Fla.
Noble, Fred B.; Atty.; 221 Fla. Title Bldg., Jacksonville, Fla.

Reserves

Cotton, Clare M.; First Ch., Lakeland; Box 2097, Miami Beach, Fla.
Ware, H. Melton; Trinity Ch.; Box 1086, Tallahassee, Fla.
Ray, Laurie G.; Deceased, December 23, 1963.
Thompson, Claude H.; Prof., Candler School of Theol.; Emory Univ., Atlanta 22, Ga.
Holmes, Robert C.; Tallahassee Dist.; Box 3545-MSS, Tallahassee, Fla.
Sikes, John M.; Southside Ch., Jacksonville; 2226 N.W. 2nd Ave., Gainesville, Fla.
Howe, Gaylon L.; Riverside Park Ch.; 819 Park St., Jacksonville 4, Fla.
McDonell, C. Durward; Tampa Dist.; 2502 Morrison Ave., Tampa 9, Fla.
Blackburn, Robert M.; First Ch.; 225 E. Duvall, Jacksonville 2, Fla.
McLeod, J. Milburn; Jacksonville Dist.; 225 E. Duvall St., Jacksonville 2, Fla.
Bozeman, W. S.; First Ch.; 1007 Florida Ave., Tampa 2, Fla.
Slade, Thomas H.; Bus.; Starke, Fla.
Pacetti, Madison F.; Atty.; Box 2635, West Palm Beach, Fla.
Rutland, Mrs. R. Eugene; Hmkr.; Box 166, McIntosh, Fla.
White, Mrs. E. B.; Hmkr.; 2344 Gilmore St.; Jacksonville 4, Fla.
Smith, William B.; Bus.; Box 33; Monticello, Fla.
McDonald, Morton; Title Service; Box 115, Deland, Fla.
Thornal, Campbell; Justice, Fla. Supreme Court; Supreme Court Bldg., Tallahassee, Fla.
Smedley, J. M.; Map Publ.; Box 2095, Deland, Fla.
Burr, R. Hudson, Jr.; Bus.; 922 Pembrooke Pl., Lake Wales, Fla.
Turbeville, M. L.; Mfr.; 2174 Arlington, Sarasota, Fla.
Maness, William H.; Atty.; 5055 Ortega Blvd.; Jacksonville 10, Fla.

FOOCHOW (2) OS

GENESEE (6) NE

Sec. E, Rows 5-6, Seats 3-5

**Aldrich, Charles S.;* Jamestown Dist.; 314 Harding Ave., Jamestown, N. Y.
Mowry, Clytus F.; Rochester Dist.; 153 Highland Pkwy., Rochester 20. N. Y.
Richardson, Dean E.; Buffalo Dist.; 2 Brantwood Rd., Snyder 26, N. Y.
Kelly, Mrs. John E.; Hmkr.; 86 Glenthorne Rd., Rochester 15, N. Y.
Mabuce, Mrs. John O.; Hmkr.; 10125 Main St., Clarence, N. Y.
Clay, Thomas B.; Ret.; 584 Richmond Ave., Buffalo 22, N. Y.

Reserves

Horner, William H.; Lincoln Mem. Ch.; 48 Donaldson Rd., Buffalo 8, N. Y.
Root, Robert C.; Batavia Dist.; 126 Grandview Terr.; Batavia, N. Y.
Williams, Foster J.; Pastor; 180 Union St., Hamburg, N. Y.
Zentz, Franklin M.; Pastor; 28 Landers Rd., Kenmore 17, N. Y.
Modisher, Donald E.; First Ch.; 29 Church St., Fredonia, N. Y.
Root, Mrs. Robert C.; Hmkr.; 126 Grandview Terr., Batavia, N. Y.
Cooke, George W.; Atty.; 25 E. Main St., Rochester 14, N. Y.
Price, Orville B.; Ret.; 19 Birmingham St., Rochester 18, N. Y.
Stoll, Allyn J.; Sales Mgr.; 430 East Ave., Buffalo 7, N. Y.

Archer, Dorothy T.; Bishop's Sec.; 3101 Burnet Ave., Syracuse 6, N. Y.

GEORGIA (2) C

Sec. A, Row 2, Seats 5-6

**Allen, L. Scott;* Edit., *Central Chr. Adv.;* 631 Baronne St., New Orleans 12, La.
Brawley, James P.; Pres., Clark College; 240 Chestnut St., Atlanta 14, Ga.

Reserves

Grier, Joe D.; Fort St. Mem. Ch.; 596 Glen Iris Dr., N.E., Atlanta 8, Ga.
Epps, Anderson C.; Atlanta East Dist.; 108 Burbank Dr., S.W., Atlanta 14, Ga.
Carter, A. M.; Ins.; 1421 12th St., Augusta, Ga.
Wilson, W. E.; Phys.; 1498 Mozley Dr., S.W., Atlanta 14, Ga.

GUJARAT (2) OS

Sec. A, Row 5, Seats 3-4

**Master, Ithiel V.;* DS; Mission Rd., Nadiad, Kaira Dist., India.
Contractor, Joseph M.; Chem.; Chakarvarti Falia, Anand, Kaira Dist., India.

Reserves

Rathod, Raiji M.; DS; Meth. Ch., Raikhad, Ahmedabad 1, India.
Parmar, Rameshchandra E.; Atty.; Near Meth. Ch., Godhra, Panch Mahals, India.

HINGHWA (2) OS

HOLSTON (14) SE

Sec. B, Rows 5-6, Seats 1-7

**Hunt, Earl G., Jr.;* Pres., Emory and Henry College; Box 1, Emory, Va.
Stokes, Mack B.; Asso. Dean, Candler Sch. of Theol.; Emory Univ., Atlanta 22, Ga.
Eldridge, Edgar A.; Maryville Dist.; 1752 Old Niles Ferry, Maryville, Tenn.
James, D. Trigg, Sr.; Exec. Sec., Jur. Council; 63 Auburn Ave., N.E., Atlanta 3, Ga.
Moore, Mark M.; Clinton Dist.; Box 116, Clinton, Tenn.
Watkins, Clyde F.; Exec. Sec., Intbd. Council; Box 1178, Johnson City, Tenn.
Dodd, Paul R.; Kingsport Dist.; Box 894, Kingsport, Tenn.
Prigmore, L. T., Jr.; Mfr.; Box 64, Lupton City, Tenn.
Hauk, Horace B.; Supervisor; 205 N. Morgan St., Kingsport, Tenn.
Blazer, Earl W.; Ins.; Bank of Maryville Bldg., Maryville, Tenn.
Campbell, R. C.; Jurist; 214 Watauga St., Elizabethton, Tenn.
Jackson, H. Leo; Salesman; Dublin, Va.
Smith, Holiday; Mgr.; 105 Watauga St., Kingsport, Tenn.
Flegal, Mrs. Robert; Hmkr.; 1200 S. Crest Rd., Rossville, Ga.

Reserves

Duncan, Robert H.; Munsey Mem. Ch.; 201 E. Market St., Johnson City, Tenn.
Chilcote, Thomas F.; Fountain City Ch.; 212 Hotel Ave., Knoxville, Tenn.

Hardin, Cecil P.; First Ch.; Box 335, Oak Ridge, Tenn.
Varnell, Sam N., Jr.; First Ch.; Box 28, Rogersville, Tenn.
Steele, William S.; Brainerd Ch.; 4315 Brainerd Rd., Chattanooga 11, Tenn.
Seymour, W. M.; State St. Ch.; Green Hill Dr., Bristol, Va.
Worley, W. Paul; Church St. Ch.; Box 1303, Knoxville, Tenn.
Settle, Frank A.; Wytheville Dist.; Box 46, Wytheville, Va.
Porter, R. Frank; Johnson City Dist.; Box 1064, Johnson City, Tenn.
Long, Carroll; Surgeon; 107 W. Fairview Ave., Johnson City, Tenn.
Neeley, Sam, Jr.; Bus.; Norton, Va.
Kramer, R. R.; Atty.; Box 629, Knoxville, Tenn.
Sherrod, C. C.; Banker; Old Jonesboro Rd., Johnson City, Tenn.
Powers, Mrs. R. H.; Hmkr.; Box 7, Sweetwater, Tenn.
Graybeal, H. C.; Ret.; Gilbert & Sullivan Sts., Radford, Va.
Powers, R. H.; Mfr.; Box 7, Sweetwater, Tenn.
Yeatts, Ernest; Peace Officer; Meadowview, Va.
Wilkes, Bryan; Security Officer; 900 Kilmer St., Chattanooga, Tenn.

HYDERABAD (2) OS

Sec. A, Row 2, Seats 1-2

**Robert, Maddala D.;* Pastor; Meth. Ch., Zaheerabad, A.P., India.
Daniels, A. Rudolph; House 3-9-1109/A, Hyderguda, King Kothi, Hyderabad, A.P., India.

Reserves

Gladstone, Houle S.; Pastor; Meth. Ch., Chapel Rd., Hyderabad, A.P., India.
Christdas, Chanda; Central Treas., WDSC; 22, Club Back Rd., Byculla, Bombay 8, India.

IDAHO (2) W

Sec. A, Row 11, Seats 4-5

**Bolen, V. Leon;* First Ch.; Box 946, Pocatello, Idaho.
Schwiebert, Erwin H.; Dir. of Endowment Dev., College of Idaho; 1522 Dearborn St., Caldwell, Idaho.

Reserves

Riddle, Earl W.; First Ch.; Box 282, Twin Falls, Idaho.
Deal, Homer S.; Ins.; 2305 Ellis Ave., Boise, Idaho.

INDIANA (8) NC

Sec. B, Rows 3-4, Seats 9-12

**Forbes, James K.;* Indianapolis Dist.; 910 Underwriters' Bldg., Indianapolis, Ind.
Burton, William N.; Bloomington Dist.; 834 Sheridan Dr., Bloomington, Ind.
Tyler, Charles A.; Rushville Dist.; Rt. 4, Beechwood Dr., Rushville, Ind.
Armstrong, A. James; Broadway Ch.; 609 E. 29th St., Indianapolis 5, Ind.
Susat, Edward; Dir., Co-op. Engrg., Evansville College; 2901 Wayside Dr., Evansville, Ind.
Kibler, Russell; Ins.; Farmersburg, Ind.
Priest, Mrs. Roy; Conf. WSCS Pres.; 4301 Stringtown Rd., Evansville, Ind.
Dougherty, Glenn; Mgr.; Rt. 1, Lower River Rd., Aurora, Ind.

Reserves

Keith, James W.; Evansville Dist.; 1214 Southfield Rd., Evansville, Ind.
Templin, Frank M.; Meridian St. Ch.; 5500 N. Meridian, Indianapolis 8, Ind.
Hamilton, Richard E.; St. Luke's Ch.; 100 W. 86th St., Indianapolis 60, Ind.
McFall, Merrill B.; First Ch.; 618 8th St., Columbus, Ind.
Schwein, W. Merlin; New Albany Dist.; 3 Orchard Ln., New Albany, Ind.
Hodapp, Leroy C.; First Ch.; 201 E. Fourth St., Bloomington, Ind.
Hastings, Ralph G.; Ins.; Peoples Bank Bldg., Washington, Ind.
Hyde, Melvin W.; Pres., Evansville College; Evansville, Ind.
Jones, Ernest H., Sr.; Bus.; 2006 E. Franklin, Evansville, Ind.
Wright, Charles P.; Bus.; 5125 Keystone Ave., Indianapolis, Ind.
Warren, Mrs. Ruth; Dist. WSCS Pres.; 827 E. Powell Ave., Evansville, Ind.
Lorch, Basil; Atty.; 517 Elsby Bldg., New Albany, Ind.

INDUS RIVER (2) OS

Sec. A, Row 8, Seats 5-6

**Mall, Inayat S.;* HS Prin.; Christian Inst., Raewind (Lahore), West Pakistan.
Isaacs, Jay W.; Canal Dept. Offi.; Block 10, Khanewal (Multan), West Pakistan.

Reserves

Paul, Patrick N.; DS, Conf. Treas., Village Pastor; Stuntzabad, Via Mian Channum (Multan), West Pakistan.
James, Harry; DS, Village Pastor; Kahna Nau (Lahore), West Pakistan.
Samuel, John V.; Gen. Sec., Student Chr. Movement; 55 Mozang Rd., Lahore 4, West Pakistan.
Das, Khub; DS, City Pastor; Meth. Ch., Multan Cantt., West Pakistan.
Mathias, R.; HS Acct.; Christian Inst., Raewind (Lahore), West Pakistan.
Din, Amos; Tchr.; Bahawalpur, West Pakistan.
Sohotra, E. M.; Govt. Clerk; Mozung, Lahore 4, West Pakistan.
Fehr, Helen; Adult Literacy Expert; Stuntzabad, Via Mian Channum (Multan), West Pakistan.

KANSAS (8) SC

Sec. C, Rows 7-8, Seats 1-4

**Bramble, Albert F.;* Independence Dist.; Box 303, Independence, Kas.
Holter, Don W.; Pres., St. Paul Sch. of Theol.; 5110 Cherry, Kansas City 10, Mo.
Rising, Lloyd H.; First Ch.; 5th and Pine, Pittsburg, Kas.
Hayes, Clare J.; Lowman Mem. Ch.; 1101 Mulvane, Topeka, Kas.
Quellhorst, Ronald; Bus.; Conf. LL; 120 W. Peoria, Paola, Kas.
Coffman, Floyd H.; Judge; Franklin County Ct. House, Ottawa, Kas.
Burress, Mrs. Paul W.; Conf. WSCS Pres.; 425 W. 4th St., Holston, Kas.
Gessner, Mrs. Benjamin A.; Resigned.

Reserves

Watts, Ewart G.; First Ch.; 601 Harrison, Topeka, Kas.
Murray, Alvin W.; Topeka Dist.; 1268 Pembroke Ln., Topeka, Kas.

Rouch, Mark A.; First Ch.; Box 25, Baldwin, Kas.
Jones, Judd H.; Trinity Ch.; 700 Washington Blvd., Kansas City 1, Kas.
Schmidtke, Benjamin L.; Old Mission Ch., Kansas City; 5519 State Park Dr., Shawnee Mission, Kas.
Henry, Mrs. Albert; Hmkr.; 207 N. 5th St., Hiawatha, Kas.
Wisler, C. A.; Tax Cons., Conf. Assoc. LL; 1105 Constitution, Emporia, Kas.
Moyer, C. I.; SBA Dir., Chmn., Conf. Bd. Chr. Soc. Con.; 5318 Chadwick, Shawnee Mission, Kas.
Stover, Mrs. Warren, Jr.; Hmkr.; 615 N. 10th St., Fredonia, Kas.
Soulen, Mrs. Harold L.; Hmkr.; 2614 N. 77th St., Bethel, Kas.

KENTUCKY (6) SE

Sec. C, Rows 1-2, Seats 5-7

**Patton, Russell R.;* Ashland Dist.; Box 1451, Ashland, Ky.
Tullis, Edward L.; First Ch.; 2400 Forest Ave., Ashland, Ky.
Wesley, Ralph G.; Danville Dist.; 326 N. Ft. Thomas Ave., Ft. Thomas, Ky.
Jones, Howard M.; Bus.; 705 W. 5th St., London, Ky.
Creech, Mrs. John A.; Hmkr.; 291 S. Ashland Ave., Lexington, Ky.
Savage, William E.; Seminary Bus. Mgr.; Rt. 2, Lexington, Ky.

Reserves

Durham, Donald W.; Maysville Dist.; 1716 S. Limestone, Lexington, Ky.
King, Frank C.; Lexington Dist.; 215 Catalpa Dr., Lexington, Ky.
Pettus, William F.; Barbourville Dist.; 315 Exeter Ave., Middlesboro, Ky.
Sweazy, Albert W.; Centenary Ch.; Box 446, Danville, Ky.
Johnson, Zachary T.; Pres., Asbury College; Wilmore, Ky.
Holbrook, Mrs. John W.; Hmkr.; Morehead, Ky.
Stanley, Osso W.; Deceased.
Haggard, Carl W.; Ret.; 124 Bassett Ave., Lexington, Ky.
Trautwein, George C.; Publ.; 24 Wesley Hills Dr., Ft. Thomas, Ky.
Crawford, Jack; Engr.; Box 446, Burnside, Ky.

KIANGSI (2) OS

LEXINGTON (4) C

Sec. C, Rows 9-10, Seats 11-12

**Gibson, Harry B., Jr.;* Gorham Ch.; 121 E. 56th St., Chicago 37, Ill.
Riley, Sumpter M., Jr.; Cory Ch.; 10515 E. Grantwood Ave., Cleveland 8, Ohio.
Fields, Mrs. Louis H.; Reg., Placement Dir.; Kentucky State College, Frankfort, Ky.
Johnson, Joseph T.; Fun. Dir.; 462 W. Division St.; Chicago 10, Ill.

Reserves

Young, Damon P.; Columbus Dist.; 1792 E. Long St., Columbus 3, Ohio.
Copher, Charles B.; Prof. and Adm. Dean, Interdenominational Theol. Center; 3340 Lake Valley Rd., N.W., Atlanta 11, Ga.
Bryant, Thomas V.; Civil Service Employee, Conf. Dir. of Adult Wk.; 109 Smith Ave., Jeffersonville, Ind.
Kellogg, Charles P.; Asst. Dir., Gen. Bd. of Lay Activities; 1200 Davis St., Evanston, Ill.

LIBERIA (2) OS

Sec. C, Row 3, Seats 5-6

**Freeman, Urias B.;* DS; Capitol Hill, Monrovia, Liberia.
Dennis, James B.; Ambassador to Spain; State Department, Monrovia, Liberia.

Reserves

Scotland, John R.; Pastor; c/o Supt. of Maryland County, Liberia.
Harris, Melville F.; Govt. Serv.; Dept. of Agric., Monrovia, Liberia.

LITTLE ROCK (6) SC

Sec. B, Rows 5-6, Seats 8-10

**Steel, Marshall T.;* Pres., Hendrix College; Conway, Ark.
Culver, Cecil R.; Exec. Sec., Area Council; 1723 Broadway, Little Rock, Ark.
Richards, Charles W.; Pine Bluff Dist.; 2719 Cherry St., Pine Bluff, Ark.
Shelton, Roland M.; Rl. Est.; 1509 Fair Park Blvd., Little Rock, Ark.
Miller, Luther L.; Ret.; 1517 Linden Ave., Texarkana, Tex.
Miller, Luther J.; Bus.; 5116 Bruno, Little Rock, Ark.

Reserves

Clayton, J. Ralph; Camden Dist.; Box 5768, Camden, Ark.
Hozendorf, C. Ray; First Ch.; Hill and Cedar Sts., El Dorado, Ark.
Mann, D. Mouzon; Arkadelphia Dist.; Box 528, Arkadelphia, Ark.
Fooks, B. T.; Mfr.; 208 Agee, Camden, Ark.
Hillis, Raymond; Bus.; Malvern, Ark.
Allman, S. H.; Ret.; 201 Pecan, Hot Springs, Ark.

LOUISIANA (2) C

Sec. A, Row 7, Seats 5-6

**Handy, William T., Jr.;* St. Mark Ch.; 149 N. 14th St., Baton Rouge, La.
Netterville, George L.; College Adm.; 1870 Harding Blvd., Baton Rouge, La.

Reserves

Wethers, Carl T.; Baton Rouge Dist.; Box 514, Baton Rouge, La.
Norris, William S. P.; Shreveport Dist.; 1850 Logan St., Shreveport, La.
Stringer, Monroe T., Jr.; Labor Union Exec.; 5124 Willow St., New Orleans 15, La.
Badgett, Mrs. E. J.; Off. Mgr., *Central Chr. Adv.;* 2313 Bienville St., New Orleans 19, La.

LOUISIANA (10) SC

Sec. E, Rows 5-6, Seats 8-12

**Trice, William E.;* Reily Mem. Univ. Ch.; 3350 Dalrymple Dr., Baton Rouge 2, La.
Lueg, Carl F., Sr.; New Orleans Dist.; 1431 Octavia St., New Orleans 15, La.
Jackson, Douglas E.; Prof., Perkins Sch. of Theol.; 3233 Amherst St., Dallas 25, Tex.
Oliphint, Benjamin R.; St. Paul's Ch.; 1901 Lexington, Monroe, La.

Poole, Daniel W.; Henning Mem. Ch.; 401 Ruth St., Sulphur, La.
Cotton, W. Davis; Atty.; Box 478, Rayville, La.
Daughenbaugh, Howard L., Sr.; RR Agt.; 224A Jewel St., New Orleans 24, La.
Dameron, Mrs. George W.; Hmkr., Conf. WSCS Pres.; 112 Redd St., Pineville, La.
Mouser, Vincent M.; Atty.; Columbia, La.
Lay, Robert P.; Ins.; 322 Levin Ln., Shreveport, La., 71105

Reserves

Cooke, R. Leonard; Lake Charles Dist.; 2119 22nd St., Lake Charles, La.
Haug, Edward R.; Monroe Dist.; 501 Hilton, Monroe, La.
Douglas, Luman E.; First Ch.; 624 Morningside, Houma, La.
Sloane, Bentley; Baton Rouge Dist.; 4044 S. Ramsey Dr., Baton Rouge, La.
Harper, Jolly B.; Shreveport Dist.; 839 Monrovia St., Shreveport, La.
Bowdon, J. Henry, Sr.; Area Dir.; Box 4156, Shreveport, La.
Flurry, Fred S.; Alexandria Dist.; 1818 Texas Ave., Alexandria, La.
Dixon, John A., Jr.; Judge; Caddo Parish Ct. House, Shreveport, La.
Pitt, Thomas A.; Deceased.
O'Neal, Robert J.; Ret.; Caddo Parish Ct. House, Shreveport, La.
Kavanaugh, Joseph H.; Atty.; 4642 Palm St., Baton Rouge, La.
Love, J. C., Jr.; Bus.; Box 370, Ruston, La.
Snow, Dudley V.; Ins.; 1500 N. Market, Shreveport, La.
Matheny, Thomas H.; Atty.; Guaranty Bank Bldg., Hammond, La.

LOUISVILLE (8) SE

Sec. C, Rows 7-8, Seats 9-12

**Averitt, James W.;* Louisville East Dist.; 1115 S. 4th St., Louisville 3, Ky.
Curry, James S.; Louisville West Dist.; 1115 S. 4th St., Louisville 3, Ky.
Weldon, E. Wade; 4th Ave. Ch.; 318 W. St. Catherine St., Louisville 3, Ky.
Hightower, Ted; St. Paul Ch.; 2000 Douglas Blvd., Louisville 5, Ky.
Broadbent, Smith, Jr.; Conf. LL, Planter; Cadiz, Ky.
Arterburn, Mrs. Haskel E.; Conf. WSCS Pres.; Park City, Ky.
Sprowls, Claude S.; Louisville Chamber of Commerce; 229 Cumberland Ave., Louisville 14, Ky.
McQuary, Thomas J.; Civil Engr.; Brandenburg, Ky.

Reserves

Whitmer, Marvin B.; First Ch.; 1305 Main St., Hopkinsville, Ky.
Wood, George S.; Bowling Green Dist.; 609 Hampton Rd., Bowling Green, Ky.
Chandler, Henry T.; Henderson Dist.; 226 6th St., Henderson, Ky.
McDonald, L. R.; Campbellsville Dist.; 415 N. Columbia, Campbellsville, Ky.
Perkins, Rual T.; St. Matthew's Ch.; 4008 Norbourne Blvd., Louisville 7, Ky.
Hubbard, Albert T.; Bus.; 202 Brown Ave., Louisville, Ky.
Hutcherson, Lyon B., Sr.; Bus.; Glasgow, Ky.
Biggers, Gilbert M.; Bus.; 869 Richland, Bowling Green, Ky.
McKinney, James H.; Ins.; 713 Griffith, Owensboro, Ky.
Secrest, Elmer S.; Ins.; Campbellsville, Ky.

LUCKNOW (2) OS

Sec. F, Row 4, Seats 7-8

**Shaw, Alfred J.;* Sec., Central Conf. Council of Chr. Edu.; Ram Krishna Marg, Faizabad Rd., Lucknow, U.P., India.
Davidson, Matthew R.; Ret.; Mission Compound, 15/11, Civil Lines, Kanpur, U.P., India.

Reserves

Sahai, George S.; Prin., Leonard Theol. College; Jabalpur, M.P., India.
Franklin, Allnutt T.; Tchr.; 32 MacRobertganj, Kanpur, U.P., India.

MADHYA PRADESH (2) OS

Sec. C, Row 1, Seats 3-4

**Hulasi Rae, John V.;* Asst. to Branch Treas.; Inter-Mission Bus. Office, Box 92, Fort, Bombay, India.
Prasad, Timothius K.; Asst. Tchr.; Fisher Middle School; Khandwa, Dist. Nimar, M.P., India.

Reserves

Seth, Chandra; Pastor; Meth. Ch., Village Dasapal, Post Rajnagar, Dist. Bastar, M.P., India.
Emerson, Mrs. H. M.; Miss. Wife; 401, Nepier Town, Jabalpur, M.P., India.

MAINE (4) NE

Sec. E, Rows 5-6, Seats 6-7

**Boobar, Lester L.;* Portland Dist.; 226 Bradley St., Portland 5, Me.
Beckford, Lewis H.; Bangor Dist.; 211 W. Broadway, Bangor, Me.
Cummings, E. Millett; Salesman; 317 Stevens Ave., Portland, Me.
Currie, Margaret; Atty.; Saco, Me.

Reserves

Smith, H. Travers; Pastor; 38 Oak St., Orono, Me.
Chamberlain, William A.; Exec. Sec., Intbd. Council; Box 277, Winthrop, Me.
Maxwell, Irving W.; Atty.; 125 Chadwick St., Portland, Me.
Kenderdine, John E.; Postal Clerk; 198 Mechanic St., Westbrook, Me.

MALAYA (2) OS

Sec. C, Row 2, Seats 1-2

**Yap, Kim-Hao;* DS and Pastor; 2 Wesley Rd., Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
Wong, Lai-Yuen; Headmaster, Meth. Boys' Primary School; Sentul, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

Reserves

Ong, Chaik-Ghee; Pastor; Box 483, Singapore, Malaysia.
Wong, Hoon-Hee; Pastor; 136 Burmah Rd., Penang, Malaysia.
Lee, Andrew; Bus.; 26 Lengkok Angsa, Singapore 13, Malaysia.
Nesaratnam, E. V.; Gov't. Serv.; 3175 Guillemard Rd., Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

MALAYSIA CHINESE (2) OS

Sec. D, Row 5, Seats 8-9

**Stockwell, F. O.;* Prin., Trinity College; 7 Mt. Sophia, Singapore 9, Malaysia.

Khoo, Siaw-Hua; Voluntary Chaplain, Singapore Prisons; 85 Kings Rd., Singapore, Malaysia.

Reserves

Tan, Phek-Giok; Pastor; Chinese Meth. Ch., Alor Star, Kedah, Malaysia.

Un, Boon-Chong; DS; 18 Madras Lane, Penang, Malaysia.

Fang, C. N.; DS; 2 Labu Road, Seremban, Malaysia.

Lai, Kam-Hong; DS; 4 Jalan Hussain, N.T., Ipoh, Perak, Malaysia.

Lim, Swee-Hong; Prin., Meth. School; 87 Main St., Ampang, K.L., Malaysia.

Cheng, Dora; Treas., WDSC Account; 26 Shelford Rd., Singapore 11, Malaysia.

Siau, Boon-Chong; Chinese Affairs Officer; 114 Loh Ti Kok Rd., Kajang, Selangor, Malaysia.

Wong, Yeng-Choon; Tin Miner; 15 Jalan Wan Mohd Salleh, Ipoh, Perak, Malaysia.

MEMPHIS (8) SE

Sec. E, Rows 1-2, Seats 9-12

**Flatt, Franklin Alton;* Memphis North Dist.; 2158 Union Ave., Rm. 519, Memphis 4, Tenn.

Fisher, James A.; St. Luke's Ch.; 4155 Minden Rd., Memphis 11, Tenn.

Irion, James P.; Broadway Ch.; 3334 Buckner Ln., Paducah, Ky.

Lyles, Paul T.; Paris Dist.; 110 Ogburn, Paris, Tenn.

Bond, Red H.; Telephone Co. Emp.; Dyersburg, Tenn.

Stratton, Leslie M., III; Bus.; 105 E. Cherry Dr., Memphis, Tenn.

Yancey, Charles L.; Bus.; 3226 James Rd., Memphis, Tenn.

Hamer, Mrs. R. S.; Hmkr.; 514 W. College St., Brownsville, Tenn.

Reserves

Gilbert, J. C.; Lexington Dist.; 205 Boswell, Lexington, Tenn.

Williams, Roy D., Sr.; First Ch.; 2nd & Poplar, Memphis 5, Tenn.

Townsend, Harrell A.; Dean of Chapel, Lambuth College; Jackson, Tenn.

Robbins, Carl M.; Exec. Sec., Conf. Council; Lambuth College, Jackson, Tenn.

Ramer, Lloyd W.; First Ch.; Murray, Ky.

Evans, William S., Sr.; Whitehaven Ch.; 4523 Hwy. 59 S., Memphis 16, Tenn.

Tanner, H. Wallace; Contr.; Rt. 32, Paducah, Ky.

Inman, W. O.; Sch. Supt.; 602 Jackson St., Paris, Tenn.

Hughes, Nat Ryan; Atty.; Murray, Ky.

Mackey, Joe; Adm., Meth. Hospital; 1265 Union Ave., Memphis 4, Tenn.

Hood, Dixon; Acct.; 28 E. Main St., Brownsville, Tenn.

Doss, Russell; R. Est.; 4036 Patte Ann Dr., Memphis, Tenn.

MICHIGAN (8) NC

Sec. C, Rows 9-10, Seats 7-10

**Pryor, Thomas M.;* First Ch.; 4113 Bronson Blvd., Kalamazoo, Mich.

Tennant, John W.; First Ch.; 302 Berrien St., Albion, Mich.

Goodwin, Heath T.; Grand Traverse Dist.; 12102 W. Bay Shore Rd., Traverse City, Mich.
Lyman, Howard A.; Albion-Lansing Dist.; 307 Burr Oak, Albion, Mich.
Neller, Alvin A.; Atty.; 1022 E. Michigan Ave., Lansing, Mich.
Dix, Mrs. W. Gordon; Hmkr.; 3723 Prairie S.W., Grandville, Mich.
Holbrook, Donald E.; Judge; Clare, Mich.
Strong, Donald T.; Bus.; 2001 Greenlawn Ave., Kalamazoo, Mich.

Reserves

Avery, Keith T.; Grand Rapids Dist.; 305 Edgehill Dr., S.E., Grand Rapids 6, Mich.
Large, Dwight S.; Central Ch.; 205 N. Capital, Lansing, Mich.
Jongeward, Robert H.; First Ch.; 275 W. Michigan, Jackson, Mich.
Wright, James W.; First Ch.; Fulton at Barkley, Grand Rapids, Mich.
McKean, Maurice D., First Ch.; 1502 Broadway, Niles, Mich.
Buck, Stanley M.; First Ch.; 408 Britain, Benton Harbor, Mich.
Wilcox, Mrs. Katherine W.; Psychiatrist; Traverse City, Mich.
Helrigel, William H., Jr.; Fun. Dir.; 500 Irwin, Albion, Mich.
Marshall, Donald B.; Resigned.
Richard, Stiles; Farmer; Route 2, Richland, Mich.
Grettenberger, Mrs. Louis H.; Hmkr.; 3853 Lake Drive, S.E., Grand Rapids, Mich.
Wheeler, Gordon B.; Atty.; 194 Hodenpyl Dr., S.E., Grand Rapids, Mich.

MID-CHINA (2) OS

MIDDLE PHILIPPINES (2) OS

Sec. F, Row 11, Seats 2-3

**Galang, Fidel P.;* Translation Consultant, Philippine Bible House; Box 755, Manila, Philippines.
Tarroza, Felipe A.; Conf. Treas.; Calumpit, Bulacan, Philippines.

Reserves

Garcia, Catalino T.; Nueva Ecija Dist.; 616 Mabini Extension, Cabanatuan City, Philippines.
Sansano, Lauro O.; Chmn., Conf. Bd. of Trustees; Gabaldon St., Cabanatuan City, Philippines.

MINDANAO (2) OS

Sec. E, Row 3, Seats 1-2

**Santos, Tomás de los;* DS; P.O. Kabacan, Cotabato, Philippines.
Respicio, Alfredo R.; Employee; Kidapawan, Cotabato, Philippines.

Reserves

Garibay, Limerio C.; Pastor; P.O. Kabacan, Cotabato, Philippines.
Padua, Pacfico L.; Ins.; Marbel, Koronadal, Cotabato, Philippines.

MINNESOTA (10) NC

Sec. B, Rows 13-14, Seats 8-12

**Huffman, Russell A.;* Minneapolis Dist.; 305 W. Fanklin Ave., Minneapolis 4, Minn.
Pennington, Chester A.; Hennepin Ave. Ch.; Lyndale and Groveland, Minneapolis 3, Minn.

Nyberg, Dennis F.; Lake Harriet Ch.; 4901 Chowen Ave., S., Minneapolis 10, Minn.
Pieh, Charles E.; Central Dist.; Box 799, Litchfield, Minn.
Butters, George A.; Southeast Dist.; 1514 9th Street, N.E., Rochester, Minn.
Faber, Francis H.; Adv.; Rt. 5, Box 148 W, Excelsior, Minn.
Spear, Mrs. Charles W.; Hmkr.; 461 Kings Rd., Fairmont, Minn.
Whiteley, Lawrence E.; Bus.; 1417 E. Lake St., Minneapolis 7, Minn.
Gridley, Mrs. John; Hmkr.; Arlington, Minn.
Richardson, Mrs. Clarence E.; Hmkr.; 780 E. Iowa, St. Paul 6, Minn.

Reserves

Sweet, Charles R.; First Ch., St. Paul; 702 Woodland Ave., Duluth 12, Minn.
Chant, George W.; Richfield Ch.; 28 E. Minnehaha Pkwy., Minneapolis 19, Minn.
Purdham, Charles B.; North Ch.; 1221 44th Ave., N., Minneapolis 12, Minn.
Grose, Wilbur D.; Centenary Ch.; Box 804, Mankato, Minn.
Klaus, LeRoy H.; Hamline Ch.; 1514 Englewood, St. Paul 4, Minn.
Martin, Edward S.; St. Paul Dist.; 1927 Simpson Ave., St. Paul 13, Minn.
Powers, Jeanne A.; Asso., St. Anthony Park Ch.; 1387 N. Cleveland Ave., St. Paul 8, Minn.
Schweickhard, Dean M.; Edu.; 580 Otis Ave., St. Paul 4, Minn.
Whitson, S. A.; Surgeon; 304 Fountain, Albert Lea, Minn.
Gold, Donald W.; Invest.; 615 E. 4th St., Redwood Falls, Minn.
Fletcher, Fremont C.; Atty.; 6809 Cornelia Dr., Minneapolis, Minn.
Hooke, Albert V.; Conf. Off. of Finance; 14000 Royal Ct., Hopkins, Minn.
Barr, Mrs. Ronald; Hmkr.; 815 Grove Ave., Montevideo, Minn.
Michener, Charles V.; Edu.; 314 Preston, N.W., Preston, Minn.

MISSISSIPPI (2) C

Sec. E, Row 2, Seats 3-4

**Payne, Charlemagne P., Sr.;* Jackson Dist.; 725 Watson St., Jackson, Miss.
McCullum, Ira W.; Tchr.; Star Rt. Box 14, Vossburg, Miss.

Reserves

Clay, H. C., Jr.; St. Paul Ch.; 517 Jefferson St., Laurel, Miss.
Hunt, Dollye; Tchr.; 103 Harrison Blvd., Laurel, Miss.

MISSISSIPPI (8) SE

Sec. C, Rows 5-6, Seats 4-7

**Leggett, J. Willard, Jr.;* Jackson Dist.; Box 303, Jackson, Miss.
Jones, G. Eliot; First Ch.; Box 956, Laurel, Miss.
Granberry, Seth W.; Capitol St. Ch.; 531 W. Capitol St., Jackson, Miss.
Prewitt, Thomas O.; Seashore Dist.; Box 234, Gulfport, Miss.
Satterfield, John C., Sr.; Atty.; Sunset Dr., Yazoo City, Miss.
Jordan, Bert C.; Bus.; 212 Leavell Woods Dr., Jackson, Miss.
Stevens, Ben M., Sr.; Bus.; Richton, Miss.
Moorhead, Edwin E.; Exec., Miss. River Com.; Box 691, Vicksburg, Miss.

Reserves

McLelland, William C.; Leavell Woods Ch.; 3302 Terry Rd., Jackson, Miss.
Dement, Frank E., Jr.; St. Luke's Ch.; Box 4828, Jackson 6, Miss.
Moore, R. Inman, Sr.; Vicksburg Dist.; Box 527, Vicksburg, Miss.
Kates, Robert L.; First Ch.; Box 371, Pascagoula, Miss.
Gaddy, L. Shaw; Pastor; Brandon, Miss.
Smith, R. Stewart; Pastor; Moss Point, Miss.
Alford, J. W.; Bus.; McComb, Miss.
Egger, John F.; Investments; 2314 Poplar Springs Dr., Meridian, Miss.
Sissell, Spencer W.; Govt. Serv.; 5446 Pine Lane Dr., Jackson, Miss.
Hays, Ralph; Newspaper Edit.; Box 404, Laurel, Miss.
Breland, A. Dan, Jr.; Banker; Box 610, Crystal Springs, Miss.
Wilson, Earl; Atty.; 901 First Nat'l Bank Bldg., Jackson 1, Miss.

MISSOURI EAST (8) SC

Sec. A, Rows 8-9, Seats 1-4

**Hager, Wesley H.;* Grace Ch.; 6199 Waterman, St. Louis 12, Mo.
Brower, Floyd V.; Pastor; Caruthersville, Mo.
Wagner, Joseph H.; Hannibal-Mexico Dist.; 3414 W. Ely Rd., Hannibal, Mo.
Bryan, Monk; Missouri Ch.; 9th and Locust, Columbia, Mo.
Hawkins, J. C.; Bus.; 7421 Warwick Dr., St. Louis, Mo., 63121.
Jones, A. L.; Ret.; Shelbina, Mo.
Gillespie, Mrs. Charles B.; Hmkr.; 104 E. Circle Dr., Jefferson City, Mo.
Littrell, Wade H.; Bus.; 2675 Dougherty Ferry Rd., Kirkwood 22, Mo.

Reserves

Lytle, D. R.; First Ch.; Jefferson City, Mo.
Strader, V. B.: Pastor; 200 W. Washington, Kirkwood, Mo.
Hicks, Ralph H.; Kirksville Dist.; 1716 E. McPherson, Kirksville, Mo.
Montgomery, J. C., Jr.; Union Ch.; 3543 Watson Rd., St. Louis 9, Mo.
Kinnard, Cannon; Pastor; Farmington, Mo.
Powell, Bradford B.; St. John's Ch.; 5000 Washington, St. Louis 8, Mo.
Shipp, Ronald; Bus.; Thayer, Mo.
Schupp, Oscar; State Dept. of Edu.; 1403 Bald Hill Rd., Jefferson City. Mo.
Kane, Mrs. C. G.; Hmkr.; 7469 Stanford, St. Louis 30, Mo.
Allison, William: Elec. Engr.; 7843 Contour St., St. Louis 21, Mo.
Brown. Covert; Ret.: Houston, Mo.
Norduft, Sylvester; Farmer; Gordonville, Mo.

MISSOURI WEST (10) SC

Sec. B, Rows 7-8, Seats 8-12

**Fulton, Ross A.;* Springfield Dist.; 2303 Cedarbrook, Springfield, Mo.
Winter, Hauser; First Ch.; 120 N. Main, Maryville, Mo.
Standard, Forrest L.; North Cross Ch.; 1321 E. Vivion Rd., Kansas City 18. Mo.
Starkey, Lycurgus M., Jr.; Prof., St. Paul Sch. of Theol.; 5518 Crestwood Dr., Kansas City 10, Mo.
Arbaugh, Robert N.; St. Paul Ch.: Box 1356 S.S.S., Springfield. Mo.
Mehl. Mrs. Ernest; Hmkr.; 9813 Mohawk Ln., Leawood, Kans., 66206.
DuBois. Hugh; Optometrist; Marshall, Mo.
Hall, N. Guy; Bus.; 928 S. Glenstone, Springfield, Mo.

Seiberling, George; Farmer; Chillicothe, Mo.
Perryman, Mrs. W. L.; Hmkr.; 1531 Denver, Kansas City 27, Mo.

Reserves

Jones, Z. Glen; Kansas City North Dist.; 4319 N. Central, Kansas City 16, Mo.
Ward, A. Sterling; Prof., St. Paul Sch. of Theol.; 12901 E. 50th Terr., Independence, Mo.
Rowland, Perry A.; Joplin Dist.; 2903 Minnesota, Joplin, Mo.
Johnston, Kenneth C.; First Ch.; 501 W. 4th St., Joplin, Mo.
Chasteen, N. Clinton; Area Adm. Asst.; 1611 Pine, St. Louis 3, Mo.
Hall, Thomas D.; Maryville Dist.; 222 S. Walnut, Maryville, Mo.
McCall, Kenneth A.; Archt.; 4326 N. Walnut, Kansas City, Mo.
Frank, Paul; Contr.; Rt. 1, Lamar, Mo.
Mayfield, Robert; Gen. Sec., Bd. of Lay Act.; 1200 Davis St., Evanston, Ill.
Walker, E. C.; Exec. V-P, Chamber of Commerce; Box 303, Maryville, Mo.
Hart, Kenneth; Atty.; Osborn, Mo.
Brown, Mrs. Norton; Hmkr.; 3415 Pearl, Joplin, Mo.

MONTANA (2) W

Sec. A, Row 7, Seats 7-8

**Herbert, Hugh S.;* First Ch.; Box 1093, Missoula, Mont.
Munson, Sam A.; Ins.; 1301 N. Kendrick, Glendive, Mont.

Reserves

Bartram, John W.; Yellowstone Dist.; 1032 N. 26th St., Billings, Mont.
Patterson, Gordon A.; Glacier Park Dist.; 2612 1st Ave., N., Billings, Mont.
Jones, Willis B.; Atty.; Rt. 1, Billings, Mont.
Anderson, Roy L.; Aviation Weather Forecaster; 137 Riverview Dr., Great Falls, Mont.

MORADABAD (2) OS

Sec. A, Row 9, Seats 5-6

**Massey, Daniel B.;* DS; Meth. Ch., The Mall, Moradabad, U.P., India.
Das, Dalchand; Tchr.; "Joyous Lodge," Moradabad, U.P., India.

Reserves

Radha Krishan, John; Prof., Leonard Theol. College; Jabalpur, M.P., India.
Wilson, Mrs. Virginia M.; Hmkr.; "Wilsonia," Civil Lines, P.B. 22, Moradabad, U.P., India.

NEBRASKA (10) SC

Sec. E, Rows 7-8, Seats 1-5

**Clark, Alva H.;* St. Paul Ch.; 5410 Corby, Omaha 4, Neb.
Murphy, C. Edwin; Pro. Dir., Coord. Council; Box 4553, Lincoln, Neb.
Carlyon, Richard E.; Lincoln Dist.; Box 4553, Lincoln 4, Neb.
Chubb, James S.; Trinity Ch.; 514 W. 5th, Grand Island, Neb.
Jones, Lowell D.; Geneva Dist.; Box 353, Geneva, Neb.
Flaming, Wilbert K.; Farmer; Elsie, Neb.
Frey, John W.; Conf. Bus. Mgr.; Box 4553, Lincoln, Neb.

Marquardt, Mrs. A. R.; Jur. WSCS Pres.; 1130 S. 47th St., Lincoln, Neb.
Gentry, Mrs. Max; Hmkr.; Gering, Neb.
Dryden, Kenneth; Atty.; 11 Hillcrest Dr., Kearney, Neb.

Reserves

Davidson, Carl M.; First Ch.; 2702 N. 49th, Lincoln, Neb.
Townsend, Robert L.; Kearney Dist.; 3120 9th Ave., Kearney, Neb.
Bond, Nye O.; Christ Ch.; 4510 Mohawk, Lincoln, Neb.
Rogers, Vance D.; Pres., Nebraska Wesleyan Univ.; Lincoln, Neb.
Forsberg, Clarence J.; St. Paul Ch.; 4045 Mohawk, Lincoln, Neb.
Berg, Darrell E.; Trinity Ch.; 3019 S. 44th St., Lincoln, Neb.
Dickerson, Homer L.; First Ch.; 508 Spruce St., Ogallala, Neb.
Davis, Laurence R.; Trinity Ch.; 1924 N. Lafayette, Grand Island, Neb.
Mead, Mrs. Charles W.; Hmkr.; 5122 Davenport, Omaha, Neb.
Cobb, Mrs. Edgar; Hmkr.; Ogallala, Neb.
Dryden, Mrs. Kenneth; Hmkr.; 11 Hillcrest Dr., Kearney, Neb.
French, Walter; Prof.; Stromsberg, Neb.
Marsh, Marion; Farmer; R.F.D., Archer, Neb.
Anthes, Robert; Bus.; 902 W. 33rd St., Kearney, Neb.
Knight, George A.; Banker; 5403 Walker, Lincoln, Neb.
Frederick, Mrs. Ira; Conf. WSCS Pres.; 4412 Pierce, Omaha, Neb.

NEW ENGLAND (8) NE

Sec. C, Rows 3-4, Seats 1-4

**Ziegler, Wilbur C.;* Parkway Ch.; 19 Clifton Rd., Milton, Mass.
Lord, Lemuel K.; Melrose Ch.; 647 Main St., Melrose 76, Mass.
Uhlinger, James R.; Wesley Ch.; 61 Monadnock Rd., Worcester 9, Mass.
Wagner, H. Hughes; Trinity Ch.; 87 Maplewood Terr., Springfield 8, Mass.
Hartman, Mason N.; Bus.; 14 Stonehurst Rd., Needham, Mass., 02192.
Lawrence, Mrs. Melvin E.; Hmkr., Conf. WSCS Pres.; 232 Jenness St., Lynn, Mass.
Hartl, Mrs. Emil M.; Hmkr.; 27 Wheeler St., Boston 16, Mass.
Fraggos, James; Bus.; 297 Faneuil St., Allston, Mass.

Reserves

Johnson, Leslie H.; Worcester Dist.; Birchwood Dr., Holden, Mass.
Mirse, Ralph T.; Exec. Sec., Conf. Bd. of Miss.; 21 Ames Rd., Sudbury, Mass.
Ogle, William J.; Lynn Dist.; 237 Upham St., Melrose 76, Mass.
Greene, Jesse S.; First Ch.; 64 Marlboro St., Pittsfield, Mass.
Fisher, John; Archt.; 450 William St., Pittsfield, Mass.
McPherson, Mrs. Charles C.; Hmkr.; East St., Southampton, Mass.
Goulding, Farnham B.; Ins.; 19 Gage Ln., Shrewsbury, Mass.
Roberts, George C.; Ret.; 184 Middlesex St., Springfield, Mass.

NEW ENGLAND SOUTHERN (4) NE

Sec. F, Rows 1-2, Seats 1-2

**Claypool, James V.;* Norwich Dist.; Rt. 2, Box 649, Manchester, Conn., 06040.
Almond, Lawrence F.; South Ch., Manchester, Conn.; 81 Bromfield St., Boston, Mass.
Cochran, Mrs. F. Morris; Conf. WSCS Pres.; 93 Brown St., Providence 6, R. I.
Bell, Thomas; Conf. Treas.; 31 King St., Putnam, Conn.

Reserves

Martin, Morrill O.; Providence Dist.; 2554 Pawtucket Ave., East Providence 14, R. I.
Post, John E.; Burnside Ch.; 37 Scotland Rd., East Hartford, Conn.
White, E. McKinnon; Washington Park Ch.; 296 Massachusetts Ave., Providence 5, R. I.
Hill, W. Norbert; Conf. Dir. of Stewardship; Gales Ferry, Conn.
McKinney, Herbert J.; Conf. LL; 54 Adelaide Rd., Manchester, Conn.
Susag, M. Philip; Bus.; 46 Adelaide Rd., Manchester, Conn.

NEW HAMPSHIRE (2) NE

Sec. B, Rows 9-10, Seats 12, 12

**Moulton, Lewis H.;* Northern Dist.; 13 Springfield St., Concord, N. H.
Lytle, James R.; Bus.; 29 Pleasant St., Littleton, N. H.

Reserves

Stauffer, Paul A.; Pastor, Concord; 19 Norwich St., Concord, N. H.
Barrett, J. Norman; Southern Dist.; 245 Highland Ave., Portsmouth, N. H.
Knight, Mrs. Howard W.; Hmkr.; 11 Gray Ave., Nashua, N. H.
Mundy, Alfred F.; Electronics; Sagamore Rd., Rye, N. H.

NEW JERSEY (8) NE

Sec. C, Rows 7-8, Seats 5-8

Guffick, William R.; New Brunswick Dist.; 62 Lake Ave., Ocean Grove, N. J.
Propert, George R.; Trenton Dist.; 2 Denise Dr., Hamilton Sq., Trenton 90, N. J.
Sayre, Charles A.; First Ch.; 910 Grand Ave., Asbury Park, N. J.
Lee, Ernest W.; Camden Dist.; 103 White Horse Pike, Haddon Heights, N. J.
*Mumford, Robert J.; Conf. LL; 100 Main St., Mantua, N. J.
Shipps, Hammel P.; Phys.; Elm Dr., Oakwood Lakes, Medford, N. J.
Stretch, Mrs. Mabel K.; Conf. WSCS Pres.; 220 Maple Ave., Trenton, N. J.
Schoellkopf, Herbert J.; Bus.; 6569 Grant Ave., Pennsauken, N. J.

Reserves

Jenks, Robert L.; Haddonfield Ch.; 24 S. Hinchman Ave., Haddonfield, N. J.
Stanger, Frank B.; Seminary Pres.; 417 Hughes Ave., Wilmore, Ky.
Guice, Marvin R.; Bridgeton Dist.; Sharp St. & Glenside Rd., Millville, N. J.
Howe, Robert B.; Glassboro Ch.; 108 N. Main St., Glassboro, N. J.
Lowden, W. Gordon; First Ch.; 235 Broad St., Red Bank, N. J.
Egan, William F., Jr.; Chrmn.; World Serv. & Fin. Comm.; 32 Webb Ave., Pitman, N. J.
Marker, Mrs. Bertha; Hmkr.; 173 Laurel Cir., Princeton, N. J.
Applegate, Fred L.; Rl. Est.; 127 Vincent Ave., Trenton, N. J.
Stainton, Howard S.; Bus.; 1020 Ocean Ave., Ocean City, N. J.
Backenson, Henry L.; Ins.; 41 Lake St., Bridgeton, N. J.

NEW MEXICO (4) SC

Sec. E, Rows 9-10, Seats 4-5

Nowlin, Earl M.; Albuquerque Dist.; 321 Hermosa, S.E., Albuquerque, N. M.
Fenn, G. Lemuel; Central Ch.; 215 Pine, N.E., Albuquerque, N. M.

*Patten, William C.; Ins.; Box 896, Albuquerque, N. M.
Steele, Sam; Rancher; Box 571, Ft. Sumner, N. M.

Reserves

Stewart, M. Buren; Carlsbad Dist.; 1203 S. Country Club Dr., Carlsbad, N. M.
Seiler, Ralph H.; Clovis Dist.; 1412 Piedmont, Clovis, N. M.
Scrimshire, Joe B.; El Paso Dist.; 4020 Santa Ana Dr., El Paso, Tex.
Greathouse, Frank; Farmer; Rogers, N. M.
Tweedy, Mansfield; Acct.; Box 684, Roswell, N. M.
Butler, Randle R.; Farmer; 1319 Plum St., Pecos, Tex.

NEW YORK (6) NE

Sec. B, Rows 1-2, Seats 10-12

Scranton, Walter L.; New York Dist.; 475 Riverside Dr., New York 27, N. Y.
Bosley, Harold A.; Christ Ch.; 520 Park Ave., New York 21, N. Y.
James, William M.; Metropolitan-Community Ch.; 1981 Madison Ave., New York 35, N. Y.
*Northrop, George M.; Atty.; 396 Grand St., Newburgh, N. Y.
Lander, Richard N.; Civ. Serv. Emp.; Maryland Ave., Armonk, N. Y.
Smith, Chester A.; Ret.; 730 Hudson Ave., Peekskill, N. Y.

Reserves

Olson, Arnold O.; Poughkeepsie Dist.; 4 Rosalind Rd., Poughkeepsie, N. Y.
Warren, Charles L.; St. Mark's Ch.; 49 Edgecomb Ave., New York 30, N. Y.
Johnson, Merrill C.; First Ch.; 245 Liberty St., Newburgh, N. Y.
Werner, George P.; Kingston Dist.; 220 Albany Ave., Kingston, N. Y.
Scott, Clifford A.; Atty.; 100-18 Ditmars Blvd., East Elmhurst, N. Y.
Russell, Paul R.; Atty.; 525 E. 89th St., New York 28, N. Y.
Petersen, John K.; Bus.; 7 Tappan Terr., Briarcliff Manor, N. Y.
Engle, Mrs. Earl L.; Hmkr.; 31 Hathaway Lane, White Plains, N. Y.

NEW YORK EAST (10) NE

Sec. C, Rows 1-2, Seats 8-12

**Whyman, Henry C.;* Exec. Sec., N. Y. City Soc. & Brk. & L.I. Ch. Soc.; Rm. 1738, 475 Riverside Dr., New York 27, N. Y.
Alderson, William H.; First Ch.; 33 Woodside Cir., Bridgeport 4, Conn.
Worley, Loyd F.; Mary Taylor Mem. Ch.; 673 North St., Milford, Conn.
Tedcastle, Arthur T.; First Ch.; 51 LeGrand Pl., Stratford, Conn.
Thornburg, Richard A.; Pastor; 69 Verbena Ave., Floral Park, N. Y.
Hauser, Louis C.; Bus.; 1601 Powers Ave., East Meadow, N. Y.
Preusch, Robert W.; Bus.; New Canaan, Conn.
Law, Mrs. Ronald S.; Conf. WSCS Pres.; 1422 Van Nostrand Pl., Merrick, N. Y.
Johnson, Ethel R.; Asso. Exec. Sec., Conf. Bd. of Edu.; 217-19 93rd Rd., Queens Village 28, N. Y.
Reid, William W.; Edit., Gen. Bd. of Miss.; 1103 157th St., Whitestone, N. Y.

Reserves

Duren, Lloyd A.; Pastor; 75 Tanyard Ln., Huntington, N. Y.
Wood, E. Leslie; New York Dist.; 791 Newfield Ave., Stamford, Conn.
Williams, Melvin G.; Bethany Ch.; 1204 St. John's Pl., Brooklyn 13, N. Y.
Davis, Lewis H.; St. Mark's Ch.; 1720 Ditmas Ave., Brooklyn 26, N. Y.
Barton, Charles A.; First Ch.; 162-02 Highland Ave., Jamaica 32, N. Y.
Armitstead, Austin H.; Bay Ridge Ch.; 368 Ovington Ave., Brooklyn 9, N. Y.
Lee, Charles W.; Bushwick Ave. Ch.; 1018 Madison Ave., Brooklyn 21, N. Y.
Donaldson, Mrs. L. H.; N. Y. Area Pub. Rel. Dir.; Rm. 1924, 475 Riverside Dr., New York 27, N. Y.
Staubach, William T., Jr.; Banker; 120 Ancon Ave., Pelham, N. Y.
Hanson, Mrs. Harold B.; Hmkr.; 506 Midland Ave., Rye, N. Y.
Veale, William H.; Conn. Safety Council; 287 W. Rock Ave., New Haven 15, Conn.
Atkinson, Sidney H.; Ret.; 1595 Howard Pl., North Baldwin, N. Y.
Hamilton, J. Harold; Ins.; 15 Magnolia St., Newington, Conn.
Raynor, Arthur E.; Ret.; 34 Dartmouth St., Rockville Centre, N. Y.

NEWARK (8) NE

Sec. A, Rows 5-6, Seats 5-8

**Goodwin, Robert B.;* First Ch.; 22 Madison Ave., Madison, N. J.
Smith, Eugene L.; Gen. Sec., Div. of World Miss.; 25 Cleveland Terr., East Orange, N. J.
Fuess, Forest M.; Northern Dist.; 132 Meadowbrook Rd., Englewood, N. J.
Rodda, William F. B.; First Ch.; 22 Center St., Chatham, N. J.
Parlin, Charles C.; Atty.; 123 Hillside Ave., Englewood, N. J.
Taylor, Mrs. Adrienne; Hmkr.; 233 Shunpike Rd., Chatham, N. J.
Oxnam, Robert F.; Pres., Drew Univ.; Madison, N. J.
Carson, Robert W.; Edit.; 66 Second Ave., Little Falls, N. J.

Reserves

Sorenson, Wallace G.; Eastern Dist.; 10 Morse Dr., Maplewood, N. J.
Hunt, Clark W.; First Ch.; 512 Lawrence Ave., Westfield, N. J.
Goodrich, Harry W.; Southern Dist.; 512 Bradford Pl., Westfield, N. J.
Lancey, William L.; First Ch.; 48 Park Pl., Morristown, N. J.
McElroy, John A.; Calvary Ch.; 109 Woodland Ave., East Orange, N. J.
Taylor, Walter S.; Galilee Ch.; 12 Oakland St., Englewood, N. J.
Walton, W. Carl; Ret.; 32 Overlook Ave., West Orange, N. J.
Tink, Edmund L.; Edu.; 132 Pleasant Pl., Kearney, N. J.
Merritt, Kinsey N.; Ret.; 1045 Galloping Hill Rd., Elizabeth, N. J.
Seay, Edward W.; Pres., Centenary College; Hackettstown, N. J.
Griffith, Mrs. Florence; Hmkr.; 213 Main St., Hackettstown, N. J.
Schuyler, Peter V. R.; Adm.; 359 Hartford Rd., South Orange, N. J.

NORTH ALABAMA (14) SE

Sec. E, Rows 9-10, Seats 6-12

**Dill, R. Laurence, Jr.;* First Ch.; Box 1000, Anniston, Ala.
Chitwood, J. Henry; First Ch.; 800 Greensboro Ave., Tuscaloosa, Ala.

Pinkard, Calvin M.; First Ch.; 703 Reynolds Cir., Gadsden, Ala.
Hunter, J. Duncan, Jr.; Huntsville Dist.; 8705 Camille Dr., S.E., Huntsville, Ala.
Edgar, Thomas A.; Birmingham Dist.; 700 8th Ave., W., Birmingham 4, Ala.
Kimbrough, R. Edwin; Trinity Ch.; 1406 Oxmoor Rd., Birmingham 9, Ala.
Guthrie, W. Nelson, Sr., Exec. Sec., Superannuate Homes; 811 7th St., W., Birmingham 4, Ala.
Brannon, William C.; Ins.; 4800 Terrace Rd., Birmingham 8, Ala.
Culp, Jesse A.; Edit.; 923 Brooklyn Ave., Albertville, Ala.
McCain, Virgil B.; Pres., Athens, College; Athens, Ala.
Dominick, Frank M.; Atty.; 927 Brown-Marx Bldg., Birmingham 3, Ala.
Williams, R. Clarence; Atty.; Ct. House, Anniston, Ala.
Montgomery, Edward; Mfr.; Drawer H, Tuscaloosa, Ala.
Owens, Joseph H.; Banker; Drawer 152, Roanoke, Ala.

Reserves

Clem, Paul L.; Anniston Dist.; 308 White Circle, S.E., Huntsville, Ala.
Franklin, Denson N.; First Ch.; 3860 Glencoe Dr., Birmingham 13, Ala.
Bridges, Eugene E.; Roanoke Dist.; Box 403, Roanoke, Ala.
Johnson, Elmer C.; First Ch., Huntsville; 104 Gwindale Rd., Gadsden, Ala.
Winefordner, Clifford H.; Decatur Dist.; 821 Sherman St., S.E., Decatur, Ala.
Bugg, Robert W.; Jasper Dist.; Rt. 1, Box 357, Jasper, Ala.
Rutland, John E.; Central Ch.; 612 Jackson St., S.E., Decatur, Ala.
Stevenson, Thomas F.; First Ch.; 2039 High School Rd., Hueytown, Ala.
Montgomery, Allen D.; Canterbury Ch.; Box 7524-A, Mountain Brook, Ala.
Cottingham, Mrs. Thad J.; Bus.; 1016 Gordon Dr., S.E., Decatur, Ala.
Malone, Frank D.; Personnel Serv.; Rt. 3, Box 868, Gardendale, Ala.
Waid, L. P.; Judge; Oneonta, Ala.
Wiggins, M. Edwin; City Com.; 1416 46th St., Belview Heights, Birmingham 8, Ala.
Fowler, Conrad M.; Judge; Columbiana, Ala.
Pinson, Clarence M.; Sales Exec.; Box 871, Birmingham 2, Ala.
Holland, Horace J.; Tchr.; Leighton, Ala.
Ingram, Ben L.; Ret.; Ave. V, Pratt City, Ala.
Yeilding, Newman M.; Treas., Birmingham Southern College; 800 8th Ave., W., Birmingham 4, Ala.

NORTH ARKANSAS (6) SC

Sec. E, Rows 9-10, Seats 1-3

**Bayliss, John A.;* Fayetteville Dist.; 1011 Skyline Dr., Fayetteville, Ark.
Dodgen, Ethan W.; First Ch.; Box 66, Springdale, Ark.
Conyers, Lloyd M.; Paragould Dist.; Box 32, Paragould, Ark.
Bumpers, E. Clay; Bus.; Wabash, Ark.
Shivley, Charles W.; Vet. Adm.; 3300 Cypress, North Little Rock, Ark.
Woodruff, Ralph; Planter; 917 W. Semmes, Osceola, Ark.

Reserves

Murray, Alvin C.; First Ch.; 923 Park, Jonesboro, Ark.
Thomason, Elmo A.; Batesville Dist.; 960 Water St., Batesville, Ark.
Keeley, Virgil D.; Forrest City Dist.; 428 Highland, Forrest City, Ark.
Hillis, J. Ralph; Conway Dist.; 1204 Hunter, Conway, Ark.
Rainwater, Henry; Bus.; Walnut Ridge, Ark.
Ellis, Matt L.; Prof., Hendrix College; Conway, Ark.
Barnett, I. Nels; Bus.; E. Main, Batesville, Ark.
Ozment, Mildred; Conf. WSCS Pres.; Box 105, Jonesboro, Ark.

NORTH CAROLINA (2) C

Sec. F, Row 4, Seats 1-2

**Brown, Leander A.;* Union Mem. Ch.; 625 Asheboro St., Greensboro, N. C.
Winchester, Clarence M.; Rl. Est.; Box 1621, Greensboro, N. C.

Reserves

Townsend, Samuel L.; Laurinburg Dist.; 723 Walnut St., Laurinburg, N. C.
Erwin, Richard C.; Atty.; 13 E. 3rd St., Winston-Salem, N. C.

NORTH CAROLINA (14) SE

Sec. B, Rows 13-14, Seats 1-7

**Hathaway, Offie L.;* Durham Dist.; 1002 W. Knox St., Durham, N. C.
Collins, Thomas A.; Pres.; N.C. Wesleyan College; Box 1375, Rocky Mount, N. C.
Barclift, Chancie D.; Hay St. Ch.; 203 Hillside Ave., Fayetteville, N. C.
Robbins, Cecil W.; Pres. Louisburg College; Louisburg, N. C.
Cushman, Robert E.; Dean, Duke Divinity Sch.; 2022 Myrtle Dr., Durham, N. C.
Garlington, J. Ezell; First Ch.; 208 Sampson St., Clinton, N. C.
Lawrence, Marquis W.; Goldsboro Dist.; 2402 E. Ash St., Goldsboro, N. C.
Gibson, J. Nelson, Jr.; Farmer, Bus.; Gibson, N. C.
Turnage, Roy L.; Conf. LL; Box 545, Ayden, N. C.
Turlington, Mrs. H. C.; Conf. WSCS Pres.; Dunn, N. C.
Anderson, Walter F.; Chief, N. C. SBI; 1124 Gunnison Pl., Raleigh, N. C.
Weaver, L. Stacy; Pres., Meth. College; Box 592, Fayetteville, N. C.
Rose, Robert; Bus.; 1608 Evergreen Ave.; Goldsboro, N. C.
Rogers, James F.; Ins.; Box 756, Burlington, N. C.

Reserves

Page, Jack W.; Rocky Mount Dist.; 803 Sycamore St., Rocky Mount, N. C.
Howard, William M., Jr.; Trinity Church; 1014 Monmouth Ave., Durham, N. C.
Russell, Leon; Front St. Ch.; 1218 Edgewood Ave., Burlington, N. C.
Morris, Clarence P.; Exec. Sec., Conf. Bd. of Edu.; Box 10957, Raleigh, N. C.
Fisher, Edgar B.; Jarvis Mem. Ch.; 605 E. Tenth St., Greenville, N. C.
Boggs, Clyde S.; Wilmington Dist.; 1516 Market St., Wilmington, N. C.
Ruark, Henry G.; First Ch.; Rocky Mount, N. C.
Eubank, Graham S.; Raleigh Dist.; 2234 Wheeler Rd., Raleigh, N. C.

Dawson, R. Grady; New Bern Dist.; Box 187, New Bern, N. C.
Tyson, Vernon C.; Jonesboro Hts. Ch.; Sanford, N. C.
Vereen, Mrs. L. C.; Hmkr.; 2513 Colton Pl., Raleigh, N. C.
Chadwick, W. C.; Atty.; New Bern, N. C.
Underwood, Sam B., Jr.; Atty.; Greenville, N. C.
Price, Bill; Bus.; Box 267, Burlington, N. C.
Pierce, Wade H.; Bus.; 4413 Mockingbird Ln., Wilmington, N. C.
Edwards, Alonzo C.; Farmer, Bus.; Hookerton, N. C.
Smith, W. Jasper; Bus. Mgr., N.C. Wesleyan College; Box 1375, Rocky Mount, N. C.
Chrisman, C. S.; Phys.; Rt. 3, Graham, N. C.
Heath, Thomas W.; Bus.; 801 Cavalier Cir., Kinston, N. C.
Dillon, Clyde A.; Bus.; 2220 White Oak Rd., Raleigh, N. C.

NORTH CHINA (2) OS

NORTH DAKOTA (2) NC

Sec. F, Row 1, Seats 7-8

**Tannehille, Francis V.;* First Ch.; 906 1st Ave., S., Fargo, N. D.
Gunkelman, Ralph F.; Mfr.; 1342 9th Ave., S., Fargo, N. D.

Reserves

Morrison, William W.; First Ch.; Box 1255, Jamestown, N. D.
Pengra, Ray; Engr.; 303 11th St., N.E., Mandan, N. D.

NORTH GEORGIA (14) SE

Sec. E, Rows 7-8, Seats 6-12

**Cannon, William R.;* Dean, Candler Sch. of Theol.; Emory University, Atlanta 22, Ga.
Long, Nat G.; Druid Hills Ch.; 1200 Ponce de Leon Ave., N.E., Atlanta 6, Ga.
Hagood, Delma L.; Atlanta-Decatur-Oxford Dist.; 411 Decatur Federal Bldg., Decatur, Ga.
Thompson, Gordon G., Jr.; First Ch., Marietta; 63 Auburn Ave., N.E., Atlanta 3, Ga.
Sisson, W. Rembert; Atlanta-Emory Dist.; 703 Sycamore St., Decatur, Ga.
Ruff, William H.; First Ch., Thomaston; 225 Hampton Court, Athens, Ga.
Myers, T. Cecil; Grace Ch.; 458 Ponce de Leon Ave., N.E., Atlanta 8, Ga.
Eady, Virgil Y. C.; Edu.; Emory-at-Oxford, Oxford, Ga.
Taylor, Mrs. Ethan L.; Hmkr.; Meansville, Ga.
Clegg, Charles R.; Deceased, September 9, 1963.
Brooks, D. W.; Bus.; 3348 Peachtree Rd., N.E., Box 2210, Atlanta, Ga.
Sutton, William A.; Banker; Box 4899, Atlanta 2, Ga.
Styron, Mrs. Arthur; Hmkr.; 262 Peachtree Hills Ave., N.E., Atlanta 5, Ga.
Whatley, John W., Bus.; 1281 LaFrance Ave., N.E., Atlanta, Ga.

Reserves

Rumble, Lester; Atlanta-Marietta Dist.; 650 N. Superior Ave., Decatur, Ga.
Strickland, W. Earl; Pres., Wesleyan College; 4830 Forsyth Rd., Macon, Ga.
Jones, L. Bevel, III; St. Mark Ch.; 109 Fifth St., N.E., Atlanta 8, Ga.

Moorhead, Frank E.; Peachtree Rd. Ch.; 257 Rumson Rd., N.E., Atlanta 5, Ga.
Black Joe L.; Atlanta-Southwest Dist.; 2080 Campground Rd., S.W., Atlanta 3, Ga.
Drinkard, Eugene T.; Glenn Mem. Ch.; 1221 Clifton Rd., N.E., Atlanta 7, Ga.
Henry, Waights G., Jr.; Pres., La Grange College; La Grange, Ga.
Tate, John B., Sr.; La Grange Dist.; 801 Vernon St., La Grange, Ga.
Boleyn, Charles; First Ch.; Box 1009, Athens, Ga.
Haisten, Warren; Fun. Dir.; Griffin, Ga.
Pittard, Grady C., Jr.; Atty.; 515-16 Southern Mutual Bldg., Athens, Ga.
Boykin, Samuel J.; Ret.; Sunset Blvd., Carrolton, Ga.
Traylor, Henderson; Ins.; 200 Broad St., La Grange, Ga.
Burgess, J. R.; Pres., Reinhardt College; Waleska, Ga.
Lance, T. Jack; Ret.; Calhoun, Ga.
Martin, S. Walter; Vice-Chanc., Univ. System of Ga.; 244 Washington St., N.E., Atlanta, Ga.
Fiske, Julian; Bus.; 827 Telfair St., Augusta, Ga.
Mackay, James; Atty.; Decatur Federal Bldg., Decatur, Ga.

NORTH INDIA (2) OS

Sec. F, Row 3, Seats 1-2

*Joshi, Ram Datt; DS; 94, Civil Lines, Bareilly, U.P., India.
Massey, Murad; Govt. Service; Zaruri Bazar, Ranikhet, Dist. Almora, U.P., India.

Reserves

Khiyalie, Charles S.; DS, Hiradungri, Almora, U.P., India.
Singh, Basanti; Dist. Evang.; Meth. Ch., Almora, U.P., India.

NORTH INDIANA (10) NC

Sec. D, Rows 9-10, Seats 8-12

**Morris, Thurman B.;* Muncie Dist.; 1820 W. Main St., Muncie, Ind.
Stroh, Byron F.; Exec. Asst. to Bishop; 527 E. 38th St., Indianapolis 5, Ind.
Bailey, Donald E.; Ft. Wayne Dist.; 5005 Indiana Ave., Ft. Wayne, Ind.
Jones, Gerald H.; Kokomo Dist.; 150 S. Berkley Rd., Kokomo, Ind.
Paulen, O. Wayne; Trinity Ch.; 1428 Strong Ave., Elkhart, Ind.
DeWeese, H. Owen; Conf. LL; 1001 S. Anderson St., Elwood, Ind.
Pope, Mrs. Rex; Conf. WSCS Pres.; 335 W. 5th St., Anderson, Ind.
Allen, Mrs. B. V.; Hmkr.; 4919 Southwood, Fort Wayne, Ind.
Roudebush, Roy R.; Ret.; 1908 Drexel Dr., Anderson, Ind.
Daugherty, Paul B.; Ret.; Rt. 4, Marion, Ind.

Reserves

Fribley, Robert W.; First Ch.; Box 967, Anderson, Ind.
Yunker, Robert J.; Warsaw Dist.; 221 N. Union St., Warsaw, Ind.
Dicken, John R.; Pastor; Warren, Ind.
Neel, Harold D.; High St. Ch.; 2614 W. North St., Muncie, Ind.
Bjork, Virgil V.; First Ch.; 326 E. Wayne St., Ft. Wayne, Ind.
Lawshe, Ernest E.; First Ch., Newcastle; 1055 N. Jefferson St., Huntington, Ind.
Schubert, Milton V., Jr.; Mfr.; 707 W. Park Dr., Columbia City, Ind.
Woolpert, Mrs. D. Guy; Jur. WSCS Prom. Sec.; 3126 N. Penn, Logansport, Ind.
Fenstermacher, George; Exec. Sec., Bd. of Edu.; Box 1239, Marion, Ind.

Rehm, Mrs. Henry; Tchr.; Shipshewanna, Ind.
Hauptman, Leo M.; College Adm.; 2025 W. Jackson St., Muncie, Ind.
Jordan, Willard T.; Banker; 520 Melody Ln., New Castle, Ind.

NORTH IOWA (12) NC

Sec. C, Rows 13-14, Seats 7-12

**Havighurst, Lawrence D.;* First Ch., Ft. Dodge; 122 1st Ave., N.E., Oelwein, Ia.
Miller, Frederick E.; Waterloo Dist.; 171 Lovejoy St., Waterloo, Ia.
Ackman, Frederick J.; Cedar Rapids Dist.; 607 3rd Ave., S.W., Mt. Vernon, Ia.
Metcalf, Kenneth E.; St. John's Ch.; Brady & 14th, Davenport, Ia.
Walker, Harvey A.; Pastor; Box 126, Kanawha, Ia.
Palmer, J. Richard; Pres., Morningside College; Sioux City 6, Ia.
Martin, Mrs. W. D.; Hmkr.; 114 W. 6th, Vinton, Ia.
Young, Harry E.; Bus.; 11 E. Line Rd., Oelwein, Ia.
Surfus, Leland C.; Bus., Farmer; 410 Clark St., Fayette, Ia.
Fidler, W. Z.; Tool Designer; 1607 Bellevue Ave., Bettendorf, Ia.
Armstrong, Mrs. Robert C.; Hmkr.; 370 34th St., S.E., Cedar Rapids, Ia.
Tompkins, Mrs. Clarence W.; Hmkr.; 1156 N. 19th St., Ft. Dodge, Ia.

Reserves

Burns, Jackson; St. Paul's Ch.; 1340 3rd Ave., S.E., Cedar Rapids, Ia.
Baskerville, M. Trevor; Pastor; 614 Lake St., Spirit Lake, Ia.
Kitterman, Ralph D.; Spencer Dist.; 433 W. 4th St., Spencer, Ia.
Pettersen, Clarence H.; Grace Ch.; 312 Alta Vista St., Waterloo, Ia.
Usher, Worthie K.; Pastor; LuVerne, Ia.
Nelson, Harvey A.; First Ch.; 803 Clay St., Cedar Falls, Ia.
Hancock, Eugene H.; First Ch.; 214 E. Jefferson St., Iowa City, Ia.
Johnson, Francis; Atty.; 223 W. 6th St., Spencer, Ia.
Butler, William P.; Judge; 24 10th St., N.W., Mason City, Ia.
Clark, Max R.; Supt. of Schools; 1815 Loras Blvd.; Dubuque, Ia.
Schlicher, Raymond J.; Prof.; 115 W. 14 St., Cedar Falls, Ia.
Harrison, Dallas M.; Banker; 19 8th St., N.E., Hampton, Ia.
Maddison, R. W.; Bus.; Anthon, Ia.
Wilson, Ronald; Bus.; 608 Hilltop Dr., Sac City, Ia.

NORTH MISSISSIPPI (6) SE

Sec. D, Rows 1-2, Seats 1-3

**Finger, H. Ellis, Jr.;* Pres., Millsaps College; Jackson, Miss.
Meadows, Archie L.; First Ch.; 110 Mary, Greenwood, Miss.
Williams, George R.; First Ch.; Box 603, Clarksdale, Miss.
Black, Roy; Bus.; Nettleton, Miss.
Stanley, Sam C.; Dir., Ext. Wk., Greenwood Dist.; 604 Cypress, Greenwood, Miss.
Bailey, Joe N., Jr.; Planter; Coffeeville, Miss.

Reserves

Jones, William M.; First Ch.; Box 133, Columbus, Miss.
Holloman, Garland H.; New Albany Dist.; Box 686, New Albany, Miss.
Beasley, Harvey L.; Greenwood Dist.; 209 E. Cleveland, Greenwood, Miss.
Miller, R. G.; First Ch.; Drawer 728, Starkville, Miss.
Appleby, William F.; Program Counselor, Intbd. Council; Box 721, Grenada, Miss.
Tanner, E. A.; Deceased.

Swango, Ola H.; Planter; Sardis, Miss.
Young, J. T.; Mfr.; Maben, Miss.
Ely, J. A., Jr.; Planter; Shaw, Miss.
Black, Felix; Bus.; Black's Dept. Store; Tupelo, Miss.

NORTH TEXAS (10) SC

Sec. E, Rows 13-14, Seats 8-12

**Carleton, Alsie H.;* Dallas N.E. Dist.; 1910 Main St., Dallas 1, Tex.
Goodrich, Robert E., Jr.; First Ch.; 1928 Ross Ave., Dallas 1, Tex.
Outler, Albert C.; Prof., Perkins Sch. of Theol.; 6019 Lakehurst, Dallas, Tex.
Slack, William B.; Sherman-McKinney Dist.; Box 801, Sherman, Tex.
Barron, J. Daniel; First Ch.; Box 636, Sherman, Tex.
Curry, Ed; Dir., Golden Cross Meth. Hospital; Box 5999, Dallas 22, Tex.
Folsom, Jack V.; Mfr.; 9027 Diplomacy Row, Dallas, Tex.
Copeland, K. B.; Supt. of Schools; Mt. Vernon, Tex.
Tate, Willis M.; Pres., S. M. U.; Dallas 22, Tex.
Milikien, Albert S.; Dallas Co. Agric. Agent; 2008 Morningside, Garland, Tex.

Reserves

Shipp, Thomas J.; Lovers Lane Ch.; 5002 W. Lovers Lane, Dallas 9, Tex.
Mood, Henry; First Ch.; Box 115, Irving, Tex.
Dickinson, William H.; Highland Park Ch.; 3932 Purdue, Dallas 22, Tex.
Minga, T. Herbert; White Rock Ch.; 1450 Old Gate Ln., Dallas 11, Tex.
Smith, Bill Morgan; Greenville Dist.; 3914 Wesley, Greenville, Tex.
Barcus, Edward R.; Transferred.
Lee, M. E.; Bus.; 520 N. McKown, Sherman, Tex.
Smith, Russell; Bldg. Engr.; 1921 Marydale, Dallas, Tex.
Fisher, J. Herschel; Archt.; 1630 Nob Hill Rd., Dallas, Tex.
Chandler, Louis V.; Mail Carrier; McKinney, Tex.
Simms, Mrs. Jack; Conf. WSCS Pres.; 6315 Ellsworth, Dallas, Tex.
Adams, Fred; Farmer; 503 S. Main St., Crandall, Tex.

NORTHEAST GERMANY (2) OS

Sec. C, Row 3, Seats 9-10

**Scholz, Ernst P.;* DS; 1 Berlin 45, Paulinenstr. 30, West Germany.
Fröhlich, Günter; Municipal Official; 1 Berlin 21, Altenaer Str. 5, West Germany.

Reserves

Krause, Günter; DS; Berlin 0 34, Gubener Str. 23, West Germany.
Brose, Erwin; Pastor; 1 Berlin 65, Rugener Str. 5, West Germany.
Schneidereit, Harry; Watchmaker; Berlin N 58, Schonhauser Allee 134, West Germany.
Döring, Martin; Pharmaceuticals; 1 Berlin 19, Neus Kant Str. 6, West Germany.

NORTH-EAST OHIO (16) NC

Sec. E, Rows 3-4, Seats 5-12

Courtney, Robert H.; Cleveland Dist.; 505 Hanna Bldg., Cleveland 15, Ohio.

Cox, Alva I.; Exec. Sec., Conf. Bd. of Edu.; 251 E. Mill St., Akron, Ohio 44308.
Williams, Harold B.; Prof., Ohio Meth. Theol. Sch.; 48 Grandview Ave., Delaware, Ohio.
Ward, Paul M.; First Ch.; 3000 Euclid Ave., Cleveland 15, Ohio.
Cromwell, Thomas L.; Pastor; 260 S. Court St., Medina, Ohio.
Hamilton, Charles W.; Canton Dist.; 913 Renkert Bldg., Canton, Ohio.
Hoy, Russell H.; Canal Ch.; Rt. 3, Coshocton, Ohio.
Bracy, Carl C.; Pres., Mt. Union College; Alliance, Ohio.
*Layton, Charles R.; Ret.; 55 N. College, New Concord, Ohio.
Cox, N. Wayne; Salesman; 2531 Wyandotte, Cuyahoga Falls, Ohio.
Chittum, John W.; Prof.; 722 N. Bever St., Wooster, Ohio.
Mitchell, Mrs. B. V.; Hmkr.; 218 S. Main St., Clyde, Ohio.
Bonds, Alfred B., Jr.; Pres., Baldwin-Wallace College; Berea, Ohio.
Yoder, Harvey C.; Ret.; 3001 Wiltshire Rd., Cleveland 33, Ohio.
Morris, Walter J.; Ret.; 2068 Crescent Dr., N.E., Warren, Ohio.
Moulton, Robert L.; Atty.; Galion, Ohio.

Reserves

Mayer, Paul O.; East Shore Ch.; 26801 Lake Shore Blvd., Euclid, Ohio.
Mayer, Theodore C.; First Ch.; 309 N. Park Ave., Warren, Ohio.
Dailey, Charles P.; First Ch.; Box 345, Toronto, Ohio.
Williams, John L.; Pastor; 297 Pineview Dr., Berea, Ohio.
Letts, J. Meade; Pastor; 25 S. Broad St., Canfield, Ohio.
Wiant, Howard J.; Norwalk Dist.; 17 Newton, Norwalk, Ohio.
Brown, Howard J.; Ch. of the Saviour; 2537 Lee Rd., Shaker Heights, Ohio.
Hogg, William K.; Pastor; 65 Public Square, Brecksville, Ohio.
Alexander, Thurman F.; Cambridge Dist.; 902 N. 7th St., Cambridge, Ohio.
Stoneburner, Charles W.; Steubenville Dist.; 520 Main St., Steubenville, Ohio.
Spencer, Mrs. Paul; Hmkr.; 991 Parkside Dr., Alliance, Ohio.
Dudley, Mrs. C. P.; Hmkr.; 2512 Ashurst Dr., Cleveland Heights, Ohio.
Hull, Mrs. Olyn F.; Hmkr.; 4506 Elm Ave., Ashtabula, Ohio.
Damon, Walter; Archt.; 215 Lincoln Ave., Youngstown, Ohio.
Eardly, James G.; Ret.; 255 W. Ohio Ave., Sebring, Ohio.
Archer, John H.; Postmaster; 3853 Lincoln Ave., Shadyside, Ohio.
Truza, Mrs. Verla; Mgr., Conf. Office; 77 Alling Rd., S., Tallmadge, Ohio.
Kandel, Clark; Tchr.; Box 1585, East Canton, Ohio.
Evans, Thomas; Bus.; Box 190, Fairview Hts., Toronto, Ohio.
Ohlemacher, Burton; Ret.; 222 Columbia, Elyria, Ohio.

NORTHERN NEW YORK (4) NE

Sec. D, Rows 1-2, Seats 6-7

**Oot, Arthur B.;* Black River-Ontario Dist.; 126 W. Park Dr., Watertown, N. Y.
Jones, Robert D.; Asbury Ch.; 400 Franklin St., Watertown, N. Y.
LeFevre, DeWitt C.; Mfr.; Beaver Falls, N. Y.
Lee, Vernon L.; Sch. Prin.; 1610 Craig St., Rome, N. Y.

Reserves

Williams, Charles S.; Mohawk Dist.; 43 Proctor Blvd., Utica, N. Y.
Van Ornum, Carlton G.; First Ch.; 68 Morgan St., Ilion, N. Y.
Marks, Charles H.; Minetto Ch.; Minetto, N. Y.

Larmonth, W. Glenn; Atty.; 234 Michigan Ave., Watertown, N. Y.
Mowry, Olin J.; Phys.; Minetto, N. Y.
Sykes, W. Clyde; Bus.; Cranberry Lake, N. Y.

NORTHERN PHILIPPINES (2) OS

Sec. F. Row 2, Seats 5-6

**Daludado, Pedro F.;* DS; Tuguegaros, Cagayan, Philippines.
Velasco, Virgilio T.; Atty.; Exchague, Isabela, Philippines.

Reserves

Andrés, Simeon A.; DS; San Mateo, Isabela, Philippines.
Calata, Frailan B.; Bank Employee; Bayambong, Nueva Vizcaya, Philippines.

NORTHWEST GERMANY (2) OS

Sec. C, Row 3, Seats 11-12

**Schodde, Gerhard A.;* Pastor; 2940 Wilhelmshaven, Schulstr. 49, West Germany.
Bruns, Johann M.; Banker; 2900 Oldenburg, Oldb., Weddingenstr. 26, West Germany.

Reserves

Lein, Woldemar L.; Pastor; 2900 Oldenburg, Oldb., Ofener Str. 1, West Germany.
Kühl, Werner E.; Supt.; 3150 Peine, Kl. Schutzen-Str. 2, West Germany.

NORTHWEST INDIANA (6) NC

Sec. C, Rows 5-6, Seats 1-3

**Wolf, John D.;* South Bend Dist.; 1121 White Oak Dr., South Bend 17, Ind.
Collier, Otis L.; Calumet Dist.; 220 E. Greenwood Ave., Crown Point, Ind.
Thistle, Richard C.; City Ch.; 601 Lincoln, Gary, Ind.
Rogers, Mrs. C. J.; Conf. WSCS Pres.; 1211 N. Salisbury St., W. Lafayette, Ind.
Thomas, John; Atty.; 823 N. Meridian, Brazil, Ind.
Innis, Frank; Ins.; Box 125, 458 W. Walnut St., Frankfort, Ind., 46041.

Reserves

Loomis, Lyle; Exec. Sec., Conf. Bd. of Edu.; 116 Sheetz, W. Lafayette, Ind.
Bailey, Joyce J.; Lafayette Dist.; 901 Lindberg Rd., W. Lafayette, Ind.
Howell, Forest W.; Lebanon Dist.; 213 A East Dr., Lebanon, Ind.
Rawlings, John A.; Montrose Ch.; 23 S. 24th St., Terre Haute, Ind.
Smith, Phillips B.; First Ch.; 831 Vine St., W. Lafayette, Ind.
Rayburn, Russell H.; Tchr., Salesman; 215 Hobbs, Plainfield, Ind.
Vennon, Edward J.; Sales Engr.; 1512 McArthur, Munster, Ind.
Schoen, Joseph; Ins.; Oxford, Ind.
Brown, Joseph E.; Atty.; 442 S. Main, Crown Point, Ind.
Fouts, Mrs. Kyle B.; Hmkr.; Rt. 8, Lafayette, Ind.

NORTHWEST PHILIPPINES (2) OS

Sec. F, Row 2, Seats 7-8

**Bailen, Gregorio R.;* DS; Lingayen, Pangasinan, Philippines.

Gacutan, Ezekias G.; Prom. Dir.; 900 Isaac Peral, Manila, Philippines.

Reserves

Ferrer, Cornelio M.; Pastor; Box 1767, Manila, Philippines.
Tecson, Vicente B.; Conf. LL; Lingayen, Pangasinan, Philippines.

NORTHWEST TEXAS (10) SC

Sec. E, Rows 11-12, Seats 1-5

**Boyd, Marvin L.;* Lubbock Dist.; 8108 Ave. H., Lubbock, Tex.
Crawford, J. Howard; First Ch., Plainview; 3005 23rd St., Lubbock, Tex.
Henderson, Vernon N.; Amarillo Dist.; 3800 Linda Dr., Amarillo, Tex.
Lovern, J. Chess; First Ch.; 1411 Broadway, Lubbock, Tex.
Butler, J. Weldon; First Ch.; Box 1479, Borger, Tex.
Salem, Joe T.; Bus.; Box 218, Sudan, Tex.
Bennett, Gordon R.; College Pres.; McMurry Sta., Abilene, Tex.
Willson, James M., Sr.; Lumberman; Box 788, Floydada, Tex.
Mahon, Eldon; Judge; Box 693, Colorado City, Tex.
Coffee, Mrs. C. C.; Farming; 3419-21, Lubbock, Tex.

Reserves

Matthews, Cecil R.; Dir., Wesley Fdn.; 2420 15th St., Lubbock, Tex.
Rhew, Marshall E.; First Ch.; Box 1676, Plainview, Tex.
Guthrie, Timothy W.; First Ch.; Box 6, Midland, Tex.
Kirk, R. Luther; San Jacinto Ch.; 505 Tennessee, Amarillo, Tex.
English, John A.; Childress Dist.; Box 507, Childress, Tex.
Adcock, Woodrow W.; Abilene Dist.; 1909 River Oaks Rd., Abilene, Tex.
Nichols, Ray H.; Edit.; Box 1979, Vernon, Tex.
Nowlin, Lee; Atty.; Box 342, Plainview, Tex.
Britt, Tom M.; Rancher; Wheeler, Tex.
Willson, James M., Jr.; Lumberman; Box 788, Floydada, Tex.
Hines, Mrs. M. P.; Hmkr.; 2312 13th St., Lubbock, Tex.
Deffebach, Lyle; Bus.; Box 925, Snyder, Tex.

NORWAY (2) OS

Sec. F, Row 3, Seats 3-4

**Nilsen, Einar-Anker;* Tchr.; Methodistkyrkans Theologiska Skola, Danskavegen 20, Göteborg, Sweden.
Knudsen, Sverre W.; Sec.; Ovre Björntvedt, Porsgrunn, Norway.

Reserves

Lunde, Kaare; Pastor; Stensgt. 36b, Oslo, Norway.
Ruud-Hansen, Willy; Chief Counselor; Otilie Tonnings Vei 2b, Grefsen, Oslo, Norway.

OHIO (20) NC

Sec. C, Rows 11-12, Seats 1-10

Calkins, Raoul C.; Christ Ch.; 3440 Shroyer Rd., Dayton 29, Ohio.
Milne, W. Arthur; Pres., Bethesda Hospital & Deaconess Asso.; 5990 St. Regis Dr., Cincinnati 36, Ohio.
Cryer, Donald W.; Toledo Dist.; 622 Gardner Bldg., Toledo, Ohio.
Yocom, Donald R.; Trinity Ch.; 736 E. Second St., Delphos, Ohio.
Webb, Lance; North Broadway Ch.; 3434 Broadway Pl., Columbus 14, Ohio.
Garrison, Claude; Pastor; 670 Morning St., Worthington, Ohio.

Whitmore, Austin R.; First Ch.; 120 S. Broad St., Middletown, Ohio.
Dickey, Edwin H.; Cincinnati Dist.; 420 Plum St., Cincinnati 2, Ohio.
Moorehead, Lee C.; Prof., St. Paul Sch. of Theol.; 7470 Village Dr., Shawnee Mission, Prairie Village, Kas.
Young, J. Otis; Asso. Publ. of The Meth. Ch.; 9510 Drake Ave., Evanston, Ill.
*Momberg, Paul B.; Banker; 148 Wrenwood Ln., Terrace Park, Ohio.
Ware, Mrs. Carl E.; Hmkr.; 7836 Perry, Cincinnati 31, Ohio.
Baker, Mrs. Harold M.; Hmkr.; 2410 Glenwood Ave., Toledo, Ohio, 43620.
Slutz, Leonard; Atty.; 3551 Mooney Ave., Cincinnati 8, Ohio.
Wiant, Paul; Civil Engr.; Rt. 2, St. Paris, Ohio.
Brown, Miss Marion; Dir. of Chr. Educ.; 33 N. High St., Columbus 15, Ohio.
Rosser, Harold; Banker; 406 N. Main St.; Arcanum, Ohio.
Mills, Charles B.; Bus.; 6th & Plum, Marysville, Ohio.
Goodwin, Mrs. Everett; Hmkr.; 2320 Shawnee Rd., Lima, Ohio.
Day, George H.; Engr.; 727 Vera Cruz Pk., Milford, Ohio.

Reserves

Dickhaut, John W.; Pres., Meth. Theol. Sch. in Ohio; 100 W. Schreyer Pl., Columbus 14, Ohio.
Wolter, Louis R.; Columbus Dist.; 79 E. State St., Columbus 15, Ohio.
Everson, Sydney C. G.; Westgate Ch.; 3170 Wicklow Rd., Columbus 4, Ohio.
Kellogg, Harold F.; Dayton Dist.; 473 Marathon Ave., Dayton 6, Ohio.
Baker, Harry F.; Zanesville Dist.; Rm. 6, Mut. Fed. Bldg., Zanesville, Ohio.
Chiles, Paul D.; First Ch.; Leslie Ln.; 1, Van Wert, Ohio.
McAdow, Harold M.; First Ch.; 88 N. 5th St., Newark, Ohio.
Slack, Albert L.; Defiance Dist.; 1809 Darbyshire Dr., Defiance, Ohio.
Herd, George W.; First Ch.; 155 E. Wheeling St., Lancaster, Ohio.
Zoller, Lloyd C.; Springfield Dist.; 726 1st Nat'l Bank Bldg., Springfield, Ohio.
Weaver, Harold R.; First Ch.; 120 W. Sandusky St., Findlay, Ohio.
Seay, John W.; First Ch.; 25 N. College St., Athens, Ohio.
Lackland, George S.; Monroe St. Ch.; 2658 Drummond Rd., Toledo 6, Ohio.
Lewis, Vaughn P.; Tchr.; Alpha, Ohio.
Hunt, Kenneth W.; Technician; Casstown, Ohio.
Laylin, Edward H.; Atty.; 2541 Lane Rd., Columbus 14, Ohio.
Clark, Mrs. E. G.; Dir. of Chr. Ed.; 411 E. 6th Ave., Lancaster, Ohio.
Kirk, Lyle O.; Bus.; 6737 Monroe St., Sylvania, Ohio.
Dale, Francis L.; Atty.; 3625 Clifton Ave., Cincinnati 20, Ohio.
Jones, Charles A.; Bus.; 139 Tibet Rd., Columbus 2, Ohio.
Hottle, Darrell; Judge; 335 W. Walnut St., Hillsboro, Ohio.
Fichter, Joseph W.; Prof.; 28 E. Vine St., Oxford, Ohio.
Weatherwax, Russell S.; Banker; 2 S. Main St., Middletown, Ohio.
White, W. Frank; Bus.; 5051 E. Eastwood Cir., Cincinnati 27, Ohio.
Radford, Thomas; Tchr.; Lancaster Camp Ground, Lancaster, Ohio.
Beard, Stephen S.; Atty.; 201 Marsh Bldg., Van Wert, Ohio.

OKLAHOMA (14) SC

Sec. D, Rows 9-10, Seats 1-7

Crutchfield, Finis A.; Boston Ave. Ch.; 1301 S. Boston Ave., Tulsa 5, Okla.

Stowe, W. McFerrin; St. Luke's Ch.; 1516 N. Harvey, Oklahoma City 3, Okla.
Williams, Ira E.; Tulsa Dist.; 3108 N.W. 61st St., Oklahoma City, Okla.
Smith, Irving L.; First Ch.; Stillwater, Okla.
Crain, Nuell C.; Norman Dist.; 1138 S. Yale, Tulsa, Okla.
Wilkes, Jack S.; Pres., Oklahoma City Univ.; 1401 N.W. 25th St., Oklahoma City, Okla.
Bowles, L. Lee; McAlester Dist.; 215 E. Miami, McAlester, Okla.
*Doenges, William C.; Bus.; Box 339, Bartlesville, Okla.
Egan, Jim A.; Mayor; Muskogee, Okla.
Clark, B. Clyde, Sr.; Deceased, June 24, 1963.
Metzel, Mrs. George; Hmkr.; 1925 S. Florence, Tulsa, Okla.
Moore, Manley; Contr.; 910 E. Lockheed Dr., Midwest City, Okla.
McFall, Carl; Ins.; Frederick, Okla.
Oden, Tal; Atty.; Altus, Okla.

Reserves

Bush, J. Howard; Bartlesville Dist.; Box 1317, Bartlesville, Okla.
Davis, Howard; Lawton Dist.; Box 62, Lawton, Okla.
Clegg Leland; Oklahoma City North Dist.; 416 N.W. 38th St., Oklahoma City 18, Okla.
Harris, Therman; University Ch.; Tulsa, Okla.
Etchieson, D. D.; Supt., Indian Mission; 3409 N.W. 53rd St., Oklahoma City, Okla.
Massengale, LeRoy; Conf. Treas.; 2400 N.W. 23rd St., Oklahoma City, Okla.
Williams, T. Poe; McFarlin Ch.; Box 2067, Norman, Okla.
Simpson, Charles E.; Ardmore Dist.; Box 1132, Ardmore, Okla.
Miller, W. Jene; First Ch., Britton; 1001 N.W. 92nd St., Oklahoma City, Okla.
Doak, D. Wesley; St. Paul Ch.; Shawnee, Okla.
Kerr, Robert S., Jr.; Atty.; 1211 Huntington, Oklahoma City, Okla.
Vaught, Charles; Edu.; Spiro, Okla.
Clark, L. C.; Bus.; 2916 S. Yorktown, Tulsa, Okla.
West, R. I.; Bus.; Checotah, Okla.
Beeson, M. A.; Educator; Stillwater, Okla.
Pettijohn, Rex; Postmaster; Stigler, Okla.
Ford, Mrs. W. D.; Hmkr.; Lawton, Okla.
Casad, Victor; Banker; Mooreland, Okla.
Newton, H. E.; Acct.; Muskogee, Okla.
Fossett, Warren J.; Fun. Dir.; Enid, Okla.

OREGON (6) W

Sec. D, Rows 5-6, Seats 10-12

**Guderian, Lawrence E.;* Forest Grove Dist.; 1115 W. 28th Ave., Albany, Ore.
Burtner, Robert W.; Salem Dist.; Box 2203, Salem, Ore.
Cambric, Cortlandt; Withdrew from Methodist Ministry.
Watson, Mrs. Russell O.; Hmkr.; 3325 N.E. 29th Ave., Portland, Ore.
Fleming, Arthur; Pres., Univ. of Oregon; Eugene, Ore.
Amtower, Norman E.; Conf. Treas.; 510 New Fliedner Bldg., Portland 5, Ore.

Reserves

Balcomb, Raymond E.; First Ch.; 1838 S.W. Jefferson, Portland 1, Ore.
Bastuscheck, Burton O.; Prof., Willamette Univ.; Salem, Ore.

Taylor, Daniel E.; Rose City Park Ch.; 5830 N.E. Alameda, Portland 13, Ore.
Albertson, C. Gene; Pastor; 8th & Lincoln, Hillsboro, Ore.
Hjelte, Marshall C.; Retirement Home Adm.; 2705 S.E. River Rd., Portland 22, Ore.
Somers, Howard B.; Invest. Counselor; 2230 N.E. Alameda, Portland 12, Ore.
Conger, William W.; Ret.; 3009 S.E. Chestnut, Milwaukee 22, Ore.
McHale, John W.; Mgr.; 6121 S.E. 32nd Ave., Portland, Ore.

PACIFIC JAPANESE PROVISIONAL (2) W

Sec. E, Row 1, Seats 7-8

**Hagiya, Paul H.;* California St. Ch.; 3521 Elizabeth St., Denver 5, Colo.
Terasawa, Tosh; Archt.; 3730 Chesapeake St., Los Angeles 16, Calif.

Reserves

Sasaki, James K.; West Los Angeles Ch.; 1960 Purdue Ave., Los Angeles 25, Calif.
Yamashita, John H.; Centenary Ch.; 3738 Virginia Rd., Los Angeles 16, Calif.
Shimoguchi, Al; Ins.; Rm. 24, 491 Almaden Ave., San Jose 12, Calif.
Mitsui, Sam; Elec Engr.; 3050 13th Ave., S. Seattle 44, Wash.

PACIFIC NORTHWEST (10) W

Sec. D, Rows 1-2, Seats 8-12

**Ernst, J. Henry;* Seattle Dist.; 808 Olympic Nat'l Bldg., 920 2nd Ave., Seattle 4, Wash.
Tuell, Jack M.; Puget Sound Dist.; 414 Commerce Bldg., Everett, Wash.
Ristow, Cecil F.; Spokane Dist.; c/o Central Meth. Ch., W. 518 3rd Ave., Spokane 4, Wash.
Soltman, John C.; Mason Ch.; 2724 N. Madison, Tacoma 7, Wash.
Ritchey, William H.; Walla Walla Dist.; 1425 Hobson St., Walla Walla, Wash.
Thorpe. Robert M.; Mfr.; 3712 N. 37th, Tacoma 7, Wash.
Ebv. Mrs. John; Conf. WSCS Pres.; 10805 S.E. 320th, Auburn, Wash.
Truax, Lyle H.; Judge; 3717 E. Evergreen. Vancouver, Wash.
Moller, Roy P.; Atty.; S. 2115 Manito, Spokane, Wash.
Zellmer, Willard A.; Atty.; 529 Morgan St., Davenport, Wash.

Reserves

Poor, George L.; First Ch.; Box 478, Ellensburg, Wash.
Callahan. William E., Jr.; Columbia River Dist.; 5701 MacArthur Blvd., Vancouver, Wash.
Uphoff, Robert A.; First Ch.; 423 Marion St., Seattle 4, Wash.
Finkbeiner, Melvin M.; Central Ch.; 1124 Stevens Dr., Richland, Wash.
Thompson, R. Franklin; Pres., Univ. of Puget Sound; Tacoma 6, Wash.
Wischmeier, A. C.: Tacoma Dist.; 417 Security Bldg., 915 Pacific Ave., Tacoma 2, Wash.
Holte, Alfred O.; Atty.; 1031 C. St., Edmonds, Wash.
Robison, Frank; Supervisor; 1017 McPherson. Richland, Wash.
Clinton. Gordon S.; Mayor; Seattle Municipal Bldg., 1015 3rd Ave., Seattle 4, Wash.
Smith, Mrs. R. K.; Hmkr.; 2511½ W. Chestnut, Yakima, Wash.

Little, Mrs. Frank; Hmkr.; 2325 Graham St., Seattle 8, Wash.
Phipps, Don; Bus.; 404 S. Miller, Wenatchee, Wash.

PENINSULA (6) NE

Sec. A, Rows 10-11, Seats 1-3

**Dunkle, William F., Jr.;* Grace Ch.; 903 West St., Wilmington 1, Del.
McCoy, Paul E.; Pastor; 100 Tanglewood Ln., Newark, Del.
Cooke, Raymond J.; Wilmington Dist.; 202 Alapocas Dr., Wilmington 6, Del.
Davis, Wilmer F.; Bus.; Federalsburg, Md.
Hooper, Granville; Bus.; 123 Vue de L'Eau St., Cambridge, Md.
Parsons, Elton W.; Bus.; 5 Washington St., Berlin, Md.

Reserves

French, John E.; Zion Ch.; 14 Locust St., Cambridge, Md.
Miller, Alton S.; Salisbury Dist.; 1404 Camden Ave., Salisbury, Md.
Mackey, J. Robert; Asbury Ch.; 219 N. Division St., Salisbury, Md.
Chandler, Hartwell F.; St. Mark's Ch., Easton; 431 N. State St., Dover, Del.
Amoss, Howard M.; Bethesda Ch., Salisbury; 215 S. Hanson St., Easton, Md.
Chandler, George P.; Rl. Est.; 411 N. Poplar Hill Ave., Salisbury, Md.
Appleby, Harry; Bus.; R.D., Bear, Del.
Palmer, Mrs. Walter B.; Hmkr.; Box 336, Denton, Md.
Nelson, Ira C.; Bus.; Trappe, Md.
Scarborough, Gilbert S., Jr.; Ins.; 10 Tenby Dr., Perth, Wilmington 3, Del.

PHILADELPHIA (10) NE

Sec. B, Rows 11-12, Seats 8-12

Flood, Harold D.; Holy Cross Ch.; 1900 Elder St., Reading, Pa.
Herr, John D.; South Dist.; 1701 Arch St., Philadelphia 3, Pa.
Cherry, Clinton M.; Trinity Ch.; 733 W. 9th St., Chester, Pa.
Lurwick, George C.; St. Luke Ch.; 75 Pennswood Rd., Bryn Mawr, Pa.
Stettler, Wallace F.; Covenant Ch.; 510 Williamson Ln., Springfield, Pa.
*Masters, Mrs. Paul G.; Conf. WSCS Pres.; Meth. Ch. Home, Cornwall, Pa.
Harper, John R.; Conf. LL; 7508 Brookfield Rd., Philadelphia 26, Pa.
Baker, Frank E.; Broker; 611 Rose Ln., Bryn Mawr, Pa.
Heck, J. Holland; Tchr.; Rt. 1, West Chester, Pa.
Bausher, J. Lee; Mfr.; 1802 Hill Rd., Reading, Pa.

Reserves

Collins, Price M.; North Dist.; 1716 Santa Barbara Dr., Lancaster, Pa., 17601.
Buttimer, Thomas A.; Broad St. Mem. Ch.; 3212 School Ln., Drexel Hill, Pa.
Cloud, Douglas I.; Frankford Mem. Ch.; 1232 Wakeling St., Philadelphia 24, Pa.
Hippel, George N.; Pastor; 11 West 3rd St.; Lansdale, Pa.
Felton, C. Paul; Northwest Dist.; 1701 Arch St., Philadelphia 3, Pa.
Lobb, Charles W.; City Student Work; 2304 Bryn Mawr Ave., Ardmore, Pa.
Frye, Mrs. Henry A.; Hmkr.; 115 Wynnedale Rd., Narberth, Pa.

Kerr, Mrs. Robert L.; Hmkr.; 1107 Allston Rd., Brookline, Pa.
Lambert, Ralph G.; Bus.; 61 Tookany Dr., Cheltenham, Pa.
Lightner, A. LeRoy, Jr.; Bus.; 916 Fern Hill Rd., West Chester, Pa.
Ziegler, George G., Jr.; Atty.; 7410 Boyer St., Philadelphia 19, Pa.
Ether, Horace; Bus.; 715 Hemlock Rd., Media, Pa.

PHILIPPINES (2) OS

Sec. F, Row 4, Seats 5-6

Guansing, Benjamin I.; Pres., Union Theol. Sem.; Box 841, Manila, Philippines.
Bautista, Samuel; Atty.; 30-B Kitanlad, Quezon City, Philippines.

Reserves

Granadosin, Paul Locke; Manila Dist.; 947 Oroquieta, Manila, Philippines.
Pascual, Crisolito S.; Prof. of Law, Univ. of the Philippines; 44 Maginhawa St., U. P. Village, Dilliman, Quezon City, Philippines.

POLAND (2) OS

Sec. F, Row 1, Seats 5-6

**Szczepkowski, Jozef;* Supt., Kopernicus Univ.; Ul. Kosciuszki 5/5, Torun, Poland.

Reserve

Benedyktowicz, Witold; Central Ch.; Ul. Mokotowska 12, Warsaw, Poland.

PUERTO RICO PROVISIONAL (2) NE

Sec. E, Row 2, Seats 7-8

**Cintron, Jorge N.;* Theo. Prof.; Box 426, Rio Piedras, P. R.
Cruz Chacon, José; Inspector; J. H. 51, Punto Nuvo, P. R.

Reserves

Rico Soltero, Tomás; DS; Box 8547, F.J. Sta., Santurce, P. R.
Cabrera, Ismeal; Salesman; Box 507, Hato Rey, P. R.

RHODESIA (2) OS

Sec. D, Rows 11-12, Seats 1, 1

**Chidzikwe, Josiah;* Circuit Pastor; P.B. 636 E., Salisbury, Southern Rhodesia.
Tsopotsa, Samuel; Tchr.-Prin., Old Umtali Meth. Centre; P.B.P. 7024, Umtali, Southern Rhodesia.

Reserves

Eriksson, Kaare E.; Tchr.; Epworth Theol. College; P. O. Park Meadowlands, Salisbury, Southern Rhodesia.
Musamba, Isaac; Edu. Asst., Conf. Treas.; P.B. 8293, Causeway, Salisbury, Southern Rhodesia.

RIO GRANDE (2) SC

Sec. F, Row 3, Seats 7-8

**Nieto, Simón A.;* Western Dist.; 437 Ridgemont Dr., El Paso 11, Tex.
Alvirez, Mrs. May; Deaconess; 13th & Taylor St., Brownsville, Tex.

Reserves

Gonzalez, Josué; Kelsey Mem. Ch.; 1429 Casa Grande, Corpus Christi, Tex.
Uranga, Juan V.; Bus.; Box 1321, El Paso, Tex.

ROCK RIVER (14) NC

Sec. D, Rows 7-8, Seats 6-12

**Loder, Dwight E.;* Pres., Garrett Theol. Sem.; Evanston, Ill.
Countryman, Frank L.; Chicago Southern Dist.; 77 W. Washington St., Chicago 2, Ill.
Jarvis, Charles S.; First Ch., Oak Park; 1100 E. Chicago St.; Elgin, Ill.
Northfelt, Merlyn W.; Rockford Dist.; 424 Seventh St., Rockford, Ill.
Thornburg, Amos A.; Chicago Northern Dist.; 77 W. Washington St., Chicago 2, Ill.
Rogers, Carleton C.; First Ch.; 216 E. Highland Ave., Elgin, Ill.
Ammons, Edsel A.; Exec. Sec., Rockford Miss. Soc.; 313 Henrietta St., Rockford, Ill.
Myers, Arthur L.; Ret.; 1007 Harrison, Park Ridge, Ill.
Copeland, Mrs. Paul L.; Conf. WSCS Pres.; 17 W. 80 Oak Ln., Bensenville, Ill., 60106.
Loeppert, Henry V.; Ret.; 9523 Ridgeway, Evanston, Ill.
Van Sickle, John R.; Pub.; 1904 Grenshaw, Rockford, Ill.
Steele, Clay E.; Ret.; 598 Lakeview Terr. Glen Ellyn, Ill.
Cummings, Mrs. C. Clifford; Hmkr.; 465 Park St., Elgin, Ill.
Witwer, Samuel W.; Atty.; 111 Abingdon Ave., Kenilworth, Ill.

Reserves

Westerberg, Wesley M.; Pres., Kendall College; 2408 Orrington, Evanston, Ill.
Rasche, William C.; DeKalb Dist.; 611 Linden Place, DeKalb, Ill.
Kirkpatrick, Dow; First Ch.; 1630 Hinman Ave., Evanston, Ill.
Mulligan, Robert A.; Trinity Ch.; 9848 S. Winchester Ave., Chicago 43, Ill.
Pierce, Robert B.; Chicago Temple; 77 W. Washington St., Chicago 2, Ill.
McElvany, W. Harold; Court St. Ch.; 204 Court Pl., Rockford, Ill.
Weisshaar, Gilbert A.; Central Ch.; 8225 Kenton Ave., Skokie, Ill.
Billman, Allan G.; Chicago West Dist.; 77 W. Washington St., Chicago 2, Ill.
Rutledge, Blanche; Conf. Treas.; 77 W. Washington St., Chicago 2, Ill.
Litwiller, William A.; Bus.; Milledgeville, Ill.
Tripp, Donald A.; Ins.; 1313 S. State, Belvidere, Ill.
Laskey, William J.; Ret.; 9925 Central Park, Evergreen Park 42, Ill.
Rief, D. Robert; Bus. Adm., Bethany Home & Hosp.; 712 S. Delphia Ave., Park Ridge, Ill.
Davis, Charles F.; Rl. Est.; 4055 Bunker Ln., Wilmette, Ill.
Harwood, Thomas A.; Deceased.
Pfeiffer, Mrs. Alvin B.; Hmkr.; 523 Kingsway Dr., Aurora, Ill.

ROCKY MOUNTAIN (8) W

Sec. B, Rows 3-4, Seats 1-4

Potthoff, Harvey H.; Prof. Iliff Sch. of Theol.; 2125 S. Josephine, Denver 10, Colo.
Lehmberg, Ben F.; First Ch.; 126 E. Boulder, Colorado Springs, Colo.

Babbs, J. Carlton; Park Hill Ch.; 5209 Montview Blvd., Denver 7, Colo.
McConnell, Taylor; Pro. Dir., Coord. Council; 2200 S. Univ. Blvd., Denver 10, Colo.
*Doenges, R. S.; Bus.; 6230 E. 17th Ave., Denver 20, Colo.
Alter, Chester M.; Chanc., Univ. of Denver; 360 High St., Denver 18, Colo.
Persinger, John; U. S. Treas. Ag.; 1536 E. 3010 S., Salt Lake City, Utah.
Renick, Mrs. Bruce; Hmkr.; 2935 Locust, Denver 7, Colo.

Reserves

Clark, Fred C.; Greeley Dist.; 220 S. Univ. Blvd., Denver 10, Colo.
Loveland, Laird V.; First Ch.; 917 10th Ave., Greeley, Colo.
Swan, Lowell B.; Pres., Iliff Sch. of Theol.; 2201 S. Univ. Blvd., Denver 10, Colo.
Bainbridge, Warren S.; First Ch.; 203 S. 2nd E., Salt Lake City, Utah.
Venable, Fred C.; Washington Park Ch.; 1195 S. Race, Denver 10, Colo.
Persons, William R.; Pastor; 1215 Gibbons, Laramie, Wyoming.
Brown, Mrs. E. K.; Hmkr.; 1230 W. 23rd, Casper, Wyo.
Fox, Donald; Bus.; Box 747, Pueblo, Colo.
Jepson, Mrs. Paul; Legal Sec.; Box 747, Thermopolis, Wyo.
Anderson, T. W.; Investments; 1845 Sherman, Denver 2, Colo.
Lang, Gilbert C.; Ins.; 8065 W. 46th Cir., Wheat Ridge, Colo.
Howard, T. J.; Dentist; 2527 Broadway, Boulder, Colo.

SARAWAK (2) OS

Sec. C, Row 6, Seats 8-9

Ho, Siew-Liong; Pastor; 18 Island Rd., Sibu, Sarawak, Malaysia.
*Hua, Yao Ping; Gov't. Serv.; The Secretariat, Kuching, Sarawak, Malaysia.

Reserves

Lau, Ngo-Gi; Pastor; Meth. Book Room, Sibu, Sarawak, Malaysia.
Chieng, Kaik-Ming; Bus.; Box 155, Sibu, Sarawak, Malaysia.

SHANTUNG (2) OS

SOUTH CAROLINA (4) C

Sec. C, Rows 11-12, Seats 11-12

*Thomas, James S.; Gen. Bd. of Edu.; 1718 Villa Pl., Nashville, Tenn., 37212.
Curry, John W.; Pastor; 191 Boulevard, N.E., Orangeburg, S. C.
Palmer, Robert J.; Fun. Dir.; 304 S. Main St., Sumter, S. C.
Baxley, Mrs. Beulah L.; Hmkr.; 1327 Gregg St., Columbia, S. C.

Reserves

Jenkins, Warren M.; Conf. Sec. of Chr. Edu.; Claflin College, Orangeburg, S. C.
Manning, Hubert V.; Pres.; Claflin College; Orangeburg, S. C.
Fisher, Theodore H.; Florence Dist.; 401 N. Coit St., Florence, S. C.
Smith, Hampton D.; Tchr.; Claflin College, Orangeburg, S. C.
Middleton, Samuel T.; Tchr.; 711 Goff Ave., Orangeburg, S. C.
Nelson, William L. J.; Civ. Serv.; 33B Bogard St., Charleston, S. C.

SOUTH CAROLINA (14) SE

Sec. B, Rows 7-8, Seats 1-7

Cunningham, Francis T.; Columbia Dist.; Rm. 416 1420 Lady St., Columbia, S. C.
Spears, R. W., Pres., Columbia College; 1320 Columbia College Dr., Columbia, S. C.
Herbert, R. B.; Central Ch.; 317 Winston St., Florence, S. C.
Murray, John V.; Salem Ch.; Box 247, Timmonsville, S. C.
Fridy, W. Wallace; St. John's Ch.; Box 728, Anderson, S. C.
Kingman, Henry L.; Orangeburg Dist.; Spartanburg Junior College, Spartanburg, S. C.
Taylor, Eben; College Place Ch.; 4905 Colonial Dr., Columbia, S. C.
*Mobley, Marion R.; Phys.; Box 431, Florence, S. C.
Ready, W. J.; Pub. Util.; Box 390, Columbia, S. C.
Holler, J. Carlisle; State Dept. of Edu.; 308 Wade Hampton Bldg., Columbia 1, S. C.
Marsh, Charles F.; Pres., Wofford College; 148 Wofford Campus, Spartanburg, S. C.
Jerome, J. E.; State Dept. of Agr.; Box 403, Rock Hill, S. C.
Moore, Roy C.; Bus.; 707 Market St., Cheraw, S. C.
White, John A.; Phys.; Box 552, Easley, S. C.

Reserves

DuBose, Robert N.; Spartanburg Dist.; Box 4216, Sta. B., Spartanburg, S. C.
Moody, C. L.; Grace Ch.; Box 6026, North Augusta, S. C.
Hickman, Victor R.; Rock Hill Dist.; 1139 Evergreen Cir., Rock Hill, S. C.
Broome, Allan R.; Supt., Epworth Children's Home; 2900 Millwood Ave., Columbia, S. C.
Medlock, Melvin K.; Wesley Mem. Ch.; 2501 Heyward St., Columbia, S. C.
Duffie, George S., Sr.; Trinity Ch.; Liberty at Church, Sumter, S. C.
Bolt, Lloyd D.; St. John's Ch., Rock Hill; 261 Summers, N.E., Orangeburg, S. C.
Crenshaw, Bryan; Central Ch.; Box 5055, Spartanburg, S. C.
Atkinson, Samuel M.; Lake City Dist.; Box 57, Lake City, S. C.
Whitaker, George W., Jr.; Trenholm Rd. Ch.; 3401 Trenholm Rd., Columbia, S. C.
Rice, Spencer M.; Ex. Sec., Bds. of Edu. & Chr. Soc. Conc.; 1420 Lady St., Columbia, S. C.
Taylor, Arthur M.; Edu.; 3124 Duncan St., Columbia, S. C.
Edwards, Robert C.; Pres., Clemson College; Clemson, S. C.
Russell, Donald S.; Governor; Governor's Office, Hampton Office Bldg., Columbia, S. C.
Kent, Harry R.; Contr.; 4 Johnson Rd., Charleston, S. C.
Brannon, William L.; Bus.; Box 212, Denmark, S. C.
Smith, Rudolph H.; Lumberman; Box 456, West Columbia, S. C.
Sale, Harold R.; Fun. Dir.; Box 207, Lexington, S. C.
Nicholson, William H., Jr.; Atty.; Greenwood, S. C.
Hardin, Edward K.; Atty.; Box 378, Chester, S. C.

SOUTH DAKOTA (4) NC

Sec. D, Rows 13-14, Seats 1-2

**Sander, Harvey H.;* Western Dist.; 1603 S. 7th St., Rapid City, S. D.
Herbst, Arnold T.; First Ch.; 401 S. Spring Ave., Sioux Falls, S. D.
Ortman, Ervin R.; Chiropractor; Canistota, S. D.
Hubbard, Fred; Supt., Camp Poinsett; Arlington, S. D.

Reserves

Lewis, Henry H.; Southern Dist.; 2115 W. 18th St., Sioux Falls, S. D.
Wagner, Robert H.; First Ch.; Box 1648, Rapid City, S. D.
Early, Jack J.; Pres., Dakota Wesleyan Univ.; Mitchell, S. D.
Lushbough, Mrs. Allen A.; Conf. WSCS Pres.; 1344 Cedar St., Sturgis, S. D.
Kuhler, Warren; Dir. of Fund Raising, S. D. Meth. Foundation; Wakonda, S. D.
Osgood, Mrs. Lee; Hmkr.; Colman, S. D.

SOUTH GEORGIA (10) SE

Sec. B, Rows 1-2, Seats 5-9

**Cochran, Leonard H.;* Mulberry St. Ch.; 719 Mulberry St., Macon, Ga.
Freeman, G. Ross; Asst. to Dean, Candler Sch. of Theol.; Emory Univ., Atlanta 22, Ga.
Duck, David A.; Cherokee Heights Ch.; 2693 Cherokee Ave., Macon, Ga.
Robertson, Frank L.; Savannah Dist.; 631 E. Victory Dr., Savannah, Ga.
Wilson, J. F.; First Ch.; Albany, Ga.
Parks, W. S.; Bus.; Rhine, Ga.
Thornton, B. I.; Bus.; Box 429, Cordele, Ga.
Henderson, Zack S.; Pres., Georgia Southern College; Statesboro, Ga.
Bostwick, W. E.; Banker; Arlington, Ga.
Newman, Mrs. Clyde J.; Hmkr.; Dawson, Ga.

Reserves

Williams, Dan H.; Edit., *Wesleyan Chr. Adv.;* Box 2385, Macon, Ga.
Bridges, Joe H.; Dublin Dist.; Box 366, Dublin, Ga.
Hancock, C. W.; Epworth Ch.; 2201 Bull St., Savannah, Ga.
Whiting, Thomas A.; Wesley Monumental Ch.; 429 Abecorn St., Savannah, Ga.
Beverly, F. J., Jr.; Dir. Ch. Ext. & Evang.; 1005 Church St., Waycross, Ga.
Jackson, Charles A., Jr.; Assoc. Dir. of Development, Emory University; Atlanta 22, Ga.
Wilson, Bruce M.; First Ch.; Waycross, Ga.
Peterson, Will J., Jr.; Bus.; Soperton, Ga.
Norwood, June; Bus.; Valdosta, Ga.
Blasingame, Walter A.; Bus.; Moultrie, Ga.
Harrison, Walter; Bus.; Box 929, Millen, Ga.
Griffin, Ben; Bus.; Hawkinsville, Ga.
Mitchell, W. C.; Bus.; 3765 Forest Rd., Columbus, Ga.
Webb, Julian; Atty.; Donalsonville, Ga.

SOUTH GERMANY (4) OS

Sec. C, Row 4, Seats 7-10

Jeuther, Hermann; DS; 7 Stuttgart S, Birkenwaldstr. 204, West Germany.
**Matthies, Johannes;* DS; 88 Ansbach, Juedstr. 15, West Germany.
Grad, Albert; Eng.; 712 Bissingen a. d. E., Zeppelinstr. 17, West Germany.
Fischer, Heinz P.; Atty.; 79 Ulm a. D., Weickemannstr. 16, West Germany.

Reserves

Schellhammer, Emil; Dir. and Pastor; 85 Nuernberg, Stadenstr. 60, West Germany.
Nollenberger, Paul; Pastor; 7 Stuttgart S, Sophienstr. 21 D, West Germany.
Härle, Eugen; Mayor; 7129 Ilsfeld Krs., Heilbronn, West Germany.
Prieser, Michael; Chief Inspector; 8 München-25, Schlegelstr. 6, West Germany.

SOUTH INDIA (2) OS

Sec. A, Row 1, Seats 5-6

**Nehemiah, Narsappa;* DS; Methodist Ch., Bidar, My. St., India.
Jay Prabhu, Lingampalli; Tchr.; Gov't. High School, Raichur, My. St., India.

Reserves

Heins, Conrad P.; Vice-Princ., Baldwin Boys' High School; Bangalore 25, India.
Isaiah, Bairappa R.; Evang.; Methodist Ch., Belgaum, My. St., India.
Edwards, Miss Sundra; Evang.; Shanthi Sadan, Gulbarga, My. St., India.

SOUTH IOWA (8) NC

Sec. A, Rows 6-7, Seats 1-4

**Hann, Paul M.;* Burlington Dist.; First Meth. Ch., 10th & Pleasant Sts., Des Moines, Ia., 50309.
Moore, Lester L.; Manning-Dedham Cir.; 615 2nd St., Manning, Ia.
Long, Arthur V.; First Ch.; 517 1st Ave., E., Newton, Ia.
Wilcox, Wilbur; Collegiate Ch.; 2622 Lincolnway, Ames, Ia.
Owens, Mrs. John A.; Conf. WSCS Pres.; 1408 47th St., Des Moines 11, Ia.
Shearer, Paul V.; Atty.; 110 E. Monroe, Washington, Ia.
Don Carlos, Waldo E.; Atty.; Greenfield, Ia.
Lucas, Mrs. Robert; Conf. WSCS Officer; Madrid, Ia.

Reserves

Parrott, Glenn R.; Ottumwa Dist.; 1105 N. Court, Ottumwa, Ia.
Pinnell, Robert W.; Des Moines Dist.; 1019 High St., Des Moines, Ia.
Overhulser, William F.; Pastor; 515 Hillside Ave., West Des Moines, Ia.
Staats, Everett H.; Broadway Ch.; 189 Keeline Ave., Council Bluffs, Ia.
Garrett, C. Dendy; Wesley Ch.; 1112 Des Moines St., Des Moines 16, Ia.
Cooley, O. Edward; Newton Dist.; 1514 N. Main, Grinnell, Ia.
Taylor, Ben J.; Newspaper Exec.; 304 S. Main, Fairfield, Ia.
Kreager, Max W.; Mfr.; 418 N. 6th Ave., E., Newton, Ia.
Williams, Paul V.; Farmer; Villisca, Ia.
Wilson, James; Edit.-Publ.; 1750 Terrace Dr., Carroll, Ia.
Stanley, C. Maxwell; Engr.; Muscatine, Ia.
Trout, Mrs. Robert; Hmkr.; RFD, Ankeny, Ia.

SOUTHEAST AFRICA (2) OS

Sec. B, Row 6, Seats 11-12

Zunguze, Escrivão A.; Pastor; C.P. 158, Lourenzo, Marques, Africa.
Navess, Bento; Literature Promoter; C.P. 158, Lourenzo, Marques, Africa.

Reserves

Jamella, Gideon; Pastor; C.P. 41, Inhambane, Africa.
Mucambe, Manuel M.; Pastor; C.P. 158, Lourenzo, Marques, Africa.
Kemling, Max V.; Missionary; C.P. 45, Inhambane, Africa.
Adolfsson, Tage; Missionary; C.P. 45, Inhambane, Africa.
Persson, Borje A.; Missionary; Box 75, Cleveland, S. Africa.
Manhica, Zacarias; Pastor; C.P. 45, Inhambane, Africa.
Anderson, William F.; Missionary; C.P. 21, Lourenzo, Marques, Africa.
Mujongue, Chadreque; Instructor; C.P. 45, Inhambane, Africa.
Rodrigues, Antonio; Professor; C.P. 45, Inhambane, Africa.
Laice, Ernesto; Carpenter; C.P. 41, Inhambane, Africa.
Gemo, Finias J.; Professor; C.P. 41, Inhambane, Africa.
Michel, Mabel P.; Missionary; C.P. 45, Inhambane, Africa.
Anderson, Dorothy; Missionary; C.P. 21, Lourenzo, Marques, Africa.
Mucambe, Isabel; Housewife; Bos 8293, Salisbury, S. R.

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA-ARIZONA (20) W

Sec. D, Rows 13-14, Seats 3-12

**Reeves, Edwin E.;* San Diego Dist.; 6280 Fernwood Dr., La Mesa, Calif.
Ragsdale, Ray W.; Catalina Ch.; 2700 E. Speedway Tucson 6, Ariz.
Edwards, K. Morgan; Prof., Sch. of Theology; Claremont, Calif.
White, L. L.; Holman Ch.; 3320 W. Adams Blvd., Los Angeles 18, Calif.
Clay, Russell E.; Downey Ch.; 10801 S. Downey Ave., Downey, Calif.
Cain, Richard W.; Los Angeles Dist.; 5250 Santa Monica Blvd., Los Angeles 29, Calif.
Williams, Frank S.; Pasadena Dist.; 5250 Santa Monica Blvd., Los Angeles 29, Calif.
Hildebrand, Will M.; Asso. Gen. Sec., Conf. Coord. Council; 5250 Santa Monica Blvd., Los Angeles 29, Calif.
Wheatley, Melvin E., Jr.; Westwood Com. Ch.; 10497 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles 24, Calif.
McKee, Stanley S.; Santa Barbara Dist.; 5250 Santa Monica Blvd., Los Angeles 29, Calif.
Hole, J. Wesley; Conf. Treas.; 5250 Santa Monica Blvd., Los Angeles 29, Calif.
Colwell, Ernest C.; Pres., Sch. of Theol.; 725 Santa Barbara Dr., Claremont, Calif.
Orr, Verne, Sr.; Ret.; 660 S. Orange Grove Ave., Pasadena, Calif.
Orton, Hubert E.; Conf. LL, Rl. Est.; 6303 Yucca St., Hollywood 28, Calif.
Wittman, Mrs. Otto J.; Conf. WSCS Pres.; 1033 S. 9th Ave., Arcadia, Calif.
Ingham, Mrs. Edwin A.; Hmkr.; 1801B S. Fair Oaks, Pasadena, Calif.
Bacon, Mrs. Martha; Sec., Conf. Bd. of Miss. Office; 6317 Lexington Ave., Los Angeles 38, Calif.
Stone, Mrs. John Paul; Hmkr.; 4661 59th St., San Diego 15, Calif.
Fletcher, Robert; Contr.; 7620 N. 7th St., Phoenix 20, Ariz.
Gammage, Melvin; Rl. Est.; 1407 Princess Drive, S. Charleston, W. Va.

Reserves

Kendall, Charles S.; First Ch.; 6817 Franklin Ave., Hollywood 28, Calif.
Trotter, F. Thomas; Dean & Prof., Sch. of Theology; Claremont, Calif.

Long, Kermit L.; Central Ch.; 1875 N. Central, Phoenix 4, Ariz.
Miller, Kenneth P.; Whittier Dist.; 1233 N. Riedel, Fullerton, Calif.
Bagby, Grover C., Jr.; Asso. Gen. Sec., Gen. Bd. of Chr. Soc. Conc.; 100 Maryland Ave., N.E., Washington 2, D. C.
Gray, Frank M.; North Glendale Ch.; 1015 N. Central Ave., Glendale 2, Calif.
Walker, Daniel D.; First Ch.; 500 E. Colorado Blvd., Pasadena 1, Calif.
Sears, Hayden S.; First Ch.; 1008 11th St., Santa Monica, Calif.
Farley, Thomas K.; Min. of Edu., First Ch.; 4845 Brockton Ave., Riverside, Calif.
Olivas, Mardoqueo L.; Parkway Ch.; 169 Fillmore St., Pasadena, Calif.
Rogers, Cornish R.; Calvary Ch.; 5268 W. Adams Blvd., Los Angeles 16, Calif.
O'Connor, Donald R.; Riverside Dist.; 1403 Wells Ave., Claremont, Calif.
Locher, Donald R.; Los Altos Ch.; 5950 E. Willow St., Long Beach 15, Calif.
Walker, Marion R.; Agric.; 5210 E. Telephone Rd., Ventura, Calif.
Buhler, Donald; Builder; 2930 Hutton Dr., Beverly Hills, Calif.
Cranston, John M.; Atty.; 3690 Pringle St., San Diego, Calif.
Meyers, C. Raymond; Asst. Conf. Treas.; 5250 Santa Monica Blvd., Los Angeles 29, Calif.
Wright, A. A.; Bus. Mgr., First Ch.; 5145 Eagle St., Long Beach 15, Calif.
Wahrenbrock, Lester G.; Edu.; 5121 Harmony Ln., La Mesa, Calif.
LaPoint, Francis; Exec. Sec., Conf. Camp Com.; 330 Bella Vista, Monrovia, Calif.
Dempsey, I. F.; Contr.; 1410 E. Edgemont, Phoenix 6, Ariz.
Divelbess, Harold; Atty.; 855 E. Edgemont, Phoenix, Ariz.
Akin, Mrs. Vern; Hmkr.; 11369 E. Frankmont St., El Monte, Calif.
Dunipace, William; Atty.; 3020 E. 2nd St., Tucson, Ariz.
Cooper, Lawrence T.; Bus.; 445 E. Altadena Dr., Altadena, Calif.
Steed, George V.; Contr.; 150 N. Cresta, San Gabriel, Calif.

SOUTHERN CONGO (2) OS

Sec. E, Row 5, Seats 1-2

**Bulaya, Joel;* Pastor; B.P. 591, Manono, Prov. du N. Katanga, Congo.
Mwenda, Gaston; School Director; B.P. 522, Elisabethville, Katanga O., Congo.

Reserves

Kaputo, Elie; Princ., Institut Springer; Mulunguishi, Katanga O., Congo.
Mukonde, Pinaro; School Director; B.P. 522, Elisabethville, Katanga O., Congo.

SOUTHERN ILLINOIS (6) NC

Sec. A, Rows 3-4, Seats 6-8

**Funkhouser, Clyde R.;* Harrisburg Dist.; 323 W. Logan St., Harrisburg, Ill.
Evers, Joseph C.; Epworth Ch.; 4721 Walter St., Belleville, Ill.
Hurley, Hubert G.; Carbondale Dist.; 402 N. Poplar, Carbondale, Ill.
Davis, Mrs. Robert; Conf. WSCS Pres.; Box 158, Robinson, Ill.
Thompson, Everett K.; Conf. LL; Sesser, Ill.
Rigden, Russell; Salesman; 1320 N. 25th St., E. St. Louis, Ill.

Reserves

Lippman, Roland A.; First Ch.; 415 E. Broadway, Centralia, Ill.
Smith, Walter A.; Conf. Exec. Sec.; 1918 Broadway, Mt. Vernon, Ill.
Barnett, Vernie T.; Niedringhaus Ch., Granite City; Box 341, Vandalia, Ill.
Ragsdale, Paul W.; First Ch.; 512 Mulberry St., Mt. Carmel, Ill.
Winn, Maurice L.; First Ch.; 400 E. Chestnut, Olney, Ill.
Wells, Mrs. Harry A.; Hmkr.; Lawrenceville, Ill.
Davenport, Mrs. L. N.; Hmkr.; Harrisburg, Ill.
McKinley, Dean; Bus.; 22 Oak Knoll, Belleville, Ill.
Vallow, J. N.; Publ.; Kinmundy, Ill.
Mick, Hershel; Bus.; 717 S. 18th, Mt. Vernon, Ill.

SOUTHWEST (2) C

Sec. A, Row 5, Seats 1-2

**Hall, Cortelyou C.;* North Little Rock Dist.; 102 Robin St., Hot Springs, Ark.
Cullins, John S.; Conf. LL; 4301 W. 16th St., Little Rock, Ark.

Reserves

Oliver, John H.; Mallalieu Ch.; 1113 9th St., Fort Smith, Ark.
Washington, Mrs. C. G.; Hmkr.; 1216 N. 8th St.; Fort Smith, Ark.

SOUTHWEST GERMANY (2) OS

Sec. C, Row 4, Seats 11-12

**Sommer, Carl Ernst;* Pres., Theol. Sem.; 6 Frankfurt am M.-Ginnheim, Ginnheimer Landstr. 180, West Germany.
Schiele, Rudolf; Bus.; 7541 Grünbach über Neuenburg, Wttbg., Haus unter den Eichen, West Germany.

Reserves

Beisiegel, Karl; DS; 6 Frankfurt am M.-Ginnheim, Ginnheimer Landstr. 174, West Germany.
Acker, Karl Heinz; Bus.; 583 Schwelm, Westf., Gockinghofstr. 41, West Germany.

SOUTHWEST TEXAS (12) SC

Sec. C, Rows 9-10, Seats 1-6

**Richardson, Ted;* San Antonio Dist.; 535 Bandera Rd., San Antonio 28, Tex.
Hill, Ennis B.; Laurel Heights Ch.; 227 W. Woodlawn, San Antonio 12, Tex.
Morgan, James Wm.; Univ. Meth. Ch.; 2409 Guadalupe, Austin, Tex.
Moore, J. Barcus; St. Andrew's Ch.; 722 Robinhood, San Antonio 9, Tex.
Hierholzer, Elmer J.; Austin Dist.; 4012 Crescent Dr., Austin, Tex.
Wheeler, Sterling F.; Jefferson Ch.; 758 Donaldson, San Antonio 1, Tex.
Walker, James M.; Elec. Mtnc. Supv.; 974 Jefferson St., Seguin, Tex.
Reavley, Tom; Atty.; 1312 Meriden Ln., Austin 3, Tex.
McDonald, E. D.; Bus.; 1102 Ferguson Dr., Harlingen, Tex.
Woolsey, Mrs. V. G.; Hmkr.; 317 Bermuda Pl., Corpus Christi, Tex.
Brown, C. W.; Bus.; Box 1029, McCamey, Tex.
Warner, William B.; Contr.; 1220 S. Monroe, San Angelo, Tex.

Reserves

Gray, Darrell D.; Corpus Christi Dist.; 1305 N. Shoreline, Corpus Christi, Tex.
Brown, Lawrence K.; San Angelo Dist.; 2019 Douglas Dr., San Angelo, Tex.
Tate, Robert S., Jr.; Alamo Heights Ch.; 5101 Broadway, San Antonio 9, Tex.
Rohlfs, Claus H.; McKinley Ave. Ch.; 2926 S. Presa, San Antonio 10, Tex.
Bell, A. C.; First Ch.; Box 1838, Corpus Christi, Tex.
Redmond, Donald E.; Dir. of Advance, Div. of World Miss.; 475 Riverside Dr., New York 27, N. Y.
Thomas, H. Ellis; Kerrville Dist.; Box 687, Kerrville, Tex.
Morgan, Miss Wilmuth; Fin. Sec.; Box 829, Mission, Tex.
Jackson, W. W.; Ins.; 2136 W. Summit, San Antonio 1, Tex.
McCreless, S. E.; Ins.; 2202 W. Kings Hwy., San Antonio 1, Tex.
Lain, T. LeRoy; Ins.; Box 6316, Corpus Christi, Tex.
Mims, Elton; Rancher; Water Valley, Tex.
Mills, Henry, Jr.; Rancher; Del Rio, Tex.
Caldwell, Mrs. T. A.; Hmkr.; 1626 Northumberland Rd., Austin 3, Tex.

SUMATRA (2) OS

Sec. A, Row 2, Seats 3-4

**Alm, K. Ragnar;* Theol. Prof., Univ. Nommensen; Pematang Siantar, Sumatra, Indonesia.
Hutapea, Karl M.; Head of Personnel, Dept. of Edu., North Sumatra; Dj. Demak 1, Medan, Sumatra, Indonesia.

Reserves

Napitupulu, Halomoan M.; Palembang Dist.; Dj. Talang Djawa 13, Palembang, Sumatra, Indonesia.
Gultom, Johannes; Pastor; Tebing Tinggi, Deli, Sumatra, Indonesia.
Hutagalung, Ferdinand; Asst. to Governor of North Sumatra; Dj. Sutan Hassanudin 7, Medan, Sumatra, Indonesia.
Thio, Chan-Swee; Bus.; Dj. Perniagaan 147, Medan, Sumatra, Indonesia.

SWEDEN (4) OS

Sec. F, Rows 5-6, Seats 1-2

**Widegard, Arne G.;* Prof.; Torkelsgatan 4 A, Göteborg O, Sweden.
Atterling, Henry J.; DS; Birkagatan 1 V, Stockholm Va, Sweden.
Amark, Curt; Docent; Sagostigen 5, Bromma, Sweden.
Holm, Carl-Axel; Tchr.; Liljeholmen, Rim Forsa, Sweden.

Reserves

Källstad, Thorvald E.; Rector; Förtroligheten 5, Göteborg S, Sweden.
Larson, Gunnar E.; Prof.; Chalmersgatan 17 IV, Göteborg C, Sweden.
Melin, Bengt E.; Docent; Radmansgatan 67, Stockholm Va, Sweden.
Rosell, Paul; Tchr.; Margretelundsgatan 11, Göteborg S, Sweden.

SWITZERLAND (2) OS

Sec. A, Row 8, Seats 7-8

**Schaad, Hermann;* Pastor; Zahringerstr. 7, Lucerne, Switzerland.
Ryser, Ernst; Publ.; Konkordiastr. 20, Zurich 7/32, Switzerland.

Reserves

Hell, Konrad; Pastor; Eichenstr. 1, Basel, Switzerland.
Hug, Paul; Edit.; Breitenmatt 5, Rorschacherberg SG, Switzerland.

TENNESSEE (2) C

Sec. A, Row 1, Seats 1-2

**Randolph, Allen H. L.;* John Wesley Ch.; 1008 Acklen Ave., Nashville, Tenn., 37203.
Jones, Lillian L.; WSCS Treas.; 918 Grove Ave., Nashville, Tenn., 37203.

Reserves

Jones, Herman H.; Memphis Dist.; 1534 Arkansas St., Memphis, Tenn.
Brown, Samuel R.; Postman; 653 Alston Ave., Memphis, Tenn.

TENNESSEE (8) SE

Sec. F, Rows 5-6, Seats 3-6

**Fowler, H. Thornton;* McKendree Ch.; 437 Third Nat'l. Bank Bldg., Nashville, Tenn., 37219.
Strother, William Bruce; First Ch., Columbia; 523 Church St., Nashville, Tenn., 37219.
Walkup, Elbert E.; Clarksville Dist.; Box 223, Clarksville, Tenn.
Denson, Charles Elwood; First Ch.; Crossville, Tenn.
Morgan, R. Kenneth; Tchr.; Castle Heights Military Academy, Lebanon, Tenn.
Beasley, N. C.; Edu.; Middle Tenn. State College, Murfreesboro, Tenn.
Turpen, H. H.; Asst. Sch. Supt.; 811 Battlefield Dr., Nashville, Tenn., 37204.
Cate, George H., Jr.; Vice Mayor; 4010 Estes Ave., Nashville, Tenn., 37215.

Reserves

Lanius, Paul F.; Nashville Dist.; Franklin, Tenn.
Hunt, C. H.; Cookeville Dist.; Box 416, Cookeville, Tenn.
Westenberger, W. C.; Pres., Martin College; Pulaski, Tenn.
Mayhew, T. W.; Madison Street Ch.; Clarksville, Tenn.
Dodson, Sam R., Jr.; Calvary Ch.; 3701 Hillsboro Rd., Nashville, Tenn., 37215.
Tillman, Mrs. J. Fount; WDCS Pres.; Farmington, Tenn.
Notgrass, L. Kemper; Salesman; Melrose Center, Nashville, Tenn., 37204.
Puckett, L. G., Sr.; Bus.; Smithville, Tenn.
Warren, Ed; Fun. Dir.; Franklin, Tenn.
Ford, Floyd; Tchr.; Austin Peay State College, Clarksville, Tenn.

TEXAS (4) C

Sec. A, Rows 1-2, Seats 7-8

**Luster, Cosum M.;* Deceased.
Felder, Luther B.; Beaumont Dist.; Box 4101, Beaumont, Tex.
Cole, Thomas W.; Pres. Wiley College; Marshall, Tex.
Wooten, Mrs. H. L.; Hmkr.; Star Route, Lovelady, Tex.

Reserves

Mayes, Allen M.; Gen. Bd. of Pensions; 1200 Davis St., Evanston, Ill.
Hayes, Robert E.; Trinity Ch.; 1914 Blodgett, Houston, Tex.

Humphrey, A. L.; Bus.; 5518 Ave. K, Galveston, Tex.
Jones, Mrs. Elmira W.; Hmkr.; 12062 Ann Louise, Houston 38, Tex.

TEXAS (16) SC

Sec. A, Rows 12-13, Seats 1-8

**McCleskey, Wayne H.;* Houston West Dist.; 5215 S. Main St., Houston, Tex.
Blackwell, Derwood L.; Tyler Dist.; 2911 Old Bullard Rd., Tyler, Tex.
Clendenin, Stewart; Beaumont Dist.; Box 3253, Beaumont, Tex.
Hattaway, William L.; Houston East Dist.; 5215 S. Main St., Houston, Tex.
Tower, Joe Z.; Exec. Sec., Tex. Conf. Council; 5215 S. Main St., Houston 2, Tex.
Hardt, John W.; First Ch.; Box 3247, Beaumont, Tex.
Shamblin, J. Kenneth; St. Luke's Ch.; 3471 Westheimer, Houston, Tex.
Fleming, Durwood; Pres., Southwestern Univ.; Georgetown, Tex.
Goens, Ray W.; Phys.; 6615 Travis St., Houston, Tex.
Thompson, Pat; Bus.; Box 1591, Bay City, Tex.
Smith, R. E.; Bus.; 2316 Gulf Bldg., Houston, Tex.
Pounds, W. A., Jr.; Banker; Box 2009, Tyler, Tex.
Acker, T. E.; Banker; Jacksonville, Tex.
Faubion, Mrs. E. M.; Hmkr.; 3031 Robinhood, Houston, Tex.
Mell, M. G., Atty.; Gilmer, Tex. :
Edge, Claude A.; Bus.; Box 233, Bryan, Tex.

Reserves

Allen, Charles L.; First Ch.; 1320 Main St., Houston 2, Tex.
Crawford, Nace B.; Supt., Lakeview Meth. Assembly; Rt. 5, Palestine, Tex.
Rankin, Harry V.; Marvin Ch.; 300 W. Erwin St., Tyler, Tex.
Riley, W. Compton; Texarkana Dist.; 2625 Pine St., Texarkana, Tex.
Hardin, H. Grady; Prof., Perkins Sch. of Theol.; Southern Meth. Univ., Dallas 22, Tex.
Lemons, A. D.; First Ch.; Box 308, Henderson, Tex.
Matthis, Leon C.; Conf. Dir. of Evang.; 5215 S. Main St., Houston 2, Tex.
Fagan, J. Harold; Park Place Ch.; Box 12186, Houston 17, Tex.
Bayer, Karl O.; Bryan Dist.; Box 104, Bryan, Tex.
Carter, Stanley; Palestine Dist.; 20 Sunset Rd., Palestine, Tex.
Willis, Walter R.; Longview Dist.; Box 2382, Longview, Tex.
Horton, Mrs. William E., Jr.; Hmkr.; 3655 Piping Rock Ln., Houston, Tex.
Fondren, Mrs. W. W.; Philanthropist; 3755 Knollwood, Houston, Tex.
Clabaugh, E. C.; Bus.; Carthage, Tex.
Windham, L. B.; Phys.; Rt. 5, Box 110, Tyler, Tex.
Butts, Bryan J.; Bus.; Box 518, San Augustine, Tex.
Peace, J. R.; Mfr.; East Bernard, Tex.
Windham, W. C.; Phys.; Box 666, Center, Tex.
Stephenson, Charles; Mgr., Texas Emp. Com.; Box 724, Mt. Pleasant, Tex.
Cox, Navarro; Bus.; Nacogdoches, Tex.
Moore, A. D.; Atty.; Box 1027, Beaumont, Tex.
Bramlett, Sam; Bus.; 3228 Indiana St., Baytown A, Tex.

TROY (6) NE

Sec. F, Rows 11-12, Seats 6-8

Haley, Elmer N.; Burlington Dist.; 249 Shelburne Rd., Burlington, Vt.
Kessler, Charles W.; Pastor; 4 Parkwood Dr., Burnt Hills, N. Y.
Goewey, Hobart F.; Glens Falls Dist.; 59 Sheridan St.; Glens Falls, N. Y.
*Kirchner, Frederick K.; Chemist; 9 Rural Pl., Delmar, N. Y.
Curtis, Mrs. Wilbur F.; Hmkr.; 414 Broadway, Mechanicville, N. Y.
Robb, Don S.; Finance Mgr.; 1555 Regent St., Schenectady, N. Y.

Reserves

Hydon, Paul V.; First Ch.; 25 Buell St., Burlington, Vt.
Thomas, Robert B.; Albany Dist.; 128 Adams Pl., Delmar, N. Y.
Larrowe, Lawrence; Bennington-Troy Dist.; 100 Observatory Rd., Bennington, Vt.
Clute, Dow S.; Trinity Ch.; 801 Plymouth Ave., Schenectady, N. Y.
Stimmel, Howard L.; Calvary Ch.; 240 West Lawrence St., Albany 8, N. Y.
Harkness, Georgia; Theologian; 10 Kerr Ave., Berkeley, Calif.
Lyman, Charles F.; Ins.; 21 Summit Ridge, Burlington, Vt.
Cooper, Earle N.; Atty.; 100 State St., Albany, N. Y.
Wusterbarth, Harold J.; Acct.; 395 Daniels Ave., Schenectady, N. Y.
Vanderbilt, Chester W.; Newsp. Circ. Mgr.; 30 Birchwood Ave., Rensselaer, N. Y.

UPPER MISSISSIPPI (2) C

Sec. F, Row 1, Seats 3-4

**Graham, John H.;* Div. of Nat. Miss.; 1701 Arch St., Philadelphia 3, Pa.
Presley, Isaac P.; Bus.; 111 College St., Kosciusko, Miss.

Reserves

Smith, Earnest A.; Pres., Rust College; Holly Springs, Miss.
Griffin, Mrs. S. L.; Tchr., Rust College; Holly Springs, Miss.

URUGUAY (2) OS

Sec. C, Row 2, Seats 3-4

**Brun, Miguel A.;* St. Paul Ch.; Dr. Albo 2711, Depto. 501, Montevideo, Uruguay.
Frisch, Mrs. Violeta M.; Hmkr.; Juan Rosas 4458, Cerrito de Victoria, Montevideo, Uruguay.

Reserves

Mariano, Roberto; Mercedes Ch.; Ituzaingo 191, Mercedes, Uruguay.
Wieland, Mrs. Ada K.; Dentist; Avda. Italia 3039, Montevideo, Uruguay.

VIRGINIA (22) SE

Sec. D, Rows 11-12, Seats 2-12

**Riddick, Roland P.;* Arlington Ch.; 716 S. Glebe Rd., Arlington, Va.
Johnston, Joseph S.; Norfolk Dist.; 410 Royster Bldg., Norfolk, Va.
Hughes, Harold H., Sr.; Arlington Dist.; 509 Military Dr., Falls Church, Va.
Sanders, Carl J.; Centenary Ch.; 511 E. Grace St., Richmond, Va., 23219.

Potts, Edgar A.; Lynchburg Dist.; Box 3087, Lynchburg, Va.
Bailey, A. Purnell; Richmond Dist.; Box 26, Richmond, Va.
Kelly, Owen T.; Epworth Ch.; 124 W. Freemason St., Norfolk 10, Va.
Landis, Theodore E.; Greene Mem. Ch.; 3268 Allendale St., S.W., Roanoke, Va.
Eutsler, R. Kern; Ginter Park Ch.; 3909 Brook Rd., Richmond 27, Va.
Bryant, R. Orman; Peninsula Dist.; 19 Brandon Rd., Warwick, Newport News, Va.
Backhus, Harry W., III; Alexandria Dist.; 5001 Echols Ave., Alexandria, Va., 22311.
Jefferson, Allie G.; Optician, Conf. LL; Allied Arts Bldg., Lynchburg, Va.
Earley, Charles M.; Ins.; 306 Royster Bldg., Norfolk, Va.
Moreland, J. Earl; Pres., Randolph Macon College; Ashland, Va.
Tyrrell, Mrs. J. Boyd; Hmkr., Conf. WSCS Pres.; 2624 Fairway Dr., Roanoke, Va.
White, Albert L., Jr.; Ret.; 29 Hampton Roads Ave., Hampton, Va.
Bray, Jerry G.; Judge, Asso. Conf. LL; 1020 Ohio St., Norfolk, Va., 23506.
Walker, W. Roland; Mfr.; Kenbridge, Va.
Vaughan, William C.; Bus.; 2306 Bedford Ave., Lynchburg, Va.
Grantham, Dan L.; Atty.; 201 N. Jackson St., Arlington, Va.
Robey, William T., Jr.; Bus.; Box 396, Buena Vista, Va.
Rixse, John H., Jr.; U. S. Agric. Dept.; 717 Grand View Dr., Alexandria, Va.

Reserves

Parker, Robert P.; Dir., Conf. Asso. of Edu. Inst.; 4016 W. Broad St., Richmond 30, Va.
Freeman, W. Carroll; Roanoke Dist.; 1853 Belleville Rd., Roanoke, Va.
Wilburn, L. Oakey; Winchester Dist.; 115 W. Peyton St., Winchester, Va.
Fink, Harold H.; Park Place Ch.; 5000 Gosnold Dr., Norfolk 8, Va.
Arthur, C. Ralph; Pres., Ferrum Junior College; Ferrum, Va.
Acey, Archie E.; Centenary Ch.; 1501 Rivermont Ave., Lynchburg, Va.
Smith, Hampden H.; Staunton Dist.; 1717 Augusta St., Staunton, Va.
Kidd, Charles O.; Reveille Ch.; 309 Roslyn Rd., Hampton Gardens, Richmond, Va.
Blakemore, John H.; Exec. Sec., Conf. Bd. of Edu.; 4016 W. Broad St., Richmond 30, Va.
Blackwell, H. Conrad; Danville Dist.; 160 Hawthorne Dr., Danville, Va.
Smith, J. Roy; Mt. Olivet Ch.; 1518 N. Glebe Rd., Arlington, Va.
Potts, J. Manning; Edit., *The Upper Room;* 1908 Grand Ave., Nashville, Tenn., 37203.
Turner, James W.; South Roanoke Ch.; 2917 Rosalind Ave., S.W., Roanoke, Va.
Myers, John W.; Washington St. Ch.; 115 S. Washington St., Alexandria, Va.
Tamkin, Raymon; Dairy Insp.; Box 206, Strasburg, Va.
Porterfield, A. R.; Produce Broker; 3424 Grandin Rd. Extn., S.W., Roanoke, Va.
Phelps, William R.; Ret.; Bedford, Va.
Figgs, Clyde P.; Ins.; Parksley, Va.
Kent, B. B.; Est. Mgr.; Afton, Va.

Pope, Joseph W.; Ins.; Boykins, Va.
Flythe, Sutton S.; Banker; Box 631, Martinsville, Va.
Moss, Melvin; Bus.; Kinsale, Va.
Manning, Charles C.; Credit Mgr.; 521 Graydon Park, Apt. 4, Norfolk 7, Va.
Hudgins, J. C.; Ret.; 803 Riverside Dr., Newport News, Va.
Boyd, Robert F.; Atty.; 912 Hanover Ave., Norfolk 8, Va.
Jackson, Mrs. T. A.; Hmkr.; 3400 N. Westmoreland St., Falls Church, Va.
Lord, Kenneth; Bus.; 15 Oak Ln., Richmond, Va.
Pullen, Mrs. Richard G.; Hmkr.; 808 S. Main St., Blackstone, Va.

WASHINGTON (4) C

Sec. E, Rows 1-2, Seats 5-6

**Dyson, John B. A.;* North Baltimore Dist.; 3700 Dennlyn Rd., Baltimore 15, Md.
Jackson, Kelly L.; Sharp St. Ch.; 507 W. Lanvale St., Baltimore 17, Md.
Dodson, Thurman L.; Atty.; 626 3rd St., N.W., Washington, D. C.
Williams, Mrs. Beryl E. W.; College Prof.; 4905 The Alameda, Baltimore, Md.

Reserves

Foy, James D.; Asbury Ch.; 3801 S. Dakota Ave., N.E., Washington, D. C.
Carroll, Edward G.; Washington Dist.; 1710 Varnum St., N.W., Washington, D. C.
Williams, Roscoe C.; Orchard St. Ch.; 1342 Druid Hill Ave., Baltimore 17, Md.
Contee, Earl; Govt. Emp.; 328 N. Patrick St., Alexandria, Va.
Kess, Theodore W.; Ret.; 225 11th Ave., N.E., Glen Burnie, Md.
Hawkins, Mrs. Inez; Govt. Emp.; 30 Gibbons Ch. Rd., Brandywine, Md.

WEST CHINA (2) OS

WEST TEXAS (2) C

Sec. C, Row 5, Seats 8-9

**Dixon, Ernest T., Jr.;* Gen. Bd. of Edu.; Box 871, Nashville, Tenn., 37202.
King, John T.; Dean, Huston-Tillotson College; 2400 Givens Ave., Austin 2, Tex.

Reserves

Owens, James G.; Warren Ch.; 3733 Myrtle St., Dallas, Tex.
Seabrook, John J.; Pres., Hutson-Tillotson College; Austin 2, Tex.
Coe, Mrs. O. B.; Hmkr.; 408 S. Polaris St., San Antonio, Tex.
Kirk, William Astor; Gen. Bd. of Chr. Soc. Conc.; 100 Maryland Ave., N.E., Washington 2, D. C.

WEST VIRGINIA (12) NE

Sec. C, Rows 13-14, Seats 1-6

**Burns, Lacy H.;* Charleston Dist.; 1407 Bedford Rd., Charleston, W. Va.
Collins, Claude R.; Area Adm. Asst.; Kanahawa Valley Bldg., Charleston, W. Va.
Evans, Garrett H.; First Ch.; 1124 Fifth Ave., Huntington, W. Va.

Godwin, Charles; Parkersburg Dist.; 1716 Market St., Parkersburg, W. Va.
Potter, Truman W.; Christ Ch.; Quarrier & Morris St., Charleston, W. Va.
High, Henry R.; Exec. Sec., Pension Funds, Inc.; 1010 Hunt Ave., Charleston, W. Va.
Byus, William A., Jr.; Ins.; 404 Davidson Bldg., Charleston, W. Va.
Artzberger, A. T.; Ret.; 31 Ridgewood Ave., Wheeling, W. Va.
Cunningham, Mrs. B. J.; Hmkr.; 337 Highland St., Huntington, W. Va.
Johnston, Mrs. E. K.; Hmkr.; Rt. 1, Box 417, Bluefield, W. Va.
Shaffer, H. P.; Ins.; 174 Fayette St., Morgantown, W. Va.
Roberts, Richard L.; Chemist; Milton, W. Va.

Reserves

Wallace, A. P.; Johnson Mem. Ch.; Box 26, Huntington, W. Va.
Jarvis, J. C.; Christ Ch.; 1232 National Rd., Wheeling, W. Va.
Bennett, A. E.; St. Mark's Ch.; 3201 Virginia Ave., S.E., Charleston, W. Va.
Burns, W. Reese; Meth. Temple; 500 S. Kanawha St., Beckley, W. Va.
Martin, Stanley H.; Pres., W. Va. Wesleyan College; Buckhannon, W. Va.
Mallory, R. T.; Wheeling Dist.; 28 Holly Rd., Wheeling, W. Va.
Woods, William A.; Huntington Dist.; 511 11th Ave., Huntington, W. Va.
Shaffer, Frank L.; 4th St. Ch.; The Methodist Bldg., Wheeling, W. Va.
Fisher, James C.; Lewisburg Dist.; 401 E. Washington St., Lewisburg, W. Va.
Culpepper, Mrs. Ross; Hmkr.; 26 Monongalia St., Charleston 2, W. Va.
Feather, Merritt H.; Hosp. Adm.; 323 N. 4th St., Oakland, Md.
Conley, Phil N.; Author & Publ.; 810 Va. St., W., Charleston, W. Va.
Gilchrist, Carl K.; Bus.; 1700 McCorkle Ave., Charleston, W. Va.
Sebert, Lowell E.; Bus.; Box 81, Fairlea, W. Va.
Harvey, J. T.; Conf. Dir. of Spir. Life; Mintspring Farm, Bluefield, W. Va.
Cogar, Mrs. Jeanette; Tchr.; 213 Hoover St., Webster Springs, W. Va.
Hymes, Myron B.; Atty.; Buchannon, W. Va.
Bleathen, Harry S.; Bus.; 440 4th Ave., Huntington, W. Va.

WEST WISCONSIN (4) NC

Sec. F, Rows 5-6, Seats 7-8

**Wilson, Winslow N.;* Southern Dist.; 3926 Mineral Point Rd., Madison 5, Wis.
Finch, Gomer W.; Central Dist.; 2248 Sisson Dr., LaCrosse, Wis.
Kahl, Norman F.; Agric. Ext. Agt.; 719 Riesler Ave., Ladysmith, Wis.
Lau, Clifford G.; Farmer; Jim Falls, Wis.

Reserves

Adams, Robert H.; Pastor; 32 Park Pl., Platteville, Wis.
Hanley, L. Keith; Black River Charge; 709 Harrison St., Black River Falls, Wis.
Herrmann, Mrs. Ernest F.; Conf. WSCS Pres.; 1 Judith St., Chippewa Falls, Wis.
Henry, Mrs. Archie R.; Hmkr.; 615 Broadway, Baraboo, Wis.

WESTERN NORTH CAROLINA (22) SE

Sec. B, Rows 9-10, Seats 1-11

**White, Charles D.;* First Ch.; 512 Cliff Rd., Box 667, Asheboro, N. C.
Tuttle, Robert G.; First Ch.; 311 3rd Ave., N.E., Hickory, N. C.
Madison, J. Clay; Myers Park Ch.; Box 6094, Charlotte, N. C.
Goodson, W. Kenneth; Centenary Ch.; Box 608, Winston-Salem, N. C.
Weldon, Wilson O.; West Market Ch.; 302 W. Market St., Greensboro, N. C.
Bowles, Charles P.; Charlotte Dist.; 1100 Queens Rd., Charlotte, N. C.
Tuttle, Lee F.; Exec. Sec., World Meth. Council, Box 387, Lake Junaluska, N. C.
Shore, Phillip L., Jr.; First Ch.; 501 N. Tryon St., Charlotte, N. C.
Hefner, Cecil G.; Statesville Dist.; 319 Summit Ave., Statesville, N. C.
Herbert, Chesley C., Jr.; First Ch.; Box 217, Gastonia, N. C.
Carper, John H.; First Ch.; 126 W. Ashe Ave., Lenoir, N. C.
Jones, Edwin L., Sr.; Contr.; 3601 Sharon Rd., Charlotte, N. C., 28211.
Lambeth, M. Thomas; Supt., Children's Home; Winston-Salem, N. C.
Smith, Robert M.; Conf. LL, Mfr.; 410 S. Main St., Mount Airy, N. C.
Ivey, George M., Sr.; Bus.; 121 N. Tryon St., Charlotte 1, N. C.
King, Mrs. Carl H.; Hmkr.; 515 Carrol St., Statesville, N. C.
Little, Thomas M.; Bus.; Box 340, Wadesboro, N. C.
Goodson, Gordon L.; Bus.; Box 631, Lincolnton, N. C.
Craven, J. Braxton; Fed. Judge; 225 W. Park Dr., Morganton, N. C.
Hyatt, Carl B., Jr.; Exec. Dir., United Fund; 9 Woodcrest, Asheville, N. C.
Stockton, Ralph M.; Banker; Box 608, Winston-Salem, N. C.
Holt, D. W.; Ret.; 710 E. Kivett St., Asheboro, N. C.

Reserves

King, Carl H.; Exec. Sec., Conf. Bd. of Edu.; Box 749, Statesville, N. C.
Jordan, Frank B.; Winston-Salem Dist.; Box 5197, Ardmore Sta., Winston-Salem, N. C.
Stokes, James C.; Salisbury Dist.; 1236 Maxwell St., Salisbury, N. C.
Heckard, Cecil L.; Gastonia Dist.; 606 S. York St., Gastonia, N. C.
Nicholson, R. Herman; Thomasville Dist.; Box 768, Thomasville, N. C.
Kale, W. Arthur; Prof., Duke Div. Sch.; Box 4353, Duke Station, Durham, N. C.
Huggin, James G.; Wesley Mem. Ch.; Box 5261, High Point, N. C.
Taylor, Ralph H.; High Point Dist.; 1409 Lindhurst Dr., High Point, N. C.
Tuttle, Mark Q.; Waynesville Dist.; Box 412, Lake Junaluska, N. C.
Corriher, W. Douglas; First Ch.; 628 Colonial Dr., High Point, N. C.
Lindsey, Julian A.; First Ch.; Box 307, Lexington, N. C.
Lackey, A. Glenn; Conf. Dir. of Ch. Ext.; Box 749, Statesville, N. C.
Few, Eugene C.; Ardmore Ch.; 630 Hawthorne Rd., S.W., Winston-Salem, N. C.
Miller, Walter J.; Central Ch.; 27 Church St., Asheville, N. C.
Massie, Hugh; Bus.; Pigeon Rd., Waynesville, N. C.
Phillips, Charles W., Sr.; Ret.; c/o Woman's College, Univ. of N. C., Greensboro, N. C.
Evans, Paul F.; Ret.; Rt. 4, Lexington, N. C.
Lowder, W. A., Bus.; 1410 W. Main St., Albemarle, N. C.
Weaver, Guy; Atty.; Jackson Bldg., Asheville, N. C.

Secrest, W. Vann; Mgr.; Box 547, Monroe, N. C.
Bullock, W. J.; Sch. Supt.; 311 Bost St., Kannapolis, N. C.
Whitener, H. D.; Mfr.; Box 1158, Gastonia, N. C.
Hughes, L. Roy; Judge; 3 Chestnut St., Thomasville, N. C.
Smith, W. Walter; Ins.; Box 592, Rutherfordton, N. C.
Barnhardt, Mrs. Leslie E.; Hmkr.; 5830 Creola Rd., Charlotte, N. C.
Edens, Hollis A.; Exec. Dir., Mary R. Babcock Foundation; Winston-Salem, N. C.
Stith, Frank A., Jr.; Bus.; 174 Idlewood Dr., Winston-Salem, N. C.
Patton, Wendell M.; Pres.; High Point College; High Point, N. C.

WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA (14) NE

Sec. E, Rows 11-12, Seats 6-12

**Warman, John B.;* Pittsburgh Dist.; 168 Vernon Dr., Pittsburgh 28, Pa.
Boyd, W. Sproule; Pittsburgh East Dist.; 1808 Graham Blvd., Pittsburgh 35, Pa.
Colley, Arthur B. R.; Exec. Sec., Coord. Council; 442 Emerald Dr., Pittsburgh 35, Pa.
Rutter, Kenneth P.; First Ch.; 12 Northmont St., Greensburg, Pa.
Weaver, Adolph P.; Emory Ch.; 1218 Heberton St., Pittsburgh 6, Pa.
Crawford, Arthur M.; Grove City Dist.; 324 State St., Grove City, Pa.
Bugbee, Warren A.; First Ch.; 1406 Carnegie Ave., McKeesport, Pa.
Rentz, Mrs. Jacob F.; Conf. WSCS Pres.; 234 Hazelcroft, New Castle, Pa.
Hickman, Leon E.; Atty.; 829 Osage Rd., Pittsburgh 16, Pa.
Shindledecker, George W.; Ins., Conf. LL; 200 Penn St., New Bethlehem, Pa.
Beatty, William M.; Postal Emp.; 1427 5th Ave., Natrona Heights, Pa.
Bell, Raymond M.; Prof.; 413 Burton Ave., Washington, Pa.
Pease, Robert B.; City Planner; 200 Ross Ave., Pittsburgh, Pa.
Murdock, Fred E.; Phys.; 28½ W. Scribner Ave., DuBois, Pa.

Reserves

Heitzenrater, H. Clair; Clarion Dist.; 1072 Sunset Blvd., Clarion, Pa.
Wynne, David J.; Exec. Sec., Ch. Union; 408 7th Ave., Pittsburgh 22, Pa.
Porter, Harold T.; Chippewa Ch.; 133 Margaret Dr., Beaver Falls, Pa.
Jolley, Delbert E.; First Church; 102 N. Jefferson St., New Castle, Pa.
Carraway, James L.; Franklin St. Ch.; 864 France Ave., Johnstown, Pa.
Faus, Raymond W.; Blairsville Dist.; 20 Northmont St., Greensburg, Pa.
Hunt, Frederick W.; Exec. Sec., Bd. of Edu.; 9600 Perry Hwy., Pittsburgh 37, Pa.
Middaugh, Bruce L.; Stone Ch.; 516 Chestnut St., Meadville, Pa.
Howe, Robert C.; Baldwin Community Ch.; 4887 Doverdell Dr., Pittsburgh 36, Pa.
Lambertson, J. Paul; Bellevue Ch.; 419 N. Home Ave., Pittsburgh 2, Pa.
Anthony, Lois R.; Newsp. Rptr.; 502 Main St., Latrobe, Pa.
Moses, Elbert R.; Speech Prof.; Fairview Ave., Clarion, Pa.
Mitchell, Grant E.; Pa. Commonwealth Emp.; 44 Gifillan St., Franklin, Pa.

Shives, Jack; Railway Postal Emp.; 214 N. Jefferson St., Connelsville, Pa.
Reynolds, Paul; College Bus. Mgr.; Beechwood Blvd., Elwood City, Pa.
Lewis, Mrs. Hillora R.; Hmkr.; 640 Beverly Rd., Pittsburgh 16, Pa.
Spahr, David, Jr.; Optometrist; 1037 Summit St., Tarentum, Pa.
Aiken, O. Glenn; Tchr.; Box 263, Duke Center, Pa.
Headlee, Russell E.; Bus.; Garards Fort, Pa.
Davis, Merritt H.; Atty.; 903 E. Main St., Clarion, Pa.

WYOMING (6) NE

Sec. A, Rows 3-4, Seats 1-3

**Bouton, Leon W.;* Wilkes-Barre Dist.; 248 N. Sprague Ave., Kingston, Pa.
Buckingham, Harold C.; Binghamton Dist.; 7 Beethoven St., Binghamton, N. Y.
Pitcher, Philip N.; Pastor; Milford, N. Y.
Lewis, G. Wesley; Postal Emp.; Route 1, Pittston, Pa.
Hunt, Walter L.; Bus.; 6 Church St., Unadilla, N. Y.
Gordon, Harry M.; Rl. Est.; Northeastern Nat'l Bank Bldg., Scranton, Pa.

Reserves

Freeman, Francis F.; Oneonta Dist.; 3 West St., Oneonta, N. Y.
Crayton, Alfred L.; Endwell Ch.; 3301 Watson Blvd., Endicott, N. Y.
Tolley, Earl V.; Scranton Dist.; 919 Sunset St., Scranton, Pa.
Newing, Ralph L.; Ret.; 21 Hedge Pl., Kingston, Pa.
Evans, Rexford; Acct.; 108 Cresmont Rd., Binghamton, N. Y.
Glazier, Mrs. Roger B.; Hmkr.; 252 Robinson St., Binghamton, N. Y.

YENPING (2) OS

PROVISIONAL ANNUAL CONFERENCES

(See *Discipline*, ¶ 503)

AUSTRIA

Sec. A, Row 10, Seat 6

Gebhart, Robert F.; DS; 56 Sechshauserstr., Vienna, Austria.

COSTA RICA

Sec. A, Row 11, Seat 7

Gonzales, Justo; Pastor; Apartado 199, Alajuela, Costa Rica.

HONG KONG

Sec. F, Row 13, Seat 5

Woo, C. H.; Airline Mgr.; Alexandra House, Hong Kong.

IBAN

Sec. F, Row 13, Seat 3

Joseph, Terrence; Tchr., Meth. Theol. Sch., Sibu; Perkins Sch. of Theol., S.M.U., Dallas 22, Tex., U.S.A.

KARACHI

Sec. F, Row 4, Seat 8

Mandody, Lawrence; Exec. Sec., Prov. Central Conf. Exec. Bd.; Box 4733, Karachi 2, West Pakistan.

NORTH AFRICA

Sec. F, Row 12, Seat 3

Aurbakken, Hans L.; Dir., CCSA; 15 Blvd. Mercier, Constantine, Algeria.

PANAMA

Sec. F, Row 13, Seat 1

Reitz, Walter K.; Pastor; Apartado 6424, Panamá, Republic of Panama.

PATAGONIA

Sec. F, Row 13, Seat 2

Trommer, K. Siegfried; Pastor; Av. Belgrano 157, S. C. de Bariloche, Bariloche, Rio Negro, Argentina, South America.

PERU

Sec. F, Row 3, Seats 5-6

deAraneta, Mrs. Teresa; Hmkr.; Conquistadores 1196, San Isidro, Lima, Peru.

TAIWAN

Sec. F, Row 13, Seat 4

Knettler, Edward K.; Miss.; 22 N. Portland Ave., Ventnor, N. J., U.S.A.

AFFILIATED AUTONOMOUS CHURCHES

(See *Discipline*, ¶¶ 602.3, 605)

METHODIST CHURCH OF BRAZIL

Sec. B, Row 1, Seats 1-2

**Rocha, Isnard* (nat.); Rector; Faculdade de Teologia; Rudge Ramos, São Paulo, Brazil.

Davis, Robert S. (miss.); Field Treas.; Rua Livramento 267-Z8, São Paulo, Brazil.

Reserves

Santos, Almir (nat.); Gen. Sec., Bd. of Social Action; C. P. 2009, São Paulo, Brazil.

Melo, Joel J. de (nat.); Civil Engr.; C. P. 2009, São Paulo, Brazil.

Hinson, William J. (miss.); Prof., Faculdade de Teologia; Rudge Ramos, São Paulo, Brazil.

Dawsey, Sarah M. (miss.); Prof., Colegio Bennett; Rua Marques de Abrantes 55, Rio de Janeiro, GB, Brazil.

KOREAN METHODIST CHURCH

Sec. E, Row 2, Seats 1-2

**Yun, Chang Duk* (nat.); Dept. of Evang.; Korean Meth. Ch., I.P.O. Box 1182, Seoul, Korea.

Moore, James H. (miss.); Audio-Vis.; 2501 Seymour St., Valdosta, Ga., U.S.A.

METHODIST CHURCH OF MEXICO

Sec. B, Row 5, Seats 11-12

**Vázquez, Pedro* (nat.); Pastor; Washington 513 Ote., Monterrey, N.L., Mexico.

Chaffee, Arthur W. (miss.); Privada de la 29 Pte. 1107, Puebla, Pue., Mexico.

Reserves

Osorio, Josué O. (nat.); DS; Guerrero 304 Altos, Pachuca, Hgo., Mexico.
Milk, Richard G. (miss.); Apartado 214, Durango, Dgo., Mexico.

UNITED CHURCH OF CHRIST IN JAPAN

Sec. A, Row 4, Seats 7-8

**Niwa, Iwao* (nat.); Exec. Sec., Gen. Hq.; Christian Center, Ginza, 4 Chome, Chuo Ku, Tokyo, Japan.
Skillman, John (miss.); c/o Frank Skillman, 20 Dean St., Deposit, N. Y.

UNITED CHURCH OF CHRIST IN OKINAWA

Sec. E, Row 9, Seats 1-2

**Kinjo, Shigeaki* (nat.); Asst. Pastor, Shuri Ch.; 2-18 Tonokura Ch., Shuri, Naha, Okinawa.
Barberi, Mario C., Jr. (miss.); 27 John St., Barre, Vt., U.S.A.

Reserves

Kabira, Kiyoshi (nat.); 3-1 Shuri Samukawa Cho, Naha, Okinawa.
Warner, Paul F. (miss.); CPO Box 218, Naha, Okinawa.

FRATERNAL DELEGATES

AFRICAN METHODIST EPISCOPAL CHURCH

Greene, Bishop Sherman L.; Edward Waters College, Jacksonville, Fla.

AFRICAN METHODIST EPISCOPAL ZION CHURCH

Felton, Carroll M.; Elizabeth City, N. C.

CHRISTIAN METHODIST EPISCOPAL CHURCH

Doyle, Bishop Bertram W.; 1702 Herman Street, Nashville, Tenn.

EVANGELICAL UNITED BRETHREN CHURCH

Mueller, Bishop Reuben H.; 1401 Castle Avenue, Indianapolis 27, Ind.

Additional Visitors

Heininger, Bishop H. R.; 1500 E. Minnehaha Pkway., Minneapolis 7, Minn.
Howard, Bishop J. Gorson; 900 East End Avenue, Pittsburgh 21, Pa.

METHODIST CHURCH OF AUSTRALASIA

Bowyen-Hayward, S. R., President, Methodist Theological Seminary, Sydney, N.S.W., Australia.

METHODIST CHURCH OF GREAT BRITAIN

Davison, Leslie; 1 Central Bldgs., Westminster, London, S.W. 1, England.
Gibbs, John; Sea Roads, 5, Cliff Parade, Penarth, Glamorganshire, Wales.

GENERAL BOARDS

(See *Discipline*, ¶ 502)

Sec. C, Row 15

Seat

1 *Laton E. Holmgren*, American Bible Society.
2 *A. Dudley Ward*, Board of Christian Social Concerns.
3 *Grover C. Bagby*, Division of Human Relations and Economic Affairs, Board of Christian Social Concerns.
4 Herman Will, Jr., Division of Peace and World Order, Board of Christian Social Concerns.
5 Roger Burgess, Division of Temperance and General Welfare, Board of Christian Social Concerns.
6 *Henry M. Bullock*, Editorial Division, Board of Education.
7 *John O. Gross*, Division of Higher Education, Board of Education.
8 *Leon M. Adkins*, Division of the Local Church, Board of Education.
9 Harry Denman, Board of Evangelism.
10 Olin E. Oeschger, Board of Hospitals and Homes.
11 Robert G. Mayfield, Board of Lay Activities.
12 *Charles L. Calkins*, Board of Pensions.

Sec. D, Row 15

1 H. Conwell Snoke, Division of National Missions, Board of Missions.
2 *Gerald L. Clapsaddle*, Joint Section of Education and Cultivation, Board of Missions.
3 Ruth Van Meter, Joint Section of Education and Cultivation, Board of Missions.
4 Mrs. Porter Brown, Woman's Division of Christian Service, Board of Missions.
5 Mary Lou Barnwell, Commission on Deaconess Work, Board of Missions.
6 *John R. McLaughlin*, Commission on Chaplains.
7 *Elliott L. Fisher*, Commission on Promotion and Cultivation.
8 *Arthur West*, Commission on Public Relations and Methodist Information.
9 *Donald A. Cooke*, Council on World Service and Finance.
10 *Richard H. Bauer*, Interboard Committee on Christian Vocations.
11 *Horace W. Williams*, Interboard Committee on Missionary Education.
12 *Gaither P. Warfield*, Methodist Committee for Overseas Relief.

Sec. A, Row 14

1 *Albea Godbold*, Association of Methodist Historical Societies.
2 Lovick Pierce, Methodist Publishing House.
3 *Harry C. Spencer*, Television, Radio, and Film Commission.

CHAIRMEN

ANNUAL CONFERENCE DELEGATIONS

Agra (OS) ..... *Sisa M. Sagar*
Alabama-West Florida (SE) ..... *Edward L. Hardin*
Angola (OS) ..... *Miguel deAndrade*
Argentina (OS) ..... *Luis P. Bucafusco*

Baltimore (NE) ..... *John B. Jones*
Belgium (OS) ..... *Maurice E. Descamps*
Bengal (OS) ..... *Sukumar Baidya*
Bolivia (OS) ..... *Adolfo C. Angles*
Bombay (OS) ..... *Eric A. Mitchell*
Burma (OS) ..... *Chau F. Chu*

California-Nevada (W) ..... Frank Webber
Central Alabama (C) ..... *Joseph E. Lowery*
Central Congo (OS) ..... *Pierre Shaumba*
Central Germany (OS) ..... *Hans Vogel*
Central Illinois (NC) ..... Richard H. Gantz
Central Kansas (SC) ..... *George W. Richards*
Central New York (NE) ..... Lester Bascom
Central Pennsylvania (NE) ..... *Edgar A. Henry*
Central Texas (SC) ..... Roy J. Grogan
Central West (C) ..... *Woodie D. Lester*
Chile (OS) ..... *Raymond Valenzuela*
Cuba (SE) ..... *Carlos Perez*
Czechoslovakia (OS) ..... *Vaclav Hunaty*

Delaware (C) ..... *Dennis R. Fletcher*
Delhi (OS) ..... *Prabhakar C. B. Balaram*
Denmark (OS) ..... *Poul B. Poulsen*
Detroit (NC) ..... Harold M. Karls

East China (OS) ..... None
East Tennessee (C) ..... *Major J. Jones*
East Wisconsin (NC) ..... James I. Martin

Florida (C) ..... *Stafford S. Robinson*
Florida (SE) ..... *George A. Foster*
Foochow (OS) ..... None

Genesee (NE) ..... *Charles S. Aldrich*
Georgia (C) ..... *L. Scott Allen*
Gujarat (OS) ..... *Ithiel V. Master*

Hinghwa (OS) ..... None
Holston (SE) ..... *Earl G. Hunt, Jr.*
Hyderabad (OS) ..... *Maddala D. Robert*

Idaho (W) ..... *V. Leon Bolen*
Indiana (NC) ..... *James K. Forbes*
Indus River (OS) ..... *Inayat S. Mall*

Kansas (SC) ..... *Albert F. Bramble*
Kentucky (SE) ..... *Russell R. Patton*
Kiangsi (OS) ..... None

Lexington (C) ..... *Harry B. Gibson, Jr.*

Liberia (OS) ..... *Urias B. Freeman*
Little Rock (SC) ..... *Marshall T. Steel*
Louisiana (C) ..... *William T. Handy, Jr.*
Louisiana (SC) ..... *William E. Trice*
Louisville (SE) ..... *James W. Averitt*
Lucknow (OS) ..... *Alfred J. Shaw*

Madhya Pradesh (OS) ..... *John V. Hulasi Rae*
Maine (NE) ..... *Lester L. Boobar*
Malaya (OS) ..... *Kim-Hao Yap*
Malaysia Chinese (OS) ..... *F. O. Stockwell*
Memphis (SE) ..... *Franklin Alton Flatt*
Michigan (NC) ..... *Thomas M. Pryor*
Mid-China (OS) ..... None
Middle Philippines (OS) ..... *Fidel P. Galang*
Mindanao (OS) ..... *Tomas de los Santos*
Minnesota (NC) ..... *Russell A. Huffman*
Mississippi (C) ..... *Charlemagne P. Payne, Sr.*
Mississippi (SE) ..... *J. Willard Leggett, Jr.*
Missouri East (SC) ..... *Wesley H. Hager*
Missouri West (SC) ..... *Ross A. Fulton*
Montana (W) ..... *Hugh S. Herbert*
Moradabad (OS) ..... *Daniel B. Massey*

Nebraska (SC) ..... *Alva H. Clark*
New England (NE) ..... *Wilbur C. Ziegler*
New England Southern (NE) ..... *James V. Claypool*
New Hampshire (NE) ..... *Lewis H. Moulton*
New Jersey (NE) ..... Robert J. Mumford
New Mexico (SC) ..... William C. Patten
New York (NE) ..... George M. Northrop
New York East (NE) ..... *Henry C. Whyman*
Newark (NE) ..... *Robert B. Goodwin*
North Alabama (SE) ..... *R. Laurence Dill, Jr.*
North Arkansas (SC) ..... *John A. Bayliss*
North Carolina (C) ..... *Leander A. Brown*
North Carolina (SE) ..... *Offie L. Hathaway*
North China (OS) ..... None
North Dakota (NC) ..... *Francis V. Tannehill*
North Georgia (SE) ..... *William R. Cannon*
North India (OS) ..... *Ram Datt Joshi*
North Indiana (NC) ..... *Thurman B. Morris*
North Iowa (NC) ..... *Lawrence D. Havighurst*
North Mississippi (SE) ..... *H. Ellis Finger, Jr.*
North Texas (SC) ..... *Alsie H. Carleton*
Northeast Germany (OS) ..... *Ernst P. Scholz*
North-East Ohio (NC) ..... Charles R. Layton
Northern New York (NE) ..... *Arthur B. Oot*
Northern Philippines (OS) ..... *Pedro F. Daludado*
Northwest Germany (OS) ..... *Gerhard A. Schodde*
Northwest Indiana (NC) ..... *John D. Wolf*
Northwest Philippines (OS) ..... *Gregorio R. Bailen*
Northwest Texas (SC) ..... *Marvin L. Boyd*

Ohio (NC) ..... Paul B. Momberg
Oklahoma (SC) ..... William C. Doenges
Oregon (W) ..... *Lawrence E. Guderian*

Pacific Japanese Provisional (W) ..... *Paul H. Hagiya*
Pacific Northwest (W) ..... *J. Henry Ernst*
Peninsula (NE) ..... *William F. Dunkle, Jr.*

Philadelphia (NE) ..... Mrs. Paul G. Masters
Philippines (OS) ..... *Benjamin I. Guansing*
Poland (OS) ..... *Jozef Szczepkowski*
Puerto Rico Provisional (NE) ..... *Jorge N. Cintron*

Rhodesia (OS) ..... *Josiah Chidzikwe*
Rio Grande (SC) ..... *Simon A. Nieto*
Rock River (NC) ..... *Dwight E. Loder*
Rocky Mountain (W) ..... R. S. Doenges

Sarawak (OS) ..... Yao Ping Hua
Shantung (OS) ..... None
South Carolina (C) ..... *James S. Thomas*
South Carolina (SE) ..... Marion R. Mobley
South India (OS) ..... *Narsappa Nehemiah*
South Iowa (NC) ..... *Paul M. Hann*
Southeast Africa (OS) ..... Bento Navess
Southern California-Arizona (W) ..... *Edwin E. Reeves*
Southern Congo (OS) ..... *Joel Bulaya*
Southern Illinois (NC) ..... *Clyde R. Funkhouser*
Southwest (C) ..... *Cortelyou C. Hall*
Southwest Germany (OS) ..... *Carl Ernst Sommer*
Southwest Texas (SC) ..... *Ted Richardson*
Sumatra (OS) ..... *K. Ragnar Alm*
Sweden ..... *Arne G. Widegard*
Switzerland (OS) ..... *Hermann Schaad*

Tennessee (C) ..... *Allen H. L. Randolph*
Tennessee (SE) ..... *H. Thornton Fowler*
Texas (C) ..... *Luther B. Felder*
Texas (SC) ..... *Wayne H. McCleskey*
Troy (NE) ..... Frederick K. Kirchner

Upper Mississippi (C) ..... *John H. Graham*
Uruguay (OS) ..... *Miguel A. Brun*

Virginia (SE) ..... *Roland P. Riddick*

Washington (C) ..... *John B. A. Dyson*
West China (OS) ..... None
West Texas (C) ..... *Ernest T. Dixon, Jr.*
West Virginia (NE) ..... *Lacy H. Burns*
West Wisconsin (NC) ..... *Winslow N. Wilson*
West North Carolina (SE) ..... *Charles D. White*
Western Pennsylvania (NE) ..... *John B. Warman*
Wyoming (NE) ..... *Leon W. Bouton*

Yenping (OS) ..... None

ALPHABETICAL LIST OF DELEGATES

Italics denote ministerial delegates. Number indicates the Standing Legislative Committee to which each delegate has been assigned by his Annual Conference delegation.

Acker, T. E. (3) ..... Texas (SC)
Ackman, Frederick J. (1) ..... North Iowa (NC)
Adams, Charles V. (5) ..... Central Pennsylvania (NE)
Adams, Kenneth W. (6) ..... California-Nevada (W)
Adams, Quinton D. (4) ..... Central Alabama (C)
Ake, Mrs. Frank W. (7) ..... Central Pennsylvania (NE)
Albrecht, Joseph H. (1) ..... Central Illinois (NC)
Alderson, William H. (1) ..... New York East (NE)
Aldrich, Charles S. (1) ..... Genesee (NE)
Allen, Mrs. B. V. (2) ..... North Indiana (NC)
Allen, L. Scott (9) ..... Georgia (C)
Alm, K. Ragnar (7) ..... Sumatra (OS)
Almond, Lawrence F. (3) ..... New England Southern (NE)
Alter, Chester M. (3) ..... Rocky Mountain (W)
Alvirez, Mrs. May (7) ..... Rio Grande (SC)
Amark, Curt (4) ..... Sweden (OS)
Ammons, Edsel A. (8) ..... Rock River (NC)
Amtower, Norman E. (4) ..... Oregon (W)
Anderson, Hurst R. (2) ..... Baltimore (NE)
Anderson, Walter F. (5) ..... North Carolina (SE)
Andrade, Miguel de (2) ..... Angola (OS)
Angles, Adolfo C. (4) ..... Bolivia (OS)
Arbaugh, Robert N. (8) ..... Missouri West (SC)
Archer, Leslie C. (6) ..... Central Illinois (NC)
Armstrong, A. James (3) ..... Indiana (NC)
Armstrong, Mrs. Robert C. (7) ..... North Iowa (NC)
Arterburn, Mrs. Haskel E. (7) ..... Louisville (SE)
Artzberger, A. T. (5) ..... West Virginia (NE)
Atkinson, George H. (2) ..... California-Nevada (W)
Atterling, Henry J. (2) ..... Sweden (OS)
Averitt, James W. (6) ..... Louisville (SE)

Babbs, J. Carlton (5) ..... Rocky Mountain (W)
Backhus, Harry W. III (6) ..... Virginia (SE)
Bacon, Mrs. Martha (2) ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Baidya, Sukumar (6) ..... Bengal (OS)
Bailen Gregorio R. (1) ..... Northwest Philippines (OS)
Bailey, A. Purnell (3) ..... Virginia (SE)
Bailey, Donald E. (3) ..... North Indiana (NC)
Bailey, Joe N., Jr. (1) ..... North Mississippi (SE)
Baker, Frank E. (9) ..... Philadelphia (NE)
Baker, Mrs. Harold M. (7) ..... Ohio (NC)
Balaram, Prabhakar C. B. (9) ..... Delhi (OS)
Bane, Wilford V. (7) ..... Central Texas (SC)
Barclift, Chancie D. (8) ..... North Carolina (SE)
Barron, J. Daniel (9) ..... North Texas (SC)
Bascom, Lester (4) ..... Central New York (NE)
Baun, Mrs. Ted F. (1) ..... California-Nevada (W)
Bausher, J. Lee (1) ..... Philadelphia (NE)
Bautista, Samuel (4) ..... Philippines (OS)
Baxley, Mrs. Beulah L. (3) ..... South Carolina (C)
Bayliss, John A. (3) ..... North Arkansas (SC)
Beasley, N. C. (2) ..... Tennessee (SE)
Beatty, W. Carroll (4) ..... Baltimore (NE)

Beatty, William M. (4) ..... Western Pennsylvania (NE)
Beckford, Lewis H. (6) ..... Maine (NE)
Bell, Raymond M. (3) ..... Western Pennsylvania (NE)
Bell, Thomas (4) ..... New England Southern (NE)
Bennett, Gordon R. (3) ..... Northwest Texas (SC)
Bennett, William W. (2) ..... Central Illinois (NC)
Berg, R. Howard (4) ..... Florida (SE)
Bertholf, Lloyd M. (4) ..... Central Illinois (NC)
Black, Roy (2) ..... North Mississippi (SE)
Blackburn, Henry W. (4) ..... Florida (SE)
Blackwell, Derwood L. (5) ..... Texas (SC)
Blazer, Earl W. (8) ..... Holston (SE)
Boettcher, Mrs. E. H. (2) ..... East Wisconsin (NC)
Bolen, V. Leon (5) ..... Idaho (W)
Bond, Red H. (2) ..... Memphis (SE)
Bonds, Alfred B., Jr. (3) ..... North-East Ohio (NC)
Boobar, Lester L. (7) ..... Maine (NE)
Borger, Clarence J. (6) ..... Central Kansas (SC)
Bosley, Harold A. (1) ..... New York (NE)
Bostwick, W. E. (1) ..... South Georgia (SE)
Bouton, Leon W. (6) ..... Wyoming (NE)
Bowles, Charles P. (9) ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Bowles, L. Lee (6) ..... Oklahoma (SC)
Boyd, Marvin L. (6) ..... Northwest Texas (SC)
Boyd, W. Sproule (9) ..... Western Pennsylvania (NE)
Bracy, Carl C. (9) ..... North-East Ohio (NC)
Bramble, Albert F. (1) ..... Kansas (SC)
Brannon, William C. (2) ..... North Alabama (SE)
Brawley, James P. (2) ..... Georgia (C)
Bray, Jerry G. (2) ..... Virginia (SE)
Broadbent, Smith, Jr. (4) ..... Louisville (SE)
Brooks, D. W. (6) ..... North Georgia (SE)
Brower, Floyd V. (8) ..... Missouri East (SC)
Brown, C. W. (8) ..... Southwest Texas (SC)
Brown, G. Alfred (9) ..... Central Texas (SC)
Brown, Leander A. (7) ..... North Carolina (C)
Brown, Miss Marion (3) ..... Ohio (NC)
Brown, Prentiss, Jr. (4) ..... Detroit (NC)
Brun, Miguel A. (2) ..... Uruguay (OS)
Bruns, Johann M. (6) ..... Northwest Germany (OS)
Bryan, Monk (1) ..... Missouri East (SC)
Bryant, R. Orman (2) ..... Virginia (SE)
Bucafusco, Luis P. (6) ..... Argentina (OS)
Buckingham, Harold C. (8) ..... Wyoming (NE)
Budd, Henry G. (7) ..... Central New York (NE)
Bugbee, Warren A. (5) ..... Western Pennsylvania (NE)
Bulaya, Joel (7) ..... Southern Congo (OS)
Bumpers, E. Clay (4) ..... North Arkansas (SC)
Burns, Lacy H. (6) ..... West Virginia (NE)
Burns, Robert E. (3) ..... California-Nevada (W)
Burress, Mrs. Paul W. (7) ..... Kansas (SC)
Burtner, Robert W. (1) ..... Oregon (W)
Burton, William N. (6) ..... Indiana (NC)
Butler, J. Weldon (2) ..... Northwest Texas (SC)
Butters, George A. (9) ..... Minnesota (NC)
Byus, William A., Jr. (4) ..... West Virginia (NE)

Cain, Richard W. (6) ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Calderwood, Robert C. (3) ..... Central Illinois (NC)
Calkins, Raoul C. (1) ..... Ohio (NC)
Cambric, Cortlandt (Withdrew from Methodist Ministry) Oregon (W)

Campbell, R. C. (1) ..... Holston (SE)
Cannon, William R. (6) ..... North Georgia (SE)
Cansfield, Mrs. William (7) ..... Detroit (NC)
Carleton, Alsie H. (6) ..... North Texas (SC)
Carlyon, Richard E. (7) ..... Nebraska (SC)
Carper, John H. (8) ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Carson, Robert W. (4) ..... Newark (NE)
Cate, George H., Jr. (7) ..... Tennessee (SE)
Cherry, Clinton M. (3) ..... Philadelphia (NE)
Chidzikwe, Josiah (6) ..... Rhodesia (OS)
Chittum, John W. (2) ..... North-East Ohio (NC)
Chitwood, J. Henry (6) ..... North Alabama (SE)
Chu, Chau F. ..... Burma (OS)
Chubb, James S. (2) ..... Nebraska (SC)
Cintron, Jorge N. (2) ..... Puerto Rico Provisional (NE)
Clark, Alva H. (6) ..... Nebraska (SC)
Clark, B. Clyde, Sr. (Deceased) ..... Oklahoma (SC)
Clay, Russell E. (3) ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Clay, Thomas B. (4) ..... Genesee (NE)
Claypool, James V. (6) ..... New England Southern (NE)
Clegg, Charles R. (Deceased) ..... North Georgia (SE)
Clendenin, Stewart (1) ..... Texas (SC)
Cleveland, M. C. (8) ..... Florida (SE)
Cochran, Mrs. F. Morris (1) ..... New England Southern (NE)
Cochran, Leonard H. (2) ..... South Georgia (SE)
Coffee, Mrs. C. C. (5) ..... Northwest Texas (SC)
Coffman, Floyd H. (2) ..... Kansas (SC)
Cole, Thomas W. (3) ..... Texas (C)
Colley, Arthur B. R. (2) ..... Western Pennsylvania (NE)
Collier, Otis L. (2) ..... Northwest Indiana (NC)
Collins, Claude R. (1) ..... West Virginia (NE)
Collins, Thomas A. (2) ..... North Carolina (SE)
Colwell, Ernest C. (3) ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Contractor, Joseph M. (3) ..... Gujarat (OS)
Conyers, Lloyd M. (7) ..... North Arkansas (SC)
Cook, Robert P. (1) ..... Central Kansas (SC)
Cooke, Raymond J. (7) ..... Peninsula (NE)
Copeland, K. B. (1) ..... North Texas (SC)
Copeland, Mrs. Paul L. (7) ..... Rock River (NC)
Cotton, W. Davis (2) ..... Louisiana (SC)
Coulter, H. Russell (8) ..... Central Illinois (NC)
Countryman, Frank L. (7) ..... Rock River (NC)
Courtney, Robert H. (2) ..... North-East Ohio (NC)
Cox, Alva I. (3) ..... North-East Ohio (NC)
Cox, N. Wayne (4) ..... North-East Ohio (NC)
Crain, Nuell C. (5) ..... Oklahoma (SC)
Craven, J. Braxton (1) ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Crawford, Arthur M. (3) ..... Western Pennsylvania (NE)
Crawford, J. Howard (8) ..... Northwest Texas (SC)
Creech, Mrs. John A. (5) ..... Kentucky (SE)
Crippen, James A. (6) ..... Detroit (NC)
Cromwell, Thomas L. (7) ..... North-East Ohio (NC)
Crutchfield, Finis A. (6) ..... Oklahoma (SC)
Cruz Chacon, José (4) ..... Puerto Rico Provisional (NE)
Cryer, Donald W. (7) ..... Ohio (NC)
Cullins, John S. (4) ..... Southwest (C)
Culp, Jesse A. (9) ..... North Alabama (SE)
Culver, Cecil R. (5) ..... Little Rock (SC)
Cummings, Mrs. C. Clifford (5) ..... Rock River (NC)
Cummings, E. Millett (4) ..... Maine (NE)
Cunningham, Mrs. B. J. (7) ..... West Virginia (NE)

Cunningham, Francis T. (2) ..... South Carolina (SE)
Currie, Margaret (2) ..... Maine (NE)
Curry, Ed (2) ..... North Texas (SC)
Curry, James S. (9) ..... Louisville (SE)
Curry, John W. (6) ..... South Carolina (C)
Curtis, Mrs. Wilbur F. (7) ..... Troy (NE)
Cushman, Robert E. (1) ..... North Carolina (SE)

Daludado, Pedro F. (6) ..... Northern Philippines (OS)
Dameron, Mrs. George W. (7) ..... Louisiana (SC)
Daniels, A. Rudolph (4) ..... Hyderabad (OS)
Das, Dalchand (3) ..... Moradabad (OS)
Daughenbaugh, Howard L., Sr. (4) ..... Louisiana (SC)
Davidson, Matthew R. (4) ..... Lucknow (OS)
Davis, Mrs. Robert (2) ..... Southern Illinois (NC)
Davis, Wilmer F. (1) ..... Peninsula (NE)
Day, George H. (8) ..... Ohio (NC)
Dennis, James B. (2) ..... Liberia (OS)
Denson, Charles Elwood (9) ..... Tennessee (SE)
Descamps, Maurice E. (7) ..... Belgium (OS)
DeWeese, H. Owen (4) ..... North Indiana (NC)
DeWitt, Jesse R. (2) ..... Detroit (NC)
Dickey, Edwin H. (6) ..... Ohio (NC)
Dill, R. Laurence, Jr. (2) ..... North Alabama (SE)
Dix, Mrs. W. Gordon (1) ..... Michigan (NC)
Dixon, Ernest T., Jr. (3) ..... West Texas (C)
Dodd, Paul R. (4) ..... Holston (SE)
Dodgen, Ethan W. (6) ..... North Arkansas (SC)
Dodson, Thurman L. (2) ..... Washington (C)
Doenges, R. S. (4) ..... Rocky Mountain (W)
Doenges, William C. (1) ..... Oklahoma (SC)
Dominick, Frank M. (1) ..... North Alabama (SE)
Don Carlos, Waldo E. (4) ..... South Iowa (NC)
Dougherty, Glenn (8) ..... Indiana (NC)
Dougherty, Paul B. (8) ..... North Indiana (NC)
Dryden, Kenneth (9) ..... Nebraska (SC)
DuBois, Hugh (4) ..... Missouri West (SC)
Duck, David A. (5) ..... South Georgia (SE)
Duffey, Paul A. (7) ..... Alabama-West Florida (SE)
Dunkle, William F., Jr. (6) ..... Peninsula (NE)
Dyson, John B. A. (5) ..... Washington (C)

Eady, Virgil Y. C. (2) ..... North Georgia (SE)
Earley, Charles M. (9) ..... Virginia (SE)
Eby, Mrs. John (7) ..... Pacific Northwest (W)
Edgar, Thomas A. (1) ..... North Alabama (SE)
Edge, Claude A. (9) ..... Texas (SC)
Edwards, K. Morgan (6) ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Egan, Jim A. (3) ..... Oklahoma (SC)
Eldridge, Edgar A. (7) ..... Holston (SE)
Ernst, J. Henry (2) ..... Pacific Northwest (W)
Eutsler, R. Kern (6) ..... Virginia (SE)
Evans, Garrett H. (3) ..... West Virginia (NE)
Evers, Joseph C. (3) ..... Southern Illinois (NC)

Faber, Francis H. (2) ..... Minnesota (NC)
Fanbion, Mrs. F. M. (2) ..... Texas (SC)
Felder, Luther B. (5) ..... Texas (C)
Fenn, G. Lemuel (2) ..... New Mexico (SC)
Fidler, W. Z. (5) ..... North Iowa (NC)
Fields, Mrs. Louis H. (1) ..... Lexington (C)

Finch, Gomer W. (5) ..... West Wisconsin (NC)
Finger, H. Ellis, Jr. (6) ..... North Mississippi (SE)
Fischer, Heinz P. (1) ..... South Germany (OS)
Fisher, James A. (3) ..... Memphis (SE)
Flaming, Wilbert K. (4) ..... Nebraska (SC)
Flatt, Franklin Alton (1) ..... Memphis (SE)
Flegal, Mrs. Robert (7) ..... Holston (SE)
Fleming, Arthur (3) ..... Oregon (W)
Fleming, Durwood (8) ..... Texas (SC)
Fletcher, Dennis R. (4) ..... Delaware (C)
Fletcher, Robert (8) ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Flood, Harold D. (2) ..... Philadelphia (NE)
Folsom, Jack V. (4) ..... North Texas (SC)
Foote, Gaston (3) ..... Central Texas (SC)
Forbes, James K. (1) ..... Indiana (NC)
Fossett, Clarence L. (3) ..... Baltimore (NE)
Foster, George A. (1) ..... Florida (SE)
Fowler, H. Thornton (6) ..... Tennessee (SE)
Fraggos, James (4) ..... New England (NE)
Freeman, G. Ross (6) ..... South Georgia (SE)
Freeman, Urias B. (7) ..... Liberia (OS)
Frey, John W. (8) ..... Nebraska (SC)
Fridy, W. Wallace (6) ..... South Carolina (SE)
Frisch, Mrs. Violeta M. (3) ..... Uruguay (OS)
Fröhlich, Günter (4) ..... Northeast Germany (OS)
Fuess, Forest M. (8) ..... Newark (NE)
Fulton, Ross A. (6) ..... Missouri West (SC)
Funkhouser, Clyde R. (6) ..... Southern Illinois (NC)

Gacutan, Ezekias G. (3) ..... Northwest Philippines (OS)
Galang, Fidel P. (5) ..... Middle Philippines (OS)
Gallardo, David (4) ..... Chile (OS)
Gammage, Melvin (4) ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Gantz, Richard H. (7) ..... Central Illinois (NC)
Garcia, Manuel (4) ..... Cuba (SE)
Garlington, J. Ezell (7) ..... North Carolina (SE)
Garrison, Claude (9) ..... Ohio (NC)
Gentry, Edd W. (9) ..... Florida (SE)
Gentry, Mrs. Max (5) ..... Nebraska (SC)
Georg, Mrs. H. L. (1) ..... Central Kansas (SC)
Gessner, Mrs. Benjamin A. (Resigned) ..... Kansas (SC)
Gibson, Harry B., Jr. (7) ..... Lexington (C)
Gibson, J. Nelson, Jr. (2) ..... North Carolina (SE)
Gillespie, Mrs. Charles B. (7) ..... Missouri East (SC)
Godwin, Charles (9) ..... West Virginia (NE)
Goens, Ray W. (4) ..... Texas (SC)
Goewey, Hobart F. (3) ..... Troy (NE)
Gold, Glenn W. (2) ..... Florida (SE)
Goodrich, Robert E., Jr. (5) ..... North Texas (SC)
Goodson, Gordon L. (5) ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Goodson, W. Kenneth (7) ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Goodwin, Mrs. Everett (5) ..... Ohio (NC)
Goodwin, Heath T. (6) ..... Michigan (NC)
Goodwin, Robert B. (6) ..... Newark (NE)
Gordon, Harry M. (4) ..... Wyoming (NE)
Grad, Albert (7) ..... South Germany (OS)
Graham, John H. (6) ..... Upper Mississippi (C)
Granberry, Seth W. (1) ..... Mississippi (SE)
Grantham. Dan L. (5) ..... Virginia (NE)
Gridley, Mrs. John (3) ..... Minnesota (NC)
Griffin, Frederick (3) ..... Belgium (OS)

Grogan, Roy J. (4) ..... Central Texas (SC)
Guansing, Benjamin I. (7) ..... Philippines (OS)
Guderian, Lawrence E. (6) ..... Oregon (W)
Guffick, William R. (6) ..... New Jersey (NE)
Gunkelman, Ralph F. (1) ..... North Dakota (NC)
Guthrie, W. Nelson, Sr. (8) ..... North Alabama (SE)

Hager, Wesley H. (6) ..... Missouri East (SC)
Hagiya, Paul H. (7) ..... Pacific Japanese Provisional (W)
Hagler, Albert Dale (6) ..... Florida (SE)
Hagood, Delma L. (2) ..... North Georgia (SE)
Haley, Elmer N. (2) ..... Troy (NE)
Hall, Cortelyou C. (6) ..... Southwest (C)
Hall, N. Guy (5) ..... Missouri West (SC)
Hamer, Mrs. R. S. (7) ..... Memphis (SE)
Hamilton, Charles W. (1) ..... North-East Ohio (NC)
Hamilton, J. Wallace (7) ..... Florida (SE)
Handy, William T., Jr. (6) ..... Louisiana (C)
Hann, Paul M. (6) ..... South Iowa (NC)
Hardcastle, James C. (8) ..... Delaware (C)
Hardin, Edward L. (6) ..... Alabama-West Florida (SE)
Hardt, John W. (7) ..... Texas (SC)
Harper, John R. (4) ..... Philadelphia (NE)
Hartenbower, Mrs. G. E. (5) ..... Central Illinois (NC)
Hartl, Mrs. Emil M. (7) ..... New England (NE)
Hartman, Mason N. (2) ..... New England (NE)
Hathaway, Offie L. (9) ..... North Carolina (SE)
Hattaway, William L. (9) ..... Texas (SC)
Hauk, Horace B. (2) ..... Holston (SE)
Hauser, Louis C. (4) ..... New York East (NE)
Havighurst, Lawrence D. (2) ..... North Iowa (NC)
Hawkins, J. C. (9) ..... Missouri East (SC)
Hayes, Clare J. (5) ..... Kansas (SC)
Hayward, C. Douglas (9) ..... California-Nevada (W)
Hazzard, Walter R., Sr. (1) ..... Delaware (C)
Heck, J. Holland (3) ..... Philadelphia (NE)
Hefner, Cecil G. (6) ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Henderson, Vernon N. (7) ..... Northwest Texas (SC)
Henderson, Zack S. (3) ..... South Georgia (SE)
Henry, Edgar A. (2) ..... Central Pennsylvania (NE)
Herbert, Chesley C., Jr. (1) ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Herbert, Hugh S. (6) ..... Montana (W)
Herbert, R. B. (3) ..... South Carolina (SE)
Herbst, Arnold T. (8) ..... South Dakota (NC)
Herr, John D. (6) ..... Philadelphia (NE)
Hickman, Leon E. (2) ..... Western Pennsylvania (NE)
Hierholzer, Elmer J. (6) ..... Southwest Texas (SC)
High, Henry R. (8) ..... West Virginia (NE)
High, Mrs. R. S. (7) ..... Central Texas (SC)
Hightower, Ted (1) ..... Louisville (SE)
Hildebrand, Will M. (7) ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Hill, Ennis B. (7) ..... Southwest Texas (SC)
Ho, Siew-Liong ..... Sarawak (OS)
Holbrook, Donald E. (2) ..... Michigan (NC)
Hole, J. Wesley (8) ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Holler, J. Carlisle (2) ..... South Carolina (SE)
Holm, Carl-Axel (3) ..... Sweden (OS)
Holt, D. W. (6) ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Holter, Don W. (6) ..... Kansas (SC)
Hooper, Granville (8) ..... Peninsula (NE)
Howell, Mrs. J. P. (5) ..... California-Nevada (W)

Hoy, Russell H. (5) ..... North-East Ohio (NC)
Hua, Yao Ping (4) ..... Sarawak (OS)
Hubbard, Fred (5) ..... South Dakota (NC)
Huffman, Russell A. (6) ..... Minnesota (NC)
Hughes, Harold H., Sr. (7) ..... Virginia (NE)
Hulasi Rae, John V. (7) ..... Madhya Pradesh (OS)
Hunaty, Vaclav (1) ..... Czechoslovakia (OS)
Hundley, Mrs. R. Lee (7) ..... East Wisconsin (NC)
Hunt, Earl G., Jr. (3) ..... Holston (SE)
Hunt, Walter L. (9) ..... Wyoming (NE)
Hunter, J. Duncan, Jr. (7) ..... North Alabama (SE)
Hurley, Hubert G. (1) ..... Southern Illinois (NC)
Hutapea, Karl M. (4) ..... Sumatra (OS)
Hyatt, Carl B., Jr. (9) ..... Western North Carolina (SE)

Ingham, Mrs. Edwin A. (5) ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Innis, Frank (8) ..... Northwest Indiana (NC)
Irion, James P. (8) ..... Memphis (SE)
Isaacs, Jay W. (4) ..... Indus River (OS)
Ivey, George M. (7) ..... Western North Carolina (SE)

Jackson, Douglas E. (3) ..... Louisiana (SC)
Jackson, H. Leo (9) ..... Holston (SE)
Jackson, Kelly L. (3) ..... Washington (C)
James, D. Trigg, Sr. (2) ..... Holston (SE)
James, William M. (9) ..... New York (NE)
Jarvis, Charles S. (6) ..... Rock River (NC)
Jason, William C., Jr. (2) ..... Delaware (C)
Jaya Prabhu, Lingampalli (4) ..... South India (OS)
Jefferson, Allie G. (4) ..... Virginia (SE)
Jerome, J. E. (5) ..... South Carolina (SE)
Jeuther, Hermann (5) ..... South Germany (OS)
Johansen, Frede (4) ..... Denmark (OS)
Johnson, Ethel R. (3) ..... New York East (NE)
Johnson, Joseph T. (2) ..... Lexington (C)
Johnson, Lyman S. (5) ..... Central Kansas (SC)
Johnston, Mrs. E. K. (1) ..... West Virginia (NE)
Johnston, Joseph S. (3) ..... Virginia (SE)
Jolly, Elmer (1) ..... Central Illinois (NC)
Jones, A. L. (3) ..... Missouri East (SC)
Jones, Edwin L., Sr. (2) ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Jones, Everett (1) ..... Baltimore (NE)
Jones, G. Eliot (3) ..... Mississippi (SE)
Jones, Gerald H. (1) ..... North Indiana (NC)
Jones, Howard M. (4) ..... Kentucky (SE)
Jones, John B. (1) ..... Baltimore (NE)
Jones, Lillian L. (7) ..... Tennessee (C)
Jones, Lowell D. (3) ..... Nebraska (SC)
Jones, Major J. (1) ..... East Tennessee (C)
Jones, Robert D. (1) ..... Northern New York (NE)
Jordan, Bert (4) ..... Mississippi (SE)
Joshi, Ram Datt (6) ..... North India (OS)
Jud, Eugene F. (5) ..... Central Texas (SC)

Kahl, Norman F. (1) ..... West Wisconsin (NC)
Kapangue, Adreano (5) ..... Angola (OS)
Karls, Harold M. (1) ..... Detroit (NC)
Kearns, Francis E. (3) ..... East Wisconsin (NC)
Keese, William A. (5) ..... Baltimore (NE)
Kelly, Mrs. John E. (5) ..... Genesee (NE)
Kelly, Owen T. (4) ..... Virginia (SE)

Kessler, Charles W. (6) ..... Troy (NE)
Khoo, Siaw-Hua (5) ..... Malaysia Chinese (OS)
Kibler, Russell (5) ..... Indiana (NC)
Kimbrough, R. Edwin (3) ..... North Alabama (SE)
King, Mrs. Carl H. (3) ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
King, John T. (2) ..... West Texas (C)
Kingman, Henry L. (7) ..... South Carolina (SE)
Kirchner, Frederick K. (4) ..... Troy (NE)
Knudsen, Sverre W. (7) ..... Norway (OS)
Knupp, Robert E. (4) ..... Central Pennsylvania (NE)

Labbitt, Ray W. (Deceased) ..... Detroit (NC)
Laird, James H. (7) ..... Detroit (NC)
Lambeth, M. Thomas (1) ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Lander, Richard N. (6) ..... New York (NE)
Landis, Theodore E. (1) ..... Virginia (SE)
Lau, Clifford G. (4) ..... West Wisconsin (NC)
Law, Mrs. Ronald S. (5) ..... New York East (NE)
Lawrence, Marquis W. (6) ..... North Carolina (SE)
Lawrence, Mrs. Melvin E. (5) ..... New England (NE)
Lay, Robert P. (9) ..... Louisiana (SC)
Layton, Charles R. (1) ..... North-East Ohio (NC)
Lee, Ernest W. (2) ..... New Jersey (NE)
Lee, Vernon L. (4) ..... Northern New York (NE)
LeFevre, DeWitt C. (3) ..... Northern New York (NE)
Leggett, J. Willard, Jr. (6) ..... Mississippi (SE)
Lehmberg, Ben F. (7) ..... Rocky Mountain (W)
Lester, Woodie D. (5) ..... Central West (C)
Lewis, G. Wesley (3) ..... Wyoming (NE)
Lindgren, Alvin J. (6) ..... East Wisconsin (NC)
Lindstrom, David E. (2) ..... Central Illinois (NC)
Little, Thomas M. (2) ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Littrell, Wade H. (4) ..... Missouri East (SC)
Livengood, Marion (4) ..... Central Kansas (SC)
Loder, Dwight E. (3) ..... Rock River (NC)
Loeppert, Henry V. (9) ..... Rock River (NC)
Long, Arthur V. (7) ..... South Iowa (NC)
Long, Nat G. (7) ..... North Georgia (SE)
Lord, Lemuel K. (6) ..... New England (NE)
Lovern, J. Chess (1) ..... Northwest Texas (SC)
Lowery, Joseph E. (2) ..... Central Alabama (C)
Loyd, H. Brown (2) ..... Central Texas (SC)
Loyd, W. Harold (6) ..... Central Illinois (NC)
Lucas, Mrs. Robert (9) ..... South Iowa (NC)
Lueg, Carl F. (6) ..... Louisiana (SC)
Lundy, Mrs. Kenneth E. (1) ..... Central New York (NE)
Lurá Villanueva, Daniel D. (2) ..... Argentina (OS)
Lurwick, George C. (5) ..... Philadelphia (NE)
Luster, Cosum M. (Deceased) ..... Texas (C)
Lyall, John C. ..... Burma (OS)
Lyles, Paul T. (6) ..... Memphis (SE)
Lyman, Howard A. (5) ..... Michigan (NC)
Lytle, James R. (1) ..... New Hampshire (NE)

Mabuce, Mrs. John O. (7) ..... Genesee (NE)
Madison, J. Clay (2) ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Mahon, Eldon (9) ..... Northwest Texas (SC)
Mall, Inayat S. (6) ..... Indus River (OS)
Mann, Robert T. (1) ..... Florida (SE)
Marquardt, Mrs. A. R. (5) ..... Nebraska (SC)
Marsh, Charles F. (3) ..... South Carolina (SE)

Martin, James I. (4) ..... East Wisconsin (NC)
Martin, Mrs. W. D. (1) ..... North Iowa (NC)
Marvin, John E. (1) ..... Detroit (NC)
Massey, Daniel B. (6) ..... Moradabad (OS)
Massey, Murad (4) ..... North India (OS)
Master, Ithiel V. (6) ..... Gujarat (OS)
Masters, Mrs. Paul G. (7) ..... Philadelphia (NE)
Mathison, Marion C. (5) ..... Alabama-West Florida (SE)
Matthew, Glenn E. (3) ..... Central Kansas (SC)
Matthies, Johannes (6) ..... South Germany (OS)
McAdam, Mrs. J. L. (7) ..... Central Illinois (NC)
McCain, Virgil B. (3) ..... North Alabama (SE)
McCleskey, Wayne H. (6) ..... Texas (SC)
McClure, Oren F. (9) ..... Central Kansas (SC)
McConnell, Taylor (1) ..... Rocky Mountain (W)
McCormick, Amos D. (4) ..... East Tennessee (C)
McCoy, Paul E. (2) ..... Peninsula (NE)
McCullum, Ira W. (4) ..... Mississippi (C)
McDavid, Joel D. (3) ..... Alabama-West Florida (SE)
McDonald, E. D. (7) ..... Southwest Texas (SC)
McFall, Carl (1) ..... Oklahoma (SC)
McKay, Orville H. (6) ..... Detroit (NC)
McKee, Stanley S. (1) ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
McQuary, Thomas (8) ..... Louisville (SE)
Meadows, Archie L. (3) ..... North Mississippi (SE)
Mehl, Mrs. Ernest (7) ..... Missouri West (SC)
Mell, M. G. (5) ..... Texas (SC)
Meredith, Victor K., Sr. (1) ..... Central Pennsylvania (NE)
Metcalf, Kenneth E. (5) ..... North Iowa (NC)
Metzel, Mrs. George (7) ..... Oklahoma (SC)
Michael, Marion S. (2) ..... Baltimore (NE)
Milikien, Albert S. (8) ..... North Texas (SC)
Miller, Frederick E. (6) ..... North Iowa (NC)
Miller, Luther J. (2) ..... Little Rock (SC)
Miller, Luther L. (7) ..... Little Rock (SC)
Mills, Charles B. (3) ..... Ohio (NC)
Milne, W. Arthur (6) ..... Ohio (NC)
Mitchell, Mrs. B. V. (7) ..... North-East Ohio (NC)
Mitchell, Eric A. (6) ..... Bombay (OS)
Mobley, Marion R. (9) ..... South Carolina (SE)
Moller, Roy P. (4) ..... Pacific Northwest (W)
Momberg, Paul B. (8) ..... Ohio (NC)
Montgomery, Edward (5) ..... North Alabama (SE)
Moon, Robert W. (1) ..... California-Nevada (W)
Moore, J. Barcus (5) ..... Southwest Texas (SC)
Moore, L. S. (2) ..... Alabama-West Florida (SE)
Moore, Lester L. (1) ..... South Iowa (NC)
Moore, Manly (8) ..... Oklahoma (SC)
Moore, Mark M. (1) ..... Holston (SE)
Moore, Richard V. (3) ..... Florida (C)
Moore, Roy C. (1) ..... South Carolina (SE)
Moorehead, Lee C. (6) ..... Ohio (NC)
Moorhead, Edwin E. (5) ..... Mississippi (SE)
Moreland, J. Earl (3) ..... Virginia (SE)
Morgan, James Wm. (1) ..... Southwest Texas (SC)
Morgan, R. Kenneth (1) ..... Tennessee (SE)
Morris, Thurman B. (6) ..... North Indiana (NC)
Morris, Walter J. (5) ..... North-East Ohio (NC)
Moulton, Lewis H. (8) ..... New Hampshire (NE)
Moulton, Robert L. (8) ..... North-East Ohio (NC)
Mouser, Vincent M. (8) ..... Louisiana (SC)

Mowry, Clytus F. (6) ..... Genesee (NE)
Mullick, P. K. (7) ..... Bengal (OS)
Mumford, Robert J. (4) ..... New Jersey (NE)
Munson, Sam A. (3) ..... Montana (W)
Murdock, Fred E. (1) ..... Western Pennsylvania (NE)
Murphy, C. Edwin (1) ..... Nebraska (SC)
Murray, John V. (5) ..... South Carolina (SE)
Mwenda, Gaston (3) ..... Southern Congo (OS)
Myers, Arthur L. (4) ..... Rock River (NC)
Myers, T. Cecil (1) ..... North Georgia (SE)

Naves, Bento (2) ..... Southeast Africa (OS)
Nehemiah, Narsappa (7) ..... South India (OS)
Neller, Alvin A. (4) ..... Michigan (NC)
Netterville, George L. (2) ..... Louisiana (C)
Newton, Alexander P. (4) ..... Delhi (OS)
Newman, Mrs. Clyde J. (7) ..... South Georgia (SE)
Nichols, John B. (6) ..... Alabama-West Florida (SE)
Nichols, Roy H. (7) ..... California-Nevada (W)
Nieto, Simón A. (6) ..... Rio Grande (SC)
Nilsen, Einar-Anker (3) ..... Norway (OS)
Noble, Fred B. (3) ..... Florida (SE)
Northfelt, Merlyn W. (3) ..... Rock River (NC)
Northrop, George M. (7) ..... New York (NE)
Nowlin, Earl M. (6) ..... New Mexico (SC)
Nyberg, Dennis F. (1) ..... Minnesota (NC)

Oden, Tal (2) ..... Oklahoma (SC)
Oliphint, Benjamin R. (1) ..... Louisiana (SC)
Oot, Arthur B. (6) ..... Northern New York (NE)
Orr, J. Herbert (9) ..... Alabama-West Florida (SE)
Orr, Verne, Sr. (9) ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Ortman, Ervin R. (4) ..... South Dakota (NC)
Orton, Hubert E. (4) ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Osamba, Albert (2) ..... Central Congo (OS)
Outler, Albert C. (3) ..... North Texas (SC)
Owens, Mrs. John A. (5) ..... South Iowa (NC)
Owens, Joseph H. (4) ..... North Alabama (SE)
Oxnam, Robert F. (3) ..... Newark (NE)

Palmer, J. Richard (3) ..... North Iowa (NC)
Palmer, Robert J. (1) ..... South Carolina (C)
Parks, W. S. (4) ..... South Georgia (SE)
Parlin, Charles C. (2) ..... Newark (NE)
Parrish, John W. (5) ..... Detroit (NC)
Parsons, Elton W. (4) ..... Peninsula (NE)
Patten, William C. (4) ..... New Mexico (SC)
Patterson, D. Stewart (4) ..... Baltimore (NE)
Patton, Russell R. (2) ..... Kentucky (SE)
Paulen, O. Wayne (7) ..... North Indiana (NC)
Payne, Charlemagne P., Sr. (7) ..... Mississippi (C)
Pease, Robert B. (8) ..... Western Pennsylvania (NE)
Pendergrass, Edward J. (2) ..... Florida (SE)
Pennington, Chester A. (2) ..... Minnesota (NC)
Perez, Carlos (5) ..... Cuba (SE)
Perryman, Mrs. W. L. (9) ..... Missouri West (SC)
Persinger, John (2) ..... Rocky Mountain (W)
Pettit, Clare N. (4) ..... California-Nevada (W)
Pettygrove, G. Clifford (4) ..... California-Nevada (W)
Pfeiffer, Walter (4) ..... Central Germany (OS)
Pieh, Charles E. (8) ..... Minnesota (NC)

Pike, Mrs. LeRoy A. (5) ..... Central Illinois (NC)
Pinkard, Calvin M. (6) ..... North Alabama (SE)
Pitcher, Dale E. (9) ..... Central Illinois (NC)
Pitcher, Philip N. (7) ..... Wyoming (NE)
Poole, Daniel W. (5) ..... Louisiana (SC)
Pope, Mrs. Rex (7) ..... North Indiana (NC)
Potter, Truman W. (5) ..... West Virginia (NE)
Potthoff, Harvey H. (6) ..... Rocky Mountain (W)
Potts, Edgar A. (5) ..... Virginia (SE)
Poulsen, Poul B. (7) ..... Denmark (OS)
Pounds, W. A., Jr. (7) ..... Texas (SC)
Prasad, Timothius K. (3) ..... Madhya Pradesh (OS)
Presley, Isaac P. (4) ..... Upper Mississippi (C)
Preusch, Robert W. (9) ..... New York East (NE)
Prewitt, Thomas O. (9) ..... Mississippi (SE)
Priest, Mrs. Roy (7) ..... Indiana (NC)
Prigmore, L. T., Jr. (4) ..... Holston (SE)
Proctor, George H. (4) ..... Alabama-West Florida (SE)
Propert, George R. (3) ..... New Jersey (NE)
Pryor, Thomas M. (3) ..... Michigan (NC)

Quellhorst, Ronald (4) ..... Kansas (SC)

Rae, Mrs. Kirk (3) ..... Detroit (NC)
Ragsdale, Ray W. (5) ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Randolph, Allen H. L. (6) ..... Tennessee (C)
Ready, W. J. (4) ..... South Carolina (SE)
Reavley, Tom (4) ..... Southwest Texas (SC)
Reeves, Edwin E. (2) ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Reid, William W. (7) ..... New York East (NE)
Renick, Mrs. Bruce (9) ..... Rocky Mountain (W)
Rentz, Mrs. Jacob F. (7) ..... Western Pennsylvania (NE)
Respicio, Alfredo R. (2) ..... Mindanao (OS)
Richards, Charles W. (6) ..... Little Rock (SC)
Richards, George W. (2) ..... Central Kansas (SC)
Richardson, Mrs. Clarence E. (5) ..... Minnesota (NC)
Richardson, Dean E. (2) ..... Genesee (NE)
Richardson, Ted (1) ..... Southwest Texas (SC)
Riddick, Roland P. (8) ..... Virginia (SE)
Rigden, Russell (9) ..... Southern Illinois (NC)
Riley, Sumpter M., Jr. (4) ..... Lexington (C)
Rising, Lloyd H. (3) ..... Kansas (SC)
Ristow, Cecil F. (3) ..... Pacific Northwest (W)
Ritchey, William H. (9) ..... Pacific Northwest (W)
Rixse, John H., Jr. (6) ..... Virginia (SE)
Robb, Don S. (8) ..... Troy (NE)
Robbins, Cecil W. (6) ..... North Carolina (SE)
Robert, Maddala D. (5) ..... Hyderabad (OS)
Roberts, Richard L. (2) ..... West Virginia (NE)
Robertson, Frank L. (7) ..... South Georgia (SE)
Robey, William T., Jr. (3) ..... Virginia (SE)
Robinson, Stafford S. (5) ..... Florida (C)
Robison, Harold (8) ..... Central Kansas (SC)
Rodda, William F. B. (1) ..... Newark (NE)
Rogers, Mrs. C. J. (5) ..... Northwest Indiana (NC)
Rogers, Carleton C. (9) ..... Rock River (NC)
Rogers, James F. (8) ..... North Carolina (SE)
Rooks, John J. (9) ..... Florida (SE)
Rose, Robert (5) ..... North Carolina (SE)
Rosser, Harold (4) ..... Ohio (NC)
Roudebush, Roy R. (5) ..... North Indiana (NC)

Rowe, Earl N. (6) ..... Central Pennsylvania (NE)
Ruff, William H. (9) ..... North Georgia (SE)
Rupert, Hoover (9) ..... Detroit (NC)
Rutter, Kenneth P. (6) ..... Western Pennsylvania (NE)
Ryser, Ernst (9) ..... Switzerland (OS)

Sagar, Sisa M. (6) ..... Agra (OS)
Salazar, Noel (1) ..... Bolivia (OS)
Salem, Joe T. (4) ..... Northwest Texas (SC)
Sander, Harvey H. (6) ..... South Dakota (NC)
Sanders, Carl J. (9) ..... Virginia (SE)
Santos, Tomás de los (6) ..... Mindanao (OS)
Sargeant, John B. (5) ..... Florida (SE)
Satterfield, John C., Sr. (2) ..... Mississippi (SE)
Savage, William E. (3) ..... Kentucky (SE)
Sayre, Charles A. (9) ..... New Jersey (NE)
Schaad, Hermann (6) ..... Switzerland (OS)
Schaff, Lester (3) ..... Central New York (NE)
Schiele, Rudolf (4) ..... Southwest Germany (OS)
Schilling, Marvin A. (1) ..... East Wisconsin (NC)
Schodde, Gerhard A. (3) ..... Northwest Germany (OS)
Schoellkopf, Herbert J. (5) ..... New Jersey (NE)
Scholz, Ernest P. (5) ..... Northeast Germany (OS)
Schuh, Harry W. (3) ..... Baltimore (NE)
Schwiebert, Erwin H. (1) ..... Idaho (W)
Scott, Charles S. (1) ..... Central West (C)
Scranton, Walter L. (2) ..... New York (NE)
Secrest, Jarrard (8) ..... Central Texas (SC)
Seiberling, George (2) ..... Missouri West (SC)
Self, David (1) ..... Alabama-West Florida (SE)
Shaffer, H. P. (8) ..... West Virginia (NE)
Shamblin, J. Kenneth (2) ..... Texas (SC)
Shaumba, Pierre (7) ..... Central Congo (OS)
Shaw, Alfred J. (3) ..... Lucknow (OS)
Shearer, Paul V. (2) ..... South Iowa (NC)
Shelton, Roland M. (4) ..... Little Rock (SC)
Shindledecker, George W. (4) ..... Western Pennsylvania (NE)
Shipps, Hammel P. (1) ..... New Jersey (NE)
Shivley, Charles S. (2) ..... North Arkansas (SC)
Shore, Phillip L., Jr. (3) ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Singh, Mehar (3) ..... Agra (OS)
Sisson, W. Rembert (5) ..... North Georgia (SE)
Skilling, Mrs. Thelma (8) ..... Baltimore (NE)
Slack, William B. (7) ..... North Texas (SC)
Slutz, Leonard (2) ..... Ohio (NC)
Smith, C. Asbury (7) ..... Baltimore (NE)
Smith, Chester A. (3) ..... New York (NE)
Smith, Eugene L. (7) ..... Newark (NE)
Smith, Holiday (5) ..... Holston (SE)
Smith, Irving L. (2) ..... Oklahoma (SC)
Smith, R. E. (8) ..... Texas (SC)
Smith, Robert M. (4) ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Smith, William E. (9) ..... Baltimore (NE)
Soans, Clement (8) ..... Bombay (OS)
Soltman, John C. (4) ..... Pacific Northwest (W)
Sommer, Carl Ernst (2) ..... Southwest Germany (OS)
Sone, Law (1) ..... Central Texas (SC)
Sowards, Mrs. Leonard N. (7) ..... Baltimore (NE)
Spear, Mrs. Charles W. (7) ..... Minnesota (NC)
Spears, R. W. (1) ..... South Carolina (SE)
Sprowls, Claude S. (5) ..... Louisville (SE)

Stamm, John F. (8) ..... Central Pennsylvania (NE)
Standard, Forrest L. (3) ..... Missouri West (SC)
Stanley, Sam C. (4) ..... North Mississippi (SE)
Starkey, Lycurgus M., Jr. (1) ..... Missouri West (SC)
Steel, Marshall T. (3) ..... Little Rock (SC)
Steele, Clay E. (4) ..... Rock River (NC)
Steele, Sam (3) ..... New Mexico (SC)
Stettler, Wallace F. (8) ..... Philadelphia (NE)
Stevens, Ben M. (7) ..... Mississippi (SE)
Stocking, Carl L. (3) ..... California-Nevada (W)
Stockton, Ralph M. (8) ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Stockwell, F. O. (3) ..... Malaysia Chinese (OS)
Stokes, Mack B. (6) ..... Holston (SE)
Stone, Mrs. John Paul (9) ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Stowe, W. McFerrin (3) ..... Oklahoma (SC)
Stratton, Leslie M. III (9) ..... Memphis (SE)
Stretch, Mrs. Mabel K. (7) ..... New Jersey (NE)
Stroh, Byron F. (9) ..... North Indiana (NC)
Strong, Donald T. (9) ..... Michigan (NC)
Strother, William Bruce (3) ..... Tennessee (SE)
Stuart, R. Marvin (7) ..... California-Nevada (W)
Stump, Phil D. (8) ..... Central New York (NE)
Styron, Mrs. Arthur (1) ..... North Georgia (SE)
Surfus, Leland C. (4) ..... North Iowa (NC)
Susat, Edward (4) ..... Indiana (NC)
Sutton, William A. (4) ..... North Georgia (SE)
Swales, Harold S. (2) ..... Central New York (NE)
Szczepkowski, Jozef (7) ..... Poland (OS)

Tannehill, Francis V. (7) ..... North Dakota (NC)
Tarroza, Felipe A. (7) ..... Middle Philippines (OS)
Tate, Willis M. (3) ..... North Texas (SC)
Taylor, Mrs. Adrienne (5) ..... Newark (NE)
Taylor, Eben (4) ..... South Carolina (SE)
Taylor, Mrs. Ethan L. (7) ..... North Georgia (SE)
Tedcastle, Arthur T. (8) ..... New York East (NE)
Tennant, John W. (7) ..... Michigan (NC)
Terasawa, Tosh (1) ..... Pacific Japanese Provisional (W)
Thistle, Richard C. (7) ..... Northwest Indiana (NC)
Thomas, James S. (2) ..... South Carolina (C)
Thomas, John (1) ..... Northwest Indiana (NC)
Thompson, Everett K. (4) ..... Southern Illinois (NC)
Thompson, Gordon G., Jr. (3) ..... North Georgia (SE)
Thompson, Lionel E. (4) ..... Detroit (NC)
Thompson, Pat (1) ..... Texas (SC)
Thornburg, Amos A. (6) ..... Rock River (NC)
Thornburg, Richard A. (6) ..... New York East (NE)
Thornton, B. I. (8) ..... South Georgia (SE)
Thorpe, Robert M. (5) ..... Pacific Northwest (W)
Thrift, Charles T., Jr. (3) ..... Florida (SE)
Thurman, Mrs. David R. (7) ..... Florida (SE)
Tompkins, Mrs. Clarence W. (9) ..... North Iowa (NC)
Tower, Joe Z. (3) ..... Texas (SC)
Trice, William E. (2) ..... Louisiana (SC)
Trott, Norman L. (6) ..... Baltimore (NE)
Truax, Lyle H. (1) ..... Pacific Northwest (W)
Tsopotsa, Samuel (3) ..... Rhodesia (OS)
Tuell, Jack M. (6) ..... Pacific Northwest (W)
Turlington, Mrs. H. C. (7) ..... North Carolina (SE)
Turnage, Roy L. (4) ..... North Carolina (SE)
Turpen, H. H. (4) ..... Tennessee (SE)

Tullis, Edward L. (7) ..... Kentucky (SE)
Tuttle, Lee F. (9) ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Tuttle, Robert G. (7) ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Tyler, Charles A. (2) ..... Indiana (NC)
Tyrrell, Mrs. J. Boyd (7) ..... Virginia (SE)

Uhlinger, James R. (3) ..... New England (NE)

Valenzuela, Raymond (5) ..... Chile (OS)
Van Sickle, John R. (1) ..... Rock River (NC)
Vaughan, William C. (2) ..... Virginia (SE)
Velasco, Virgilio T. (4) ..... Northern Philippines (OS)
Vogel, Hans (6) ..... Central Germany (OS)
Vosburg, Frederick C. (3) ..... Detroit (NC)

Wagner, H. Hughes (9) ..... New England (NE)
Wagner, Joseph H. (5) ..... Missouri East (SC)
Walker, Harvey A. (8) ..... North Iowa (NC)
Walker, James M. (2) ..... Southwest Texas (SC)
Walker, W. Roland (8) ..... Virginia (SE)
Walkup, Elbert E. (5) ..... Tennessee (SE)
Ward, Paul M. (4) ..... North-East Ohio (NC)
Ware, Mrs. Carl E. (1) ..... Ohio (NC)
Warman, John B. (1) ..... Western Pennsylvania (NE)
Warner, William B. (9) ..... Southwest Texas (SC)
Watkins, Clyde F. (5) ..... Holston (SE)
Watson, Mrs. D. E. (7) ..... Central Kansas (SC)
Watson, Mrs. Russell O. (7) ..... Oregon (W)
Weaver, Adolph P. (6) ..... Western Pennsylvania (NE)
Weaver, L. Stacy (3) ..... North Carolina (SE)
Webb, Lance (2) ..... Ohio (NC)
Webber, Frank (8) ..... California-Nevada (W)
Weems, Mrs. Howard V. (6) ..... Florida (SE)
Weldon, E. Wade (2) ..... Louisville (SE)
Weldon, Wilson O. (5) ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Wertz, D. Frederick (3) ..... Central Pennsylvania (NE)
Wesley, Ralph G. (6) ..... Kentucky (SE)
West, T. Albert, Jr. (8) ..... Alabama-West Florida (SE)
Whatley, John W. (5) ..... North Georgia (SE)
Wheatley, Melvin E., Jr. (3) ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Wheeler, Sterling F. (3) ..... Southwest Texas (SC)
White, Albert L., Jr. (1) ..... Virginia (SE)
White, Charles D. (4) ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
White, John A. (8) ..... South Carolina (SE)
White, L. L. (1) ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Whiteley, Lawrence E. (4) ..... Minnesota (NC)
Whitmore, Austin R. (3) ..... Ohio (NC)
Whyman, Henry C. (7) ..... New York East (NE)
Wiant, Paul (4) ..... Ohio (NC)
Widegard, Arne G. (6) ..... Sweden (OS)
Wilcox, Wilbur (3) ..... South Iowa (NC)
Wilkes, Jack S. (7) ..... Oklahoma (SC)
Wilkins, John R. (2) ..... California-Nevada (W)
Williams, Mrs. Beryl E. W. (1) ..... Washington (C)
Williams, Frank S. (1) ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Williams, George R. (7) ..... North Mississippi (SE)
Williams, Harold B. (6) ..... North-East Ohio (NC)
Williams, Ira E. (9) ..... Oklahoma (SC)
Williams, L. Stanley (6) ..... Central Texas (SC)
Williams, R. Clarence (4) ..... North Alabama (SE)
Willson, James M., Sr. (3) ..... Northwest Texas (SC)

Wilson, J. F. (9) ..... South Georgia (SE)
Wilson, Winslow N. (2) ..... West Wisconsin (NC)
Winchester, Clarence M. (9) ..... North Carolina (C)
Winter, Hauser (7) ..... Missouri West (SC)
Wittman, Mrs. Otto J. (7) ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Witwer, Samuel W. (2) ..... Rock River (NC)
Wolf, John D. (6) ..... Northwest Indiana (NC)
Wong, Lai-Yuen (4) ..... Malaya (OS)
Woodruff, Ralph (5) ..... North Arkansas (SC)
Woolsey, Mrs. V. G. (2) ..... Southwest Texas (SC)
Wooten, Mrs. H. L. (7) ..... Texas (C)
Worley, Loyd F. (2) ..... New York East (NE)

Yancey, Charles L. (4) ..... Memphis (SE)
Yap, Kim-Hao (7) ..... Malaya (OS)
Yocom, Donald R. (5) ..... Ohio (NC)
Yoder, Harvey O. (6) ..... North-East Ohio (NC)
Young, Harry E. (4) ..... North Iowa (NC)
Young, J. Otis (9) ..... Ohio (NC)

Zellmer, Willard A. (8) ..... Pacific Northwest (W)
Ziegler, Wilbur C. (1) ..... New England (NE)
Zunguze, Escrivão A. (7) ..... Southeast Africa (OS)

ALPHABETICAL LIST OF RESERVE DELEGATES

(*Italics* denote Ministerial Delegates)

Reserve Delegates were seated by the Committee on Credentials from time to time during the session of The General Conference, including the opening session on Sunday, April 26, 1964.

Where the single numeral ( ) appears in parentheses, it indicates the page in the Journal where the Chairman of the Annual Conference Delegation made the substitution at the opening session, Sunday, April 26, 1964.

Where three numerals appear in parentheses ( ), the first number refers to the Number of the Report of the Committee on Credentials; the second number to the name of the Reserve substituted; the third number to the page in the Appendix where the report of the Committee on Credentials will be found. Editor.

Acey, Archie E. ..... Virginia (SE)
Acker, Karl Heinz ..... Southwest Germany (OS)
Adams, Fred ..... North Texas (SC)
Adams, Robert H. ..... West Wisconsin (NC)
Adcock, Woodrow W. ..... Northwest Texas (SC)
Adolfsson, Tage ..... Southeast Africa (OS)
Aiken, O. Glenn ..... Western Pennsylvania (NE)
Akin, Mrs. Vern ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Albertson, C. Gene ..... Oregon (W)
Alexander, Thurman F. ..... North-East Ohio (NC)
Alford, J. W. ..... Mississippi (SE)
Allen, Charles L. ..... Texas (SC)
Allen, Mrs. J. T. ..... Alabama-West Florida (SE)
Allison, William ..... Missouri East (SC)
Allman, S. H. ..... Little Rock (SC)
Ammerman, Carl R. ..... Detroit (NC)
Amoss, Howard M. ..... Peninsula (NE)
Anderson, Dorothy ..... Southeast Africa (OS)
Anderson, Roy L. ..... Montana (W)
Anderson, Scott ..... Central Illinois (NC)
Anderson, T. W. ..... Rocky Mountain (W)
Anderson, William F. ..... Southeast Africa (OS)
Anderson. Mrs. William T. ..... Central New York (NE)
Andrés, Simeon A. ..... Northern Philippines (OS)
Anthes, Robert ..... Nebraska (SC)
Anthony, Lois R. ..... Western Pennsylvania (NE)
Appleby, Harry ..... Peninsula (NE)
Appleby, William F. ..... North Mississippi (SE)
Applegate, Fred L. ..... New Jersey (NE)
Archer, Dorothy T. ..... Genesee (NE)
Archer, John H. ..... North-East Ohio (NC)
Armitstead, Austin H. ..... New York East (NE)
Arthur, C. Ralph ..... Virginia (SE)
Astorga, Mario ..... Chile (OS)
Atkinson, Samuel M. ..... South Carolina (SE)
Atkinson, Sidney H. ..... New York East (NE)
Avery, Keith T. ..... Michigan (NC)
Aye, U San ..... Burma (OS)

Backenson, Henry L. ..... New Jersey (NE)
Badgett. Mrs. E. J. ..... Louisiana (C)
Bagby, Grover C., Jr. ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)

Baily, Joyce J. ..... Northwest Indiana (NC)
Bainbridge, Warren S. ..... Rocky Mountain (W)
Baker, Edgar R. ..... Central Pennsylvania (NE)
Baker, Harry F. ..... Ohio (NC)
Balcomb, Raymond E. (2) ..... Oregon (W)
Baldwin, Harry ..... Central Illinois (NC)
Bañados, Homero ..... Chile (OS)
Barcus, Edward R. (Transferred) ..... North Texas (SC)
Barnes, Bryce ..... Central Illinois (NC)
Barnett, I. Nels ..... North Arkansas (SC)
Barnett, Vernie T. ..... Southern Illinois (NC)
Barnhardt, Mrs. Leslie E. ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Barr, Mrs. Ronald ..... Minnesota (NC)
Barrett, J. Norman ..... New Hampshire (NE)
Barton, Charles A. ..... New York East (NE)
Bartram, John W. ..... Montana (W)
Baskerville, M. Trevor ..... North Iowa (NC)
Bastuscheck, Burton O. ..... Oregon (W)
Bauman, Ernesto J. ..... Argentina (OS)
Bayer, Karl O. ..... Texas (SC)
Beard, Stephen S. ..... Ohio (NC)
Beasley, Harvey L. ..... North Mississippi (SE)
Beck, Russell F. ..... Detroit (NC)
Becker, Joe ..... Central Kansas (SC)
Beeson, M. A. ..... Oklahoma (SC)
Beisiegel, Karl ..... Southwest Germany (OS)
Bell, A. C. ..... Southwest Texas (SC)
Benedyktowicz, Witold ..... Poland (OS)
Bennett, A. E. ..... West Virginia (NE)
Berg, Darrell E. ..... Nebraska (SC)
Beverly, F. J., Jr. ..... South Georgia (SE)
Bickham, Mrs. R. W. ..... Central Texas (SC)
Biggers, Gilbert M. ..... Louisville (SE)
Billman, Allan G. ..... Rock River (NC)
Biswas, Filip ..... Bengal (OS)
Bjerno, Henning ..... Denmark (OS)
Bjork, Virgil V. ..... North Indiana (NC)
Black, Felix ..... North Mississippi (SE)
Black, Joe L. ..... North Georgia (SE)
Blackburn, Robert M. ..... Florida (SE)
Blackwell, H. Conrad ..... Virginia (SE)
Blakemore, John H. ..... Virginia (SE)
Blasingame, Walter A. ..... South Georgia (SE)
Bleathen, Harry S. ..... West Virginia (NE)
Boggs, Clyde S. ..... North Carolina (SE)
Boley, Arthur W. ..... Central Illinois (NC)
Boleyn, Charles ..... North Georgia (SE)
Bolt, Lloyd D. ..... South Carolina (SE)
Bond, Nye O. ..... Nebraska (SC)
Bonser, Rex ..... Central Illinois (NC)
Boswell, Hamilton T. ..... California-Nevada (W)
Boswell, Robert N. ..... California-Nevada (W)
Bowdon, J. Henry, Sr. ..... Louisiana (SC)
Bowen, Theodore R. ..... Baltimore (NE)
Boyd, Robert F. ..... Virginia (SE)
Boykin, Samuel J. ..... North Georgia (SE)
Bozeman, W. S. ..... Florida (SE)
Bramlett, Sam ..... Texas (SC)
Brannon, William L. ..... South Carolina (SE)
Breland, A. Dan, Jr. ..... Mississippi (SE)
Bridges, Eugene E. ..... North Alabama (SE)

Bridges, Joe H. ..... South Georgia (SE)
Bristah, James W. ..... Detroit (NC)
Britt, Tom M. ..... Northwest Texas (SC)
Broome, Allan R. ..... South Carolina (SE)
Brose, Erwin ..... Northeast Germany (OS)
Brown, Clifford C. ..... Central Illinois (NC)
Brown, Covert ..... Missouri East (SC)
Brown, Mrs. E. K. ..... Rocky Mountain (W)
Brown, Howard J. ..... North-East Ohio (NC)
Brown, Joseph E. ..... Northwest Indiana (NC)
Brown, Lawrence K. ..... Southwest Texas (SC)
Brown, Mrs. Norton ..... Missouri West (SC)
Brown, Samuel R. ..... Tennessee (C)
Brubaker, Herbert C. ..... Detroit (NC)
Bryant, Thomas V. ..... Lexington (C)
Buck, Stanley M. ..... Michigan (NC)
Bugg, Robert W. ..... North Alabama (SE)
Buhler, Donald ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Bullock, W. J. ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Burgess, J. R. ..... North Georgia (SE)
Burney, Harry L. ..... Florida (C)
Burns, Jackson ..... North Iowa (NC)
Burns, W. Reese ..... West Virginia (NE)
Burr, R. Hudson, Jr. ..... Florida (SE)
Bush, J. Howard ..... Oklahoma (SC)
Buta, Antonio ..... Angola (OS)
Butler, Randle R. ..... New Mexico (SC)
Butler, William P. ..... North Iowa (NC)
Buttimer, Thomas A. ..... Philadelphia (NE)
Butts, Bryan J. ..... Texas (SC)

Cabrera, Ismeal ..... Puerto Rico Provisional (NE)
Calata, Frailan B. ..... Northern Philippines (OS)
Caldwell, Mrs. T. A. ..... Southwest Texas (SC)
Callahan, William E., Jr. ..... Pacific Northwest (W)
Campbell, Richard W. ..... Central Pennsylvania (NE)
Cardosa, Zacarias ..... Angola (OS)
Carraway, James L. ..... Western Pennsylvania (NE)
Carrell, Mrs. John W. ..... California-Nevada (W)
Carrington, Charles L. ..... Delaware (C)
Carroll, Edward G. ..... Washington (C)
Carter, A. M. ..... Georgia (C)
Carter, Stanley ..... Texas (SC)
Cary, Robert J. ..... California-Nevada (W)
Casad, Victor ..... Oklahoma (SC)
Cavett, Maurine ..... Burma (OS)
Chadwick, W. C. ..... North Carolina (SE)
Chamberlain, William A. ..... Maine (NE)
Chandler, George P. ..... Peninsula (NE)
Chandler, Hartwell F. ..... Peninsula (NE)
Chandler, Henry T. ..... Louisville (SE)
Chandler, Louis V. ..... North Texas (SC)
Chant, George W. ..... Minnesota (NC)
Chasteen, N. Clinton ..... Missouri West (SC)
Cheng, Dora ..... Malaysia Chinese (OS)
Chieng, Kaik-Ming ..... Sarawak (OS)
Chilcote, Thomas F. ..... Holston (SE)
Chiles, Paul D. ..... Ohio (NC)
Chrisman, C. S. ..... North Carolina (SE)
Christdas, Chanda ..... Hyderabad (OS)
Church, Benjamin D. ..... Detroit (NC)

Churchill, Kelly ..... East Wisconsin (NC)
Clabaugh, E. C. ..... Texas (SC)
Clardy, Mrs. Sara J. ..... Central West (C)
Clark, Mrs. E. G. ..... Ohio (NC)
Clark, Fred C. ..... Rocky Mountain (W)
Clark, L. C. ..... Oklahoma (SC)
Clark, Max R. ..... North Iowa (NC)
Clay, H. C., Jr. ..... Mississippi (C)
Clayton, J. Ralph ..... Little Rock (SC)
Clegg, Leland ..... Oklahoma (SC)
Clem, Paul L. ..... North Alabama (SE)
Clinton, Gordon S. ..... Pacific Northwest (W)
Cloud, Douglas I. ..... Philadelphia (NE)
Clute, Dow S. ..... Troy (NE)
Cobb, Mrs. Edgar ..... Nebraska (SC)
Coe, Mrs. O. B. ..... West Texas (C)
Cogar, Mrs. Jeanette ..... West Virginia (NE)
Collins, Price M. ..... Philadelphia (NE)
Conger, William W. ..... Oregon (W)
Conley, Phil N. ..... West Virginia (NE)
Contee, Earl ..... Washington (C)
Conway, Ralph W. ..... East Wisconsin (NC)
Cooke, George W. ..... Genesee (NE)
Cooke, R. Leonard ..... Louisiana (SC)
Cooley, O. Edward ..... South Iowa (NC)
Cooper, Earle N. ..... Troy (NE)
Cooper, Lawrence T. ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Copher, Charles B. ..... Lexington (C)
Corriher, W. Douglas ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Cottingham, Mrs. Thad J. ..... North Alabama (SE)
Cotton, Clare M. ..... Florida (SE)
Cox, J. Henry ..... Central Illinois (NC)
Cox, Navarro ..... Texas (SC)
Cranston, John M. ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Crawford, Jack ..... Kentucky (SE)
Crawford, Nace B. ..... Texas (SC)
Crayton, Alfred L. ..... Wyoming (NE)
Crenshaw, Bryan ..... South Carolina (SE)
Cromwell, G. Custer ..... Baltimore (NE)
Croyle, Robert R. ..... Central Pennsylvania (NE)
Crummey, D. Clifford ..... California-Nevada (W)
Culpepper, Mrs. Ross ..... West Virginia (NE)
Cutlip, William W. ..... Central Illinois (NC)

Dailey, Charles P. ..... North-East Ohio (NC)
Dale, Francis L. ..... Ohio (NC)
Damon, Walter ..... North-East Ohio (NC)
Daniel, Dayal M. ..... Agra (OS)
Daniel, Mrs. J. L. ..... California-Nevada (W)
Das, Khub ..... Indus River (OS)
Davenport, Mrs. L. N. ..... Southern Illinois (NC)
David, Joshua ..... Bombay (OS)
Davidson, Carl M. ..... Nebraska (SC)
Davis, Charles F. ..... Rock River (NC)
Davis, Howard ..... Oklahoma (SC)
Davis, Joseph M. ..... Central Congo (OS)
Davis, Laurence R. ..... Nebraska (SC)
Davis, Lewis H. ..... New York East (NE)
Davis, Merritt H. ..... Western Pennsylvania (NE)
Dawson, John H. ..... Detroit (NC)
Dawson, R. Grady ..... North Carolina (SE)

Deal, Homer S. ..... Idaho (W)
Deffebach, Lyle ..... Northwest Texas (SC)
Dement, Frank E., Jr. ..... Mississippi (SE)
Dempsey, I. F. ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Dicken, John R. ..... North Indiana (NC)
Dickerson, Homer L. ..... Nebraska (SC)
Dickhaut, John W. ..... Ohio (NC)
Dickinson, William H. ..... North Texas (SC)
Dillon, Clyde A. ..... North Carolina (SE)
Din, Amos ..... Indus River (OS)
Divelbess, Harold ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Dixon, John A., Jr. ..... Louisiana (SC)
Doak, D. Wesley ..... Oklahoma (SC)
Dodson, Sam R., Jr. ..... Tennessee (SE)
Donaldson, Mrs. L. H. ..... New York East (NE)
Döring, Martin ..... Northeast Germany (OS)
Doss, Russell ..... Memphis (SE)
Douglas, Luman E. ..... Louisiana (SC)
Dralans, Arthur ..... Belgium (OS)
Drinkard, Eugene T. ..... North Georgia (SE)
Dryden, Mrs. Kenneth ..... Nebraska (SC)
DuBois, W. Earl ..... Alabama-West Florida (SE)
DuBose, Robert N. ..... South Carolina (SE)
Dudley, Mrs. C. P. ..... North-East Ohio (NC)
Duffie, George S., Sr. ..... South Carolina (SE)
Duncan, Robert H. ..... Holston (SE)
Dunipace, William ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Duren, Lloyd A. ..... New York East (NE)
Durham, Donald W. ..... Kentucky (SE)

Eardly, James G. ..... North-East Ohio (NC)
Early, Jack J. ..... South Dakota (NC)
Ebright, Arlon O. ..... Central Kansas (SC)
Edens, Hollis A. ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Edwards, Alonzo C. ..... North Carolina (SE)
Edwards, Robert C. ..... South Carolina (SE)
Edwards, Miss Sundra ..... South India (OS)
Egan, William F., Jr. ..... New Jersey (NE)
Egger, John F. ..... Mississippi (SE)
Eich, Foster, Jr. ..... Alabama-West Florida (SE)
Ellis, Matt L. ..... North Arkansas (SC)
Emerson, Mrs. H. M. ..... Madhya Pradesh (OS)
Engle, Mrs. Earl L. ..... New York (NE)
English, John A. ..... Northwest Texas (SC)
Ensor, Lowell S. ..... Baltimore (NE)
Epps, Anderson C. ..... Georgia (C)
Ely, J. A., Jr. ..... North Mississippi (SE)
Eriksson, Kaare E. ..... Rhodesia (OS)
Erwin, Richard C. ..... North Carolina (C)
Etchieson, D. D. ..... Oklahoma (SC)
Ether, Horace ..... Philadelphia (NE)
Eubank, Graham S. ..... North Carolina (SE)
Evans, Paul F. ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Evans, Rexford ..... Wyoming (NE)
Evans, Thomas ..... North-East Ohio (NC)
Evans, William S., Sr. ..... Memphis (SE)
Everson, Sydney C. G. ..... Ohio (NC)

Fagan, J. Harold ..... Texas (SC)
Fang, C. N. ..... Malaysia Chinese (OS)
Farley, Thomas K. ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)

Farr, Joyce W. ..... California-Nevada (W)
Farrell, Leighton ..... Central Texas (SC)
Faus, Raymond W. ..... Western Pennsylvania (NE)
Feather, Merritt H. ..... West Virginia (NE)
Fehr, Helen ..... Indus River (OS)
Felton, C. Paul ..... Philadelphia (NE)
Fenstermacher, George ..... North Indiana (NC)
Ferrer, Cornelio M. ..... Northwest Philippines (OS)
Few, Eugene C. ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Fichter, Joseph W. ..... Ohio (NC)
Fierro, Victor ..... Chile (OS)
Figgs, Clyde P. ..... Virginia (SE)
Fink, Harold H. ..... Virginia (SE)
Finkbeiner, Melvin M. ..... Pacific Northwest (W)
Firth, William E. ..... Baltimore (NE)
Fischer, Ronald E. ..... Detroit (NC)
Fisher, Edgar B. ..... North Carolina (SE)
Fisher, J. Herschel ..... North Texas (SC)
Fisher, James C. ..... West Virginia (NE)
Fisher, John ..... New England (NE)
Fisher, Theodore H. ..... South Carolina (C)
Fiske, Julian ..... North Georgia (SE)
Fletcher, Fremont C. ..... Minnesota (NC)
Flurry, Fred S. ..... Louisiana (SC)
Flythe, Sutton S. ..... Virginia (SE)
Fondren, Mrs. W. W. ..... Texas (SC)
Fooks, B. T. ..... Little Rock (SC)
Ford, Floyd ..... Tennessee (SE)
Ford, Mrs. W. D. ..... Oklahoma (SC)
Forsberg, Clarence J. ..... Nebraska (SC)
Fossett, Warren J. ..... Oklahoma (SC)
Fouts, Mrs. Kyle B. ..... Northwest Indiana (NC)
Fowler, Conrad M. ..... North Alabama (SE)
Fox, Donald ..... Rocky Mountain (W)
Fox, Raymond A. ..... Detroit (NC)
Foy, James D. ..... Washington (C)
Frank, Paul ..... Missouri West (SC)
Franklin, Allnutt T. ..... Lucknow (OS)
Franklin, Denson N. ..... North Alabama (SE)
Frederick, Mrs. Ira ..... Nebraska (SC)
Freeman, Francis F. ..... Wyoming (NE)
Freeman, W. Carroll ..... Virginia (SE)
French, John E. ..... Peninsula (NE)
French, Walter ..... Nebraska (SC)
Fribley, Robert W. ..... North Indiana (NC)
Frye, Mrs. Henry A. ..... Philadelphia (NE)

Gaddy, L. Shaw ..... Mississippi (SE)
Gaines, Mrs. Marie M. ..... East Tennessee (C)
Garcia, Catalino T. ..... Middle Philippines (OS)
Garibay, Limerio C. ..... Mindanao (OS)
Garrett, C. Dendy ..... South Iowa (NC)
Gemo, Finias J. ..... Southeast Africa (OS)
Gilbert, J. C. ..... Memphis (SE)
Gilchrist, Carl K. ..... West Virginia (NE)
Gilmore, Bryan C. ..... Alabama-West Florida (SE)
Gladstone, Houle S. ..... Hyderabad (OS)
Glazier, Mrs. Roger B. ..... Wyoming (NE)
Gold, Donald W. ..... Minnesota (NC)
Gonser, Ivan O. ..... Detroit (NC)
Gonzalez, Josué ..... Rio Grande (SC)

Goodrich, Harry W. ..... Newark (NE)
Gott, Mrs. Henry V. ..... Central Kansas (SC)
Goulding, Farnham B. ..... New England (NE)
Granadosin, Paul Locke ..... Philippines (OS)
Grant, Ernest ..... Central New York (NE)
Gray, Darrell D. ..... Southwest Texas (SC)
Gray, Frank M. ..... Southern California-Nevada (W)
Graybeal, H. C. ..... Holston (SE)
Greathouse, Frank ..... New Mexico (SC)
Greene, Jesse S. ..... New England (NE)
Gregory, Kermit C. ..... Central Illinois (NC)
Grettenberger, Mrs. Louis H. ..... Michigan (NC)
Grier, Joe D. ..... Georgia (C)
Griffin, Ben ..... South Georgia (SE)
Griffin, Mrs. S. L. ..... Upper Mississippi (C)
Griffith, Mrs. Florence ..... Newark (NE)
Grose, Wilbur D. ..... Minnesota (NC)
Guerra, Mrs. Ester ..... Cuba (SE)
Guice, Marvin R. ..... New Jersey (NE)
Guiles, Floyd E. ..... Central New York (NE)
Gultom, Johannes ..... Sumatra (OS)
Guthrie, Timothy W. ..... Northwest Texas (SC)

Haggard, Carl W. ..... Kentucky (SE)
Haisten, Warren (8) ..... North Georgia (SE)
Hall, Aaron D. ..... Florida (C)
Hall, Thomas D. ..... Missouri West (SC)
Hamilton, J. Harold ..... New York East (NE)
Hamilton, Richard E. ..... Indiana (NC)
Hamm, Clarence H. ..... Central Kansas (SC)
Hancock, C. W. ..... South Georgia (SE)
Hancock, Eugene H. ..... North Iowa (NC)
Hanley, L. Keith ..... West Wisconsin (NC)
Hann, Victor B. ..... Central Pennsylvania (NE)
Hanson, Mrs. Harold B. ..... New York East (NE)
Hardin, Cecil P. ..... Holston (SE)
Hardin, Edward K. ..... South Carolina (SE)
Hardin, H. Grady ..... Texas (SC)
Harkness, Georgia ..... Troy (NE)
Härle, Eugen ..... South Germany (OS)
Harper, Jolly B. ..... Louisiana (SC)
Harris, Melville F. ..... Liberia (OS)
Harris, Therman ..... Oklahoma (SC)
Harrison, Dallas M. ..... North Iowa (NC)
Harrison, Walter ..... South Georgia (SE)
Hart, Kenneth ..... Missouri West (SC)
Harvey, J. T. ..... West Virginia (NE)
Harwood, Thomas A. Deceased ..... Rock River (NC)
Hastings, Ralph G. ..... Indiana (NC)
Haug, Edward R. ..... Louisiana (SC)
Hauptman, Leo M. ..... North Indiana (NC)
Hawkins, Mrs. Inez ..... Washington (C)
Hayden, Mrs. Harold W. ..... Central Pennsylvania (NE)
Hayes, Robert E. ..... Texas (C)
Hays, Ralph ..... Mississippi (SE)
Headlee, Russell E. ..... Western Pennsylvania (NE)
Heath, Thomas W. ..... North Carolina (SE)
Heckard, Cecil L. ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Heins, Conrad P. ..... South India (OS)
Heitzenrater, H. Clair ..... Western Pennsylvania (NE)
Helas, Marta de ..... Chile (OS)

Hell, Konrad ..... Switzerland (OS)
Helrigel, William H., Jr. ..... Michigan (NC)
Hendricks, Ralph R. ..... Alabama-West Florida (SE)
Heninger, Harry ..... Central Illinois (NC)
Henninger, F. LaMont ..... Central Pennsylvania (NE)
Henry, Mrs. Albert (9) ..... Kansas (SC)
Henry, Mrs. Archie R. ..... West Wisconsin (NC)
Henry, Waights G., Jr. ..... North Georgia (SE)
Herd, George W. ..... Onio (NC)
Herrmann, Mrs. Ernest F. ..... West Wisconsin (NC)
Hickerson, Walter J. ..... Central Kansas (SC)
Hickman, Victor R. ..... South Carolina (SE)
Hicks, John J., Sr. ..... Central West (C)
Hicks, Ralph H. ..... Missouri East (SC)
Hildreth, Charles H. ..... Alabama-West Florida (SE)
Hill, W. Norbert ..... New England Southern (NE)
Hillis, J. Ralph ..... North Arkansas (NC)
Hillis, Raymond ..... Little Rock (SC)
Hines, Mrs. M. P. ..... Northwest Texas (SC)
Hippel, George N. ..... Philadelphia (NE)
Hjelte, Marshall C. ..... Oregon (W)
Hocking, Reginald S. ..... Detroit (NC)
Hodapp, Leroy C. ..... Indiana (NC)
Hogg, William K. ..... North-East Ohio (NC)
Holbrook, Mrs. John W. ..... Kentucky (SE)
Holland, Horace J. ..... North Alabama (SE)
Holloman, Garland H. ..... North Mississippi (SE)
Holmes, Robert C. ..... Florida (SE)
Holte, Alfred O. ..... Pacific Northwest (W)
Hood, Dixon ..... Memphis (SE)
Hooke, Albert V. ..... Minnesota (NC)
Hooper, Mrs. Joel W. ..... Central Texas (SC)
Horner, William H. ..... Genesee (NE)
Horst, Preston E. ..... Central Illinois (NC)
Horton, Mrs. William E., Jr. ..... Texas (SC)
Hottle, Darrell ..... Ohio (NC)
Houston, Mrs. Colin ..... Detroit (NC)
Howard, T. J. ..... Rocky Mountain (W)
Howard, William M., Jr. ..... North Carolina (SE)
Howe, Gaylon L. ..... Florida (SE)
Howe, Robert B. ..... New Jersey (NE)
Howe, Robert C. ..... Western Pennsylvania (NE)
Howell, Forest W. ..... Northwest Indiana (NC)
Howell, Maggart B. ..... Central Texas (SC)
Howes, John B. ..... Central Pennsylvania (NE)
Hozendorf, C. Ray ..... Little Rock (SC)
Hubbard, Albert T. ..... Louisville (SE)
Hudgins, J. C. ..... Virginia (SE)
Hug, Paul ..... Switzerland (OS)
Huggin, James G. ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Hughes, L. Roy ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Hughes, Nat Ryan ..... Memphis (SE)
Hull, Mrs. Olyn F. ..... North-East Ohio (NC)
Humphrey, A. L. ..... Texas (C)
Hunt, C. H. ..... Tennessee (SE)
Hunt, Clark W. ..... Newark (NE)
Hunt, Dollye ..... Mississippi (C)
Hunt, Frederick W. ..... Western Pennsylvania (NE)
Hunt, Kenneth W. ..... Ohio (NC)
Hutagalung, Ferdinand ..... Sumatra (OS)
Hutcherson, Lyon B., Sr. ..... Louisville (SE)

Hyde, Melvin W. ..... Indiana (NC)
Hydon, Paul V. ..... Troy (NE)
Hymes, Myron B. ..... West Virginia (NE)

Ingram, Ben L. ..... North Alabama (SE)
Inman, W. O. ..... Memphis (SE)
Isaiah, Bairappa R. ..... South India (OS)
Isaias, Gutierrez ..... Chile (OS)

Jackson, Charles A., Jr. ..... South Georgia (SE)
Jackson, Mrs. T. A. ..... Virginia (SE)
Jackson, W. W. ..... Southwest Texas (SC)
Jacoby, Wilbur ..... California-Nevada (W)
Jamella, Gideon ..... Southeast Africa (OS)
James, Harry ..... Indus River (OS)
Jarvis, J. C. ..... West Virginia (NE)
Jenkins, Warren M. ..... South Carolina (C)
Jenks, Robert L. ..... New Jersey (NE)
Jepson, Mrs. Paul ..... Rocky Mountain (W)
Johnson, Elmer C. ..... North Alabama (SE)
Johnson, Francis ..... North Iowa (NC)
Johnson, Hubert ..... Central Texas (SC)
Johnson, Leslie H. ..... New England (NE)
Johnson, Merrill C. ..... New York (NE)
Johnson, Zachary T. ..... Kentucky (SE)
Johnston, Kenneth C. ..... Missouri West (SC)
Jolley, Delbert E. ..... Western Pennsylvania (NE)
Jones, Charles A. ..... Ohio (NC)
Jones, Mrs. Elmira W. ..... Texas (C)
Jones, Ernest H., Sr. ..... Indiana (NC)
Jones, Z. Glen ..... Missouri West (SC)
Jones, Herman H. ..... Tennessee (C)
Jones, Judd H. ..... Kansas (SC)
Jones, L. Bevel, III ..... North Georgia (SE)
Jones, William M. ..... North Mississippi (SE)
Jones, Willis B. ..... Montana (W)
Jongeward, Robert H. ..... Michigan (NC)
Jordan, Frank B. ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Jordan, Willard T. ..... North Indiana (NC)
Joshi, Purushottam R. ..... Bombay (OS)

Kale, W. Arthur ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Kallstad, Thorvald E. ..... Sweden (OS)
Kandel, Clark ..... North-East Ohio (NC)
Kane, Mrs. C. G. ..... Missouri East (SC)
Kaputo, Elie ..... Southern Congo (OS)
Kates, Robert L. ..... Mississippi (SE)
Kavanaugh, Joseph H. ..... Louisiana (SC)
Keeley, Virgil D. ..... North Arkansas (SC)
Keemer, Leland W. ..... Central Pennsylvania (NE)
Keith, James W. ..... Indiana (NC)
Kelley, L. Clarence ..... East Wisconsin (NC)
Kellogg, Charles P. ..... Lexington (C)
Kellogg, Harold F. ..... Ohio (NC)
Kemling, Max V. ..... Southeast Africa (OS)
Kendall, Charles S. ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Kenderdine, John E. ..... Maine (NE)
Kenneth, Kenneth ..... East Wisconsin (NC)
Kent, B. B. ..... Virginia (SE)
Kent, Harry R. ..... South Carolina (SE)
Kerr, Mrs. Robert L. ..... Philadelphia (NE)

Kerr, Robert S., Jr. (4) ..... Oklahoma (SC)
Kess, Theodore W. ..... Washington (C)
Khiyalie, Charles S. ..... North India (OS)
Kidd, Charles O. ..... Virginia (SE)
King, Carl H. ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
King, Frank C. ..... Kentucky (SE)
King, John R. ..... California-Nevada (W)
Kinnard, Cannon ..... Missouri East (SC)
Kirk, Lyle O. ..... Ohio (NC)
Kirk, R. Luther ..... Northwest Texas (SC)
Kirk, William Astor ..... West Texas (C)
Kirkpatrick, Dow ..... Rock River (NC)
Kitterman, Ralph D. ..... North Iowa (NC)
Kittrell, Flemme ..... Baltimore (NE)
Klaus, LeRoy H. ..... Minnesota (NC)
Knight, George A. ..... Nebraska (SC)
Knight, Mrs. Howard W. ..... New Hampshire (NE)
Knox, Kenneth C. ..... Central Illinois (NC)
Kramer, R. R. ..... Holston (SE)
Krause, Günter ..... Northeast Germany (OS)
Kreager, Max W. ..... South Iowa (NC)
Krueger, Gerald ..... East Wisconsin (NC)
Kühl, Werner E. ..... Northwest Germany (OS)
Kuhler, Warren ..... South Dakota (NC)

Lackey, A. Glenn ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Lackland, George S. ..... Ohio (NC)
Lai, Kam-Hong ..... Malaysia Chinese (OS)
Laice, Ernesto ..... Southeast Africa (OS)
Lain, T. Leroy ..... Southwest Texas (SC)
Lambert, Ralph G. ..... Philadelphia (NE)
Lambertson, J. Paul ..... Western Pennsylvania (NE)
Lance, Joseph R. ..... Delhi (OS)
Lance, T. Jack ..... North Georgia (SE)
Lancey, William L. ..... Newark (NE)
Lang, Gilbert C. ..... Rocky Mountain (W)
Lanius, Paul F. ..... Tennessee (SE)
LaPoint, Francis ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Large, Dwight S. ..... Michigan (NC)
Larmonth, W. Glenn ..... Northern New York (NE)
Larrowe, Lawrence ..... Troy (NE)
Larson, Gunnar E. ..... Sweden (OS)
Laskey, William J. ..... Rock River (NC)
Lau, Ngo-Gi ..... Sarawak (OS)
Law, James G. ..... Central Pennsylvania (NE)
Lawshe, Ernest E. ..... North Indiana (NC)
Laylin, Edward H. ..... Ohio (NC)
Lee, Andrew ..... Malaya (OS)
Lee, Charles W. ..... New York East (NE)
Lee, Knowledge ..... Central Alabama (C)
Lee, M. E. ..... North Texas (SC)
Lein, Woldemar L. ..... Northwest Germany (OS)
Lemons, A. D. ..... Texas (SC)
Letts, J. Meade ..... North-East Ohio (NC)
Lewis, Edward B. ..... Baltimore (NE)
Lewis, Henry H. ..... South Dakota (NC)
Lewis, Mrs. Hillora R. ..... Western Pennsylvania (NE)
Lewis, Vaughn P. ..... Ohio (NC)
Lightner, A. LeRoy, Jr. ..... Philadelphia (NE)
Lim, Swee-Hong ..... Malaysia Chinese (OS)
Lindsey, Julian A. ..... Western North Carolina (SE)

Lippman, Roland A. ..... Southern Illinois (NC)
Lipton, Howard ..... Detroit (NC)
Little, Mrs. Frank ..... Pacific Northwest (W)
Litwiller, William A. ..... Rock River (NC)
Lobb, Charles W. ..... Philadelphia (NE)
Locher, Donald R. ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Long, Carroll ..... Holston (SE)
Long, Kermit L. ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Loomis, Lyle ..... Northwest Indiana (NC)
Lorch, Basil ..... Indiana (NC)
Lord, Charles E. ..... California-Nevada (W)
Lord, Kenneth ..... Virginia (SE)
Love, J. C., Jr. ..... Louisiana (SC)
Loveland, Laird V. ..... Rocky Mountain (W)
Lowden, W. Gordon ..... New Jersey (NE)
Lowder, W. A. ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Lunde, Kaare ..... Norway (OS)
Lushbough, Mrs. Allen A. ..... South Dakota (NC)
Lyght, William L. D. ..... Delaware (C)
Lyman, Charles F. ..... Troy (NE)
Lytle, D. R. ..... Missouri East (SC)

Machado, Abel P. ..... California-Nevada (W)
Mackay, James ..... North Georgia (SE)
Mackey, J. Robert ..... Peninsula (NE)
Mackey, Joe ..... Memphis (SE)
Maddison, R. W. ..... North Iowa (NC)
Mallory, R. T. ..... West Virginia (NE)
Malone, Frank D. ..... North Alabama (SE)
Manhica, Zacarias ..... Southeast Africa (OS)
Mann, D. Mouzon ..... Little Rock (SC)
Maness, William H. ..... Florida (SE)
Manning, Charles C. ..... Virginia (SE)
Manning, Hubert V. ..... South Carolina (C)
Manton, Frank E. (5) ..... Burma (OS)
Manton, Mrs. Karis B. ..... Burma (OS)
Mariano, Roberto ..... Uruguay (OS)
Marker, Mrs. Bertha ..... New Jersey (NE)
Marks, Charles H. ..... Northern New York (NE)
Marsh, Marion ..... Nebraska (SC)
Marshall, Donald B. (Resigned) ..... Michigan (NC)
Martin, Edward S. ..... Minnesota (NC)
Martin, Morrill O. ..... New England Southern (NE)
Martin, S. Walter ..... North Georgia (SE)
Martin, Stanley H. ..... West Virginia (NE)
Mason, Joseph A. ..... Central Illinois (NC)
Massengale, LeRoy ..... Oklahoma (SC)
Massie, Hugh ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Matheny, Thomas H. ..... Louisiana (SC)
Mathias, R. ..... Indus River (OS)
Mathison, H. Paul ..... Alabama-West Florida (SE)
Matthews, Cecil R. ..... Northwest Texas (SC)
Matthis, Leon C. ..... Texas (SC)
Maxwell, Irving W. ..... Maine (NE)
Mayer, Paul O. ..... North-East Ohio (NC)
Mayer, Theodore C. ..... North-East Ohio (NC)
Mayes, Allen M. ..... Texas (C)
Mayfield, Robert ..... Missouri West (SC)
Mayhew, T. W. ..... Tennessee (SE)
McAdow, Harold M. ..... Ohio (NC)
McCall, Kenneth A. ..... Missouri West (SC)

McCleary, Paul ..... Bolivia (OS)
McCreless, S. E. ..... Southwest Texas (SC)
McDavid, Harry ..... Alabama-West Florida (SE)
McDonald, L. R. ..... Louisville (SE)
McDonald, Morton ..... Florida (SE)
McDonell, C. Durward ..... Florida (SE)
McElroy, John A. ..... Newark (NE)
McElvany, W. Harold ..... Rock River (NC)
McFall, Merrill B. ..... Indiana (NC)
McGiffin, James ..... California-Nevada (W)
McGill, Mrs. Kenneth L. ..... Central Kansas (SC)
McHale, John W. ..... Oregon (W)
McKean, Maurice D. ..... Michigan (NC)
McKelvey, Paul C. ..... Detroit (NC)
McKinley, Dean ..... Southern Illinois (NC)
McKinney, Herbert J. ..... New England Southern (NE)
McKinney, James H. ..... Louisville (SE)
McLelland, William C. ..... Mississippi (SE)
McLeod, J. Milburn ..... Florida (SE)
McPherson, Mrs. Charles C. ..... New England (NE)
McVeigh, Malcolm ..... Angola (OS)
Mead, Mrs. Charles W. ..... Nebraska (SC)
Medlock, Melvin K. ..... South Carolina (SE)
Meier, Arno ..... Central Germany (OS)
Melin, Bengt E. ..... Sweden (OS)
Meredith, Ronald R. ..... Central Kansas (SC)
Merritt, Kinsey N. ..... Newark (NE)
Meyers, C. Raymond ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Michel, Mabel P. ..... Southeast Africa (OS)
Michener, Charles V. ..... Minnesota (NC)
Mick, Hershel ..... Southern Illinois (NC)
Middaugh, Bruce L. ..... Western Pennsylvania (NE)
Middleton, Samuel T. ..... South Carolina (C)
Miles, E. Loyal ..... Central Kansas (SC)
Miller, Alton S. ..... Peninsula (NE)
Miller, J. Carlisle ..... Alabama-West Florida (SE)
Miller, Kenneth P. ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Miller, R. G. ..... North Mississippi (SE)
Miller, Richard W. ..... East Wisconsin (NC)
Miller, W. Jene ..... Oklahoma (SC)
Miller, Walter J. ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Mills, Henry, Jr. ..... Southwest Texas (SC)
Mims, Elton ..... Southwest Texas (SC)
Minga, T. Herbert ..... North Texas (SC)
Mirse, Ralph T. ..... New England (NE)
Mitchell, Grant E. ..... Western Pennsylvania (NE)
Mitchell, W. C. ..... South Georgia (SE)
Mitsui, Sam ..... Pacific Japanese Provisional (W)
Modisher, Donald E. ..... Genesee (NE)
Montgomery, Allen D. ..... North Alabama (SE)
Montgomery, J. C., Jr. ..... Missouri East (SC)
Mood, Henry ..... North Texas (SC)
Moody, C. L. ..... South Carolina (SE)
Moore, A. D. ..... Texas (SC)
Moore, John V. ..... California-Nevada (W)
Moore, R. Inman, Sr. ..... Mississippi (SE)
Moorhead, Frank E. ..... North Georgia (SE)
Morgan, Miss Wilmuth ..... Southwest Texas (SC)
Morris, Clarence P. ..... North Carolina (SE)
Morrison, William W. ..... North Dakota (NC)
Moses, Elbert R. ..... Western Pennsylvania (NE)

Moss, Melvin ..... Virginia (SE)
Mowry, Olin J. ..... Northern New York (NE)
Moyer, C. I. ..... Kansas (SC)
Mucambe, Isabel ..... Southeast Africa (OS)
Mucambe, Manual M. ..... Southeast Africa (OS)
Muir, J. Dewey ..... Central Illinois (NC)
Mujongue, Chadreque ..... Southeast Africa (OS)
Mukonde, Pinaro ..... Southern Congo (OS)
Mulligan, Robert A. ..... Rock River (NC)
Mundy, Alfred F. ..... New Hampshire (NE)
Murray, Alvin C. ..... North Arkansas (SC)
Murray, Alvin W. ..... Kansas (SC)
Musamba, Isaac ..... Rhodesia (OS)
Myers, John W. ..... Virginia (SE)
Myers, Paul E. ..... Central Pennsylvania (NE)

Napitupulu, Halomoan M. ..... Sumatra (OS)
Nason, Philip S. ..... Central New York (NE)
Navarrete, Osvaldo ..... Chile (OS)
Neel, Harold D. ..... North Indiana (NC)
Neeley, Sam, Jr. ..... Holston (SE)
Nelson, Harvey A. ..... North Iowa (NC)
Nelson, Ira C. ..... Peninsula (NE)
Nelson, William L. J. ..... South Carolina (C)
Nesaratnam, E. V. ..... Malaya (OS)
Nestler, Frank H. ..... Central Illinois (NC)
Neto, Felipe K. ..... Angola (OS)
Nevin, E. Claud ..... Alabama-West Florida (SE)
Newing, Ralph L. ..... Wyoming (NE)
Newton, H. E. ..... Oklahoma (SC)
Nichols, Ray H. ..... Northwest Texas (SC)
Nicholson, R. Herman ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Nicholson, William H., Jr. ..... South Carolina (SE)
Nielsen, Robert H. ..... Denmark (OS)
Nollenberger, Paul ..... South Germany (OS)
Norduft, Sylvester ..... Missouri East (SC)
Norris, William S. P. ..... Louisiana (C)
North, Jack B. ..... Central Illinois (NC)
Norwood, John F. ..... Central Alabama (C)
Norwood, June ..... South Georgia (SE)
Notgrass, L. Kemper ..... Tennessee (SE)
Nowlin, Lee ..... Northwest Texas (SC)
Nyberg, Fletcher ..... Denmark (OS)
Nyoon, Daw Thein ..... Burma (OS)

O'Connor, Donald R. ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Odom, Warren G. ..... Central New York (NE)
Ogle, William J. ..... New England (NE)
Ohlemacher, Burton ..... North-East Ohio (NC)
Olivas, Mardoqueo L. ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Oliver, John H. ..... Southwest (C)
Olson, Arnold O. ..... New York (NE)
O'Neal, Robert J. ..... Louisiana (SC)
Ong, Chaik-Ghee ..... Malaya (OS)
Osgood, Mrs. Lee ..... South Dakota (NC)
Overhulser, William F. ..... South Iowa (NC)
Owens, James G. ..... West Texas (C)
Ozment, Mildred ..... North Arkansas (SC)

Pacetti, Madison F. ..... Florida (SE)
Padua, Pacifico L. ..... Mindanao (OS)

Page, Jack W. ..... North Carolina (SE)
Palmer, Mrs. Walter B. ..... Peninsula (NE)
Panzer, Robert A. ..... California-Nevada (W)
Parker, Robert P. ..... Virginia (SE)
Parmar, Rameshchandra E. ..... Gujarat (OS)
Parrott, Glenn R. ..... South Iowa (NC)
Pascual, Crisolito S. ..... Philippines (OS)
Patterson, Gordon A. ..... Montana (W)
Patton, Wendell M. ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Paul, Patrick N. ..... Indus River (OS)
Payne, Bert E. ..... Central Kansas (SC)
Peace, J. R. ..... Texas (SC)
Peacock, Allen A. ..... Central Texas (SC)
Pengra, Ray ..... North Dakota (NC)
Perkins, Rual T. ..... Louisville (SE)
Persons, William R. ..... Rocky Mountain (W)
Petersen, John K. ..... New York (NE)
Peterson, Will J., Jr. ..... South Georgia (SE)
Pettersen, Clarence H. ..... North Iowa (NC)
Pettijohn, Rex ..... Oklahoma (SC)
Pettus, William F. ..... Kentucky (SE)
Pfeiffer, Mrs. Alvin B. ..... Rock River (NC)
Phelps, William R. ..... Virginia (SE)
Phillips, Charles W., Sr. ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Phipps, Don ..... Pacific Northwest (W)
Pierce, Robert B. ..... Rock River (NC)
Pierce, Wade H. ..... North Carolina (SE)
Pieters, André J. ..... Belgium (OS)
Pinnell, Robert W. ..... South Iowa (NC)
Pinson, Clarence M. ..... North Alabama (SE)
Pitt, Thomas A. (Deceased) ..... Louisiana (SC)
Pittard, Grady C., Jr. ..... North Georgia (SE)
Ploughe, Joseph S. ..... Central Kansas (SC)
Poor, George L. ..... Pacific Northwest (W)
Pope, Joseph W. ..... Virginia (SE)
Porter, Edward H. ..... Baltimore (NE)
Porter, Harold T. ..... Western Pennsylvania (NE)
Porter, R. Frank ..... Holston (SE)
Porterfield, A. R. ..... Virginia (SE)
Post, John E. ..... New England Southern (NE)
Potts, J. Manning ..... Virginia (SE)
Powell, Bradford B. ..... Missouri East (SC)
Powers, Jeanne A. ..... Minnesota (NC)
Powers, R. H. ..... Holston (SE)
Powers, Mrs. R. H. ..... Holston (SE)
Price, Bill ..... North Carolina (SE)
Price, Orville B. ..... Genesee (NE)
Prieser, Michael ..... South Germany (OS)
Puckett, L. G., Sr. ..... Tennessee (SE)
Pulgar, José S. ..... Chile (OS)
Pullen, Mrs. Richard G. ..... Virginia (SE)
Purdham, Charles B. ..... Minnesota (NC)

Radford, Thomas ..... Ohio (NC)
Radha Krishan, John ..... Moradabad (OS)
Ragland, James (8) ..... Detroit (NC)
Ragsdale, Paul W. ..... Southern Illinois (NC)
Rainwater, Henry ..... North Arkansas (SC)
Ramer, Lloyd W. ..... Memphis (SE)
Rankin, Harry V. ..... Texas (SC)
Rasche, William C. ..... Rock River (NC)

Rathod, Raiji M. ..... Gujarat (OS)
Rawlings, John A. ..... Northwest Indiana (NC)
Ray, Grover ..... Alabama-West Florida (SE)
Ray, Laurie G. (Deceased) ..... Florida (SE)
Rayburn, Russell H. ..... Northwest Indiana (NC)
Raynor, Arthur E. ..... New York East (NE)
Redmond, Donald E. ..... Southwest Texas (SC)
Reeves, Richard E. ..... Central Illinois (NC)
Rehm, Mrs. Henry ..... North Indiana (NC)
Reynolds, Paul ..... Western Pennsylvania (NE)
Reynolds, S. Wayne ..... Central Texas (SC)
Rhew, Marshall E. ..... Northwest Texas (SC)
Rice, Spencer M. ..... South Carolina (SE)
Rich, Robert F. ..... Central Pennsylvania (NE)
Richard, Stiles ..... Michigan (NC)
Rico Soltero, Tomás ..... Puerto Rico Provisional (NE)
Riddle, Earl W. ..... Idaho (W)
Rider, Walter B. ..... Central Texas (SC)
Rief, D. Robert ..... Rock River (NC)
Rigg, Maynard ..... Central Illinois (NC)
Riggin, E. Cranston ..... Baltimore (NE)
Riley, W. Compton ..... Texas (SC)
Rios, Roberto E. ..... Argentina (OS)
Robbins, Carl M. ..... Memphis (SE)
Roberts, George C. ..... New England (NE)
Robison, Frank ..... Pacific Northwest (W)
Rodrigues, Antonio ..... Southeast Africa (OS)
Rodrigues, Miss Deolinda ..... Angola (OS)
Rogers, Cornish R. ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Rogers, Vance D. ..... Nebraska (SC)
Rohlfs, Claus H. ..... Southwest Texas (SC)
Rojas, Juan B. ..... Bolivia (OS)
Root, Robert C. ..... Genesee (NE)
Root, Mrs. Robert C. ..... Genesee (NE)
Rosell, Paul ..... Sweden (OS)
Ross, Mrs. Martha ..... Baltimore (NE)
Rouch, Mark A. ..... Kansas (SC)
Rowland, Perry A. ..... Missouri West (SC)
Ruark, Henry G. ..... North Carolina (SE)
Rumble, Lester ..... North Georgia (SE)
Rundle, Foster ..... Central Kansas (SC)
Russell, Donald S. ..... South Carolina (SE)
Russell, Leon ..... North Carolina (SE)
Russell, Paul R. ..... New York (NE)
Rutland, John E. ..... North Alabama (SE)
Rutland, Mrs. R. Eugene ..... Florida (SE)
Rutledge, Blanche ..... Rock River (NC)
Ruud-Hansen, Willy ..... Norway (OS)

Saermark, Johannes ..... Denmark (OS)
Sahai, George S. ..... Lucknow (OS)
Sale, Harold R. ..... South Carolina (SE)
Samuel, John V. ..... Indus River (OS)
Sansano, Lauro O. ..... Middle Philippines (OS)
Sasaki, James K. ..... Pacific Japanese Provisional (W)
Scarborough, Gilbert S., Jr. ..... Peninsula (NE)
Schellhammer, Emil ..... South Germany (OS)
Schlicher, Raymond J. ..... North Iowa (NC)
Schmidtke, Benjamin L. ..... Kansas (SC)
Schneeberger, Vilem ..... Czechoslovakia (OS)
Schneidereit, Harry ..... Northeast Germany (OS)

Schoen, Joseph ..... Northwest Indiana (NC)
Schubert, Milton V., Jr. ..... North Indiana (NC)
Schupp, Oscar ..... Missouri East (SC)
Schuyler, Peter V. R. ..... Newark (NE)
Schweickhard, Dean M. ..... Minnesota (NC)
Schwein, W. Merlin ..... Indiana (NC)
Scotland, John R. ..... Liberia (OS)
Scott, Clifford A. ..... New York (NE)
Scrimshire, Joe B. ..... New Mexico (SC)
Seabrook, John J. ..... West Texas (C)
Sears, Fred R. ..... Central New York (NE)
Sears, Hayden S. ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Seay, Edward W. ..... Newark (NE)
Seay, John W. ..... Ohio (NC)
Sebert, Lowell E. ..... West Virginia (NE)
Secrest, Elmer S. ..... Louisville (SE)
Secrest, W. Vann ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Seiler, Ralph H. ..... New Mexico (SC)
Sein, U Hla ..... Burma (OS)
Sessions, Cleo C. ..... Central Texas (SC)
Seth, Chandra ..... Madhya Pradesh (OS)
Settle, Frank A. ..... Holston (SE)
Seymour, Everett K. ..... Detroit (NC)
Seymour, W. M. ..... Holston (SE)
Shaffer, Frank L. ..... West Virginia (NE)
Sherrod, C. C. ..... Holston (SE)
Shimoguchi, Al ..... Pacific Japanese Provisional (W)
Shipp, Ronald ..... Missouri East (SC)
Shipp, Thomas J. ..... North Texas (SC)
Shives, Jack ..... Western Pennsylvania (NE)
Siau, Boon-Chong ..... Malaysia Chinese (OS)
Sikes, John M. ..... Florida (SE)
Silva, Domingos da ..... Angola (OS)
Simms, Mrs. Jack ..... North Texas (SC)
Simon, Leslie E. ..... East Wisconsin (NC)
Simpson, Charles E. ..... Oklahoma (SC)
Singh, A. B. ..... Bengal (OS)
Singh, Basanti ..... North India (OS)
Sissell, Spencer W. ..... Mississippi (SE)
Slack, Albert L. ..... Ohio (NC)
Slade, Thomas H. ..... Florida (SE)
Sloane, Bentley ..... Louisiana (SC)
Smedley, J. M. ..... Florida (SE)
Smith, Bill Morgan ..... North Texas (SC)
Smith, Earnest A. ..... Upper Mississippi (C)
Smith, H. Travers ..... Maine (NE)
Smith, Hampden H. ..... Virginia (SE)
Smith, Hampton D. ..... South Carolina (C)
Smith, J. Roy ..... Virginia (SE)
Smith, Phillips B. ..... Northwest Indiana (NC)
Smith, Mrs. R. K. ..... Pacific Northwest (W)
Smith, R. Stewart ..... Mississippi (SE)
Smith, Rudolph H. ..... South Carolina (SE)
Smith, Russell ..... North Texas (SC)
Smith, W. Jasper ..... North Carolina (SE)
Smith, W. Walter ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Smith, Walter A. ..... Southern Illinois (NC)
Smith, William B. ..... Florida (SE)
Smoot, Jewell M. ..... Detroit (NC)
Snavely, Guy ..... Baltimore (NE)
Snow, Dudley V. ..... Louisiana (SC)

Snyder, Merle K. ..... Central Kansas (SC)
Soans, Simson D. ..... Delhi (OS)
Sohotra, E. M. ..... Indus River (OS)
Somers, Howard B. ..... Oregon (W)
Sorenson, Wallace G. ..... Newark (NE)
Soulen, Mrs. Harold L. ..... Kansas (SC)
Sousa, Mrs. Bernarda da ..... Angola (OS)
Spahr, David, Jr. ..... Western Pennsylvania (NE)
Spencer, Mrs. Paul ..... North-East Ohio (NC)
Staats, Everett H. ..... South Iowa (NC)
Stainton, Howard S. ..... New Jersey (NE)
Stanger, Frank B. ..... New Jersey (NE)
Stanley, C. Maxwell ..... South Iowa (NC)
Stanley, Osso W. (Deceased) ..... Kentucky (SE)
Stansbury, William B. ..... Baltimore (NE)
Starr, Mrs. Helen ..... Baltimore (NE)
Staubach, William T., Jr. ..... New York East (NE)
Stauffer, Paul A. ..... New Hampshire (NE)
Steed, George V. ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Steele, William S. ..... Holston (SE)
Stephenson, Charles ..... Texas (SC)
Stephenson, Sheldon B. ..... Central New York (NE)
Stevenson, Thomas F. ..... North Alabama (SE)
Stewart, M. Buren ..... New Mexico (SC)
Stimmel, Howard L. ..... Troy (NE)
Stith, Frank A., Jr. ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Stokes, James C. ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Stoll, Allyn J. ..... Genesee (NE)
Stoneburner, Charles W. ..... North-East Ohio (NC)
Stover, Earl ..... California-Nevada (W)
Stover, Mrs. Warren, Jr. ..... Kansas (SC)
Strader, V. B. ..... Missouri East (SC)
Strickland, W. Earl ..... North Georgia (SE)
Stringer, Monroe T., Jr. ..... Louisiana (C)
Strosahl, M. Stanford ..... East Wisconsin (NC)
Sumner, Maurice H. ..... California-Nevada (W)
Susag, M. Philip ..... New England Southern (NE)
Swan, Lowell B. ..... Rocky Mountain (W)
Swango, Ola H. ..... North Mississippi (SE)
Sweazy, Albert W. ..... Kentucky (SE)
Sweet, Charles R. ..... Minnesota (NC)
Sykes, W. Clyde ..... Northern New York (NE)

Tamkin, Raymon ..... Virginia (SE)
Tan, Phek-Giok ..... Malaysia Chinese (OS)
Tanner, E. A. (Deceased) ..... North Mississippi (SE)
Tanner, H. Wallace ..... Memphis (SE)
Tate, John B., Sr. ..... North Georgia (SE)
Tate, Robert S., Jr. ..... Southwest Texas (SC)
Taylor, Arthur M. ..... South Carolina (SE)
Taylor, Ben J. ..... South Iowa (NC)
Taylor, Daniel E. ..... Oregon (W)
Taylor, George B. ..... Central Kansas (SC)
Taylor, Kenneth A. ..... Central New York (NE)
Taylor, Ralph H. ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Taylor, Walter S. ..... Newark (NE)
Tecson, Vicente B. ..... Northwest Philippines (OS)
Templin, Frank M. ..... Indiana (NC)
Thant, Miss Khin Khin (3) ..... Burma (OS)
Thio, Chan-Swee ..... Sumatra (OS)
Thomas, H. Ellis ..... Southwest Texas (SC)

Thomas, Robert B. ..... Troy (NE)
Thomason, Elmo A. ..... North Arkansas (SC)
Thompson, Claude H. ..... Florida (SE)
Thompson, R. Franklin ..... Pacific Northwest (W)
Thornal, Campbell ..... Florida (SE)
Thorp, Ernest ..... Central Illinois (NC)
Thurman, Arthur V. ..... California-Nevada (W)
Tillman, Mrs. J. Fount ..... Tennessee (SE)
Tink, Edmund L. ..... Newark (NE)
Toledo, Reynaldo ..... Cuba (SE)
Tolley, Earl V. ..... Wyoming (NE)
Tombaugh, Reid R. ..... Central Illinois (NC)
Townley, Hugh S. ..... Detroit (NC)
Townsend, Harrell A. ..... Memphis (SE)
Townsend, Robert L. ..... Nebraska (SC)
Townsend, Samuel L. ..... North Carolina (C)
Trautwein, George C. ..... Kentucky (SE)
Traylor, Henderson ..... North Georgia (SE)
Tripp, Donald A. ..... Rock River (NC)
Trotter, F. Thomas ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Trout, Mrs. Robert ..... South Iowa (NC)
Truza, Mrs. Verla ..... North-East Ohio (NC)
Turbeville, M. L. ..... Florida (SE)
Turner, Barty A. ..... Agra (OS)
Turner, James W. ..... Virginia (SE)
Tuttle, Mark Q. ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Tweedy, Mansfield ..... New Mexico (SC)
Tyson, Vernon C. ..... North Carolina (SE)

Ukunda, André ..... Central Congo (OS)
Un, Boon-Chong ..... Malaysia Chinese (OS)
Underwood, Sam B., Jr. ..... North Carolina (SE)
Uphoff, Robert A. ..... Pacific Northwest (W)
Uranga, Juan V. ..... Rio Grande (SC)
Usher, Worthie K. ..... North Iowa (NC)

Vallette, Samuel ..... Chile (OS)
Vallow, J. N. ..... Southern Illinois (NC)
Van Brunt, F. Norman ..... Baltimore (NE)
Vanderbilt, Chester W. ..... Troy (NE)
Van Ornum, Carlton G. ..... Northern New York (NE)
Varnell, Sam N., Jr. ..... Holston (SE)
Vaught, Charles ..... Oklahoma (SC)
Veale, William H. ..... New York East (NE)
Venable, Fred C. ..... Rocky Mountain (W)
Vennon, Edward J. ..... Northwest Indiana (NC)
Vereen, Mrs. L. C. ..... North Carolina (SE)

Wagner, Robert H. ..... South Dakota (NC)
Wahrenbrock, Lester G. ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Waid, L. P. ..... North Alabama (SE)
Walker, Carl E. ..... California-Nevada (W)
Walker, Daniel D. ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Walker, E. C. ..... Missouri West (SC)
Walker, Marion R. ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Walker, Morris D. ..... Central Texas (SC)
Wallace, A. P. ..... West Virginia (NE)
Walton, W. Carl ..... Newark (NE)
Walton, Wilbur L. ..... Alabama-West Florida (SE)
Wan, Lim Kay ..... Burma (OS)
Ward, A. Sterling ..... Missouri West (SC)

Ware, H. Melton ..... Florida (SE)
Warne, A. Harry ..... Baltimore (NE)
Warren, Charles L. ..... New York (NE)
Warren, Ed ..... Tennessee (SE)
Warren, Mrs. Ruth ..... Indiana (NC)
Washington, Mrs. C. G. ..... Southwest (C)
Washington, John R. ..... East Tennessee (C)
Waters, Mrs. Helen C. ..... Delaware (C)
Watts, Ewart G. ..... Kansas (SC)
Weatherwax, Russell S. ..... Ohio (NC)
Weaver, Bruce ..... Central Texas (SC)
Weaver, Guy ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Weaver, Harold R. ..... Ohio (NC)
Webb, James C. ..... Delaware (C)
Webb, Julian ..... South Georgia (SE)
Webba, Julião ..... Angola (OS)
Wedemeyer, Mrs. B. B. ..... Central Texas (SC)
Weisshaar, Gilbert A. ..... Rock River (NC)
Wells, Mrs. Harry A. ..... Southern Illinois (NC)
Werner, George P. ..... New York (NE)
West, R. I. ..... Oklahoma (SC)
Westenberger, W. C. ..... Tennessee (SE)
Westerberg, Wesley M. ..... Rock River (NC)
Wethers, Carl T. ..... Louisiana (C)
Wheeler, Gordon B. ..... Michigan (NC)
Whitaker, George W., Jr. ..... South Carolina (SE)
White, Mrs. E. B. ..... Florida (SE)
White, E. McKinnon ..... New England Southern (NE)
White, W. Frank ..... Ohio (NC)
Whitener, H. D. ..... Western North Carolina (SE)
Whiting, Thomas A. ..... South Georgia (SE)
Whitmer, Marvin B. ..... Louisville (SE)
Whitson, S. A. ..... Minnesota (NC)
Wiant, Howard J. ..... North-East Ohio (NC)
Wieland, Mrs. Ada K. ..... Uruguay (OS)
Wiggins, M. Edwin ..... North Alabama (SE)
Wilburn, L. Oakey ..... Virginia (SE)
Wilcox, Mrs. Katherine W. ..... Michigan (NC)
Wilkes, Bryan ..... Holston (SE)
Wilkie, Mrs. John B. ..... Baltimore (NE)
Williams, Charles S. ..... Central Pennsylvania (NE)
Williams, Charles S. ..... Northern New York (NE)
Williams, Dan H. ..... South Georgia (SE)
Williams, Foster J. ..... Genesee (NE)
Williams, John L. ..... North-East Ohio (NC)
Williams, Melvin G. ..... New York East (NE)
Williams, Paul V. ..... South Iowa (NC)
Williams, Roscoe C. ..... Washington (C)
Williams, Roy D., Sr. ..... Memphis (SE)
Williams, T. Poe ..... Oklahoma (SC)
Williams, W. Leslie ..... Detroit (NC)
Willis, Walter R. ..... Texas (SC)
Willson, James M., Jr. ..... Northwest Texas (SC)
Wilson, Bruce M. ..... South Georgia (SE)
Wilson, Earl ..... Mississippi (SE)
Wilson, James ..... South Iowa (NC)
Wilson, Ronald ..... North Iowa (NC)
Wilson, Mrs. Virginia M. ..... Moradabad (OS)
Wilson, W. E. ..... Georgia (C)
Windham, L. B. ..... Texas (SC)
Windham, W. C. ..... Texas (SC)

Winefordner, Clifford H. ..... North Alabama (SE)
Winn, Mauriee L. ..... Southern Illinois (NC)
Winslow, Hazel ..... Burma (OS)
Wisehmeier, A. C. ..... Pacific Northwest (W)
Wisler, C. A. ..... Kansas (SC)
Witzel, Hans ..... Central Germany (OS)
Wolter, Louis R. ..... Ohio (NC)
Wong, Hoon-Hee ..... Malaya (OS)
Wong, Yeng-Choon ..... Malaysia Chinese (OS)
Wood, E. Leslie ..... New York East (NE)
Wood, George S. ..... Louisville (SE)
Woodfield, William R. ..... Baltimore (NE)
Woods, William A. ..... West Virginia (NE)
Woolpert, Mrs. D. Guy ..... North Indiana (NC)
Worley, W. Paul ..... Holston (SE)
Wright, A. A. ..... Southern California-Arizona (W)
Wright, Charles P. ..... Indiana (NC)
Wright, James W. ..... Michigan (NC)
Wusterbarth, Harold J. ..... Troy (NE)
Wynne, David J. ..... Western Pennsylvania (NE)

Yamashita, John H. ..... Pacific Japanese Provisional (W)
Yeatts, Ernest ..... Holston (SE)
Yeilding, Newman M. ..... North Alabama (SE)
Young, Damon P. ..... Lexington (C)
Young, J. T. ..... North Mississippi (SE)
Yung, Chen S. ..... Burma (OS)
Yunker, Robert J. ..... North Indiana (NC)

Zentz, Franklin M. ..... Genesee (NE)
Ziegler, George G., Jr. ..... Philadelphia (NE)
Zoller, Lloyd C. ..... Ohio (NC)
Zook, D. Arthur ..... Central Kansas (SC)

PLAN OF ORGANIZATION AND RULES OF ORDER, 1964

The Plan of Organization and Rules of Order of the General Conference shall be the Plan of Organization and Rules of Order as published in the Journal of the preceding General Conference until they have been altered or modified by the action of the General Conference. Par. 508, 1960 *Discipline*.

(Note. All additions and changes from the 1960 Plan of Organization and Rules of Order are set forth in BOLD FACE type. Editor.)

PLAN OF ORGANIZATION

I. OPENING SESSION AND ORGANIZATION

The General Conference shall assemble on the day fixed and at the place **designated** in accordance with the action taken by the preceding General Conference. The Holy Communion shall be celebrated by the Conference, the Council of Bishops being in charge. **The opening business session of the Conference shall be on the day and at the hour fixed by the Commission on Entertainment and Program and** shall be called to order by the bishop designated, as provided in ¶ 8 § 11 of the *Discipline*.

The following order of business shall be observed:

A. *Roll Call.* The roll shall be called by the Secretary of the preceding General Conference in the following manner:

(1) There shall be called the names of the bishops who have died since the adjournment of the preceding General Conference, and likewise the names of delegates-elect who have died.

(2) The record of attendance shall be made in writing to the Secretary of the General Conference by

(*a*) The Secretary of the Council of Bishops for the bishops,

(b) The Secretary of the Judicial Council for that body, and

(*c*) The chairman of each delegation for its membership. The chairman shall be provided with a blank form on which to report in writing the attendance of its members, noting absentees and substitutions, which reports shall be

tabulated by the Secretary and published in the *Daily Christian Advocate.* Any reserve seated in the place of a regular delegate shall have been duly elected as a reserve delegate by his Annual Conference, and shall meet the requirements set forth in the *Discipline,* ¶¶ 23-25. Delegates, including reserves, when the latter are substituted for a delegate or delegates, shall be seated in the order of their election, except when a reserve is seated temporarily, in which case he shall occupy the seat of the delegate for whom he is substituted. All delegates arriving after the opening roll call shall be reported by the chairman of the delegation to the Committee on Credentials, in order to be properly enrolled.

(3) A majority of the whole number of delegates to the General Conference shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of business. (*Discipline,* ¶ 506.)

B. *Election of Secretary.* If a quorum be present, the Conference shall elect from the ministry or lay membership of The Methodist Church, on nomination from the floor, a Secretary, which election shall be by ballot if there be two or more nominees. (See div. IV below.) Should the Secretary not be a delegate, he shall have the privilege of the floor, but without vote.

C. *Committee Nominations and Elections.* The Council of Bishops shall present nominations for the standing administrative committees, and such other nominations as are hereinafter committed to it, for election by the General Conference.

D. *Miscellaneous Business.*

E. *Adjournment.*

II. EPISCOPAL ADDRESS

The Quadrennial Address of the Council of Bishops shall be delivered early in the conference, at such hour as determined by the Commission on Entertainment and Program in consultation with the Council of Bishops.

III. PRESIDING OFFICERS

The presiding officers for the several sessions of the Conference, the opening session excepted (see div. I above), shall be chosen from among the effective bishops in the following manner: The Council of Bishops shall at the opening session nominate for election by the Conference a Committee on Presiding Officers composed of one minister and one layman from each jurisdiction, and one minister and one layman from among the delegates representing the Annual Conferences outside the United States. **The**

Committee on Presiding Officers shall establish a continuing pool of five names of bishops from which group the presiding officer shall be selected. Each bishop shall be given reasonable notice of his selection for this pool, but subsequently he shall be available for assignment as presiding officer at any session. The committee shall be free to continue a bishop for more than one session, if in its judgment the parliamentary situation requires this continuity of chairmanship.

IV. SECRETARIAL STAFF

(1) The Secretary of the General Conference elected as hereinbefore provided shall serve until the next General Conference is organized and a successor elected.

(2) The Conference shall elect, upon the nomination of the Secretary, such assistant secretaries from the ministry or lay membership of The Methodist Church as it may deem wise.

(3) If in the interim of the quadrennial sessions of the General Conference the office of secretary shall for any reason be vacated, the Council of Bishops shall elect a successor to serve until the next session.

V. ENTERTAINMENT AND PROGRAM

There shall be a Commission on Entertainment and Program of the General Conference composed of one minister and one layman from each Jurisdiction who shall be nominated by the Council of Bishops and elected by the General Conference for a term of eight years; provided that at the 1960 General Conference one-half of the members shall be elected for a term of four years so that thereafter the General Conference shall elect one-half of the members each quadrennium for a term of eight years. The Secretary of the General Conference and the Treasurer of the Council on World Service and Finance shall also be members ex-officio but without vote. This Commission shall determine the place and time (within such limits as may be set up by the General Conference) of the next General Conference and shall make all necessary arrangements in connection therewith, including arrangements for the publication of a book of quadrennial reports of the general boards and other general agencies of the church, the same to be published by the Methodist Publishing House. **The Commission shall plan the schedule for the opening day of the Conference.** The Commission is authorized, if it deems it advisable, to select the site of the General Conference two quadrenniums in advance. The Council of Bishops shall des-

ignate one of its number to convene and organize the Commission before the adjournment of the General Conference. The Commission shall recommend to the General Conference the per diem allowance to be paid to the elected delegates. **The Commission shall issue invitations to fraternal delegates, after consultation with the Council of Bishops, and shall arrange for their local entertainment for the specific period of time required for their presentation to the General Conference.**

VI. COMMITTEES

The General Conference shall have the standing committees hereinafter indicated, with such functions, responsibilities, and limitations respectively as are hereinafter prescribed, and such special committees as it may order.

A. Standing Administrative Committees

(1) *Committee on Agenda.* There shall be a Committee on Agenda.

(*a*) It shall be composed of nine members, at least four of whom shall be laymen, to be constituted as follows: One from each jurisdiction, one from overseas, the chairman of the Committee of Chairmen when elected, and the chairman or substitute for the Program Chairman of the Program Committee of the Commission on Entertainment and Program. It shall be appointed by the Council of Bishops at its winter meeting next preceding the meeting of the General Conference from the elected delegates to the General Conference.

(*b*) The Committee shall be convened by the Secretary of the General Conference at least the day before the opening of the General Conference and shall consult with the Council of Bishops, the Commission on Entertainment and Program and the Secretary of the General Conference concerning pending business.

(*c*) Following the presentation and adoption of the report of the Commission on Entertainment and Program at the opening session of the General Conference, the Committee on Agenda shall immediately become responsible for helping guide the order of business of the Conference.

(*d*) To this committee shall be referred all requests for special orders of the day, except those requested in the report of the Commission on Entertainment and Program on the first day of the Conference.

(*e*) Proposals, questions, communications, resolutions, and other matters not included in the regular business of

the General Conference shall be referred to the Committee on Agenda without motion or debate. This committee shall determine whether or not the matter presented shall be considered by the General Conference. Appeal from the decision of this committee may be presented to the Conference upon the written signature of twenty members of the Conference, and the item shall be presented to the Conference if the appeal is supported by a one-third vote.

(*f*) The Committee on Agenda shall report to each session of the General Conference its recommendations concerning business agenda and time allocations for the various reports and business items, except that at all times the Committee of Chairmen shall determine the order in which legislative committee reports shall be presented.

(2) *Committee of Chairmen.* The chairmen of the several standing legislative committees, the chairman of the Committee on Plan of Organization and Rules of Order, the chairman of the Commission on Entertainment and Program and the Chairman of the Committee on Agenda shall be constituted a Committee of Chairmen, whose function it shall be to arrange for the presentation of committee reports to the conference in such order as to expedite the business.

(3) *Correlation and Editorial Revision.* There shall be a committee of three known as the Committee on Correlation and Editorial Revision. This committee shall be composed of three persons not members of the General Conference, appointed by the Council of Bishops. They shall be entitled to travel expense and per diem allowance, the same as the delegates. The functions of this committee shall be:

(*a*) To review all proposed legislation reported in the *Daily Christian Advocate* and that presented in special reports to the General Conference and all legislation enacted by the General Conference. *The Committee shall* report promptly to the standing committees concerned, or to the General Conference as the situation may warrant, any and all contradictions, duplications, and inconsistencies discovered therein.

(*b*) To report to the chairmen of the several standing legislative committees all changes it has made in the language of the reports adopted by the General Conference.

(4) *Courtesies and Privileges.* There shall be a committee of fourteen, composed of one minister and one layman from each jurisdiction and one minister and one layman from among the delegates representing Annual Conferences outside the United States, known as the Committee on Courtesies and Privileges, having the following duties and responsibilities:

(*a*) To consider, as presented to it by members of the Conference, what said members regard as questions or matters of privilege, to decide whether they are such or not, and if they are regarded as being such, to recommend to the Conference that they be heard. (NOTE: Only questions or matters of privilege which are so urgent that they cannot wait for consideration by this committee may be presented immediately to the Conference, as provided in Rule 9.)

(*b*) To prepare, with due regard for brevity, and present to the Conference for its action such complimentary resolutions as occasion may demand.

(*c*) To arrange for extending courtesies of the conference to any to whom they may be due, fraternal delegates and official visitors excepted.

(*d*) To arrange for a memorial service, at some time agreeable to the Conference, for deceased delegates-elect, and for bishops and general officers of the church who have died since the adjournment of the last preceding General Conference.

(*e*) To limit its report, including the statement of the chairman and the hearing of such persons as may be presented, to a maximum of twenty minutes in any one business session. No person or persons shall be presented after the sixth day unless approved by a two-thirds vote of the Conference.

(*f*) To prepare and publish in the *Daily Christian Advocate,* contemporaneously with the list of the nominees for election to the Judicial Council, biographical sketches not to exceed 100 words in length for each nominee.

(5) *Credentials.* There shall be a Committee on Credentials of seven members. To this committee the chairmen of the respective Annual Conference delegations shall report, on a form provided for that purpose, the names of absent delegates and of available reserve delegates to be substituted for said absentees. This committee shall in turn report all such cases of absence to the Conference with recommendations as to the seating of reserves if such are available. No reserve delegates shall be seated except by action of the Conference and after a report has been made upon the case by this committee; *provided,* that the reserve delegates reported by the chairmen of the respective Annual Conference delegations as substituted for absentees at the opening session shall be seated tentatively without the action of this committee; and *provided,* further, that such substitutions shall be reported to this committee for review and report to the General Conference for its action. All changes in the personnel of the seated delegates of the Annual Conference delegations shall be reported to this

committee on blanks provided by the Secretary of the conference. Per diem allowances shall be paid on the basis of the report of this committee.

(6) *Fraternal Delegates.* There shall be a Committee on Fraternal Delegates of seven members appointed by the Council of Bishops from the regularly elected delegates to the ensuing General Conference at the winter meeting of the Council of Bishops immediately preceding the General Conference. The Council of Bishops shall designate a temporary chairman of the committee, who shall call the committee to meet at the seat of the General Conference at least one full day prior to the opening of the Conference. To this committee shall be referred all communications, credentials, and information in the hands of the Secretary or the Council of Bishops relating to fraternal delegates and official visitors.

The function of the committee shall be to extend the courtesies of the Conference to the fraternal delegates and official visitors and present them to the General Conference. The terms "fraternal delegates" and "official visitors" shall be interpreted to include only persons who have been duly elected by the Christian communions of which they are respectively members, to represent the same before the General Conference, who present the appropriate credentials of such elections, **and who have been invited through the Commission on Entertainment and Program, after consultation with the Council of Bishops.**

In so far as possible the committee shall plan the presentation of such fraternal delegates and official visitors during the first two days of the General Conference.

(7) *Interim Committee.* There shall be an Interim Committee on Plan of Organization and Rules of Order of seven, made up of representatives of each of the Jurisdictions, appointed by the Council of Bishops, plus an overseas representative, if available at the time of the meetings, through the Board of Missions. The Secretary of the General Conference shall be an additional member of the Committee and shall act as the Convener.

(8) *Journal.* There shall be a committee of seven which shall daily examine the record of the Secretary, comparing it with the stenographic record, and report its findings to the Conference, recommending appropriate action. Any error subsequently discovered in a section of the Journal which has been approved shall be reported to the Conference for correction.

(9) *Plan of Organization and Rules of Order.* There shall be a Committee on Plan of Organization and Rules of Order of eight members. The Secretary of the Conference shall be an ex-officio member and one of the eight. To this

committee shall be referred any proposed amendments to the Plan of Organization and Rules of Order. (See Rule 40.) To it may be referred any other matters relating to parliamentary order or procedure in the business of the General Conference.

This committee shall restudy the Plan of Organization and Rules of Order and, after making such needed changes and adaptations therein as in its judgment are necessary, shall present them to the General Conference for consideration and final action, same to be published in the Journal of the General Conference.

The Plan of Organization and Rules of Order of the General Conference shall be the Plan of Organization and Rules of Order as published in the Journal of the preceding General Conference until they have been altered or modified by the action of The General Conference. (See *Discipline,* ¶ 508.)

(10) *Presiding Officers.* See div. III above.

(11) *Reference.* There shall be a Committee on Reference composed of one ministerial and one lay representative from each jurisdiction, at least two of whom shall be lawyers, and one minister and one layman from Annual Conferences outside the United States, to be appointed by the Council of Bishops from the regularly elected delegates to the ensuing General Conference at the winter meeting of the said Council of Bishops preceding the session of the General Conference. This committee shall be convened by the Secretary of the General Conference **prior to and at the seat of the General Conference.** After ascertaining that the memorials, resolutions, and similar communications dealing with the regular business of the Conference meet the requirements herein specified, this committee shall refer the same to the appropriate standing administrative or legislative committees. This committee shall be responsible for such assignment of all memorials which may be in the hands of the Secretary prior to the opening session, including the Episcopal Address, the book of printed Quadrennial Reports, and all other communications which shall come into his hands after the convening of the General Conference. The opening day of the Conference shall be fixed as the deadline for the introduction of memorials and petitions.

The Committee on Reference may withdraw a paper after having assigned it to a committee, either upon a request or upon its own motion. It may also withhold from reference or publication any document it shall deem improper, promptly advising the author, or authors, thereof of its action in order that an appeal may be made to the Conference if desired. The committee shall publish as

promptly as possible in the *Daily Christian Advocate* lists of the communications it has referred to the several standing committees, identifying each by number, title, origin, and destination. No memorial or petition shall be assigned by the Committee on Reference to any General Conference committee unless it comes from some organization, minister, or member of The Methodist Church, and also contains information essential to the verification of the alleged facts concerning its origin.

All memorials should be presented in triplicate. Each should deal with only one general subject, and, so far as possible, only one chapter in the *Discipline*. Not more than one memorial should be presented on a single sheet of paper.

(12) *Tellers.* There shall be a committee of sixty, to act as tellers for the purpose of reporting on count votes and ballots ordered by the General Conference. The tellers shall be divided into two groups of thirty each. Each group shall have reserve tellers. **The Secretary of the General Conference shall appoint tellers and reserve tellers whose names shall be printed in the** *Daily Christian Advocate.*

B. Standing Legislative Committees

The General Conference shall have the following standing legislative committees, which shall consider all proposals looking toward new legislation or changes in the present legislation of the church and report recommendations relating thereto to the Conference; *provided,* however, that reports and proposals from the regular councils and special commissions of the General Conference may be made directly to the Conference. The term "legislative" as descriptive of the functions of these committees is not to be interpreted with absolute strictness, in as much as they may consider matters calculated to eventuate in the form of advices, resolutions, appeals, etc., as well as in legislation.

(1) *Christian Social Concerns.* **To this committee shall be referred all memorials, petitions, resolutions, etc., relating to social service, labor relations, Sabbath observance, divorce, amusements, world peace, temperance, prohibition of the liquor traffic, all matters relating to the Board of Christian Social Concerns, and aspects of the social and spiritual welfare of the church not specifically referred by the General Conference to another committee.**

(2) *Conferences.* To this committee shall be referred all memorials, petitions, resolutions, etc., relating to the General, Jurisdictional, Annual, Provisional Annual and District **Conferences, and to Missions. All memorials, petitions, resolutions, etc., relating to Central Conferences**

shall be referred to the Commission on the Structure of Methodism Overseas. (See *Discipline,* ¶ 2012.1.)

(3) *Education.* To this committee shall be referred all memorials, petitions, resolutions, etc., relating to the Board of Education and to the interests and activities which by the law of the church are made the concern of this board.

(4) *Lay Activities and Temporal Economy.* To this committee shall be referred all memorials, petitions, resolutions, etc., relating distinctly to lay activities and the Board of Lay Activities; and relating to trustees, properties, church finance (general and local), and such activities of the church at large in and through its institutions and boards as are not made the specific responsibility of any other committee.

(5) *Membership and Evangelism.* To this committee shall be referred all memorials, petitions, resolutions, etc., relating to church membership, including conditions, duties, and transfer thereof; and all matters pertaining to evangelism and to the devotional life and literature of the church, made by the law of the church the concern of the Board of Evangelism.

(6) *Ministry.* To this committee shall be referred all memorials, petitions, resolutions, etc., relating to the ministry of the church in all its forms, grades, and orders.

(7) *Missions.* To this committee shall be referred all memorials, petitions, resolutions, etc., relating to the Board of Missions and to the interests and activities made by the law of the church the concern of this board.

(8) *Pensions.* To this committee shall be referred all memorials, petitions, resolutions, etc., relating to the support of retired and supernumerary ministers, and of widows and dependent children of deceased ministers, not including bishops, and all matters relating to pensions of lay employees of church organizations, boards, agencies, or institutions.

(9) *Publishing Interests.* To this committee shall be referred all memorials, petitions, resolutions, etc., relating to the Board of Publication and to the interests and activities made by the law of the church the concern of the board.

(10) *Hospitals and Homes.* To this committee shall be referred all memorials, petitions, resolutions, etc., relating to the Board of Hospitals and Homes, to the interests and activities which by the law of the church are made the concern of this board, and to all the eleemosynary work and responsibility of the church not comprehended in the responsibility of any other legislative committee.

(11) *Interdenominational Relations and Activities.* To this committee shall be referred all memorials, petitions,

resolutions, etc., relating to interdenominational activities, relations, interests, and responsibilities of The Methodist Church, including the American Bible Society, the National Council of the Churches of Christ in the United States of America, and the World Council of Churches.

(12) *Judicial Administration, Enabling Acts and Legal Forms.* To this committee shall be referred all memorials, petitions, resolutions, etc., relating to the formal disciplining, trial, and appeal of members and ministers of The Methodist Church, and relating to the Judicial Council and the judicial procedure within the church. **This committee shall also be charged with the responsibility of preparing and presenting for adoption by the conference, such enabling acts and legal forms as may be needed in the continuing process of unifying the interests and agencies of the church.**

(13) *Local Church.* **To this committee shall be referred all memorials, petitions, resolutions, etc., relating to the organization and duties of the various bodies within the local church, including the quarterly conference, official board, commissions, and committees. Proposed legislation emanating from other General Conference committees concerning local church organization shall be referred to this committee on Local Church Organization for consideration, action and reporting to General Conference.**

(14) *Ritual and Orders of Worship.* To this committee shall be referred all memorials, petitions, resolutions, etc., relating to ritual and orders of worship.

C. Membership of Committees

(1) Except as otherwise provided in the Plan of Organization, or ordered by the General Conference, the membership of all committees shall be constituted by election of the conference, on nomination of the Council of Bishops. In making nominations the Council of Bishops shall maintain as nearly as practicable and advisable an equal ratio of representation between ministerial and lay delegates on all committees, **giving fair consideration to all annual conferences throughout the world.**

(2) Standing legislative committees numbered 10 to 14 inclusive (Hospitals and Homes; Interdenominational Relations and Activities; Judicial Administration, **Enabling Acts and Legal Forms; Local Church**; and Ritual and Orders of Worship) shall each consist of two ministers and two laymen from each jurisdiction, and two ministers and two laymen from the delegates representing Annual Conferences outside the United States.

(3) Each delegate shall serve as a member of one of

the standing legislative committees numbered 1 to 9. Within the Annual Conference delegation each member shall choose from the legislative committees 1 to 9 the committee on which he shall serve, the choice being made in order of election. The minister* first elected shall be entitled to the first choice, the layman first elected to the second choice, and thus the right of choice shall continue to alternate between ministerial and lay delegates in the order of their election; *provided,* that two members of a delegation may not serve on any one of the above-designated standing legislative committees 1 to 9 unless the said delegation is represented on each of them. All delegations composed of ten or more members shall assign all members in excess of nine according to this same principle of distribution. (For example, a conference with sixteen delegates shall have two members on each of any seven of these nine committees, and one on each of the remaining two. Each delegate may, in the order of his turn to choose, select any one of these nine committees, provided that the foregoing division of delegates among the committees is maintained.)

If a matter is under consideration in any standing legislative committee which in the judgment of any Annual Conference delegation vitally affects the interests of its constituency, and if the said Annual Conference is not represented in the membership of said committee, then the said delegation may choose one of its number to represent its Annual Conference in the committee when the matter judged to be vital to the interests of this constituency is under consideration. Such a person shall be entitled to sit with the committee while this particular matter is being considered. He shall be entitled to the floor, subject to such limitations as are imposed on the regular members of said committee, but he shall not be entitled to vote.

D. Meetings of Committees

(1) *For Organization.* **All standing legislative and administrative committees shall meet for organization at such time as the Commission on Entertainment and Program shall determine. A Bishop appointed by the Council of Bishops and an assistant secretary appointed by the Secretary of the General Conference shall serve, respectively, as chairman and secretary to effect an organization in each of the several standing committees.**

* The following action was taken by the 1940 General Conference (Journal, page 698):

"Your committee recommends that the first choice on committees should alternate from quadrennium to quadrennium between the ministerial and lay delegates." In 1964 the *minister* first elected will have first choice of the standing legislative committees.

(*a*) The Secretary of the General Conference shall convene the Committee of Chairmen.

(*b*) The election of chairman, vice-chairman, and secretary of each standing committee, both administrative and legislative, shall be by ballot.

(*c*) The assistant secretary appointed for the organization of each standing legislative committee shall report in writing to the Secretary of the Conference at the earliest possible date the officers elected. In the case of all other committees the secretary elected shall make such report immediately to the Secretary of the Conference.

(*d*) The Secretary of the General Conference shall be responsible for arranging for a coaching meeting for all chairmen and secretaries of legislative committees, as soon as possible after their elections. This coaching shall include instruction in their duties, all procedures in the handling of memorials, and other information to expedite the work of chairmen and secretaries. The Commission on Entertainment and Program is requested to arrange for a time and place for such a meeting.

(2) *Regular Meetings.* **The standing legislative committees 1 to 9 inclusive shall meet on the first two full days at 9:00 a.m. and thereafter shall meet each weekday at 2:30 p.m. unless otherwise ordered by the Conference, until their work is completed, and at such other times as the committees may themselves determine.**

Standing legislative committees 10 to 14 inclusive shall meet each weekday beginning with the second full business day at 7:30 p.m. or such other times as they may themselves respectively determine, until their work is completed.

(3) *Quorum for Committee Meetings.* A majority of the members shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of business in all committees.

E. Function and Authority of Committees

(1) The standing administrative committees, without specific instruction or direction from the Conference, shall assume responsibility for considering and reporting to the Conference upon all matters which would logically fall within their respective purviews, if it seems wise to do so, as these are indicated hereinbefore.

(2) The standing legislative committees may not originate business, but shall consider and report only upon that which is referred to them directly by the Conference or through the Committee on Reference, the **Committee on Agenda,** or the Committee of Chairmen. (See Rule 31.)

VII. PROPOSAL INVOLVING EXPENDITURE OF UNBUDGETED FUNDS

When any proposal is submitted to the General Conference to establish an interim or continuing board, commission, or committee, before final action is taken by the General Conference establishing such board, commission, or committee, said proposal shall be referred to the Council on World Service and Finance, or its executive committee, with the request that it bring to the General Conference an estimated budget of the expense of operation of the proposed board, commission, or committee for the next quadrennium and a statement of how the adoption of such proposal will affect the budget or budgets for the existing boards, commissions, or committees as already presented by the Council on World Service and Finance.

When any proposal is submitted to the General Conference which involves the expenditure of funds not included in an established budget, such proposal shall be referred for advice and recommendation to the Council on World Service and Finance before final action is taken by the General Conference.

VIII. DELEGATES' EXPENSE ACCOUNTS

(1) The report of the Committee on Credentials shall be the basis for settlement with principal and reserve delegates for their per diem allowance.

(2) The total traveling expense shall be payable to the principal delegate if he be present and seated. If during the session of the Conference a reserve delegate is seated in his place, he shall adjust the travel expense with such reserve on the basis of the time served by each.

(3) Travel expense for delegates to the Conference shall be on the basis of railroad fare by the most direct route (clergy fare for ministers) lower berth or roomette (Pullman) and meals en route, or by air coach. **Overseas delegates shall be allowed travel expenses on the basis of round-trip tourist air fare, directly to and from the seat of the Conference. Additional expenses may be allowed such delegates for arrival and departure not to exceed five days in either case. Unavoidable exceptions to this limitation of five days before and five days after General Conference, due to transportation schedules, must be approved by the Council on World Service and Finance. The per diem expense allowance for all such days before and after General Conference shall be at the same rate as granted delegates during General Conference.** When two or more delegates come in one automobile, the owner shall be allowed 7 cents per mile for his car plus the cost of

room and meals en route. Guest passengers who are members of the General Conference shall submit only the cost of room and meals en route. In all cases delegates shall report only the actual cost of travel.

IX. MATERIAL TO BE INCLUDED IN THE DISCIPLINE

(1) No non-legislative material shall be ordered printed in the *Discipline* without first referring it to the Committee on Correlation and Editorial Revision for consideration and report to the General Conference for further consideration and final action.

RULES OF ORDER

I. DAILY SCHEDULE

Rule 1. Hours of Meetings

The following shall be the daily order for the General Conference, Sundays excepted:

(1) 8:30 A.M. to 9:00 A.M.—Devotional service under direction of the Council of Bishops.
(2) 9:00 A.M. to 12:30 P.M.—Conference business with recess for ten minutes at 10:30, **except that this period during the first two full business days of the Conference shall be devoted to meetings of legislative committees 1 to 9 inclusive.**
(3) 2:30 P.M. to 5:00 P.M.—Committee meetings.
(4) 7:30 P.M.—Evening programs **as planned by the Commission on Entertainment and Program** or committee meetings.

Rule 2. Order of Business

After devotional service the daily sessions of the Conference shall be conducted as follows:

(1) Report of Committee on Journal of the previous meeting or meetings.
(2) Report of Committee on Courtesies and Privileges.
(3) Reports of other standing administrative and special committees.
(4) Calendar.
(5) Miscellaneous business.

II. PRESIDING OFFICERS

Rule 3. Authority of the Chairman

The bishop presiding shall be the legal chairman of the General Conference. He shall decide points of order

raised by the members, and shall rule on points of order not raised by the members, as he deems necessary to conform to these rules of order; subject, in both cases, to an appeal to the Conference by any member without debate; except that the chairman and the appellant, in the order here named, shall each have five minutes for a statement in support of their respective positions. A tie vote in the case of appeal shall sustain the chair. When any member raises a point of order he shall cite, by number, the rule he adjudges to have been violated.

Rule 4. Calling the Conference to Order

When the chairman stands in his place and calls the Conference to order, no member shall speak, address the chair, or stand, while the chairman stands.

III. RIGHTS AND DUTIES OF MEMBERS

Rule 5. Attendance and Seating Reserves

No member, unless hindered by sickness or otherwise from being present, shall absent himself from the sessions of the Conference without permission of the Conference; and all absentees shall be reported by the chairmen of the several Annual Conference delegations to the Committee on Credentials on a form provided for this purpose. No reserve delegate shall have the privilege of membership until the substitution has been approved by the Conference, except at the first session of the Conference, as provided in the Plan of Organization, div. I, sec. A, subs. 2c.

Rule 6. Directions for Securing the Floor

When a delegate desires to speak to the Conference, he shall arise at his designated seat, respectfully address the presiding officer, using the term, "Mr. Chairman," and, after recognition, proceed to the speaker's platform, where, before speaking, he shall give the chairman, in writing, his name and that of the Annual Conference which he represents; which, in turn, the chairman shall then announce to the Conference.

If by reason of the intended brevity of his remarks he speaks from one of the microphones in the aisles of the assembly hall, he shall first announce his name and the name of the Annual Conference which he represents; which, in turn, the chairman shall then announce to the Conference.

Rule 7. Interrupting the Speaker

No member who has the floor may be interrupted except for a breach of order, or a misrepresentation, or to direct the attention of the Conference to the fact that the time has arrived for a special order, or to raise a very urgent question of high privilege.

Rule 8. Speaking More Than Once; Length of Speech

No member shall speak a second time on the same question if any member who has not spoken desires the floor, nor more than twice on the same subject under the same motion, nor longer than ten minutes unless his time shall be extended by the Conference, except as provided in Rule 37. This ten-minute limit may be reduced by a majority vote of the Conference at any time, and for any period of duration.

Rule 9. Question of High Privilege

A member claiming the floor at any time for what he believes is a very urgent question of high privilege shall be allowed to indicate briefly the nature of the question, and if it be adjudged by the chair to be such, he may proceed at his pleasure or until the chairman judges that he has exhausted his privilege. (See Plan of Organization, div. VI, sec. A, subs. 4*a*.)

Rule 10. Voting Area of Conference

Only delegates within the area of the Conference when the vote is taken shall be entitled to vote. All delegates within the area at the time a question is put shall vote, except such as shall have been excused for special reasons by the Conference.

Rule 11. Voting Procedure

Voting shall be by a show of hands unless otherwise ordered by the Conference. An "aye" and "no" vote, if taken, shall be by signed ballot. A "yes" or "no" vote by secret ballot may be ordered on call of any member, supported by a majority of the members present and voting. A count vote may be ordered on call of any member supported by one-third of the members present and voting, in which case the delegates shall rise from their seats and stand until counted.

No other business shall be in order when a vote is being taken or when the previous question has been called, until

the process is completed, except such as relates to the vote itself, or that which can be appropriately fitted into the time while waiting for the report of the secretaries on a count vote, or an "aye" or "no" vote. The count shall be made by **the Committee of Tellers as appointed and assigned by the Secretary of General Conference.**

Rule 12. Division of Question

Before a vote is taken any delegate shall have the right to call for a division of any question, if it is subject to such division as he indicates. If no delegate objects, the division shall be made; but if there is objection, the chair shall put the question of division to vote, not waiting for a second.

Rule 13. Vote by Orders

The ministerial and lay delegates shall deliberate as one body; but upon a call, by motion of any delegate, seconded by another delegate of the same order and supported by one-third of the members of that order voting, the ministerial and lay delegates shall vote separately. But when the Conference votes by orders, no measure shall be passed without the concurrence of a majority of both classes of delegates. In cases of such a vote the order calling for it shall vote first; *provided,* however, that the Conference may not vote by orders on a proposed amendment to the Constitution. (*Discipline,* ¶ 10.)

IV. BUSINESS PROCEDURE

Rule 14. Motion for Adoption of Reports and Resolution Unnecessary

Whenever a report of a committee signed by the chairman and secretary thereof, or a resolution signed by two or more members of the Conference, shall be presented to the Conference for its action, it shall be deemed in proper order for consideration by the Conference without the formality of a motion to adopt, and a second thereto.

Rule 15. Required Forms for Reports, Resolutions, Motions, Amendments

All resolutions and committee reports shall be presented in triplicate; and, when requested by the Secretary, motions, including amendments, shall be presented in writing.

Rule 16. Reading of Reports and Resolutions

All resolutions, committee reports, and communications to the Conference shall be read by the Secretary except as otherwise provided in Rule 34.

Rule 17. Alterations of Motions, etc.

When a motion is made and seconded, or a resolution is introduced and seconded, or a committee report is read, or is published in the *Daily Christian Advocate,* it shall be deemed to be in possession of the Conference, and may not be altered except by action of the Conference. (See Rule 33.)

Rule 18. Undebatable Motions

The following motions shall be acted upon without debate:

(1) To adjourn, when unqualified, except to adjourn the Conference finally.
(2) To suspend the rules.
(3) To lay on the table, except as provided in Rule 37.
(4) To take from the table.
(5) To call for the previous question.
(6) To reconsider a non-debatable motion.
(7) To limit or extend the limits of debate.
(8) To call for the orders of the day.

Rule 19. Rights of the Main Question

The main question may be opened to debate under the following motions: to adopt, to commit or refer, to substitute, to postpone, and to reconsider. No new motion, resolution, or subject shall be entertained until the one under consideration shall have been disposed of except as provided in Rule 11. The foregoing does not apply to secondary motions if otherwise allowable in the existing parliamentary situation.

Rule 20. Precedence of Secondary Motions

If any one or more of the following motions shall be made when one or more other motions are pending, the order of their precedence in relation to one another shall be the same as the order of their listing below:

(1) To fix the time to which the conference shall adjourn. (This motion is subject to amendment, or it may be laid on the table.)
(2) To adjourn.
(3) To take recess.

(4) To order the previous question.
(5) To lay on the table.
(6) To limit or extend the limits of debate.
(7) To postpone to a given time.
(8) To commit or refer.
(9) To amend or to substitute (one amendment being allowed to an amendment).
(10) To postpone indefinitely.

Rule 21. Tabling Related Motions

No motion which adheres to another motion, or has another motion adhering to it, can be laid on the table by itself. Such motions, if laid on the table, carry with them the motions to which they respectively adhere, or which adhere to them.

Rule 22. Referring Reports, etc.

It shall be in order for the Conference to refer to a committee a section or part of a report or resolution which is before the Conference for consideration, or any amendment offered thereto.

Rule 23. Procedure for Consideration of Substitutes

When a resolution or committee report is properly before the Conference for consideration and action, even if amendments thereto are pending, a substitute therefor may be offered by any member moving that the same be substituted for the report or resolution under consideration. The Conference shall then proceed first to perfect the original report or resolution, including consideration and action upon any amendments which may be offered to it. The same perfecting process shall then be followed with respect to the substitute. The question shall then be put first on the motion to substitute, followed by the motion to adopt the report or resolution; *provided,* however, that the motion for the previous question shall not be in order on the adoption of the report or recommendation or on making the proposed substitution until opportunity has been given for at least two members to speak on each side of the question of substitution or adoption.

Rule 24. Previous Question

When any member moves the previous question (that is, that the vote be now taken on the motion or motions pending), he shall indicate to what he intends it to apply, if any secondary motion or motions are also pending. If

he does not so indicate, it shall be regarded as applying only to the immediately pending question. This motion shall be taken without debate, and shall require a two-thirds vote of those present and voting for its adoption; and if it is adopted, the vote shall be taken on the motion or motions to which it applies without further debate except as provided in Rule 37. (See also Rules 18, 23, 25.)

Rule 25. Unlawful Motion After Speech

It shall not be in order for a member immediately after discussing a pending question, and before relinquishing the floor, to make a motion whose adoption would limit or stop debate.

Rule 26. Exceptions to Majority Vote

A majority of those voting, a quorum being present, shall decide all questions with the following exceptions:

(1) One-third of those present and voting shall suffice to sustain a call for a count vote in case the decision of the chair is doubted. (See Rule 11.) A tie vote sustains the chair (Rule 3).

(2) A call for a vote by orders shall prevail if sustained by one-third of the members of the order from which the call came, present and voting. (See Rule 13.)

(3) A two-thirds vote shall be required to sustain a motion to suspend (Rule 39) or amend (Rule 40) the rules; to sustain a motion for the previous question (Rule 24), or any motion whose adoption would limit debate; to set aside a special order; to consider a special order before the time set therefor; to sustain the request of the Committee on Courtesies and Privileges for the presentation of any person after the sixth day of the General Conference.

(4) A two-thirds vote shall be required to approve a proposal for a constitutional amendment. (*Discipline*, ¶ 10.)

Rule 27. Reconsideration

A motion to reconsider an action of the Conference shall be in order at any time if offered by a member who voted with the prevailing side. If the motion it is proposed to reconsider is non-debatable, the motion to reconsider may not be debated.

Rule 28. Calendars, Regular and Non-Concurrence

The Secretary shall keep a chronological record of orders of the day and of reports of committees (see Rule 32),

which record shall be called the calendar; and the matters of business placed on it shall be considered in order, unless by a two-thirds vote of the Conference an item is taken up out of its order.

When a committee presents a report on a given subject, as a part of its report, it must also list the numbers of all memorials relating to this subject on which the committee voted non-concurrence.

Rule 29. Motion to Adjourn in Order Except—

The motion to adjourn, when unqualified, shall be taken without debate, and shall always be in order, except:

(1) When a delegate has the floor.
(2) When a question is actually put, or a vote is being taken, and before it is finally decided.
(3) When the previous question has been ordered and action thereunder is pending.
(4) When a motion to adjourn has been lost, and no business or debate has intervened.
(5) When the motion to fix the time to which the Conference shall adjourn is pending.

The foregoing does not apply to a motion for final adjournment of the Conference.

V. COMMITTEES

Rule 30. Rules of Order of Legislative Committees

The rules of order of the General Conference shall be observed in meetings of standing legislative committees in so far as they apply.

Rule 31. Duties and Prerogatives of Committees

When a memorial or resolution or any similar item is referred to one of the several standing legislative committees, it shall be understood that the whole question with which the paper has to do is referred to that committee for such action as it may deem wise. Committees shall report to the Conference upon all matters referred to them by the Conference, directly or through the Committee on Reference. Committee reports on resolutions, memorials, petitions, etc., shall cite the same, identifying them by numbers they bear respectively in the published reports of the Committee on Reference, or in some other suitable manner.

When a committee ascertains that another committee is or, in its judgment, should be considering a subject which the former is considering, it shall report the matter to

the Committee on Reference for such adjustment as the situation may require.

Rule 32. Legislative Committees Report to Secretary

At the close of each day's meetings the chairmen and secretaries of the several standing legislative committees shall provide the Secretary of the Conference with copies in triplicate of all reports adopted by their respective committees for presentation to the Conference. The Secretary shall enter said reports on the calendar in the order in which they reach him, and shall furnish the editor of the *Daily Christian Advocate* with one copy of each of the said reports for publication in the next day's issue.

Reports from committees (and minority reports) recommending proposed changes in the *Discipline* shall give chapter, section, and paragraph to be affected. They shall quote the language of the part it is proposed to change, underscoring it, and then state the language as it will appear if adopted, with the new part only in CAPITALS. The *Daily Christian Advocate* shall follow the same rule in printing such reports, substituting *italics* for underscoring and **boldface** type for capitals.

Rule 33. Published Reports in Possession of Conference

A report of any committee signed by the chairman and secretary thereof and printed in the *Daily Christian Advocate* shall be regarded as in the possession of the Conference, and on the day following its first appearance in the *Advocate*, or any time thereafter, in order for consideration at the pleasure of the Conference. The same rule shall apply to a report of a minority of any committee signed by one-tenth of the members of the committee or by ten members thereof. (See Rule 17.)

Rule 34. Preparation and Printing of Reports

All committee reports shall be presented to the Secretary of the Conference in triplicate on paper provided therefor, and shall bear at the top the name of the committee, its total membership, the number present at the time the report was adopted, the number voting for and the number voting against the report respectively, and the number not voting. Reports of the standing legislative committees shall be printed in the *Daily Christian Advocate* at least one day before being presented for consideration by the Conference, and they shall not be read unless by its order.

Reports of minorities of committees adopted by them with a view to their being offered as substitutes for committee reports (see Rule 33) shall likewise conform to this rule so far as it is applicable, indicating clearly to what committee the respective minorities belong and for what reports by serial number, etc., they propose the respective substitutes.

Rule 35. Committee Chairmen Not in Harmony With Report

When the chairman of a committee is not in harmony with a report adopted by the committee, it shall be his duty to state the fact to the committee, which shall elect one of its members to represent it in the presentation and discussion of the report in the Conference; but if, in such a case, the committee shall fail to select a representative, the chairman shall designate a member to represent the committee, and said representatives shall have all the rights and privileges of the chairman in relation to such report.

Rule 36. Presentation of Report of Minority

A member selected by the signers of a report of a minority of a committee (see Rule 33) to present the same shall have the same rights and privileges in relation thereto which belong to the chairman in the presentation of the regular (majority) report of the committee, except that he may not present said minority report until the majority report has been presented, and shall then offer it as a substitute therefor, and except, further, that in closing the debate on the question of making the substitution the member presenting the minority report shall speak first and the chairman last. (See Rule 23.)

Rule 37. Speakers For and Against

When the report of a committee is under consideration, it shall be the duty of the presiding officer to ascertain, when he recognizes a member of the Conference, on which side he proposes to speak; and he shall not assign the floor to any member proposing to speak on the same side of the pending question as the speaker immediately preceding if any member desires to speak on the other side thereof.

Except for undebatable motions, no report shall be adopted or question relating to the same decided without

opportunity having been given for at least *two* speeches for and *two* against the said proposal, provided that right is claimed before the chairman or duly authorized member representing the committee's report or the minority report, if there be such, is presented to close the debate.

When all have spoken who desire to do so, or when (and after) the previous question has been ordered, the chairman or/and duly authorized member or members presenting the committee's report (and the minority report if there be one) shall be entitled to speak before the vote is taken.

This right of the chairman and/or other member or members to close the debate shall prevail in like manner to a limit of five minutes when a vote is about to be taken on a motion to amend, to substitute, to postpone, to refer, or to lay on the table or any other motion whose adoption would vitally affect the report under consideration; *provided*, that this five-minute limit shall not apply to a motion to substitute a minority report (see Rule 33) for a regular (majority) report of a committee.

Rule 38. Effective Date

All actions of the General Conference of The Methodist Church shall become effective upon the final adjournment of the session of the General Conference at which they are enacted, unless otherwise specified, except as provided in ¶ 575 of the *Discipline*.

VI. SUSPENDING, AMENDING, AND SUPPLEMENTING

Rule 39. Suspension of Rules

The operation of any of the provisions of the Plan of Organization or of these Rules of Order may be suspended at any time by a two-thirds vote of the Conference. (See Rule 26, subs. 3.)

Rule 40. Amending Rules

The Plan of Organization and these Rules of Order may be amended or changed by a two-thirds vote of the Conference; provided the proposed change or amendment has originated in the Committee on Plan of Organization and Rules of Order, or has been presented to the Conference in writing and referred to this committee, which committee shall report thereon not later than the following day. (See Rule 26, subs. 3.)

Rule 41. Robert's Rules of Order, Supplemental Authority

In any parliamentary situation not clearly covered by the Plan of Organization or these Rules of Order, the General Conference shall be governed in its action by the current edition of Robert's Rules of Order.

HAROLD S. SWALES, *Chairman*
JOHN R. WILKINS, *Secretary*

INTERIM COMMITTEE ON PLAN OF ORGANIZATION AND RULES OF ORDER ELECTED BY THE 1960 GENERAL CONFERENCE

Chairman—Harold S. Swales (Central New York—NE)
Secretary—John R. Wilkins (California-Nevada—W)
Members—*Max Brown* (North Dakota—NC)
George W. Carter, Jr. (Louisiana—C)
John A. Dixon, Jr. (Louisiana—SC)
A. R. Samuel (Indus River—OS)
L. S. Weaver (North Carolina—SE)
Leon T. Moore (Philadelphia—NE), Secretary of the General Conference

THE EPISCOPAL ADDRESS

OF THE BISHOPS OF THE METHODIST CHURCH TO THE GENERAL CONFERENCE

PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA APRIL TWENTY-SIXTH NINETEEN HUNDRED SIXTY-FOUR

Following the usual custom, the presentation of the Episcopal Address is made on the opening day of the General Conference. It is a review of the life and thought of the Church and her relation to contemporaneous living as expressed through the minds of the Bishops of the Church. The Bishop who writes the address is chosen by ballot by the Council of Bishops. The entire Council carefully reviews the address and the same is signed by them.

The Episcopal Address was read by Bishop Gerald Kennedy, Sunday night, April 26, 1964. See Journal page 233.

CONTENTS

	Page
In Memoriam	193
Yesterday	194
Today	196
Our Ministry	198
Recruitment	201
The Laity	202
Integration	204
Missions	205
Ecumenicity	206
Social Responsibility	207
Tomorrow	209

THE EPISCOPAL ADDRESS

To the Methodist General Conference; to the ministers, the members, and the friends of The Methodist Church around the world: Grace to you and peace from God our Father.

"He has delivered us from the dominion of darkness and transferred us to the kingdom of his beloved Son, in whom we have redemption, the forgiveness of sins. He is the image of the invisible God, the firstborn of all creation; for in him all things were created, in heaven and on earth, visible and invisible, whether thrones or dominions or principalities or authorities—all things were created through him and for him. He is before all things and in him all things hold together. He is the head of the body, the church; he is the beginning, the first-born from the dead, that in everything he might be preeminent. For in him all the fullness of God was pleased to dwell, and through him to reconcile to himself all things, whether on earth or in heaven, making peace by the blood of the cross."

Our dear brethren, with the Apostle's words to the church at Colossae, we greet you.

IN MEMORIAM

Since last we met, members of our episcopal family have left us to join that multitude "which came out of the great tribulation, and have washed their robes, and made them white in the blood of the Lamb." They were "men renowned for their power, giving counsel by their understanding, and declaring prophecies." Those of us who walked with them in close fellowship feel deep sorrow in our hearts and experience great loneliness. They were precious to all the Church and we pause in quietness to hear their names and remember their witness.

Robert Elijah Jones (May 18, 1960)
Ralph Spaulding Cushman (Aug. 10, 1960)
Bachman G. Hodge (Jan. 5, 1961)
William T. Watkins (Feb. 6, 1961)
Roberto Elphick (May 6, 1961)
Bowman Foster Stockwell (June 5, 1961)
George Amos Miller (Oct. 13, 1961)
Hiram Abiff Boaz (Jan. 2, 1962)
John Wesley Edward Bowen (July 12, 1962)
A. Frank Smith (Oct. 5, 1962)
G. Bromley Oxnam (March 12, 1963)

Julio M. Sabanes (Aug. 29, 1963)
John Gowdy (Sept. 9, 1963)
John M. Springer (Dec. 2, 1963)
Clare Purcell (Feb. 8, 1964)
Theodore Arvidson (March 12, 1964)

The Episcopal Address, while written by one man, is the united word of the Council of Bishops to The Methodist Church. It is not our purpose to define all the contemporary issues, promote all the worthy causes, or list specific programs for action in the address. We believe the Church expects us to share our hopes and our judgments, and to speak out of our love for The Methodist Church. We believe that no man can receive a higher honor on this earth than to be a lively part of its fellowship.

The Church has seen fit to give us certain responsibilities and authority. We are but fallible men and our judgments are always imperfect. But if we know our hearts, we desire to be good servants of Jesus Christ and his Church. The kindness of our brethren lifts up our hearts and the prayers of our people hold us steady. Thanks be to God for His unspeakable gift.

We would speak to you about our heritage, our contemporary responsibilities and our future opportunities. We want to consider our world with its terror and its glory. We would remind ourselves who we are and what God's purpose for us may be. We would recapture, if we can, the sense of our mission. We want to become aware of the sources of spiritual renewal which God makes available to all Christians.

YESTERDAY

The Wesleyan Revival was, among other things, a demonstration that when plain men could say in the words of their Founder, "We have felt our hearts strangely warmed," thousands were converted. While our fathers were good organizers, they regarded organization as a means to fulfill the evangelistic purpose. Their success was a testimony to the power of witnessing to Christian experience and another example of how the preaching of the Word of God saves men by faith.

If we turn to the American beginnings, we find a tremendous confidence in the proclaiming of the Word. In Bishop Francis Asbury's *Journal,* three phrases are repeated almost to the point of monotony. They are: "I rode"; "I was not well"; "I preached." His travels were almost unbelievable, and his ill health was a hindrance but never a defeat. The main thing was his preaching with its under-

lying assumption that the Word is all-powerful and when set loose, it will spread holiness and reform the Continent.

Let us remember John Hunt, the young Wesleyan missionary to Fiji, then known as the Cannibal Islands. There in the midst of the cruelty, the bestiality, the stench of burning human flesh, he began in 1839 the writing of letters which became his book on *Entire Sanctification.* He won Fiji for Christ because he believed that God willed the conversion of the world.

There was Louis S. Jacoby, our first missionary to Germany, who arrived in the land of the Reformation one year after Marx and Engels published their *Communist Manifesto.* He preached his first sermon on Sunday evening, December 23, 1849, in a Bremen dancing saloon. Here is his mood as he began a great work:

"I trembled before I commenced to preach as I was used to do when I had the chills and fever. I was a poor plain home-made preacher, and in this city there were great celebrated preachers; but there was one thing that encouraged me: I had not sent myself; I was fully convinced the Lord had sent me to Germany."

Let us not forget Bishop William Taylor and his missions around the world. Sent as a missionary to California where he could "sing up" a congregation on the streets, he ministered with power for seven years. Then it was east again and hence to Australia where he inspired Methodism's great period of growth and always closed his evangelistic missions with an appeal for tithers. Back and forth and around the world he traveled, starting work which became four Annual Conferences in India, three Conferences on the west coast of South America and thirty-four mission stations in Central Africa. There has never been another like him.

Methodist theology is austere and objective. Wesley's suspicion of the mystics has saved us from a soft and sentimental encouragement to lose ourselves in some vague, subjective ocean. We have held to the sharp New Testament doctrine that God is a Person who confronts us and deals with us as persons. The heart of the Christian experience is meeting. We are not to wallow around in our own emotions or spend time merely emphasizing our troubles and enumerating our problems. In a day when psychological analyzing is all the vogue, we should remember that our Lord promised we would find our lives by losing them for his sake and the Gospel's. Wesley's sanctified common sense and single-minded service challenge the contemporary spiritual flabbiness.

We believe in spiritual and physical discipline and we

do not believe there is anything pious about inefficiency. But it is our opinion that we spend too much time at the General Conference tinkering with our machinery. The president of the Carnegie Foundation has reminded us that almost always the last act of a dying corporation is to issue a new and enlarged edition of the rule book. An excessive attention to rules and laws may be in itself a sign of sickness.

We believe that our polity is uniquely fitted to serve this moving, restless generation. The discipline spirit of the Circuit Riders is our example. The Episcopalian Dean Hodges said that the great days of the Church were those of the missionaries, the monks, and the Methodists.

The membership of The Methodist Church in the United States is now 10,153,003. During the past quadrennium we have received 1,367,507 members on profession of faith, 381,315 from other denominations, or a total of 1,748,822 new members. The world membership of The Methodist Church is 11,158,202. Every Sunday morning there are approximately 3,689,167 persons in Methodist Sunday Schools.

We organized 496 new Methodist Churches in the United States in the last four years. The total number is now 38,990.

Our annual budget is more than $500,000,000.

Methodists gave $61,822,019 to missions from 1960 to 1964. Our total benevolence giving was $345,751,749. We operate 325 hospitals and homes. We maintain 135 educational institutions.

At this time there are nearly 30,000 Methodist pastors. We have 1,555 Methodist missionaries serving around the world and 500 home missionaries at work in the United States. We have been blessed of God but our increase in the past only serves to remind us that to whom much has been given, much shall be required. Still, every Methodist can proclaim with the Psalmist: "I have a goodly heritage." (Psalm 16:6)

TODAY

Because of the efficiency of our communications we grow too familiar with terror and finally it no longer makes us responsive. The age of "overkill" tends to reduce humanity's ability to recognize danger and represents one of the most serious threats to our survival. There is bred in us a contempt for the prophet and his warning. A shrinking world has not meant a reduction of human problems but quite the opposite. Once it was considered noteworthy that a shot fired at Concord was heard around the world.

Today a statesman clearing his throat is heard in the farthest places. Because of our distorted view of what constitutes news and drama, we hear little about goodness and much about evil so that the secular citizen begins to assume unconsciously that God is silent and inactive. Who will speak for God and proclaim the Good News?

Caught in the most serious struggle our civilization has faced in a thousand years, we confront a ruthless Communism which denies the spiritual and moral foundations of our life. It has won some important victories and it has succeeded too often in driving us to defensive positions. It has challenged our big pronouncements and our little practices in the realms of race relations and social justice. It has revealed how thin our witness has been in so many significant places and how routine and unimaginative has been our work. Has God allowed communistic atheism to bring judgment upon us and stab us awake, as the prophets interpreted the rise of Israel's enemies?

The conflict with Communism is religious and cannot be won by force of arms nor by economic power. The great human issues are always religious essentially and although this will bring us naught for our comfort, the outcome of the present crisis depends largely on the strength or the weakness of the Christian churches. The countries invulnerable to Communism are infiltrated with a strong evangelical Christianity. Our danger is not religious freedom but the denial of it, and the evil of the communist tyranny or the fascist attack is discerned and finally attacked by the Christian church. Those who seek to force the churches into a reactionary mold, are not patriots but betrayers. The Church has never faced a greater testing nor has it ever been confronted by a greater opportunity.

What started out to be the Century of the Common Man has deteriorated into the Age of Mediocrity. Across our world there is a lowering of certain ethical standards, and Chief Justice Warren of the United States Supreme Court has suggested that Americans need "counselors in ethics." In our fascination with subjective analyzing we have reduced the awful catastrophe of sin to a disease, and man's moral betrayals become mere sickness. One of our greatest sins has been the reduction of man's tragic grandeur to the level of adjustment. What a day this is for a Church to preach the doctrines of sin and Christian perfection! We are living in the Book of Acts which demands a new awareness of the presence and the power of the Holy Spirit.

The richest nations seem to be the emptiest of purpose and confidence. It is the new nation marching toward freedom and the creative minority with a dream who find life

full of meaning. So The Methodist Church is called upon to create a vision in one nation, and preach restraint in another. It is clear that no political or economic success ever fills the emptiness of the soul of man. It is the Church's task to afflict the comfortable when they lose their will to serve. It is also the Church's task to remind men who are struggling to attain a better economic life for themselves and their families that it is small profit if they gain their objective and lose their souls. We stand for the truth that nothing is any good for any people unless it brings them closer to God and makes them more aware of the spiritual foundations of their being.

Perhaps our gravest danger is brutalization. It is a terrible thing to accept the possibility that thousands of babies may be malformed by the fall-out from bomb testings. It is even worse to be comforted because only a relatively small percent of the future generation will be affected. We must save our world from thinking in percentages instead of individuals. "One of these little ones" if it is my child or yours, is enough to condemn the whole horrid procedure. We rejoice that a step toward sanity has been taken in the signing of the nuclear test-ban treaty. Today, churches must witness to the eternal significance of every person in a world in danger of losing itself in the darkness of things and statistics.

It is not for the Church to join the hysteria which sets man against man, nation against nation, continent against continent by wild and irresponsible talk about "fighting fire with fire." It is for us to pursue our ancient course of attacking our own imperfections, keeping our life open to God, and perfecting our society. We are not partisans trying to destroy one culture and replace it with another. We are not trying to sell a system but to demonstrate a Way which is incomparably better than all others and shines with the promise of more abundant life for all men.

OUR MINISTRY

Methodist ministers sometimes enlarge on the problems and difficulties of their calling without a proper appreciation for the privilege of being appointed to the greatest office in the world. We believe it is time for a reexamination of the dignity, the glory, and the miracle of the ministry. Reducing our local and traveling preachers, our district superintendents and the episcopacy to the lowest possible status and making them commonplace does not serve the Kingdom of God. We ought to enlarge and not ensmall our offices. We must not take ourselves too seriously but we ought to take our calling as a divine privilege.

The Methodist tendency is to make conference membership so important that ordination becomes a secondary affair. This cuts us off from the sense of God having called us before we were chosen by the Church, and it complicates our ecumenical relationships. We have inherited by way of Rome and Canterbury the two orders of deacon and elder. Through ordination, every minister becomes a part of the church universal and stands in the great succession, beginning with the Apostles. Conference membership is a relationship with the Methodist section of the Christian Church, but accepting the moral and spiritual demands of ordination places us in the family of the whole Christian Church.

Theological seminaries are essential servants of the Church for they shape the minds and attitudes of the ministry. Deans and professors need to be close to bishops and district superintendents that our academic and practical purposes be one. There are professors anxious to warn our young theologues against the church machinery, which is in order as long as the man loves and knows The Methodist Church. But it is most important that every young minister should face the inescapable truth that he must do his work within the framework of Methodist polity, which is not his enemy but his support. Let no man teach who has lost faith in the high calling of the parish ministry or regards it as less than any other calling. We urge every seminary to interpret our polity to its students. We are grateful for the theological professors who by combining academic excellence and Christian character, incarnate the Methodist way.

In saying something about the episcopacy, we are in the pleasant but seldom admired position of talking about ourselves. We speak these words because we know the office from firsthand experience and because we believe the Methodist episcopacy is unique. It has come out of what Wesley considered the two main Christian sources: 1) Scripture and 2) experience. While he was prejudiced against the name itself, to all practical purposes he exercised the office of a bishop from the time the first societies were formed until he died. He even felt justified in ordaining men for America. Once the American Church adopted the office, it became molded and shaped by the Methodist experience in a vast new land and it has never been static. Every bishop, even as every preacher, is responsible to the Church.

One main principle of Methodist organization is that responsibility demands a commensurate authority which must never be unchecked or irresponsible. But the man who is held accountable for the program in any particular area

must be given the resources to fulfill his obligations. Nothing is more frustrating to men and organizations than high expectations on the one hand and inadequate tools on the other. The Methodist Church makes heavy demands on the episcopacy and expects the demands to be fulfilled through men of the bishop's choosing. The episcopacy in its appointment of district superintendents stands before the General, Jurisdictional and Central Conferences responsible and accountable.

From a number of sources there has come a request that the Council of Bishops give leadership to the Church between General Conferences. This would not imply the power to make general commitments, but rather to speak on vital contemporary issues and give a Methodist witness in crisis situations. Perhaps the Council should send a message to the churches annually. In a day when affairs move so swiftly, it is hardly adequate to have a Methodist word only once in four years.

We believe that when The Methodist Church decreed that men elected to the episcopal office should devote the rest of their lives to its service, it acted wisely. This is in harmony with the historic tradition of the Christian Church, and it removes the office from political temptation. When Methodism grants certain power to any of its servants, it is not to enlarge persons but to protect the Church. Churches must be protected against empty pulpits and ministers must be protected against closed pulpits. Every layman and minister is to have protection against the passing whims of a minority or a majority. Nothing is so easily subverted as liberty where there is no constituted authority. The episcopal office needs to be especially amenable to the Holy Spirit's guidance. The Bishops are your servants, for Christ's sake, and as each of us remembers his ministers and laymen in prayer, we ask that they so remember us.

It is to be noted that most of the new plans for church union come very close to the Methodist polity. We believe that our offices are Scriptural and that God has raised us up to make contributions to the ecumenical movement. No Church polity is perfect, but our observation and experience indicates that we go wrong whenever we depart from the tested principles of our polity. The appointive system must apply to all preachers and to all churches, large as well as small. Within our framework we hold each other up and know the strength of a connectionalism which never lets a man or a church stand alone. When the dark times come, it is the whole Methodist Church against the enemy.

RECRUITMENT

Along with other churches, we face the problem of ministerial recruitment. After a great surge of new students at the close of World War II, we find our seminaries leveling off in their enrollments and in some places, there are declines. We need 2,697 new ministers every year and at the present time we are producing about half that number. We believe this is due to neither the worldliness of the younger generation nor to the Gospel's loss of appeal. Our failure is at the point of meeting young men with the claims of the Christian ministry.

This confrontation begins in the home. Parents are not expected to decide their children's careers, but they are privileged to keep the channels open for God's call to be heard. Let our family prayer be that God will put His hand on a son or daughter in a special way, and may Methodist families dedicate all their possessions and their children to the service of God.

It is tragic when a Methodist preacher fails to make the ministry the most exciting task in the world. Contemporary literature reveals how badly tarnished is our image of the ministry. Yet there is no calling more relevant to the basic issues of our time and if young people are not catching the fire of enthusiasm from our ministerial behavior, then we need to pray for a renewal of our first enthusiasm. One of the great joys of being a Methodist preacher is to recruit sons in the Gospel and unless we have missed the way, God will give us this reward for our labors.

Churches harangued by the reactionary, invaded by the petty, and controlled by worldly fear will enlist no young men with vision for their ministry.

We must ask ourselves—Methodist laymen and ministers alike—do we believe that the real issues are ultimately religious? We must ask ourselves if there is any fellowship except the Church with the spiritual power, the ethical sensitivity, and the universal sweep to redeem our sick civilization. If we find, as we must, that this "extension of the Incarnation," this "body of Christ," this "dwelling place of wonder" is the only hope, then we must count it honor indeed to bring our best and most gifted youth into its service. We believe that the next quadrennium should accept ministerial recruitment as a main consideration. If the General Conference should place this obligation on the Council of Bishops, we will be happy to give it our best efforts. We do believe that the enlistment of young men for the ministry is one of the bishops' main responsibilities.

Methodism is not a pietistic sect but a Christian church. It believes in the warm heart and in social concern. No man

is sufficient for its ministry in himself and every man has to throw himself upon the mercy of God and lean on the everlasting arms. We can only hope to do our work by being the most disciplined of men. We must regard our physical bodies with the same respect and concern as the athlete. We must train our minds until they have the sharp edge of the surgeon's knife. We must be spiritually committed. It is to this disciplined order that we call the most daring youth of our homes and our churches.

We need a new awareness of the centrality of preaching. In the beginning, we were a preaching order in the Established Church and since that time any list of the great preachers will contain more than a proportionate share of Methodists. This is because we have a theology that does more than counsel a mere waiting for God to act. Our theology can be preached for it calls for response and it is born out of experience. Our ministers are more than sociologists and analysts. They are preachers of the Word spoken by God and proclaimers of the Good News of forgiveness and redemption. We have strayed from this concept of our office, and we must pray God to restore preaching power to us by giving us the grace to believe His promises.

THE LAITY

It is a demanding thing to be a Methodist layman and not everybody is up to it. Some there be, who found the demands too severe and walk with us no more. The Methodist Church from the beginning was a layman's movement. John Wesley's use of lay preachers was a scandal to the Established Church, but it brought the Gospel to forgotten and neglected people. Many a man found his first opportunity to be somebody in a Methodist society and one of the greatest contributions of the Evangelical Revival was the transformation of by-standers into participants. The great religious movement does not wait for God to send it leaders, but grows by giving converted men their chance to lead.

We carry that heritage of lay participation into all our boards and agencies, and we have equal lay representation in all the decision-making councils of Methodism. We have not believed that a man's theology should reach him at second hand. For us, "the priesthood of all believers" means to let God speak His particular word to each man's heart. Within the broad framework of our Twenty-Five Articles of Religion, inherited for the most part from the Church of England, we encourage each man to make his personal confession and come to his own experience of Christ.

This is a terrible responsibility. The easier way is to let

the Church make the decisions for us. But the man who comes to Christ directly and personally knows a living assurance that can never be handed down. A Church that is theologically authoritarian has a certain appeal and enjoys certain advantages, but the true aim of the Church is to produce Christians who can sing from their hearts:

"Amazing grace how sweet the sound
That saved a wretch like me.
I once was lost but now am found
Was blind but now I see."

We have failed to turn our churches into schools of Christian growth. Too often we have assumed that when the vows of membership are taken before the congregation, the Church is excused from further responsibility. Every Methodist church ought to promote Christian nurture. To boast of our theological freedom is not enough, for freedom is but an opportunity and not an accomplishment. Through the study of the Bible, the Christian heritage, and the Church Fathers, we increase our knowledge of God. It is a sad thing to leave laymen without a fresh idea about God in thirty years, and it is a crying scandal to rest content with childish theological perceptions.

We lose too many of our children. We think it is time for us to institute a regular procedure in our educational program which will compare with what some churches call "confirmation." Every child in our Church Schools and in our homes should be expected to receive training in church membership and become a full member of The Methodist Church. This ought to be one of the most important events in the life of children and in the life of the Church. We need a mandatory membership training course in our regular church school curriculum and, whenever possible, it should be conducted by a pastor. This is too important a matter to be left unstructured in our educational program.

The Church is not a club that eliminates members for not paying their dues or for failing to attend a set percentage of the meetings. If we counted our membership as some churches do, we would be reporting double our number as a minimum. This is not to encourage an inaccurate accounting of our people, but it is a plea to remember that ministers are shepherds and the Church is a fellowship of concern. The *Discipline* provides direction for pastoral care when our people drift or move away and we must take these advices more seriously. Our growth has been tremendous, but our loss of members is tragic. Perhaps we need a second list of "non-participating" members which will not form a part of the base for levying apportionments.

The present crisis demands articulate, instructed Chris-

tians who are witnesses of their faith and evangelists of the Gospel. Until every Methodist layman learns the joy of this experience, we have not fulfilled the purpose of church membership. Let us have done with the false dichotomy between quantity and quality in our evangelism. This is one place where we can and should have both. To believe that the Church is for a few or for a special group is heresy. The testimony of a great Christian in the Congo is a word for us: "I was only about 10% converted when I began working for the conversion of others," he said. "Had I never brought others to Christ, I would never have been more than a 10% Christian." Remember Peter Bohler's word to Wesley: "Preach faith til you have it, and then because you have it, you will preach faith."

The Methodist layman maintains the freedom of the pulpit. He hears many things with which he disagrees, yet he insists that the preacher shall not merely bolster his own opinions or share his prejudices. He listens for the prophet's word of judgment and he receives, though not always gladly, the disturbing word and the harsh warning. He is loyal and generous and every preacher is inspired by his support through dark days. The Gospel's demand often threatens the layman's security and comfort, and sometimes he pays a bigger price for his faith than the minister.

Our Church along with others, has been under severe testing from the extremist groups. We have come through better than most because the social implications of our faith have been a part of our teaching and preaching. The self-appointed guardians of orthodoxy, the literature censors, the professional patriots for profit, are always trying to control our churches by threatening to cut off their financial support. Let it be said clearly and unequivocally: The Methodist Church does not sanction the views of these extremist groups and we are not for sale. They were not welcome in the Societies of John Wesley and Methodist laymen have the responsibility to keep our churches free from organized attempts to sow dissension that fractures the fellowship. The labeling of unpopular ideas as subversive is not Christian and there is no place for such behavior in Methodism. Any attempt to spread scandal about our leaders without evidence must be rooted out. Nothing is more indicative of our spiritual health than the failure of these groups to become more than minor nuisances.

INTEGRATION

The official pronouncements of The Methodist Church on the race question are clear. That any minister or layman in The Methodist Church should have any question as to

where we stand on this issue, is inconceivable. It is, therefore, most disturbing to see Methodists trying to justify segregation on the basis of weird interpretations of the Scriptures. We are dedicated to the proposition that all men are created equal, all men are brothers, and all men are of eternal worth in the eyes of God. Prejudice against any person because of color or social status is a sin.

Every minister proclaiming this fundamental Christian doctrine must have the backing of the whole Methodist Church. Every bishop and every district superintendent is bound by the discipline of the Church to oppose segregation and discrimination. Compromises in this field have brought nothing but shame to us and today we stand under the judgment of God. The Church which does not cleanse itself of this sickness, brings comfort to the enemies of Christ and betrays its Lord.

We believe that this General Conference should insist upon the removal from its structure of any mark of racial segregation and we should do it without wasting time. This will cost the Negro Methodists some of their minority rights. It will cost some white Methodists the pain of rooting out deep-seated and long-held convictions concerning racial relations. But God Almighty is moving toward a world of interracial brotherhood so speedily and so irresistibly, that to hesitate is to fight against God and be crushed.

We believe that this General Conference should be able to say when it adjourns: The people called Methodists, by the grace of God, have moved forward toward removing segregation.

MISSIONS

The Christian faith comes into sharper focus when it is seen in action in a non-Christian environment. There we apprehend its distinctiveness and grasp anew its essentials. It is our opinion that there is no greater movement in the world than the Christian missionary enterprise and any man who views it firsthand, either at home or abroad, will be henceforth committed to its support. In a world where so much is wrong, this is right.

The time has come when regional churches, some of them still receiving considerable financial and leadership support from the American and European churches, are making contributions to the older churches. Their fresh vision often makes plain what has become blurred to us and their experiences are often a judgment upon us. According to the New Testament promise, our giving now becomes the happy privilege of receiving and we pray that we may see

how much of what God would give us is coming to us by way of the new churches in Asia, Africa and Latin America.

Methodism is established on five continents and in eighty-eight countries so that it is hard for Methodists to travel anywhere in the world and be outside the fellowship of their church. We are at the scene of disaster, famine, flood, or invasion, and we assist our brethren in the developing and awakening nations through our Methodist missionaries who are there in our name. We do not see any lessening of the importance of the missionary movement. Secular forces have closed doors against us and we must speed the process of giving nationals the authority of leadership. We must become more than ever before "servants for Jesus' sake." Methodism with its restless sense of world commitment will die if it ever allows itself to believe that the day of missions is over. Our biggest past mistake in missionary strategy has been an assumption that things were not going to change. Methodist missions must never become an institution but be an aggressive, burning, relevant demonstration of the power of Jesus Christ to answer man's questions and redeem his life. Indeed, the moment we learn of another planet with intelligent life on it, we will send missionaries to it the first moment that transportation is available. Nor will we measure the worth of our witness by the apparent permanence of a social situation. We proclaim our faith, render our service and leave the rest to God.

ECUMENICITY

We rejoice in the growth of the ecumenical movement and in the development of the ecumenical spirit. We could speak as fools, as Paul once put it, and boast of our own record in this important field. For instance, in 1791 Bishop Coke wrote a letter to Bishop White, the Anglican bishop in New York, urging a united effort to merge the two churches. This was just seven years after the birth of The Methodist Church, the first to be organized on American soil, and indicative of the catholic spirit which has dominated us from the beginning. We leave the form of baptism to the individual's choice and we welcome all Christians to the Lord's Supper. We gladly recognize the ministries of other communions and we accept members from other evangelical churches. We believe in and we support the World Council of Churches, the National Councils of Churches, and the World Methodist Council. We have a permanent *Commission on Church Union* which is engaged at the present time in working out a merger between the Evangelical United Brethren Church and The Methodist Church. Our word is still that of our Founder: "Is thy heart

right, as my heart is with thine? Dost thou love and serve God? It is enough, I give thee the right hand of fellowship."

Having said this, not all of us are of the opinion that it is profitable for us to spend so much time confessing sins of exclusiveness of which we are not guilty. We hasten to add that we have enough real sins to confess. But we are not sure that God wills the churches of the Reformation to become one organic union. We believe that our pluralism has produced much good fruit, not the least of which has been freedom. We doubt seriously that eliminating our denominations would solve all our problems. We have no intention of apologizing for our own heritage or slowing down our evangelistic efforts until some proposed merger has been accomplished. The final goal for any Church is not necessarily merger but how to use its resources to serve Christ better.

We rejoice in the new spirit of ecumenical fellowship which comes out of the Second Vatican Council. We will go as far as our Roman Catholic brethren will allow in meeting together for mutual consultation and witness. We are anxious to show to the world a common unity in the face of a common foe. We have no hesitation in pledging our Church to unite with all others in confronting communism, atheism, tyranny and pagan secularism. We have believed for a long time that there is much to unite us and that we can differ without bitterness. We welcome increasing discussion and dialogue between the churches. John Wesley's sermon on the *Catholic Spirit* expresses our Methodist spirit.

We would hope that the Vatican Council will announce without equivocation that the Roman Catholic Church will respect religious liberty whether it finds itself a majority or a minority in a particular situation. We would hope that in some places where we have been hindered in doing our missionary service, the Roman Church will remove its hindrances. We approve Roman Catholic representatives at meetings of the Protestant Councils, and we are grateful that representatives from our Church and others have been graciously received at the Council in Rome, and other Roman Catholic Convocations.

SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY

Methodists do not steer away from issues merely because they are controversial. We abhor a timid spirit that cries peace when there is no peace and keeps silence when corruption grows and justice is outraged. We expect every minister, every district superintendent and every bishop to

be something besides a public relations man for The Methodist Church. We shall continue to speak our convictions on social issues.

Our generation is not sure that it has a future. To men whose confidence is in material might and military defense, we announce that only God is our strong salvation. We must oppose all policy which does not have all mankind in view or promises profit at the expense of other nations. A country's strength is its religion, and morality is its ultimate defense. The Church betrays its trust when it fails to say this and demonstrate it constantly in its own life. This demands new creatures in Christ who rise above individual desires and swear allegiance to the Kingdom established by God and announced by Jesus Christ. Brethren, this is no ordinary day. It is the First Century, and the Reformation, and Judgment. It is also Resurrection day, Pentecost, and Aldersgate.

We affirm our belief in the principle of the separation of Church and state. To this end, we oppose government aid to parochial schools under whatever guise it may be presented and we do not propose to accept taxation willingly for the sectarian teaching of any Church. We believe in the public schools and we promise them our continuing support.

We pledge a continual warfare against the liquor interests, being more convinced with every passing year that they represent a destructive force in our society. Why any intelligent people hesitates to discourage the sale and curb advertising of alcoholic beverages is beyond our comprehension. The broken homes, the highway slaughter and the alarming increase in alcoholics are tragedies familiar to every pastor and we shall continue the fight until one day, God willing, America wakes up.

The connection between cigarette smoking and disease is now so clear that no Church can be neutral regarding this habit. Sexual license threatens our society and only by maintaining the strict New Testament standards can we be saved. We believe the body is the temple of the Holy Spirit and to profane that temple is a sin.

We believe in the absolute necessity of disarmament if we are to survive. This cause demands at least an effort equal with preparation for war, and we urge all governments to press for it with all of their resources. This will never be a safe world but we are convinced that the risks of disarmament are as nothing compared with the risks of the continuation of the arms race.

Our society is committed to civilian control and we are as concerned as former President Eisenhower with any drift toward increased military influence in policy decisions.

One of our greatest tests is whether or not a democratic society facing crisis can maintain democratic ways. We believe it is essential that our elected representatives should not abdicate their responsibility.

TOMORROW

We do not share the current pessimism which speaks of a "post-Protestant era." We see the non-Reformation countries striving to overcome their stagnation by adopting the Protestant ethic of personal responsibility. We see the new nations reaching out for a society that is possible only on the basis of Reformation principles. We rejoice in the signs of the renewal of other religions and we are doubly glad that these signs reveal borrowings from Christianity. But we do not see any other religion or philosophy with a promise of power to change men or save the world. We believe that the signs of the times proclaim that ours is still the relevant Word. Even when least aware of it, the modern world bears testimony that the Reformation Faith has been woven into the very texture of its ethics. Let The Methodist Church proclaim that so far as it is concerned, we are not post-anything, and the best is yet to be.

As Methodists, we are now confronted by three crucial demands.

First: the coming of the Holy Spirit in power demands human preparation. To say that we cannot save the world by organization is not to say that we can save it without organization. As a man's body is to his soul, so is the visible Church to the spirit of Christ. We must use our polity with all the intelligent skill we can muster, remembering that our organization is the means by which we combine our strength, focus our power and overcome our weakness. In our Methodist polity, we have a mighty weapon to present to our Lord for his use and direction.

Second: the time has come for us to ask ourselves what precisely we believe. We have drifted too long in the shadowy world of obscure theological generalities and we have taken refuge in our vaunted heritage of freedom from creeds. There is spiritual power only in theological conviction. Do we believe that the Gospel is God's Word to this generation? Do we believe that Christians are commissioned to bring salvation to society? Do we believe that God through a preacher's preaching or a layman's witnessing can restore a lost soul now? Do we believe that all power has been given unto us? Will we wait together in this General Conference for the rush of the mighty wind and the appearance of the tongues of fire? If we do not leave

this place with a new dedication to the all-sufficiency of Jesus Christ for our living and our work, most of what we do here will be of little value. O God, help our unbelief! We do believe that God is able and He has chosen us to bring salvation to each man and His saving health to every nation.

Third: the spirit of expectancy must possess us anew. When men come seeking, they always find. So much of this depends on the preacher that it is hardly too much to say that a worship service is a reflection of his spirit. But the layman has a responsibility too, and if he enters God's house expectantly, he will hardly ever be disappointed. Methodist churches must be centers of spiritual excitement and hope. We must be rescued from our dullness. We need to learn to sing again the great Wesley hymns and meet together to study the Bible. We need to testify to what God in Christ has done for us and tell our neighbors how Christ has changed our lives and given them eternal meaning.

God called the Wesleys and now He calls their spiritual sons and daughters to a world-wide evangelistic crusade. This evangelism must have the two authentic marks of our tradition which are the experience of the heart strangely warmed and a deep social concern. It must be broad enough to include all Christians who will join hands with us. Let The Methodist Church around the world unite its power in faith and claim every part of every society for Christ. Let us offer God our organization in the spirit of that ancient cry: "I would fain be to the Eternal Goodness what his own hand is to a man." (Theologia Germanica.) We can save our life as a Church only by losing it. Let the Methodists of this world plan a mighty mission to the nations so splendid that future generations will marvel at our courage. Let us pray for a new expectancy that we shall behold God's mighty acts now.

On February 26, 1791, four days before he died, John Wesley in his last letter to William Wilberforce, the great crusader against slavery, wrote: "Unless God has raised you up for this very thing, you will be worn out by the opposition of men and devils. But, 'If God be for you, who can be against you?' " On March 2, 1791, Wesley died after speaking his last words in a clear voice: "The best of all is, God is with us." After lying in state while some ten thousand people passed by him weeping, he was buried at 5 A.M. to escape the confusion of a huge crowd. According to his instructions, he was carried to his grave by six poor men, who were to receive one pound each. All he left behind him as someone has said, were a badly abused reputation, a worn-out pulpit gown, and The Methodist Church.

We are that Methodist Church, claiming its heritage

proudly and facing its future confidently through faith in Jesus Christ our Lord and our Redeemer.

Signed:

ALEJANDRO, DIONISIO D.
ALTON, RALPH T.
AMSTUTZ, HOBART B.
ARCHER, RAYMOND L.
BAKER, JAMES C.
BALLOCH, ENRIQUE C.
BARBIERI, SANTE UBERTO
BOOTH, NEWELL S.
BRASHARES, CHARLES W.
CHEN, W. Y.
CLAIR, MATTHEW W.
COPELAND, KENNETH W.
CORSON, FRED PIERCE
DAWSON, DANA
DODGE, RALPH E.
ENSLEY, F. GERALD
FLINT, CHARLES W.
FRANK, EUGENE M.
FRANKLIN, MARVIN A.
GALLOWAY, PAUL V.
GARBER, PAUL N.
GARRISON, EDWIN R.
GATTINONI, JUAN E.
GOLDEN, CHARLES F.
GRANT, A. RAYMOND
GUM, WALTER C.
HAGEN, ODD
HAMMAKER, WILBUR E.
HARDIN, PAUL, JR.
HARMON, NOLAN B.
HARRELL, COSTEN J.
HARRIS, MARQUIS L.
HENLEY, JAMES W.
HOLLOWAY, FRED G.
HOLT, IVAN LEE
KELLY, EDWARD W.
KENNEDY, GERALD
KING, WILLIS J.
LEDDEN, W. EARL
LORD, JOHN WESLEY
LOVE, EDGAR A.
MAGEE, J. RALPH
MARTIN, PAUL E.
MARTIN, WILLIAM C.
MATHEWS, JAMES K.
MIDDLETON, W. VERNON
MONDOL, SHOT K.
MOORE, ARTHUR J.
MOORE, NOAH W., JR.
NALL, T. OTTO
NEWELL, FREDERICK B.
NORTHCOTT, H. CLIFFORD
PALMER, EVERETT W.
PHILLIPS, GLENN R.
PICKETT, J. WASKOM
POPE, W. KENNETH
RAINES, RICHARD C.
REED, MARSHALL R.
ROCKEY, CLEMENT D.
SHAW, ALEXANDER P.
SHORT, ROY H.
SIGG, FERDINAND
SINGH, MANGAL
SLATER, OLIVER EUGENE
SMITH, J. OWEN
SMITH, W. ANGIE
STRAUGHN, JAMES H.
SUBHAN, JOHN A.
SUNDARAM, GABRIEL
TAYLOR, PRINCE A., JR.
TIPPETT, DONALD H.
VALENCIA, JOSE L.
VOIGT, EDWIN E.
WADE, RAYMOND J.
WALTON, AUBREY G.
WARD, W. RALPH
WELCH, HERBERT
WERNER, HAZEN G.
WICKE, LLOYD C.
WUNDERLICH, FRIEDRICH
ZOTTELE, PEDRO

Bishops of The Methodist Church

JOURNAL
OF THE
1964 GENERAL CONFERENCE
THE METHODIST CHURCH

FIRST DAY, SUNDAY, APRIL 26, 1964
EVENING SESSION

Opening Session

The 1964 session of the General Conference of The Methodist Church (being the Seventh General Conference since the uniting of the three constituent Churches, and the Forty-sixth General Conference since the establishment of Methodism in America in 1784) convened in the Civic Arena, at Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, Sunday, April 26, 1964, at 7:30 P.M. with Bishop Paul N. Garber of the Richmond Area, Southeastern Jurisdiction, and President of the Council of Bishops of The Methodist Church, presiding.

Sacrament of the Lord's Supper—Bishop W. Earl Ledden

Preceding the official opening of the General Conference, the Bishops, Delegates, and visitors being assembled in Trinity Cathedral, the Sacrament of the Lord's Supper was administered by Bishop W. Earl Ledden, retired, assisted by Bishops D. D. Alejandro, Manila Area; Ralph T. Alton, Wisconsin Area; Hobart B. Amstutz, Singapore Area; Sante Uberto Barbieri, Buenos Aires Area; Kenneth W. Copeland, Nebraska Area; Ralph E. Dodge, Salisbury Area; Paul N. Garber, Richmond Area; Charles F. Golden, Nashville-Birmingham Area; A. Raymond Grant, Portland Area; Paul Hardin, Jr., South Carolina Area; M. Lafayette Harris, Atlantic Coast Area; Fred G. Holloway, West Virginia Area; Paul E. Martin, Houston Area; W. Vernon Middleton, Western Pennsylvania Area; Shot K. Mondol, Delhi Area; Everett W. Palmer, Seattle Area; Roy H. Short, Nashville Area; Ferdinand Sigg, Geneva Area; John Owen Smith, Atlanta Area; Edwin E. Voigt, Illinois Area; and Lloyd C. Wicke, New York Area. The Communion

Meditation was brought by Bishop Herbert Welch. (See Appendix, page 2166.)

For Order of Worship see Appendix, page 933.

Official Opening—Bishop Paul N. Garber—Austin C. Lovelace

Bishop Paul N. Garber, President of the Council of Bishops of The Methodist Church, the Presiding Officer, called the Conference to order at 7:30 o'clock for the first business session, and announced Hymns No. 162, "O for a Thousand Tongues to Sing" and No. 417, "He Bids Us Build Each Other Up." The Conference stood and under the leadership of Austin C. Lovelace (New York—NE), General Conference Director of Music, joined heartily in the singing of these great hymns.

Prayer—Bishop James H. Straughn

Bishop James H. Straughn with Bishop John M. Moore and Bishop Edwin Holt Hughes led us to unification twenty-five years ago. Bishop Straughn then served the Pittsburgh Area for eight years before retirement. Bishop Straughn offered the prayer of the evening.

Bishop Straughn: I shall not ask you to stand. You may bow your heads and pray with me. I am not praying so much for the crowd.

Our Father, we remember that Jesus called his disciples together at one time, and in talking with Thee, he said he was not praying so much for the world, but praying for those whom he had called. May we, tonight, think that we over the years were in his mind, that he prayed not only for them but all and any who would be called through them and by them down through the years; burdened his mind and his heart. We like to believe that he was praying for us, and praying for us here tonight.

Thou dost know better than we know ourselves whether we have been obedient, whether we have been worthy of that trust and that confidence, whether or not we have measured up to our measure of responsibility. Thou dost know all this better than we know ourselves.

At least here we are, and we are here in obedience to thy cause. Our confidence is that thou art here with us. We seek no other praise. We seek no other glory than to feel that we are about the things for which Thou did send Christ Jesus into the world. If only we may please Thee and not ourselves, then uppermost in our minds and our hearts will be the confidence that Thou art here, that Thou art with us, that Thou dost seek to guide our minds and our hearts and our decisions.

So many things have to be done. So many things of interest to so many of us have to be done. We who are here of the earth, and so oftentimes earthy, we only pray that we may be conscious always of Thy nearness, of Thy blessings, of Thy direction, so that when the days of assembly are done, we may feel that we have been about the things for which Christ Jesus gave His life.

Hear us, therefore, when we pray that Thou wilt be near. O Christ, be near to us. Holy Spirit, abide in our minds and in our hearts. Lead us into truth. Help us to do the things that we ought to do, and above

all, the things that Thou dost want us to do, so that when we go back home, we who have come from the far corners of the world to which Thou did direct us, that when we get back home, we may feel that we have been within Thy presence, within Thy nearness, that we have done that which Thou dost want us to do.

We give Thee our praise. We give Thee our thanksgiving in the name of Christ Jesus our Lord. Amen.

Bishop Paul N. Garber—J. Wesley Hole—Suspension of Rules

The Presiding Officer, Bishop Paul N. Garber, recognized J. Wesley Hole (Southern California-Arizona—W), Secretary of the Commission on Entertainment and Program, who moved the suspension of the Rules, pending the presentation of the Report of the Interim Committee on Organization and Rules of Order of the 1964 General Conference.

The Rules were suspended by order of the Conference.

Roll Call—Leon T. Moore

Leon T. Moore (Philadelphia—NE).

While the Conference stood in silent tribute the names of the deceased were called, as follows:

Bishops—

Bishop Robert Elijah Jones (May 18, 1960)
Bishop Ralph Spaulding Cushman (August 10, 1960)
Bishop Bachman G. Hodge (January 5, 1961)
Bishop William T. Watkins (February 6, 1961)
Bishop Roberto Elphick (May 6, 1961)
Bishop Bowman Foster Stockwell (June 5, 1961)
Bishop George Amos Miller (October 13, 1961)
Bishop Hiram Abiff Boaz (January 2, 1962)
Bishop John Wesley Edward Bowen (July 12, 1962)
Bishop A. Frank Smith (October 5, 1962)
Bishop G. Bromley Oxnam (March 12, 1963)
Bishop Julio M. Sabanes (August 29, 1963)
Bishop John Gowdy (September 9, 1963)
Bishop John M. Springer (December 2, 1963)
Bishop Clare Purcell (February 8, 1964)
Bishop Theodore Arvidson (March 12, 1964)
Bishop Chi-Ping Wang, First Chinese to be elected a Methodist Bishop, died in Tientsin, China.

Delegates-elect—

B. Clyde Clark, Sr. (Lay, June 24, 1963) (Oklahoma—SC)
Charles R. Clegg (Lay, September 9, 1963) (North Georgia—SE)

Ray W. Labbitt (Lay, September 14, 1963) (Detroit —NC)
Cosum M. Luster (Ministerial, April 1, 1964) (Texas —C)

Reserve Delegates-elect—
Osso W. Stanley (Lay, October 27, 1963) (Kentucky —SE)
Laurie G. Ray (Ministerial, December 23, 1963) (Florida—SE)
Thomas A. Harwood (Lay, Rock River—NC)
Thomas A. Pitt (Lay, Louisiana—SC)
E. A. Tanner (Lay, North Mississippi—SE)

Reserve Delegate-elect—Affiliated Autonomous Church
Joel de Melo (Lay, November, 1963) (Methodist Church of Brazil)

Judicial Council—Retired Members
William Lemuell Clegg (Ministerial, November 18, 1961)
Waights G. Henry (Ministerial, August 25, 1960)
John Alton Taylor (Ministerial, December 8, 1961)

Bishops Present—Bishop Roy H. Short

Bishop Roy H. Short, Nashville Area, Southeastern Jurisdiction, Secretary of the Council of Bishops of The Methodist Church, reported the following members of the Council of Bishops in attendance—

Dionisio D. Alejandro, Ralph T. Alton, Hobart B. Amstutz, Raymond L. Archer, James C. Baker, Sante Uberto Barbieri, Newell S. Booth, Charles W. Brashares, Matthew W. Clair, Jr., Kenneth W. Copeland, Fred Pierce Corson, Ralph E. Dodge, F. Gerald Ensley, Eugene M. Frank, Marvin A. Franklin, Paul V. Galloway, Paul N. Garber, Edwin R. Garrison, Charles F. Golden, A. Raymond Grant, Paul Hardin, Jr., Nolan B. Harmon, Costen J. Harrell, Marquis L. Harris, James W. Henley, Fred G. Holloway, Ivan Lee Holt, Gerald Kennedy, Willis J. King, W. Earl Ledden, John Wesley Lord, Edgar A. Love, Paul E. Martin, William C. Martin, James K. Mathews, W. Vernon Middleton, Shot K. Mondol, Arthur J. Moore, Noah W. Moore, Jr., T. Otto Nall, Frederick B. Newell, H. Clifford Northcott, Everett W. Palmer, Glenn R. Phillips, J. Waskom Pickett, W. Kenneth Pope, Richard C. Raines, Marshall R. Reed, Clement D. Rockey, Alexander P. Shaw, Roy H. Short, Ferdinand Sigg, Mangal Singh, Oliver Eugene Slater, J. Owen Smith, W. Angie Smith, James H. Straughn, John A. Subhan, Gabriel Sundaram, Prince A. Taylor, Jr., Donald H. Tippett, Jose L. Valencia, Edwin E. Voigt, Raymond J. Wade, Aubrey G. Walton, W. Ralph Ward, Herbert Welch, Hazen G. Werner, Lloyd C. Wicke, Friedrich Wunderlich, Pedro Zottele.

Bishops From Affiliated Autonomous Churches
Jose A. do Amaral—Brazil
Wan Shin Lee—Korea

Jose Petro Pinheiro—Brazil
Alejandro Ruiz—Mexico
Isaias Sucasas—Brazil

Absent Bishops—
Enrique C. Balloch, W. Y. Chen, Dana Dawson, Charles Wesley Flint, Juan E. Gattinoni, Wilbur E. Hammaker, Edward W. Kelly, and J. Ralph Magee.

Annual Conference Members

The Chairman of each Annual Conference Delegation, on a prepared blank, certified that the following Delegates were in attendance upon this session of the General Conference of The Methodist Church—

Agra Annual Conference (OS)
Ministerial—Sisa M. Sagar.
Lay—Mehar Singh.

Alabama-West Florida Annual Conference (SE)
Ministerial—Edward L. Hardin, Paul A. Duffey, Marion C. Mathison, Joel D. McDavid, John B. Nichols.
Lay—L. S. Moore, George H. Proctor, T. Albert West, David Self.

Angola Annual Conference (OS)
Ministerial—Miguel de Andrade.
Lay—Andreano Kapangue.

Argentina Annual Conference (OS)
Ministerial—Luis P. Bucafusco.
Lay—Daniel D. Lura Villanueva.

Baltimore Annual Conference (NE)
Ministerial—John B. Jones, Marion S. Michael, William A. Keese, Norman L. Trott, Asbury Smith, Clarence Fossett, William E. Smith.
Lay—W. Carroll Beatty, Mrs. Leonard N. Sowards, D. Stewart Patterson, Harry M. Schuh, Hurst Anderson, Everett Jones, Mrs. Thelma Skilling.

Belgium Annual Conference (OS)
Ministerial—Maurice E. Descamps.
Lay—Frederick Griffin.

Bengal Annual Conference (OS)
Ministerial—Sukumar Baidya.
Lay—P. E. Mullick.

Bolivia Annual Conference (OS)
Ministerial—Adolfo C. Angles.
Lay—Noel Salazar.

Bombay Annual Conference (OS)
Ministerial—Eric A. Mitchell.
Lay—Clement Soans.

Burma Annual Conference (OS)

Ministerial—
Lay—

California-Nevada Annual Conference (W)
Ministerial—Roy Nichols, R. Marvin Stuart, Kenneth W. Adams, John R. Wilkins, Robert W. Moon, Carl L. Stocking, C. Douglas Hayward.
Lay—Frank Webber, G. Clifford Pettygrove, Clare N. Pettit, Robert Burns, George H. Atkinson, Mrs. Alice Baun, Mrs. Mildred Howell.

Central Alabama Annual Conference (C)
Ministerial—Joseph E. Lowery.
Lay—Quinton D. Adams.

Central Congo (OS)
Ministerial—Pierre Shaumba.
Lay—Albert Osamba.

Central Illinois Annual Conference (NC)
Ministerial—William W. Bennett, W. Harold Loyd, Leslie C. Archer, Joseph H. Albrecht, Robert C. Calderwood, H. Russell Coulter, Dale E. Pitcher.
Lay—Richard H. Gantz, Lloyd M. Bertholf, Mrs. J. L. McAdam, Mrs. LeRoy A. Pike, Elmer Jolly, Mrs. G. E. Hartenbower, David A. Lindstrom.

Central Kansas Annual Conference (SC)
Ministerial—George W. Richards, Clarence J. Borger, Lyman S. Johnson, Glenn E. Matthew, Oren F. McClure.
Lay—Robert P. Cook, Marion Livengood, Mrs. H. L. Georg, Mrs. D. E. Watson, Harold Robison.

Central New York Annual Conference (NE)
Ministerial—Harold S. Swales, Lester Schaff, Henry G. Budd.
Lay—Lester Bascom, Phil D. Stump, Mrs. Kenneth E. Lundy.

Central Pennsylvania Annual Conference (NE)
Ministerial—Edgar A. Henry, John F. Stamm, D. Frederick Wertz, Earl N. Rowe.
Lay—Robert E. Knupp, Mrs. Frank W. Ake, Charles V. Adams, Victor K. Meredith, Sr.

Central Texas Annual Conference (SC)
Ministerial—L. Stanley Williams, Wilford V. Bane, Gaston Foote, G. Alfred Brown, H. Brown Loyd.
Lay—Roy J. Grogan, Eugene F. Jud, Mrs. R. S. High, Law Sone, Jarrard Secrest.

Central West Annual Conference (C)
Ministerial—Woodie D. Lester.
Lay—Charles S. Scott.

Chile Annual Conference (OS)
Ministerial—Raymond Valenzuela.

Lay—David Gallardo.
Cuba Annual Conference (SE)
Ministerial—Carlos Perez.
Lay—Manuel Garcia.
Czechoslovakia Annual Conference (OS)
Ministerial—Vaclav Hunaty.
Ministerial Reserve—Vilem Schneeberger—seated by special action of General Conference.
Delaware Annual Conference (C)
Ministerial—Dennis R. Fletcher, Walter R. Hazzard.
Lay—William C. Jason, Jr.
Delhi Annual Conference (OS)
Ministerial—Prabhakar C. B. Balaram.
Lay—Alexander P. Newton.
Denmark Annual Conference (OS)
Ministerial—Poul B. Poulsen.
Lay—Frede Johansen.
Detroit Annual Conference (NC)
Ministerial—Orville H. McKay, John E. Marvin, Frederick C. Vosburg, James H. Laird, John W. Parrish, Hoover Rupert, Jesse R. DeWitt.
Lay—Harold M. Karls, James A. Crippen, Mrs. William Cansfield, Lionel E. Thompson, Mrs. Kirk Rae, Prentiss Brown, Jr.
East Tennessee Annual Conference (C)
Ministerial—Major J. Jones.
Lay—Maos D. McCormick.
East Wisconsin Annual Conference (NC)
Ministerial—Alvin J. Lindgren, Francis E. Kearns, Marvin A. Schilling.
Lay—James I. Martin, Mrs. R. Lee Hundley, Mrs. E. H. Boettcher.
Florida Annual Conference (C)
Ministerial—Stafford S. Robinson.
Lay—Richard V. Moore.
Florida Annual Conference (SE)
Ministerial—George A. Foster, Edward J. Pendergrass, John J. Rooks, Albert Dale Hagler, Charles T. Thrift, Jr., M. C. Cleveland, Henry W. Blackburn.
Lay—R. Howard Berg, Mrs. David R. Thurman, Glenn W. Gold, Robert T. Mann, Edd W. Gentry, Mrs. Howard V. Weems, John Sargeant, Fred B. Noble.
Genesee Annual Conference (NE)
Ministerial—Charles S. Aldrich, Clytus F. Mowry, Dean E. Richardson.
Lay—Mrs. John E. Kelly, Mrs. John O. Mabuce, Thomas B. Clay.

Georgia Annual Conference (C)
Ministerial—L. Scott Allen.
Lay—James P. Brawley.
Gujarat Annual Conference (OS)
Ministerial—Ithiel V. Master.
Lay—Joseph M. Contractor.
Holston Annual Conference (SE)
Ministerial—Earl G. Hunt, Mack B. Stokes, Edgar A. Eldridge, D. Trigg James, Sr., Mark M. Moore, Clyde F. Watkins, Paul R. Dodd.
Lay—L. T. Prigmore, Jr., Horace B. Hauk, R. D. Campbell, H. L. Jackson, Holiday Smith, Mrs. Robert Flegal.
Hyderabad Annual Conference (OS)
Ministerial—Maddala D. Robert.
Lay—Agepogu R. Daniels.
Idaho Annual Conference (W)
Ministerial—V. Leon Bolen.
Lay—Erwin H. Schiebert.
Indiana Annual Conference (NC)
Ministerial—James K. Forbes, William N. Burton, Charles A. Tyler, A. James Armstrong.
Lay—Edward Susat, Russell Kibler, Mrs. Roy Priest, Glenn Dougherty.
Indus River Annual Conference (OS)
Ministerial—Inayat S. Mall.
Lay—Jay W. Isaacs.
Kansas Annual Conference (SC)
Ministerial—Albert F. Bramble, Don W. Holter, Lloyd H. Rising, Clare J. Hayes.
Lay—Ronald Quellhorst, Floyd H. Coffman, Mrs. Paul M. Buress.
Kentucky Annual Conference (SE)
Ministerial—Russell R. Patton, Edward L. Tullis, Ralph G. Wesley.
Lay—Howard M. Jones, William E. Savage.
Lexington Annual Conference (C)
Ministerial—Harry B. Gibson, Jr., Sumpter M. Riley, Jr.
Lay—Mrs. Anita Fields, Joseph T. Johnson.
Liberia Annual Conference (OS)
Ministerial—Urias B. Freeman.
Lay—James B. Dennis.
Little Rock Annual Conference (SC)
Ministerial—Marshall T. Steel, Cecil R. Culver, Charles W. Richards.
Lay—Roland M. Shelton, Luther L. Miller, Luther J. Miller.
Louisiana Annual Conference (C)
Ministerial—William T. Handy, Jr.

Lay—George L. Netterville.

Louisiana Annual Conference (SC)
Ministerial—William E. Trice, Carl F. Lueg, Sr., Douglas E. Jackson, Benjamin R. Oliphint, Daniel W. Poole.
Lay—W. David Cotton, Mrs. George W. Dameron, Vincent M. Mouser, Robert P. Lay.

Louisville Annual Conference (SE)
Ministerial—James W. Averitt, James S. Curry, E. Wade Weldon, Ted Hightower.
Lay—Mrs. Haskel E. Arterburn, Claude S. Sprowls, Thomas McQuary.

Lucknow Annual Conference (OS)
Ministerial—Alfred J. Shaw.
Lay—

Madhya Pradesh Annual Conference (OS)
Ministerial—John V. Hulasi Rae.
Lay—Timothius Prasad.

Maine Annual Conference (NE)
Ministerial—Lester L. Boobar, Lewis H. Beckford.
Lay—E. Millett Cummins, Margaret Currie.

Malaya Annual Conference (OS)
Ministerial—Kim Hao Yap.
Lay—Lai Yuen Wong.

Malaysia Chinese Annual Conference (OS)
Ministerial—F. O. Stockwell.
Lay—Siaw-Hua Khoo.

Memphis Annual Conference (SE)
Ministerial—Franklin Alton Flatt, James A. Fisher, Paul T. Lyles.
Lay—Red H. Bond, Leslie M. Stratton, III, Charles L. Yancey, Mrs. R. S. Hamer.

Michigan Annual Conference (NC)
Ministerial—Thomas M. Pryor, John W. Tennant, Heath T. Goodwin, Howard A. Lyman.
Lay—Alvin A. Neller, Mrs. W. Gordon Dix, Donald E. Holbrook, Donald T. Strong.

Middle Philippines Annual Conference (OS)
Ministerial—Fidel P. Galang.
Lay—Felipe A. Tarroza.

Mindanao Annual Conference (OS)
Ministerial—Tomas de los Santos.
Lay—Alfredo R. Respicio.

Minnesota Annual Conference (NC)
Ministerial—Russell A. Huffman, Chester A. Pennington, Dennis F. Nyberg, Charles E. Pieh, George A. Butters.
Lay—Francis H. Faber, Mrs. Charles W. Spear, Lawrence E. Whiteley, Mrs. Jon Gridley, Mrs. Clarence E. Richardson.

Mississippi Annual Conference (C)
Ministerial—C. P. Payne.
Lay—Ira W. McCullum.
Mississippi Annual Conference (SE)
Ministerial—J. Willard Leggett, G. Eliot Jones, Seth W. Granberry, Thomas O. Prewitt.
Lay—John C. Satterfield, Bert Jordan, Edwin E. Moorhead.
Missouri East Annual Conference (SC)
Ministerial—Wesley H. Hager, Floyd V. Brower, Joseph H. Wagner, Monk Bryan.
Lay—J. C. Hawkins, A. L. Jones, Mrs. Charles H. Gillespie.
Missouri West Annual Conference (SC)
Ministerial—Ross A. Fulton, Hauser Winter, Forrest L. Standard, Lycurgus M. Starkey, Jr., Robert N. Arbaugh.
Lay—Mrs. Ernest Mehl, Hugh DuBois, N. Guy Hall, George Seiberling, Mrs. W. L. Perryman.
Montana Annual Conference (W)
Ministerial—Hugh S. Herbert.
Lay—Sam A. Munson.
Moradabad Annual Conference (OS)
Ministerial—Daniel B. Massey.
Lay—Dalchand Das.
Nebraska Annual Conference (SC)
Ministerial—Alva H. Clark, C. Edwin Murphy, Richard E. Carlyon, James S. Chubb, Lowell D. Jones.
Lay—Wilbert K. Flaming, John W. Frey, Mrs. Max Gentry, Kenneth Dryden.
New England Annual Conference (NE)
Ministerial—Wilbur C. Ziegler, Lemuel K. Lord, James R. Uhlinger, H. Hughes Wagner.
Lay—Mason N. Hartman, Mrs. Melvin E. Lawrence, Mrs. Emil M. Hartl, James Fraggos.
New England Southern Annual Conference (NE)
Ministerial—James V. Claypool, Lawrence F. Almond.
Lay—Mrs. F. Morris Cochran, Thomas Bell.
New Hampshire Annual Conference (NE)
Ministerial—Lewis H. Moulton.
Lay—James R. Lytle.
New Jersey Annual Conference (NE)
Ministerial—William R. Guffick, George R. Propert, Charles A. Sayre, Ernest W. Lee.
Lay—Robert J. Mumford, Hammel P. Shipps, Mrs. Mabel K. Stretch, Herbert J. Schoellkopf.
New Mexico Annual Conference (SC)
Ministerial—Earl M. Nowlin, G. Lemuel Fenn.

Lay—William C. Patten, Sam Steele.

New York Annual Conference (NE)

Ministerial—Walter L. Scranton, Harold A. Bosley, William M. James.

Lay—George M. Northrop, Richard N. Lander, Chester A. Smith.

New York East Annual Conference (NE)

Ministerial—Henry C. Whyman, William H. Alderson, Loyd F. Worley, Arthur T. Tedcastle, Richard A. Thornburg.

Lay—Louis C. Hauser, Robert W. Preusch, Mrs. Ronald S. Law, Miss Ethel Johnson, William W. Reid.

Newark Annual Conference (NE)

Ministerial—Robert B. Goodwin, Eugene L. Smith, Forest M. Fuess, William F. B. Rodda.

Lay—Charles C. Parlin, Mrs. Adrienne Taylor, Robert F. Oxnam, Robert M. Carson.

North Alabama Annual Conference (SE)

Ministerial—R. Laurence Dill, Jr., J. Henry Chitwood, Calvin M. Pinkard, J. Duncan Hunter, Jr., Thomas A. Edgar, R. Edwin Kimbrough, W. Nelson Guthrie, Sr.

Lay—William C. Brannon, Jesse A. Culp, Virgil B. McCain, Frank M. Dominick, R. Clarence Williams, Edward Montgomery, Joseph H. Owens.

North Arkansas Annual Conference (SC)

Ministerial—John A. Bayliss, Ethan W. Dodgen, Lloyd M. Conyers.

Lay—E. Clay Bumpers, Charles W. Shively, Ralph Woodruff.

North Carolina Annual Conference (C)

Ministerial—Leander A. Brown.

Lay—Clarence M. Winchester.

North Carolina Annual Conference (SE)

Ministerial—Offie L. Hathaway, Thomas A. Collins, Chancie D. Barclift, Cecil W. Robbins, Robert E. Cushman, J. Ezell Garlington, Marquis W. Lawrence.

Lay—J. Nelson Gibson, Jr., Roy L. Turnage, Mrs. H. C. Turlington, Walter F. Anderson, L. Stacy Weaver, Robert Rose, James F. Rogers.

North Dakota Annual Conference (NC)

Ministerial—Francis V. Tannehill.

Lay—

North Georgia Annual Conference (SE)

Ministerial—William R. Cannon, Nat G. Long, Delma L. Hagood, Gordon G. Thompson, Jr., W. Rembert Sisson, William H. Ruff, T. Cecil Myers.

Lay—Virgil Y. C. Eady, Mrs. Ethan L. Taylor, D. W.

Brooks, William A. Sutton, Mrs. Arthur Styron, John W. Whatley.

North India Annual Conference (OS)
Ministerial—Ram Datt Joshi.
Lay—Murad Massey.

North Indiana Annual Conference (NC)
Ministerial—Thurman B. Morris, Byron F. Stroh, Donald E. Bailey, Gerald H. Jones, O. Wayne Paulen.
Lay—H. Owen DeWee, Mrs. Rex Pope, Mrs. B. V. Allen, Roy R. Roudebush, Paul B. Daugherty.

North Iowa Annual Conference (NC)
Ministerial—Lawrence D. Havighurst, Frederick E. Miller, Frederick J. Ackman, Kenneth E. Metcalf, Harvey A. Walker, J. Richard Palmer.
Lay—Mrs. W. D. Martin, Harry E. Young, Leland C. Surfus, W. Z. Fidler, Mrs. Robert C. Armstrong, Mrs. Clarence W. Tompkins.

North Mississippi Annual Conference (SE)
Ministerial—H. Ellis Finger, Archie L. Meadows, George R. Williams.
Lay—Roy Black, Sam C. Stanley, Joe N. Bailey, Jr.

North Texas Annual Conference (SC)
Ministerial—Alsie H. Carleton, Robert E. Goodrich, Jr., Albert C. Outler, William B. Slack, J. Daniel Barron.
Lay—Ed Curry, Jack V. Folsom, K. B. Copeland, Willis M. Tate, Albert S. Milikien.

Northeast Germany Annual Conference (OS)
Ministerial—Ernst Scholz.
Lay—Gunter Frohlich.

North-East Ohio Annual Conference (NC)
Ministerial—Robert H. Courtney, Alva I. Cox, Harold B. Williams, Paul M. Ward, Thomas L. Cromwell, Charles W. Hamilton, Russell H. Hoy, Carl C. Bracy.
Lay—Charles R. Layton, N. Wayne Cox, John W. Chittum, Mrs. B. V. Mitchell, Alfred B. Bonds, Jr., Harvey C. Yoder, Walter J. Morris, Robert L. Moulton.

Northern New York Annual Conference (NE)
Ministerial—Arthur B. Oot, Robert D. Jones.
Lay—DeWitt C. LeFevre, Vernon Lee.

Northern Philippines Annual Conference (OS)
Ministerial—Pedro F. Daludado.
Lay—Virgilio T. Velasco.

Northwest Germany Annual Conference (OS)
Ministerial—Gerhard A. Schodde.
Lay—Johann M. Bruns.

Northwest Indiana Annual Conference (NC)
Ministerial—John D. Wolf, Otis L. Collier, Richard C. Thistle.

Lay—Mrs. C. J. Rogers, John Thomas, Frank Innis.

Northwest Philippines Annual Conference (OS)
Ministerial—Gregorio R. Bailen.
Lay—Ezekias G. Gacutan.

Northwest Texas Annual Conference (SC)
Ministerial—Marvin L. Boyd, J. Howard Crawford, Vernon N. Henderson, J. Chess Lovern, J. Weldon Butler.
Lay—Joe T. Salem, Gordon Bennett, J. M. Willson, Sr., Eldon Mahon, Mrs. C. C. Coffee.

Norway Annual Conference (OS)
Ministerial—Einar-Anker Nilsen.
Lay—Sverre Knudsen.

Ohio Annual Conference (NC)
Ministerial—Raoul C. Calkins, W. Arthur Milne, Donald W. Cryer, Donald R. Yocum, Lance Webb, Claude Garrison, Austin R. Whitmore, Edwin H. Dickey, Lee C. Moorehead, J. Otis Young.
Lay—Paul B. Momberg, Mrs. Carl E. Ware, Mrs. Harold M. Baker, Leonard Slutz, Paul Wiant, Miss Marion Brown, Harold Rosser, Charles B. Mills, Mrs. Everett Goodwin, George H. Day.

Oklahoma Annual Conference (SC)
Ministerial—Finis A. Crutchfield, W. McFerrin Stowe, Ira E. Williams, Irving L. Smith, Nuell C. Crain, Jack S. Wilkes, L. Lee Bowles.
Lay—William C. Doenges, Jim A. Egan, Mrs. George Metzel, Manly Moore, Carl McFall, Tal Oden.

Oregon Annual Conference (W)
Ministerial—Lawrence E. Guderian, Robert W. Burtner.
Lay—Mrs. Russell O. Watson, Norman Amtower.

Pacific Japanese Provisional Annual Conference (W)
Ministerial—Paul Hagiya.
Lay—Tosh Terasawa.

Pacific Northwest Annual Conference (W)
Ministerial—J. Henry Ernst, Jack M. Tuell, Cecil F. Ristow, John C. Soltman, William H. Ritchey.
Lay—Robert M. Thorpe, Mrs. John Eby, Lyle H. Truax, Roy P. Moller, Willard A. Zellmer.

Peninsula Annual Conference (NE)
Ministerial—William F. Dunkle, Jr., Paul E. McCoy, Raymond Cooke.
Lay—Granville Hooper, Elton W. Parsons.

Philadelphia Annual Conference (NE)
Ministerial—Harold D. Flood, John D. Herr, Clinton M. Cherry, George C. Lurwick, Wallace F. Stettler.
Lay—Mrs. Paul G. Masters, John R. Harper, Frank E. Baker, J. Holland Heck, J. Lee Bausher.

Philippines Annual Conference (OS)
Ministerial—Benjamin I. Guansing.
Lay—Samuel Bautista.
Poland Annual Conference (OS)
Ministerial—Jozef Szczepkowski.
Lay—
Puerto Rico Provisional Annual Conference (NE)
Ministerial—Jorge N. Cintron.
Lay—Jose Cruz Chacon.
Rhodesia Annual Conference (OS)
Ministerial—Josiah Chidzikwe.
Lay—Samuel Tsopotsa.
Rio Grande Annual Conference (SC)
Ministerial—Simon A. Nieto.
Lay—Mrs. May Alvirez.
Rock River Annual Conference (NC)
Ministerial—Dwight E. Loder, Frank L. Countryman, Charles S. Jarvis, Merlyn W. Northfelt, Amos A. Thornburg, Carleton C. Rogers, Edsel A. Ammons.
Lay—Arthur L. Myers, Mrs. Paul L. Copeland, Henry V. Loeppert, John R. VanSickle, Clay E. Steele, Mrs. C. Clifford Cummings, Samuel W. Witwer.
Rocky Mountain Annual Conference (W)
Ministerial—Harvey H. Potthoff, Ben F. Lehmberg, J. Carlton Babbs, Taylor McConnell.
Lay—R. S. Doenges, Chester M. Alter, John Persinger, Mrs. Bruce Renick.
Sarawak Annual Conference (OS)
Ministerial—Siew Liong Ho.
Lay—Ping Hua Yao.
South Carolina Annual Conference (C)
Ministerial—James S. Thomas, John W. Curry.
Lay—Robert J. Palmer, Mrs. Beulah L. Baxley.
South Carolina Annual Conference (SE)
Ministerial—Francis T. Cunningham, R. W. Spears, R. B. Herbert, John V. Murray, W. W. Fridy, Henry L. Kingman, Eben Taylor.
Lay—Marion R. Mobley, W. J. Ready, J. Carlisle Holler, Charles W. Marsh, J. E. Jerome, Roy C. Moore, John A. White.
South Dakota Annual Conference (NC)
Ministerial—Harvey H. Sander, Arnold T. Herbst.
Lay—Ervin R. Ortman, Fred Hubbard.
South Georgia Annual Conference (SE)
Ministerial—Leonard H. Cochran, G. Ross Freeman, David A. Duck, Frank L. Robertson, J. F. Wilson.
Lay—W. S. Parks, B. I. Thornton, Zack S. Henderson, W. E. Bostwick, Mrs. Clyde J. Newman.

South Germany Annual Conference (OS)
Ministerial—Hermann Jeuther, Johannes Matthies.
Lay—Albert Grad, Heinz P. Fischer.
South India Annual Conference (OS)
Ministerial—Narsappa Nehemiah.
Lay—Lingampalli Jaya Prabhu.
South Iowa Annual Conference (NC)
Ministerial—Paul M. Hann, Lester L. Moore, Arthur V. Long, Wilbur Wilcox.
Lay—Mrs. John A. Owens, Paul V. Shearer, Waldo E. Don Carlos, Mrs. Robert Lucas.
Southeast Africa Annual Conference (OS)
Ministerial—
Lay—Bento T. Navess.
Southern California-Arizona Annual Conference (W)
Ministerial—Edwin E. Reeves, Ray M. Ragsdale, K. Morgan Edwards, L. L. White, Russell E. Clay, Richard W. Cain, Frank S. Williams, Will Hildebrand, Melvin E. Wheatley, Jr., Stanley S. McKee.
Lay—J. Wesley Hole, Ernest C. Colwell, Verne Orr, Sr., Hubert E. Orton, Mrs. Otto J. Wittman, Mrs. Edwin A. Ingham, Mrs. Martha Bacon, Mrs. John Paul Stone, Robert Fletcher, Melvin Gammage.
Southern Congo Annual Conference (OS)
Ministerial—Joel Bulaya.
Lay—Gaston Mwenda.
Southern Illinois Annual Conference (NC)
Ministerial—Clyde R. Funkhouser, Joseph C. Evers, Hubert G. Hurley.
Lay—Mrs. Robert Davis, Everett K. Thompson, Russell Rigden.
Southwest Annual Conference (C)
Ministerial—Cortelyou C. Hall.
Lay—John S. Cullins.
Southwest Germany Annual Conference (OS)
Ministerial—Carl Ernst Sommer.
Lay—Rudolf Schiele.
Southwest Texas Annual Conference (SC)
Ministerial—Ted Richardson, Ennis B. Hill, James Wm. Morgan, J. Barcus Moore, Elmer J. Hierholzer, Sterling F. Wheeler.
Lay—James M. Walker, Tom Reavley, E. D. McDonald, Mrs. V. G. Woolsey, C. W. Brown, William B. Warner.
Sumatra Annual Conference (OS)
Ministerial—K. Ragnar Alm.
Lay—Karl M. Hutapea.
Sweden Annual Conference (OS)
Ministerial—Arne G. Widegard, Henry J. Atterling.

Lay—Curt Amark, Carl-Axel Holm.
Switzerland Annual Conference (OS)
Ministerial—Hermann O. Schaad.
Lay—Ernst Ryser.
Tennessee Annual Conference (C)
Ministerial—Allen H. Randolph.
Lay—Lillian L. Jones.
Tennessee Annual Conference (SE)
Ministerial—H. Thornton Fowler, William Bruce Strother, Elbert E. Walkup, Charles Elwood Denson.
Lay—R. Kenneth Morgan, N. C. Beasley, H. H. Turpen.
Texas Annual Conference (C)
Ministerial—Luther B. Felder.
Lay—Thomas M. Cole, Mrs. H. L. Wooten.
Texas Annual Conference (SC)
Ministerial—Wayne H. McCleskey, Derwood L. Blackwell, Stewart Clendenin, William L. Hattaway, Joe Z. Tower, John W. Hardt, J. Kenneth Shamblin, Durwood Fleming.
Lay—Ray M. Goens, R. E. Smith, W. A. Pounds, T. E. Acker, Mrs. E. M. Faubion, M. G. Mell, Claude Edge.
Troy Annual Conference (NE)
Ministerial—Elmer N. Haley, Charles M. Kessler, Hobart F. Goewey.
Lay—Frederick K. Kirchner, Mrs. Wilbur F. Curtis, Don S. Robb.
Upper Mississippi Annual Conference (C)
Ministerial—John H. Graham.
Lay—Isaac P. Presley.
Uruguay Annual Conference (OS)
Ministerial—Miguel A. Brun.
Lay—Mrs. Violeta M. Frisch.
Virginia Annual Conference (SE)
Ministerial—Roland P. Riddick, Joseph S. Johnston, Harold H. Hughes, Sr., Carl J. Sanders, Edgar A. Potts, A. Purnell Bailey, Owen T. Kelly, Theodore E. Landis, R. Kern Eutsler, R. Orman Bryant, Harry W. Backhus, III.
Lay—Allie G. Jefferson, Charles M. Earley, J. Earl Moreland, Mrs. J. Boyd Tyrrell, Albert L. White, Jr., Jerry G. Bray, W. Roland Walker, William C. Vaughan, Dan L. Grantham, William T. Robey, Jr., John H. Rixse.
Washington Annual Conference (C)
Ministerial—John B. A. Dyson, Kelly L. Jackson.
Lay—Thurman L. Dodson, Mrs. Beryl E. W. Williams.
West Texas Annual Conference (C)
Ministerial—Ernest T. Dixon.

Lay—John T. King.

West Virginia Annual Conference (NE)

Ministerial—Claude R. Collins, Garrett H. Evans, Charles Godwin, Truman W. Potter, Henry R. High.

Lay—William A. Byus, Jr., A. T. Artzberger, Mrs. B. J. Cunningham, Mrs. E. K. Johnston, H. P. Shaffer, Richard L. Roberts.

West Wisconsin Annual Conference (NC)

Ministerial—Winslow N. Wilson, Gomer W. Finch.

Lay—Norman F. Kahl, Clifford G. Lau.

Western North Carolina Annual Conference (SE)

Ministerial—Charles D. White, Robert G. Tuttle, J. Clay Madison, W. Kenneth Goodson, Wilson O. Weldon, Charles P. Bowles, Lee F. Tuttle, Phillip L. Shore, Jr., Cecil G. Hefner, Chesley C. Herbert, Jr., John H. Carper.

Lay—Edwin L. Jones, Sr., M. Thomas Lambeth, Robert M. Smith, George M. Ivey, Sr., Mrs. Carl H. King, Tom M. Little, Gordon L. Goodson, J. Braxton Craven, Carl B. Hyatt, Jr., Ralph M. Stockton, D. W. Holt.

Western Pennsylvania Annual Conference (NE)

Ministerial—John B. Warman, W. Sproule Boyd, Arthur B. R. Colley, Kenneth P. Rutter, Adolph P. Weaver, Arthur M. Crawford, Warren A. Bugbee.

Lay—Mrs. Jacob F. Rentz, Leon E. Hickman, George W. Shindledecker, William M. Beatty, Raymond M. Bell, Robert B. Pease, Fred E. Murdock.

Wyoming Annual Conference

Ministerial—Leon W. Bouton, Harold C. Buckingham, Philip N. Pitcher.

Lay—Walter L. Hunt, Harry M. Gordon.

Substitutions—

The following Reserve Delegates were seated in place of Delegates—

Alabama-West Florida Annual Conference (SE)

Lay—Mrs. J. T. Allen for J. Herbert Orr.

Burma Annual Conference (OS)

Lay—Miss Khin Khin Thant for John C. Lyall.

Detroit Annual Conference (NC)

Lay—James Ragland for Ray W. Labbitt, deceased.

Holston Annual Conference (SE)

Lay—Robert H. Powers for Earl Blazer.

Kansas Annual Conference (SC)

Lay—Mrs. Albert Henry for Mrs. Benjamin A. Gessner, resigned.

Kentucky Annual Conference (SE)
Lay—Mrs. John W. Holbrook for Mrs. John A. Creech.
Louisiana Annual Conference (SC)
Lay—Robert J. O'Neal for Howard L. Daugenbaugh.
Louisville Annual Conference (SE)
Lay—Albert Hubbard for Smith Broadbent, Jr.
Lucknow Annual Conference (OS)
Lay—Allnutt T. Franklin for Matthew R. Davidson.
Memphis Annual Conference (SE)
Ministerial—J. C. Gilbert for James P. Irion.
Mississippi Annual Conference (SE)
Lay—J. W. Alford for Ben M. Stevens, Sr.
Missouri East Annual Conference (SC)
Lay—Ronald Shipp for Wade Littrell.
Nebraska Annual Conference (NC)
Lay—Ray Pengra for Ralph F. Gunkelman.
North Georgia Annual Conference (SE)
Lay—Warren Haisten for Charles R. Clegg, deceased.
North Mississippi Annual Conference (SE)
Lay—Ola H. Swango for Joe N. Bailey, Jr.
Oklahoma Annual Conference (SC)
Lay—Robert S. Kerr, Jr. for B. Clyde Clark, Sr., deceased.
Oregon Annual Conference (W)
Ministerial—Raymond E. Balcomb for Cortland Cambric, withdrew from Methodist Ministry.
Lay—Howard B. Somers for Arthur Fleming.
Southeast Africa Annual Conference (OS)
Ministerial—Manuel Mucambe for Escrivao Zunguze.
Tennessee Annual Conference (C)
Ministerial—Allen M. Mayes for Cosum M. Luster, deceased.
Texas Annual Conference (SC)
Lay—Mrs. W. E. Horton for Pat Thompson.
West Virginia Annual Conference (NE)
Ministerial—A. P. Wallace for Lacy H. Burns.
Wyoming Annual Conference (NE)
Lay—Ralph L. Newing for G. Wesley Lewis.

Annual Conferences—No Delegates

Because of conditions beyond their control, the following Annual Conferences had no Delegates to the 1964 General Conference of The Methodist Church—Central Germany (OS), East China (OS), Foochow (OS), Hinghwa (OS), Kiangsi (OS), Mid-China (OS), North China (OS), Shantung (OS), West China (OS), Yenping (OS).

Affiliated Autonomous Churches

In accordance with the provisions set forth in Paragraph 602 (3) and (4), 1960 *Discipline*, The Methodist Church; Paragraph 1153 (a) and 5 (d), 1936 *Discipline*, The Methodist Episcopal Church; and, Paragraph 85, 1938 *Discipline*, The Methodist Episcopal Church, South, the Affiliated Autonomous Churches sent Delegates as follows:

Methodist Church of Brazil
Missionary—Robert S. Davis.
Missionary Reserve—William J. Hinson.

Methodist Church of Korea
Missionary—James H. Moore.

Methodist Church of Mexico
Missionary—Arthur W. Chaffee.

Provisional Annual Conferences Overseas

Under the provisions of Paragraph 503, 1960 *Discipline*, The Methodist Church, the following Overseas Provisional Annual Conferences sent a representative—

Hong Kong Provisional Annual Conference
C. H. Woo.

Karachi Provisional Annual Conference
Lawrence Mandody.

Panama Provisional Annual Conference
Walter K. Reitz.

Taiwan Provisional Annual Conference
Edward K. Knettler.

Executive Secretaries of General Boards

Under the provisions of Paragraph 502, 1960 *Discipline* of The Methodist Church, the following Executive Secretaries of the General Boards were granted the privileges of the floor—

American Bible Society
Laton E. Holmgren (New York—NE).

Chaplains
John R. McLaughlin (Newark—NE).

Christian Social Concerns
A. Dudley Ward (New York East—NE), *Grover C. Bagby* (Southern California-Arizona—W), Herman Will, Jr. (Baltimore—NE), Roger Burgess (Virginia—SE).

Education
Henry M. Bullock (Mississippi—SE), *John O. Gross* (Kentucky—SE), *Leon M. Adkins* (Central New York—NE).

Evangelism
Harry Denman (North Alabama—SE).
Historical Societies, Association of Methodist
Albea Godbold (Missouri East—SC).
Hospitals and Homes
Olin E. Oeschger (Rock River—NC).
Interboard Committee on Christian Vocations
Richard H. Bauer (Ohio—NC).
Interboard Committee on Missionary Education
Horace W. Williams (Central Texas—SC).
Lay Activities
Robert G. Mayfield (Missouri West—SC).
Missions
H. Conwell Snoke (Philadelphia—NE), *Gerald L. Clapsaddle* (Indiana—NC), Ruth VanMeter (New York—NE), Mrs. Porter Brown (New York—NE), Mary Lou Barnwell (New York—NE).
Overseas Relief
Gaither P. Warfield (Virginia—SE).
Pensions
Charles L. Calkins (South Dakota—NC).
Promotion and Cultivation
Elliott L. Fisher (California-Nevada—W).
Public Relations and Methodist Information
Arthur West (Missouri East—SC).
Publication
Lovick Pierce (Tennessee—SE).
Television, Radio, and Film
Harry Spencer (Rock River—NC).
World Service and Finance
Donald A. Cooke (Florida—SE).

Quorum Present—Leon T. Moore

The Secretary of the General Conference, *Leon T. Moore* (Philadelphia—NE), properly certified to the Presiding Officer, Bishop Paul N. Garber, that a quorum of delegates elected to the 1964 session of the General Conference of The Methodist Church was present. Bishop Garber stated, "There being a quorum present, we can now proceed to business."

Delegates Instructed—Leon T. Moore

The Chairmen of the delegations were instructed as to the use of the forms for the Roll Calls of the opening and closing sessions. These reports will be used as a check on the per diem allowance to be paid by the Council on World Service and Finance.

Election of the Secretary of the General Conference

Bishop Paul N. Garber stated the first item of business would be the election of the Secretary of the General Conference.

Secretary Nominated—Charles C. Parlin

Charles C. Parlin (Newark—NE) nominated *Leon T. Moore* with the understanding that a Secretary-designate would also be elected. Mr. Parlin stated Dr. Moore would like to continue through the 1964 session of the General Conference and be allowed to retire June 30, 1965.

Suspension of Rules—Charles C. Parlin—Adopted

On motion of Charles C. Parlin (Newark—NE), duly seconded and adopted, the Rules were suspended to allow the above elections at this time.

Nomination of Leon T. Moore to serve as Secretary of the General Conference was seconded by Thurman L. Dodson (Washington—C) and Chester A. Smith (New York—NE).

Motion—Gregorio Bailen (Northwest Philippines—OS) moved that the nominations be closed, and the motion prevailed.

Election of Leon T. Moore as Secretary of the General Conference

The General Conference, by unanimous vote, elected Leon T. Moore as Secretary of the General Conference of The Methodist Church, subject to the aforementioned provisions.

Election of the Secretary-Designate of the General Conference—J. Otis Young

J. Otis Young (Ohio—NC) placed in nomination the name of J. Wesley Hole (Southern California-Arizona—W), as Secretary-designate to succeed *Leon T. Moore.* Dr. Young spoke in support of the nomination.

Nomination of J. Wesley Hole to serve as Secretary-Designate of the General Conference was seconded by E. D. McDonald (Southwest Texas—SC), Harold A. Bosley (New York—NE), and Edwin E. Reeves (Southern California-Arizona—W).

Other Nominations—Bishop Garber

The Chair called for other nominations for Secretary-designate of the General Conference. *William R. Cannon*

(North Georgia—SE) placed in nomination the name of *Charles D. White* (Western North Carolina—SE), as Secretary-designate to succeed *Leon T. Moore.*

William R. Cannon spoke in support of the nomination. The following delegates seconded and spoke in favor of the nomination of *Charles D. White:*

Albert C. Outler (North Texas—SC), *Robert H. Courtney* (North-East Ohio—NC), and *Leander A. Brown* (North Carolina—C).

Tellers

The Conference Secretary, *Leon T. Moore,* instructed the delegates to prepare ballots, and read the list of Tellers. He then read the list of Captains to serve in the election. (See DCA pages 93, 94, and 95 and Journal, pages 31-35.)

Hymn—A. C. Lovelace

Dr. Lovelace led the Conference in singing Hymn No. 381, "The Church's One Foundation."

Counting of Ballots Postponed—Bishop Paul N. Garber

Bishop Paul N. Garber, the Presiding Officer, announced the ballots would be sealed, given to the Conference Secretary, and counted Monday morning, at which time the results would be announced.

The Episcopal Address: Bishop Gerald H. Kennedy

Bishop Paul N. Garber introduced Bishop Gerald H. Kennedy, Los Angeles Area, Western Jurisdiction, to present the Episcopal Address of the 1964 General Conference.

Bishop Kennedy read the Episcopal Address, at the close of which he was given a standing ovation and prolonged applause by the Conference.

Announcements—Leon T. Moore

Announcements were made by the Secretary of the Conference, *Leon T. Moore.*

Hymn No. 372, "Love Divine, All Loves Excelling" was sung by the Conference.

Benediction—Bishop Arthur J. Moore (Retired)

Bishop Arthur J. Moore of Atlanta pronounced the benediction and the evening session was adjourned.

SECOND DAY, MONDAY, APRIL 27, 1964
MORNING SESSION

Opening—Bishop Paul N. Garber

Pursuant to adjournment, the 1964 session of the General Conference of The Methodist Church convened in the morning session of the second day, Monday, April 27, 1964 at 8:30 o'clock, in the Civic Arena, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, with Bishop Paul N. Garber of the Richmond Area, Southeastern Jurisdiction, presiding.

Bishop Garber announced the devotions would be conducted by Bishop Edgar A. Love of the Baltimore Area, Central Jurisdiction.

Great Hymns Choir—Dr. Daniel L. Ridout

The Great Hymns Choir of Baltimore, Maryland, under the direction of Dr. Daniel L. Ridout, rendered the following hymns: "Rejoice! the Lord Is King," "O Love Divine, What Hast Thou Done," "Majestic Sweetness Sits Enthroned," "Lead, Kindly Light," and "Ye Watchers and Ye Holy Ones."

Devotions—Bishop Edgar A. Love

Bishop Love requested the delegates to turn to the Order of Worship, No. IV, on page 506 of The Methodist Hymnal, and participate in the Scripture sentences, Call to Confession, the General Confession, Prayer of Pardon, and The Lord's Prayer.

Hymn No. 242, "He Leadeth Me," was sung.

The Responsive Reading, "The Lord Reigneth," on page 567 of the Hymnal was used. Bishop Love read the Scripture from Matthew 28:16-20. He then spoke from a text in Joshua 1:8. (See Appendix, page 2197.)

Upon request the Great Hymns Choir sang Hymn No. 513, "I'll Praise My Maker." The devotions were concluded with prayer by Bishop Love.

Ballot Taken Sunday Evening

Bishop Garber made a statement concerning counting of the ballots taken on Sunday evening, First Session, for the Secretary-designate of the General Conference. Captains of each section were instructed to go to Room 203 for the purpose of counting the ballots.

Secretarial Staff—Leon T. Moore

Leon T. Moore (Philadelphia—NE) Secretary, nomi-

nated the Secretarial Staff. They were duly elected as follows:

Assistant—J. Wesley Hole (Southern California-Arizona—W).
Calendar—Charles D. White (Western North Carolina—SE).
Committee and Printed Reports—Walter R. Hazzard (Delaware—C).
Cross Reference—Thurman L. Dodson (Washington—C).
Document—Richard E. Carlyon (Nebraska—SC).
Journal—W. Carleton Wilson (North Carolina—SE).
Memorials—Newell P. Knudsen (California-Nevada—W).
Non-Concurrent—William T. Handy, Jr. (Louisiana—C).
On the Table—Ernst P. Scholz (Northeast Germany—OS).
Orders of the Day—Robert H. Courtney (North-East Ohio—NC).
Organization of Committees—Dwight S. Large (Michigan—NC).
Reference—Hobart Hildyard (Kansas—SC).
Roll Call—Marvin L. Boyd (Northwest Texas—SC).
Roll Call—Calvin M. Pinkard (North Alabama—SE).
Telegrams and Greetings—Kenneth P. Rutter (Western Pennsylvania—NE).
Secretarial Assistants—Mrs. Harold A. Hardy (Maine—NE), Mrs. Leon T. Moore (Philadelphia—NE).

Nominations of Administrative Committees—Bishop Roy H. Short

Bishop Roy H. Short, Nashville Area, Southeastern Jurisdiction, Secretary of the Council of Bishops of The Methodist Church, presented the nominations of the Council of Bishops for the Standing Administrative Committee (See DCA, page 84 and page 88 and Standing Legislative Committees No. 10 to 14, inclusive. See DCA, page 86.)

Motion to adopt was seconded. They were elected as follows:

Commission on Entertainment and Program—Class of 1968

William H. Alderson (New York East—NE)
Thurman Dodson (Washington—C)
J. Wesley Hole (Southern California-Arizona—W)
A. G. Jefferson (Virginia—SE)
H. V. Leoppert (Rock River—NC)
Irving L. Smith (Oklahoma—SC)

Class of 1972
Frank E. Baker (Philadelphia—NE)
Robert C. Holmes (Florida—SE)
L. Scott Allen (Georgia—C)

J. Otis Young (Ohio—NC)
Carl C. Hall (Little Rock—SC)
Norman Conard (Oregon—W)

Committee on Agenda (7)

Mrs. Anita Fields (Lexington—C)
Walter Hunt (Wyoming—NE)
Hubert Hurley (Southern Illinois—NC)
Nat G. Long (North Georgia—SE)
Mrs. Charles W. Mead (Nebraska—SC)
Mehar Singh (Agra—OS)
Frank Williams (Southern California-Arizona—W)

Committee on Correlation (5)

Emory S. Bucke (New England—NE)
Murray Leiffer (Southern California-Arizona—W)
Leon T. Moore (Philadelphia—NE)
Walter I. Munday (Louisville—SE)
Alexander K. Smith (Philadelphia—NE)

Committee on Courtesies and Privileges (14)

J. Carlton Babbs (Rocky Mountain—W)
Alsie H. Carleton (North Texas—SC)
Leonard H. Cochran (South Georgia—SE)
Arthur Fleming (Oregon—W)
Harry B. Gibson, Jr. (Lexington—C)
George M. Ivey (Western North Carolina—SE)
John Bailey Jones (Baltimore—NE)
Alvin J. Lindgren (East Wisconsin—NC)
Richard V. Moore (Florida—C)
William C. Patten (New Mexico—SC)
H. Paul Shaffer (West Virginia—NE)
Clay E. Steele (Rock River—NC)
Samuel Tsopotsa (Rhodesia—OS)
Raymond Valenzuela (Chile—OS)

Committee on Credentials (7)

Margaret Currie (Maine—NE)
Ernest T. Dixon (West Texas—C)
Paul M. Hann (South Iowa—NC)
Offie L. Hathaway (North Carolina—SE)
Lowell D. Jones (Nebraska—SC)
Ram Datt Joshi (North India—OS)
Stanley S. McKee (Southern California-Arizona—W)

Committee on Fraternal Delegates (7)

Roy Black (North Mississippi—SE)
Alsie H. Carleton (North Texas—SC)
John W. Curry (South Carolina—C)
Arthur Fleming (Oregon—W)
Pierre Shaumba (Central Congo—OS)
H. Hughes Wagner (New England—NE)
Lawrence E. Whiteley (Minnesota—NC)

Committee on Journal (7)

Robert W. Burtner (Oregon—W)
Mrs. William Cansfield (Detroit—NC)
Mrs. H. L. Georg (Central Kansas—SC)
Kelly L. Jackson (Washington—C)
William M. James (New York—NE)
Jozef Szczepkowski (Poland—OS)
Clyde F. Watkins (Holston—SE)

Committee on Plan of Organization and Rules of Order (8)

Robert Fletcher (Southern California-Arizona—W)
John D. Herr (Philadelphia—NE)
Woodie D. Lester (Central West—C)
Dale E. Pitcher (Central Illinois—NC)
George W. Richards (Central Kansas—SC)
Ernst Scholz (Northeast Germany—OS)
L. Stacy Weaver (North Carolina—SE)

Committee on Presiding Officers (14)

R. H. Berg (Florida—SE)
Harold Buckingham (Wyoming—NE)
Ernest C. Colwell (Southern California-Arizona—W)
Francis Faber (Minnesota—NC)
Dennis Fletcher (Delaware—C)
Leon E. Hickman (Western Pennsylvania—NE)
John O. T. King (West Texas—C)
Sverre Knudsen (Norway—OS)
Wayne McCleskey (Texas—SC)
Thurman Morris (North Indiana—NC)
Mrs. W. L. Perryman (Missouri West—SC)
R. Marvin Stuart (California-Nevada—W)
E. Wade Weldon (Louisville—SE)
Kim-Hao Yap (Malaya—OS)

Committee on Reference (14)

Curt Amark (Sweden—OS)
W. Carroll Beatty (Baltimore—NE)
Thurman L. Dodson (Washington—C)
H. Thornton Fowler (Tennessee—SE)
John H. Graham (Upper Mississippi—C)
Edgar A. Henry (Central Pennsylvania—NE)
Robert T. Mann (Florida—SE)
Robert J. O'Neal (Louisiana—SC)
Erwin H. Schwiebert (Idaho—W)
Paul V. Shearer (South Iowa—NC)
F. Olin Stockwell (Malaya Chinese—OS)
L. L. White (Southern California-Arizona—W)
Austin R. Whitmore (Ohio—NC)
Ira E. Williams (Oklahoma—SC)

Standing Legislative Committees

Committee on Hospitals and Homes

Kenneth W. Adams (California-Nevada—W)
Mrs. Beulah Baxley (South Carolina—C)

Derwood L. Blackwell (Texas—SC)
Leon W. Bouton (Wyoming—NE)
Luis P. Bucafusco (Argentina—OS)
R. Jervis Cooke (Peninsula—NE)
R. S. Doenges (Rocky Mountain—W)
John B. A. Dyson (Washington—C)
Luther B. Felder (Texas—C)
Gomer W. Finch (West Wisconsin—NC)
James C. Hardcastle (Delaware—C)
Mason Hartman (New England—NE)
Hermann Jeuther (South Germany—OS)
Frede Johansen (Denmark—OS)
G. Eliot Jones (Mississippi—SE)
Wade H. Littrell (Missouri East—SC)
E. D. McDonald (Southwest Texas—SC)
W. Arthur Milne (Ohio—NC)
Ervin Ortman (South Dakota—NC)
Harvey H. Potthoff (Rocky Mountain—W)
W. Judson Ready (South Carolina—SE)
Richard Roberts (West Virginia—NE)
Mrs. Clarence Tompkins (North Iowa—NC)
Mrs. Russell O. Watson (Oregon—W)
L. Stanley Williams (Central Texas—SC)
Kim-Hao Yap (Malaya—OS)

Committee on Interdenominational Relations and Activities

P. C. B. Balaram (Delhi—OS)
Mrs. Ted F. Baun (California-Nevada—W)
William N. Burton (Indiana—NC)
Richard W. Cain (Southern California-Arizona—W)
Thomas W. Cole (Texas—C)
Claude R. Collins (West Virginia—NE)
Lloyd M. Conyers (North Arkansas—SC)
Mrs. John Eby (Pacific Northwest—W)
Clyde R. Funkhauser (Southern Illinois—NC)
David Gallardo (Chile—OS)
Roy C. Goens (Texas—SC)
Benjamin I. Guansing (Philippines—OS)
Ralph F. Gunkleman (North Dakota—NC)
Horace B. Hauk (Holston—SE)
Hugh S. Herbert (Montana—W)
Major J. Jones (East Tennessee—C)
Eugene F. Jud (Central Texas—SC)
Mrs. John E. Kelly (Genesee—NE)
Frederick K. Kirchner (Troy—NE)
Robert L. Moulton (North-East Ohio—NC)
Sumpter M. Riley (Lexington—C)
Clement Soans (Bombay—OS)
Wallace F. Stettler (Philadelphia—NE)
William E. Trice (Louisiana—SC)
Wilson O. Weldon (Western North Carolina—SE)
Clarence M. Winchester (North Carolina—C)

Committee on Judicial Administration, Enabling Acts and Legal Forms

K. Ragnar Alm (Sumatra—OS)
W. Carroll Beatty (Baltimore—NE)
V. Leon Bolen (Idaho—W)

Prentiss Brown, Jr. (Detroit—NC)
George A. Butters (Minnesota—NC)
Floyd H. Coffman (Kansas—SC)
Arthur B. R. Colley (Western Pennsylvania—NE)
George W. Cooke (Genesee—NE)
W. Davis Cotton (Louisiana—SC)
J. Howard Crawford (Northwest Texas—SC)
A. Rudolph Daniels (Hyderabad—OS)
Frank M. Dominick (North Alabama—SE)
Robert B. Goodwin (Newark—NE)
Joseph T. Johnson (Lexington—C)
Arthur V. Long (South Iowa—NC)
Joseph E. Lowery (Central Alabama—C)
Daniel D. Lura Villanueva (Argentine—OS)
Eric A. Mitchell (Bombay—OS)
Earl M. Nowlin (New Mexico—SC)
Claire Pettit (California-Nevada—W)
Roland P. Riddick (Virginia—SE)
James S. Thomas (South Carolina—C)
John Thomas (Northwest Indiana—NC)
Lyle H. Truax (Pacific Northwest—W)
Jack M. Tuell (Pacific Northwest—W)
Mrs. E. W. Williams (Washington—C)

Committee on Local Church

Norman E. Amtower (Oregon—W)
Adolfo C. Angles (Bolivia—OS)
Albert F. Bramble (Kansas—SC)
Leander A. Brown (North Carolina—C)
William Byus, Jr. (West Virginia—NE)
Russell E. Clay (Southern California-Arizona—W)
N. Wayne Cox (North-East Ohio—NC)
Kenneth Dryden (Nebraska—SC)
Elmer N. Haley (Troy—NE)
Karl M. Hutapea (Sumatra—OS)
Francis E. Kearns (East Wisconsin—NC)
Robert E. Knupp (Central Pennsylvania—NE)
Ernest W. Lee (New Jersey—NE)
Paul T. Lyles (Memphis—SE)
Taylor McConnell (Rocky Mountain—W)
Luther L. Miller (Little Rock—SC)
P. K. Mullick (Bengal—OS)
Simon A. Nieto (Rio Grande—SC)
Merlyn W. Northfelt (Rock River—NC)
Isaac P. Presley (Upper Mississippi—C)
Stafford S. Robinson (Florida—C)
Sisa M. Sagar (Agra—OS)
Mrs. John Paul Stone (Southern California-Arizona—W)
Mrs. Ethan L. Taylor (North Georgia—SE)
Mrs. H. L. Wooten (Texas—C)

Committee on Ritual and Orders of Worship

Mrs. B. V. Allen (North Indiana—NC)
Mrs. May Alvirez (Rio Grande—SC)
James W. Averitt (Louisville—SE)
Raymond E. Balcomb (Oregon—W)
Warren A. Bugbee (Western Pennsylvania—NE)
Richard E. Carlyon (Nebraska—SC)

William F. Dunkle, Jr. (Peninsula—NE)
Jim A. Egan (Oklahoma—SC)
Allnutt T. Franklin (Lucknow—OS)
Melvin Gammage (Southern California-Arizona—W)
William T. Handy, Jr. (Louisiana—C)
C. Douglas Hayward (California-Nevada—W)
Carl-Axel Holm (Sweden—OS)
William C. Jason, Jr. (Delaware—C)
Vernon L. Lee (Northern New York—NE)
Frank E. Manton (Burma—OS)
Mrs. J. L. McAdam (Central Illinois—NC)
Mrs. F. Morris Cochran (New England Southern—NE)
Robert J. Palmer (South Carolina—C)
Charlemagne P. Payne, Sr. (Mississippi—C)
Hoover Rupert (Detroit—NC)
Hermann Schaad (Switzerland—OS)
Lycurgus M. Starkey, Jr. (Missouri West—SC)
Amos A. Thornburg (Rock River—NC)
Robert M. Thorpe (Pacific Northwest—W)
Mrs. David R. Thurman (Florida—SE)

Presentation of Distinguished Guests—Bishop W. Vernon Middleton—Bishop Edgar A. Love

The Presiding Officer recognized Bishop W. Vernon Middleton, Host Bishop of the Western Pennsylvania Conference who, in turn, presented the Hon. William W. Scranton, Governor of the State of Pennsylvania.

Governor William W. Scranton

Governor Scranton cordially and officially welcomed the General Conference to Pittsburgh on behalf of the people of Pennsylvania. (See Appendix, page 2169.)

The Presiding Officer recognized Bishop Edgar A. Love, Co-host, Bishop of the Baltimore Area who introduced the Hon. Joseph M. Barr, Mayor of the city of Pittsburgh.

Hon. Joseph M. Barr

Mayor Barr officially and graciously welcomed the General Conference to Pittsburgh on behalf of the people of Pittsburgh. (See Appendix, page 2171.)

Response—Bishop Paul N. Garber

Bishop Paul N. Garber, the Presiding Officer, responded most graciously to the addresses of welcome of Governor Scranton and Mayor Barr. (See Appendix, page 2173.)

Matter of High Privilege—Charles C. Parlin—Ralph Stoody

The Presiding Officer, Bishop Paul N. Garber, recognized Charles C. Parlin (Newark—NE) for a matter of high privilege.

Mr. Chairman and members of the General Conference: I am asked as a member of the Commission on Public Relations and Methodist Information to make a statement.

When The Methodist Church was formed in 1939, there was talk among some of the leaders of a great Methodist paper. *Roy Smith,* soon to become editor of the *Christian Advocate,* spoke against the proposal. Said he, "We already have in the United States at least 1,200 daily newspapers which are open to the news of The Methodist Church."

Instead of the daily paper, Dr. Smith, and ultimately the General Conference, favored the addition of an information service for Methodism whose task it would be to serve as a link between the secular press of the world and The Methodist Church in all its institutional forms. The man selected to direct this service was *Dr. Ralph Stoody* who had been an editor, writer, preacher, and pastor. After twenty-five years in this position, Dr. Stoody retires this month. Methodist Information which began as a proposal now is an information dispensing organization with 20 full-time directors, and 15 part-time positions.

Dr. Stoody, by his skill, his absolute integrity, his total devotion to his work, has given a new and great dimension to this post. Tonight he leaves Pittsburgh temporarily to man the New York office, while *Arthur West,* his successor, covers for us this General Conference. We will miss Ralph.

The Methodist Commission asks that by rising and applauding, this General Conference express its appreciation to this loyal servant of our church, *Ralph Stoody.* (The members rose and applauded.)

Dr. Stoody: May I say a grateful thank you for the privilege you have awarded me which gave me the most joy in the past twenty-five years.

Report of Interim Committee on Plan of Organization and Rules of Order—Harold S. Swales—John R. Wilkins—Adopted as Amended

The Chair recognized *Harold S. Swales* (Central New York—NE), Chairman of the Committee who, in turn, presented *John R. Wilkins* (California-Nevada—W) who offered several revisions to the Report.

Amendment—Chester A. Smith—Lost

Chester A. Smith (New York—NE) moved an amendment to the Report providing for the appeal of any member of The Methodist Church from the decision of a District Superintendent and/or Bishop directly to the Judicial Council of The Methodist Church. The motion was seconded and Mr. Smith spoke to the motion.

Point of Order—Robert W. Moon

Robert W. Moon (California-Nevada—W): I think this applies to the *Discipline* and not to the Rules of Order. This passage which he is trying to amend relates to sessions of the General Conference.

Bishop Garber: The point of order is well taken. The amendment is not in order at this time.

Amendment—Edwin L. Jones, Sr., Harold S. Swales—Lost

Edwin L. Jones, Sr. (Western North Carolina—SE): I would like to offer this amendment: On page 12, Paragraph B, Subhead 1, delete the following: "And aspects of the social and spiritual welfare of the church not specifically referred by the General Conference to another committee."

The motion was seconded and Mr. Jones spoke to his motion.

Harold S. Swales (Central New York—NE), Chairman, Interim Committee: We carefully considered this and we feel that the addition makes it possible for the Committee on Reference to place these items where they can and will be considered. We do not believe that this is going to make it more difficult for the committee, but we feel we will have wider coverage of everything, and this is the reason for its being here.

The amendment was defeated.

Amendment—Dwight E. Loder—Adopted

Dwight E. Loder (Rock River—NC) moved to amend the report by substituting one-third in place of a majority in the voting procedure prescribed in Rule 11. The Committee accepted the substitution and the Conference approved.

Questions—Alva I. Cox—Robert W. Moon—Ernest T. Dixon—Raymond E. Balcomb

Alva I. Cox (North-East Ohio—NC) asked for clarification on the "aye and no" vote.

Robert W. Moon (California-Nevada—W) answered that it should read "aye and nay" and the Chairman agreed.

Ernest T. Dixon (West Texas—C) asked for clarification regarding signed and secret ballots.

Raymond E. Balcomb (Oregon—W) questioned the purpose of a signed ballot.

Harold S. Swales, Chairman, Interim Committee, answered these questions satisfactorily.

Amendment—John D. Herr—Lost

John D. Herr (Philadelphia—NE) moved to delete the words "aye or no" vote. The amendment was lost.

The report as amended was adopted. (See Journal, page 1042.)

Request—Harold S. Swales—Adopted

Harold S. Swales (Central New York—NE), Chairman of the Interim Committee: We move that the Interim Committee be discharged and that this Committee be deleted from the list of Administrative Committees.

The motion was duly seconded and adopted.

Result of Ballot for Secretary-Designate of the General Conference

The Chair called on the Secretary of the Conference, *Leon T. Moore,* to announce the result of the ballot for Secretary-Designate of the General Conference. The vote—J. Wesley Hole, 575—*Charles D. White,* 242.

J. Wesley Hole (Southern California-Arizona—W) was declared elected.

Commission on Entertainment and Program—Frank E. Baker, Chairman

The Presiding Officer recognized Frank E. Baker (Philadelphia—NE), Chairman of the Commission on Entertainment and Program, to make a report for the Commission.

Dr. Baker asked for the privilege of introducing the members of the Commission on Entertainment and Program.

Bishop Garber, the Presiding Officer, suggested that the members of the Commission come to the platform with Dr. Baker. This was done.

Report No. 1—Commission on Entertainment and Program —Adopted

The report was presented by Frank E. Baker, Chairman. It was duly seconded and adopted. (See Journal, page 998.)

Norman L. Conard (Oregon—W), Vice-Chairman of the Commission, was recognized for a brief statement.

J. Otis Young (Ohio—NC) was recognized and spoke to the Conference about the program and special events to be observed and the time scheduled for them.

Clarification—Lester L. Moore—Bishop Edgar A. Love

Lester L. Moore (South Iowa—NC) asked for clarification on the Communion Offering, whether it would be used for Alaskan relief or for Fellowship of Suffering and Service.

The Presiding Officer called on Bishop Edgar A. Love for the answer. Bishop Love stated the whole communion offering will go to the Methodist Alaska Relief Fund.

Expense Vouchers—Don A. Cooke

Don A. Cooke (Florida—SE), Secretary and Treasurer, Council on World Service and Finance: Mr. Chairman, members of the Conference. We have placed the travel expense vouchers for delegates on the desk of the chairman of each delegation.

If the chairman will distribute these to the delegates and if the delegates will fill out the voucher according to the instructions printed on the reverse side and return these to the chairman of the delegation, preferably, but if not to him, directly to our office; then if the chairman will submit them to the treasurer's office, located in room 204, between the two escalators on the second floor, we will start writing checks today, and we hope to have them in your hands within the next two or three days. Since there will not be another plenary session until Wednesday, we would like to urge the chairman of each delegation to bring or send these blanks to our office as soon as possible.

The per diem will start as of yesterday, so include only your travel expenses to the General Conference up through Saturday, and then travel expenses from the Conference to your home.

We have designated the Pittsburgh National Bank in the Oliver Building, across the plaza from the Penn-Sheraton Hotel as our depository, and our checks to you will be drawn on that bank and will be cashed there, if you so desire, upon proper identification.

The overseas delegates will be given help in filling out their expense vouchers by Mr. Guffick of the Board of Missions who has a desk in our office, and our own staff, under the direction of Mr. Robinson, our Associate Treasurer, will be glad to assist the delegates in any way with their vouchers. Our transportation desk will be delighted to serve you in every way possible with your return trip travel problems.

Roland P. Riddick (Virginia—SE): Bishop Garber, I wonder if Dr. Cooke could be more specific about the per diem allowance—does it include Saturday night?

Bishop Garber: Does the per diem allowance include Saturday night?

Don A. Cooke: I understand the per diem starts the day the General Conference opens, which is Sunday, so Saturday night's expense would, naturally go on your travel expense voucher which is being distributed now.

Committee Assignments of Bishops and Secretaries—Bishop Roy A. Short

The Chair recognized Bishop Roy A. Short, Secretary of the Council of Bishops, to read the Committee assignments for Bishops and Secretaries to convene the Standing Legislative and Administrative Committees. Bishop Short read the Committee assignments and made corrections in previous announcements.

The Presiding Officer called on the Conference Secretary, *Leon T. Moore,* to read the list of Secretaries assigned to the Committee for purposes of organization. (Handbook pages 7, 162-192.)

ORGANIZATION OF STANDING ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEES

Presiding Officers, T. Otto Nall, Bishop; *Dwight S. Large* (Michigan—NC), Assistant Secretary.

Entertainment and Program, Paul Hardin, Jr., Bishop; *Dwight S. Large* (Michigan—NC), Assistant Secretary.

Courtesies and Privileges, James W. Henley, Bishop; *Walter R. Hazzard* (Delaware—C), Assistant Secretary.
Credentials, Marquis L. Harris, Bishop; *Robert H. Courtney* (North-East Ohio—NC), Assistant Secretary.
Fraternal Delegates, Aubrey G. Walton, Bishop; Already organized.
Journal, No Record.

ORGANIZATION OF STANDING LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEES

Meeting at 2:30 P.M.

Christian Social Concerns, Fred G. Holloway, Bishop; *Charles D. White* (Western North Carolina—SE), Assistant Secretary.
Conferences, Oliver E. Slater, Bishop; J. Wesley Hole (Southern California-Arizona—W), Assistant Secretary.
Education, Ralph T. Alton, Bishop; *Kenneth P. Rutter* (Western Pennsylvania—NE), Assistant Secretary.
Lay Activities and Temporal Economy, Charles F. Golden, Bishop; *Marvin L. Boyd* (Northwest Texas—SC), Assistant Secretary.
Membership and Evangelism, W. Kenneth Pope, Bishop; *Calvin M. Pinkard* (North Alabama—SE), Assistant Secretary.
Ministry, Walter C. Gum, Bishop; *Robert H. Courtney* (North-East Ohio—NC), Assistant Secretary.
Missions, J. Owen Smith, Bishop; *Richard E. Carlyon* (Nebraska—NC), Assistant Secretary.
Pensions, Edwin R. Garrison, Bishop; Thurman L. Dodson (Washington—C), Assistant Secretary.
Publishing Interests, Kenneth W. Copeland, Bishop; *Hobart Hildyard* (Kansas-SC), *Walter R. Hazzard* (Delaware—C), Assistant Secretaries.

Meeting at 7:30 P.M.

Hospitals and Homes, Paul V. Galloway, Bishop; *Walter R. Hazzard* (Delaware—C), Assistant Secretary.
Interdenominational Relations and Activities, James K. Mathews, Bishop; *William T. Handy, Jr.* (Louisiana—C), Assistant Secretary.
Judicial Administration, Dionisio D. Alejandro, Bishop; *Dwight S. Large* (Michigan—NC). Assistant Secretary.
Local Church, W. Ralph Ward, Bishop; *Dwight S. Large* (Michigan—NC), Assistant Secretary.
Rituals and Orders of Worship, Everett W. Palmer, Bishop; Ernst P. Scholz (Northeast Germany—OS), Assistant Secretary.

The Secretary made further announcements regarding the Committee meetings and announced a briefing session for the Chairmen and Secretaries to be held in Room 475, Penn-Sheraton Hotel at 11:30 a.m.

The Presiding Officer added further instructions about these matters.

Announcements—Leon T. Moore

The Conference Secretary made announcements concerning substitution of delegates and forms on which they were to be certified. He also stated that the Commission on

Church Union would meet at 9:30 p.m. in the Allegheny Room, on the 17th floor of the Penn-Sheraton Hotel. He further announced that the Columbia College Choir would sing the prelude to worship the next morning at 8:15.

Board of Pensions—Bishop Marshall R. Reed

The Chair recognized Bishop Marshall R. Reed, President of the Board of Pensions, who presented the official report of the Committee on Pension Legislation. The report was referred to the Legislative Committee on Pensions.

Committee on Presiding Officers

The Chair gave notice of a meeting of the Committee on Presiding Officers in Room 203 immediately following the morning session.

Closing—Benediction—Bishop Shot K. Mondol

Led by Dr. A. C. Lovelace the Conference sang Hymn No. 282, "Soldiers of Christ Arise," and the benediction was pronounced by Bishop Shot K. Mondol of Delhi, India.

THIRD DAY, TUESDAY, APRIL 28, 1964
MORNING SESSION

Opening—Bishop Ralph E. Dodge

Pursuant to adjournment, the 1964 session of the General Conference of The Methodist Church convened in the morning session of the third day, Tuesday, April 28, 1964, at 8:30 o'clock, in the Civic Arena, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, with Bishop Ralph E. Dodge of Southern Rhodesia presiding.

Bishop Dodge announced the devotions for the morning would be conducted by Bishop Odd Hagen of the Northern Europe Central Conference.

Devotions—Bishop Odd Hagen

Bishop Odd Hagen, Northern Europe Central Conference, announced Hymn No. 256, "Faith of Our Fathers." The Conference was led in the singing of this hymn by Dr. A. C. Lovelace.

Bishop Hagen requested the delegates to turn to page 531 of The Methodist Hymnal and join in the prayer for Grace and Guidance. After his devotional message (See Appendix, page 2200.), Bishop Hagen announced Hymn No. 279, "God of Grace and God of Glory." The devotional service was concluded with a special number by the Columbia College Choir under the direction of Dr. Barr.

Appreciation—Bishop Ralph E. Dodge

Bishop Dodge expressed appreciation for their part in the service to Dr. Barr and the Columbia College Choir and to Bishop Odd Hagen for his very meaningful devotional service of the morning.

Announcements—Leon T. Moore

The Presiding Officer recognized the Secretary of the General Conference for announcements relating to Committees and the time and place of meeting.

Committee on Presiding Officers—R. Marvin Stuart—Report No. 1

R. Marvin Stuart (California-Nevada—W), Chairman, announced that Bishop Lloyd C. Wicke (New York Area, Northeastern Jurisdiction) would preside at the opening session on Wednesday morning. (DCA, page 89. See Appendix, page 1082.)

Announcements—J. Otis Young

The Chair recognized *J. Otis Young* (Ohio—NC), Chairman of the Committee on Agenda, who made announcements concerning the afternoon preaching service at the First Presbyterian Church. He also stated a change in the meeting place of the Chairmen of Delegations, following adjournment. Dr. Young reminded the delegates of the first plenary session to be held Wednesday morning and that the remainder of the day would consist of Committee meetings.

Announcements—Leon T. Moore

The Conference Secretary, *Leon T. Moore,* announced a meeting of the Committee on the Ministry and reminded the Chairmen of Delegations that the substitution of Reserve Delegates must be certified to the Committee on Credentials on the proper form. He called a meeting of the Committee of Chairmen in Room 203 immediately following the session.

Benediction—Bishop John H. Subhan

The meeting was adjourned with the benediction being pronounced by Bishop John A. Subhan, Hyderabad Area, Southern Asia Central Conference.

FOURTH DAY, WEDNESDAY, APRIL 29, 1964
MORNING SESSION

Opening—Bishop Lloyd C. Wicke

Pursuant to adjournment, the 1964 session of the General Conference of The Methodist Church convened in the morning session of the fourth day, Wednesday, April 29, 1964, at 8:30 o'clock, in the Civic Arena, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, with Bishop Lloyd C. Wicke of the New York Area, Northeastern Jurisdiction, presiding.

Devotions—Bishop Marshall R. Reed

The Presiding Officer announced that Bishop Marshall R. Reed, Indiana Area, North Central Jurisdiction, would lead the devotions which were in the nature of a Memorial Service.

Hymn No. 533, "O God, Our Help in Ages Past," was announced and the delegates stood to sing. Bishop Reed read from the Psalms and the Gospel of St. John. The Affirmation of Faith, expressed in the historic Apostles' Creed, was repeated by the Conference. Bishop Reed spoke on the theme, "Communion With Our Noble Dead." (See Appendix, page 2203.)

The closing Hymn No. 527, "For All the Saints," was sung by the Conference.

Bishop Reed pronounced the benediction.

Committee on Journal—William M. James—Report No. 1—Adopted

William M. James (New York—NE): The Committee on Journal has examined the Journal record for sessions of Sunday evening, Monday morning, and Tuesday morning. This record is correct except for minor editorial changes which are being made by the Journal Secretary.

The Report was accepted. (See DCA, page 102. See Appendix, page 1051.)

Committee on Credential—Paul M. Hann—Report No. 1—Adopted

Paul M. Hann (South Iowa—NC): The Committee on Credentials has met and approved the changes made in the seating of delegates reported by the Chairmen of the Annual Conferences for April 26, 27, and 28, and for April 29, as far as they have been presented to us. The delegates' names will appear in proper form in the Journal. I move the adoption of this report.

Motion seconded and adopted (DCA, page 102. See Appendix, page 1009).

Committee on Agenda—Walter L. Hunt—Report No. 1—Adopted

Walter L. Hunt (Wyoming—NE), Chairman: The report of the Committee on Agenda is printed in the box, left hand column, page 81, front page of the Daily Christian Advocate. We present it and move its adoption for the business agenda and time allocations for the Wednesday session of this General Conference.

Motion seconded and adopted.

Walter L. Hunt: It is the unanimous recommendation of the Committee on Agenda that a plenary session be held on Thursday evening, beginning at 7:30 P.M. to consider important reports of the Council on World Service and Finance and Report No. 3 of the Coordinating Council.

Motion seconded and adopted.

Committee on Courtesies and Privileges—John B. Jones—Report No. 1

John B. Jones (Baltimore—NE), Chairman of the Committee on Courtesies and Privileges, requested that Charles C. Parlin be recognized for a matter of high privilege.

Charles C. Parlin (Newark—NE) corrected a statement appearing in the daily press regarding the abolition of the Central Jurisdiction. He expressed regret for the embarrassment which the statement caused the Bishops of the Central Jurisdiction and offered his apologies.

John B. Jones, Chairman of the Committee on Courtesies and Privileges, asked for the privilege of introducing *Dr. Eugene L. Smith* for a matter of courtesy.

Eugene L. Smith (Newark—NE): Resolved that delegates coming from outside the United States, who need interpreting services, shall be entitled, upon clearing with the Secretary of the General Conference and the Chairman of the Commission on Entertainment, to have an interpreter admitted to the Conference and seated at the delegate's table.

Motion seconded.

Dr. Smith spoke to his motion: There are at least two whom I know from outside the country who will not be able to follow this proceeding without an interpreter. One of them is from Czechoslovakia and one from the Central Congo. I am sure it will be the desire of the Conference to allow this courtesy.

Suspension of Rules—Bishop Wicke

Bishop Wicke stated that such permission would require a suspension of the Rules. The Conference agreed to suspend the Rules and granted the request of *Dr. Smith.*

John B. Jones: Mr. Chairman, I would like to recognize *Dr. William R. Guffick* of the New Jersey Conference for a matter of privilege.

William R. Guffick (New Jersey—NE) presented Mr. Chester Engle, a layman of the New Jersey Conference, who presented a bust of John Wesley to Bishop W. Vernon Middleton sculptured by Mr. James Marvin of Philadelphia.

Bishop Middleton accepted the gift and expressed his appreciation.

Order of the Day

Commission on Worship—Proposed New Hymnal—Bishop Edwin E. Voigt, Chairman

Bishop Edwin E. Voigt, Illinois Area, North Central Jurisdiction, Chairman of Committee on New Hymnal: Mr. Chairman, delegates of the Conference, we would like to present our report with several individuals presenting to you different aspects of the work we have done during the last four years, taking approximately half of our time, and leaving the remainder of the time allotted to us generously by this body for discussion and such action as the Conference may take, which, of course, we hope will be affirmative.

The first Methodist hymnal in this country was published in 1790. Since that time, the three churches which united in 1939 have published twenty-two hymnals. In each case, the procedure was as follows: The several General Conferences discussed the matter, decided to prepare another hymnal, appointed a committee, gave the committee power to proceed. What they produced was then the hymnal of that time.

We are following a different custom in this instance in which your committee is preparing a recommendation to you for action and we hope for approval. This is contrary to past experience, and when this was reported to editors of other denominational hymnals, they said this was inane to expect that the 900 delegates could edit a hymnal for the church.

Nevertheless, we proceeded in the faith that we could present to you a hymnal which would commend itself to you as a book for singing for the entire church, and this we now present to you.

In order to be sure that we have the mind of the church, we communicated with every pastor, and 54 per cent of the pastors responded. Pastors from little churches, large churches, country churches, and city churches, responded. It was an amazing cross section of the mind of our church. We have had correspondence ad infinitum. As far as the Chairman knows, every letter that was written was acknowledged and every communication was considered by the committee as a whole. What we have before you now we believe represents the mind of the church.

We think that there are eight or ten or twelve legitimate interests in the church that ought to be recognized in the hymnal, and we have made every effort to select the hymns that best represent those basic concerns of the church.

Naturally every song that every person wanted could not be included in one volume that is handy to take care of and to use, but to the best of our knowledge and ability, we have selected those songs which are most representative of these basic interests of the church.

Finally the one thing, Mr. Chairman and delegates, we were aware of the possibility of merger with the United Evangelical Church, and,

therefore, we communicated with the authorities of that church, asking them to send representatives to meet with us. They sent the Editor of the EUB hymnal and one other member who sat in our deliberations. They were very helpful at many points. We asked them very clearly—should we hold up publication?

Their reply was interesting. They said, "We do not want to hold up any work in our church which we think is important to the life of the church, we do not expect you to hold up any opportunity or enterprises that you think are worthy of action in the church."

Therefore, we proceeded and this book we now have placed in your hands. We have spent hours on end—I would better say the Committee spent hours on end. Your Chairman was only the Chairman, these 29 men and women did the work. They worked endless hours into the night, during vacations, as a labor of love to produce this book.

As Chairman, I am proud to be able to present it to you.

I should like to call on the Editor of the hymnal, a brilliant young man whose attention to detail is amazing, and without whose wonderful help this work could not have been accomplished in the brief span that we did.

Mr. Carlton Young.

Carleton R. Young (North-East Ohio—NC): Bishop Wicke, delegates, and friends, if we were to sing through the 528 pages of this report, we might be here for two weeks. If we were to sing only a representative amount of material, we would be here all morning.

I want to talk about a hymnal which is, of course, an impossible job because of the implications that we must sing from a book.

I call the delegates' attention to the green covered report which I will be referring to briefly as I move through the content of the proposed revisions.

On page 12, you will find a summary of the hymnic content.

High Privilege—Gregorio R. Bailen

Gregorio R. Bailen (Northwest Philippines—OS): Mr. Chairman, I stand on a question of high privilege that while we are on the report of the Committee on the Hymnal, copies of the reports should be furnished each delegate, so as to have a clearer, better and nobler understanding of the report. As it is now, I am in the dark of or about this report.

Bishop Voigt responded that copies were to be obtained at the Cokesbury Bookstore and requested that the Committee be allowed to continue with the report.

Raoul C. Calkins (Ohio—NC) suggested that copies of the hymnal be secured and distributed at once to the overseas delegates which Bishop Voigt stated would be done immediately.

Carleton R. Young—Continues Explanation of New Hymnal

Carleton R. Young (North-East Ohio—NC): For the information of the delegates, the first lines of all the listings that you will want to see before you begin to wonder what hymn is missing, what hymn is there, is the listing of the full contents of the hymnal, and this is found on pages 20 through 41. These are listed alphabetically by the first line of the poem, or by the common title, as the case may be.

You are also cautioned to look at the retained material. One of

the difficulties of interpreting this report is that only the material that was changed or which is new was put on the page and music was set to it, so you have in front of you all the new material. The retained material is found as a listing on pages 42 to 48.

Briefly, these were the concerns of your Hymnal Committee. First of all, great interest has come in the last thirty years in the area of Wesleyana. Your committee assessed this, attempted to find consultants to deal with this problem. We increased the amount of Wesley material. In the case of Charles Wesley, we have added 24 new texts. Specifically, some of them are the so-called Conversion hymn, the Kingswood School hymn, *Father, Son, Holy Ghost*, Communion hymns, Christian perfection, and a translation by John Wesley which was of concern to some Wesley scholars, *Give to the Winds Thy Fears.* A second major area had to do with folk hymnody. The World Church was one of our first sources to find new material for the hymnal at the point of the folk hymnal. We have tunes from Wales, England, Ireland, Bohemia, Finland, Norway, Holland, China, Scotland, Thailand, France, Sweden, and India.

In our own America, probably more prominent than most hymnals today, we have returned to the frontier. We even have an American Indian melody in the hymnal.

A third area concern had to do with the Gospel hymns. Of all the correspondence that I have had in the last four years, of all the people who responded to the questionnaires, both to the ministerial questionnaire and the one that went to the National Fellowship of Methodist Musicians, this was the one topic which seemed to be more pressing. We had tried to deal with this in a representative way. Rather than add sheer bulk of material to the hymnal, we have selected representative hymns from the Gospel hymn traditions, namely—and here are some of them—*All the Way My Saviour Leads Me; God Will Take Care of You; Have Thine Own Way, Lord; How Great Thou Art; I Will Be True; Jesus Is All the World to Me; Jesus Is Tenderly Calling; Old Rugged Cross; Standing on the Promises; 'Tis So Sweet to Trust in Jesus; When We Walk With the Lord; Wonderful Words of Life.* These are in addition, of course, to the Gospel songs and hymns which were included in the 1935 book.

A fourth area concern was the great hymns of the Christian faith, many of them pre-Reformation, from the Latin and the Greek traditions. We have added material from the Reformation, from the German and from the Psalter material, and from the classic English era.

A fifth area concern, and perhaps as crucial as any, had to do with the hymns of the twentieth century. We have included texts by contemporary Methodists, contemporary Anglicans, and others who reflect in their writing the mission of the Church in the twentieth century; and we have represented here the finest material that we have gained from 65 hymnals from other groups—and in some cases, hymns that we have found in collections of poetry and have set to music for the first time.

Just to read the names of some of these persons who have done this writing: Howard Robins, Frank Christianson, Bishop Costen J. Harrell, Lawrence Houseman, Leon M. Adkins, George Bricks, Bishop Gerald Kennedy, Elizabeth Burroughs, Georgia Harkness, Henry Holland, John Massilman, Albert Bailey, Gilbert Chesterton, Curtis Beach, John Shackford, Charles Parkin, Alma Kenwell, Clifford Bat. These are just a few of the twentieth century names which will be in the book.

Another factor was musical facts. For hundreds of years, no music appeared on the page. It was brought to the assembled group by some person who had a tune book. Contemporary books put the tune on the page. The great concern has been in this book to make it a con-

gregational hymnal, one which the congregation can sing from with ease. We have worked diligently through our various resources, consultants, to bring to you a hymnal that can be sung from, instead of one which can be looked at, which we find sometimes in congregational singing. There is this blank stare which comes when people say, "We can't sing this." We have tried to do something about that. We have tried to eliminate unsingable songs. We brought songs from the Americana, and from the World Church.

We have in some instances asked that new tunes come from the twentieth century, from composers who are active in composing hymn tunes, to write new melodies for us.

A seventh area of consideration had to do with the finer points of editing, checking all the texts, all the tunes, against the sources so that we know the correct name and address if the person is contemporary, the source of the tune, the correct spelling, even in the German choral tradition if there is an umlaut or not.

The next area of concern had to do with putting this all together. Obviously, this has to be a Methodist hymnal and thus it should be organized. (Laughter.) It is not enough simply to list material alphabetically and let it fall where it may. This was one of the geniuses of John Wesley that he was able to put within his very first book that he published in Charleston, South Carolina, a system of classification. This has been the genius of Protestant hymnals for a number of years. We feel we have brought a system of classification to this book which will make it live. It is basically simple, four major categories:

First, Gospel and Christian Experiences. Those hymns which would be close to our faith, close to the topical material, as we would find in most hymnals.

Second, hymns of the Christian church, the mission of the church.

A third major section, the Christian Year. There has been a great concerted interest in the Christian Year in the last 15 or 20 years. This is reflected in the hymnal.

Four, those hymns which find themselves in none of the other three categories, times, seasons, and the other occasions.

A ninth concern has been in the area of the Psalter and Ritual. This was directed by the legislation, that this committee do something about responsive readings, to revise them. This committee brings to you a true Psalter, perhaps for the first time in fifty years—a Psalter that can be not simply a biblical reading but acts of praise.

Second, your Hymnal Committee has brought within this report the aids to worship, the prayers, Scripture sentences, creeds, and other materials which will be used and excerpted from the Book of Worship.

Next in this area of Psalter-Ritual is the actual ritual of the church. I want to make it plain that the Hymnal Committee is not bringing to you the content of the various orders of worship or the various services. It is simply suggesting what will go in when the material has been approved. We proposed that the ritual section of the hymnal include:

One, the Order of the Sacrament of Baptism. Two, Order for the Confirmation and Reception of Members. Three, Order for the administration of the Sacrament of the Lord's Supper, including the musical settings. In briefer form, the Holy Communion.

In summary: We believe that this proposed revision brings to this church in a timely way the wide selection of music, more suitable for congregational singing, a wise selection of texts which reflect our own tradition yet representative around the world in their very message.

We believe that we have brought to you a true Psalter, and we reflect in this the growing concern and interest in the Christian year.

Then, sometimes a neglected factor, the whole idea of what a hymnal is all about. A hymnal is an extension of Scripture, and we have dealt with Scripture throughout our work.

A lectionary has been selected for the songs, identifies all Scripture in the Psalter, and in the upper left hand of each hymn will be the Scripture, all references of each hymn if such a reference is available.

In areas of production, we feel that we will have one of the finest looking books available.

I call your attention to the sample page found on page 515 in your report. First of all, there will be consecutive numbering of all hymns and all readings so that if you begin with 1, you will end perhaps with 800 or 900, but at least no page numbers will be dropped in between. It is confusing sometimes to follow the order of service without knowing the page number and the number of the hymn.

Titles also of hymns will be put across the top of each page. This has been reflected in some correspondence to us. We are sure we have a page that will breathe the life of a hymn. We believe we have an improved system of classification and cross reference, so that a hymn need not be isolated in one part of the hymnal, as opposed to another part.

The Hymnal Committee has attempted to recognize the church, both as it is and as it wants to become, and through this proposed revision, seeks to provide all Methodists with a practical aid to the worship of Almighty God.

We attempted to do for this generation what our predecessors have done for their time; to provide a hymnal which makes it possible for all of us to sing our common faith in Christ who is our Lord and Saviour.

Bishop Voigt: Bishop Wicke, the Hymnal Committee thinks that you would like to look at some of these new hymns, so I am asking our presenter to take a few minutes to have you sing them. There is no member on the committee more useful. He examined some seven or eight hymnals that are now in existence, recently published, and out of them he gleaned some marvelous instances of music which we believe the church will love to sing. Dr. Austin Lovelace.

Hymn Sing—

Dr. Austin C. Lovelace led the Conference in singing a number of hymns in the proposed new hymnal.

The Choir of Albion College, under the direction of Dr. David Strickler, sang "Rise to Greet the Sun."

The Chair recognized Mrs. Floyd W. Rigg of Missouri, a member of the Hymnal Committee, who spoke briefly in appreciation of the proposed new hymnal.

The Chair recognized Bishop Gerald H. Kennedy who spoke in praise of the new hymnal and paid tribute to the high character and caliber of the men and women who produced it.

Bishop Voigt—Committee on Ritual and Orders of Worship —Amos A. Thornburg, Chairman

Bishop Voigt: Mr. Chairman, we have not by-passed the Committee. We have dealt with the Committee on Ritual and Orders of Worship, and if it please the Chair, I would hope you would recognize the Chairman of that Committee for a statement from its work.

Bishop Wicke: May we hear from Dr. Amos Thornburg, Rock River Conference?

Amos A. Thornburg (Rock River—NC), Chairman, Committee on

Ritual and Orders of Worship: Mr. Chairman, your Committee on Ritual and Orders of Worship has had the privilege of considering the memorial to the General Conference relating to the proposed Methodist hymnal.

By unanimous action they instructed me to express to this body their appreciation and approval of the proposed hymnal and urge its adoption.

Motion to Adopt Report of Committee on Hymnal—William R. Cannon, Hurst R. Anderson, Ernest T. Dixon

William R. Cannon (North Georgia—SE): It is my high honor to move the adoption of this report of the Hymnal Commission and the Commission on Worship and through it the gift by this General Conference to The Methodist Church and her people of the finest and most serviceable hymnal in the English language.

Hurst R. Anderson (Baltimore—NE) seconded the motion and gave his personal endorsement.

Ernest T. Dixon (West Texas—C) also seconded the motion for adoption of the Report and expressed appreciation for the inclusion of the Spirituals in the new hymnal.

Appreciation

Lai-Yuen Wong (Malaya—OS) spoke in favor of adoption and offered words of congratulation to the Commission. He asked specific questions regarding the new hymnal and these were answered by Bishop Voigt.

Chester A. Smith (New York—NE) spoke in high praise of the Commission.

Questions—Bishop Voigt—Bishop Wicke—Lovick Pierce

Bishop Voigt: Mr. Chairman, I was told that I overlooked the question on the price of the book. I would like to call on the publishers to speak on this. Will someone answer for them?

Bishop Wicke: Can we hear from the house concerning this matter of price? Mr. Pierce, our publishing agent.

Lovick Pierce: Bishop Wicke and members of the Conference, it has been my privilege to sit in on many of the sessions of this committee's work and representatives of the Publishing House have been privileged to be present at all of them. We have worked in harmony. We are ready now to proceed. You will recall the legislation that was enacted in 1960 carried with it the direction that as soon as this Conference received the report and acted on it, if it approved it, the Publishing House should proceed to publish it as soon as possible. It would be difficult to predict an actual price of a product to be manufactured eighteen months from now. We are doing our level best to hold this price at the lowest possible figure. We believe it can be held to $3.00 or slightly less. That is going to be an uphill fight. If we had to reprint the present hymnal, the time has come when we would have to make new plates and the probable price of reprinting of this hymnal would be in the neighborhood of $2.50. That is about as definite as I can be at this time, but I can assure you that we are going to employ the most modern techniques, equipment, and produce the best book possible at the lowest possible price.

Question—Jack M. Tuell—Bishop Voigt—Carlton Young

Jack M. Tuell (Pacific Northwest—W) asked a question concerning the correlation of the new hymnal with the curriculum for children.

Bishop Voigt called upon Carlton Young who clarified the matter.

Appreciation—Alfred J. Shaw

Alfred J. Shaw (Lucknow—OS): Mr. Chairman and members of the General Conference, I stand here to support enthusiastically the motion which has been made to adopt this hymnal as the hymnal of the church. I am sure that the book will be received with great joy in India.

On the part of myself and on the part of the Methodist Churches of Asia, I would like to commend the members of the committee for the wonderful work they have done in bringing out this book.

Point of Order—Delegate—Bishop Wicke

A delegate raised a point of order regarding Rule 37, which allows both affirmative and negative speeches. Bishop Wicke inquired if anyone wished to speak in opposition to the Report.

Motion—Robert W. Moon

Robert W. Moon (California-Nevada—W) expressed approval of the new hymnal, but moved that the hymn, "The Battle Hymn of the Republic" be omitted.

The motion was not seconded.

Previous Question—William F. Dunkle—Supported

William F. Dunkle (Peninsula—NE): Mr. Chairman, I move the previous question.

The motion was supported by the Conference.

Questions

L. Scott Allen (Georgia—C) inquired about the name of the new hymnal and what effect it might have on the proposed merger with the Evangelical United Brethren.

The Chair called upon *Emory Stevens Bucke* (New England—NE): Bishop Wicke, the commission in consultation with the EUB leadership discovered that this church, the EUB, has recently produced an official hymnal. It was examined very carefully. As a matter of fact, about 70 percent of the EUB hymnal is represented in our present hymnal.

In discussing this, it was their judgment that we should not change the name of this hymnal, since they themselves, even after the plan

of unification is adopted, would continue to use their new hymnals in their pews. They would continue for a period of maybe 12 years.

In view of the lack of a directive from the General Conference to anything other in its legislation than to revise *The Methodist Hymnal,* we could not, therefore, come back to you with a different name. I think we are perfectly clear in proceeding as we have with the name *The Methodist Hymnal.*

Lester L. Moore (South Iowa—NC): Will the prayers included in the back of the hymnal also be numbered consecutively as it is proposed the hymns will be?

Bishop Voigt: Correct.

Urias B. Freeman (Liberia—OS) inquired if hymns No. 483, "From All the Dark Places," and 484, "From Greenland's Icy Mountains," were omitted from the new hymnal.

Point of Order—C. Asbury Smith—Not Sustained

C. Asbury Smith (Baltimore—NE): Mr. Chairman, point of order. This discussion is completely out of order. We are under the previous question. All this material is out of order.

Bishop Wicke: If the Chair understands correctly, the item of previous order includes all that is before us. Does the house agree?

There was no response.

Bishop Wicke: Well, those who will agree with the Chair, lift the hands? Those opposed? The Chair is sustained.

Question—Robert W. Burtner—Bishop Lloyd C. Wicke—Bishop Edwin E. Voigt

Robert W. Burtner (Oregon—W): Are we voting on the acts of praise, the Order of the Ritual, and everything?

Bishop Wicke: It is the Chair's understanding that you are adopting the hymnal which includes the totality, is that correct?

Bishop Voigt: Yes.

Question—Urias B. Freeman—Carleton R. Young

Urias B. Freeman (Liberia—OS) requested an answer to his inquiry.

Carleton R. Young (North-East Ohio—NC) responded that the hymns Numbered 483 and 484 have been deleted and are not included in the report.

Question—Vincent M. Mouser—Bishop Lloyd C. Wicke

Vincent M. Mouser (Louisiana—SC) inquired about the motion regarding the deletion of "The Battle Hymn of the Republic."

Bishop Wicke ruled that the motion did not have a second.

Request—Virgilio T. Velasco—Carleton R. Young

Virgilio T. Velasco (Northern Philippines—OS): Did the Commit-

tee consider for inclusion in the proposed hymnal, hymns from Asia such as those of D. T. Niles, Pajaro and another Japanese hymn which were sung by the Study Conference at Gatlinburg, Tennessee?

Carleton R. Young (North-East Ohio—NC): We considered songs of Southeast Asia as a group, but I cannot be sure about the one of which you speak.

Vote—Report—Committee on Hymnal—Bishop Lloyd C. Wicke—Adopted

Bishop Lloyd C. Wicke, the Presiding Officer: Are there other questions? Those who will support the motion for adoption of the totality, will you lift the hand? Those who are opposed? I am sure the Chair expresses its thanks.

Appreciation—Bishop Edwin E. Voigt

Bishop Edwin E. Voigt, Illinois Area, North Central Jurisdiction, Chairman of Committee on new Hymnal: Will you permit the Chairman on behalf of the Committee to thank the Conference for your action? We believe you will never repent of what you have done.

Secondly, the Chairman of this committee would be remiss if he did not give a word of praise for the wonderful help we received from the consultants, musicians, theologians, and people interested in ritual and order of service. They likewise gave of their time.

We thank the Publishing House for generosity in going beyond what we had a right to expect from them in the way of secretarial and advisory help in order to get this ready at this time. In that connection also, we thank the Publishing House because at no time did they ever presume to act upon the prerogatives of the committee, but worked with the committee in cooperation and not against them. Thank you so much.

Suggestion—Robert M. Thorpe

Robert M. Thorpe (Pacific Northwest—W) suggested that the delegates speak their names clearly and slowly when recognized by the Chair.

Motion—John D. Herr—Adopted

John D. Herr (Philadelphia—NE): I move that we now recess and that we ask the Agenda Committee to re-schedule the report of the Study Commission on Church and State Relations. That is an important report and should not be treated hurriedly.

Motion seconded and adopted.

Recess—Bishop Lloyd C. Wicke

Bishop Lloyd C. Wicke, Presiding Officer: We will be in recess after an important announcement by the Secretary.

Announcements—Leon T. Moore, Secretary

Recess—10:35 A.M.

Reconvene—Bishop Lloyd C. Wicke

Bishop Lloyd C. Wicke, the Presiding Officer, called the Conference to order at 10:50 A.M. He asked Dr. Lovelace to lead the conference in the singing of a hymn. The Conference stood and sang Hymn No. 4, "O Worship the King."

Albion Choir

Dr. Lovelace was asked by the Chair to introduce the Albion College Choir. The Choir sang several numbers under the direction of Dr. David Strickler. Bishop Wicke expressed appreciation for their singing.

Courtesy—Bishop Lloyd C. Wicke—Dr. H. Conwell Snoke—Bishop Donald H. Tippett

Bishop Wicke: There are two items of courtesy, I believe, one dealing with the centennial observance of church extension. Will you grant the courtesy to Dr. H. Conwell Snoke? I hear no contrary voice. Dr. Snoke.

H. Conwell Snoke (Philadelphia—NE): Secretary, Division of National Missions, Board of Missions: Bishop Wicke, Bishops and delegates, this General Conference marks 100 years of organized general church extension in The Methodist Church. It was on the 27th day of the 14th delegated General Conference—notice that was the 27th day of the General Conference. Those were the days when men were men and conferences were not streamlined. The General Conference was meeting in November of 1864 at Union Church in Philadelphia, and formal action was taken in that conference constituting a church extension society.

Our sturdy forebears did not wait for organization in order to build churches. They had already been establishing churches at every crossroads in America.

Man has always had the impulse to build temples and meeting-houses as he moved across the land. It seems appropriate to us as a Division of National Missions that we should commemorate, and the division is proposing that this be a year of commemoration of the 100th anniversary of organized church extension, and a year of dedication to the building of the church as we move into the next 100 years.

The pen that you will find at your desk is to remind you that the plans that we make today are in order that we might build a greater and stronger church in the years ahead. Thank you.

Bishop Wicke: Thank you very much. Bishop Tippett will you introduce our chaplains?

Bishop Donald H. Tippett, San Francisco Area, Western Jurisdiction, Chairman of the Commission on Chaplains: Mr. Chairman, members of the delegation, for 12 years I have had the high honor and the great privilege of working on the Chaplain's Commission. In that capacity, I have been with these men in literally every part of the world. I have worked with them. I have telephoned places as far as Thailand, Turkey, and Tasmania. I come to you to bring a report today which is very encouraging to those of us who have seen the work of the chaplains intimately during the past dozen years.

They have never been held in higher regard by their officers and the men they are serving than they are at the present moment. Our Methodist chaplains, in a very distinctive way, seem to surpass all

the rest for several reasons. In the first place, a Methodist chaplain will celebrate Holy Communion and serve at the table and serve any man who comes in the right attitude of mind and spirit, regardless of denomination.

In the second place, our chaplains more than any other in any of the services, because they abstain from the use of alcoholic beverages and most of them from smoking, have set a moral standard that makes them distinctive from the rest. Because of that, they have had a leadership that some other men have not had.

I want to commend them highly not only from my own observation but from the testimonies I have received from their commanding officers, generals and admirals, and particularly from the GI's, the white-caps, and the men doing the hard and difficult tasks in the armed forces around the world.

I think many of you are not aware of how extensive the work of the chaplains of The Methodist Church is. Did you know, for example, that we have chaplains in the Army, 181 in number? In the Air Force, we have 165. In the Navy, we have 147, making a total of 493 who are serving—ordained men, Methodist ministers—serving in every part of the world in military service, in 24 countries, in 7 islands and on 5 continents?

Did you know while we are debating and engaging in dialogue on matters of ecumenicity, these chaplains are actually practicing it, working with Roman Catholics, with Jews, and with people of countless other religious backgrounds? In Turkey and in Chile, in the air base attached to it, we have a regular mosque so that the people of that faith may worship according to their own conscience.

Did you know that our chaplains are practicing an interracial attitude of mind in their daily behavior? In all of our military installations, there are no demarcations with regard to race or color or background socially. All are being served and being served highly and well.

This last fall, this last winter, my headquarters for some little while were in the Ambassador Arms in Frankfort, and immediately across the street from my headquarters was a high school with more than 1,200 American girls and boys in it.

I could duplicate this in nearly every part of the world. These are the boys and the girls who will be returning to be citizens of America, to take their places with the girls and boys in our high schools here at home. It would be a colossal mistake if they did not receive the kind of religious instruction we expect our children to receive here at home.

There are forces that would eliminate all of the chaplains from military installations. They would curtail the work of our chaplains, but would you let me speak for the commanding officers, generals and admirals, that I have interviewed in every part of the world?

I have yet to find a single man, whether he is highly in favor of the church or not, whether he is a churchman or not, I have yet to find a commanding officer that doesn't feel it would be a colossal mistake to take the chaplain off the installation or take the Christian chaplain out of his responsible and significant position.

I commend them very highly to you and I want to tell you that in the past 12 years I have seen an increased appreciation and respect for these men who represent us, and who are a part of our church in these far distant places.

Mr. Chairman, I should like, with your permission, to ask, first, the staff to join me here at the podium. Dr. McLaughlin, Dr. Bowling, and Dr. Fred Heather. Will you come forward, please?

Dr. John McLaughlin, the general secretary.

Dr. Fred H. Heather, the treasurer.

Dr. Herley C. Bowling, the associate-secretary.

One reason that our chaplains are held in higher regard than they ever have been before comes back to these three men who have, through their skill and their devotion and their zeal, made the chaplaincy what it is today. I speak no deprecating word about those who preceded them, for they paved the way, but these men have carried on in a valiant and effective way in the ministry which is a very valuable ministry, sending these men out to far distant places.

I would like you, if you would be good enough, to see some of the chaplains who have come, more than a hundred of them, here to sessions of this General Conference. I think they are seated right over here.

Will you stand, please, with your wives? (Standing ovation.)

Bishop Tippett: I know these chaplains and the staff would feel I was remiss if I did not recognize this tremendous salute that you have just given these, our brethren. May I ask that your prayers continue with them because they need you very much. They are out in lonely places, many of them. Some of them have to fight a single battle against the forces of evil, and I have seen how difficult it is and how hard it is to maintain a moral standard in many of these military installations. These are the men who symbolize your church and mine. They are giving the kind of performance that makes us all stand in reverence and high praise.

Mr. Chairman and members of the General Conference, thank you very much for this moment of indulgence.

Bishop Wicke: For your service over these years, we are grateful, Bishop Tippett. I know I speak for the church at large.

Bishop Tippett: Thank you.

Appreciation—Chester A. Smith

Chester A. Smith (New York—NE) spoke in high praise of the Chaplains and the work of the Commission, but voiced his opposition to the salaries being paid by the government of the United States. He was also opposed to our Chaplains having military rank.

Emergency Meeting—Bishop Donald H. Tippett

Bishop Donald H. Tippett, Chairman of the Commission on Chaplains, requested the conference to excuse members of the Commission on Chaplains for an emergency meeting. The request was granted.

Order of the Day—Report No. 1 of the Coordinating Council

Omission—Ezekias G. Gacutan—Out of Order—Bishop Lloyd C. Wicke

Ezekias G. Gacutan (Northwest Philippines—OS) called attention to the omission in the DCA, page 5, April 27, 1964, of the overseas delegates.

Bishop Lloyd C. Wicke, the Presiding Officer, ruled the delegate out of order and suggested that the matter be presented when the Report comes before the Conference.

Introduction—Bishop Richard C. Raines

Bishop Richard C. Raines, Indiana Area, North Central Jurisdiction: Mr. Chairman, delegates to the General Conference, and friends: This pamphlet, *Jesus Christ Is Lord*, has been sent to all of you. Pages are here with copies for all of you if you do not have it. The time of the General Conference is so short, I think you would not want me to wait while they are distributed. If you lift your hands, the Pages will give you a copy while I continue with the report.

Each of the General Conferences since 1944 has adopted a quadrennial program. The last General Conference at Denver had difficulty making up its mind whether it wanted to have an elaborate quadrennial program and finally settled on what it called a quadrennial emphasis with the theme, *Jesus Christ Is Lord*, which is on the front of this brochure.

It had two thrusts, one for spiritual outreach in the realm of human need.

The responsibility for organizing and implementing these emphases was given by the General Conference to the Council of Bishops with all necessary consultations and assistance by the General Boards and Agencies. The Council of Bishops selected nine of its number and constituted them the Quadrennial Emphasis Committee. These bishops met with the secretaries of the boards and agencies and it was agreed at their request that the special emphasis and participation of the bishops would be given to one activity of each of the nine areas.

This brochure reports a brief summary I have of the activity in each of these nine areas of outreach undertaken under the mandate of the General Conference. It may well be that what has taken place under the first emphasis—speaking of spiritual renewal—will be seen in the years ahead as the most creative portion of the quadrennial emphasis.

The Council of Bishops requests me to express its thanks for the cooperation and leadership, and the group of Bishops would be grateful if some appropriate motion might be made for our records to be complete that this report was made at this session of the General Conference.

We are grateful to you for this privilege of bringing our final report, and are glad to have had an opportunity to try to serve the church in this way.

Bishop Wicke: Does the Chair hear a motion to that effect?

It was so moved and seconded.

Bishop Wicke: Is there any discussion? Those who agree, will you lift your hand. Those who are opposed? I take it there is no opposition to discharging the committee, is that correct?

Bishop Edwin R. Garrison—Leon E. Hickman

Bishop Edwin R. Garrison, Dakota Area, North Central Jurisdiction, President, Coordinating Council: Mr. Chairman, delegates to the Conference, it seems to me that since about 53 percent of the delegation is new, it might be wise for us to say just a word about the Coordinating Council itself. You will find in our charter in paragraphs 1111 and following, the Council was established in 1952 and is answerable directly to the General Conference. It has the responsibility to correlate and coordinate the work of the boards to prevent overlapping and duplications, and we are likewise charged with the responsibility, in cooperation with other councils of the

church, to prepare the quadrennial program. The Coordinating Council is nominated at each quadrennial conference by the Council of Bishops. There are 32 members, one bishop from each jurisdiction, and two bishops from overseas. Our Coordinating Council has no staff and no paid officers. All the membership of the council is active, not passive.

The Council has asked one of our laymen to be responsible for the floor reports. Mr. Leon Hickman of the Western Pennsylvania Conference, member of the Mount Lebanon Church, chairman of the board of trustees of his own annual conference, chairman of the Special Committee on Boundaries of the Northeastern Jurisdiction, has a son who is a prominent member of this annual conference. Mr. Hickman is the chairman of the board of trustees of Morningside College.

I note whenever I meet with laymen, they always want to know how a man makes his living. Mr. Hickman is the executive vice-president of the Aluminum Company of America, and has taken this period of the General Conference as his vacation in order that he might devote time to the work of the General Conference.

I present Mr. Leon Hickman as our floor leader.

Leon E. Hickman (Western Pennsylvania—NE), Vice President Coordinating Council: Bishop Wicke, members of the General Conference, the item of business before us is Report No. 1 of the Coordinating Council which can be found in the *Daily Christian Advocate* for April 27, beginning at page 18. It was prepared and is submitted by the Coordinating Council pursuant to the direction of paragraph 1113 of the *Discipline*, Section 3, which directs the Coordinating Council to submit such a report in consultation with the Council of Bishops, and the Council of Secretaries.

The procedures that were followed under that mandate are more fully detailed in Report No. 5 of the Coordinating Council which is printed in the *Daily Christian Advocate* for April 28, at page 62, column 2. I point that out for reference. I don't believe it needs to be referred to now.

Time being at a premium and the chairman and delegates willing, I would recommend as a means of conserving time and yet getting this report before us adequately, that Report No. 1 be considered in the following manner:

First, that I be permitted to make two editorial corrections in the copy where a mistake has been discovered.

Second, that the report be briefly summarized and its central recommendations highlighted by Bishop James K. Mathews, a member of the Coordinating Council.

Third, after the report has been summarized and highlighted, that Dr. Eugene L. Smith, a delegate from the Newark Conference and a member of the drafting committee, be recognized to speak briefly to the significance of the suggested program.

Finally, if the report is approved, that Bishop Roy H. Short, a member of the Council and the principal draftsman of the report, be recognized to offer a prayer of commitment for the program.

Mr. Chairman, may we proceed from that basis?

Bishop Wicke: Is this type of procedure agreeable to the house? I take it. it is, sir; proceed.

Leon E. Hickman: The two corrections which I ask you to note in your copy are found on pages 18 and 19 of the report as printed in the *Daily Christian Advocate*. The first one, the first column on page 18, the paragraph ahead of the first heading, the first word I refer to is "Methodist." It should be "Methodism."

The second correction is the second column of page 19, the first full paragraph, in line 8, where the words, "The quadrennial emphasis," are in error and should be stricken, with the words "Pro-

motion and Cultivation" substituted so that the reference will be, when corrected, "To the Commission on Promotion and Cultivation."

That is in the first full paragraph, second column on page 19, lines 3, 6, and 8.

I should like to present Bishop James K. Mathews who will highlight and summarize the report.

Bishop Wicke: Bishop Mathews.

Bishop James K. Mathews, Boston Area, Northeastern Jurisdiction: Mr. Chairman and members of the General Conference, the Committee asked that I lead in a few words of solemn devotion to begin with. Let us pray.

Direct us, O Lord, in all our doings with Thy most gracious favor, and further us with Thy continual help that in all our work begun, continued and ended in Thee, we may glorify Thy Holy Name, and finally, by Thy mercy, obtain everlasting life through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen.

The document before you has gone through repeated revisions as early as the end of 1960. Letters were solicited from all the agencieh having to do with this report not having to do with the *Discipline*, having to do with their suggestions. They were reviewed in the summer of 1961. They were submitted preliminarily through the Coordinating Council a year ago, and again last fall, and then approved for presentation by the General Conference, by all the necessary agents meeting in January.

The program before you, I call attention to its title on the anniversary quadrennium program. *One Witness in One World.*

We trust that in view of the fact that this is the 25th anniversary of Methodist union, the 200th anniversary of American Methodism, that something of the dynamic motives which prompted our fathers might prompt us as we recall these events in our history.

The purpose is given in the first paragraph. I shall not, of course, read the report. The achievement of greater oneness in Methodism and practical demonstration of Christian fellowship.

Proceed to the middle column on page 18, the bold type will be instructive. The purposes that we are called under God to attain the achievement of greater oneness in every congregation, every local community, and across racial and health lines as a world church, followed by the greater oneness in the church universal.

At the top of the third column on page 18, the emphasis on the local church self-appraisal program. Most of us who have been involved in surveys have discovered that when a congregation or anybody is asked to conduct a self-appraisal that the results are likely to be more realistic than otherwise.

I should like to read the searching questions in the paragraph which follows. Are we truly one people giving one united witness? How are we sharing the united witness of Methodism as a whole? Have we a true confession of the meaning of the church? Is our church school doing an effective job? Is it growing or declining in attendance? Is it helping people to grow in Christian life? Are we concerned for the unchurched in the community? Are the racial practices of our congregations a reflection of the love of Jesus Christ? Is our outreach a disadvantage to the reflection of the love of Christ? What does Christ inspire us to achieve for greater justice in our community? Are we concerned actively with the creation of an atmosphere which sponsors brotherhood, justice, and peace? Are we aware of the moral and ethical standards of our local communities? What plans do we have for the future? What specific steps should we take to carry forward the word of Christ in the next ten years?

Who can calculate the benefit of each congregation if seriously, in the presence of God, they allow themselves to be addressed in depths by questions such as these?

In order to help in this, you will see two paragraphs that further the fact that a guide book will be prepared.

Turning to the first column of page 19, appraisal should lead to action. Especially I call your attention to a suggested N-1 Program. I will read this in part.

As a creative activity at the community level, it is suggested that a Neighbor-1 program be inaugurated. That is that churches pledge themselves to a year of voluntary service to establish a new congregation or an outpost church, school, or assist other churches of the community.

The N-1s would retain membership in their church. It is suggested that these be made of young persons and young couples as well as older persons enlisted under church leadership under the supervision of the district superintendent and assigned by him for service, and that they be recognized for this year of special service by their home church.

This is not theory, but is already in practice in many parts of our church.

There follows a number three, a suggestion for a conference wide program.

Number four calls for a worldwide program of action.

I think that the delegates from overseas as well as those from this country will take particular interest in the care with which the worldwide scope of the program has been contemplated. You will see that consultations are also suggested under the direction of the Council of Bishops.

At the very bottom of the middle column under "Procedures" responsibility for the interest would be assigned through the Commission on Program and Cultivation with the understanding that one person from Africa, one person from Asia, one person from Europe, and one person from Latin-America will be coopted to prepare this program.

As contrasted to procedures in other quadrennia, no new commission would be established as an existing body, but the church itself would be used for this. I think the coopting of overseas members would make clear our response to the query from the floor a few moments ago.

In the third column of page 19, this would not be a program of the general agencies, but all of them would be supporting its fulfillment.

At the end of this page is the conclusion which, again, refers to our history and the establishment of Methodism in this country 200 years ago, it will be, in 1966, and how a hundred years after that there was a special thrust of the church education, and church extension, of magnificent proportions.

There is a final benediction at the top of page 20.

For your information, then, there is an appendix attached at the top of this page, and on the table before you, you will have this pamphlet in outline which is entitled *One Witness in One World.*

The Coordinating Council did not wish to presume, but it was thought wise should the General Conference approve this quadrennial emphasis, to prepare as soon as possible the handbook mentioned on page 18, to be in the hands of those in the church who would be expected to use it.

It is possible that such a booklet of about 80 pages could be available by the end of this summer, at a cost of perhaps 75 cents to a dollar.

You will note one seeming discrepancy in the middle fold of the pamphlet before you which suggests only nine chapters, whereas the document at the top of page 20, column three, shows 10. This is because it would be contemplated that chapters nine and ten of the

Daily Christian Advocate would make only one if such a book were produced.

You will see that this proposed book would be an exposition of the Letter to the Ephesians which speaks of the dividing wall of partition which God has taken the initiative, through Jesus Christ, to break down that dividing wall. If one reads the context very carefully, he sees the suggestion that the material from the broken wall is used again in the construction of a temple holy unto the Lord.

We of the Coordinating Council believe that this quadrennial emphasis would afford occasion for the building and equipping of a more vigorous Methodism for our day.

Eugene L. Smith (Newark—NE): Members of the General Conference, here is a program focused on one thing, the renewal of the church. This is in contrast to every other institution in that it gives promise of renewal. We are called to be new creatures in Christ, renewed every day.

The scholars say the difference of the Church is in catholicity . . .

The distinction of the Church is this continual process of renewal. It is uniquely the sign of the work of the Holy Spirit, and unless that mark is present, the other marks disappear.

Renewal of the church is a gift of God. It is needed in every age. It is desperately needed in our age.

This quadrennial program is designed to aid in the renewal of the church. It cannot produce such renewal. Church machinery is impotent at this fundamental point. Renewal of the church is the work of the Holy Spirit. He chooses his own time, his own place, his own methods by wisdom which is not available to us.

Renewal in the church does require a certain degree of human preparation and participation. The hope behind this program is to stimulate that human preparation and then, if by the grace of God, renewal appears, to give it channels for spreading.

It is both the strength and the weakness of this program that it promises nothing. It is dependent on the initiative and the imaginativeness of bishops and superintendents, but mostly of pastors and local congregational leaders.

If we should find later that it has aided in renewal of the Church, we will give thanks to God.

If there is not sufficient hunger in the church for renewal to make us lay hold upon these possible aids, we will have failed and failed in methods of far greater import than a quadrennial program.

Let me try to describe this program as it may be used by the pastor of a local congregation. Now he is asked to lead his people in a self-appraisal program, especially to the official board.

Some pastors may wish to add other groups. Here is a prospect to take perhaps a year. The congregation will take a new look at what God is calling that congregation to do in that total communities exist in this present world.

I think the format of the book is good to take an insight on the Book of Ephesians which says more about the modern Church than any other book of the Bible. Then, to apply that to the realities of congregational life today is very important. I have seen a part of the draft. It is brief and cogent. It ends with sharp and hard questions. This is a tool that will be available to those who are to use it.

To describe the concern that I evidence in this manuscript, let me list four questions which might be asked by a local congregation.

First, what is God calling this congregation to do about barriers within ourselves which promote false Christian fellowship? There are some congregations for whom the prayerful asking of this question would be the beginning of spiritual rebirth.

Second, what is God calling us to do in joint action with other

churches and our community, Methodist or other, for the needs of the community?

The discussion in the committee brought up some illustrations. I note them only in passing. One, the development of a program using the facilities of volunteers from churches to help young people handicapped by bad background in the mastery of those essential skills in English necessary in our public schools. This need is great in many of the cities of America. Some churches are responding far more than others.

Another possibility: Joint action so it is not competitive, not just for the end group of privileged young people already served, but for the young people of the total community, providing that kind of spirit of recreational sympathy to which they will respond, where they will not be under the pressure for heavy drinking.

Some of you pastors can shoot holes in these illustrations. This is all right because if you know this much, you know enough to provide better ones. (Laughter) You should know better examples whereby you, in common with other Christians of the community, can reach out to do the total needs of the community in joint and separate official action. Such action is never easy. There are obstacles to it in the mentality of every Methodist congregation, I know. The New Testament does not tell us that Christian tasks are ever easy. It only comes to us if it is in obedience to the Lord. He will use it for His own purpose.

The third question: What is God calling this congregation to do to help weaker congregations in this same community? Here is a personal reference. I live in a city where there is a strong central prestige congregation. We have been members there for more than a decade. I am aware of the rich resources of lay interest which this congregation within its own life are not able fully to help. Within a few blocks is a Methodist church which has closed its doors within the last several years. Not far away is another Methodist church near to that problem.

Excuse me if I am specific, but I think this probably describes what happens at many places. In about 11 years in this church, there is not one of the four members of our family—and we have been very active—who has ever heard of this strong congregation, with an excess of leadership and plenty of money—we have never heard in our church a discussion of the problems of sister Methodist churches a few blocks away that are facing their death. As far as the interaction between these congregations, they might as well be in another continent. I use this illustration because it is not limited to the town in which I live.

One of the great needs would be for suburban congregations, spiritually imperilled by this specific privilege to take upon themselves in reality a personal interest in the members of the churches in the inner city where so many of the people in that suburban congregation earn their living. This, to me, is the exciting thing about this N-1 program.

If our church were to send our people as a commission to one of the struggling churches of our own community—or better yet, send a family with children already grown—with loyalties that we pledge all the time to a church in which our own children were raised.

If two of us were sent, and the fact that one family might stay in the church that needs resources, another might go back. At the end of the year, more would be sent. It is possible under the grace of God that after five years, here would be a new deposit in churches rather desperately needing it. Here, within the privileged congregation, would be a core of people acutely aware of the struggles of a weaker congregation. What this could do with a suburban church really be-

coming aware of the problems of the inner city, what this might do to redeem a suburban church from its luxury, to strengthen an inner city church, baffled and perplexed by the currents of the times, this is one of the creative possibilities which some of you will see.

The fourth question. What is God calling us to do in this congregation where there are Negroes in the community but none in the church membership? This question is as real for many churches in the North as in the South.

I pray God that all of us, that we take an action of bold obedience to our problem with regard to the segregation in the structure of our church. When we have done that, we have only begun.

For the Christian, this is really a personal relation in the community and in the congregation. What would happen in churches which are racially exclusive in mixed communities where so often this question is never openly discussed? What would happen if an official board were to live for a period of months in special study of the Book of Ephesians, and then to ask the real meaning of what the Holy Spirit says to us through that book about the practices and the relationships in that congregation? We might yet be amazed at the places where new spiritual tones can be discovered.

This program envisages such a process taking place over a year, perhaps through all of 1965. If renewal does take place, it spreads. There will be some district superintendents able to nurture this process throughout their districts. We can hope that this movement coming out of churches, if it is the will of the Holy Spirit, might move us to the Annual Conferences. Some have said that it is possible that an Annual Conference, perhaps a third year in the quadrennium, should set aside the stereotype in which we often work, and then an annual program toward a hard look of what God is calling Methodists to do in that geographical area.

If renewal should occur and spread, there is in this program a possibility more fully developed than ever before whereby a movement out of the local congregation could spread through Annual Conferences up to the General Conference, and perhaps a program of our next General Conference therefore could be determined by the local churches, and if there is to be a quadrennial program beginning in 1968, it might for the time be a program which has been given by local churches in spiritual renewal to the general church, instead of our usual practice of program given by the General Conference to the local.

One characteristic of this program is that for the first time in any quadrennial program, it treats all churches on the same level around the world, and so it is planned, if you approve, that the draft for this study document will be put in the hands of capable writers of the conferences, not simply for confirmation, but for adaptation to the use of the churches there. It is possible that we may feel we are in a process of reappraisal under God, shared by congregations in our fellowship and in almost 50 different counties around the world, in Methodist and united churches, but, again, the choice as to what happens will be determined by the local leadership.

A second major phase of this program is a plan for sharing, direct sharing between congregations. Here, again, for the first time, every congregation in our fellowship is treated on the same level.

We hope that every congregation itself will choose to do something specific, sacrificial every year of the quadrennium to help another congregation in another situation, another conference, another country, another congregation.

We are interested in your seeing a list of five categories: Loan funds, money for buying lots before the cost of the property becomes impossible; sanctuaries and parsonages for pastors of churches.

We envision this as being organized by states and countries so that one section of it would be Alabama, Alaska, Algeria, Argentina, Illinois, Indiana, India, Indonesia. I hope that the list would not be limited to Methodist Churches. I know places where we ought to throw strength behind Pentecostal Churches. You know of such, I am sure.

It is our hope that every Methodist church, no matter how small, will do something for another Methodist church, no matter how big. I can testify that some younger members of churches are excited about this. Perhaps Peru can pour help into Cuba where the United States cannot.

What about the anti-Japanese riots in Korea?

These churches could send their kinds of gifts to each other. This is a program of self-appraisal and sharing.

J. B. Phillips wrote of his experience in translating *St. Paul's Letters to Young Churches* that again and again he felt like an electrician having to rewire a house when he could not turn off the main current. This is a perilous assignment.

We are called to rewire the House of God with a rewiring adequate to our day, and we have to do it while the wires are "hot" with the power of the whole experience moving through them. If we do this, we have no idea whether we will be burned, whether we will be illumined. This is our task.

Statement—David E. Lindstrom

David E. Lindstrom (Central Illinois—NC): Mr. Chairman and fellow delegates, I want to say that this report thrills me. As a layman I think it is essential that each of us take the spirit and intent of this report to heart and that we determine to do all we can to implement the provisions of the report in our home communities.

May I call your attention to certain facts deserving our serious consideration presented in this report, *Jesus Christ Is Lord,* namely:

Methodism in the United States has approximately 33,000 of its 39,000 churches in the open country or in towns with less than 10,000 population. This statement is further documented in the article by Earl Brewer to be found in *The Methodist Story* for May, 1964 placed on your tables just this morning. Note that Dr. Brewer's study shows that the majority of all pastoral charges are too small to provide a full work load for fully trained pastors and that they cannot pay salaries commanding properly trained pastors.

Please note, also, the last paragraph on the first page on the significance of the small churches. We do not mean to say the small church is not an important type of church in our Methodist system. The problem we face is to help it provide for its people the kind of program that is on a par with the program of any other church in Methodism.

May I therefore suggest that one element in church strategy is that, rather than to organize circuits made up of a number of weak churches, that we implement the suggestion of the Study of the General Superintendency of The Methodist Church (1964) to form circuits of weak and strong churches, preferably on a community basis. Such cooperative reorganization is taking place regarding our schools. The community in rural areas is increasing in importance as the area for the effective functioning of many rural institutions. It should be recognized as such by The Methodist Church. By such strategy weak and strong churches can work together to provide for all the people an effective program of worship and religious education.

Editorial Change—Edwin L. Jones—Accepted—Leon E. Hickman

Edwin L. Jones (Western North Carolina—SE): I offer a revision or an editorial change which I do not believe will cause any argument. On page 19, column 3, paragraph 6, which reads, "The expenses of the Commission in promoting the emphasis shall be provided out of general administration funds."

I propose to substitute for the words "general administration funds" these words, "World Service Fund on Ratio Distribution."

The motion was seconded.

Edwin L. Jones: In column 3, the report of the Council on World Service and Finance, you will see that they have put an amount in the World Service Report for such a commission, and that it is in the column entitled, "The World Service Fund on Ratio Distribution."

If this change is made, the two reports will harmonize.

Bishop Wicke: Will you accept it?

Leon E. Hickman: The Coordinating Council has no objection to it. We feel it is primarily a problem of this body and the Council on World Service and Finance. We have no objection.

Bishop Wicke: Dr. Jones, do you speak for the World Service Council?

Edwin L. Jones: I do, sir.

Bishop Wicke: If the body has no objection, and the Committee itself accepts it, and the World Service Council also accepts it, is there any objection to constituting it as a part of the report? I hear none.

Statement—Alfred J. Shaw

Alfred J. Shaw (Lucknow—OS): Mr. Chairman and members of the Conference—as one of the overseas delegates, I would like to express my gratitude for the Coordinating Council for bringing out a work which is important to us.

I would like to say something about the point that is going to be raised by one of the delegates. I feel very happy that the Delhi Conference is discussing questions now, not only of the churches in the United States, but is also discussing questions which concern the overseas conferences.

This removes one of my questions. I was told again and again that the General Conference deals with questions pertaining to the churches in the United States. I am very happy that we have now a world perspective. We are thinking in terms of the world. We are living in a new world and I think our program ought to be for the whole world. Our General Conference is one of the unique conferences of the world. We need to be more and more together, to make our contributions to the present day world.

We overseas delegates though few in number have learned a great deal from our privilege of being members of this Conference, but I think that we have learned also that a great deal can be learned from the overseas delegates, and that we can make contributions to the churches of the United States, as well as The Methodist Church of the whole world.

I am very happy that the program is touching world-wide problems and it will not be a problem dealing with the United States only.

Thank you.

Appreciation—Lee C. Moorehead

Lee C. Moorehead (Ohio—NC): I am very excited about this report. There is one part which I would like particularly to support very strongly. In recent years, I have heard lamentations over the resources of the town and country churches, and much has been said about the inner city churches. We have had many conferences, many resolutions passed, and tons of literature have been produced. This particular program which is being suggested here today, I believe, provides us with a real handle, and I speak especially of the neighborhood programs.

I would like to call your attention to the fact that in the greater Kansas City area, this has been dramatically and very meaningfully expressed. There is a church in the greater Kansas City area, on the Kansas line, by the name of the Asbury Methodist Church which, in 11 years, grew from no members to 2,000 members. It is fairly bursting at the seams with members and, you might say, trembling with vitality.

Down in Kansas City, Missouri, there are two churches which work together in what is known as the Church Urban Project. These are inner city churches. One of them, by the way, was served by the great Bishop Quayle many years ago. These are churches suffering the exhaustion and attrition of the inner city.

Two years ago, four families from the Asbury Church were commissioned officially and consecrated in a service on Sunday morning and sent as "Neighborhood One" persons, you might say, to act as missionaries to the inner city church. This was over the city line, over the county line, over two conference lines, and over a district line. These four families have spent their entire lives in that particular church. Many of the persons from these four families, consisting of 19 persons—11 of whom were children—were some of the leading members and officials of the Asbury Church.

I would like to call attention to one very important and crucial matter here. This is due primarily and will be due in the future, if this has any real effectiveness, first of all, to dedicated, devoted men working in the inner city who are able to receive them imaginatively and creatively. Perhaps even more than that, it will be due to the fact that in the suburban churches, the members of those churches will work actively, indeed fervently, to recruit these families. This is exactly what happened in the Asbury Church in Kansas City.

The Reverend Al Hager, the minister of this church, actively sought out the four families, called upon them ,recruited them, commissioned them, and sent them down with his blessing and with his encouragement. I would like to end with this testimony. It was the privilege of my family and me to be one of those families.

We went to the Troost Avenue Church in a decaying part of the city. We left a church with a flourishing vitality. We went to a church with a handful of people and attendance dropping off, but the six of us with our children ranging in age from 6 to 17, we had the profoundest experience as a Christian family that we have ever had, and this is exactly the kind of experience that I could covet for many, many other families in Methodism.

This particular church has 50 percent of its children in the church school Negro. All these experiences greatly enriched us and gave us a new dimension of understanding as a Christain family, and I am very glad that this program is being proposed, and I hope that it will be taken seriously by many affluent churches which are mighty likely to do this.

Inquiry—Appreciation—W. Sproule Boyd—Bishop Wicke

W. Sproule Boyd (Western Pennsylvania—NE): Do we actually

have a motion to adopt this program before us?

Bishop Wicke: That is the motion of the Coordinating Council.

W. Sproule Boyd: May I have the privilege of stating a brief word in seconding the motion if it has not been seconded?

Bishop Wicke: It needs no second since it comes from one of the commissions of the church.

W. Sproule Boyd: May I say, then, very briefly—that I am very definitely impressed by the possibilities of this program. It places the responsibility for church renewal in the only place church renewal can happen, in a local congregation; and I am glad that it has been presented this morning by a member of our own delegation from the Western Pennsylvania Conference.

Amendment—Harold S. Swales—Accepted—Bishop Garrison

Harold S. Swales (Central New York—NE): I have an amendment that perhaps the Committee will accept, and I would place it on page 19, in column 1, under section 2, "Community-wide Action Program," at the end of this, "and may be conducted in cooperation with them." If I have a second, I will speak or perhaps the committee will accept it?

Bishop Wicke: What is the will of the committee?

Bishop Garrison: I would like to inquire where that amendment goes.

Bishop Wicke: Will you identify it, Dr. Swales?

Harold S. Swales: Yes. In the first column, page 19 of the *Daily Christian Advocate*, under number 2, "Community-wide Action Program." At the end of that section—or the second paragraph—at the end of the second paragraph in which we are talking about community-wide action programs, take into consideration other communities and faiths, I would say, "and may be conducted in cooperation with them."

Bishop Garrison: The Council accepts that as an improvement.

Deletion—Clarence M. Winchester—Accepted

Clarence M. Winchester (North Carolina—C): I would like to offer an amendment. On page 18, column 3, first paragraph which reads, "Every local congregation under the leadership of the pastor shall be asked to conduct, etc." to read, "Every local congregation under the leadership of the pastor shall conduct, etc."

Bishop Garrison: I will accept it. (Later rejected by vote—see below.)

Amendment—Kim-Hao Yap—Accepted

Kim-Hao Yap (Malaya—OS): I would like to offer an amendment in the light of a previous amendment which deals with a section on community-wide action program which provided the ecumenical perspective of this fraternal program. I would like to offer this amendment to come in the second column of page 19, at the end of the first section that is above the word "Consultation." The amendment to read as follows: FURTHER, SINCE UNITY IS PROMOTED BY CHURCHES OF DIFFERENT DENOMINATIONS WORKING AND WITNESSING TOGETHER, THE METHODIST CONGREGATIONS SHALL BE ENCOURAGED TO PARTICIPATE IN THE ECUMENICAL PROGRAM OF "JOINT ACTION FOR MISSION."

Bishop Garrison: That is accepted.

Omission—Ezekias G. Gacutan—Out of Order—Bishop Wicke

Ezekias G. Gacutan (Northwest Philippines—OS) called attention to omissions in listing overseas delegates on page 5, *Daily Christian Advocate,* April 27, 1964.

Bishop Wicke inquired if his remarks were in general criticism of editorial behaviour or of the Report. Mr. Gacutan replied that it was on editorial behaviour. The Chair declared him out of order.

Mr. Gacutan then spoke to the Report in words of highest praise.

Previous Question—John R. Van Sickle—Sustained

John R. Van Sickle (Rock River—NC): I believe that we are ready to vote on this matter. I move the previous question on all the report.

Sustained by the necessary two-thirds vote. (DCA, page 118, column 1.)

Point of Order—Stanley S. McKee—Bishop Wicke—Bishop Garrison—Sustained

Stanley S. McKee (Southern California-Arizona—W): A remark was made to eliminate some words and the committee accepted it, but I ask you, Mr. Chairman, if it does not change the nature of this report?

Bishop Wicke: To which words do you refer, sir?

Stanley S. McKee: If you refer to column 3, page 18, it says here, under the leadership of the pastor shall be asked and this was changed to a mandate, and I insist, sir, this is a change of a nature it should have been voted on.

Bishop Garrison: Under the circumstances, the Council would prefer to have you vote as a body, I suppose.

Bishop Wicke: Will you move it?

Leon E. Hickman: Mr. Chairman, I move that the amendment be submitted to the body for a vote. The motion was seconded. The amendment did not prevail. The report at this point stays as submitted.

Question—Robert G. Tuttle—Bishop Garrison

Robert G. Tuttle (Western North Carolina—SE): I just want to ask, is there to be an annual conference on missions on the quadrennial emphasis which is mentioned on page 19, paragraph 3, and if so, how is it to be set up?

Bishop Garrison: My colleagues advise me that the matter is left to the annual conference to determine on this report.

Report No. 1 Coordinating Council—Adopted as Amended —Bishop Wicke—Bishop Short

Bishop Wicke: Those of you who will support this report. will you lift a hand? Those who are opposed? We are indeed grateful to you.

Is it agreeable to you if Bishop Short concludes the session with prayer and in the interim, may we have what announcements are

required. Is this agreeable to you as procedure? May we follow it, then?

Announcements—J. Otis Young—Eugene L. Smith

J. Otis Young (Ohio—NC) announced a meeting of the Agenda Committee immediately following this session. He announced Dr. K. Morgan Edwards as the preacher of the four o'clock meeting this afternoon. He further stated the evening session would not be an official session, but that delegates were asked to be seated in their regular places in order to allow the opportunity for the space outside the bar of the Conference. Dr. Young then presented Dr. Eugene Smith, President of the Council of Secretaries.

Eugene L. Smith (Newark—NE) spoke in the interest of the program to be presented this evening under the auspices of the Council of Secretaries.

Committee on Presiding Officers—Report No. 2—R. Marvin Stuart

R. Marvin Stuart (California-Nevada—W), Chairman, Committee on Presiding Officers, announced Bishop Glenn R. Phillips as the Presiding Officer for Thursday morning, April 30, 1964. (See Appendix, page 1083.)

Committee on Courtesies and Privileges—John B. Jones—Bishop Raines—Bishop Arthur J. Moore

John B. Jones (Baltimore—NE), Chairman Committee on Courtesies and Privileges: Mr. Chairman and members of the Conference, the Committee requests the appearance of Bishop Raines.

Bishop Richard C. Raines, Indiana Area, North Central Jurisdiction: Members of the General Conference and friends, may we have your indulgence to honor one of your number who has served the Board of Missions for 26 years, another member who is not here, H. E. Newton, has served for many years.

I speak of Charles V. Adams. If he will come to the platform, I would like to present him with a plaque. There is one here who can do this with much greater appropriateness. I am speaking of Arthur J. Moore for 20 years the head of the Board of Missions. (Applause)

Bishop Arthur J. Moore, Retired: Mr. Chairman, members of this Conference, while Mr. Adams is coming forward, let me confess that I am mindful of the time situation. I think I can read in a minute what I could take an hour to say.

It is not easy to put together this morning a combination of words which fully express the debt this church owes to these two dedicated servants. The strength of Methodism is found not in size, not in stately cathedrals and marble altars, but in the faith and selfless devotion of men and women and children who bow at our altar, love our doctrines, and consecrate themselves to the multiplied activities of our church.

These two men, Charles V. Adams and H. E. Newton, fall in that class.

Whenever you think of Charles Adams, certain adjectives come tumbling into your mind: untarnished honor, unmistakable integrity, consecrated service. These are all descriptive of the life and the service of Adams and Newton.

I have walked, sir, at their side for many years and I know full well the story of their love for this church and their swift ascent to every duty given to them.

Someone described a layman the other day by saying that he was the friend of good men, the lover and supporter of noble causes, and that he exhibited in his daily life a rare type of steady spirituality. That happens to be Charles Adams and H. E. Newton, friend of good men, lover and supporter of noble causes, men, who exhibit in their daily living a rare type of steady spirituality.

God bless you, Charley.

(Standing ovation)

Response—Charles V. Adams

Charles V. Adams (Central Pennsylvania—NE): Members of the Conference, the only thing I am taking time to say is that I appreciate this so very, very much more than I deserve it.

Who could calculate the privilege of having served with Arthur Moore for 20 years as has been my privilege. Thank you very much.

Announcements—Leon T. Moore

Leon T. Moore, Secretary, requested permission to have *L. Scott Allen* to make a motion.

Motion—L. Scott Allen—Episcopal Address—Adopted

L. Scott Allen (Georgia—C): Mr. Chairman, I do not recall from my observation of the Journal that we have had a motion to refer the Episcopal Address to the legislative Committees of the Conference. If I am correct in this observation, I move that we express the appreciation of the Conference to the Council of Bishops for this provocative Episcopal Address, and I move that it be referred to the legislative committee to which each part is related.

Motion seconded and adopted.

Appreciation—Bishop Lloyd C. Wicke

Bishop Wicke, the Presiding Officer, expressed appreciation for the courtesies shown him.

Prayer—Bishop Roy H. Short

Bishop Roy H. Short, Nashville Area, Southeastern Jurisdiction: Our Heavenly Father, if we know our own hearts, our great desire in this destiny fraught hour in the world's life, it is to hear what the Spirit of God is saying unto the churches. We would be attentive unto Thy voice. We would be sensitive to Thy will. We would be obedient to Thy commands. We would ask no higher glory than to be lost in Thy service, and to be caused as Thou wouldst use us. We rejoice in our confidence that after 25 years of our journey together, as people called Methodists, that Thou art so clearly calling us to a new and greater wonder.

Oneness in the fellowship of kindred spirits, new oneness in the prosecution of a common task, new oneness in all of our brothers throughout the earth. We commit ourselves afresh to Thee in the full confidence that He who has led us safe thus far, shall surely lead us on, and our prayer is in the Redeemer's name. Amen.

FIFTH DAY, THURSDAY, APRIL 30, 1964
MORNING SESSION

Opening—Bishop Fred P. Corson

Pursuant to adjournment, the 1964 session of the General Conference of The Methodist Church convened in the morning session of the fifth day, Thursday, April 30, 1964, at 8:30 o'clock, in the Civic Arena, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, with Bishop Fred P. Corson of the Philadelphia Area presiding.

Devotions—Bishop James W. Henley

The Chair announced that Bishop James W. Henley of the Jacksonville Area—SE would lead the devotions.

Bishop Henley announced the Hymn No. 12, "Joyful, Joyful, We Adore Thee." The Conference stood to sing. The Responsive Reading was taken from the hymnal, page 597, and the unison prayer from page 509 was followed by The Lord's Prayer. The Scripture lesson was read from the second chapter of Acts. Bishop Henley delivered an appropriate message. (See Appendix page 2209.) Two hymns were sung: No. 180, "Breathe on Me Breath of God," and No. 169, "Ye Servants of God." Bishop Henley pronounced the benediction.

Clarification—Leon T. Moore, Secretary

A statement was made by the Conference Secretary, Leon T. Moore, regarding the proper way to submit motions.

Committee on Journal—William M. James—Report No. 2—Adopted

William M. James (New York—NE): The Committee on Journal has examined the Journal Records for Wednesday Morning, April 29. This record is correct except for minor editorial changes which are being made by the Journal Secretary.

The Report was adopted. (See DCA, page 139. See Appendix, page 1051.)

Committee on Credentials—Paul M. Hann—Report No. 2—Adopted

Paul M. Hann (South Iowa—NC): The Committee on Credentials has met and approved the report of delegates made by the committee for April 29 and 30. Delegates' names will appear in proper form in the Journal.

The report was adopted. (See DCA, page 139. See Appendix, page 1012.)

Committee on Agenda—J. Otis Young—Report Adopted

J. Otis Young (Ohio—NC), Chairman, moved that the Agenda as printed on page 97 in the DCA for Thursday, April 30, 1964, be adopted.

Inquiry—Ernest T. Dixon

Ernest T. Dixon (West Texas—C) raised a question about the Report of Church and State Relations being considered on the same day that it appeared in the DCA.

Suspension of Rules—J. Otis Young—Adopted

J. Otis Young (Ohio—NC) moved to suspend the Rules in order that the Report might be considered.

J. Earl Moreland (Virginia—SE) raised a question, registered a protest, and moved the postponement of the Report for twenty-four hours.

Joseph H. Albrecht (Central Illinois—NC) stated that the report had been mailed to all delegates over a month before the Conference.

The vote was taken on the motion and the motion was lost. (DCA—page 140.)

The Report of the Committee on Agenda was adopted. (DCA—page 140.)

Plenary Session Proposed—J. Otis Young—Adopted

J. Otis Young (Ohio—NC), Chairman of the Committee on Agenda moved that a plenary session be held Friday evening at 7:30 o'clock. It was adopted. (DCA—page 140.)

J. Otis Young announced that the Agenda for Friday morning would include Reports of the Study of the Ministry, M.C.O.R., presentation of Deaconesses, and Report No. 3 of the Coordinating Council.

Committee on Courtesies and Privileges—John B. Jones—Report No. 2

John B. Jones (Baltimore—NE), Chairman, moved that greetings be sent to Dr. Lud H. Estes, Secretary-emeritus of the General Conference of The Methodist Church. This was approved. (DCA—page 140.)

Gavel—Bishop Fred Pierce Corson

Bishop Corson made a statement about the gavel being used in the morning session, saying that it came from Wesley's foundry in England.

Order of the Day

Report of the Study Committee on Church and State Relations—Joseph H. Albrecht (See Appendix, page 1727.)

Joseph H. Albrecht (Central Illinois—NC) requested the privilege of having Dr. W. Astor Kirk and Bishop Raymond Grant present during the presentation of the Report. Permission was granted and Dr. Kirk was given the privilege of the floor.

Dr. Albrecht: What we would like to do with this—first, I would like to present Dr. Kirk, our executive director. (Applause.)

If you will notice at the beginning of your report, found on page 122, and that is the copy I shall be using, in 1960, the General Conference of our Methodist Church recognized the fact that the relation between church and state had grown critical. Attention was focused on the twilight seasons as new problems of a complex society emerged. We realized that there were not only twilight seasons but there were areas of pitch darkness and we attempted to approach this, therefore, with a great deal of humility and a great deal of understanding, realizing that we cannot come to any firm convictions and easy answers in problems of this particular type. We found that the old idea of a wall of separation between church and state had got to the point where there were many changes in the wall, many of which had been put there by one segment of a Christian church, some of which we were a part. We have to approach this with every effort.

What we have before you has been hammered out on the anvils of our own deep feelings, but we find as we have gone through this, many of the institutions of The Methodist Church and of the programs of The Methodist Church are involved as we go into the idea of the separation of church and state.

The report you will find before you consists of six major areas which have led us to 22 recommendations, and I thought that you might have them before you. I would briefly refer to the recommendations in the report so that then we will be making a motion for a reference after we have called these to your attention.

You will find on page 123, the third column, the first of our recommendations. These are the recommendations in the field of religious liberty. The first recommendation refers to the fact that we asked, or urged, the General Conference to ask all local churches under the leadership of local Commission on Christian Social Concerns to study areas of religious liberty within their own community.

Recommendation No. 2, which starts at the bottom of page 123, is that the General Conference call upon all Annual Conferences to study areas of religious liberty that are involved in the annual conference level.

Number 3. The General Conference requests the Council of Bishops to direct the attention of local churches in Annual Conferences to these two recommendations.

Recommendation No. 4 is that the General Conference remind the members of The Methodist Church in relation to other persons in the community of the rights of individuals to accept or reject the call of God and the program and policy of the church, and the concept of religious liberty. This, to me, is a very important aspect of the life of our church.

No. 5. That the General Conference recognize a special implication for religious liberty that may be involved in government use or em-

ployment of Chaplains, and several types of preferential treatment of ministers and direct that these matters be studied by a future commission studying the church-state relations.

We are willing to admit that we did not get the job done and we are referring some things for future study.

If you turn to the middle column on page 124, you will find Recommendation No. 6. These are the ones that deal in the area of support of public elementary and secondary schools. The recommendation is: That the General Conference urge Methodists to improve and strengthen secondary and elementary schools through such means as the following:

(A) keeping informed of the needs of schools and studying issues of schools based on intelligent action of the citizens.

(B) Praising intelligently and criticizing responsibly the programs of the schools.

(C) Supporting candidates for the Board of Education and assuming the responsibility of serving as members of such board. Sometimes we have the feeling that politics is a dirty business and church men should refrain.

(D) Working for adequate financial support of public schools at every level of government, federal, state, and local.

(E) Seeking to maintain the public school free from domination or misuse by special interest groups, including religious groups.

No. 7. That the General Conference discourage the use of public funds for nonpublic schools, discourage tax rebate and such things for nonpublic institutions. Continue to support the right of nonpublic schools to exist. Encourage continual exploration of creative approaches to exclusion of dilemmas while protecting the integrity of public schools. Urge the Boards of Education to make a careful study of shared time through school enrollment and similar programs.

In the last column of page 124 are the recommendations of religious practices in public and secondary and elementary schools.

No. 8. That the General Conference of The Methodist Church and local church recognize that they have a major responsibility to devote to their own programs, the religious education of individuals, particularly their own members. The undertaking of the local church should be commensurate qualitatively and quantitatively with the far-reaching importance and urgency of the task.

No. 9. That the General Conference urge the members of The Methodist Church to restore religion to its proper place in the context of the Christian family.

No. 10. That the General Conference urge members of The Methodist Church to urge people to refrain from devotional exercises in the educational program of public schools.

No. 11. That the General Conference urge clergymen, administrators and others to cooperate in an effort to insure the treatment of religious ideas, values, institutions and practices. It is included as a part of the curricula in subjects such as literature, social studies, and the fine arts. Furthermore, that cooperative efforts be undertaken at community levels to enable parents to supplement their children's education through programs of weekday religious education.

No. 12. That the General Conference express the conviction that religious holy days should not be observed with religious services or symbols in public schools. In planning their own celebrations and observances of holy days, a religious body should respect the integrity of the public school schedule; and that whenever possible, students and teachers of various religious faiths should be excused from public schools to celebrate their major religious holy days with their families in their places of worship where the religious meaning of such holy days may be appropriately emphasized.

No. 13. That the General Conference express the conviction that baccalaureate services should be conducted under the sponsorship of local religious bodies rather than as official functions of their public schools and that attendance should be voluntary.

I now call your attention to the other areas described on page 125. The ones on tax immunities which are found on page 127, the first column, the ones on church participation in politics which are found on page 127, the middle column, and the top of the third column on page 127.

Some of these are very significant recommendations. I, therefore, would move, sir, that this report be referred to the Legislative Committee on Christian Social Concerns that they may be implemented and brought back to the Conference.

Bishop Corson: You have heard the motion now to refer. Are you ready? Those who will, lift the hand.

Those opposed? It is referred.

Order of the Day—Bishop Fred P. Corson

Bishop Corson: We now come to the next Order of the Day which is the report of the Commission on Interjurisdictional Relations, Dr. Parlin.

Charles C. Parlin (Newark—NE): Mr. Chairman and members of the General Conference, as I present this report, I will ask that the members of the Executive Committee join me on the platform.

In order to follow the discussion, you should have before you the Report And Plan Of Action For The Elimination of The Central Jurisdiction which was mailed to all delegates more than 90 days prior to the opening of these sessions. You should also have before you the amended report and plan of action which was placed on your desk yesterday morning. If you have failed to bring with you your copy of the report and plan for action which I hold in my hands as an exhibit, will you please raise your hand? Extra copies are available and ushers will attempt to supply them for you.

Brothers and Sisters, we face here one of the most difficult problems of The Methodist Church, the problem of the Central Jurisdiction.

Prior to the Civil War, all congregations North and South were integrated. It was after the Civil War, and after the Separation of our Church North and South, which happened in 1844, that work among the Negroes took on two different patterns. The Church South organized the Colored Methodist Church now the Christian Methodist Church, and supported it, helped it get organized, trained its leadership, and organized it as a separate church. It exists today.

The Church South undertook to subsidize this church financially and this moral obligation was assumed by our Church at the time of unification in 1939.

The Church North started its work among the Negroes North and South, organizing Negro churches and eventually organizing these into annual conferences.

In 1939, at the time of unification, the Church North had 19 Negro Annual Conferences as a part of its church body.

Up to the year 1920, these Annual Conferences had been presided over by white bishops. In 1920, the rules were changed and by special ballot, the Church North elected Negro bishops to preside over these Annual Conferences. This was the status in 1939.

In the 1939 Plan of Union, these 19 Annual Conferences and their bishops were put into a Central Jurisdiction. This did not please the Negroes. Of the 19 Annual Conferences, 17 voted against the Plan of Union, but let it be said in tribute that having been outvoted and the

Plan of Union having been consummated, they have been loyal and faithful members of our church. From the beginning, they had looked for a way out. The Episcopal Address given by the bishops to the Central Jurisdiction Conference of 1940 said that this was not a satisfactory arrangement and they must find a better way.

The Central Jurisdiction appointed a special commission in 1948 to study the matter. They brought in their report four years later, to the effect that the Central Jurisdiction had some good and some bad points and made no recommendation as the change in structure.

Again in 1956, a special study commission was appointed and four years later brought in a report. They reported that the Central Jurisdiction has some good and some bad points, but they made no recommendation for changing the structure.

The General Conference of 1956 put in motion an amendment to the Constitution which we know as Amendment Nine which provides for transfers both of local churches and of annual conferences. This amendment was greeted with enthusiasm throughout the church and was supported practically unanimously by the annual conferences and churches of the Central Jurisdiction.

At the same time, the conference of 1956 appointed a Commission of Seventy to study and report. This was brought in. This amendment had become effective only in 1958. The Commission of Seventy asked that it be given another quadrennium to see if Amendment Nine could not be used as a medium of solving the problem of the Central Jurisdiction.

This conference accepted it by a vote of over 92 percent and it established that this commission report which is now before you had an obligation to study the matter and try to expedite action under Amendment Nine.

I am happy to say that your Commission brings to you a plan for the elimination of the Central Jurisdiction. It recommends that we proceed under Amendment Nine and makes no recommendation of a constitutional amendment. The plans calls for the transfer of Annual Conferences as such to the respective regional jurisdiction by the votes and by the procedures announced in Amendment Nine.

The first report of your Commission which was issued after about one year of the quadrennium recommended that transfer of Annual Conferences be made by episcopal areas. For illustration, specifically, that the three Annual Conferences of the Baltimore Area presided over by Bishop Love, that is the Delaware, Washington, and North Carolina Conferences, transfer to Northeastern Jurisdiction. This machinery was underway, but technical problems rapidly developed because these conferences overlapped the jurisdictional lines, and we would have found the Northeastern Jurisdiction having work over the south border of the Southeastern Jurisdiction. This was true in all the transfers.

Therefore, the Committee of Five of the Central Jurisdiction said, "Let's reorganize the Annual Conferences of the Central Jurisdiction so that every one of these annual conferences will fall entirely and exclusively within one of the regional jurisdictions."

The Committee of Five proceeded to work and a copy of this study document was mailed to you together with our plan. The Commission adopts this program of the Committee of Five, looking forward to the realigning of the Annual Conferences so that they will fit jurisdictional lines. This action is scheduled to be taken at the Jurisdictional Conference of the Central Jurisdiction in June, and then transfers of these Annual Conferences will be in order.

The plan involves a tremendous amount of work and study and cooperation between the units of the Central Jurisdiction and the units of the regional jurisdictions to which they are going to transfer and eventually became a part.

Both your Central Jurisdiction members and the Commission of Thirty-Six and the Central Jurisdiction Committee of Five had emphasized throughout this quadrennium the necessity for careful study and careful work.

In addition to drawing up this plan for the elimination of the Central Jurisdiction, your Commission was specifically instructed by its mandate to make studies and report on various phases of the work. If you will turn to the inside page of your report called "The Index" of the Final Report, you will find a list of the items which we were specifically ordered to study.

I am going to ask members of the executive committee to raise for you certain of these items in the discharge of our obligation to report. On Roman numeral three, reasons for reluctance to utilize Amendment Nine, we were ordered to study this and report to you. Dr. James Brawley will speak.

Roman numeral five, Policies, J. Floyd Coffman.

Seven. Problems of Church Extension, Edwin Jones.

Number eight, Proposals Considered and Rejected, Dr. John King.

The last three men I have asked to limit themselves to three minutes each. I wish to put no such limitations on Dr. Brawley because of the importance that you understand this proposition has.

Statement—James P. Brawley

James P. Brawley (Georgia—C): Mr. Chairman, members of the Conference, I am James P. Brawley of the Georgia Conference.

When Amendment Nine was passed by the 1956 General Conference, it was thought by many that the answer had been found in the problem of the Central Jurisdiction and had been solved. Delegates from the Central Jurisdiction voted for the amendment hoping that beginning with this piece of legislation, the whole Methodist Church might move forward, and that all working together might find effective ways to make a witness in the Christian church and to purge our sins and errors of the past.

It is now abundantly clear that this action did not solve the problem in 1956, and that more is needed than has been found in Amendment Nine.

Some of the more important reasons for reluctance on the part of the Central Jurisdiction to use Amendment Nine, since its ratification in 1958, are summarized on page 12 of the report of Commission on Interjurisdictional Relations.

On examining the reasons for reluctance to use Amendment Nine, several points need to be lifted up for emphasis.

One: Amendment Nine is the only machinery for transferring churches and Annual Conferences from one jurisdiction to another. The amendment should be seen for what it is. It makes no proposals. It suggests no programs, and it solves no problems by itself. It does not go to the heart of the real problem which is a problem rooted in human relationships, in human minds, and in human hearts.

Two: Amendment Nine deals with structure and offers a structural approach to the problem, but mere changing its structure is not a solution and is not enough. The problem is much larger than this. People are involved, and people are more important than structure.

Three: The members of the Central Jurisdiction have insisted that a well-developed, overall plan is essential in the orderly and satisfactory dissolution of the Central Jurisdiction. This planned procedure is important because the future of 874,000 Negroes in The Methodist Church must not be left to chance and blind credulity. A restep and/or a subsidiary plan in the overall plan should pave the

way for the next step, and hasten the process of completely abolishing the Central Jurisdiction without delay.

Four: In every effort to resolve the Central Jurisdiction, we should face frankly and uncompromisingly the real problem which is segregation in The Methodist Church.

There has been a reluctance to use Amendment Nine in making transfers because it is realized that such transfers may have in them the danger of perpetrating segregation in smaller units, and to remove segregation from these small units might be even more difficult.

Five: The goal to be achieved in abolishing the Central Jurisdiction is, emphatically, the achievement of a racially inclusive Christian fellowship at all levels of the church. Any change, any structural readjustment, any program that loses sight of this goal falls short of the mission of the church of our Lord.

There has been reluctance by the Central Jurisdiction to use Amendment Nine because the goal of elimination and creating an increased Christian fellowship has not been sufficiently evident.

Six: The Central Jurisdiction Churches have been reluctant to make transfers under Amendment Nine because they have been keenly aware of a lack of understanding of their problem on the part of the church. This understanding is essential. It is hard, however, to see that this understanding is going on. The abolition of the Central Jurisdiction is an important goal in the thinking of many Methodists, but the eradication of segregation and the creation of an inclusive fellowship at all levels of our church are more worthy goals and are consonant with the desires and aspirations of the Negro constituency of the church.

In the beginning, there was a reluctance, hesitation, inhibitions and frustrations, but now these psychological frustrations have grown to impatience which gives tremendous urgency to what we do at this General Conference and in the immediate weeks and months ahead. There is a revolution carried on by a new American Negro in every section of this country, South, North, East and West.

This is a revolution born of conditions too long ignored by both church and state. This revolution gives all Negroes everywhere a new sense of kinship and unity, and links them with a growing group of kindred spirits in a universal struggle for freedom, dignity, and equality. This is a new spiritual encounter for the church.

The church is called to a new frontier in Christian fellowship and action requiring a new witness in race relations and human dignity involving all people properly assessed of their true intrinsic value and worth that make all men equal and one in Christ.

This is a crucial hour for the church. This can and will be a great spiritual renewal if we, the members of a world church, the church of our Lord, can join hearts and hope and challenge the inspiring lines, "Beloved, we are the Sons of God and it doth not yet appear what we shall be, but we know when He shall appear, we shall be like Him, for we shall see Him as He is."

Extension of Time—Charles C. Parlin

On motion of Charles C. Parlin, the time was extended for the presentation of the Report. (DCA, page 143.)

Statement—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley (New York—NE): Every hospital, home, institution, settlement house and community center in Methodism is represented directly or indirectly in this body. Through ordinary order, agency, conference relationship, jurisdiction and conference relation-

ship or general board, all of our institutions are related to us here. Realizing this, and realizing the decisions of The Methodist Church must of necessity affect all our institutions, our Commission was instructed to find out if we could, and as we were able, the policies of the agencies of the church on the matter of integration. We asked and secured the cooperation of the Division of Human Relations and Economic Actions. They helped us with the formulation of a questionnaire which was processed. They went throughout the church and helped us toward some kind of an understanding, but an incomplete one, of course, of the present status of our institutions.

On page 14 and 15 of the document that was called to your attention, you will find a brief summary of this report. It will not be necessary for me to go through it in detail, simply to indicate that of the 402 institutions that responded, we had responses from every state except Idaho, Maine, and Nevada. We had responses from the District of Columbia, Virgin Islands, and Puerto Rico.

It was interesting to note as we worked our way into this questionnaire to realize that we had over 1,700,000 persons using the institutions, and of these, 147,000 were nonwhite.

Whether whites were separated, 221 reported no.

So it goes in one way and another through the various responses we have here. Then you will notice at the bottom some very interesting indications of attitude on the part of boards of trustees as they reacted to the questionnaire.

As you look over the list of reactions, you will discover a number of things that need to be very much in our mind here. One, I think, is this: When conferences are integrated, we will integrate. We will integrate when community schools and churches do.

So it goes. In a very real sense what we are trying to do here, we will set a guideline for the institutions of our church.

When I speak of Boards of Directors controlling the policies of institutions of Methodists, I am assuming that most of you are well aware of the fact that our institutions have varying degrees of closeness of relationship to General Conferences, jurisdictions, and annual conferences. Some are all but under the direct control of these conferences, and on the Boards of Trustees of other so-called Methodist institutions. Conferences elect a portion of the trustees.

Institutions are listed as being related to The Methodist Church; therefore, we have the right to bring before them and we do bring before them the decisions of the General Conference on a matter of such great importance as this.

Our Commission gives to you, then, as an item of information such information as we were able to discover from our institutions on this matter.

Thank you.

Statement—Floyd H. Coffman

Floyd H. Coffman (Kansas—SC): Mr. Chairman, I was given the portion of the study on financial situations.

I wish to refer to the first two paragraphs on page 26 of the report.

As directed by the *Discipline*, the Commission studied three specific areas regarding conferences, minimum support, and pensions. We refer first to Recommendation No. 6, which recommends the procedures for continuing support to the conferences and institutions following the transfer of churches.

The eighth paragraph relates to the continuing of support, temporarily, to the conference administration and benevolence funds where necessary for a period of not more than four years.

C refers to continuing of support to colleges and institutions.

Also the study was made of the different recommendations of minimum support of pensions as I suggested.

If you move to the top of the page, Recommendation No. 26 would create a plan to encourage and support a minimum pension rate in the annual conferences of $35 per year of full-time and approved services.

You will note in No. 2, paragraph 2, Recommendation No. 5, that it recommends reference of this provision to the proper legislative committee. I am advised that the legislative committee on pensions has recognized this and that they are recommending to the annual conference that the legislation which would authorize the Board of Pensions to administer this plan in cooperation with the Commission, subject, of course, to the final vote of the General Conference.

Paragraph 5 strongly urges the conference of the Central Jurisdiction and the conference with which the Central Jurisdiction will merge to lift the minimum salary levels, and so forth.

Now, we had a minimum-salary plan reported to the Commission similar to the pension plan. This was not adopted by the Commission by a very close vote, and for your information, it has been included in the report in the Appendix at Page 35. I suggest that you not turn to it now, but it is there for your reference and you can perhaps refer to it later.

I believe we might refer to page 15, this relates to the retired ministers receiving less than $800 annual pension from the church, and 25 percent receive less than $295 annual pension from the church.

On page 16 appears the chart which indicates the differences in pension rates among the conferences. You will note that they range from $6 per year of service to $90 per year of service.

This was all of the Annual Conferences of the Church. The 18 Annual Conferences indicated on the left include all 17 of the Central Jurisdiction Conferences and the Rio Grande Conference.

The pension plan referred to at the bottom of page 16 recommends the establishment of a minimum pension rate of $35 for full-time service. I might suggest that the $35 figure has significance in that certain mission conferences in the United States, the pension rates in these conferences are supported by the cooperation of several agencies of the Church in accordance with Paragraph 1637 of the *Discipline*, if you want to make references to Paragraph 1637.

Number two recommends the establishment of a temporary general fund to give assistance where necessary to achieve the minimum rate of $35.

The next, under Administrative Procedure and Policies, second paragraph, shows the estimate of the annual cost for the quadrennium of $240,000 per year.

If you care to make a note there that this was anticipated by the budget, report Number one of the Council on World Service and Finance, and page 65 of the *Daily Christian Advocate* carries that item in it. If you just make a notation there, page 65 of the *Daily Christian Advocate*, you can refer to that if you wish.

This would be administered by the General Board of Pensions in cooperation with the Annual Conference Boards of Pension.

As shown on the bottom of page 17, the plan anticipates that the fund would provide an amount at the ratio of $3 for each $1 of the additional cost and increase the rate of $35. This amount would be decreased 20 percent each 20 years until it is anticipated that this fund would no longer be needed after 1975. I might suggest to you that this plan was unanimously adopted by the members of the commission when it was submitted.

Thank you.

Statement—Edwin L. Jones

Edwin L. Jones (Western North Carolina—SE): Mr. Chairman, my portion of this report is the problem of church extension and begins on the bottom of page 10 and continues on page 19. Will you please turn to it?

The directive for this work of this commission was given several jobs to do. One of them was to study church extension. We found as other groups have found that the problem of church extension is one that increases and grows, rather than diminishes. The centrofugal force which, somehow or another, is tearing things from the center of the cities to the suburbs and is going at a faster speed. The people are found in the suburban areas adjacent to cities.

If we had to be specific, we found that there are residential areas so large, adjacent to the edges of our cities, that they are complete communities with everything: schools, utilities, fire departments, police departments, and what-have-you, everything except Methodist churches. These communities are larger than our smaller towns which have several Methodist churches. Therefore, the problem of church extension continues to grow. You will find a statement there.

You will find in the report handed us yesterday for the present quadrennium just ending, right near the front of the report, just what this problem of church extension is.

The report says we are only meeting half of them. Our report on page 19 says that for the Central Jurisdiction, we are only meeting 17 percent of them. The problem is larger and more acute for the Central Jurisdiction, even, than very acute problems for the other sections of our church, and must be met.

I am happy to report, and perhaps you have noticed in the report of the Council on World Service and Finance that a considerable step forward in the church extension will be proposed in that report, but that is only a start.

In my state, North Carolina, 30 years ago it was hard to find a rural church which was attractive and adequate. Today it is hard to find a rural Methodist Church in rural Carolina which is not adequate and attractive. We have a new support to bring about that transformation. The aid has not been great to any one church, probably in the neighborhood of six to eight thousand dollars to each congregation, but it has given them the incentive, it has given them the urge, it has given them the opportunity to provide for themselves adequate and attractive churches.

I will make the statement here and I believe it will not be challenged that we have too many churches in the Central Jurisdiction and in other jurisdictions which are not attractive, which are not adequate, which really repel rather than to give to people who should go there and who ought to go there the urge to go to that church, and worship in that church because of their physical condition.

The next to the last and last paragraph of this report—and I am making it too brief—this Commission strongly recommends and urges that this General Conference adopt as its main objective for the quadrennium of 1964-1968 a great forward thrust in church extension, otherwise the communities which I started to describe in my remarks will remain without Methodist churches and will be preempted by other groups or no groups at all.

Church extension needs are great and must serve all areas of Methodism. We therefore urge The Methodist Church to take the gigantic forward step of planning new congregations and giving financial assistance where necessary church-building progression on a churchwide basis. Such a program will challenge our people, will enlist the cooperation of every segment of the church, will bring

the ministry of our church to areas too long neglected and should adjust Methodism into a period of its greatest growth and service.

Statement—John T. King

John T. King (West Texas—C): I am John T. King, West Texas Conference.

Bishop Corson, members of the General Conference, and my other friends, first let me express to you my appreciation for the privilege of serving the church and humanity as a member of this Commission. I am sure that each of you can envision what an extremely difficult assignment this commission has assumed. Also I am sure that some can appreciate the fact that six of the members of this Commission have had to wear two hats, one as commission members representing the church at large, and one as members of the minority group which has been seriously affected through the years by the matters and concerns that have been the major consideration of the Commission.

These are not hats identical to those worn by our colleagues and brethren from the regional jurisdictions. However, in the wearing of these hats, it is my own belief that there has been no divided loyalties for we each hold first allegiance to The Methodist Church while representing this Central Jurisdiction.

For myself, I am a Methodist first, last, and always, and by happenstance, I am a member of the Central Jurisdiction. Unless there is a misunderstanding, let me hasten to say that I have great pride in the contributions of those indigenous Methodists who are members of the Central Jurisdiction. I have been pleased, indeed, to represent them while representing the entire Methodist Church.

Our Commission presents to you a report which we recognize as being far from perfect, far from ideal, far from what some of us wanted. This sentiment is reflected in the report on page 32 in the following statement, "This report represents the agreement of the Commission as in most cases involving completion of problems, there have been at many points differences of opinion, and where such still exist or they develop, the individual members of the commission reserve the right to express that difference."

Dr. James P. Brawley, Bishop Charles F. Golden and I took advantage of this provision and presented in printed form several amendments to the original report. We are pleased that the executive committee adopted some of them. They are included in the amendment to the original report and were in the documents placed on your tables yesterday morning.

The Commission was not so wise as to submit to you the solution. Perhaps the wisdom of this General Conference will reflect its opinion in this report in such manner as will result in the solution.

In the course of our deliberations, the Commission considers various proposals for constitutional amendments designed to eliminate the Central Jurisdiction by 1968. Such amendments, if proposed by this General Conference, would have to be ratified by the annual conferences of the church, and this takes time. The constitutional amendment we wrote gives the appearance of immediate action, but in the final analysis, it represents a delay of perhaps two years and the Commission did not desire to wait for two years to initiate action.

Also, there is no guarantee that a constitutional amendment will be ratified as was the case in Amendment Twelve.

Among the proposals considered were the following: One proposal was a merger of the Central and North Central Jurisdiction to form a new jurisdiction which therefore, under Amendment Nine, could work out with other jurisdictions mutually agreeable limits. Under such a merger, the church would still have conferences made up of

Negro congregations and ministers, but as a first step would eliminate the legal segregation embodied in the Constitution.

A second proposal was to eliminate the veto power over transfers.

The third proposal called for the elimination of the Central Jurisdiction and all jurisdictional annual conferences, forthwith. The local churches of the Central Jurisdiction would fall in the Annual Conferences in which they were geographically located. The only objection was that the Annual Conferences could vote not to receive the local churches but if at the end of the quadrennium the church had not been received, the local church would be assigned to that Annual Conference, notwithstanding. Each of these proposals was rejected in favor of the plan of action submitted in the report.

To wear my alternate or companion hat, we of Central Jurisdiction obviously wished to see it eliminated as soon as possible, but be it remembered that we did not create it ourselves. We cannot accept a proposal simply calling for us to abolish the Central Jurisdiction. These proposals are not sufficient in themselves. First and most important, they represent unilateral action and this is not acceptable.

First, we must have a plan so that we can see, or at least anticipate, where we are going to land, those adhering to the old admonishment. Look before you leap.

Such a plan must provide for, one, a favorable climate. That is, where we can work together and permit co-operation and growth.

Two: Merger. Merger represents two-way action. It represents dialogue, as one delegate has said, and not transfer, which is monologue.

Three: Providing for our people. Where will they worship? This is important. Provide for our ministers is number four. Where will they be appointed?

Number five: Provide for our bishops. Where will they serve?

The plan of action by the Commission on Inter-jurisdictional Relations is not perfect and we have stated that time and time again. This we know and this we recognize, but this plan is a start. As we speak of solutions to this problem, may the mind that was Christ Jesus guide us in our thinking and in our actions.

Thank you.

Presentation of Report Continued—Charles C. Parlin

Mr. Parlin: If you will turn in your report to page 10, you will see what actions have already taken place following the recommendations of the Committee of Five of the Central Jurisdiction. Their study which is put in your hands realigning their Annual Conferences showed that there were three churches falling within the Western Jurisdiction which did not seem to fit a good organizational pattern. The Committee recommended that they transfer forthwith. These three churches have transferred and are now members of the Western Jurisdiction.

Likewise, the Committee of Five report found that there were four churches in Nebraska and 12 churches in the State of Kansas which did not fit a good administrative pattern of realignment. They recommended the transfer of these churches. These transfers have been completed.

Also, in Northeastern Jurisdiction, two of the Annual Conferences of the New York area were merged. It was pointed out that it would be better for them to transfer now, rather than to wait until after the new wide-angle conference had been set, and those eight churches have transferred.

The balance of the report of the Committee of five recommends the transfer of Annual Conferences to jurisdictions as shown on the

bottom of page 11. To carry out this program is what our plan of action provides.

Ruling Requested—Charles C. Parlin

Mr. Chairman, perhaps I should ask for a ruling at this point. Our plan of action contains a large number of recommendations, but embodied in that there are six items which involve amendments to the *Discipline*. May I put those in issue by simply moving the adoption of the plan of action without taking the time to make six specific and individual presentations of disciplinary changes?

Bishop Corson: If there is no objection from the body, I think that is a legitimate procedure to follow. Your recommendations are in the terms of a directive when once adopted by this body.

Mr. Parlin: Then I would like to lift up and call to your attention the six items which would affect the discipline.

First, page 24, of the Plan, Recommendation No. 2. This provides that when a bishop is transferred from the Central Jurisdiction to a regional jurisdiction, that the quota of bishops allowed to the Central Jurisdiction will be reduced by one, and the jurisdiction to which he is transferring will be increased by one, but that this increase is temporary only and on death or retirement, the jurisdiction will be allowed to elect additional bishops only up to the normal quota provided by the General Conference.

Recommendation 2-A, which you will find in the pamphlet of amendments, on page 21, provides that when a minister is transferred to a new Annual Conference, either by a transfer of his church under Amendment Nine, or by the merger of his Annual Conference with another Annual Conference, he will retain his status. This probably is necessary because in many cases, the educational requirements of the Central Jurisdiction are different from the requirements of the other Annual Conferences and it might be confusing. This is designed to guarantee Central Jurisdiction pastors a retention of status.

Top of page 25, Recommendation 3, is designed to clarify the procedures under Amendment Nine. Amendment Nine makes no reference to the order in which votes may be taken. You will recall that there are three votes necessary, the vote of the unit, the local church or Annual Conference, the unit to which the transfer is made and the unit from which the transfer is being made. All three must vote. We take the position here that the proper construction of Amendment Nine is that these votes may be taken in any order. As a matter of fact, practically all the transfers made to date have been made on the basis of the first vote being the invitation from the receiving unit.

It is our purpose, if this is adopted, to ask the Judicial Council to rule on this as being proper declaration by the General Conference of the intention and purpose of Amendment Nine to make sure that our procedure is sound.

Recommendation 4, page 25, provides for adding a provision dealing with the continuity on an agency of the church after transfer of a Central Jurisdiction unit. We do not want that Central Jurisdiction person to lose his position merely because of the transfer.

Recommendation 8 at the bottom of page 27, deals with the calling of a special session of the Jurisdictional Conference. In many cases, we will have to have special sessions of the Jurisdictional Conference in order to carry out this plan. To clarify this situation, it is suggested a definition that the delegates to such a special session shall be delegates to the Jurisdictional Conference last elected by the Annual Conference at the time of the call of the special session.

On page 28, Recommendation 9. the same provision deals with

special calls of Annual Conferences because to carry out this plan according to the time schedule advocated, there will have to be many special sessions of Annual Conferences of both the Central Jurisdiction and of the other jurisdictions.

Brothers and sisters of the General Conference, this is a plan of action submitted to you by the Commission by a vote of 35 to 1, presented to you in the hope and belief that before the end of the quadrennium, the abolition of the Central Jurisdiction will be accomplished and will have been accomplished not by force, but by mutual agreement.

I move the adoption of the report.

Bishop Corson: The report is now before the body. The motion to adopt has been made and is in order. Is there any discussion on the report?

The Chair recognizes this brother.

Question—James H. Laird

James H. Laird (Detroit—NC) asked a question, which Mr. Parlin answered, regarding the vote of the Commission on the Report.

Question—Douglas E. Jackson

Douglas E. Jackson (Louisiana—SC) asked a question about the parliamentary status of the document, "Amendments to the Report and Plan of Action," and if the Committee has the authority to make the Report.

Bishop Corson replied: This body after the suspension of the rules, voted to consider this report today, therefore, I rule that it is in order.

Statement—Ernest T. Dixon

Ernest T. Dixon (West Texas—C) asked permission to distribute copies of the "Proposed Amendments to the Report and Plan of Action for the Elimination of the Central Jurisdiction" as supplemental information. The Chair inquired of Charles C. Parlin if there was objection. Mr. Parlin replied, "No."

Statement—D. Trigg James

D. Trigg James (Holston—SE) made a statement in reference to the Amendments.

Substitute Motion—Chester A. Smith

Chester A. Smith (New York—NE) offered the following substitute for all that "is before us."

"Section 1. All the jurisdictional conferences of The Methodist Churches are hereby abolished, and all churches, conferences, and organizations heretofore amenable to said Jurisdictional Conferences, shall hereafter be subject only to the General Conference of The Methodist Church.

Section 2. Provisions of the Constitution of The Methodist Church, the *Discipline* of The Methodist Church, and all other rules and regulations governing the church, are hereby amended so as not to be inconsistent with the provisions of this article."

I desire to say after that this thing: The present Negro bishops would still continue and when they retire, the same number of Negro bishops would be elected by the General Conference and the Negro preachers and laymen would have the same ratio of representation on the boards and commissions that they now have.

If I may have a second, Mr. Chairman, I will give very briefly my reasons.

The Chair declared it an amendment to the Report in the way of a substitution.

Bishop Corson called attention at this point that the hour of recess had been reached and asked the Conference whether it would approve an extension of time. The Conference called for a recess.

Extension of Time—Harold A. Bosley—Adopted

Harold A. Bosley (New York—NE) moved that the Report of the Commission on Christian Social Concerns which was to be presented immediately after recess be deferred, following recess, in order that the present discussion might be continued. The motion was adopted. (DCA, page 148.)

Question—H. Hughes Wagner

H. Hughes Wagner (New England—NE) inquired if the action just taken would take precedence over the order of the day and the presentation of Fraternal Delegates. The Chair replied, "Yes." Mr. Wagner requested that 15 minutes be granted for the presentation of Fraternal Delegates following recess. There was no objection.

Recess—10:30 A.M.

Bishop Corson declared a short recess.

Reconvene—Bishop Fred Pierce Corson

The Conference reconvened at 10:50 A.M. Bishop Fred P. Corson, presiding, called upon Dr. Lovelace for a hymn.

Dr. Lovelace: May I call upon Dr. James Houghton to lead us in Hymn No. 67.

Dr. James H. Houghton of Boston University led the Conference in singing Hymn No. 67, "A Mighty Fortress Is Our God."

Preceding the Hymn, Bishop Wunderlich read the words of the hymn in German.

High Privilege—Presentation of Citation

Bishop Corson recognized Dr. Austin C. Lovelace, Director of Music for the Conference, for a point of high privilege. A citation was presented to Dr. James R. Houghton in recognition of his long service and contribution to the music of several General Conferences of The Methodist Church since Unification in 1939. The citation was prepared by J. Otis Young and signed by Frank E. Baker, Chairman of the Entertainment Commission; J. Wesley Hole, Secretary of the Entertainment Commission; Paul N. Garber, President of the Council of Bishops; and Leon T. Moore, Secretary of the General Conference.

Boston Seminary Singers

Dr. James H. Houghton directed the Boston Seminary Singers in two numbers. He then called for all former members of the Boston Seminary Singers present to join the Singers in singing "De Profundis."

High Privilege—

Bishop Corson, the Presiding Officer, presented Bishop E. C. Hatcher, Presiding Bishop of the Third Episcopal Area District of the African Methodist Episcopal Church.

Order of the Day—Committee on Fraternal Delegates

H. Hughes Wagner (New England—NE), Chairman of the Committee on Fraternal Delegates, presented Carroll M. Felton of the African Methodist Episcopal Zion Church; Bishop Bertram W. Doyle of the Christian Methodist Episcopal Church; and Bishop Sherman L. Greene of the African Methodist Episcopal Church who spoke briefly. (See Appendix, page 2175.)

Mr. Wagner also presented delegates of the Evangelical United Brethren Church: Bishop Reuben H. Mueller, Bishop H. R. Heininger and Bishop J. Gordon Howard.

Mr. Wagner then presented delegates representing The Methodist Church of Great Britain: Dr. John Gibbs of Wales and Dr. Leslie Davison of London, England. Dr. Gibbs addressed the Conference (See appendix, page 2176), and other unofficial delegates were presented, including S. R. Boyer Hayward of the Methodist Church of Australia.

Response—Bishop Fred P. Corson

The Chair responded to the remarks of the delegates and a standing ovation was given the visiting Fraternal Delegates by the Conference. (See Appendix, page 2177.)

Business Resumed

The Chair explained the parliamentary situation obtaining at this point.

Question—Robert W. Moon

Robert W. Moon (California-Nevada—W) asked if the pending amendment had been seconded. The Chair responded that it had.

Discussion of Smith Amendment Continued

Chester A. Smith (New York—NE) spoke on his motion to abolish all Jurisdictions within The Methodist Church.

Question—Lester L. Moore

Lester L. Moore (South Iowa—NC) inquired if an amendment can be made to the Smith amendment. The Chair responded that an amendment can be made after the main motion is perfected.

Amendment Proposed—John D. Herr

John D. Herr (Philadelphia—NE): I wish the delegates to turn to page 17 of the Plan of Action. This has to do with pension proposals.

Half way down the page is point C, Pensions. I will read what is there, "An annual conference will be eligible for temporary assistance from the fund if during the 1964-1965 conference year was less than $35."

I would like to propose that the semi-colon be made a comma and that these words be added "or if there is an increase of 10 per cent or more of its pension responsibility as a result of receiving other churches in the process of achieving an inclusive church."

Mr. Chairman, if I have a second on that, I would like to say a word about it.

Bishop Corson: Dr. Herr, that is a little involved, would you repeat it again? The Chair didn't quite get it.

Mr. Herr repeated the motion.

Bishop Corson: Is there a second?

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Corson: Very well, Dr. Herr.

Dr. Herr: Mr. Chairman, what is found on page 17 of the Plan of Action provides that possibly the minimum pension should be raised to $35. There is a second thing which should be taken care of from the general funds of the church, if possible, and that is to give some measure of relief to those conferences which are receiving funds from the Central Jurisdiction with the Central Jurisdiction annuity rate, which is measurably lower than the conferences of the Regional Jurisdiction.

This motion which I have made is in conformity with several

memorials which have been sent up to this General Conference and is an accord with action which has already been taken by Interjurisdictional Relations Committees of the Delaware, New Jersey, and the Philadelphia Conferences. It provides a second way in which a conference can receive some measure of relief for its pension load.

Bishop Corson: The amendment is before you. Does anyone wish to discuss it?

Explanation—Floyd H. Coffman

Floyd H. Coffman (Kansas—SC) spoke in explanation of the annuity rate for the Central Jurisdiction.

Statement Relative to Herr Amendment—Dennis R. Fletcher

Dennis R. Fletcher (Delaware—C): Mr. Chairman, I am in favor of the amendment made by Dr. Herr of the Philadelphia Conference. The first and second reports of the Interracial Commission makes this statement: "The achievement of a fully inclusive church is the concern of the whole church. We could recognize the ultimate responsibility for solving financial inequities must be assumed by the whole church."

This was in the first and second reports. Now, then, the report as finally adopted, does not include this statement, I think, but, Mr. Chairman, as I have looked over and studied some of the responsibilities that will fall on some of these conferences that will receive a large number of churches in the event the conference is dissolved, and goes into a regional responsibility, but since the whole churches are asking that the Central Jurisdiction be done away with, it would seem logical that the whole church would assume some of this responsibility.

I look at one conference, and it will have an increase of over $115,000 added to its pension responsibility, and from an Annual Conference that will have a very small pension rate compared to the conference into which it will be going.

I think the general church which has insisted on doing away with the Central Jurisdiction, should share financial inequities on those churches or conferences that receive a large number of churches in providing the pension plan we provide for. I support the motion.

Edwin L. Jones, Sr. (Western North Carolina—SE) spoke against it: I speak against the amendment because of paragraph D on page 18, which in my opinion, covers what is hoped to be accomplished by the amendment. The amendment said, "If up to 10 percent are received," and paragraph D takes care of every person who transfers, so the amendment is not necessary and should not be adopted.

Arthur T. Tedcastle (New York East—NE): Bishop, unless we adopt this amendment, we will be giving two pension rates in any one conference and I think this would be totally unfair. It is not the kind of thing we would want to continue.

Inquiry—Otis L. Collier

Otis L. Collier (Northwest Indiana—NC) requested clarification.

The Chair requested Dr. Herr to answer, which he did.

Statement Opposing Amendment—George H. Atkinson

George H. Atkinson (California-Nevada—W): I don't like to disagree with my brother, but it seems to me to adopt a motion like this without study, it could open the conference to a lot of things we didn't contemplate. We recognize that some conferences may have an unusual situation for a year or two, and personally, if there is no time to send this back and study it, I would not be opposed to an increase of 25 percent, but to say that every conference that raises the pension rate by 10 percent gets General Conference help, would change our whole position and we would have many conferences on this, many of whom might not take any Central Jurisdiction churches at all.

The way the motion was worded, it is a pretty inclusive term, so that I am opposed to the motion the way it is stated. Our subcommittee did not have a chance to study this at all.

If you will notice, we have an amount of $240,000 for the biennium, only $60,000 a year to do what we want to do here. To pass this amendment without knowing what we are doing would leave us in an open ban. I am opposed to it.

Inquiry—Nat G. Long

Nat G. Long (North Georgia—SE) inquired: What happens after 1975? Suppose in 1975 all the ministers of the Central Jurisdiction have become members of other Jurisdictions, will the Annual Conferences in the other Jurisdictions have to carry the total load, or will the whole church help carry the load?

The Chair requested Dr. Parlin to answer: He replied that after 1975 each Conference would be on its own.

Inquiry—Lawrence F. Almond

Lawrence F. Almond (New England Southern—NE) asked a question for clarification which Dr. Herr answered.

Statement in Favor of Amendment—Leonard Slutz

Leonard Slutz (Ohio—NC): Now, I have considerable sympathy with the intent of Dr. Herr. I am not sure that his wording is what we want to end on, what we want to end up with. We might want to end up with a case of a 25 percent increase or a 5 percent increase, but I want to point out that we can't support the idea of this amendment and not be too concerned about its exact wording because the recommendation in the report of the Commission is that the proposed legislation be referred to the proper legislative committee for review and instructions that it be reported back to the General Conference for action. Consequently, if we adopt Dr. Herr's amendment, this will be referred to the Committee on Pensions of this General Conference. It will come back to us after they have considered the exact wording and the exact percentage and the exact way it should be handled. I think the idea is good. I would like to have it referred to the Committee on Pensions and I, therefore, support it.

Bishop Corson: May the Chair call attention to the parliamentary situation? Any subsidiary motion of reference, you see, makes impossible the adoption of the report until a report from the committee to whom it has been referred be brought in. I just want you to know that you cannot complete this resolution which has been made

if parts of it have been referred. I give that simply by way of explanation.

Previous Question Moved—Sterling F. Wheeler—Adopted

Sterling F. Wheeler (Southwest Texas—SC) moved the previous question on the amendment. This was sustained. (DCA, page 152.)

Inquiry—Dennis R. Fletcher

Dennis R. Fletcher (Delaware—C) addressed a question to the Chair.

Inquiry—Russell E. Clay

Russell E. Clay (Southern California-Arizona—W) addressed an inquiry to Charles L. Calkins, Executive Secretary of the Board of Pensions. He replied that the Board of Pensions had made a study of this matter, and the $240,000 has been estimated to be sufficient to finance the program.

Inquiry—Robert M. Thorpe

Robert M. Thorpe (Pacific Northwest—W) inquired of the Chair if the Report will automatically go to the related Standing Legislative Committees and be reported back to the floor. The Chair called upon Charles C. Parlin for information.

Dr. Parlin replied: Mr. Chairman, I think it would help here in this and perhaps other amendments if we clarify the document which is before you. If you will look at page 24, there begins the Plan of Action for the Elimination of the Central Jurisdiction, and this is all that is before you under our motion.

If you will turn to page 26, you will find the recommendation—and it is on that that you are voting as a part of this report—where paragraph 1 states the principle of a fund to augment pensions up to $35 a year.

Paragraph 2 says this is to be referred—to be referred as proposed legislation to the proper committee, to be reported back to the General Conference for action.

The maker of the motion made his motion with reference to page 17 which is simply the explanatory remarks of the Commission. It is not part of the plan which is before you for adoption. It seems to me, therefore, it may be out of order and it is really amending the explanatory part of our report.

I would hope that this would be defeated, that the matter would go to the Legislative Committee.

It is my understanding that under the rules, once a subject is before a Legislative Committee, it has the right to deal with it and bring back the report of its best judgment on that particular subject. Therefore, if you defeat this motion, adopt the report, Recommendation 5, page 26 of the major report, it will go to the Legislative Committee, and if they saw fit, they could bring in this changed provision.

Furthermore, they would have the benefit of the experts on pensions

who could make the computation, see what it would cost and we would know what we are doing. This we do not know. We do know the plan as now adopted calls for an estimated $240,000 per year. We think the General Conference would want to know what the cost would be of that amendment.

Herr Amendment Defeated

The vote was taken on the Herr amendment and it was defeated. (DCA, page 152.)

Inquiry—Robert M. Thorpe

Robert M. Thorpe (Pacific Northwest—W) made a further inquiry as to whether the Report would be referred to Legislative Committees. Dr. Parlin replied that it would not be so referred.

Point of Order—L. Scott Allen

L. Scott Allen (Georgia—C) raised a Point of Order concerning the vote. The Chair replied.

Inquiry—Dean E. Richardson

Dean E. Richardson (Genesee—NE) asked, if the Report is adopted, is the matter closed as far as the General Conference is concerned? The Chair called on Charles C. Parlin to clarify the matter. The Chair concurred with Dr. Parlin's reply.

Amendment Proposed—William M. James

William M. James (New York—NE) moved an amendment that the Commission establish immediately a multiracial staff to carry out the duties under its supervision, and that an adequate budget shall be provided by the Council on World Service and Finance.

The motion was seconded and William M. James spoke to his amendment.

The Chair called upon Mr. James to restate his amendment.

Statement in Favor of Amendment—Lawrence F. Almond

Lawrence F. Almond (New England Southern—NE) spoke in favor of the amendment.

Statement Opposing Amendment—Harold C. Buckingham

Harold C. Buckingham (Wyoming—NE) spoke against the amendment.

Point of Order—Jack M. Tuell

Jack M. Tuell (Pacific Northwest—W) raised a Point of Order regarding Rule 6 in the Rules of Order relative to delegates securing the floor. The Chair concurred.

Statement in Favor of Amendment—David E. Lindstrom

David E. Lindstrom (Central Illinois—NC) spoke in favor of the amendment.

Motion to Postpone Report—Raymond Valenzuela

Raymond Valenzuela (Chile—OS) moved the consideration of the Report be postponed because the overseas delegates had the Report in their hands for the first time today, the time to be set by the Committee on Agenda.

Substitute Motion—Lewis H. Beckford

Lewis H. Beckford (Maine—NE) moved a substitute motion to refer to the Legislative Committee on Conferences.

The Chair stated that the motion before the House was to defer consideration and not to refer.

Inquiry—Ted Hightower

Ted Hightower (Louisville—SE) called for clarification. The Chair called on Charles C. Parlin for an answer. He stated that the report was mailed to every delegate ninety days before the Conference.

Statement—Leon T. Moore

The Chair recognized the Conference Secretary, Leon T. Moore, for a statement. The Secretary explained that some of the delegates had not received certain materials ninety days before the General Conference due to lateness of some of the Annual Conferences.

Point of Order—Marshall C. Hjelte

Marshall C. Hjelte (Oregon—W) raised a Point of Order regarding the Chair's interpretation of the motion. The Chair concurred.

Motion to Defer Defeated

The vote was taken on the motion to defer. It was defeated.

The Chair announced that the time for adjournment had been reached.

Announcements—Leon T. Moore

The Secretary, Leon T. Moore, made announcements.

Plenary Session Proposed—J. Otis Young

J. Otis Young (Ohio—NC), Chairman of the Committee on Agenda, moved a plenary session for the afternoon, beginning at 2:30 o'clock. The motion prevailed. (DCA, page 156.)

Committee on Presiding Officers—Report No. 3—R. Marvin Stuart

R. Marvin Stuart (California-Nevada—W) announced that Bishop Donald H. Tippett would preside at the afternoon session.

Committee on Courtesies and Privileges—John B. Jones

John B. Jones (Baltimore—NE), Chairman of the Committee on Courtesies and Privileges, presented Jerry Walker, author of the play presented on Wednesday evening. Mr. Walker made an appreciative reply.

Benediction—Bishop J. Gordon Howard

The benediction was pronounced by Bishop J. Gordon Howard.

FIFTH DAY, THURSDAY, APRIL 30, 1964
AFTERNOON SESSION

Opening—Bishop Donald H. Tippett

Pursuant to adjournment, the 1964 session of The General Conference of The Methodist Church convened in the afternoon session of the fifth day, Thursday, April 30, 1964, at 2:30 o'clock, in the Civic Arena, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, with Bishop Donald H. Tippett of the San Francisco Area—W, presiding.

Devotional Period

The afternoon session was opened with the singing of Hymn No. 60, "Praise to the Lord," and Bishop James K. Mathews (Boston—NE) offered the prayer.

Continuation of Report of Commission on Interjurisdictional Relations

Bishop Tippett stated that on order of the Conference this morning, we are continuing the Report of the Commission on Interjurisdictional Relations. There are these pending motions:

(1) The Main Motion to adopt the Report.
(2) The Chester Smith Constitutional Amendment.
(3) The William James Amendment.

Motion to Refer—Lewis H. Beckford

Lewis H. Beckford (Maine—NE) moved that the Report be referred to the Legislative Committee on Conferences.

Point of Order—Raoul C. Calkins

Raoul C. Calkins (Ohio—NC) raised a Point of Order that under Rule 18 a motion to refer is debatable. The Chair ruled him correct. He then said:

This Committee of thirty-six was raised by the last General Conference. They have spent hundreds and hundreds of dollars working on this problem. They have had countless problems with people regarding this. They have spent large amounts of money raised specifically by this General Conference to report back to this General Conference, and for us to take this document and refer it to a committee that would only give it slight treatment in a matter of a few hours that they might pick up tonight at ten o'clock or some such time as that would be utterly ridiculous. I think we should deal with it out here on the floor.

Statements Against Referral—Dean E. Richardson—James P. Brawley

Dean E. Richardson (Genesee—NE): I am in favor of this recommendation, this amendment, and for these reasons: I happened to serve as the secretary of the subcommittee that has to do with the study of the Jurisdictional system within the Legislative Committee. We have piles of memorials that are inches deep. In the instance of both those who recommend the retention of the Central Jurisdiction and those who recommend the end of the Central Jurisdiction. None of them have come under the review either of the subcommittee or of the committee, nor are they properly before this legislative body. This means that one extreme of the democratic process will be blocked off if you take the action in not allowing these matters to be referred to the Committee on Conferences.

I do not believe that any democratic body would proceed in a democratic manner, would properly cut off at least 50 percent of the total concern of the church. The Commission that was raised was not raised by this General Conference, it was raised by the previous General Conference, and I contend, sir, that properly this entire matter is before us. The only design would be to allow a flow of concerned interests to come to the attention of the General Conference and that all of the facts may properly be before us.

James P. Brawley (Georgia—C): Mr. Chairman, I have two statements in objection to this motion. Number one, memorials were presented to the committee. I am a member of the Jurisdictional Committee on Conferences. It was the rule and agreement of this section to wait until this report was prepared before they acted upon the memorials. It is not a rule of this General Conference. They could have considered these memorials and they can still consider these memorials, so I think that this is immaterial and has nothing to do with our discussion of this report here this afternoon.

Number two. The Commission has given long hours of patient attention to this report and I do not think it is fair to this Church or to this commission or to the Conference Committee that has not had the opportunity of studying this problem over a period of four years as this commission has had, to take this report and bring back additions to what we are going to do with it. I think this is a matter for this conference to decide here this afternoon and to act upon this report.

Inquiry—Lemuel K. Lord

Lemuel K. Lord (New England—NE): I would like to ask Mr. Parlin if we proceed to pass this report and there should be some of us who think that there are other things which should be done to carry on the battle against racism in The Methodist Church, if in his opinion there would be full opportunity for this to be done in this Conference?

Mr. Parlin: I think the answer, sir, is clearly that full opportunity will be given because many of these questions will follow immediately on the heels of this report, on the report that will come up from the legislative committee on Christian Social Concerns.

Previous Question Moved—D. Trigg James

D. Trigg James (Holston—SE) moved the previous question.

Point of Order—Douglas E. Jackson

Douglas E. Jackson (Louisiana—SC) raised a Point of Order that two speeches had not been made on each of the questions. The point was sustained.

Statement Favoring Referral—J. Henry Ernst

J. Henry Ernst (Pacific Northwest—W): I am deeply concerned not only as to whether we shall refer this back to the Committee on Conferences, but about the lack of reverence, about a whole democratic process in The Methodist Church. If we can refer to a special study committee, the most important things that we see and face and then bring that directly to the body and without the scrutiny of the committee, pass it into legislation, we have short circuited the democratic process.

It is only a short step from putting this into the hands of our own members to putting it into the hands of a group of experts, for them to know all things and come back and report directly to us. I strongly favor the movement to put it back to the committees where they can then begin to balance this against the other memorials that have come from the grass roots in Methodism, the individual members, the Official Board, the local church, the Annual Conference. I think before something of that stature is passed into law, it ought to have the scrutiny of the properly constituted bodies.

Statement Opposing Referral—Leonard Slutz

Leonard Slutz (Ohio—NC): I speak as vice-chairman of the Committee on Conferences. I feel this matter should not be referred back to the committee because I believe in the democratic process. I feel that the entire body should have an opportunity to consider it, to hear what is said, propose amendments, and I hope there may be some good ones that will strengthen and help the report, but I think every member of this Conference should have an opportunity to be in on this debate. that it should not be referred to a committee where most of the work would be done by a smaller group and it would come back to us as a predigested meal. I oppose reference.

Previous Question Moved—Alva I. Cox

Alva I. Cox (North-East Ohio—NC) moved the previous question and it was ordered.

Point of Order—W. Davis Cotton

W. Davis Cotton (Louisiana—SC) raised a Point of Order that Mr. Parlin should be allotted time to speak, not to exceed five minutes, if he so desires. The Chair ruled the point well taken.

Statement Opposing Referral—Charles C. Parlin

Mr. Parlin spoke against referral.

Statement—Loyd F. Worley

Loyd F. Worley (New York East—NE) stated that the

document, "Creative Pursuit of an Inclusive Church," was to be considered. The Chair ruled Mr. Worley out of order, stating that we are on a previous question.

Statement—Ernest T. Dixon

Ernest T. Dixon (West Texas—C) made a statement to the effect that the document referred to by Mr. Worley had been distributed. He then made explanatory remarks.

Vote on Motion to Refer—Defeated

The vote was taken on the Beckford motion to refer. It was not referred. (DCA, page 158)

Consideration of James Amendment

The Chair stated: "We are back on the William James amendment."

Statement in Favor of Amendment—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley (New York—NE): The amendment has to do with the creation of a staff, biracial staff, for this Commission. I should like to speak in support of that.

The principle of it is envisioned in what we are asking in the Commission itself. We are asking that a Commission be brought into being and sufficiently financed to do its job. I have worked on both the Commission of seventy, and the Commission of thirty-six. In the Commission of seventy, we had a staff. In the Commission of thirty-six, we leaned heavily on staff and secretaries of the offices who had them. Even so, we were pushed in order to get our work done.

The work we are giving to this Commission will be as much or more than the work of our earlier Commission. It will need a staff. I should like to speak very strongly in favor of this amendment.

Statement Opposing Amendment—Nat G. Long

Nat G. Long (North Georgia—SE): I would like to speak against the proposal to employ a staff to implement this report, as it seems to me it is not necessary. I was present when the Cordinating Council and the Council of Secretaries discussed the quadrennial program of 1964-1968. It was suggested that there be a special commission to implement the program. After much discussion, the group decided that we had enough machinery, we didn't need any additional machinery, and it was decided to ask the Commission on Promotion and Cultivation for material we already had to promote the program.

It seems to me if we don't need the Commission to promote the program, we don't need a paid staff and more machinery to implement the report at hand.

One of the suggestions is that that be a joint staff. I don't believe a paid staff will work this staff faster than they will without a staff.

There is a suggestion that the boards and commissions meet jointly. I don't think a paid staff is going to cause these boards and commissions to work any faster than they would without the staff.

In the second place, I think it is in violation, both of the spirit of the quadrennial emphasis itself and of this report.

Dr. Smith made a masterful presentation of the quadrennial plan yesterday, and he indicated that the local church would determine the

success or failure of the program. He seemed to me to say that he, as well as other leaders, is willing to trust the local church. He is willing to wait on the spirit of us for the implementation of the quadrennial report. It seems this is somewhat in violation of that, and also in violation of the spirit of this report because those things are settled. This sentence is in italics, also. "A paid staff whose purpose would be to shove the program along would not be of voluntarism, but compulsion."

It is a financial burden. Our people are tired of continuing increases. Another thing, it seems to me to be a source of irritation of this staff to be—I could imagine it could be a kind of watch dog and voluntarism isn't proceeding as fast as the staff thinks it should be, they might prod certain conferences to speed it up. It seems to me that would irritate the cause rather than speed up.

Statement Favoring Amendment—Dennis R. Fletcher

Dennis R. Fletcher (Delaware—C): Mr. Chairman, I am in favor of this committee—that a commission be appointed, because the duties assigned to this Commission are very, very large. The proposal in the Committee's report states that the new commission, if appointed by this body, shall have the same duties as that given to the previous committee. If you will look, you will find that this Committee was supposed to do a lot of studying and to work closely with the General Conference Committee on Social Concerns. In addition to this, the Committee was to give reports to the Bishops and agencies, was to make studies while not working on Amendment Nine, to make studies of the possible and probability of the courses of action of churches. This can only be done, Mr. Chairman, where you have people available to give all the time that is required to do this.

Our Committee of thirty-six, of which I was a member, found itself unable to give the time and study that was needed. While we had other agencies to assist us, there was time that even that work was not adequate. It was given to a Commission that will work under a multiracial staff and will work under the direction of the Commission so that this work may be more adequately and more perfectly done. A Commission of volunteer people who have jobs in other agencies and in their own particular type of work, do not have the time to give to the detailed study that is required.

Inquiry—James H. Laird

James H. Laird (Detroit—NC) asked Mr. Parlin if the staff and budget proposals in the James amendment had been studied by the Commission. Mr. Parlin responded that the Commission had considered this matter and did not favor setting up a duplicate staff.

Statement—Raymond E. Balcomb

Raymond E. Balcomb (Oregon—W) replied to Mr. Parlin and said that the Commission did have paid professional help during the quadrennium of 1956-1960.

Statement Opposing Amendment—Harry M. Gordon

Harry M. Gordon (Wyoming—NE): I am opposed to this amendment. I think we are becoming so overorganized that we are becoming disorganized.

We are becoming so efficient that we are becoming inefficient. If we approve this amendment, we shall—this report, we shall be the ones to implement it and put it into action, we, the organized Churchmen. I do not think we need another staff and another commission with the added expense to come with it to see that it is implemented and carried out.

I think Recommendation No. 7 under this able committee is sufficient. I am opposed to this amendment.

Statement Favoring Amendment—Roy H. Nichols

Roy H. Nichols (California-Nevada—W): Thank you, Bishop. I am Roy Nichols, California-Nevada.

I am a little bit disturbed by two attitudes that are present here in this General Conference on this issue. It seems quite evident that the General Conference wants to abolish the Central Jurisdiction. The stigma attached to the symbol of segregation has done things to us as a General Conference and as a Church that we do not like, so we are all agreed that something must be done at this Conference to at least take away the publicity associated with segregation which the Central Jurisdiction symbolizes.

At certain particular points when we have been confronted with what I think is a realistic approach to these matters, a conference has set aside realism.

The committees, I understand by a small margin vote, set aside the provision which might have made a General Conference responsible for assisting Annual Conferences at the level of salary equalization which is one of the crucial issues in this business of integration.

This morning we voted against what I thought was a realistic proposal along the lines of the pension program which is one of the realistic considerations in this matter.

There are other questions concerning the movement of men within the integrated conferences, the post movement of men from lower levels, from pastors, to superintendents, and eventually bishops.

In the name of volunteerism, we have intended to move away from the things that would implement the so-called volunteer procedure which we seem to be giving lip service to.

I, therefore, feel, Bishop, that the amendment which is before us, which I am speaking for, because it was my only opportunity to register my opinion to the mood of the conference, represents the kind of action which might be invoked if we are to do more than to make our consciences feel good on this issue.

Bishop, I am involved at the moment in a session on legislation where we are dealing with the merger of two major bodies in The Methodist Church. We have been extremely meticulous in caring for the concerns of all who are involved, and for making sure that in the process of integration with references to these two major bodies, that there is fairness, not only immediately but ultimately. We have been considering the merger of The Methodist Church with another denomination. Here, again, we have been meticulous and careful in detail, and it is always expensive when we obtain the facts.

The Central Jurisdiction represents not simply an issue of adverse publicity, but they represent some 375,000 people of God who are our brethren in Christ who have legitimate concerns regarding their future.

In 1956, the General Conference voted into being a study commission. This commission presented a proposal in 1960. We accepted its general proposal. The major thing they offered us was finally voted down in the annual conferences of The Methodist Church. A motion was made this morning with reference to the jurisdictions in general, and the conference, we smiled at anyone proposing audaciously that

all jurisdictions should be abolished because each one of the jurisdictions have interests that are protected in the jurisdictional structure.

I feel, therefore, that if the General Conference is not willing to underwrite the program in the next four years with money at the point of pensions, to assist the conferences that have a real desire to cooperate, with salary assistance, then the conferences who desire to cooperate and are ready to cooperate are, in effect, going to be penalized and the foot-draggers in the General Conference of Methodism across the country will, without expense, enjoy the luxury of simply waiving a show for the next four years.

My feeling, therefore, is that if we are really serious, if we believe in volunteerism and dialogue, then we should at least give the mouth, hand, and feet. Therefore, as Mr. Parlin has indicated, he believes in democracy, and the General Conference on the floor should have the right to do whatever it desires, I hope this General Conference will amend this report in specific ways so that when we come together in 1968, there will be significant progress because we have given our action significant tools and means to complete its work.

I therefore, am not simply voicing my opinion, Bishop, I am speaking for an affirmative vote on this as a symbol and on other amendments in connection with this report there will make our action clearly Christian and will bring us a realization in the future that we desire.

Statement Opposing Amendment—Robert M. Thorpe

Robert M. Thorpe (Pacific Northwest—W): This is, indeed, a difficult position from which to speak when the previous speaker has done such a good job.

I ask those who favor this amendment, to understand what I am going to say because I want to say why many of us will vote against this amendment, although we agree with the objectives which the previous speaker spoke of.

In business today, cost reduction for some businesses is as vital a concern for their survival as the race question is for the survival of this church as the kind of church we want it to be.

A few large companies have set up a department of cost reduction to achieve this objective, and generally, I believe there are many on the floor who could substantiate that, those few instances have been not very successful because the people in the operating department said to themselves either consciously or subconsciously, "We have a department of cost reduction. We will go about our business."

In the places where the cost reduction has been a real and successful thing have been in those companies in which it has been the responsibility of every managerial person in every corner and cranny of the organization, and this is the way I would like to see the problems of a growing understanding between our races be in our church, the responsibility of every agency and every board and every commission and not the responsibility of a group of specialists while the rest of us go about our business.

Statement Favoring Amendment—James H. Laird

James H. Laird (Detroit—NC): There is something tremendously important that I think should be taken into consideration. On page 13 of the original Report of the Commission on Interjurisdictional Relation, one finds nine plans and procedures. This was brought out in January of this year.

At this time, these plans and procedures were considered as possibilities. If one reads, the following plans and procedures may be

utilized as means of promoting greater interracial understanding and brotherhood.

Since the meeting of the General Conference, however, this has been changed. These are no longer possibilities. There are now mandates of this General Conference, because, if you will turn, then, to the amendments to your report on page 2, you will find, following recommendation number seven, page 27, "Amended by adding to the following: In developing an overall plan designed to achieve racially inclusive fellowship at all levels of the church, the commission shall be specifically charged with the responsibility of working with jurisdictions and conferences through the plans and procedures as follows."

The amendment before us, then, becomes very significant. What we are saying is that these are no longer to be possibilities. These are to be mandates from this General Conference. Therefore, some kind of mechanism must be provided for achieving them.

True, it would cost money. Let us remember that our friends of the United Presbyterian Church have felt the matter of race is so urgent upon us as a Church, that it has allocated a million dollars to be used in the area of race.

The National Council of Churches has felt that this area is so significant, it too, has set up a special program to implement its programs in this field. It seems to me of urgent importance that if we really mean what we are saying here, that we take the necessary steps and spend the necessary money to see that these mandates are carried out.

Statement Opposing Amendment—Floyd H. Coffman

Floyd H. Coffman (Kansas—SC): I believe I am opposed to the amendment for the reason that it does not add to the report and, in fact, I think it does not change the report.

Recommendation No. seven, on page 27, by reference says that the new commission would have the same authorization as appears in the *Discipline*, Paragraph 2013.

The present provision in Paragraph 2013, Paragraph No. five, says, "The Commission shall be given adequate financing to carry out fully and efficiently the responsibilities assigned to them."

All this amendment would do would be to order it to hire a staff. I would submit that the present authority and the authority in the report gives the Commission all the authority necessary to hire the staff.

Previous Question Moved—Ted Richardson

Ted Richardson (Southwest Texas—SC) moved the previous question on the James Amendment.

Summary Statement—Charles C. Parlin

Charles C. Parlin (Newark—NE), Chairman of the Commission on Interjurisdictional Relations, summing up said: I think I speak for every member of the Commission. They would not begrudge a dollar of money to see this plan completed during this quadrennium. Our whole effort has been directed toward that purpose. We are talking only on organization problems. The Commission of Seventy did have a midstream employee, Dr. E. C. Bell, as an employee. We also employed Dr. Shippe to make a study of depth of some of the sociological factors involved.

This quadrennium, we hired on a part time basis Dr. James as a

secretary of our Commission. Our office had no office or secretarial service, but we used other agencies of the church. We called heavily on the Board of Pensions. We used Commission for Christian Social Concerns to make some of our studies and have paid them for doing this.

The only issue before us is in addition to these staffs, and particularly the staff of the Christian Social Concerns which is fully integrated, one of Brother James suggestions, whether we should have a second staff working for this particular Commission.

It was the feeling of our Commission that it would be better, more efficient, to have a commission working roughly as had the Commission of Seventy, and as now has the Commission of Thirty-Six with whatever financing is necessary to accomplish their job, but let them use the adequate staffing and organization which the Church always has, rather than setting up a relatively small organization of its own.

This is the basis of the Commission's report and in no way a sense of trying to defeat our purpose by becoming penurious.

James Amendment Defeated

The vote on the James Amendment was taken. The Amendment was lost. (DCA, page 160.)

Amendment Proposed—Allen M. Mayes

Allen M. Mayes (Texas—C): Mr. Chairman, I move to amend Section 1, of the report, page 24, by adding the following, after Recommendation 2-A, that the *Discipline* be amended by placing a semicolon at the end of the first sentence in Paragraph No. 527, and adding the following words to that sentence: Provided that in the exercise of these powers and duties, it shall take only such action, including creation and maintenance of annual conferences, and authorize or sanction only such activities, programs and practices as are consistent with the policy of The Methodist Church, to eliminate from its organizational structure all patterns of racial discrimination and segregation. The amended paragraph would then read: The Jurisdictional Conference shall have powers as described in the constitution, Paragraph 15, and such others as may be conferred by the General Conference; provided that in the exercise of these powers and duties, it shall take only such action as creation and maintenance of annual conferences and only sanction such activities, programs, and practices as are consistent with the policy of The Methodist Church to eliminate from its organizational structure all patterns of racial segregation and discrimination. Period. It may adopt its own policies and distribution.

If I get a second, I would like to speak to it.

Bishop Tippett: Is this motion supported?

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Tippett: It is, you may speak.

Mr. Mayes: The Judicial Conference would be expected to exercise all its duties in ways and purposes clearly in harmony with the policy of The Methodist Church to eliminate segregation and discrimination from the Methodist fellowship. With the adoption of this amendment, any further steps can be taken for the elimination of the Central Jurisdiction on a voluntary and mutually satisfactory basis.

Last night in this same place, we witnessed a great drama sponsored by the General Board of the Council of Secretaries on the anniversary of that organization.

The unification of the three great churches into The Methodist Church, I believe we must do everything in our power to keep from reverting to a pattern that prevailed in the Methodist Episcopal Church prior to 1939, and without the direction which this proposed amendment would give to The Methodist Church, we could conceivably still end up in 4 years, 8, or even 25 years with racially segregated Annual Conferences, in regional jurisdictions as a consequence of the dissolution of the Central Jurisdiction.

Last Sunday evening, our Council of Bishops spoke, and they spoke of prophetic insight and with great clarity. We believe that this General Conference—and I am quoting from the *Daily Christian Advocate*, page 15, of Sunday—we believe this conference should insist on the removal from its structure any mark of racial segregation and we should do it without wasting time. This will cost the Negro Methodists some of their minority rights. It will cause some white Methodists deeply and long held convictions concerning racial relations, but God Almighty is moving toward a world of interracial brotherhood so speedily and so irresistibly as to hesitate to the fight against God.

We believe this General Conference should be able to say that the people called Methodists by the grace of God, have moved forward toward removing segregation.

I believe that this 1964 General Conference would be taking a forward step toward the achieving of this ultimate end by its adoption of this proposed amendment.

I ask that it be supported.

Statement Opposing Amendment—George H. Atkinson

George H. Atkinson (California-Nevada—W): Members of the General Conference, this is one of the touchy places where the Committee of thirty-six is not able to go as far as some of our Central Jurisdiction brethren would like to take us, and they are going too fast.

The effect of this motion, this amendment, if carried, would be to simply ruin and cut right across the whole theory of the report of the Committee of thirty-six. This is a main issue and you might as well understand it.

This, as amended, is item No. 2, of this pamphlet creating pursuit of an inclusive church, put out by the Committee of Five of the Central Jurisdiction. This pamphlet—at the bottom of page 4, most of it on page 5—the motion made by the previous speaker, *Dr. Mayes*, is an exact copy except that in the wording, he changed from "in harmony" to "consistent with."

That is all right. He went ahead and read to you the first paragraph of the reasons given by this group from the Central Jurisdiction. He did not go ahead and read the second paragraph and that is the part that has us concerned.

May I digress for just a minute to report to you or recall to you the theory on which the Committee of thirty-six has been going, which is a theory of voluntarism and what we have provided is that where possible, if it is possible for an individual church to change, which has been done in the Northeast and in the Western Jurisdiction, and in some of the others. That is fine, but in general, for the main transfers, we propose a step-by-step basis to go as rapidly as you can—as the individual jurisdictions can go, and the steps, first, are to take in the conferences lying within the reconstituted boundries—wait a second, I am mixed.

The first step is for the Central Jurisdiction to reconstitute their boundaries so that each Annual Conference they have lies within the boundries of the Regional Jurisdictions. The second step is to ask

the Regional Jurisdictions to invite the conferences within their boundries to join their jurisdiction, to merge. The principle has been used a couple of times today, but if you look at our report, it always says, "Merge." That word gives us the two-way dialogue which we hope happens.

After the second step of the Conferences merging into the jurisdiction, then, as rapidly as possible, we hope that the individual conferences within the jurisdiction can merge again so that there are no longer any segregated conferences, but if this thing is going to work, and we might as well say it: In great sections of the country, we have to have the second step of taking in the conferences by conferences first, and after one year, five years, or how long it takes, we hope that they will be able to merge with their brethren in the same jurisdiction.

I am now back on the discussion of the motion. The intent of this motion is to skip that second step. It is to say that the minute that this motion is passed, that the only way that the church—that a Central Jurisdiction church can be taken into a regional jurisdiction, is to go directly into the Annual Conference of the regional jurisdiction, and in fact, this says they will all have to be reconstituted. This would be an immense change and we, frankly, don't think it will be supported.

As a member of the Commission, I want to point out that though this is an innocent sounding memorial, this would upset the entire voluntary schedule that we have proposed and that we feel will be carried out.

As has been said this morning, we already have conferences in the South Central and many other conferences, in the other jurisdictions that are ready to go, and this thing would upset that whole time table.

I will read this and sit down.

This is the second paragraph of the Committee of Five. *Dr. Mayes* read the first paragraph, and this is the second.

"Incorporation of this provision into the main body of church law would prevent the lawful establishment or maintenance of racially segregation annual conferences by Regional Jurisdictional Conferences. This would facilitate the use of Amendment nine to dissolve the Central Jurisdiction by its constituent conferences into regional jurisdictions. It would mean once the Central Jurisdiction and conferences transferred, the Jurisdictional Conferences of that jurisdiction would be required to reconstitute all of its Annual Conferences. The reconstituted Annual Conference would have to comprise all local Methodist Churches regardless of the racial composition of the membership in a determined geographical area."

This would be fine if we could make the jump at once. We don't think you can make it at once. We think you need the intermediate step. We plead that you do not pass this.

I say this has come to a subdivision of a subdivision of the Committee on Conferences. It got there yesterday afternoon and was voted down 15 to nothing, and one abstainer.

Thank you.

Statement Favoring Amendment—Kelly L. Jackson

Kelly L. Jackson (Washington—C): Mr. Chairman, I rise in favor of the amendment, because I believe that it will help us to eliminate the segregation against which we have fought for many years. We have been accused of many things. We have been accused of dragging our feet, and now we are accused of going too fast.

It has also been stated that we would upset something, and I

wonder if we are here to upset. I think we are here to upset God's troublemakers, but let me get on with the amendment.

I rise in support of this amendment because I believe that it is definitely directed toward elimination of the Central Jurisdiction for which the Church has held its head in shame for lo these many years. I believe this amendment will help Amendment nine to become workable. It is designed to meet the request for speedy dissolution of the Central Jurisdiction. We are called upon to give of ourselves. We are willing as a group to go as far as the next man will go, and I believe that the time has come when no one should be accused of dragging his feet. I believe if this amendment is not passed, the General Conference will go on record as dragging its feet.

Statement—Wilbur C. Ziegler

Wilbur C. Ziegler (New England—NE) called the Chair's attention to the fact that the document, "Creative Pursuit of an Inclusive Church," was not in the hands of all the delegates. Bishop Tippett requested that copies be distributed.

Statement Favoring Amendment—William T. Handy

William T. Handy, Jr. (Louisiana—C): Mr. Chairman and members of the General Conference, I think it needs to be said that we have before us a report that, to me, is not going to bring about the millennium. I approach it with extreme caution. It seems to me that this amendment that is before us is the very meat of what we are trying to do. When we transfer out of Annual Conferences, all we can do as brothers in Christ or as Lords of the church, is to proceed.

Reading the *Daily Advocate* of 1956, I read that the Holy Spirit came there.

In 1960, we were told to give Amendment Nine an opportunity.

Now, we are told to follow along in the spirit of voluntarism. I would like to say for a matter of information to this conference, that on page 31 of this report, a statement is made that as of the day of this Commission's report, no Central Jurisdictional Annual Conference had voted for merger with the Regional Jurisdiction. I wanted to state for information, that the Louisiana Conference, meeting in session on June 17, 1960, voted 156 to nothing, as found on page 25 of the 1960 *Louisiana Journal*, the words "Be it resolved that the 22nd Session of the Louisiana Conference of the Central Jurisdiction of The Methodist Church registers its disapproval of certain things in the church and requests speedy implementation of Amendment No. Nine, with the abolition not only of the Central Jurisdiction, but all racial discrimination and segregation.

"Two. That the Louisiana Conference of the Central Jurisdiction of The Methodist Church, expressing its sentiments and action, hereby requests under the provisions of Amendment Nine of the Constitution of The Methodist Church, that it be transferred to the jurisdiction to which is is geographically contiguous." (Applause)

We volunteered in 1960. (Laughter and applause)

I do hope that the members of this General Conference will vote this amendment so that when we in the Central Jurisdiction take the second step, we will do what I believe the world is demanding us to do in the social revolution of which we are a part, to rid ourselves of segregation in all of its insiduous forms. I trust that we will pass this amendment.

Inquiry—Ira E. Williams

Ira E. Williams (Oklahoma—SC) inquired of the Chair if the Commission on Entertainment is authorized to place the literature, referred to by Mr. Ziegler, upon the desks of the delegates.

The house authorized the distribution of this literature.

Statement—William E. Trice

William E. Trice (Louisiana—SC) replied to William T. Handy, Jr. that the action of the Louisiana Conference had never come as a request to the Central Jurisdiction.

Statement Favoring Amendment—Stanley S. McKee

Stanley S. McKee (Southern California-Arizona—W): I would like to point out that there are two things that this General Conference has constantly had in mind as we have been discussing everything that has to do regarding legislation in reference to the Central Jurisdiction. Part of the time we had in our minds the fact that we are wanting to do away, structurally, with the Central Jurisdiction.

I call your attention to the fact that this Committee of Thirty-Six was given primarily this responsibility. This is the thing to which they have directed themselves. This is the thing that they say is the basis on which this report comes to us.

It is my understanding and I think it is the understanding of the General Conference that the Central Jurisdiction is going to meet following the General Conference. They will realign their conferences and their areas so as to align themselves with the boundaries of the conferences and areas within other jurisdictions. Then the action that this report recommends can be taken; that is, that areas and conferences can then be invited by a jurisdiction to come into that given jurisdiction, but this means, then, that the Central Jurisdiction Conference or area will stay intact.

If this were done throughout the Central Jurisdiction, the Central Jurisdiction would be abolished. That is what this Committee is recommending.

Along with this, from the very first, and it was very difficult for me to understand this a few years ago, our good friend Dr. Brawley, and others, called to our attention that the real problem is not the doing away with the Central Jurisdiction, the real problem which we face as a church, is the doing away with racial discrimination.

All right, now, the attitude here and the things that are proposed in this amendment is that if you vote an area or a conference into a jurisdiction, and leave it intact, you are still practicing discrimination, and I hardly see how anyone could disagree with that idea.

It seems to me that the thing represented by this amendment is that the person who has made it, and a great segment of our church, is saying to us that it is more important that when these moves are made, we are doing away with discrimination within the church than it is for us to do away with the Central Jurisdiction.

If I understand the people who are back of this memorial, the thing that you are saying is that we should let those jurisdictions that will, vote the Central Jurisdiction into their jurisdiction, and dissolve the conferences and areas so that they are an integral part of the conferences and areas within that jurisdiction. Discrimination will then have been done away with so far as possible structurally.

They have said, differently from a few years ago, if there is a jurisdiction within the church that does not want to vote the areas and the conferences into that particular jurisdiction on this basis—that is, on the basis that would be present if we pass this amendment, then they are willing to have those conferences and those areas sit in that particular jurisdiction as an indication that we have not yet come to the place where discrimination has been moved out or abolished.

I think if we limit this in a matter of depth and see it as the real purpose we are trying to achieve here, we will recognize that this amendment is the most important thing we must had before this General Conference and so far as I can see, is the most important issue we will have before us while we are here. I am in favor of it.

Inquiry—John C. Soltman

John C. Soltman (Pacific Northwest—W): This is a question. I am Soltman from Pacific Northwest. I would like to ask Mr. Atkinson because it refers back to the point which he brought up, and I think it is germane. We have before us this report, and I am looking at page 5, under reasons, the second paragraph from which Mr. Atkinson quoted which begins—and this is the key sentence—"Incorporation of this provision into the main body of church law would prevent the lawful establishment or maintenance of racially segregated Annual Conferences by regional jurisdictional Conferences."

In light of the provisions of Amendment Nine, which provides for the transfer of an Annual Conference of the Central Jurisdiction into another jurisdiction, I wonder in what sense this sentence which I have quoted from the report is true.

Leonard Slutz (Ohio—NC) replied: Mr. Chairman, I am Leonard Slutz of the Ohio Conference. This is an exceedingly difficult question to answer because we are dealing on the one side with emotion, and what we would like to do, and on the other side we are trying to deal with intellect and what we think we ought to do.

I have complete sympathy with the purpose of this amendment. On the other hand, I think it might throw a road block in the path of everything we want to accomplish.

As Mr. Atkinson said, it would be necessary in all instances to take two steps at once, instead of taking one at a time. You could only transfer a conference into a jurisdiction, and immediately dissolve it. You could not transfer it into a jurisdiction and have it remain as a separate conference.

That is what we would prefer to do in every instance if it could be done. Let me be very frank. I am a layman, I can say things that perhaps I shouldn't say.

Let me be specific. In the North Central, we have been working for the last several months on a plan to do just what this amendment would do, to transfer the Lexington Conference into the North Central, and immediately dissolve it. We hope that will be accomplished by July 12th of this year, 1964.

In trying to work out that plan, we have prepared a written motion that is to be submitted to every Annual Conference of the Central Jurisdiction, and every Annual Conference of the North Central Jurisdiction, between now and July. If this identical motion is adopted by all those conferences and by the two Jurisdictional Conferences, those two steps will take place and all the churches in the states comprising the North Central Jurisdiction will have all the Negro churches in the present regional Annual Conferences. There will be a bishop formerly from the Central Jurisdiction who will be a bishop of the North Central Jurisdiction.

I am speaking very frankly. We have been told by many people that the same process may not now be possible in the Southeastern Jurisdiction. We have been told it can be done probably very soon in the South Central, but in the Southeastern, there is need for dialogue. There is need for discussion. There is need for getting acquainted. There is need to work together and plan, doing some of the planning we have been trying to do elsewhere, and so I have heard some very influential people say, "We are working hard. We have high hopes that the South Central will invite these conferences into their jurisdiction, but they may have to be separate Annual Conferences for a little while until we get to know them better and can work out the plans." There are plans far more difficult than we have in the North Central. They have many more churches, the pension plan is harder, the minimum salary plan is much harder, and they say we are going to have to work together for a little while before we take the second step.

If this amendment is defeated, nothing is prevented. It would still be possible if the two-step approach could be worked out, it could still be done, just as we intend to do it in the North Central in the next two or three months. If that can't be done, you still have flexibility. You still have the possibility of going the other way. The less preferable way, but it may prove to be the necessary way.

To preserve the flexibility, to make it possible to move it any way that we can get together, I oppose the amendment.

Previous Question Moved—W. Davis Cotton

W. Davis Cotton (Louisiana—SC) moved the previous question. It was ordered. (DCA, page 163.)

Inquiry—G. Eliot Jones

G. Eliot Jones (Mississippi—SE): If you will turn to the *Discipline* and Constitution, Paragraph 15, Section 4, page 14 of the *Discipline*, you will find there at the beginning of Paragraph 15, "The Jurisdictional Conferences shall have the following powers and duties and such others as may be conferred by the General Conference."

Item No. 4 would be to determine the boundaries of their annual conferences.

If an Annual Conference were transferred—the question is this—if an Annual Conference should be transferred from the Central Jurisdiction into another, would this prohibit the Jurisdictional Conference from determining the boundaries as a jurisdictional conference?

As I understand it, if we vote this proposition, we would be determining boundaries of annual conferences. For example, if an annual conference is transferred out of the Southeastern Jurisdiction into the Central Jurisdiction, and if the Central Jurisdiction desired to constitute that and receive the annual conference and be taken into account at the point of securement and operation of The Methodist Church, then no freedom would be given to the respected Jurisdictional Conference.

I ask for parliamentary requirement.

Bishop Tippett: Would decision of the Judicial Council No. 85 satisfy you, the transfer of a local church to the Central Jurisdiction to an Annual Conference of another jurisdiction, can be done only in accordance with appropriate action of the General Conference, Jurisdictional Conference and Annual Conferences as provided in the constitution. This method of backing such a change can only be changed by a constitutional amendment.

Is that what you are speaking to?

Mr. Jones: The Annual Conference should have the right to the boundaries of their conference. If we vote this proposition, we would, therefore predetermine the decisions of the Jurisdictional Conferences in determining the boundaries of the annual conference.

Point of Order—Dean E. Richardson

Dean E. Richardson (Genesee—NE) raised a Point of Order that the question was not pertinent and appealed to the Chair for a ruling. He was sustained.

Summary Statement—Charles C. Parlin

Charles C. Parlin (Newark—NE): If the Conference will allow me to try to straighten out something, I will try to be helpful to the Conference.

You have two documents distributed, one this morning, called "Proposed Amendments to the Plan." At the bottom, it shows the amendments were submitted by Dr. Golden, Dr. King, and Dr. Brawley. These were proposed amendments to the plans which were brought here to Pittsburgh by members of the Central Jurisdiction, and all of which were considered by your Commission, and if the body wishes, we can go through this document proposal by proposal and show you exactly to what extent they are now incorporated in the plan as amended.

You have a second document distributed this afternoon, called "Creative Pursuit of the Inclusive Church" which is a memorial and which is going through the machinery of the Legislative Commission as every good memorial should. These were not delivered to our commission and were not intended or do not purport to be an attempt to amend our plan. However, we did have this material before us and all of the material in this memorial of the General Conference was considered by your commission.

This, we are hearing now what is really memorial number two which is an amendment to number 527 of the *Discipline* and which purports to make mandatory mergers of annual conferences within a jurisdiction. I think that is purely unconstitutional, but it is not my province to say so. This memorial will go through the proper legislative committee and they will decide what to do with it.

Our Commission felt that it was clearly unconstitutional and therefore did not attempt to adopt it.

Again, as those of you who have your *Discipline* can see, look at your constitution on page 14 of the *Discipline*, paragraph 15. It says, "The jurisdictional conferences shall have the following powers and duties," and then Number four, under that is, "To determine the boundaries of their annual conferences."

The *Discipline* and General Conference cannot give an order that contradicts that part of the constitution. Therefore, it seems to me that the proper procedure, if this is to be properly prepared would be for the Legislative Committee to consider this memorial. If they want to test it out, bring it in as a constitutional amendment, which I think would be necessary.

At any rate, our Commission has not incorporated in our report for this reason. I would think, therefore, we would not attempt to amend our report but leave it to the Legislative Committee to see whether they bring it as a proposed amendment to the *Discipline* or as I am afraid they will have to, a proposed amendment to the constitution.

Points of Order—Roy R. Roudebush—Ray W. Ragsdale

Roy R. Roudebush (North Indiana—NC): If this matter is in the hands of the Legislative Committee and has not been reported by that committee, then, Mr. Chairman, is it in order to be considered by this body when it is in the hands of one of our regularly constituted committees?

Bishop Tippett: Yes, this matter has been before you and is ready for a vote right now.

Mr. Roudebush: The question is: Are we by-passing our regular committees in picking up a memorial?

Bishop Tippett: I think now you are speaking to the Commission, sir, not asking a question.

Ray W. Ragsdale (Southern California-Arizona—W): My Point of Order is that Mr. Parlin did not read all the *Discipline* and has misled the Conference.

Article of the Constitution, Article 5, paragraph 15 of the Constitution reads, "The jurisdictional conferences shall have the following powers and duties, and such others as may be conferred by the General Conference."

We are the General Conference.

Inquiries—Harry B. Gibson, Jr.—D. Trigg James

Harry B. Gibson, Jr. (Lexington—C) inquired of the Chair about the effect of the vote to be taken.

D. Trigg James, Sr. (Holston—SE) made an inquiry about the constitutionality of the procedure. The Chair ruled the question irrelevant.

Vote on Mayes Amendment

The vote was taken on the Mayes amendment. The amendment was lost. (DCA, page 165.)

Motion to Refer to Judicial Council—Loyd F. Worley

Loyd F. Worley (New York East—NE) moved to refer the matter to the Judicial Council.

Point of Order—

A delegate stated that the amendment was lost, therefore nothing is before the Conference to be referred to the Judicial Council. The Chair sustained the Point of Order.

Amendment to Plan of Action—James S. Thomas

James S. Thomas (South Carolina—C): I wish to offer an amendment to what I take to be the main matter of business before us, namely, the plan of action. I would like if I receive a second to speak to it, please.

The amendment would come on page 3 of this document titled, "Amendments to Report and Plan of Action for the Legislation of the Central Jurisdiction." It would come after Point 9 on Page 3.

The amendment is as follows: "To assist and enable the Commission, in the achievement of the foregoing objectives, each jurisdiction shall establish an advisory council consisting of one member from each Annual Conference with approximately an equal number of ministers and laymen, plus two bishops. The Commission shall arrange for each council to meet at least once a year, either with the Commission or with one or more of the other councils to consider problems related to transfer and mergers and facilitate such transfers where they may be voted or impeded." (The motion was seconded.)

Bishop Tippett: The motion was seconded. You may speak, Dr. Thomas.

Dr. Thomas: Mr. Chairman, this is obviously a matter of very grave concern to all of us here at the General Conference. This hardly needs to be said. What does need to be said is that there is obviously, also, a great deal of good will in all of our jurisdictions of the church, and an intention to do something about the Central Jurisdiction.

Quite obviously, as we leave this important responsibility, vaguely stated, calling certainly upon the jurisdictions without providing some instrumentality and some opportunity for dialogue, we will be making the same mistake which I fear we have made in the past. Whenever one is vaguely responsible for something, it is likely that nobody will be specifically responsible.

The aim, therefore, of this amendment is to provide at the grass roots, and thus give some intent and meaning to this idea of volunteerism, to provide at the grass roots in each jurisdiction some consultative agency to assist the Commission on the jurisdictional relations to come sound conclusions leading to a transfer and merger, and dealing with the many problems incident thereto, some of them being completely nonracial problems, such as helping all problems and pertinent problems.

Quite obviously, Mr. Chairman, and with all due respect to the commissions which we have raised, it needs to be said that no group of 36 men is wise enough to come to all of the various conclusions that is needed to be reached in the various jurisdictions. This provisional challenge consultation, of consideration, of study, to advise with the Commission at least once a year on the problems which are faced.

Frankly, Mr. Chairman, the much-abused principle of volunteerism has no meaning unless it applies to a reasonable group who, in good will, will do something about the problems which we face. I recognize full well that the time of the General Conference should not be taken up in debate and over elaborate explanations, but I must state that this problem could not now be delivered in some vague facts and left to chance.

We hope that each jurisdiction of the church will, without casting aspersions on another, find some way of working with each other.

I cannot speak for the Central Jurisdiction. There are able delegates who can speak for themselves, but speaking for myself, the time has gone when anybody can do something for the Central Jurisdiction without the Central Jurisdictions participation in it.

I make this amendment, Mr. Chairman, not as an effort to contravene in the duties of the Annual Conference or Jurisdictional Conference, but to create a group, a responsible group of goodwill that will sit down with the people concerned in the local areas and come to the kind of conclusions that will make the Commission on Jurisdictional Relations much wiser than it could otherwise be.

I would like to say that I have sat in some groups like this who, during the last quadrennium acted, and the results have been good.
Thank you.

Statement—Miguel A. Brun

Miguel A. Brun (Uruguay—OS): Bishop, I trust I will be forgiven for not mastering fully the English language; and I hope that this will not in any way dilute the urgency of what I want to say.

I fully recognize that the matter before us is in many ways a North American matter and a North American problem. Yet, I believe strongly that the opinion of your brethren in Latin America is something which should be clearly and forcefully represented here at this General Conference.

May I say that there is one thing upon which there is practically only one opinion among Latin American Protestants. And that is that segregation in a democracy is inconceivable and within the Church of Jesus Christ it is unpardonable. It is a cause for almost universal dismay among Protestants in Latin America that various classes of civil rights in effect exist in North America depending on the color of one's skin; and the dismay becomes total bewilderment when we see that essentially the same pattern prevails in the Church of Jesus Christ.

In Latin America there are many things about which we disagree, but there are some basic things which we feel very strongly are not open to discussion. We would never ask our churches to set down and to "dialogue" about whether or not Jesus Christ is the Lord and Savior of all men. That, we think, is not open to discussion in The Methodist Church. And, with the very same breath that we say that we believe just as strongly and as basically that all men who belong to Him and who worship Him as Lord and Savior should just, naturally, be welcome in any place, in any conference, in any house of worship together with all other men who worship as Lord and Savior and in our case hold to the Methodist Faith.

We do not believe that it is open to dialogue whether or not all of the Christian people can worship together at will. Our Methodist Conference in Uruguay with only one feeling approved the dissolution of the Central Jurisdiction and I know that this action on their part means that they believe any vestige of segregation in the Church of Jesus Christ is simply a contradiction in terms.

May I say as strongly as I know how, that if we here in this General Conference merely open the door towards integration and invite churches and conferences to sit down and to talk about whether they think all Christ's people should be welcome in all Methodist churches and conferences—if that is all we do here today a deep note of disappointment will run through the six million Latin American Protestants who feel so dismayed about segregation in the Churches in North America.

However, if we here are prepared to say, "In the Methodist Church of North America segregation wherever it occurs runs counter to the nature and the conviction of the Church and must go" and if we are prepared to do something concrete about it instead of inviting people to dialogue about it, a great applause will sound through the whole of Latin American Protestantism. I recommend strongly any action which can take this matter out of the area of dialogue and place it in clear acts before the nation and the world. I believe strongly, my brethren, that the report of the commission as we have it needs more teeth in it to do this job.

Thank you.

Statement—W. Davis Cotton

W. Davis Cotton (Louisiana—SC) spoke against the amendment.

Vote on Amendment

The vote on the Thomas amendment was taken. The amendment prevailed. (DCA, page 166.)

Amendment Proposed—Charles S. Scott

Charles S. Scott (Central West—C): I wish to offer a very, very brief amendment to the following. I wish to amend Recommendation 5 of page 26 of the report by including and adding a new paragraph designated as 5-a entitled, "Minimum Salary," as reflected more fully on page 34 of the report which is incorporated in this motion by reference and made a part of this amendment.

You will notice on page 34 that they set out the plan of the minimum salary. They have included and recommended in this report that the emergency fund would support the annuity rates in the various conferences that do not have an adequate one.

I would like to get a second on this.

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Tippett: It is supported. You may have the floor.

Mr. Scott: It appears most unfair and unjust to approve an annuity plan and not make adequate provisions for salaries of the active ministry. These are the men who are carrying the ball in the grassroots and I can testify from personal experience—incidentally, I am a layman, not a minister—we do have many ministers in the various conferences who are receiving less than standard salaries.

Point of Order—Charles M. Earley

Charles M. Earley (Virginia—SE) raised a Point of Order and the Chair requested Mr. Scott to repeat his amendment.

Amendment Proposed—Edwin E. Reeves

Edwin E. Reeves (Southern California-Arizona—W): I have been trying to make the motion all afternoon. I have an amendment exactly as his, and I have it written, if he will let me state it.

Mr. Scott: I yield.

Mr. Reeves: Page 26, Recommendation 5, amend Recommendation 5 by adding a new paragraph which would be numbered two, change the number of present paragraph two to three, delete the present paragraph three.

The new paragraph two will read, "Direct the establishment of a temporary aid fund to be used where necessary and requested to supplement minimum salaries in annual conferences of the Central Jurisdiction as outlined in the appendix of this report found on page 34." It would then read, "Direct the establishment of a temporary

general aid fund to be used where necessary and requested to support a plan to encourage a minimum pension rate in the annual conference of the jurisdictions of $35 per year for full-time and approved service."

Number two would be the one I read. We could change that to number three, and delete three.

Mr. Scott: This is my view. I will yield.

Bishop Tippett: You may have the floor.

Mr. Reeves: Segregation is a cancer in the whole body of the church, even though the operation to dissolve the Central Jurisdiction is much less painful to the West, nevertheless, segregation still exists in most of the vicious forms in all parts of the church.

The solution of our problem rests with the whole church. The economic inequalities and tensions must be faced by the whole church. Therefore, this General Conference must accept the responsibility of supporting action which will begin to solve our serious economic inequalities.

We in the west and the north must be willing to extend financial support to those jurisdictions which face the acute financial problems arising from inadequate minimum support as well as inadequate pensions. Since the vote against, including the minimum support plans in this report, was by a very narrow margin—and the fact that it is printed on page 34 indicates it was given very serious thought—as members of this General Conference, we should go on record as supporting this legislation.

Those of us who have often been critical of the slow pace we have been taking in dissolving the Central Jurisdiction must make it clear that we now are ready to take any necessary steps to be full participants in the process.

It seems to me that it would be unrealistic to accept the pension plan which is somewhat in the future and not accept the minimum support plan which is now.

This would be on the basis of the plan on page 34.

Amendment to Amendment—Leonard Slutz

Leonard Slutz (Ohio—NC): As Brother Reeves read the amendment, he limited it to the Central Jurisdiction Annual Conferences. As we proposed under pensions, we are covering also the Rio Grande Conference. We covered any conference with less than a $35 minimum.

When we in the Commission talked about the salary, we intended to include the Rio Grande Conference or any conference below a certain level.

If you would delete the reference to the Central Jurisdiction so the matter goes to the Committee on Pensions for consideration and report, I think that would improve it.

Somebody tells me that the Committee on Pensions would not be the right committee in this case, but whatever committee it goes to, it would come back as a whole plan not limited to the Central Jurisdiction.

Dr. Reeves accepted the amendment.

Amendment to Amendment—Walter R. Hazzard, Sr.

Walter R. Hazzard, Sr. (Delaware—C) moved to amend the previous motion by inserting No. three and renumbering it as No. four. Dr. Reeves accepted this amendment.

Inquiry—Edgar A. Potts

Edgar A. Potts (Virginia—SE) raised a question as to whether the expense would be borne by the General Conference or by the Jurisdictions. Mr. Parlin answered that the plan is to have a General Conference Fund which would be spread over the entire Church.

The Chair then called upon Floyd H. Coffman (Kansas—SC) who stated that is what was anticipated.

Statement Opposing Amendment—Edgar A. Potts

Edgar A. Potts (Virginia—SE): I would like to say in this opposition—in opposition to this motion: This is my first trip to a Conference. I have been down in the country trying to sell the deal on what you have done up here to this time. At this time, I have to go back and try to sell what we have done, because I am part of it.

I want to call your attention, or call the attention of the entire Conference to page 11 of the Committee's report, and say this: If my figures are right, 47 percent of the brethren in discussion are in the Southeastern Jurisdiction. Over 50 percent of the churches we are talking about are in the Southeastern Jurisdiction, and over half of the conferences we are talking about are in the Southeastern Jurisdiction.

Now, frankly, I want to be honest. The first thing I am interested in is saving a man's soul, and I haven't heard too much about that here yet.

I love every American, regardless of his color, but America was built on freedom and people working together. I say to you in all sincerity that I think the maximum that we can sell in the South, unless we want to sell it on going over to the Baptists, is what the report has in it and not adding to it, gentlemen.

This is a great church we are in. I certainly am not a racist, but neither am I a segregationist, and I think we are putting entirely too much time and effort into this thing, both in our Conference and in America, when the real thing is, or should be, progress and selling the Christian fellowship and the Bible and its teaching, and stop having a fight among ourselves as we are doing.

Really, the maximum that can be done in this thing, this program, I think, is what the report has in it.

Statement Favoring Amendment—K. Morgan Edwards

K. Morgan Edwards (Southern California-Arizona—W): Bishop Tippett, I am opposed to the last speaker, in the interest of saving our souls.

The favorite pastime of those of us living in the North and West is to condemn the race sins of the South, without realizing the same insidious attitudes exist in our own hearts. It is time to cast the beam from our own eye, before we take the splinter out of our brother's eye. This is one of the ways in which those of us who are smug, and who live in the North and West, can put our resources where our speeches have been, and it is in the interest of saving our souls (applause) selfishness, that I hope we will adopt the amendment.

Unless we resolve the problem of inequity which is here, to eliminate the Central Jurisdiction, to remove the symbol of shame without removing the source of our guilt, we are wrong.

In the interest of what Wesley calls "works for repentance," I think we should sustain the amendment.

Statement Opposing Amendment—Edwin L. Jones, Sr.

Edwin L. Jones, Sr. (Western North Carolina—SE): I believe we should at this time share with the General Conference some of the information and some of the debate and some of the information that was inserted by this Commission in considering this particular matter that is before us.

It was, perhaps, debated more and considered longer than any other one portion of this whole report.

Included in this report is the recommendation that we use World Service funds to supplement the pensions up to $35 average payment. The reason behind that was that although pensions have been historically an Annual Conference matter of business, that quite frequently we have used general Church funds in support of pensions.

The World Service Fund for a number of years has included $250,000 a year for the Board of Pensions. We have included money for the pensions of deaconesses. The Board of Pensions has drawn from World Service funds to really help the matter of pensions.

There is every reason why the General Conference should vote the sum which has been proposed here for pensions. However, when we get over into the matter of the South, we have no such precedent.

Since conferences began, almost since the beginning of time, the matter of salaries has been a matter of the prerogative of the Annual Conference itself. Proof of that is that there are practically no two conferences among our more than 100 conferences that have the same practices, the same scale about salaries as any other conference. They are different. They are different because of circumstances. They differ because of other reasons. They differ because of the program.

In the opinion of the majority of this Commission who prepared this report, the matter of supplementing the salaries is a matter of Annual Conference action, and not of the general Church action. For that reason, and to maintain the fact that the Annual Conference is a basic part of the church, the matter should rest with the Annual Conference.

Inquiry—Major J. Jones

Major J. Jones (East Tennessee—C): I should like to put my question to the Brother Jones who has just spoken, who was a member of the Commission.

My question is whether he would consider that the Missions' Aid Fund that we now administer at the general church level is not in the same category as his pensions?

Bishop Tippett: Brother Jones, please, he is asking you the question.

Mr. Jones: In my opinion, it is in a different category and for a different purpose. If we intend to put these conferences and these ministerial members, many of whom are here, and many of whom would be voting a salary increase for themselves—if we put them in the same category, I would go along with it.

Statement Favoring Amendment—L. Scott Allen

L. Scott Allen (Georgia—C): Mr. Chairman, members of the conference, I believe within The Methodist Church there is a definite and inseparable relationship between pensions and salaries for

ministers. I believe that if the general church is going to take responsibility for improving the pensions of the total ministry of our Church, it has as much responsibility in the matter of trying to remedy inequities in ministerial salaries. I cannot conceive of anything that we can do at this point that will render a more intrinsic contribution to the preservation of reality of the connectional character of the Methodist ministry of our Church than this proposal sets forth in this report. I trust you will support this amendment.

Inquiry—David E. Lindstrom

David E. Lindstrom (Central Illinois—NC) asked for clarification as to the application of the Plan to Overseas Conferences. Charles C. Parlin (Newark—NE) answered that it does not effect sections outside the United States.

Statement—Charles W. Kessler

Charles W. Kessler (Troy—NE): Bishop, this is merely a matter of information for our group here. If I may assume or take the figures of 10,800,000 membership in 1960, and also assume that on page 34 of the total cost that will be taken out for minimum salaries is $270,000, this comes out to the astounding figure, it is going to cost all of us 25 cents for four years.

Statement—Wilmer F. Davis

Wilmer F. Davis (Peninsula—NE): That is the very point I wanted to make at this time. I don't want our friends in the Central Jurisdiction to think that the minimum salary of their brethren is going to be anything like—or the pension is going to be anything like—our white brethren in these conferences.

For instance, in my conference, a very small conference in the eastern part of Maryland, we are giving $80 and are going to increase the pension to $85.

The Delaware Conference brethren, if they come in, they will receive $35.

Where is the equity? I say to you, there is going to have to be some sacrificial yielding on the part of our brethren to meet this quota.

When we came in before as the Methodist Protestant brethren, they came in the conference in 1939 and their pensions were very low. My own grandfather was a member of that conference, and my grandmother received $200 or $300 a year pension. I know what it means to have a pension.

We as Christian brothers holler about Christianity. This is going to test the Christianity when you lower the pensions from $80 to $85 to the figure of the other brothers, to $35. I say this as a word of warning. Don't expect too much from this. Two hundred and forty thousand is almost a disgrace to think we are going to try to help things on the General Conference level.

I say to you, Brothers, it will have to be taken on the general level, and govern yourselves accordingly.

Statement—Raymond J. Cooke

Raymond J. Cooke (Peninsula—NE): I am asking for a point of privilege. I am Raymond Cooke of the Peninsula Conference. Mr. Davis is not a member of the Peninsula Conference and is not aware of our plans.

I say this with some measure of humility. We are in an effort now to work with the Delaware Conference with the hope of merging as soon as possible. The plans we have made have included to date almost all of the steps of the nine that are recommended here.

We have agreed in a plan that is to be presented within two weeks to both the Peninsula Conference and the Delaware Conference, that when we are able to merge, and all the legislative steps have been taken, that we are recommending that the pensions of the merged Conference be the pension rate of the largest amount, which is $80 now.

We are also recommending that the minimum salary be the minimum salary of the Northern Jurisdiction Conference, which at the present time is not large, but is larger than the Central Jurisdiction Conference.

We are not asking for this kind of help. We would appreciate help if you can give it to us, but we are trying to solve this and are expecting to solve it by ourselves if you do not stand with us.

Personal Privilege—

William R. Cannon (North Georgia—SE): It would help all of us, Bishop, if we had some definite figure. What would be the minimum salary?

Secondly, what would be the amount of money that the general church would need to supply, I presume through World Service, to meet that minimum salary in these conferences where it is not reached?

Mr. Parlin: The cost to the immediate coming quadrennium would be $67,500 per annum, or a total of $270,000 for the quadrennium. That is based on the figure and the scaled down chart as shown on page 34.

Previous Question Moved—Lawrence E. Guderian

Lawrence E. Guderian (Oregon—W) moved the previous question and it was sustained.

Point of Order—John C. Satterfield, Sr.

John C. Satterfield, Sr. (Mississippi—SE) rose to a Point of Order, stating that the Chairman, Mr. Parlin, had five minutes to discuss the Plan before the vote was taken. The point was sustained.

Summary Statement—Charles C. Parlin

Charles C. Parlin (Newark—NE): Brothers and sisters of the Conference, in my Commission, I think somebody said this was our most difficult point. I think we argued about it more ourselves than any other point, and we came to the closest vote on any point.

Because it was such a close situation and such a difficult one, we were unanimous in feeling that the full thing should be laid on the table before the delegates of the General Conference, and hence all the material was put in the appendix in order that this, the General Conference, could resolve the issue.

The arguments which led to the majority vote against, I would summarize briefly as follows:

First, the plan originated with an idea that a common fund would

be assembled for the purpose of assisting Annual Conferences when a transfer came into the annual conference.

This was then rejected on the theory that that looked like it was a carrot being held out to the Central Conference preacher to get him to come over. It was unseemly to dangle the carrot of a higher minimum wage in front of his nose.

It was then shifted to an absolute subsidy of minimum salary regardless of whether the transfer was made or not.

Then came the debate. Does this aid, or does this hinder in our ultimate objective of getting the dissolution of the Central Jurisdiction?

Some felt it might delay because if the salary was raised in the Central Jurisdiction, there might be contentment with the status quo.

It was felt that the Annual Conference was the basic unit of the church. One of the problems of this Conference has been to determine salaries and minimum salaries of its own preachers.

To cut across this and establish a new doctrine that the General Conference itself was going to handle, or set minimums and subsidize minimums for Annual Conferences, represented a very radical departure from the structure of our church, and there were those among us of the Thirty-Six that felt that we were not the proper body to make recommendation of such a radical basic change in the structure, but that this should be a committee set up under temporal economy which would make a serious study of it, rather than having it brought in as a part of this interjurisdictional report.

The fourth argument was that we are a world church, and that this plan as it finally developed, applied only to conferences within the jurisdiction of the United States, and it seemed to some of us quite unseemly to raise the question with India and South America and African conferences to establish a fund to be used only in continental United States, and that when we came to the problem of adopting a general conference—that is, the world church, basic principle of a minimum salary, that it should be looked at from a world standpoint, and India and other countries Annual Conferences considered.

This we felt was beyond our confidence. We already had our hands full, and so by a very close vote—I think it was only a margin of one —the Commission voted not to put it in the plan at this time, but to send the information out. I think it was the opinion of everybody that we should put the information out, but raise the question of whether we were the ones to put it into action.

Inquiry—Lycurgus M. Starkey, Jr.

Lycurgus M. Starkey, Jr. (Missouri West—SC) inquired if the position Mr. Parlin had taken included Pension support. Mr. Parlin replied that we were justified in going ahead on Pensions but not on salaries.

Point of Personal Privilege—John T. King

John T. King (West Texas—C) rose to a Point of Personal Privilege.

Reeves Amendment Adopted

The vote was taken on the Reeves amendment and it prevailed. (DCA, page 169.)

Motion to Adjourn—Wesley H. Hager

Wesley H. Hager (Missouri East—SC) moved to adjourn the afternoon session and it was done. (DCA, page 169.)

Announcements—Leon T. Moore

Announcements were made by the Secretary, Leon T. Moore.

Committee on Presiding Officers—Report No. 4—R. Marvin Stuart

R. Marvin Stuart (California-Nevada—W): Mr. Chairman, members of the Conference, the presiding officer for this evening session will be Bishop William C. Martin.

Benediction—Bishop Costen J. Harrell

Bishop Harrell: The grace of the Lord Jesus Christ, the love of God, and the communion of the Holy Spirit be with us all forever. Amen.

FIFTH DAY, THURSDAY, APRIL 30, 1964
EVENING SESSION

Opening—Bishop William C. Martin

Pursuant to adjournment, the 1964 session of The General Conference of The Methodist Church convened in the evening session of the fifth day, Thursday, April 30, 1964, at 7:30 o'clock, in the Civic Arena, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, with Bishop William C. Martin of the Dallas-Fort Worth Area—SC, presiding.

The evening session was opened with the singing of Hymn No. 11, "Praise the Lord," and Bishop J. Waskom Pickett (retired) offered the prayer.

Bishop J. Waskom Pickett: Let us bow our heads in prayer.

Heavenly Father, God of our fathers and our God, whom we wish all men in our time and in the times ahead to know and to love, we joyfully and gratefully acknowledge Thy presence and the blessings that have enriched this day.

We beseech Thee, that every member of this Conference and every visitor here may sense that Thou art present and that Thou art at work. We pray that Thou wilt work in us to do Thy will. Help us to open our hearts and our minds fully to Thee, and to respond eagerly and completely to whatever Thou dost say to us. We know that Thou dost call us to better things, that the very best in our yesterday is not good enough for these difficult times. We pray that Thou wilt equip all here present, and as we go back to our places of major responsibility, wherever we live, grant that through even us, Thy Holy Spirit may be able to work, to equip the widespread membership of the Church to serve Thee more effectively in these times.

We thank Thee for all that our fathers in the faith knew of Thee, for what they communicated out of that knowledge to us, but we know that we must look to the infinite resources at Thy disposal to help us to understand our responsibilities for making a better world, not taking our ideal from the yesterdays of compromise and oft-times of shame, but taking our ideals from the capable, and from the teachings that Thy Son gave to His disciples.

Grant that at the end of this Conference we may more definitely be the sort of people that Thou dost want all of Thy servants to be. In the name of Thy Son, our Savior, and to His greater glory, we ask it. Amen.

Parliamentary Situation

The Chair reminded the Conference of the parliamentary situation.

The motions before the Conference are:

1. Motion to adopt the Report of the Committee on Interjurisdictional Relations.
2. Chester A. Smith constitutional amendment.

Inquiry—Ernest T. Dixon

Ernest T. Dixon (West Texas—C): Mr. Chairman, several pieces of literature have been distributed for information. During the inter-

mission, questions were raised and directed to me regarding the correlation or relationship between several of these materials.

I wonder if we could take some time, or if Mr. Parlin feels that it would be worthwhile if we indicated the relationship between—to identify them—the proposed amendments that were signed by Bishop Golden, Dr. King, and Dr. Brawley, and the small amendments to the report that were distributed some time ago with the original report that is dated January 3, 1964.

I did not attempt to answer questions directed to me and the reason why some of these were not adopted. I felt that was not within the scope of my knowledge. I don't know how relevant this is to what we are confronting now.

Bishop Martin: I will ask Brother Parlin if there is a word he would like to add to this.

Brother Parlin.

Reply—Charles C. Parlin

Charles C. Parlin (Newark—NE): As has been said before, there were two documents distributed. The one distributed this morning is called "Proposed Amendments to the Report." These are submitted by Bishop Golden, Dr. King, and Dr. Brawley.

This afternoon, there was distributed "A Creative Pursuit of An Inclusive Church." This was distributed by the Committee of Five.

It is my understanding that the proposed amendments were picked up by Bishop Golden, Dr. King and Dr. Brawley, after studying the memorials and deciding which they thought ought to be included in the Commission's report in alteration of our plan.

A number of people have asked me how many of these proposed amendments as submitted by Golden, King and Brawley were accepted by your Commission and what disposition was made of them. If the house wishes, I will attempt to go through them and explain exactly what we did, providing our secretary, Dr. Trigg James will come forward and help me.

Motion—James H. Laird

James H. Laird (Detroit—NC) moved that the Chairman explain the amendments to the report one by one. The motion was seconded.

Substitute Motion—Robert W. Moon

Robert W. Moon (California-Nevada—W) moved a substitute motion that the amendments be taken seriatim and that the Chairman tell the Conference what action was taken. The motion was seconded.

Inquiry—George H. Atkinson

George H. Atkinson (California-Nevada—W) raised a question regarding the relationship of the motion to the pending report.

Answer—Robert W. Moon

Robert W. Moon (California-Nevada—W) restated his

motion to take up the consideration of the Committee of Thirty-Six.

Inquiry—John C. Satterfield

John C. Satterfield, Sr. (Mississippi—SE) inquired what is before the Conference. The Chair responded that it is a substitute motion that this report be taken up seriatim.

Moon Substitute Motion Prevails

The vote was taken on the substitute motion, which prevailed and became the main motion. (DCA, page 219.) The Chair then directed the Committee to deal with the Report section by section.

Recommendation No. 1—Commission on Interjurisdictional Relations

Charles C. Parlin (Newark—NE): Mr. Chairman, as I understand the suggestion, I am to take up seriatim the recommendations that appear in the report of the Commission of Thirty-Six, beginning at page 24.

The first recommendation is that the following be adopted: General Conference commends the Jurisdictions for the efforts they have respectively made toward the elimination of the Central Jurisdiction, and particularly commends the Central Jurisdiction for its initiative in planning for the realignment of the Annual Conferences, and that the General Conference urges all Jurisdictions and all Annual Conferences to take such actions as will bring about the elimination of the Central Jurisdiction at as early a date as possible.

This was not commented on by the proposed amendment.

Motion—Robert W. Moon

Robert W. Moon (California-Nevada—W) moved to amend Section I by the inclusion of these words, "but not later than the General Conference of 1972." His motion was seconded.

Inquiry—Dennis R. Fletcher

Dennis R. Fletcher (Delaware—C) inquired how this amendment would affect the part of the Report which says: ". . . if the Jurisdiction is not dissolved by a certain date in 1967 . . ." The Chair called upon Mr. Parlin for an interpretation.

Reply—Charles C. Parlin

Charles C. Parlin (Newark—NE): I wouldn't think that section is involved. I don't see that this conflicts with the other provision."

Statement Opposing Amendment—Leonard Slutz

Leonard Slutz (Ohio—NC): I must strenuously disagree with the

proposed amendment. I can't quite conceive of Brother Moon managing it. My reaction to it is that it would make everybody feel, "Well, we have until 1972 to get this job done."

At first I thought I would amend it to read 1968 and I didn't because somebody would think we didn't have to finish it until 1968. We should get it sooner. I don't want to put any date in there that refers to 1972. If we said 1965, I might go along with it. If you are talking about 1972, I must oppose this.

Amendment Defeated

The vote was taken on the amendment and it was lost. (DCA, page 219.)

Recommendation No. 2—Commission on Interjurisdictional Relations
Amendment Proposed—Clinton M. Cherry

Clinton M. Cherry (Philadelphia—NE) moved to amend the Report to read, in place of the word "urge," the word "direct." The motion was seconded.

Statement Opposing Amendment—R. Lawrence Dill, Jr.

R. Lawrence Dill, Jr. (North Alabama—SE): I am opposed to that amendment for two reasons: The whole tenor of this report of the Interjurisdictional Commission is permissive, and the word "direct" is not in keeping with that. It is one thing to say, "Let's do something through the Annual Conferences, do it together, local churches," but it is another thing to say, "Direct them to do it."

Statement Favoring Amendment—Edsel A. Ammons

Edsel A. Ammons (Rock River—NC): The sentiment of the Conference seems to be directly opposed to anything that is of a mandatory concern. It seems to me whatever we concern ourselves with as Christians, we have the same concern except the implication of a mandate. I cannot be excited or enthused as I stand here confronting a day when things are occurring rapidly about us, and we are thinking of a cry for bread which is upon us. I cannot conceive of a time such as this when the Church is afraid, it seems to me, to deal with mandates. Other denominations are saying to their people, "Do this," not only ordering it but directing it should be done. At every point where this Conference seems to deal with a mandate, there is a cry, "Don't do it. Move slower. You can't do everything at once. You can't do it that way."

I was appointed to a church which said they didn't want a Negro pastor, whose pastoral relations committee said they would not stand together, and four years later the man that led the fight against my going was the treasurer of my church and the strongest member of the board.

I submit to you that we can deal with the mandatory implication in this motion and do it in a most Christian way.

Statement—John C. Satterfield

John C. Satterfield, Sr. (Mississippi—SE) commented about the constitutionality of the procedure.

Inquiry—Roy H. Nichols

Roy H. Nichols (California-Nevada—W) made an inquiry and Bishop Martin replied that it did not relate to the proposed amendment.

Point of Order—John C. Satterfield, Sr.

John C. Satterfield, Sr. (Mississippi—SE) raised a Point of Order asking if the proposal is in keeping with Article IX of the Constitution.

Reply—Bishop Martin

The Chairman replied that if the Conference should adopt the proposed amendment, upon motion, it would perhaps be referred to the Judicial Council.

Statement Opposing Amendment—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley (New York—NE): I think we are kidding ourselves when we think of changing this word "urge" to "direct," as long as we leave the words, "as early a date as possible."

We are just looking for some kind of emotional catharsis here before we get to the meat of this proposal where we have to determine the way of voting, numbers, and ways to get together. I think we are simply wasting time when we spend time on words like this. When you look at them in their entire context they are quite meaningless from the point of view of anything except emotional outpouring. I hope you will vote this down and get to work.

Previous Question Called for

The Chair announced that the Previous Question was called for.

Summary Statement—Charles C. Parlin

Charles C. Parlin (Newark—NE): I think I agree with Brother Bosley. To change the words regardless of the constitutional wrangle, exactly the thing we are trying to avoid, delays the whole program which the Commission of Thirty-Six has placed upon immediacy.

We want to return to the Annual Conferences and that is why we have so carefully worked it out so that we do not actually involve the constitutional problem or constitutional amendment. I think this would be a delaying action, I would regret it.

Amendment Defeated

The vote on the amendment was taken. The amendment was not adopted. (DCA, page 220.)

Amendment Proposed to Recommendation No. 1—Lemuel K. Lord

Lemuel K. Lord (New England—NE) moved to amend Recommendation 1 by a deletion. His motion was seconded.

Amendment Defeated

The vote was taken on the Amendment and it was not adopted. (DCA, page 220.)

Motion to Adopt—Marshall C. Hjelte

Marshall C. Hjelte (Oregon—W) moved to approve Recommendation No. 1 as it is written. The Chair stated it would not be necessary to approve any part of the Report. It must be approved in its entirety.

Motion to Amend—Edwin L. Jones, Sr.

Edwin L. Jones, Sr. (Western North Carolina—SE), in the process of making a motion to amend Recommendation No. 5, was stopped by the Chair.

Presentation of Report Continued—Charles C. Parlin

Charles C. Parlin (Newark—NE) continued the discussion on Recommendation No. 2: Page 24 of the main report, Recommendation No. 2.

Whenever a bishop is transferred from the Central Jurisdiction to another, pursuant to the provisions of the first paragraph of Article 5, Division 3, of the Constitution, the Jurisdiction to which the transfer has been made shall thereupon temporarily be entitled to one more bishop, and the Central Jurisdiction shall be entitled to one less bishop. The prescribed quota is otherwise provided for each of the regional Jurisdictions and new elections shall be made only up to the limit of such quota.

This is in no way in conflict with anything in the proposed amendment. If you will turn to your proposed amendments, you will find that proposal No. 1 has to do with the transferring of a bishop, but is by constitutional amendment, and when we came to discuss it, it was mutually agreed that we should not try to get a constitutional amendment for the transfer of the bishops because that would hold us up for two years. It takes at least two years to get a constitutional amendment through, and we should move under the provisions of the *Discipline* as we had it in our report.

Inquiry—Raoul C. Calkins

Raoul C. Calkins (Ohio—NC) requested Mr. Parlin to define the word "temporarily." Mr. Parlin spoke to the question.

Inquiry—Joseph E. Lowery

Joseph E. Lowery (Central Alabama—C): I would like to raise a question of the Chair or Mr. Parlin—perhaps Mr. Parlin—who made the statement that if the Recommendation No. 2, and the proposed amendments issued by Brawley, Golden, and King, were to be considered, it might possibly delay transfer of bishops from the Central Jurisdiction to the regional Jurisdiction.

My question is this: If this amendment to the Constitution were approved by the General Conference, would it be possible for bishops to still transfer under the Article 5 of Division 3, as mentioned in the

plan of action while the church was considering the proposed constitutional amendment?

Reply—Charles C. Parlin

Charles C. Parlin (Newark—NE): I think the answer is yes, but we thought that this constitutional amendment was unnecessary because the present discipline provides adequate procedures and if the constitutional amendment was on the route through the annual conferences of all the world, it would simply cause confusion and an excuse for delay to see what would happen, and we had better act under the provisions of the *Discipline* which are now on the books and can be used immediately after we adjourn at Pittsburgh.

Request for Clarification—Alva I. Cox

Alva I. Cox (North-East Ohio—NC): We are still not quite clear, at least I am not, on Mr. Parlin's answer to a question about this additional bishop temporarily available.

Going back to his illustration, suppose a jurisdiction has 10 bishops by the quota system and acquires an 11th one, he would have to be assigned to some kind of work which I suppose would mean that the Jurisdiction would be under the necessity of identifying a residence.

The college of bishops in the nearest jurisdiction would need to assign this bishop to conferences, or residential supervision.

If I understand correctly, it was said if in 1968 a bishop retires, that Jurisdiction would not elect another which would mean that you would have—you would move the man from the residence and have him identified.

Is it expected that this extra bishop would be an extra bishop at large or whatever assignment to the Jurisdiction might be made?

It seems to me this is a pretty important point and it is important particularly, I think, in the North Central Jurisdiction, where this thing may come to action.

Reply—Charles C. Parlin

Charles C. Parlin (Newark—NE): I think it is perfectly clear when the Annual Conferences meet and the bishops come into their Jurisdiction, when it merges the Annual Conference as both Northeastern and North Central have already planned to do, it will be up to the Jurisdiction to provide a physical area over which this bishop could preside as a basis for planning.

If there is a death or retirement, and you find the physical area without an incumbent, it would be the obligation of the Jurisdiction to shrink the number of bishops unless the Conference could step the quota up to 11. This power is always within the jurisdiction of the General Conference.

Amendment to Constitution Proposed—Joseph E. Lowery

Joseph E. Lowery (Central Alabama—C): The proposed amendment is an amendment to Section 5, Article 5, Amendment 9. "Whenever a majority of the Annual Conferences and or the majority of a local membership of the Central Jurisdiction have been transferred into or otherwise merged with another Jurisdiction, the bishop of the area shall be transferred into the Jurisdiction which received the majority of the Annual Conferences or local church membership, and assigned to an episcopal residence in that jurisdiction.

"Whenever a Bishop is transferred from the Central Jurisdiction

to another in this manner, the Jurisdiction to which the transfer has been made will thereafter be entitled to one more bishop and the Central Jurisdiction to one less bishop."

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Martin: The motion is seconded.

Dr. Lowery: Mr. Chairman and members of the General Conference, I at first thought I would not make this, but after I found out that bishops could still be transferred while it is being considered under the present legislation, I believe the amendment should be made to our Constitution.

Under Amendment 9, which is the only provision we have now for the transfer of bishops from the Central Jurisdiction to the regional Jurisdictions, the legislation reads that whenever 25 percent of the membership of the Central Jurisdiction is transferred, then the bishop of the area from which the majority of the transfers came may be transferred to that Jurisdiction.

Under the present arrangement, for example, if the Delaware and Washington Conferences are realigned and transferred, under the proposed realignment, we would still under this legislation only be entitled to the transfer of one bishop. In other words, we would be entirely dependent upon the invitation of Colleges of Bishops, and the approval of the Council of Bishops for the assignment of the episcopal residence of the bishop of the Central Jurisdiction.

While that may be done in some Jurisdictions, and not in others, I believe that The Methodist Church owes to its entire general superintendency, a legislative and disciplinary provision for assignment, and they should not have to depend on the invitation which may or may not come from the college to be assigned to an episcopal residence in a geographical jurisdiction.

It seems to me that we owe this to the general superintendents of The Methodist Church, the entire general superintendency.

Statement Favoring Amendment—L. Scott Allen

L. Scott Allen (Georgia—C): Mr. Chairman, I rise to support this constitutional amendment as proposed by Dr. Lowery. I think that it is clear that there are certain things involved in the legislation as contained in Amendment IX. It is ambiguous at the point of providing only for the transfer of the first 25 percent of the church membership of the Central Jurisdiction with which we would be entitled to transfer one bishop. The amendment does not state clearly what is to happen after the first 25 percent has been transferred.

There is a question as to whether or not the 75 percent remaining would be considered as a 100 percent in the Central Jurisdiction.

I also want to support the view that we would not have proper legal framework with which to transfer a bishop to another jurisdiction if the area from which he was transferred—which he had previously served, rather, does not constitute 25 percent of the church membership of the Central Jurisdiction. I hope you will support this constitutional amendment.

Inquiry—George H. Atkinson

George H. Atkinson (California-Nevada—W) asked a question about the Lowery amendment. The Chair stated that it would have to be dealt with as a constitutional amendment.

Motion to Refer—George H. Atkinson

George H. Atkinson (California-Nevada—W) moved to refer the proposal to the Legislative Committee on Conferences.

Inquiry—Major J. Jones

Major J. Jones (East Tennessee—C) inquired whether the reference to the Committee on Legislation would be with instructions to bring it back.

The Chair stated that ordinarily reference goes without prejudice.

Inquiry—Robert H. Courtney

Robert H. Courtney (North-East Ohio—NC) inquired if the Legislative Committee is required to report on all matters, either concurrent or non-concurrent.

The Chair replied, "Yes."

Inquiry—Ted Hightower

Ted Hightower (Louisville—SE) inquired of the Chair if the Legislative Committee must report back. The Chair answered in the affirmative.

Request for Clarification—Robert H. Courtney

Robert H. Courtney (North-East Ohio—NC) requested clarification on the relation of the referral to the Report.

The Chair responded that the proposed Constitutional Amendment was separate and independent from the Report.

Statement Opposing Referral—Woodie D. Lester

Woodie D. Lester (Central West—C): Mr. Chairman, I understood, if I am correct, that Mr. Parlin was saying in reply to Dr. Lowery's question that if this amendment was adopted it would not delay the plan of the Commission whatsoever of going on.

Now, since it would not delay anything, it certainly would help us as we try to implement the work as we go on. This amendment is absolutely, positively necessary for our work as we move forward in the years to come. We are not trying to put up anything as a barrier or delayed method. We can still move on to the places where we are ready to go, and then this can go down for ratification by the Annual Conference, and then it will strengthen us as we move on; otherwise we will find ourselves at sea in a boat without a paddle and nowhere to go.

Point of Order—Ted Hightower

Ted Hightower (Louisville—SE): If you rule, Mr. Chairman, that this is a parallel matter, I would raise the point that it is totally out of order. I find myself in harmony with it and desire to see it

referred, but we are under consideration of another report, and how we get to consider a separate matter and refer this to a committee, I don't understand. I would like to raise the point that it is out of order.

Bishop Martin stated that the Motion to refer is in order.

Previous Question Moved—R. Lawrence Dill, Jr.

R. Lawrence Dill, Jr. (North Alabama—SE) moved the previous question. The call for the previous question was voted. (DCA, page 222.)

Summary Statement—Charles C. Parlin

Charles C. Parlin (Newark—NE): Brother Allen is absolutely correct, that the Amendment IX as it stands is not efficient and not workable, you cannot transfer a bishop under Amendment IX. However, if you turn to your report, on page 28, under Council of Bishops, recommendations, you will see the quotation from the Constitution under the reasons. "Constitution, Division 3, Article 5, Paragraph 38, provides: Bishops shall be residential and preferential supervisors in the Jurisdictional Conferences to which they are elected. The bishop may be transferred from one Jurisdiction to another Jurisdiction for presidential and residential supervision by the Council of Bishops when such transfer is requested by the Jurisdictional Conference to which such proposed transfer is to be made."

Our recommendation, you see, just above that is, the Council of Bishops declares its willingness to transfer a bishop from the Central Jurisdiction to a regional Jurisdiction when such transfer is requested by a Jurisdictional Conference, and if this recommendation is adopted, we shall request the Council of Bishops to make this declaration before they leave.

There seems to be no prospect of a transfer of a bishop into a Jurisdiction unless that Jurisdiction wants him, because the Jurisdiction, remember, has to vote by a two-thirds vote to take the Annual Conferences. If they take the Annual Conferences, they are going to take the bishop with them. We think that the Constitution and the *Discipline* is entirely adequate. We can operate under this immediately. In fact, in the North Central, they are set up to operate in the month of July of this year, and we just didn't think there was any good purpose served in a constitutional amendment, and it would just confuse and would possibly delay things. Hence, I would recommend that this be referred, to be studied more carefully than this body has a chance to do tonight.

Motion to Refer Adopted

The Conference Adopted the Motion to Refer. (DCA, page 222.)

Amendment Proposed—William E. Trice

William E. Trice (Louisiana—SC): Mr. Chairman, I would like to amend the recommendation as indicated on the first page of the amendment where it reads, "shall there only temporarily be entitled to one more bishop and the Central Jurisdiction shall be entitled to one less bishop," and strike out the remainder of that paragraph and

add, instead, "there shall be no further increase in the number of bishops allocated to the Jurisdiction unless regular application of the normal quota so entitled to the Jurisdiction."

If I receive a second, I would like to speak to it.

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Martin: It is seconded.

Dr. Trice: Mr. Chairman, this would get us out of the situation where we would have to cut back a bishop at a certain period, going through all the necessary action which that would involve. It would not mean we would get an extra bishop except for the temporary time that we were allowed one, anyway, by our quota. I believe that it offers us a good way of solving this particular problem.

Statement Opposing Amendment—Raoul C. Calkins

Raoul C. Calkins (Ohio—NC) spoke in opposition to the amendment.

Question Called for—

The question was called for and the vote was taken.

Amendment Defeated—

The amendment did not prevail (DCA, page 223)

Recommendation No. 3 Delayed—

Point of Order—Dennis R. Fletcher

Dennis R. Fletcher (Delaware—C) raised a Point of Order regarding the consideration of 2A before passing on Recommendation No. 3.

Recommendation 2A Presented—Charles C. Parlin

Charles C. Parlin (Newark—NE), upon request of the Chair, read and explained the 2A amendment: All right. Recommendation 2-A appears on the Amendment Pamphlets, page 1, and is to be inserted at the bottom of page 24 of the Main Report, and the amendment reads —the recommendation reads:

A new Paragraph 639 be added to the *Discipline* and present Paragraphs 639 to 649 be renumbered. The third paragraph, whenever a ministerial member of the conference of the Central Jurisdiction, whether on trial or full connection, is transferred to an Annual Conference of another Jurisdiction, either in connection with the transfer under Amendment IX of the church to which he is appointed, or by reason of the dissolution or merger of his Annual Conference, he shall have the same rights and obligations as other members of the conference to which he transfers.

This represents an acceptance of Proposal No. 5 of the Committee headed by Bishop Golden, rewritten with an agreement that their Proposal No. 5 really didn't go as far as it should, and usually we redrew it to make sure that any ambiguity about the status of the

Central Jurisdiction ministerial transferring would be absolutely secure, and that he would not suffer a loss of status because of lack of educational requirement of the annual conference into which he would transfer.

Motion to Amend—Dennis R. Fletcher

Dennis R. Fletcher (Delaware—C): This portion which Mr. Parlin just read, if I understand it correctly, provided for a minister who has been appointed to a church. There would be other ministers that would be involved who have no appointment. There would be district superintendents, there would be executive secretaries and other persons who would be involved.

I should like to amend by beginning with the word "conference" in the next to the last line of the paragraph and add, "or a minister in nonpastoral appointment and retired ministers in case the merger of the Annual Conference be members of Annual Conference in which he holds his quarterly conference membership, or in the conference of the territory in which he resides," and then conclude, "And he shall have the same rights and obligations as other members of the conference to which he is transferred."

If I may have a second, I will speak to it.

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Martin: There is a second.

Dr. Fletcher: As I said in my remarks, this provides for the men who are not in pastoral appointments and these men need to be taken care of and provided for. I think this is the intent of the original amendment prepared by Mr. Parlin, but they are not specified in the amendment, and, therefore, I offer this amendment to cover this situation.

Inquiry—D. Trigg James, Sr.

D. Trigg James, Sr. (Holston—SE) spoke in clarification of 2A.

Statement—Clarence L. Fossett

Clarence L. Fossett (Baltimore—NE) stated that the amendment is not necessary.

Amendment Withdrawn—Dennis R. Fletcher

Dennis R. Fletcher (Delaware—C) withdrew his amendment.

Recommendation No. 3 Presented—Charles C. Parlin

Charles C. Parlin (Newark—NE): Top of page 25, Recommendation 3. "Resolved that the *Discipline* be amended by adding to Part 4, Conferences, Chapter 8, Annual Conference, a new paragraph to read as follows: "6-A. To clarify procedures under the constitutional provisions of Division 2, Article 5, Section 7, the transfer of a local church from one Annual Conference to another, and for the transfer of an Annual Conference from one Jurisdiction to another, the following rules are adopted:

One. The votes required for transfer of a local church may originate in the local church or either of the Annual Conferences involved, and the vote shall be effective regardless of the order in which taken.

The votes required for transfer of an Annual Conference may originate in Annual Conference, or among the Annual Conferences of either Jurisdiction involved, and shall be effective regardless of the order in which taken.

Two. In each case, a vote of two-thirds majority of those present and voting shall remain effective unless and until rescinded prior to the completion of the transfer by a vote of the majority of those present and voting.

This is in direct conflict with the Golden, et al, proposal No. 2, which you will find.

Their proposal for a new paragraph 6-A provides that in every case the first vote must be from the transferring unit. You will see their Rule 1. Whenever a local church votes to transfer from an Annual Conference to the other, the votes required by the two Annual Conferences can be in either order.

Two. Whenever an Annual Conference votes to transfer, the votes by the Jurisdiction shall be in either order.

The fact is, Amendment 9 provides for the three votes, the unit which is transferring, the unit which is receiving, and the unit from which the transfer is being made. It does not specify the order.

Our Commission feels that this should give the maximum latitude and that the votes could be effective regardless of the order of those three votes.

We particularly rejected the proposal of the Golden committee because it would throw doubt upon the transfers which have already been made. I think there are some 33 transfers referred to in our plan and in every case except one, the first vote was by the receiving unit, and if this rule is laid down as the law of the church, it might invalidate all the transfers that have been made during this quadrennium.

We, therefore, stuck to our guns that it should be in any order.

A brief has been written by somebody to the effect that the history and debate on Amendment IX indicates that there is only one valid system of voting and that is that the first vote must be by the transferring—the unit which is transferring. We would—we do not think this is right, and therefore our program is that if our recommendation for a clarifying legislation, such as we have in Recommendation 3, if this is adopted, we will immediately ask the Judicial Council to pass on its validity. If they say it is invalid, we may wish to bring a constitutional amendment to make it possible to have the three votes in any order.

Clarification—Harold S. Swales

Harold S. Swales (Central New York—NE) pointed out a seeming inconsistency in the wording of the recommendation. Mr. Parlin replied: I don't think it is inconsistent, but it is—well, I hold no particular brief for it. We have only three cases where a unit has voted on this matter and then rescinded its vote, all three Annual Conferences of the Central Jurisdiction.

What this means is that the basis of this, if and when a transfer is made, there should certainly be a certain amount of unanimity of thought that this is what you want to do, and if at the time the transfer is actually made, it was the feeling of at least a majority of the Annual Conferences should want that transfer to be made. If less than the majority wants that transfer to be made at a particular time, even though it is on the books, it seems reasonable to us to

let the 51 percent of the Annual Conference hold up the transfer and take another look at it.

I don't want to argue the point. I don't think it is too important. This was the theory.

Inquiry—W. Davis Cotton

W. Davis Cotton (Louisiana—SC) directed a question to Mr. Parlin about changes in the law. Mr. Parlin replied:

You are correct. Really, let me back up a minute.

This is often done in legislative circles. Conferences often pass laws declaratory of what they think the Constitution provides and then those are tested to see whether they are or not.

Generally speaking, a legislative action preparatory or declaratory of what the law is is not definitive. The Judicial Council may say this is wrong, and under Amendment IX, it is absolutely essential that the unit transferring make the first vote. I don't believe so. We came to the opposite conclusion and I hope the Judicial Council will come to that conclusion because I certainly don't want to see all the 33 transfers declared invalid.

The second is simply a procedural thing to straighten out a question which has arisen.

What happens when an Annual Conference or a local church votes to transfer and wants to change its mind? I say, it has happened so far three times, three Annual Conferences. It is our feeling that if at any time 51 percent of the Annual Conference, or 51 percent of the church does not want a transfer, that they ought to be allowed to rescind their earlier action.

Inquiry—Ezekias G. Gacutan

Ezekias G. Gacutan (Northwest Philippines—OS) inquired if the recommendations in No. 3 affect the Annual Conferences in the Central Conferences. Mr. Parlin replied that only Conferences in the Central Jurisdiction would be affected.

Inquiry—John H. Rixse, Jr.

John H. Rixse, Jr. (Virginia—SE): I would like to ask a question for clarification.

Mr. Parlin was speaking and referred to amendment No. 9. Two things hit me. One, there is no provision whatsoever in Amendment IX for any group to rescind its vote, presumably other than by a two-thirds vote.

Second, there is a specific provision that as soon as the two colleges of bishops announce that the required majority, now two thirds are made, it is effective.

Is it not possible that this item 2, Paragraph 2, of Recommendation 3, is in essence writing something additional in?

Reply—Charles C. Parlin

Charles C. Parlin (Newark—NE): No, this is intended to be merely an attempt by the General Conference to declare what they think the meaning and the purport of Amendment IX is.

Remember that a transfer is not effective until the votes are re-

ported by the College of Bishops, and going back to the example I used, the three Annual Conferences in the Baltimore Area, following a vote, voted to transfer to the Northeastern Jurisdiction. The Annual Conferences of the Northeastern Jurisdiction voted to receive them, but the transfers never became effective because the other Annual Conferences of the Central Jurisdiction failed to take the necessary vote to release them.

Then the three Annual Conferences which had voted simply reversed their votes. So that it is always possible for a unit to reverse its vote before the votes have been completed and recorded with the College of Bishops.

Rules of Order—John C. Satterfield, Sr.

John C. Satterfield, Sr. (Mississippi—SE) made a statement concerning Rule 41 in Roberts Rules of Order.

Harold S. Swales (Central New York—NE) dissented from Mr. Satterfield's opinion.

Recommendation No. 4 Presented—Charles C. Parlin

Charles C. Parlin (Newark—NE): Recommendation No. 4.

Resolved that the *Discipline* be amended as follows: When a member of the agency is elected a member of the Central Jurisdiction, his term of membership shall not be affected by the subsequent transfer of his local church or the merging of an Annual Conference with another Jurisdiction under Amendment IX, unless thereby the Central Jurisdiction thereof would have no representative on such agency, in which case his membership shall cease upon such transfer.

There is no counterpart of this in his proposed Committee of Five.

Motion to Amend—Lee C. Moorehead

Lee C. Moorehead (Ohio—NC): I would like to move an amendment to this Recommendation No. 4 to this effect: That a period be placed in the fourth line at the end of the term, "Amendment IX," and that a new sentence begin, striking out the rest of that sentence, and the new part would be this: If the Central Jurisdiction would thereof have no representation upon such an agency or board, that that Jurisdiction shall be entitled to nominate another person for the period of time which remains in that quadrennium.

If I may have a second, I would speak just a moment to that.

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Martin: It is seconded.

Dr. Moorehead: It seems to me it would be very possible that a board or agency might be deprived of a valuable member needlessly, and that the Central Jurisdiction could thereby be provided with adequate representation for the remainder of that particular quadrennium, but it would seem to me that this is a loss, probably, to the board of agency which is not necessary.

Statement—D. Trigg James, Sr.

D. Trigg James, Sr. (Holston—SE) spoke to the Moorehead amendment, giving explanation for Recommendation No. 4.

Amendment Defeated

The question was called on the Moorehead amendment. The vote was taken and it was not adopted. (DCA, page 225.)

Amendment Proposed—John D. Herr

John D. Herr (Philadelphia—NE): Mr. Chairman, at the time this was disposed of, I believe that Dr. Parlin expected that that part would be referred to the Pension Committee. The Pension Committee has had no opportunity to meet, and I would like to propose a new amendment immediately following No. 1.

This would be No. 2.

Direct the establishment of a grant-in-aid to be administered by the General Board of Pensions to give some measure of financial assistance to conferences of the Central and the regional Jurisdictions that are merging and that have major differences of annuity rates in their pensions.

Mr. Chairman, if I could have a second to that, I would like to say a few brief words about it.

Bishop Martin: It is seconded.

Dr. Herr: Mr. Chairman, those of us who favor this grant-in-aid of temporary aid funds, that would distinguish it.

We are not making a request for a specific amount of money. I believe you can be confident that the Council of World Service would give some assistance, and the thing we are seeking to do is contained in those words, "to give some measure of financial assistance to those conferences." This would establish the principle.

Clarification of Amendment—Charles C. Parlin

Charles C. Parlin (Newark—NE) spoke in regard to a new paragraph, No. 2, that was inserted in the Report and suggested a different numbering in the Herr Amendment.

Inquiry—Lewis H. Beckford

Lewis H. Beckford (Maine—NE) directed a question to Charles C. Parlin about Recommendation No. 2A with reference to grants-in-aid in connection with minimum salary, or of pensions.

Charles C. Parlin (Newark—NE) replied that Recommendation No. 2A was not designed to give a Minister any particular status as to salary or pensions. That would be the action of the Annual Conferences and their own definitions. Mr. Parlin called on Judge Coffman to speak to the matter.

Statement—Floyd H. Coffman

Floyd H. Coffman (Kansas—SC): I am looking for clarification on this. It is my understanding that you will have only one pension rate for any member of the Annual Conference, but I am not sure.

I think this is a matter of confusion. I heard some conversation after we left here that we were proposing that the Central Jurisdiction ministers who might transfer would stop at $35 pension rate. That was not the intention of the Committee.

Statement—John D. Herr

John D. Herr (Philadelphia—NE) suggested that this matter of transfer and pension rate could be left safely with the General Board of Pensions.

Statement—William F. Dunkle, Jr.

William F. Dunkle, Jr. (Peninsula—NE): This proposal represents the thinking going on today in a lot of conferences in the Central Jurisdiction and the Northeastern Jurisdiction, which are right on the threshold of trying to deal with this. It so happens that a very large number, perhaps the largest number of Negro churches and ministers, will soon be coming into the Northeastern Jurisdiction and, we hope, rather speedily, so we have this problem particularly upon us, and it so happens that the receiving conferences are among the conferences with the highest pension rates in the church, and you all surely can see we are therefore faced with a very serious problem. I hope you will take our assurance that our conferences are quite determined to work at this matter themselves. I think I would speak for myself, and I know for many of my friends, in saying that we would quite willingly adjust our own pension rates if that were the necessity. We are reluctant, however, to make those brethren who have already retired, take a reduction because of this and so we are in a real serious problem, and all we are asking is that this matter be referred to the Pension Board and that they give what assistance in their securement they can, and we certainly will try to do our best, even if they can't give us any.

Statement—John B. Jones

John B. Jones (Baltimore—NE) stated that the Baltimore Conference and the Peninsula Conference were already perfecting their plans on this matter.

Statement—Hugh S. Townley

Hugh S. Townley (Detroit—NC): It seems to me that there has been confusion all along, and I am not offering any motion, but I simply am stating something to clear it up. There is a big difference between an entire conference being transferred—of the Central Jurisdiction—into one of our regular Jurisdictions, say in the Southeast or the South Central.

When this is done, this pension rate of $35 which is supposed to be helped by the Aid Fund, this is a minimum for that conference.

Take my own conference, for instance. If we should take into our Conference the Negro churches of the Central Jurisdiction, we would be under obligation to lift them to our pension level, and this would probably be partly aided by the Aid Fund, but a lot of it would have to be carried by our own Annual Conference.

It seems to me that there are these two distinctions that we have to face.

These two conferences, the North Central and the other conferences

that the Jurisdiction mentioned, these conferences will, of course, be under obligation to adjust their pension because you could not have two pension rates where churches were in the same Jurisdiction or in the same Annual Conference, I meant to say, but in this other instance of where churches would be merged into existing Annual Conferences, out of the Central Jurisdiction, then it would be partly another story. We would have to provide, I think, the same thing in regard to minimum salaries.

The minimum salaries where a conference transferred to another Jurisdiction, the question of minimum salary would be the difference —would be different from that where churches are merged.

Inquiry—Harold S. Swales

Harold S. Swales (Central New York—NE) raised a question of information on procedure. The Chair responded. John D. Herr concurred in the statement of the Chair.

Inquiry—Ernest T. Dixon

Ernest T. Dixon (West Texas—C) requested the reading of the complete text of Recommendation No. 5 as agreed upon this afternoon.

Reply—Charles C. Parlin

Charles C. Parlin (Newark—NE) expressed his opinion that the whole matter was referred to the Legislative Committee on Pensions.

Further Inquiry—Ernest T. Dixon

Ernest T. Dixon (West Texas—C) asked for clarification.

The Chair called upon John D. Herr to explain what was done in the afternoon session and how it related to this motion now pending.

Reply to Inquiry—John D. Herr

John D. Herr (Philadelphia—NE) stated that the amendment passed during the afternoon session had to do with an entirely different matter, namely, minimum salary.

The Chair called for the reading of the Edwin Reeves amendment by the Secretary of the Conference.

Secretary Reads Reeves Amendment

Leon T. Moore (Philadelphia—NE): This is the amendment, Mr. Chairman, and members of the Conference, made by Edwin E. Reeves of Southern California-Arizona Conference.

I add a new paragraph which will be numbered 2. Change the number of the present paragraph 2 to 3. It was added at that point, "delete the present paragraph 3."

A later amendment by Walter Hazzard made it read, "direct es-

tablishment of a temporary general aid fund to be used where necessary and requested to supplement minimum salaries at Annual Conferences of the Central Jurisdiction as outlined in the appendix of this report found on page 34."

Walter Hazzard of the Delaware Conference offered this: I want to amend the motion by retaining subnumber 3 under Recommendation No. 5, on page 26 of the report.

The same shall become subnumber 4. In addition to whatever funds the General Conference may provide to supplement minimum salaries, each conference of the Central Jurisdiction and other conferences involved should be everything involved to raise minimum salaries.

As I understand it, Dr. Reeves accepted the recommended change that was made by Dr. Hazzard.

Point of Information—Leonard Slutz

Leonard Slutz (Ohio—NC) raised a point of information. He stated that Dr. Reeves also accepted the deletion of the words "Central Jurisdiction" and the Secretary confirmed the deletion.

Reading of Herr Amendment Called for

The Chair called for a reading of the motion of John D. Herr (Recommendation 5.2) and the Secretary read the motion:

Recommendation 5.2. Direct the establishment of a grant in aid to be administered by the General Board of Pensions to give some measure of financial assistance to conferences of the Central Jurisdiction and the regional Jurisdictions that are merging and that have major differences of annuities and the rates in their pensions.

Vote Taken

The vote was taken on the Herr amendment. Being in doubt, the vote was retaken. The Chair ruled that the Herr motion was lost, and then expressed doubt that he had declared the vote correctly and stated that the situation must be clarified.

Point of Order—Delegate

An unidentified delegate called attention of the Chair that Mr. Parlin was not permitted to speak before the vote was taken. The Chair stated that the vote was recalled.

Summary Statement—Charles C. Parlin

Charles C. Parlin (Newark—NE): I am sorry this was picked up because I was hoping to duck this one. I don't know what the motion of my friend Dr. Herr means.

This says the grant in aid will be administered by the Board of Pensions to give some measure of financial assistance to the conferences, and so forth, then.

I have no idea what "some measure of assistance" would mean in terms of dollars, and therefore, I think this—I don't know. I think this needs some very careful study, and some very careful drafting.

After the session, Don Cooke came up to me and said, "What do I do next?"

I said, "I think you will have to wait until the Pension Committee gets to work on it and figures it out and get some legislation drafted for your guidance."

It seems to me clearly that matter must go back to the Legislative Committee for some very careful study and drafting.

The Chair stated that the action of the afternoon session relative to Recommendation No. 4, Pensions and minimum salary, referred all these matters to proper legislative committees.

Inquiry—Gregorio R. Bailen

Gregorio R. Bailen (Northwest Philippines—OS) inquired if the provisions of the recommendation were limited to the conferences in the Central Jurisdiction or included the Central Conferences. The Chair stated that the Central Conferences were not included.

Statement—William F. Dunkle, Jr.

William F. Dunkle, Jr. (Peninsula—NE): In reply to Mr. Parlin's comment, I think the Conference should realize that by the terms of Dr. Herr's amendment, referring the actual determination of an amount to the Board of Pensions and administration thereof, it will receive all the technical knowledge that Board has.

I hope the conference realizes that this matter is directly related to the three larger conferences of the Northeastern Jurisdiction, and the oldest conference of the Central Jurisdiction, and that this is a matter that is vital, urgent, immediate, for the ongoing of this whole merger process right now in front of us. (Applause.)

Point of Order—Charles A. Tyler

Charles A. Tyler (Indiana—NC) raised the question of reference to the proper legislative committee. The Chair responded.

Request—John D. Herr

John D. Herr (Philadelphia—NE) requested that his amendment be referred to the proper committee and the Chair responded that it would be.

Inquiry—Edwin L. Jones, Sr.

Edwin L. Jones, Sr. (Western North Carolina—SE) asked a question regarding procedure and stated that any proposal submitted to the General Conference involving expenditure of funds should be referred to the Council on World Service and Finance before final action is taken by the Conference.

Answer to Inquiry—John D. Herr

John D. Herr (Philadelphia—NE) stated that referral of his amendment to the Council on World Service and Finance should take place.

Previous Question Moved—J. Henry Ernst

J. Henry Ernst (Pacific Northwest—W) moved the previous question and the motion was seconded.

Summary Statement—Charles C. Parlin

Charles C. Parlin (Newark—NE): I am delighted that this will be referred to the proper committees, and if the rules require that it be channeled through the World Service and Finance commission, obviously it would be channeled there, too. If that is the rule, that is clear to me.

Vote on Herr Amendment

A vote by show of hands was taken on the Herr Amendment to the Report. The result was not decisive and a count vote was ordered.

Inquiry—Richard H. Gantz

Richard H. Gantz (Central Illinois—NC) requested information about the question being voted upon. The Chair requested the Secretary of the Conference to read the Herr amendment to the Report.

Herr Amendment Read

The Secretary read the Herr amendment as follows: "Direct the establishment of a grant-in-aid to be administered by the General Board of Pensions to give some measure of financial assistance to Conferences of the Central and the Regional Jurisdictions merging and that have a major difference of annuity rates in their pensions."

Count Vote Taken

The Chair ordered a count vote.

The Secretary, *Leon T. Moore,* named the Tellers to receive the count and outlined the procedure.

Extension of Time Moved—Paul Wiant

Paul Wiant (Ohio—NC) moved to extend the time in order to receive the vote.

The time was extended.

Motion for Recess—Robert M. Thorpe—Defeated

Robert M. Thorpe (Pacific Northwest—W) moved a 15 minute recess. The motion did not carry. (DCA, page 229.)

Motion to Amend—Edwin L. Jones, Sr.

Edwin L. Jones, Sr. (Western North Carolina—SE): I believe we have a chairman who will accept this amendment without debate.

On page 26, Recommendation No. 5, Subsection 1, it provides for a temporary general aid fund for pensions. We voted this afternoon for a general fund aid for salaries, but no provision was made for them. For that reason, I suggest that Subsection 1, that these words be added, dealing with the General Aid for Pensions, add these words: And for salary equalization.

Amendment Accepted—Charles C. Parlin

Charles C. Parlin (Newark—NE) stated the Committee's willingness to accept the amendment.

Inquiry—Vincent M. Mouser

Vincent M. Mouser (Louisiana—SC): It relates to the action which we took this afternoon in establishing this aid fund to increase minimum salaries.

It first was set up to apply to the Central Jurisdiction, and then by an amendment, the Central Jurisdiction feature was stricken out which I understood included the Rio Grande Conference. Am I correct —and I address this to the Chair, I think the Chair could answer— am I correct in my understanding that inasmuch as the Indian Mission is not a conference that this provision for a minimum salary, if adopted, would not apply to the Indian Mission?

It is my understanding that it would not and I ask the question if I am correct.

We propose minimum aid for Central Jurisdiction, fine Spanish-American Methodists, and I want to be sure we are not also establishing some aid for the Indian Mission.

Vote on the Herr Amendment: 374 in favor—352 against.

The Chair declared the amendment adopted. (DCA, page 230.)

Motion to Amend—Raymond Valenzuela

Raymond Valenzuela (Chile—OS) moved to insert the words, "United States of America" in the Amendment relative to the proposed General Aid Fund. The motion was seconded.

Point of Order—R. Lawrence Dill, Jr.

R. Lawrence Dill, Jr. (North Alabama—SE) raised a Point of Order, asking whether a motion of this type is not one which requires first a motion to reconsider. The Chair responded that the Amendment was in order. He requested an exact wording of the Valenzuela motion to amend.

Statement—Charles C. Parlin

Charles C. Parlin (Newark—NE): It is perfectly clear that the proposal before us today deals only with continental United States,

and if this is clarifying, all Central Conferences outside the United States are not affected by this legislation in any way.

Amendment Adopted

A vote was taken on the motion of Raymond Valenzuela (Chile—OS) and it was adopted. (DCA, page 230.)

Recommendations No. 6, 7, 8, 9—Presented—Charles C. Parlin

Charles C. Parlin (Newark—NE): May I take the unusual privilege of presenting to you, as Chairman, of a dilemma in which I find myself.

I am being pressured by the chairman of our Agenda Committee and other committees to bring this thing to a termination. The last thing I want to do is rush this important matter, but we are getting ourselves in a very bad jam.

If I could skim through and show what is left here, as far as I could see, take Recommendation 6, there is an amendment which constitutes an acceptance of the Golden Committee proposal No. 3.

There is Recommendation No. 7. It represents acceptance of the Golden Committee proposal No. 7. There is only one minor change of text which was gladly accepted.

Recommendation 8 has no counterpart in the Golden Report.

Recommendation No. 9 has no counterpart in the Golden Report. Therefore, unless it is necessary to argue these recommendations Numbered 6, 7, 8 and 9, we would be in position to close up the work on the report and come to the amendment proposed by Chester Smith on the proposed constitutional amendment.

Previous Question Moved—John R. Van Sickle

John R. Van Sickle (Rock River—NC) moved the previous question on all that was before the Conference. The motion was seconded.

Previous Question Voted

The vote was taken on the previous question. It was called for. (DCA, page 231.)

Summary Statement—Charles C. Parlin

Charles C. Parlin (Newark—NE): I am a little confused as to what the previous question was. I would hope that it would mean further consideration of the report of the Commission of Thirty-Six, but that it would allow a discussion and debate as to the form of the constitutional amendment before it is put to a test.

Point of Order—John H. Rixse, Jr.

John H. Rixse, Jr. (Virginia—SE) raised a Point of Order as to the procedure "we are now under."

The Chair clarified the matter.

Secretary Reads Proposed Constitutional Amendment

The Chair called upon the Secretary, Leon T. Moore, to read the content of the constitutional amendment. It was read as follows:

"Section 1. All Jurisdictional Conferences of The Methodist Church are hereby abolished and all Conferences and organizations amenable to said Jurisdictional Conferences shall hereafter be subject to only the General Conference of The Methodist Church.

"Section 2. Provisions of the Methodist *Discipline of The Methodist Church* and other rules and regulations governing the Church are hereby amended so as not to be inconsistent with the provisions of this article."

The chair stated this was the substitute offered for the original Report, and further stated that the Rules require complete discussion of the substitute and whether or not there are proposed amendments to the substitute motion.

Motion to Delete—Dean E. Richardson

Dean E. Richardson (Genesee—NE) moved to delete from the *Discipline,* on page 18 and 19, the following: "the Negro Annual Conferences, the Negro Missions Conferences, and missions in the United States of America." The motion to amend was seconded. In support of his motion he said:

I would like to cite the authorization of this under Section, Amendment 10, namely that amendments to the Constitution may originate at the General Conference or Annual Conferences.

Secondly, any amendment may be made on two-thirds of the General Conference present and voting and two-thirds majority of the several Annual Conferences present and voting.

The intent of this amendment would be to remove from the constitutional structure of The Methodist Church a racially constituted Jurisdiction. I think any fair historian of our time will point out as they study this General Conference and after we have spent hours in spinning out legal possibilities and impossibilities and financial probabilities and improbabilities, that we have found ourselves much in the position that a kitten might be in a skein of yarn. The intent of this motion is to be clear-cut, definite, and irrevocable.

I regard the Central Jurisdiction of The Methodist Church as the ecclesiastical counterpart of the doctrine of separate but equal, which has been repudiated by the Supreme Court of the United States, and is the basis on which we are proceeding in other phases of our political, social, educational life toward a desegregated society and I think The Methodist Church must face this issue clearly, unrevokably, and the world shall be looking at our decision. This is our moment of truth, and we must live with it, not only this night, but for many years to come.

Motion to Adjourn—John B. Warman

John B. Warman (Western Pennsylvania—NE) moved to adjourn and the motion was seconded.

Point of Order—Raymond E. Balcomb

Raymond E. Balcomb (Oregon—W) on a Point of Order stated that under Rule 29 adjournment was not in order when the previous question had been voted. The Point of Order was sustained.

Motion to Amend—Lester L. Moore

Lester L. Moore (South Iowa—NC): Mr. Chairman, I move to amend the substitute by the deletion of the last paragraph which says the same number of Negro bishops as we now have will continue to be elected by the General Conference, and that Negro preachers and laymen will continue to have the same ratio of representation on the boards that they now have. If I may have a second I would like to speak to it.

The motion was seconded.

Mr. Moore: It seems to me that this is segregation in reverse. I think if we are going to do away with segregation in The Methodist Church, let's do away with it, let's not have it under the guise that we are trying to perpetuate fairness. We know it may be a bit unfair for some of those who are part of the Central Jurisdiction now, but if we are going to make this matter a matter of total desegregation, let's make it total and let every member stand on his own merits.

Point of Order—Robert B. Pease

Robert B. Pease (Western Pennsylvania—NE) made an appeal to suspend the Rules and Adjourn the Conference to make possible the necessary rehearsal for the Saturday evening program. He then moved to suspend the Rules. The Rules were suspended and the motion to adjourn was adopted. (DCA, page 232.)

Announcements

Announcements were made by Secretaries and Chairmen of Committees.

Benediction

Bishop Raymond J. Wade (retired) pronounced the benediction.

SIXTH DAY, FRIDAY, MAY 1, 1964
MORNING SESSION

Opening—Bishop F. Gerald Ensley

Pursuant to adjournment, the 1964 session of the General Conference of The Methodist Church convened in the morning session of the sixth day, Friday, May 1, 1964, at 8:30 o'clock, in the Civic Arena, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, with Bishop F. Gerald Ensley of the Iowa Area—NC, presiding.

Devotions—Bishop Hobart B. Amstutz

The Chair announced that Bishop Hobart M. Amstutz of the Singapore Area, Southeastern Asia Central Conference, would lead the devotions.

Bishop Amstutz announced Hymn No. 90, "Praise to the Lord Almighty," and the Conference stood to sing. The Responsive Reading was taken from the hymnal, page 580. Bishop Amstutz led the Conference in prayer, closing with The Lord's Prayer. Following the Bishop's message (see Appendix, page 2212) Hymn No. 454, "The Voice of God Is Calling," was sung and Bishop Amstutz pronounced the benediction.

Committee on Journal—William M. James

William M. James (New York—NE), Chairman of the Committee on Journal: Mr. Chairman, the Committee on Journal examined the records of the Morning Session for Thursday, April 30, and found them correct except for minor editorial changes. Corrections are being made by the *Journal* secretary.

I move the adoption.

It was approved. (DCA, page 233; see Appendix, page 1051.)

Committee on Credentials—Paul M. Hann

Paul M. Hann (South Iowa—NC): Bishop Ensley, members of the Conference, the Committee on Credentials has met and approved the changes made in the seating of the delegates reported by the chairman of the Annual Conferences for April 29, 30, and May 1 as far as they have been presented to us. The delegates' names will appear in proper form in the Journal.

I move the adoption of the report.

His report was adopted. (DCA, page 233; See Appendix, page 1015.)

Committee on Agenda—Frank S. Williams

Frank S. Williams (Southern California-Arizona—W)

moved that the Agenda as printed in the DCA for Friday, May 1, be accepted with two changes regarding time. Dr. Williams also moved that the afternoon would be used for Legislative Committee work and that the evening session should be adjourned at 9:30 in order for rehearsal for the Saturday evening performance to begin, and that the Order of the Day for Saturday, May 2, at 10:30 A.M., be the Report of the Commission on Church Union, including the Evangelical United Brethren proposal. The recommendations were adopted. (DCA, page 233.)

Committee on Courtesies and Privileges—John B. Jones

John B. Jones (Baltimore—NE), Chairman, presented Lester Welliver, President of the Judicial Council, who in turn presented Judge Marvin A. Childers of San Antonio, Texas, the only surviving member of the original Judicial Council. Judge Childers addressed the Conference briefly. (See Appendix, page 2177.)

Harold H. Hughes, Sr. (Virginia—NE) presented Melvin L. Steadman, Historiographer of the Historical Society of the Virginia Conference. Mr. Steadman exhibited a rare document entitled, "Minute Book of the First Conferences in America."

Communications—Leon T. Moore

Dr. Moore: Mr. Chairman and Members of the Conference. I have a telegram from the Estonian Methodist Church. It reads, "The Estonian Methodist Church is greeting its brothers who from the wide world at this responsible time are gathered in the General Conference. We are wishing you peace and God's blessing; we are praying for you to think of us in your prayers too."

I have a rather lengthy communication which is from the United Church of Christ in Japan and it reads this way:

"Dear Brothers in Christ:

"In the name of our one Lord and Savior Jesus Christ, greetings from the United Church of Christ in Japan to The Methodist Church in the United States of America on the occasion of your General Conference of 1964. We pray God's blessing and guidance upon all your deliberations and actions, that they may serve the advance of the gospel, the reconciliation of men, and the glory of God.

"We are grateful for the invitation, the thoughtful preparations, and the kind reception that have been extended to the United Church delegation.

"Please allow us, upon this occasion, to express to you our gratitude for your partnership in the Gospel. In this day and age, when every newspaper brings news of the strife that separates men from men, and of the suffering that befalls them, we are made freshly aware of the relevance and urgency of the gospel of reconciliation. In every land God confronts his church with such a situation, and with the challenge to make known, through word and deed, the love of Him who claims all men as His own.

"To this end, we in Japan and you in America are, by God's grace,

united as fellow workers in a common mission. That the world may know, and believe, that Jesus is the Christ, the Son of God."

I should like to take this opportunity to share with you a brief word concerning four programs now engaging the energies of the United Church of Christ in Japan. First, in order to reach out more effectively with the message of Christ to the people and the society of Japan, we began, in 1962, a Ten-Year Plan of Evangelism. Second, and in accordance with a renewed awareness that the primary place for Christian witness is the place where lay Christians live and work, we have just started in April, 1964, a monthly magazine called *Shinto no Tomo*, or *The Layman's Friend*. Third, due to lack of space in its present headquarters building, the United Church is preparing to build a new Christian Center that will provide eight floors of office space not for the United Church alone but for other Christian agencies as well. Finally, in order to finance these ventures, and even more important, in order to strengthen the sense of stewardship and the will to mission among its members, the United Church has embarked upon a three million dollar fund-raising campaign within Japan.

For a church as small as ours, these are ambitious programs. But in obedience to Christ and in response to the situation confronting our church in Japan today, we believe that God calls us to take these steps.

"With gratitude for your participation in the witness of the United Church of Christ in Japan, both through financial gifts and through the dedicated labors of missionary servants of Christ, we pray that God may continue to uphold and guide The Methodist Church in the years ahead, that He will continue to bless us with your partnership in the gospel, and that our 'joint action for mission' may stand before men as living testimony to the 'grace of the Lord Jesus Christ, and the love of God, and the fellowship of the Holy Spirit.'"

Mr. Chairman, I think there should be a motion that these communications be responded to by the Secretary.

It was moved and seconded.

Bishop Ensley: It has been moved and seconded that reciprocal greetings be sent to these bodies. As many as will send these greetings, lift the hands. Opposed? It is done.

Order of the Day

The Chairman stated the parliamentary situation which prevailed at the close of the previous session.

He stated that the body had three things to do: (1) act on the Smith Amendment; (2) act on the Richardson Amendment; and (3) vote on the adoption of the Report as a whole.

Smith Amendment Reread

The Smith Amendment was reread by the Secretary.

Inquiry—William C. Jason, Jr.

William C. Jason, Jr. (Delaware—C): My inquiry is this: Whether or not there is such an inconsistency between the constitutional amendment offered by Brother Smith and the recommendations of the Committee of Thirty-Six that this General Conference could not, if

it chose, support or adopt each as an interim program, the other as Methodism's immediate goal?

Bishop Ensley: I would have to say that Brother Smith's amendment would have to take the form of a constitutional amendment requiring a two-thirds vote of this body.

Dr. Jason: May I further ask, then, if that is passed, does that indicate that this Conference could not adopt the recommendations of the Committee of Thirty-Six?

Bishop Ensley: Yes, I would have to say so. It is offered, sir, as a substitute.

Dr. Jason: Mr. Chairman, then, would you entertain a motion that it is the sense of this Conference that each of these things could well be passed within the program of The Methodist Church? Is that out of order, sir?

Bishop Ensley: I believe, sir, that is out of order at the moment.

Dr. Jason: Mr. Chairman, may I ask finally, then, may I have a motion to suspend the rules, then, that I may state this as a general declaration of policy?

Bishop Ensley: Well, you are offering this—

Dr. Jason: I am asking to suspend the rules that this motion might be taken.

Bishop Ensley: Would you object to holding this a moment? I will recognize you. It seems to me that this only confuses the immediate issue that we have before us.

The motion before us is a motion to delete this particular section from Mr. Smith's amendment. This is before us and I am asking if there is someone who desires to speak against the deletion.

Inquiry—W. Davis Cotton

W. Davis Cotton (Louisiana—SC) inquired where the exact wording of the Amendment may be found. He was advised that it was on pages 147-148, DCA.

Statement Opposing Deletion—Thurman L. Dodson

Thurman L. Dodson (Washington—C): I am Thurman Dodson, Washington Conference. I am against the motion to delete this part of Brother Smith's resolution or motion because I think it is necessary to have some protection for minority rights. As old as the history of this country is, there are always—there always have been constitutional methods for protecting the rights of minorities. As much as we talk about the rights of the majorities, nobody has made a serious recommendation in recent years to abolish the Senate of the United States which stands for the protection of the minority rights of small states. We know as much as we talk about integration and the so-called integrated conferences, there is a great need for the protection of minority rights. I say this in all kindness to my friends of the North and West, as much as they hold the Southern brothers to scorn, in all the so-called integrated conferences they have never yet appointed a single colored district superintendent except for one

small interim period where they made a retired man supply for a district superintendent for a few months.

The only representatives of the general church boards of our Church come today from the Central Jurisdiction. These so-called integrated Conferences have for a long time sent delegates to this Conference and Jurisdictional Conferences, but never have they yet put a single person on one of the general boards of the Church. These are matters of history and while it may not be good politics, perhaps, to keep it forever, certainly there must be, in these critical days, some protection for minority interests of people who represent only 3½ per cent of the general church census, so I say that while we might not like special legislation, I think it is necessary in the special climate that we find in the so-called liberal parts of our Church.

I like to talk to my Northern and Western friends about this because I think it is a matter that they ought to do something about, but until they learn to have a completely Christian atmosphere, where we can meet on completely equal ground about that, I think it will be necessary to have some sort of machinery, some sort of provision in the Constitution, Constitutionally made, so that we will always be sure that at the various echelons of our Church that we will have basic representation.

We may not be entitled to as many as five Bishops that we have now. We may not be entitled to 16 percent of the members of all the boards, but certain it is that in the basic agreements where we are going into the larger situations, and where the climate has proven not to be completely Christian—when it is completely Christian, 100 percent Christian, and where I go into a conference I can compete on the same basis with everything else, and where if it requires educational qualification I can meet it, but where it requires eradication of my color, which I cannot meet, I say we must have this as long as we are willing to confess that there are certain friends among us.

I would be unalterable opposed to doing away with some sort of protection for our minority groups.

Statement Favoring Deletion—Roy H. Nichols

Roy H. Nichols (California-Nevada—W): I do not want to disagree with my friend, Brother Dodson, because everything that he has said is a fact, but I rise to support the amendment and at the same time, support the main motion of Brother Smith, because I think the issue itself is one that the General Conference should confront. The jurisdictional system was devised, especially at the time of unification, not simply for the functional matters of administration, but for the protection of the interests of certain segments of the Church. We did not trust each other completely in 1939 and for this reason, we devised these general guarantees.

The reason I am supporting the amendment, Brother Dodson, members of the Conference, and the main motion, is that if the other brethren in The Methodist Church are willing to give up their fences in the general system, geographically, with its guarantees, which is the spirit of the Smith motion and the amendment, then I think in 1964, all of us should be willing to give up our guarantees and take our chances in the future with due process and with whatever procedures are necessary to guarantee the kind of representation that we should have on our main bodies in The Methodist Church without reference to race, creed, or color.

I want to make it clear that Brother Dodson's statement is accurate at the present moment in the history of The Methodist Church, but if the Smith motion and this amendment is passed, then none of us would have any protection except due process, and I am willing to take my chances if the rest of you are.

Question Called For—

The question was called for on the deletion.

Paragraph Deleted—

The vote was taken and the paragraph was deleted. (DCA, page 236.)

Statement Favoring Amendment—Kim-Hao Yap

Kim-Hao Yap (Malaya—OS) : I am afraid this is a difficulty in the Conference as far as the substitute motion is concerned.

I have listened carefully to the Conference all day yesterday, and today. I have not come as an overseas delegate unaware of all the discussion, so I listened to the discussion quite intently, and I must confess it was agonizing.

I stand here this morning to give my support to the convictions and intentions of the substitute motion.

A few weeks ago, the overseas delegates gathered at the Gatlinburg Conference, and one of the crucial questions which came up, we felt, had a direct bearing on the work of The Methodist Church throughout the world. It was this very problem of Central Jurisdiction. A committee was established, and these are some of the convictions I would like to present to support this motion which is before us.

Part of the paragraphs of this report I would like to read before the house.

We stated that time has run out. Lives have been lost and more lives will certainly be lost before this issue is settled, however, the Negro now knows that he will ultimately win his fight for recognition of his rights as a child of God and a citizen.

The days of struggle and agony lie ahead. This is the moment of The Methodist Church to identify herself with the forces of justice and Christian reconciliation.

The intent of this paragraph is that no matter what we decide about our Central Jurisdiction, it is already too late. The decisions have made for us as far as the problem is concerned by the social forces surrounding us, and we are merely identifying ourselves with the forces of right.

I am afraid when I read the plan of action—incidentally, I borrowed a copy of it as soon as I arrived in Pittsburgh, I found that it had no power to move. It was—it is a noble plan, and therefore I have to support this motion for a constitutional amendment so that the plan can be operative, and as I listened to the debate yesterday, I find that this principle of volunteerism is merely an escape from the problem of elminating the Central Jurisdiction.

I am afraid—before I say that, I must admit that there will be progress made in this implementation of this plan in certain sections of the country, but that in greater sections of the country, affected a majority of the churches of the Central Jurisdiction, this plan of action is merely a plan of inaction. I hope I can convince those who are voting on this Conference floor to support this constitutional amendment, but I am realistic to say that the support necessary to pass this constitutional amendment lies not on this conference floor, but upon all those friends who are sitting on the outer levels of this Arena. But I must declare and state my convictions that as I go back to my own country of Malaysia, and as all overseas delegates go back, we go back embarrassed that we have not made a plan really to eliminate the Central Jurisdiction, and I hope that those who are re-

sponsible for the headlines of your newspaper are aware that what we are doing now is not the elimination of the Central Jurisdiction. We return to our country, discouraged, disillusioned, and embarrassed and you have weakened the position of the church in this crucial hour.

Privileged Matter—Roy H. Nichols

Roy H. Nichols (California-Nevada—W) called the Chair's attention to the wording of the Smith Amendment, stating that it calls, not only for the abolition of the Central Jurisdiction, but for the abolition of all the Jurisdictions.

Statement Opposing Smith Amendment—Vincent M. Mouser

Vincent M. Mouser (Louisiana—SC): Mr. Chairman, I desire to speak against the motion to substitute and I will not take the time of the Conference to review the history and the reasons for the establishment of the Central Jurisdictional system. We have all given note to that throughout the years, since that system was established. I do wish to comment on certain parliamentary decisions and certain facts which you might be considering as we go along and take these votes.

It will only take a majority vote, as I understand it, to substitute the Smith Amendment for the report of this committee. That, of course, if the Smith Amendment is substituted, as the Chair has held, would change the report of the committee and we would have before us the Smith Amendment which would require a two thirds vote for its adoption.

If I wanted to oppose any progression made along the line seeking to ameliorate the conditions of which our brethren complain, it might be that we could take a calculated risk and support the Smith Amendment, get it as the whole thing, and then it could be defeated probably. I doubt if it ever would be passed as a constitutional amendment. That would be a way to win a fight. I am not here to win a fight of that note, and I trust under God none of us are here for that purpose. (Applause.)

We are here, Mr. Chairman, I trust in the love and admonition of our Lord to take counsel together that we may make The Methodist Church a greater institution for the service of God and to bring salvation to dying and lost souls.

This committee has labored tirelessly, men of goodwill, to bring forth a plan which they believe will accomplish that purpose and is best designed under the conditions before us to enable us to move forward along the line of better harmony and closer brotherly communication with each other.

Personally, I believe in the eloquence proclaimed by Dr. Brawley, that we must bring this union together in our hearts to make it effective before we put it in the books, would be served probably better by simply leaving Amendment IX to continue the operation as it is now operating and not to do anything, but men of goodwill and earnest intention see otherwise. I have not been given the final word of God on how the affairs of The Methodist Church or the affairs of mankind can be regulated and I am a little tired of our brethren proclaiming that some of us who have a different view are censors and so stand in the eyes of God.

This point I will make. I oppose the Smith Amendment because in my humble opinion, it would bring to a complete and grinding halt any effort to bring about an elimination to any extent of the juris-

dictional system, or of the Central Jurisdiction, because I think it would fail and the report of the committee would be killed by substituting the Smith Amendment.

I shall support wholeheartedly the report of the committee and go back home and on my knees pray God to let me contribute my little part to bring this real union, this real fellowship, this real united love of God of working together in The Methodist Church.

Point of Order—William C. Jason, Jr.

William C. Jason, Jr. (Delaware—C) stated a Point of Order and was ruled out of order.

Previous Question Moved—Don W. Holter

Don W. Holter (Kansas—SC) moved the previous question. It was ordered. (DCA, page 239.)

Chester Smith Recognized

Chester A. Smith (New York—NE) was recognized by the Chair but was interrupted by a question from the floor.

Inquiry—John W. Chittum

John W. Chittum (North-East Ohio—NC): I would like to raise the question, the first question that was raised this morning by the brother at the front table as to whether it would be possible to divide this in a different way.

I am not sure at what time and what place this question could be raised. I am raising the question whether it would be incompatible for the Conference to adopt both.

My question comes again: At what point, or would it be possible, to divide this so that both could be considered?

Bishop Ensley: Parliamentarily, you have to choose one or the other, but if after we have disposed of this motion and taken Dr. Richardson's Amendment, which has already been promised to him to be presented, if you cared to make a motion, I would entertain it.

I would say, however, in the opinion of the Chair, it would require a two-thirds vote to suspend the rules because the majority report has already been perfected and according to our rules, that ends debate on it unless the house will decide otherwise.

Chester A. Smith Speaks Favoring His Amendment

Chester A. Smith (New York—NE): Mr. Chairman and Members of the Conference, this is a matter of very great importance, not only because it is a matter of great importance but because of the time element.

I think we are all very much interested in getting rid of segregation. We have tried to get rid of segregation in the past at least for 8, if not 12, years. We have been considering the matter.

Four years ago we had a proposition which was presented by the Commission of Seventy. They spent one quarter of a million dollars in presenting their report. They presented their report which was

similar, very similar to the report which the Committee of Thirty-Six have presented today, namely, that they wanted to take this matter up as a voluntary proposition with the Jurisdiction, principally with the Central Jurisdiction.

I was opposed to that because I had introduced four years ago the same amendment, the same change of the Constitution which I have presented in this General Conference today, namely, the abolition of all of the jurisdictions.

Why do I ask you to get rid of the Jurisdictions? First of all, because the six Jurisdictions were created in order that we might segregate the Negroes into one Jurisdiction. That is why we created the six Jurisdictions and the only reason why we did that, because we can carry on the work of the church very successfully without any of the six Jurisdictions. I realize the facts. I want to be perfectly fair on this. I realize the fact that perhaps one Jurisdiction has done some work with regard to the general church, or with regard to their particular Jurisdiction, and that is all right, but generally speaking, we do not need the six Jurisdictions in order to carry on the work of The Methodist Church. It is a very expensive proposition. It is not necessary. It is not necessary to have six Jurisdictional Conferences. They have not enough to do to warrant the time and the expense and the money to do it.

Another thing and a very important thing is that I believe the important thing—one very important thing—is electing our bishops, and I want to select the bishop of The Methodist Church in the whole church. (Applause.) I do not want to see us divide the church in a parochial way.

The Methodist Church is a great world church and yet we have divided it by this jurisdictional system into subdivisions. We have as one of our great men who has come to this country from abroad, he says we have ensmalled the Methodist Church with the jurisdictions. If we go back to where we used to be and elect our bishops in the General Conference, we will do a greater thing. That is the reason I brought the amendment to abolish the Jurisdictions.

Another reason I ask you to abolish the Jurisdictions is because we are thinking parochially. We are thinking in a certain subdivision of the church. We are not thinking of the whole church as a whole. I love Methodism in its Annual Conference and I think we should elect our bishops, consecrate our bishops and do all our important work together, not by subdivision.

There is nothing, in my opinion, and I hope there is nothing in your opinion which will prevent you from accepting my resolution, my amendment to the Constitution. There is nothing to prevent you from doing that in any way, in my opinion, which cannot be done just as easily, much more easily if we are working altogether in the church.

Now, let me say in conclusion, we have had this matter before the church and in the papers of the church for some time. Some have said do this, and some have said do that. The question that confronts us is a great revolutionary idea. We go back—we go back to undo what we should not have done in 1939. Let us change what we did in 1939, and let us take a great forward movement in 1964, today in putting Methodism back where it ought to be, namely, a world church.

Therefore, we will make no mistake by adopting my amendment. We will make a great progressive step, in my opinion, if we adopt my amendment, and I think that the world itself, not only America, but the Orient, men from abroad who say, "Methodism is no longer a parochial church, but is in fact a world church."

Commission Chairman Speaks—Charles C. Parlin

Charles C. Parlin (Newark—NE): Fellow delegates, will you turn to your *Christian Advocate*, page 147, on the outside column at the bottom of the page, you will find the motion that is before us, third from the bottom:

"Mr. Smith, what I am presenting is a substitute for all that is before us."

This means that that would supersede all the work that we did yesterday, all of the legislative provisions to expedite the transfer under Amendment IX, all of our legislation dealing with the setting up of Pensions and Salary Equalization Fund to a General Conference Fund; all this would be swept away. I do not see how anyone can hold up his hand in favor of the Smith Amendment unless he is willing to see swept away all the quadrennium work of the Commission of Thirty-Six and the Central Jurisdiction Committee of Five.

Smith Amendment Not Adopted

A vote was taken on the Smith substitute Amendment. The Chair read the Amendment stating that only a majority vote was necessary. The Substitute Amendment was lost. (DCA, page 239.)

Point of Order—John R. Van Sickle

John R. Van Sickle (Rock River—NC) questioned the procedure in recognizing Dean A. Richardson for an amendment. The Chair ruled that Dean Richardson was promised the opportunity to make this presentation and is in order.

Constitutional Amendment Moved

Dean E. Richardson (Genesee—NE): The proposal is that there be added to the report at the conclusion of the first section an amendment to the Constitution, Section E, under Boundaries, a subparagraph, that legislative machinery be enacted and set in motion that will eliminate from the Constitution of The Methodist Church the following 16 words: "*Central*—Negro Annual Conferences, the Negro Mission Conferences, and Missions of the United States of America."

The intent of this deletion would be to constitute the entire Methodist Church each on a geographical basis and to terminate the racially constituted character. The authorization of the Amendment is to be found in the powers of the General Conference, Section 3, Amendment 10.

Following which reads to this effect: Amendment of Constitution may originate in either a General Conference or in an Annual Conference.

That follows the procedure whereby amended or to be established.

Now, in essence, this affords us a direct, a radical, and an important—in my opinion—imperative choice.

The Chair questioned the validity of the motion, after a Point of Order was raised by John R. Van Sickle (Rock River—NC).

Motion to Suspend the Rules—W. Sproule Boyd

W. Sproule Boyd (Western Pennsylvania—NE) moved a suspension of the Rules to allow Dean Richardson to speak.

Rules Not Suspended

The vote was taken and the Rules were not suspended. (DCA, page 239.) Dean Richardson did not speak.

Matter of High Privilege—James B. Dennis

James B. Dennis (Liberia—OS) asked to be recognized. The Chair questioned the relevancy of his remarks. By voiced consent of the delegates, Mr. Dennis was allowed to speak. He said:

I have been here a long time, my brother, and I have not spoken a word. I am requesting to be heard. I want to speak my love. (Applause.)

I realize and I am aware that segregation in America is unequivocably an American problem and perhaps a misfortune, but Methodism in Africa was started and supported in Africa most likely by Americans, and for that reason, I believe the Methodists in Africa are concerned and disquieted over the segregation of the colored Methodism in The Methodist Church in America.

Other faiths in Africa, Christian and otherwise, are not remiss in exploiting this situation in the United States and in The Methodist Church of the United States, and we are not attracting Africans to our banners.

I have listened meticulously as I said, to all the debates and questions on this vitally important matter of the Central Jurisdictional Conference and segregation in The Methodist Church. To be frank, and honest, I have been astonished, surprised, and almost despaired and distressed by some of the manner in which some of my brothers have discussed this matter here and have shown their inclinations, but the only redeeming feature is that the bishops and many—perhaps most—of the members of this Conference have spoken out and given a keynote on this major question, especially the Episcopal Address of the bishops of this General Conference, not excluding the wise and impressive statements, many off-the-cuff, by doctors, ministers, and laymen of my own color here on several subjects, but principally on the matter and subject of segregation, the most important question before this conference in my opinion.

At the same time, I have sat down a little while and listened carefully to the speakers of my other white brethren on a matter of segregation in The Methodist Church and in that area, as I said, it very much surprised me, but I am aware of the enormous aid from the Foreign Missions Board and from the United States Government in the founding of my country, Liberia, in the form of bishops, missionaries and teachers, schools, hospitals and the military, the defense, grants in aid.

I could despair and conclude that some or many white people in the United States, including my own church here, are such races, and segregation.

Point of Order—Francis H. Faber

Francis H. Faber (Minnesota—NC) moved to extend the time and the consent of the house was given that Mr. Dennis be permitted to continue. He said:

Thank you, my brother.

We have tried in Liberia to appreciate the missionaries, many from the southern part of the United States, and they have brought many good things to us. I have met many white bishops in many different places, hotels, and other places where there is no segregation against colored, and they were splendid, my white brethren.

Moreover, segregation against the people of color in the United States and fellow Methodists is an extremely vital subject, and Africa is vitally concerned and interested in it.

The Methodist and other Christian churches of America have an inescapable position to take in the arts and lives of all Americans, white and colored, but it is much better to see a change than to hear one.

I was very happy to see and hear Governor Scranton of Pennsylvania who spoke here a few days ago, and the mayor of Pittsburgh. May I somehow express my disappointment that President Johnson of the United States was not invited to the Conference to speak here at our Conference, and may I hereby take this opportunity to express the deep belief and sorrow that we experienced when we heard of the death of the late President Kennedy, but we hope that the high purpose for which he lived will still live on and move this great body here in the great purpose, in the great missions which we have to fulfill.

Thank you very much.

Motion to Reconsider—Clytus F. Mowry

Clytus F. Mowry (Genesee—NE) moved reconsideration of the Report so motions could be made to amend and perfect it.

The Chair ruled that further debate was out of order.

Inquiry—Robert W. Moon

Robert W. Moon (California-Nevada—W) asked a question: "Is the motion to reconsider in order, or is it not the matter of reconsidering the closing of debate?"

The Chair explained the proper procedure and by vote the matter of reconsideration was opposed.

Point of Order—

A delegate from West Virginia raised a Point of Order, inquiring if the previous question acted upon was in order. The Chair ruled that it was.

Inquiry—Chester A. Smith

Chester A. Smith (New York—NE) made an inquiry of Charles C. Parlin and was ruled out of order.

Point of Order—Lewis H. Beckford

Lewis H. Beckford (Maine—NE) moved that a count vote be taken. The motion was seconded and on vote the motion was lost. (DCA, page 241.)

Inquiry—Robert F. Oxnam

Robert F. Oxnam (Newark—NE): Bishop Ensley, I would like to ask the Chairman a question which may initially be hypothetical but is actually a question of best interest. This report is based very obviously on certain estimates. Clearly no commitment is possible. But I would ask what is your best estimate of action in the next quadrennium.

In the next four years, only 33 churches. . . .

Bishop Ensley: I am afraid, sir. . . .

Dr. Oxnam: The question is in the next sentence, sir.

Bishop Ensley: Let's hear it.

Dr. Oxnam: Mr. Parlin, is it your estimate that churches in the Central Jurisdiction, in the North Central area, in the South Central area and in the Northeastern Jurisdictional area will transfer in this quadrennium?

Bishop Ensley: He says he will answer that in closing.

Inquiry—Ernest T. Dixon

Ernest T. Dixon (West Texas—C) was recognized by the Chair who stated his question was irrelevant and therefore out of order.

Concluding Statement—Charles C. Parlin

Charles C. Parlin (Newark—NE): Friends of the General Conference. There is a typographical error in one of the amendments where there should be an inclusion in the reasons rather than the recommendation of No. 7. I merely mention this for the help of the people who will prepare the *Discipline*.

The second is a point of personal privilege. I want to thank the members of the Committee of Thirty-Six, the Committee of Five for their loyal help during this quadrennium. I want to say that in the emotional debate. I think something has been said about the plan of action which was not fair. This is a plan of action. It is a plan designed to bring about the alliance of the Central Jurisdiction in this quadrennium and it is designed to do it in a fair way that is fair to the 375,000 members of the Central Jurisdiction. It has been worked on fairly. I express to you my personal conviction that this quadrennium will see an end of the Central Jurisdiction, and I express to you my personal opinion that this is a genuine step forward toward the inclusive church toward which we work.

Thank you.

Vote on the Report of the Commission on Interjurisdictional Relations

A vote was taken on the Report and it was adopted. (DCA, page 242; see Appendix, page 1818.)

Motion to Refer—Charles C. Parlin

Charles C. Parlin (Newark—NE) moved that Recommendation No. 3, page 25 of the Report be referred to the Judicial Council. It was done.

Order of Day Moved—Dwight E. Loder

Dwight E. Loder (Rock River—NC) moved an Order of the Day for consideration of the constitutional amendment submitted by Dean E. Richardson. He was ruled out of order.

Personal Privilege Requested—Chester A. Smith

Chester A. Smith (New York—NE) requested a matter of personal privilege and was ruled out of order.

Recess—10:45 A.M.

Reconvene—11:00 A.M.

Hymn No. 479, "Jesus Shall Reign," was sung by the Conference.

The Ohio Ministers' Choir sang several numbers.

The Chair recognized Bishop Hazen G. Werner, who thanked the Choir.

Leon T. Moore, Secretary, made several announcements.

Order of the Day—Presentation of Deaconesses—Report of Commission on Deaconess Work

J. Otis Young (Ohio—NC) introduced Dr. Mary Lou Barnwell, Executive Secretary of Deaconess Work, and Bishop Gerald H. Kennedy. Dr. Barnwell presented several Deaconesses to the Conference and called on Bishop Kennedy, Chairman of the Deaconess Board, who spoke:

Mr. Chairman, I have enjoyed this occupation so much, that in the last Conference of the deaconesses, my wife insisted on going with me.

I have some friends who have organized a little campaign and the deaconesses had little to say about it. When I was elected to this Commission, I found that deaconesses have a stereotyped picture for the church—it is a false one—we will think of them sometimes in terms of a little uniform they used to wear, but don't wear anymore.

We fail to understand the breadth of this service. Some have served as pastors' assistants, secretaries, social workers. Indeed, this is the chance of The Methodist Church to offer to a young woman who wants to give her life to the church, to have the opportunity. It is a wonderful service.

I came to have a good admiration for the deaconesses. When you see the empty heads moving around the stages of Hollywood and

compare them with young women who have character, courage, and devotion, you can see how popular womanhood is. I tell you, there are times when these girls in places of great stress and strain have stood firm on issues like racial segregation instances, they have fought with great courage.

We celebrated this year, our 75th Anniversary of deaconesses work in The Methodist Church, and my prediction is that this has been one of the least appreciated departments of the church. I hope we have an increasing understanding and support of it. As far as the deaconess work is concerned, the best is yet to be.

Motion—Dwight E. Loder

Dwight E. Loder (Rock River—NC): I move that the floor be granted to Brother Richardson for a proposed constitutional amendment. If I have a second, I would like to speak.

The motion was seconded.

Dr. Loder: This is a matter of conscience of the Conference. In the second place, what Dr. Richardson brings will not be an attempt to amend or change the report which we adopted this morning.

There is to be an amendment to this proposed amendment, and immediately following this, I intend to move that debate be made the order of the day at another time so that we do not interfere with the schedule of events this morning.

Request—Jerry G. Bray

Jerry G. Bray (Virginia—SE) asked for a transcript of what occurred at the April 30th evening session between the Chair and *Dean Richardson.* The Chair replied that the transcript was available and could be examined.

Vote on Loder Motion

It was voted that *Dean E. Richardson* be given the privilege of the floor to present his statement. (DCA, page 244.)

Statement—Dean E. Richardson

Dean E. Richardson (Genesee—NE) was recognized by the Chair and made the following statement: "Thank you for this vote. It is my intent that concurrently with the Report, there shall be set in motion a Constitutional Amendment which would delete the section on boundaries in Section 8, from the Constitution of The Methodist Church. The design of this is to establish the pattern of geographical Jurisdictions apart from racial Jurisdictions. I have undertaken to make this as simple, as direct, as forthright as I can, and the issue can be clearly before the body."

Amendment Moved—Robert W. Moon

Robert W. Moon (California-Nevada—W) moved to amend the Richardson Amendment and was ruled out of order by the Chair.

Motion to Refer—Roland P. Riddick

Roland P. Riddick (Virginia—SE) moved that an Order of the Day be set by the Agenda Committee for consideration of the Richardson Amendment. It was remanded to the Committee on Agenda. (DCA, page 244.)

Privileged Matter—Lance Webb

Lance Webb (Ohio—NC) was recognized by the Chair and stated that many are concerned by the action which was taken during the morning session and suggested that a meeting with the overseas brethren be held to interpret the action taken. The Chairman suggested that such a meeting could be arranged informally and the time of the Conference should not be consumed.

Report No. 1—Council of World Service and Finance

The Chair recognized Bishop Paul W. Martin of the Houston Area—SC to present Reports of the Council of World Service and Finance. (DCA, pages 65-77.)

Bishop Martin: Mr. Chairman and Members of the Conference, if you turn to page 65, you will find the report of the Council on World Service and Finance.

I would like simply to make this preliminary statement. We realize, in view of that which has already taken place, that there will, of necessity, be additions to this report to be presented at a later time in the Conference. It is altogether possible that before the Conference has come to a close, even other matters will come to this Council of World Service and Finance, so that you will understand that although we are staying with the report we have here, we realize we must make additions to this matter.

Mr. Chairman, I wish I could present all the members of the Council on World Service and Finance. It is impossible for me to do that. I would like to present my colleague, Bishop Fred P. Corson, who presented such fine service, and ask him to stand, if he will.

In a moment I will present our secretary-treasurer of the Council on World Service and Finance, Dr. Don Cooke, and then Mr. Edwin Jones, a member of the Council, who will take care of the proceedings this morning.

May I just say this simple word: I know of no agency of the church in which the members take more seriously the task that is before them. We meet time after time with each member of the Council present. I do not think we have had any meeting in which we have had absentees at all, save for illness or because the individuals are out of the country.

Long hours are spent in the study of the work of this Council. We try to face what was a reasonable amount which we could confidently expect to realize in the coming quadrennium.

We have come now to the level of the decimal system. We have waited 25 years to do this. We felt that we cannot wait longer. This is going to make a hardship on some conferences. There will be conferences that, if this report is adopted, will have an increase of more than 90 percent. We have had a favorable response from conferences who have increases, but they have said they will not allow this to have too much effect.

I say we have taken into consideration every part of the work of the church and have presented to you as best we could, a report that we hope you will adopt.

May I present now our new secretary-treasurer. He has been our secretary-treasurer for four years. He has followed a great man, but he is a part of that noble succession. I think all who worked with him know him as a brother full of understanding.

I present Brother Cooke and he will present Dr. Jones who will lead the discussion this morning.

Dr. Don Cooke: Bishop Martin, fellow delegates and friends, this is a report for the first three years of the quadrennium. It is printed in the *Daily Christian Advocate*. Without objection, we shall include in the report to the General Conference for printing in the *Journal* the figures for the present fiscal year which closes May 31, so that there will be a complete record in the *Journal* of the General Conference for the quadrennium.

As of March 31, as many of you know, the World Service fund is showing a slight increase for the same period of last year. We hope the receipts for April and May will make it possible to show the World Service fund paid in full for the year, and all other funds which we handle through our office will show increases over previous years.

The General Conference recommended to us that we bring in certain budgets and other financial matters. We have prepared our report as Bishop Martin suggested, with great care, and all members of the staff have had a part in the preparation of these reports.

The Council has requested Mr. Edwin L. Jones, an esteemed member of this committee, to act as Chairman of our reporting Committee. He will introduce other members of the Council who will make some of the reports.

I take great pleasure in presenting Edwin L. Jones.

Report No. 1—Council on World Service and Finance—Edwin L. Jones, Sr.

Edwin L. Jones, Sr. (Western North Carolina—SE): Mr. Chairman and members of the General Conference, you will find a Report of the Council on World Service and Finance in great detail on pages 65 through 77. It is listed there under 10 separate sections. We have asked members of the Council, who are fully familiar with sections of our Report and who have dealt particularly with them, to present them. Therefore, they will come to this desk in the order of the number of the Report without presentation beyond this announcement. Report 2 will be presented by Mr. G. Clifford Pettygrove; Reports 3, 4 and 5 by Dr. R. Franklin Thompson; Reports 6 and 8 by Dr. Asbury Smith; and Reports 9 and 10 by Dr. Nat Long.

I will attempt to present Nos. 1 and 7.

Report No. 1 is the World Service Budget Recommendations.

I hope that you have read this Report, and I hope it will not be necessary to point out in detail each and every point which is encompassed in Report 1, but I must hold up several things for your particular attention:

1. The Church has been very generous during this quadrennium in its response to the budget which was set up at Denver for World Service. At that time, a budget of $15,000,000 was adopted.

For the first three years of this quadrennium, the increase in the payments to the World Service have been significant; and yet, after that has been said, we have not reached, during the quadrennium, the full budget which was adopted at Denver.

In Column 1, page 65, near the top, you will find the figures which show we have failed in the first three years of the quadrennium by $929,639.98 to reach that $15,000,000 budget.

This means that the Council on World Service and Finance was under the necessity and the obligation, in trying to arrive at a new budget to recommend to this Conference, to take that into consideration, and not to set a goal that would be beyond the possibility of our church—not its financial ability, but its possibility based on past performance.

The budget which is presented today is in the second column near the bottom of page 65. Having given prior consideration to all the factors involved, the Council on World Service and Finance recommends that the World Service Budget for the next quadrennium be fixed at $18,000,000. This is a general increase of 20 percent over the last quadrennium.

The next section of Report 1 deals with the distribution of that Budget of $18,000,000. It is not necessary to point out each item. I am sure you will read it carefully and with a great deal of interest. It is absolutely impossible to put every Board on the same percentage of increase. Their work varies. Their work will vary as well as their work load. It changes from quadrennium to quadrennium, but an honest attempt has been made in the light of askings to make it as fair as can be done for each Board and Agency.

The question was asked in the meeting, "What if we give more to this Board? From which other Board will we take it?"

I ask you to keep that in mind as you vote on this today. It has been worked out as carefully and fairly as the Council on World Service and Finance has been able to do it, and any change would have to be switched from one Board to the other.

We do believe, however, we should hold up a few items in this detailed Budget.

1. The equalization pension fund was put in for $240,000 per annum and is something that was pulled out of the report of the Interjurisdictional Commission. This is the amount needed, if the General Conference orders it to be done. However, that Report which the General Conference adopted some moments ago, called for that to be a special fund—a Temporary General Aid Fund. We are leaving it in this Budget this morning because your action has been so recent, that the Council on World Service and Finance has not been able to correct this Report. It will be necessary for us to come back to this Conference at a later time to deal specifically with that item and with the item for the equalization of salaries. Both will probably be included in the same Temporary General Aid Fund still to be set up.

2. Please note The Methodist Investment Fund for church extension. Much has been said about the need for this fund. With that fund, there will be made available other funds which it will attract, so that it will increase tenfold and there will be a tremendous amount available for church extension, both as grants and loans.

I call your attention to the item for the Board of Education of $500 000 for Negro educational institutions. Four years ago, an item of $250.000 was adopted in your budget for that same purpose. Under the dynamic and wise leadership of Dr. Gross and his Division, that money was so used that large matching funds were secured. All of our 11 Negro institutions, except one, have become accredited by their general accrediting agencies during this quadrennium. (Applause.) That is one of the greatest steps forward in higher education that this Church has taken in any quadrennium.

The information has come that if proper support is given by our Church to the remaining non-accredited Negro college. there is a very large sum which will be made available for that college. Things

can be done there, if this provision is made, that will enable that college almost immediately to become accredited.

Never forget that the other 10 which have already become accredited have just stepped over the line of accreditation. They could easily fall back, because needs and requirements increase and grow every year. Any college trustee or president knows that to be a fact. We need this fund to be used as matching funds to secure greater amounts. We must keep them from falling back from accreditation and from the possibility of becoming excellent colleges, and help them to move on from this beginning to become better colleges. There is a much greater amount needed than is included in this particular budget.

We also have a new item at the very bottom of On-ratio Distribution, which you have never seen before, but which we think is highly important—a contingency reserve of less than $50,000. In a budget of this size, $50,000 is a very small fraction of a percent of the total budget. There will come a time in the various Boards and Agencies, when there will be contingencies. When there is no such fund available to the Council on World Service and Finance, these contingencies cannot be taken care of. Every good budget has a contingency reserve of some amount.

Now we turn to the middle of Column 3, page 65, and hold up to your attention some important explanatory notes: No. 1—for American University there is an amount of $200,000 for operating expenses and $100,000 for endowment. The endowment funds are payable only as World Service funds are available in units of $25,000 whenever American University shall have matched that endowment amount on a 4-to-1 basis. This means that at the end of the quadrennium, the endowment funds of American University can be increased by approximately $2 million. Also all World Service payments to American University are to be relayed through the Board of Education as formerly.

The allocation to the Board of Missions is to be distributed so that the Division of World Missions receives 55 percent and the Division of National Missions receives 45 percent.

The Methodist Television-Radio Ministry is to continue as a World Service Special.

Item No. 4 for Scarritt College includes an item of $100,000 for current operations and $75,000 for debt retirement. We strongly urge Scarritt College for Christian Workers to make every possible effort to increase its endowment fund.

You will note that the World Service budget has three different methods of distribution as shown near the bottom of the last column on page 65. Prior claims are to be expended within the limits of the budget proposed. Fixed payments shall be made within the budget so that one twelfth of the amount is paid each month up to the total of $1,391,515 per annum. The balance of the receipts for the regular World Service program is to be distributed on the percentages shown beginning on the bottom of page 65 and continued on the top of first column, page 66.

I call your attention to one typographical error—1962 to 1956 should read 1952 to 1956 where the per capita askings was $1.06.

I call your attention to the fact that this Budget is a minimum and not a maximum Budget. It has been most distressing to everyone connected with our World Service funds to find that many Conferences are overlooking the Disciplinary requirements that money given for World Service must be used for that purpose. Many Conferences are using surpluses in World Service Fund for Annual Conference purposes for which World Service funds were not contributed.

It could be very easily ascertained that perhaps a large part of this deficit of $929,639.98 in the first three years of the quadrennium

was brought about because Annual Conferences used World Service surplus money for their own needs, rather than for the purpose for which the money was given. We should regard this budget, if and when adopted, as a minimum, and not a maximum.

In the second paragraph of Item 5, Column 2, page 66, I read, "World Service causes must be so presented that there will be a personal concern to support these causes with dollars, as well as with interest and prayer."

Item 6—"to request that the General Conference order the promotion on World Service as one of its chief emphasis of the new quadrennium. The Council feels that World Service must be spiritualized, personalized, and dramatized."

It is recommended that the work of higher education continue to be supported by a minimum of $1.50 per member for higher education and 50¢ per member for Wesley Foundations. We have increased the appropriation for ministerial education, and it is the feeling of the Council that as large a percentage as possible of this amount be allocated to our 12 seminaries.

Then, in conclusion, if the General Conference adopts the apportionment on the decimal—and more will be said about that—which applies to all conferences equally, some conferences will receive a large increase for the new quadrennium. We trust they will make every effort to reach this goal. On the other hand, some Conferences will receive a lower apportionment than the one assigned during the past quadrennium, and for others, a percentage of increase will be nominal.

We hope the Conference will set a goal not less than 20 percent above the amount paid annually during the last four years.

Edwin L. Jones, Sr. moved the adoption of the Report.

Inquiry—Wilbur C. Ziegler

Wilbur C. Ziegler (New England—NE): I wonder about the designation of Negro educational institutions. Do I understand that these institutions will not admit people of other races, or are they integrated institutions?

Bishop Ensley: This is a question, I think, directed to the committee chairman.

Mr. Jones: Mr. Chairman, I am not an expert on Negro institutions or white institutions. I don't know.

Dr. Ziegler: I have a purpose for the question. If they will accept anyone, why are they listed as a Negro institution? Why list them as a separate organization?

Mr. Jones: Mr. Chairman, may I speak to this?

Bishop Ensley: Yes.

Mr. Jones: I thought it was clear that this sort of thing was made clear in the budget drafted in Denver a few years ago. It was adopted for the sole purpose of bringing our Negro educational institutions to an accredited basis.

Inquiry—Chester A. Smith

Chester A. Smith (New York—NE) inquired about how the $500,000 allocated to Negro institutions compared with

the amount allocated during the past quadrennium. Mr. Jones stated that the amount had been doubled. Chester Smith inquired if this amount is adequate, and Mr. Jones responded that the amount will attract large sums from other sources.

Motion to Amend—A. P. Wallace

A. P. Wallace (West Virginia—NE) moved to amend Section No. 3 of the Report to extend support of the Wesley Foundation program to non-Methodist institutions. The vote was taken on the motion and the motion was lost. (DCA, page 247.)

Report No. 1—Adopted

Report No. 1 was adopted. (DCA, page 247; see Appendix, page 2066.)

Personal Privilege—A. P. Wallace

A. P. Wallace (West Virginia—NE) rose to a Point of Personal Privilege and inquired where money will come from to support the Methodist Student Movement in non-Methodist institutions. The Chair referred him to the Board of Education.

Report No. 2—Council of World Service and Finance—G. Clifford Pettygrove

G. Clifford Pettygrove (California-Nevada—W): With the adoption of the Report No. 1, I am sure we have seen our responsibility as a church in terms of financial support in specific terms of world service, and we now face the problem of equitably spreading that financial responsibility to the several Annual Conferences, according to paragraphs 749 of the *Discipline*.

I would like to pause here and emphasize the fact that we are talking about apportionments to the Annual Conferences, not to local churches. I mention this because the Committee on Economy has been deluged with memorials for that.

There is a formula which contains factors which most nearly represent the willingness and ability of the various conferences to support the world service program based on past performance. We are not able to look into the future of this matter as to the ability of conferences, but we have some reasonably good measures of past performance, of demonstrated ability and willingness.

To arrive at any such formula has required extensive and intensive study on the part of your Council, and with the assistance of the department of research and statistics.

Again, I would like to pause to pay tribute to that department which has been of valuable aid to us in the course of our studies over the past quadrennium.

The Council is convinced that among the major financial factors which would enter into our final determination for the apportionment of the World Service question, that they should be substantially confined to those which most nearly reflect the willingness and the

ability of the conference to sustain the World Service program on a regular month-by-month and year-by-year basis. Thus, considering that type of factor, we rule out possible giving on the basis of death, retirement, of building campaigns which are often supported by non-Methodist donations, even ruling out for this purpose our special programs. All of this type—mostly special or capital programs—all of these involve something of special urgency, often presented at irregular intervals, as distinguished, on the other hand, from regular budget items, the regular going program. It is this factor which we used to arrive at a formula.

There is probably no 100 percent effective formula, and if there were, there would be scientists to tinker with this. This has been demonstrated again and again in Annual Conferences. We never quite arrived at perfection, although we are trying to follow the good Wesleyan tradition of trying to do so.

During the past quadrennium, the Council on World Service and Finance has resisted the temptation to tinker with the formula, and we basically hold to the basic formula before us and which will be before us today.

We have long since reached the point where we realize that, for one thing, among any further changes we might make in the formula, the result would be merely to shift some financial responsibility from one conference to another, so that one conference might receive a little less, another more.

Now, our problem in attempting to arrive at a reasonably equitable and reasonably uniform formula and apportionment of the budget has been complicated down through the past quarter century, particularly various financial emphasis and practices across the church as a whole. Specifically—and I want to be careful when I say what I am going to say, because it is not in any sense a criticism at all, but merely a historical fact which we have had to deal with. Specifically, there has existed for the past quarter century a historical imbalance between Northeastern, North Central, and Southeastern, South Central on the other. In the latter case, the two Jurisdictions have had a magnificent record of support for our special programs. The great area of mission work across the world would not be in existence today were it not for that splendid support which has been given by the Southeast and South Central.

The World Service support, on the other hand, from those areas has not been up to and still would not be if this report is adopted to the per capita giving across the church as a whole. We have had very heartening evidences already from several of the Annual Conferences within those jurisdictions that they intend to move in the direction of bringing up their World Service giving and not in any sense declining their giving toward the special programs.

Northeast and North Central, on the other hand, have been following pretty generally the record that they must support World Service first and do what can be done for special service.

This imbalance in World Service support can be seen where it counts the most in terms of per capita giving. This is the giving by the man in the pew. That, after all, is where the money comes from. You will see evidences of that if you look, as I am sure you have, because I know your eyes turned first to your particular Annual Conference.

I call your attention to the figures which begin on page 63 and which apply to all conferences, and I call your particular attention to the two figures in the upper righthand corner of each of those blocks. One figure represents a per capita apportionment to the whole church, $1.79. The figure immediately below it represents the per capita proportionment, average, to the particular jurisdiction whose support is given in that block. Run your eye down the column

to your own, and you will find it bears out my observation that there has been something of an imbalance in terms of World Service support across the many years.

This imbalance that I speak of has continued since 1931, and has been continuing in diminishing proportions, however, and heartening-ly so. There has been a serious attempt to achieve through the year, and especially the recent quadrennia, to more nearly achieve an ultimate parity and uniformity, not 100 percent naturally, but a more equitable and more even apportionment for world service support.

We have accomplished this at our succeeding General Conferences by the use of so-called adjustments; that is, setting up the apportionments of the Annual Conferences and then at the same time establishing maximum percentage increases to any one annual conference. That we have been doing through the vears, and you will recall that. Sometimes the maximum increase was 25 percent, 35 percent, or more as the case may be. This was all done with the view to approaching the point where we might go off the other basis than on to the decimal basis.

After careful study and after importuning on the part of many members from various Jurisdictions and Annual Conferences, our Council on World Service and Finance feels that the time has arrived to take this historic financial step that we no longer shall use adjustments, but will make adjustments and will take them on the basis of a decimal figure. We believe in so doing, World Service itself will be strengthened and thereby the work of the Kingdom will be served. The whole church will be tied together in a greater unity of the common task shared by all.

Specifically—and then I am through—the Council strongly recommends a formula based on the same factors which have prevailed during the past quadrennium. We believe the formula has proved workable and is equitable. It is essentially a simple one. You will find details and the references on page 67, in the first column. We propose the use of three factors, first, one third on the basis of average church membership. This factor has been called unchristian by a few, but your Council, after studying it, is convinced this belongs in the formula. We don't think it should be based entirely on membership because there are other factors, but we feel the membership factor should be in the picture. It goes back to the vow, the pledge which each one of you, as a member of The Methodist Church, took and every one of the other 10 million Methodists in the church, when they said they would support the Church with their gifts.

Therefore, our first element of the formula is one-third of the budget to be based on average church membership.

As you also noted, in arriving at the decimal, our department of statistics has worked out the resulting decimal at .59 and so forth. You might ask, "Why not make it .6 and let it go?" That is not the way our department works. It is carried out to seven places.

The next factor is the nonbenevolent giving. This rules out some programs and brings it down into figures of what your churches in your Annual Conference have been doing in the way of paying the bills, running expenses, the regular ongoing services. That is the way the church must be supported. It cannot be supported by a particular crisis type contribution.

The second item is one-third on nonbenevolent giving.

The third factor is that of past World Service giving. Some conferences, before thinking on this thing deeply, or some representatives from them have said, "Listen, if we are particularly generous and we overpaid our World Service the World Service apportionment, then wouldn't you, the next time with a higher apportionment penalize us for what we have done before"?

That is not the right word. We are not penalizing. If you have overpaid, as we hope you will do, if you have overpaid, you are thereby demonstrating your faith in the World Service and you will go on increasing it more. It is not a penalty matter. We are proposing to you that this formula be used, and the resulting decimal will be applied to each Annual Conference with the resulting apportionment, with the exception of the five mission conferences which are listed under the Roman numeral two, specifically the last three in the Latin American countries, Cuba, Oklahoma, and the Indian Missions.

You will understand the reason for this, I hope.

If final comments or observations are to be made as to the result of this type of formula, may I say, as has already been indicated by Mr. Jones, there will be some sharp increases. There will be very few reductions, not many. You will find an example of how the application of this decimal figure will apply to your Conference. Illustrations of this example are given at the bottom of page 67, column 1. A listing follows, and you will come to that I am sure.

This observation: As to those conferences which will be receiving apportionment of less than twenty percent, the question might well be asked and has been asked by some, "Should they not be asked to take now a full twenty percent increase in view of the fact that you are asking for 15 or 20 percent increase"?

The answer is that they have already been paying more than the average of the church, and under the new apportionment, will be paying above the per capita apportionment of the church. Under the new apportionment, they will still be paying heavier than other conferences.

Those conferences which are receiving an apportionment of less than 20 percent increase now are challenged by themselves, not challenged by us—not challenged by you—to pick up a new appeal and to carry that appeal forward. We have already an indication from some of the conferences that they are going to get busy and really bear down. They are doing a good job in this regard and they intend to increase.

As to conferences receiving apportionment of more than 20 percent, I think you will find in the various columns on the right-hand side that they will still be paying less on a per capita basis than the average church. Mr. Chairman, that, in substance, presents the rather technical phase of the presentation of the formula. I move its approval.

Report No. 2 Adopted

Bishop Ensley: The motion before us is a motion to adopt report Two. May the Chair say that we are within four minutes of the time for the noon recess—that is, the time for the announcements and then the recess. The coordinating council very graciously yielded their time this morning for the presentation of these reports.

The motion is to adopt Report Two of the Council on World Service and Finance. Are you ready to vote? As many as will adopt the report number two, lift the hand. Opposed? It is adopted.

Can we do any more work? (DCA, page 248; see Appendix, page 2074.)

Report No. 3—Council of World Service and Finance—R. Franklin Thompson

R. Franklin Thompson (Pacific Northwest—W): Chairman, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Conference, Report Three is in the Report.

There is an increase in the allowance for bishops. The amount for a retired bishop is also increased, but we have had a ruling from the income tax department that the allowance for a house is deductible.

The residence remains as it was last year. These figures are in the report. The office expense is not increased. Office equipment is as it was. The rest of it is found to be details of allocation in spelling out the carrying out of the laws.

Unless there are questions, I pass onto section 2 of this report.

Inasmuch, Bishop Ensley and gentlemen, as there will be a definite recommendation from the Committee on Structure for the Overseas Church, the Commission on World Service and Finance would like to defer action on section 2 of Report Three until that commission reports. Therefore, I would go to item 3, Reserve for Pensions for Retired Bishops.

We recommend that this be transferred to the Board of Pensions for the funding of this except for the fact that it will be necessary to transfer over $390,000 if the General Conference approves the raise in salaries for the bishops and pensions for widows.

If you adopt my report, this takes for granted you will authorize the transfer of this money from the Council to the Board of Pensions.

Item 4 is automatic.

Item 5 is self explanatory.

Item 6, Apportionment is felt to be basically 2 percent of the pastors' salary, not to exceed 2½ percent at any time during the quadrennium.

Item 7 is self explanatory.

I move adoption of Report Three.

Amendment Proposed—John H. Rixse, Jr.

John H. Rixse, Jr. (Virginia—SE) moved an amendment to the Report to change the words "To one meeting" to read "not more than one meeting per year." The Amendment was accepted by the Council. (DCA, page 249.)

Inquiries—Ezekias G. Gacutan—Leonard Slutz

Questions were asked by Ezekias G. Gacutan (Northwest Philippines—OS) and Leonard Slutz (Ohio—NC).

Inquiry—Paul Wiant

Paul Wiant (Ohio—NC): Is there any provision here for pensions for Central Conference Bishops' Widows? I see none in the text.

Mr. Thompson: This is taken care of by the Council under the Central Conference Area.

Motion to Extend Time—Chester A. Smith

Chester A. Smith (New York—NE) moved to extend the time. It was not extended. (DCA, page 249.)

Announcements

Leon T. Moore, Secretary, made announcements and re-

ferred a communication from Cuban Methodism to the Overseas Commission.

L. Scott Allen (Georgia—C) made an announcement regarding the afternoon preaching service.

Conflict in Schedule

Bishop W. Vernon Middleton and J. Otis Young explained to the Conference the conflict between the evening session and the rehearsal for the Saturday evening program.

Motion Re Schedule—John R. Van Sickle

John R. Van Sickle (Rock River—NC) moved that a session be held at 2:30 P.M. and that the Legislative Committees meet during the evening. It was so ordered. (DCA, page 250.)

Benediction—Bishop Charles W. Brashares

The Benediction was pronounced by Bishop Charles W. Brashares of the Chicago Area—NC.

SIXTH DAY, FRIDAY, MAY 1, 1964
AFTERNOON SESSION

Opening—Bishop Glenn R. Phillips, Presiding

Pursuant to adjournment, the 1964 session of the General Conference of The Methodist Church convened in the afternoon session of the sixth day, Friday, May 1, 1964, at 2:30 o'clock, in the Civic Arena, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, with Bishop Glenn R. Phillips of the Denver Area—W, presiding.

Hymn No. 122, "Stay, Master, Stay Upon This Heavenly Hill," was sung. Bishop Zottele of Chile offered the following prayer:

Our Heavenly Father, Thou who are the light and life of the world, help us in this day of worship and service. As you called the disciples of Thy Gospel to make the light shine throughout the world, make Thy ways known upon the earth as a Savior to all nations.

Bless Thy servants, our sisters and brothers, throughout the whole wide world by proclaiming the message of salvation for individuals and for the restoration of society.

Enrich us with Thy blessing, prosper us in our labor, and give them reward for Thy work.

Lord of the Harvest, we pray Thee to send forth more laborers into all sections of the world. May they sow the seed and reap the truth of their labor, and may our dear Methodist Church throughout the world serve as we have never served, and Thy power so that our Church may, in this special hour of the world, proclaim the word that saves human beings.

We pray for our leader. We pray for these sisters and brothers in this great General Conference. May we here be doing the will of Jesus our Lord and our Redeemer. In His name we ask it. Amen.

Agenda Committee Report Called For

The Chair called for a report from the Agenda Committee.

Agenda Committee Report—J. Otis Young

J. Otis Young (Ohio—NC) reported on the agenda for the afternoon and it was adopted. (DCA, page 274.)

Orders of the Day Fixed

The Report of the Commission on Church Union was fixed as an Order of the Day for 9:15 A.M., Tuesday, May 5.

Mr. Young presented further recommendation to fix as an Order of the Day for Saturday, May 2, 10:15 A.M., presentation of the Pittsburgh Local Committee, and it was adopted.

Mr. Young recommended as a fixed Order of the Day for Saturday, May 2, at 10:55 A.M., the Report of the Committee on The Methodist Church and Race. This was approved.

Matter of Privilege—Claude Garrison

Claude Garrison (Ohio—NC) expressed regret that parliamentary situation prevented James B. Dennis of Liberia from speaking at length, and voiced the good will and wishes of the Conference to all overseas delegates. The Conference concurred.

Report No. 4—Council on World Service and Finance—Edwin L. Jones, Sr.

Edwin L. Jones, Sr. (Western North Carolina—SE): Mr. Chairman, we are presenting the rest of the report of the Council on World Service and Finance, and we promise you we will try to make it as concise and as brief as we can, and with your help, we think we will not be here too long.

Report No. 4 and Report No. 5 will be presented by *Dr. Franklin Thompson.*

Reports No. 6 and 8 by *Dr. Asbury Smith.*

Report No. 9 and 10 by *Dr. Nat Long.*

Report No. 7 by me.

Bishop Phillips: Thank you, Mr. Jones.

Dr. Thompson: Bishop Phillips, ladies and gentlemen of the Conference, report No. 4, page 70, is the one before you at the moment. It is practically self-explanatory except for one or two items. The General Conference expense is much less than was previously, because we expected this General Conference, if Amendment XII would have been passed, would be much larger. The Council reduced this amount because it passed this differential on to the general church.

Item 18, Commission on Interjurisdictional Relations, is dependent on the action of this General Conference as to whether or not this Commission is continued. I think the other items, sir, are self-explanatory.

It has been the action of this Conference previously, and the Council for World Service recommends adoption of Report No. 4.

Amendment Proposed—Charles A. Sayre

Charles A. Sayre (New Jersey—NE): Mr. Chairman, I think this is so evidentially the will of this Conference, it will require no speech or discussion.

It is presented not as a change or amendment, but refers to the item of $20,000 on Interjurisdictional Relations.

"The Council on World Service and Finance shall be given authority to increase the annual maximum allocated to the Commission on Interjurisdictional relations if, in the judgment of the Council on World Service, the work of the Commission needs further implementation, subject to continuance of the Commission by the General Conference."

That is the amendment.

Bishop Phillips: This is an amendment. Will the committee accept it?

Mr. Jones: The Committee accepts.

Resolution Offered—Robert H. Courtney

Robert H. Courtney (North-East Ohio—NC): I make a motion in the form of a resolution, if I may. I would like to ask the Council on World Finance to give further consideration to the item on church union. If I have a second, I will discuss it briefly.

Bishop Phillips: Is there a second?

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Phillips: It is seconded.

Mr. Courtney: I think we are unrealistic to have such a small amount to cover the activities of the Committee on Church Union. The number of men with whom I am personally acquainted who are involved in this have indicated to me that as conversations on church union in an ecumenical sense are carried on, we find it difficult to find money to send representatives to cover the expenses of these men to do this job.

I offer this in the hope that we would ask the Commission to consider this further and have in the record if further funds are needed, we have some record of it.

Bishop Phillips: You have the motion and the second. Is there further discussion? Are you ready to vote? As many as favor this request for further consideration of the item relating to the item on church union, lift the hand. Those opposed. It is adopted. (DCA, page 275.)

Report No. 4 Adopted

Report No. 4 was adopted. (DCA, page 275; see Appendix, page 2087.)

Report No. 5—Council on World Service and Finance—R. Franklin Thompson

R. Franklin Thompson (Pacific Northwest—W): Report No. 5 is before you. This is a self-explanatory item. The Council on World Service and Finance has gone over it very carefully. It is an item difficult to analyze. There have been many more requests than the Council could, in good conscience, indicate. This is the allocation as made. It deals with the National Council of Churches, World Council of Churches. There is one item I would call to your attention, page 71, column 3, the very last word, "committee."

We would like to ask that this be editorially deleted from the report.

I move you, sir, the adoption of Report No. 5.

Bishop Phillips: Report No. 5 is before you. Is there any discussion. Are there any questions? If not, are you ready to vote?

As many as will adopt will lift the hand. Those opposed, the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 275; see Appendix, page 2091.)

Report No. 6—Council of World Service and Finance—C. Asbury Smith

C. Asbury Smith (Baltimore—NE): Mr. Chairman, Report No. 6. This is in fulfillment of the disciplinary paragraph 72, and provides that agencies receiving World Service funds shall cooperate with the Commission in a study of the respective funds, programs, internal operations and institute such improvements and economies in their work as they find to be feasible and practicable. This report indicates the fulfillment of the charge to carry out this responsibility. It is divided in sections; first, decisions and policies.

Next, organization and reassignment of staff.

Next, interboard and intercooperative agencies.

Literature.

Promotional material.

Note a distinction has been made between service literature and promotional literature, and particular reference is made to effective work of the Methodist story.

Discussions given of the personnel practices and policies in an attempt to standardize with the agencies being served.

The last section deals with office equipment, practices, and procedures, and attempt to keep offices working on an economical and efficient basis.

There is a request that this paragraph be continued in the *Discipline*.

I move approval.

Bishop Phillips: You have this before you. Is there any question? Are you ready to vote?

As many as will adopt, lift the hand.

Opposed, the same sign.

It is adopted. (DCA, page 275; see Appendix, page 2095.)

Report No. 8—Council of World Service and Finance—C. Asbury Smith

C. Asbury Smith (Baltimore—NE): Report 8. Specific duties. This, again, is responsibility with which the Commission has been charged in paragraph 737, different sections indicated.

The first responsibility is budget which is already reported in Report 1. The second responsibility is the audit of budgets, and we report that this has been carried out.

The next is the authority of the Commission to eliminate or withhold funds from people who do not cooperate in unnecessary duplication. We are pleased to report this has not been necessary.

Other concerns, promotions of the World Service program, we report we made a study of these phases of the World Service agencies, and have tried as best we can to see that all possible funds go into the service and to give the Church the maximum dollar value for what they have spent.

Report on special days, report No. 7, the responsibility of the comptroller is exercised by Dr. Deans.

The Council appointed a standing committee to review the policies of all agencies to fulfill this disciplinary requirement as to the dispensing of funds under our control.

The next reference is to the treasury functions. No request was made for this. This was put in in case some agency was so small it was not practical to set up an agency for their own expenses. This same holds for the next section.

There is a recommendation on standard annuity rates. This charge

has been fulfilled under the direction of Dr. Homer McGee.

Committee on wills, bequest and gifts, has also operated under the direction of Dr. McGee. You have probably seen the excellent movie they produced.

The administration of funds reported in Reports 3, 4 and 5.

Report of funds for this year have been turned over to the Commission, and again comes under a recommendation in the next paragraph for the next quadrennium ahead, so that such funds may be made a part of the World Service fund.

The very last paragraph, the language we request could be taken as a recommendation. It was so intended. The authority of the Commission to continue its study of church mortgages be continued to the next quadrennium.

I move its adoption.

It was adopted. (DCA, page 276; see Appendix, page 2100.)

Report No. 7—Council on World Service and Finance—Edwin L. Jones, Sr.

Edwin L. Jones, Sr. (Western North Carolina—SE): Mr. Chairman and members of the Conference, we are now presenting Report No. 7, found on page 73. This is almost exactly like the report four years ago which you adopted. It does not add any other special days with special offerings and is the minimum which we could recommend.

I do want to hold up one item in this recommendation which I hope will get wide publicity, and that is paragraph No. 50, the bottom of page 73, Race Relations.

You know, it has been stated that the goal for this race-relations Special Offering is $1 million per annum, so that when you adopt this, you will be adopting a goal of $4 million during the quadrennium for money that will be desperately needed and will go to the operational expenses of our Negro colleges and their maintenance.

Also this morning you adopted the World Service budget which had in it an item of $500,000 per annum, which will bring the institutions to the status of accreditation, in other words, put them in position to do a quality job. You are adding $2 million to the quadrennium, and this $4 million, plus the amount to be spent on the Negro colleges of their needs through the regular funds of the Board of Education.

We will have not less than $7 million in this quadrennium directly appropriated by this Conference for quality education in our own Negro institutions and for making them the sort of colleges that we want them to be.

I move the adoption.

Amendment Proposed—John C. Soltman

John C. Soltman (Pacific Northwest—W) moved to amend the Report by changing "Church School Rally Day" to "Christian Education Day."

Explanation—Clarence L. Fossett

Clarence L. Fossett (Baltimore—NE) spoke to the matter stating that the proposal would come from the Legislative Committee on Education.

Ernest T. Dixon (West Texas—C) and *D. Trigg James, Sr.* (Holston—SE) also spoke to the matter. The Soltman amendment was withdrawn.

Report No. 7 Adopted

Report No. 7 was adopted. (DCA, page 276; See Appendix, page 2098.)

Report No. 9—Council on World Service and Finance—Nat G. Long

Nat G. Long (North Georgia—SE): Mr. Chairman and Members of the Conference, I am making report on No. 9.

The Council on World Service and Finance presents the following recommendations for which it seeks the approval of this General Conference. There are 10. The first one, World Service and Benevolences. Many churches have a unified budget and for that reason, World Service is forgotten, and we are asking this General Conference to authorize the churches who do have such a unified budget to give special attention to and to support the World Service so that the cause of World Service will not be forgotten.

We secondly suggest that we ask the churches to provide a means whereby persons who desire to do it may make additional gifts to World Service over and beyond the amount of World Service in the local church budget.

In some Annual Conferences, there are included World Service Conference items in the benevolence budget, and we are urging that this distinction be guarded. We are asking that the apportionment for the money needed and the collection of money needed for administration items in the Annual Conference, the apportionments be made separately and raised separately from the benevolence budget.

We ask that the Annual Conferences keep the people aware that World Service asking is a minimum and not a ceiling. We ask that all treasurers be urged to transmit promptly World Service funds to the central treasurer.

The second item has to do with the budget of the Central Office and Council on World Service, the costs of the Central Treasury and Council on World Service, a charge against the World Service Fund, the General Administration Fund, the Interdenominational Fund in proportion to the receipts; the budget of the Central treasurer is recommended as $190,000 a year for 1964, to 1968, and the budget for the Council itself, $24,000 annually.

The third item has to do with the budget for the Commission on Promotion and Cultivation. The cost of this commission is distributed among the funds, World Service, General Administration, One Great Hour of Sharing, Fellowship of Suffering and Service, Interdenominational Cooperation Fund, Television and Radio Ministry Fund.

At this time, the amount allocated to the Commission on Promotion and Cultivation from World Service Fund is $801,515. That is to be on fixed payment and not a prior claim. The total budget of this Commission will be $1,289,109 of which $801,515 is from the World Service Fund fixed payment, and the remainder will come from the promoted funds. In other words, the expenses for this commission will be a fixed charge against World Service in the amount of $801,515, and prior claims on all other promoted funds.

The Council would like to reserve the right to make adjustments of these two prior claims, only two prior claims in the budget, one

for the Central Treasury, and the other the Council for World Service.

The General Administration Fund, we recommend that the Central Treasury keep a record of the amounts paid in by the Annual Conferences, and if at the end of the year any Annual Conference fails to pay the amount apportioned to it, it will be charged that amount for the next year, or if one pays more than it should pay, that annual conference will get credit in the next year, but each Annual Conference will pay in full the amount apportioned for the quadrennium. If an Annual Conference at the end of May 31, 1964, has overpaid for the quadrennium, the amount of overpayment will be credited to the next quadrennium.

If a conference on that date has failed to pay its apportionment, the deficit will be paid during the 1964-1968 years in the new quadrennium. Payments to these funds will be reported to the Bishop, to the president of the annual Conference commission, the conference treasurer and other leaders as may be deemed necessary by the Council on World Service.

The Interdenominational fund, we are asking the General Conference to authorize the Central Treasury to prepare information concerning interdenominational funds, and that this information be presented along with the receipts from this fund to the leaders of the church as the Council may deem necessary.

Prior claims. The Commission reserves the right to determine validity of claims being made upon these funds as listed. If the General Conference has not specifically set forth such matters, we request this.

The World Service Manual. We have a World Service Manual for the guidance of local church commissions on finance, local church treasurers, annual conference treasurers, and we are asking this be revised, as necessary, and be made available to the local church commissions and Annual Conference commissions on finance.

We are asking that the Methodist finance book be reissued for 1965 and 1966.

We are asking that the apportionment for the Annual Conferences be reported immediately to the Annual Conferences after the General Conference, and that the new apportionment take effect as of June 1, 1964.

We recommend a continued study of promotional cost. We want a committee to study promotional procedures and make a report to the Council as early as possible during 1964-1968. That will be a committee appointed by the Council itself.

Then we are asking that the Council be instructed to set up a committee to study our entire benevolent program and that we ask the Commission on Promotion to report at least twice during the quadrennium to the Council, preferably the first annual meeting, the first year, and the annual meeting in the third year.

The last item deals with the salaries of the secretaries. The maximum announced, plus housing, will not exceed the salary for the bishops. This is the maximum and it is not a requirement. Salaries of part-time or full-time secretaries of special or quadrennial committees ordered by the General Conference, will be set after consultation of the World Service and Finance Commission.

I move adoption of Report 9.

Inquiry—Ted Hightower

Ted Hightower (Louisville—SE) inquired if two budgets are to be sent down to the Annual Conferences. He also

inquired about the date of the fiscal year being established as June 1st, and quoted from the *Discipline.*

Answers—Edwin L. Jones, Sr.—Harold S. Swales

Edwin L. Jones, Sr. (Western North Carolina—SE) answered that, for the sake of uniformity, the date had been fixed as June 1st, and is the beginning of the fiscal year.

Harold S. Swales (Central New York—NE) spoke and quoted the *Discipline:* "All actions of the General Conference of The Methodist Church become effective on the final adjournment of the session unless otherwise specified."

Inquiry—Russell Kibler

Russell Kibler (Indiana—NC): Under No. 5, it says, down about midway, "We recommend any credit or deficit occurring in an Annual Conference at the close of the current fiscal year shall be credited as a surplus or deficit."

Does that apply on our apportionment, the entire apportionment? In other words, if my Annual Conference overpays, do we get credit on the next quadrennium?

Dr. Long: This is General Administration Fund.

Dr. Kibler: It doesn't apply to the apportionment?

Dr. Long: It does not.

Inquiry—Charles W. Kessler

Charles W. Kessler (Troy—NE): On page 75, center column, under three, the sum of $1,289,109. Is that amount the same as was included in the budget of the past quadrennium, or is it an increase?

Dr. Long: There is an increase as far as the World Service Fund, and I think it is possible an increase in all others also. The increase in the World Service is about $50,000, something like that, and at this time, we are asking to be on fixed payments and not prior claims. The other amounts, of this total, $1,289,109, the general quota may go up somewhat according to the course of promotion, the amount of funds that come in from the various promoted funds. There might be emergencies, but I believe the emergencies will take care of their own promotions.

Inquiry—Ted Hightower

Ted Hightower (Louisville—SE): I have no ambition to be a nuisance at this point or any other particularly, but I would like to ask you, sir, to give us a ruling and then on the basis of that the possibility of a motion.

The sentence under 10, column 3, page 75, which says the new apportionments become effective June 1, 1964, does this constitute a change of the rule so that we would have to do anything more than just vote on it here?

Bishop Phillips: Specifically, what do you have in mind.

Dr. Hightower: The changing of the date of effectiveness is different from Rule 38. There is a provision there. Do we have to do anything to the rules, or can we do it just by vote?

Bishop Phillips: It would be my feeling that you wouldn't have to have any special action.

Move to Delete—Ted Hightower

Ted Hightower moved the deletion of the sentence in Column 3, under 10, first paragraph; "The new apportionment will become effective as of June 1, 1964."

Statements Against—John D. Herr; For—G. Alfred Brown

John D. Herr (Philadelphia—NE) spoke against the deletion and *G. Alfred Brown* (Central Texas—SC) spoke for the deletion.

Amendment to Delete Not Carried

The vote on the deletion was taken. It did not prevail. (DCA, page 278.)

Report No. 9 Adopted

Report No. 9 was adopted. (DCA, page 278; see Appendix, page 2103.)

Report No. 10—Council on World Service and Finance—Nat G. Long

Nat G. Long (North Georgia—SE): This recommends legislation. This first deals with the transfer of the wills and donations programs to the Council on World Service.

In order to effect that, we recommend the deletion of Paragraph 737.11 which now provides that there be a Committee on Wills and Bequests under the Council on World Service. Then we recommend the insertion of a new paragraph to be Numbered 737.11 that will provide that the World Service Council let its several bequests, gifts, wills, made by persons to one or more agencies, and if the money is not designated, whatever comes in from these gifts and wills be distributed to the causes on even ratio.

Section 1 of 1403.9 will provide the same thing as a paragraph which puts the Committee on Council of World Service, except this is put under the Board of Lay Activities.

We suggest the insertion of a new paragraph in Section 11 to be numbered 813. This will provide that a bishop may appoint or an annual conference may elect a committee on wills, and so forth. This committee will encourage the Methodists to make wills to their local churches and agencies of the church. This committee will cooperate with the local committee and with the Board of Lay Activities.

The next section has to do with the local church committee on wills and bequests, and so on.

We recommend the amendment of Paragraph 145.10, Chapter 3, Section 2, 1960 *Discipline* so that the Commission will be given to the Committee to report the name and address of the chairman, not to the Council on World Service and Finance, but to the Board of Lay Activities.

The Third item deals with the establishment of a convention

bureau. We insert a new paragraph to be numbered 1120.8. This provides for maintenance and supervision of a convention bureau to serve all agencies of the church.

The Fourth item has to do with extension, promotion, and cultivation. We suggest that Paragraph 754 be amended in such a way as to take the expenses for this commission out of prior claims and put it on a fixed payment basis as far as World Service is concerned.

Item No. Five deals with the official forms and records of the Church. We want to amend Paragraph 1120.6 which now provides that the Council shall prepare and edit all official forms and blanks except those records which can be used by the church school and by the Woman's Division of Christian Service.

The Paragraph now provides that there will be a committee with whom the Council will work and that committee will be composed of representatives of the publishing house and the programs involved.

The committee working on this wants to make the committee working with them—talking about the committee on World Service—they want the committee to be more specific when they are naming ten members, one bishop selected by the Council of Bishops, six persons selected from the council's full membership, one conference secretary, one annual conference statistician, selected by the Conference. Other members will be ex officio, without vote.

The next item deals with research, records and statistics. We want to consolidate two paragraphs, 1120.5 and 1120.8, and form them into a new paragraph to be numbered 1120.5.

The present 1120.5 now provides for the Department of Research and Statistics. The present 1120.8 now provides for what is known as a File of Pastors and Church Officials.

Dr. Cooke and his office wants to organize this differently. They want to have three departments, a department of research, a department of records and department of statistics. The same work will be done and it will be done under these departments and will bring this in line with what the office wants it to do. The legislation of these simply makes this possible.

The expenses of the three departments will be borne by the General Administration Fund.

I move adoption of Report No. 10.

Amendment Proposed—H. Clair Heitzenrater

H. Clair Heitzenrater (Western Pennsylvania—NE): On Page 76, Item 737.11, down three fourths of the way where it says, "And the income therefrom be distributed annually to the World Service Agencies."

I would like to amend it to read instead of World Service Agencies, the Board of Missions, according to the World Service ratio.

Bishop Phillips: Would you repeat it again? I am not clear.

Mr. Heitzenrater: Instead of the money being distributed to all the World Service Agencies according to the World Service ratio, that it go only to the Board of Missions and be distributed according to the ratio of the Nation and World Missions.

Dr. Long: You should be on page 76. The paragraph to which you refer has been deleted and the new paragraph is on the next page. I believe you will find that to be true.

Mr. Heitzenrater: I am sorry. Near the top of page 77, where it says, "the money for these sources where not otherwise designated shall be invested by the Council and the income therefrom be distributed annually to the World Service Agencies."

My motion is that this be deleted and replace, instead, to "Board

of Missions" which would give the monies to the National and World Board and not to the other agencies within the World Service.

Bishop Phillips: Is there a second?

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Phillips: It is seconded.

Mr. Heitzenrater: May I speak?

In many cases, when money is given to the Board of Missions or World Service, many people feel that this goes out on the mission fields. This would place in this agency monies coming from world agencies and the money would go either to the World or to the National Board.

Bishop Phillips: I would like to ask the Council members here if this has been considered? Can you answer that?

Dr. Long: We do not accept that, sir.

Statements Opposing Amendment—G. Alfred Brown—Lawrence F. Almond

G. Alfred Brown (Central Texas—SC): I believe if you read that, we will see that we could not pass such a resolution. Under the insertions, it shall receive bequests and monies in the interest of World Service, and one or more of the World Service Agencies. People have a right to designate where their gift shall go, and we would have no right to deny them that privilege or we would be guilty of misappropriation of funds. I do not believe that we could or should pass this.

Lawrence F. Almond (New England Southern—NE): Since this does provide that any one who desires his money to go for missions can so designate, and does provide that undesignated funds shall be distributed to the various agencies, I hope that we defeat this amendment and leave it as it is.

Amendment Defeated

The vote on the Amendment was taken and the Amendment was lost. (DCA, page 279.)

Amendment Proposed—John B. Warman

John B. Warman (Western Pennsylvania—NE): On page 76, in that portion of the report dealing with Committee of Official Forms and Records, I would move to amend it by deletion and insertion. Down in the bold-faced type where it says, "The Committee shall consist of 10 members," I would change the reading to "The Committee shall consist of 11 members" and then down a little later where it says, "One Annual Conference secretary," I would then insert, "And one District Superintendent."

If there is a second, I would like to say just a word about this.

Mr. Jones: We will accept this.

Motion to Retain Present Provision—J. C. Hawkins

J. C. Hawkins (Missouri East—SC): As we look at this report and recommendation on page 77, under "Research, Records and Sta-

tistics," we have printed in the first column, three fourths of the way down, the paragraph as it now is.

The way it is now, we have a secretary, statistician, and so forth, and we have recommendations that we get three departments instead of one. I think as a general church, we couldn't care less how that is organized and administered and to laymen it doesn't make any difference whether it is printed in the finest print or not. Our *Discipline* is already large. You have to do what you want. My recommendation is that we leave the *Discipline* as it is concerning this paragraph 1120.8.

Nat G. Long (North Georgia—SE): Mr. Chairman, there is a general secretary. There are three associated secretaries. The office has been organized under three associate secretaries. There are groups and departments. One group is headed by Dr. Magee, and it has certain responsibilities.

Another is headed by Dr. Strum, with the Research Department, Statistics Department, I.B.M. operator.

A third group is headed by Mr. J. E. Robinson, and it seems to me to try to save the *Discipline*, such as it is, we are going to make it difficult for them to operate.

Inquiry—John W. Tennant

John W. Tennant (Michigan—NC) inquired if the budgetary items represented an increase. *Nat G. Long* said, "Yes, about $112,000.00."

Inquiry—Richard H. Gantz

Richard H. Gantz (Central Illinois—NC) inquired if the facilities of this Department are available to Annual Conferences. The answer was, "Yes, and to all agencies of the Church."

Hawkins Motion Defeated

The vote was taken on the Hawkins Amendment and it was lost. (DCA, page 280.)

Inquiry—Clare N. Pettit

Clare N. Pettit (California-Nevada—W): Dealing with the transfer of funds from the World Service and Finance to the Lay Activities, I have a question.

Paragraph 13, listed on page 76 of the report, this provides that a Bishop may appoint or an Annual Conference may elect a Committee on Wills, Bequests, and Gifts.

As I interpret the set-up as Herr reported, this leaves the Conference Board of Lay Activities entirely out of the picture of wills and bequests. The question of the Chairman: Was this by intent or oversight?

Dr. Long: It was not by intent.

Mr. Pettit: May I suggest that the committee might accept a correction that the Bishop may appoint or Annual Conference may elect or may authorize the Conference Board of Lay Activities to elect. This is as a matter of correlation. The direct authority in the

Board of Lay Activities is from the local church through the district board, the conference board, to the general board. This is a matter of the general board program, and the conference board is not specifically tied in.

Dr. Long: Well, yes, it is. It says this will cooperate with the local church committee. You are talking about the Annual Conference?

Mr. Pettit: That is not clear. It is not clear.

Dr. Long: That might be left out.

Bishop Phillips: Will the Council accept "The Bishop may appoint or the Conference elect, or may authorize the Conference Board of Lay Activities to select."

Mr. Jones: We accept that.

Motion to Delete—George H. Proctor

George H. Proctor (Alabama-West Florida—SE) moved to delete the words "shall be invested by the Council" from Paragraph 11, DCA, page 76.

Inquiry—Erwin H. Schwiebert

Erwin H. Schwiebert (Idaho—W): I notice that the sentence in question says this: Monies from these sources, where not otherwise designated, shall be invested by the Council. As it stands, therefore, it leaves the opportunity for the donor to designate, or if he does not do so it goes into a fund which may then be invested.

Dr. Long: The man can designate it wherever he wants to.

Motion to Delete Defeated

The question on deletion was called for and the vote was taken. The motion to delete was lost. (DCA, page 280.)

Previous Question Moved—Sterling F. Wheeler

Sterling F. Wheeler (Southwest Texas—SC) moved the previous question. The motion was sustained. (DCA, page 281.)

Report No. 10 Adopted

Report No. 10 was adopted. (DCA, page 280; see Appendix, page 2106.)

Motion to Adopt Report as a Whole—Edwin L. Jones, Sr.

Edwin L. Jones, Sr. (Western North Carolina—SE) moved the adoption of the entire Report.

Point of Order—Ezekias G. Gacutan

Ezekias G. Gacutan (Northwest Philippines—OS) raised a Point of Order regarding Section 3 of Report No. 2, and Edwin L. Jones, Sr. clarified the matter.

Request Relative to Future Reports—Thomas L. Cromwell

Thomas L. Cromwell (North-East Ohio—NC) requested that future reports of the Council on World Service and Finance be mailed to each delegate thirty days before the opening of General Conference.

Action on the Cromwell motion was deferred temporarily.

Report as a Whole Adopted

The Vote was taken on the entire Report and it was adopted. (DCA, page 281.)

Cromwell Motion Considered

The Chair then asked for the Cromwell motion.

Statement Opposing Motion—Edwin L. Jones, Sr.

Edwin L. Jones, Sr. (Western North Carolina—SE): I would like to make a statement on behalf of the Council on World Service and Finance. We would be happy to do that, but it might go to you in a very rough draft and we would want it understood that way.

For instance, the Executive Committee of the Council met on Friday night before the Conference opened and before the Council met on Saturday, and we made changes in this report so they did not go into the hands of the printers until Saturday night. The printers in Pittsburgh worked Saturday night and Sunday to get this report ready, so you can see where it will put us, but we will be happy to do that. It must be understood it will be a tentative and rough draft and may not be the final report.

Motion Defeated

The Chair called for a vote on the Cromwell motion and it did not prevail. (DCA, page 281.)

Report of Study Committee on the Ministry—Bishop Donald H. Tippett

Bishop Donald H. Tippett (San Francisco—W): Mr. Chairman, members of the Conference, the General Conference at Denver sent out a mandate ordering a study in depth of the ministry. The mandate was an almost inclusive one, and I think I should read it to you that you might have it for your consideration. Incidentally, we will be referring to the report which is in this volume. The mandate is found on page 3, 1960, General Conference order that a study be made of the following.

The ministry of The Methodist Church in the light of historic Christianity for the purpose of clarifying the doctrine in relation to the ministry as bearing on the proper use of the terms such as minister, pastor, ordination, including the ordination of local preachers and their status in Methodism, administration of the sacraments, relation of supply pastors to the annual conference, the responsibilities of ministry, careers properly included in the ministerial office and offices to which episcopal appointment may be made, problems of recruitment, and the most effective use of our ministerial manpower.

The Committee selected was an excellent one, a very hard working one, and one that I was very proud to be associated with. I think that you would want to know more than just the names of the members of the committee. Let me indicate very briefly that we had on the Committee three bishops, one was a historian, Bishop Paul Garber; Bishop Fred Holloway came from the Methodist Protestant tradition and was very valuable in the study. I was the third bishop in that group.

There were two pastors, both of whom have served as chairmen of Annual Conference boards of ministerial training and qualification; Dr. Norman Clemmons of Baltimore, and Dr. Thomas Pryor of Kalamazoo.

There was one college president, Dr. Charles Thrift of the Southern Florida College, who had served on a study commission four years ago dealing with the theological-educational problems.

We had a dean of another Seminary, William Cannon, of the Candler School of Theology, Emory University, also a church historian of note, and also the Chairman of his conference board of ministerial training and qualification.

The president of Garrett Theological Seminary has had definite relationships with the Conference Boards of Ministerial Training and was well qualified to serve.

Dr. Harvey Potthoff, chairman of the Board of Ministerial Education.

Dr. Tracey K. Jones, Jr., represented the missionary interest.

Dr. John O. Gross, the general secretary of the Higher Education Division of the General Board of Education.

Dr. Gerald O. McCulloh, secretary of the Department of Ministerial Education, Division of Higher Education of the Board of Education, was elected our secretary and performed yeoman service during these four years of intensive study.

Dr. Ross Freeman, of the Religious Center of Emory University School of Theology, directed the collection and tabulation of the statistical material.

Dr. W. Thomas Smith and Dr. Harry Ziegler of our staff served as consultants throughout the study.

Now, the study was in many different areas of endeavor and consequently the tools that were employed in arriving at the conclusions differed from chapter to chapter as the methods employed varied, because of the nature of the matter being discussed and considered.

In every case, papers were prepared from biblical and historical material.

Some of the papers were refined as many as four times, and they were submitted for discussion and criticism to the factualities of the Methodist Theological Schools. The variation in their responses were numerous and valuable suggestions and clarifying comments were received and very carefully considered, and in many cases became an intimate and complete part of the program, sometimes in the exact working that came from the seminary.

In those parts of the study dealing with the structure and program of the study in the area of ministry, study papers were solicited from bishops, district superintendents, boards of ministerial training and qualifications, Methodist schools of theology and the Department of Ministerial Education.

To discover the general characteristics of the Methodist ministry, a series of three questionnaires were employed. One was mailed to every person under appointment to The Methodist Church, including all on trial, as well as full ministerial ministers in the Annual Conferences in the United States, and all were approved. The

second group gathered additional data from a sample of ministers and laymen on the functions and work of the minister.

A third phase of this part of the study provided special information on all persons who were first licensed to preach in 1962.

The usable responses from the mailing to all pastors numbered more than 18,000. That provided the most complete statistical study of the ministry in Methodism since unification. The general characteristics of the ministry are presented in summary with charts and tables in Chapter 8, which appears near the back of your report.

The charge to the ministers was submitted to representative cabinets of many different conferences, the district superintendents studied them, made their replies. It was a very careful and selected sampling.

The response to our amazement was universally favorable.

The recommendations regarding ordination were presented to the chairmen and registrars of the boards of Ministerial Training and Qualification in their most recent meeting at the Garrett Theological Seminary, and after very scrutinizing investigation and exhaustive debate and dialogue, a large majority expressed approval of the proposal for one ordination to the order of the traveling minister and deacon of those appointed to serve as pastors in charge.

We were very careful to see that we were not violating the constitution we labored under. We were operating under a division by the Judicial Council No. 58, which stated that under the constitution, the General Conference has unlimited authority, to fix the qualifications of elders and deacons, and accordingly a special account of this conference prescribing the ordination of an elder may take the place of ordination as a deacon, and that the ordination as a deacon may be omitted, is constitutional. On the basis of this constitutional ruling, we assumed that the study was within the realm of constitutional propriety.

We have assumed, then, from the beginning that the General Conference has this unlimited power and since it demonstrated this power on two occasions, once when it granted permission to the German Conference for one ordination and once when it denied the same request to the Switzerland Conference, indicating on two different occasions widely separated that this General Conference is confident that it does have power and that it may act on these matters.

The main issues this afternoon will be presented by five members that were selected by the study committee. First, and I will read all of them now, and the men will respond without their individual introduction in the order in which I read this development.

First, the Methodist Doctrine of the church. This was the first assignment in the mandate handed down to us by the General Conference in Denver. Dr. Harvey Potthoff, member of the Study Committee, will lead off discussing this doctrine. He will be followed by Dean William Cannon of Candler, on the meaning of ordination, with express reference to the deaconate.

He, in turn, will be followed by Dr. Norman Clemmons, who will deal with proposals on terminology.

Dr. Thomas Pryor, then, will speak on possible objections to the recommendations and commit the answers to them.

Finally, Bishop Fred Holloway will speak out of his own experience, which he had in a branch of the Methodism that had but a single order.

It is with a great deal of delight that I present these men to the General Conference. I offer my profound appreciation to them for the devoted way they dealt with this problem over a long four-year period. I might say in passing, we were not all of one mind as

we started, nor were we of one mind when we were well along in the study, but after the four years of working together on this, we came to the conclusions that are adopted in this paper, and we present them now in response to your order of four years ago.

Bishop Phillips: Thank you, Bishop Tippett.
Dr. Harvey Potthoff.

Dr. Potthoff: Bishop Phillips and members of the Conference, this Committee carried out its study in the thought that we cannot have a ministry without the roots, and the roots are important theologically.

The church cannot carry on its ministry except in the light of God's nature and God's saving and ministering involvement in the world, where men are struggling for a meaningful existence.

Ministry is God's business. The church is called to be an instrument of God's ministry and mission. Thus, drawing upon biblical, historical, theological resources, the study affirms that the church is grounded in the will and purpose of God.

The church is the divine human fellowship of faith in which Christ works by the Holy Spirit, a fellowship of those being saved, the redeemed and the redeeming, who bear witness to their faith in worship, mutual ministering, study, service, and evangelistic mission, ever seeking growth in grace to the end that love of God and man might increase in the world and the kingdom of God might be realized.

The church is called to be the church in the world, bringing its witnesses in faith and hope and love. The church exists to continue the ministry of Jesus Christ, and to exercise the ministry of reconciliation with which it has been entrusted, bringing men into a newness of life and hope. Authentic continuity in the church's life is continuity of this life and ministry in obedience to the call and claim of the living God.

There is no doctrine of the church peculiar to Methodism. Methodism takes its place in the main stream of Christian life and thought, but the history of Methodism does reveal distinctive methods and modes in ministering to spiritual needs.

Methodism combines in its life elements of the great historic church, and elements of the society. Sometimes this fact appears to create an internal tension, but sometimes it has been a great intensity and opens the door to a distinct contribution on the part of Methodism to the family of Christian churches.

Methodism is not assessable in character, emphasizing new light in spirit, the changed heart, the dedicated mind, the transformed person, the transformed society, a legion of personal experience and social responsibility.

The history of Methodism reveals its dynamic and functional character, to serve the present ages understood to be the calling of each generation of Methodists.

The ministry of a church is the concern of all Christians, as all are called into the Christian light, so all are called to share in the Church's life to witness. Christ's ministry is a ministry of the whole church, but within the body of Christ, there are diversities of functions and services. These must continually be studied and denied if the Church is to be real, relevant, and ever renewed.

The present study is a profounder undertaking than a mere tampering with machinery. It is a serious endeavor to help us move with deeper self understanding, more creative communication with other Christian Communions, and a more vital witness in a world of exciting new knowledge, and also responsibility. Against a background of these understandings and concerns, spelled out in greater

detail in preparatory papers and in the report, we would consider the ministry which is entrusted to us.

Bishop Phillips: Thank you.
Dean Cannon.

Dr. Cannon: Mr. Chairman, members of the General Conference, perhaps the most radical feature of the report is the recommendation to change the order of deacon to an office. Since I have come here to the General Conference I have heard a great deal of disagreement concerning this recommendation; but I am sure that every delegate, before taking action regarding it, wants to know the reason for this recommendation. The first reason for it is historical. If there is one clear lesson that history teaches about ministerial orders, it is the lesson that these orders are effective. They exist for a purpose. They help to further the advancement of the Church.

The minister, according to the New Testament definition, is the one who serves, and the good servant always adjusts himself and his works to them whom he serves. For example, in the New Testament, you can find at least five different types of ministry. There are the Apostles, the Prophets, the Teachers. There are also the Presbyters and Elders.

The first of these ministerial orders are charismatic, inspiring in character. The men called to them were chosen directly by God and inspired by the Holy Spirit. They were the chief leaders in the Church. They were not ordained, they were not set aside by men. As Paul put it, he served his Apostolate directly from God. He meant the Risen Christ.

The two lesser ministerial offices were perhaps orders. The order of a Prebyter derived from the Council of Elders of the Jewish Synagogue, the leader of a congregation. The Deacon, as described in the Book of Acts, was one set aside by the Apostles for managerial assistance, to distribute food to widows and those who needed help, and to carry out functions defined by the Apostles.

There is a sort of evolution that takes place, a development regarding these orders. For example, you find the Bishops who become the leaders of the ancient deriving their names from the Presbyters, but the office is not an office of Presbyters, it is an office of the Apostles, and so the powers and responsibilities and the dignity and the glory of the Apostolate falls on the Episcopals, and the Bishops become the officers of the Church.

The agreement among Christian Communities is agreement with the Bishops.

Ignatius of Antioch, for example, says, "The Church exists where her Bishop is." The administration of the Church is a relation of fellowships and relationships among the Bishops.

We Methodists are told that our two orders are taken from history. You move from a Dioconate, and from that you move up to an order, what is called in the Catholic Church as a Priest, but there was not that picture of orders in the earlier days of the Church.

For example, as late as the Middle Age, the Dioconate was a terminal office order. Hildebrand was a Deacon. In fact, some of the Deacons in Rome—the Archdeacon was next in power unto the Pope, and Hildebrand was elected from the Dioconate to the Papacy.

In Reformation times, the Church tended to select just one order because the Protestant reformers felt that that was nearer to the New Testament.

When we reach the Dioconate, we felt we had not gone against history, and even the Roman Catholics are now considering the restoration of the Dioconate as a terminal order, perhaps even al-

lowing deacons to get married, which would be quite a contrast to the ordained Priesthood.

The second reason underlying our recommendation at this point is an institutional reason. Perhaps you might call it even an ecumenical reason, because The Methodist Church, so highly respected in ecumenical circles, in so far as I know is the only major body in which ordination is not definition. In The Methodist Church, a layman can be ordained. In most Christian congregations, this is a common tradition in terms, because ordination means to them entrance into the ministry. It is that which defines the ministerial office, and so to be consistent with the ways of other major denominations, in order to further negotiations, if you please, toward a greater union in the ecumenical movement, we felt that this is a needed step to take.

The third reason is practical. I would not have you think for one moment that this special committee contemplates or even desires to do away with local preachers. That is a traditional Methodist title. That is an absolute necessity in contemporary Methodism. We could not function as a Church without our local preachers, so we thought we would magnify this office. Already the General Conference has given approval to the local pastor in the Church where he serves full ministerial rights so that he can perform the Sacraments, he can do everything that a minister needs to do in order to further the progress of his Church, and so we say, then, we confer upon many the dignity of being called a Deacon.

Wesley didn't especially like the title of Bishop, but Asbury justified that title by saying, "Mr. Wesley expects it."

We believe if we call an approved supply pastor entrusted to a church a deacon we give him a scriptural title. He is in the great tradition of Stephen the martyr, and Phillip the Evangelist. How fine it is.

Finally, dear friends, the reason is logical. I know you have already heard rumbles, "This is a terrible thing you have done. You have disregarded all theology."

You see, people say that we disturbed the orders, you disturb the ministry, and you acted with no theological reason. I ask what is the logical reason? If I were a Roman Catholic, I would understand. If I were a Greek Orthodox, I would understand. The Catholic Church has seven Sacraments. One of the Sacraments is the Sacrament of Ordination. They believe in apostolic succession and when the hands of the deacons are placed on the head of the person being ordained, he receives thereby sacramental grace.

I received an invitation not long ago—it looked like a wedding invitation, I thought it was, but it was an invitation from a young Catholic to his ordination as a priest. It said on there, "Ordained a Priest of Christ forever."

Well, that means that even though he disgraces his Church, and can't perform her services because of moral misbehavior, he is still a priest, and when a priest administers the sacraments, if he does it as a Priest, it is to make the wine and bread the body of Christ. We don't believe in that. We believe in the priesthood of all believers, and therefore, ordination is vocational and it is inspirational, and a man can lose his ordination, and we remove preachers who are not suited to their office from it, and they cease to be ministers of the gospel.

Our ordination is the ordination of the Holy Spirit, and when the Holy Spirit is conferred upon us in faith, we are able then to do what needs to be done to perform the office of a minister.

In the end, all ministerial orders are offices. They are functional. They depend on how well the duties are performed, and we need them to pray for and to receive the grace of God for our work.

I thank you.

Bishop Phillips: Thank you. Dean Clemmons will be the next, but first, the Chair recognizes this gentleman. Please give your name.

Richard A. Thornburg (New York East—NE): I would like to pose a question to Dean Cannon on behalf of the committee of which he is chairman. It seems to me we are beyond the agenda for this report. The Committee on Ministry voted that this be referred to a committee, and I am sure he is going to do so, but it seems to me these distinguished presentations should be before the actual discussion on the matter is taken.

If he is later going to move to refer this, it seems we might save the time of the General Conference in having them referred now so that the full presentation could be made at one time.

Is this not the decision of the Committee of the Ministry, and is this not the intention of Dean Cannon?

Bishop Tippett: Sir, the General Conference ordered this study. This committee must report to this General Conference. If the General Conference wishes to refer it this afternoon, that is the opportunity of the General Conference. It must be presented to you. You may do with it as you desire when the committee report is completed. We would like to complete the report without interruption, Mr. President, and let the Conference do as it desires.

Bishop Phillips: The Chair rules that this is quite in order. The next speaker will be Dr. Clemmons.

Dr. Norman Clemmons: Mr. Chairman, I hope the next few moments will not be entirely wasted in the time of the General Conference.

I am to deal with three of the major proposals of our report which I included in the recommendations which you will find on pages 108 and 109 of the report of the study of the ministry. I think you would like to turn to these pages as I speak.

The first of these proposals is regarding the use of the term "Minister." This is Recommendation No. 2, page 108.

There has been much confusion in the use of this term in Methodism. Staff members of the local churches, either ordained or conference members, as well as local preachers serving a temporary appointment have been called ministers.

We now recommend that a minister of The Methodist Church be a member of an annual conference in full connection who has been ordained as an elder. The demarcation line between a minister and layman would then be full connection or ordination as an elder.

A member of the conference on Trial would be designated as a minister on trial. He would be a layman in the process of becoming a minister.

Second, our recommendation with regard to the office of deacon. This is included in Recommendations 5 and 6, page 109. Dean Cannon has already given you, very effectively, the background for the making of the decision for this recommendation. The status of the approved supply pastor was one of the matters referred to our committee.

The term "supply" is no longer appropriate. It connotes a temporary status, whereas a person may serve as a supply for a lifetime, and a church may be filled by a series of supply pastors for a decade.

The feeling has developed, moreover, on the part of men and churches that this is a kind of a second class minister. Since the approved supply or a local preacher is a layman, the ordination of local preacher has been an ordination of layman which is not in line with the historic interpretation of ordination.

Furthermore, this is a limited ordination in that its rights can be exercised only in the church to which the person is appointed, and a temporary ordination can be exercised only while under appointment. This, too, differs greatly from the historic concept of ordination.

Our report, therefore, proposes as has been pointed out, that we make the dioconate an office rather than an order in which a person will be consecrated rather than ordained. Persons will become eligible to the office of deacon by the same process by which a local preacher now becomes eligible for appointment as a supply pastor.

While under appointment, the deacon would be authorized to exercise full pastoral function, including the administration of the Sacraments by the authority of the bishops who appointed them. When the appointment terminates, the person would cease to be a deacon and would revert to the eligible list.

As an office, the dioconate would be similar to the office of district superintendent. While under appointment, the superintendent exercises the functions of the office. When his appointment terminates, he ceases to exercise the functions and reverts to the eligible list, at least after three years. This proposal for the office of deacon would be believed to do four things:

First, it would discontinue the use of the term "Approved supply pastor" against which there has been widespread objection.

Second, it would elevate and dignify the status of the approved supply pastor, granting him the privilege and prestige of a historical and honored office, that of deacon.

These persons are essential if we are to provide pastors for all our churches. Their status ought to be thus dignified.

Third, it would give each church a pastor, whether a conference member or lay deacon, who is able to perform all pastoral services, including administration of the sacraments, or it would eliminate the ordination of laymen, reserving ordination for those meeting the full qualifications for conference membership as set up by the discipline and by the annual conference within the disciplinary provisions.

Fifth. The Sacraments would be administered only by persons who are ordained as elders or consecrated as deacons acting under the authority of an elder, the bishop.

According to our proposal, the ordination of deacon would be discontinued after the Annual Conferences of 1964. Conference members on trial would become deacons by virtue of their appointment to a pastoral charge, or to attend school, so that the person coming into the full ministry, and ultimately to be ordained elder, would first have been appointed to the office of deacon, and would have been a deacon for the time now required as being on trial. Also, we do propose that those who are now permitted to administer the Sacraments would continue to exercise that function until 1966, by which they would have had full time to qualify as deacons.

The third proposal regarding the order of the elders is covered in Recommendations 1 and 3, on page 108. The recommendation is that there be no change in the status or description of the order of elder, and that there be only one order and ordination, that of elder, granted only to the travelling minister in full connection. Ordination would then be limited to those who meet the qualifications for full conference membership and intend to give a lifetime of service to the church.

The plan to limit elders orders to full ministers of the conference would become effective in 1966 and would not be retroactive. Persons ordained as local deacon at this Conference, conferences of this year, could go on with their studies and if they complete them by 1966, could be ordained elders. Thereafter, there would be no

ordination of local preachers as elders, but persons holding local deacons or elders orders in 1966, would continue to hold them under the present terms for their retention.

In conclusion, our committee believes that this proposal would enhance the meaning of ordination and be in line with historic significance, the act by which the church sets apart certain persons for a lifetime ministry of spiritual service.

Thank you.

Thomas M. Pryor (Michigan—NC): Mr. Chairman, we are dealing here this afternoon with some of the most vital matters that will confront this Conference. Through the years of Methodist history, we have put patch upon patch until many of us do not even know what we are talking about. I have been asked by the Committee to highlight the issues on which you will be asked to vote. I hope you will think of them, not as a great mass of detail, necessary, of course, in order to underwrite this whole program, but simply think of the issues on which you are asked to vote.

May I make them clear to you in terms of three issues.

First, you are being asked to clarify the definition of a minister who is a minister in The Methodist Church. We are trying to put this in clear, crisp, concise language which the world of understanding will improve our church by this.

When the Committee made an investigation of the various ways in which the term "minister" was used, we were utterly amazed. One church listed a minister of custodial service.

In our church we would call him the custodian. We would not take away from him in any sense the fact that he was ministering—notice the verb—because the church has many ministries, and it calls upon him to witness to the works through ministry.

Let's get the noun, please. We tried to use other terms, but we find ourselves coming back and back and back again. This is the reason for trying to clarify the term "minister," the noun. I hope you will remember one of the issues is clarification of this term.

The second. We are proposing that there be only one ordination. We hope that the issues involved in this will not be confused by sentiment, emotion, simply because historically others have done it. We want you to look at the facts which have been presented in the report, which we hope will be in the thinking of all of these voting, and that you will look accurately at what we are proposing. We are suggesting that we deprive no congregation of the full ministerial services, but we are also insisting that this be done in conformity with a sincere, defensible, creative doctrine of ordination.

We are suggesting that the Church is the Body of Christ; and a congregation of faithful men in which the pure word of God is preached, and the sacraments fully administered, is the only valid ministration of the Church; but we are also suggesting that it would be unthinkable in American Methodism to create chapels or to have some other form of the Body of Christ in the Methodist family.

How can we get around this tremendous dilemma we are in, wherein we have laymen and the *Discipline* provides that local men may be ordained as local elders, local deacons, he is still a layman, but this ordination is temporary.

Can you in any way construct a valid theory of ordination that is temporary, that is limited? He can only perform these services in the congregation to which he is appointed.

To be consistent with the New Testament and with history, we are proposing that there be one order, that of elder and that the layman whom we will need in the foreseeable future to take care of at least a fourth of our churches be installed in the office of the Deacon, and that we follow her both historical and New Testament

uses, and send him, as the Bishop's man—and here I am reverting to the fourth century, because we discovered in the theory of ordination whenever a Bishop was ordained, all the Bishops took part. Where a presbyter was ordained, the Bishops who were present together with the Presbyters took part; but when the Deacon was set aside by the Fourth Century Church, he was ordained by one Bishop and sent by that Bishop to minister in his name. We are proposing that The Methodist Church keep its traditional church for a bridge in the great ecumenical moment, and we restore in this deaconate that we find both in history and tradition, the deacon, the layman, who the Church will need. He will go in the name of the Bishop to minister in this way. He will be able to perform all of the ministerial services which the Church demands in order to be a Church, but we will only do this when he is appointed as pastor-in-charge in a Church under the appointment of a Bishop.

We are suggesting here also that you take a good look at this in terms of a great ecumenical moment. Much of what we are proposing in this report is already being done in The Methodist Church. We have men sitting on this platform as Bishops of The Methodist Church who were never ordained deacons. They came up, you see, through the branches of Methodism—authentic branches of Methodism—that did not have the deaconate as a preparatory office for the priesthood.

We are suggesting that you take a look at British Methodism, one order. Remember we are talking about the relationship to the ecumenical program, and I think if you read the record accurately, you will discover that the British Church is nearer to some sort of creative dialogue with the Church of England than the American Church is at the present time with the Anglican Church. The problem of one order or two is not a realistic one. I am also suggesting that if you look at the Judicial Decision No. 58, you will discover that the General Conference has already gone on record as endorsing the single order in our branch, you see, of The Methodist Church in Germany.

May I just in conclusion mention two or three additional facts? The Evangelical United Brethren have only one order. We have had from that Committee on Church Union a complete endorsement of this particular proposal.

May I suggest that those men who know most about the problem that we are discussing, who can see the patch upon patch which we have put together in trying to get this discipline to function for the Church, the chairmen, the registrars, the boards, the ministers in training—these men met together in their fall session in Evanston in the fall of 1963. The whole report was presented to them in some detail. We had ample time for a discussion.

Let me just give you the report of their action on this report. Out of the total, there were only 17 who did not approve the total report in principle.

When it came to one office, 122 were in favor, and three opposed.

We were not quite as unanimous on the definition of the ministers because we had trouble, you see, getting the High Churchmen, and the Low Churchmen to agree on anything.

This is going to be a problem and we might as well face it. That is why we are saying that Methodism has been a bridge church. Even here, it was three to one in favor of this definition.

When it came to the office of the deacon rather than an order, again, it was overwhelming, 111 in favor and 16 opposed.

Mr. Chairman, it is my opinion that it is obvious we are not going to get all of these points of view harmonized. The High Churchmen against the Low Churchmen, for example, will not be willing to see eye to eye, but your Committee on Ministry believes that if this

General Conference will accept one order rather than two, if it will make the deaconate an office of dignity, and a service of gifts and graces, and do away with the term "approved supply," it will not only clarify our whole position, but make our church witness more effective of God's purpose in our day.

Bishop Fred G. Holloway: Before beginning, I must confess an idiosyncracy of mine, namely, the greater the attention of the congregation to an address of mine, the briefer the address.

As was indicated to you by Bishop Tippett, I am not here so much as a speaker as an exhibit. I am one of two bishops of The Methodist Church having come from the Methodist Protestant Church who did not experience ordination as a deacon. I have looked at the statistics of it, I have taken the approximate number of men now in Methodism who were not ordained deacons and the number of men who were ordained deacons, and I am obliged to inform you that the chances of a man becoming a bishop if he is not ordained a deacon, are 521.3 per cent greater than if he is an ordained deacon.

I may say to you that is Point No. 1.

My second observation is this: So far as I am concerned, everything that is projected here in my own mind is predicated on the fact that eventually and ultimately the hope of The Methodist Church lies in a fully educated ministry.

I wish to testify that the area of my responsibility concerns the type of work that is done by the young men graduating from college and theological school and coming into the Annual Conference, and they are of such a high order that we are anxious to, as rapidly as we can, increase their number to such a proportion that the number of those entering into the function of a deacon will be increased rapidly.

Third, I stress the fact already made that we are not eliminating the deaconate, but exalting it by restructuring it on the basis of function, rather than on a basis of right.

My fourth observation is this: accepting this we do away with the confusion that is found so often in the popular mind between the two ordinations. At any Annual Conference, we have the ordination of the deacons and the elders, and there is confusion in the mind of the laymen. Not all of them know the reason for this.

As a matter of fact, if you will permit an illustration that may help you to understand why I would like such confusion avoided, I will tell you about a circumstance that happened last Saturday night when the reception was being held for the bishops.

By the intervention of the printer's devil, my name and the name of my wife were omitted from the list of bishops. One of the persons going around helping to seat the people was watching the roster of bishops, and he read, "Bishop and Mrs. Henley," and looking at the program, said, "Bishop Holt, how are you tonight"? I said to him, "My name is Holloway."

He said, "You are not listed here."

I said, "I know, I was just elected a bishop today."

Whereupon, he put out his hand and congratulated me, took the hand of my wife and congratulated her and hoped she would be happy in the new mission.

I think anything we can do to avoid confusion will be helpful.

My fifth observation is this: In the area of West Virginia, 50 percent of the men who man our churches are supplies. This is due to the fact that West Virginia is a mountainous state, and a rule of the mountain is that there must be two sides. This means there is a little community on one side, another on the other side, each one very small and neither communicating very well with the other. In the

mountainous areas, there is a great many of the supply appointments.

I distributed this paper to many of the pastors in this area to study, and we had a cabinet meeting. I was interested to learn that each of these men believe the kind of structure now being conceived and being presented to you would eliminate the confusion as to the role of supply pastor, and not a one was other than favorable to it.

My sixth and final observation is this: You have already heard an appeal which reckons our constant relationship with history. We must relate ourselves to the contemporaneous scene also. Some of you have heard, I am sure, about the man who attended a church for the first time in 15 years on the Sunday following the assassination of President Kennedy. He told a friend of his the next day that he had done so and then added, there was nothing said or done different in that church yesterday than when I attended 15 years ago, and look what has gone on in the world in the past ten years.

As to some of these things, The Methodist Church must take a new look. While I would not tear myself away from traditions, I believe the things we do are relevant in the field and I believe this rule concerning the deaconate is relevant.

Motion to Refer—Mack B. Stokes

Mack B. Stokes (Holston—SE): I move that this able report of the Special Committee on the Study of the Ministry be referred to the Legislative Committee on the Ministry.

If I have a second to this, I would like to speak a word.

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Phillips: It is seconded.

Dr. Stokes: As chairman of the Committee on Ministry at the last Conference, I made the motion that this be referred to a committee for study. The Conference approved the recommendation. The reason for the request, of course, was that the Committee on Ministry had to deal with numerous memorials on which clear legislation could not be recommended without further study. There was a feeling that we ought to have the benefit of additional insight.

This excellent study before us carried out by able men is a result. It has much to commend it and doubtless will guide the thinking of the Church for a long time to come. It was expected that out of such a study there would come numerous memorials directly suggested by it.

This has been the case. In keeping with the new processes in each General Conference, these are now before the Committee on the Ministry which is in the process of giving the most careful consideration to them. It is appropriate therefore, that this Report on the Ministry and more especially the memorials pertaining to it, be referred to the Legislative Committee on the Ministry. It will save the time of the General Conference. It will make possible the presentation of all items pertaining to the ministry. For these reasons, I have so moved.

Statement Opposing Referral—Raoul C. Calkins

Raoul C. Calkins (Ohio—NC): For the same reasons yesterday I opposed the referral of the Report of the Committee of Thirty-Six to a Committee. I hereby oppose referral of this report of this Com-

mittee on Ministry. The reasons I gave at that time are these, and I think they are valid in this report.

First, there is an extremely limited time that any committee can consider anything from now on.

Secondly, this is a study of four years. I think it was directed by the General Conference. The report has been made to us. We have had it in our hands for weeks. The Committee on the Ministry could, in the last two days if they desired, have analyzed this and could bring any recommendation for change before the Conference. I trust we will not recommit this, but act upon it here on the floor.

Statement Favoring Referral—Marvin L. Boyd

Marvin L. Boyd (Northwest Texas—SC): I want to say a few words in favor of Dr. Stokes's motion to refer this to the Legislative Committee on Ministry. As this brother has said, he had the same reasons against referring the other report yesterday, and I say I have the same reasons for referring it as I had the other day, namely, that the Legislative Committees of this Convention are not to be short circuited in bringing legislation to the floor of the Conference from any Commission or Committee or any Administrative Body or board of the Church, but I do believe in this case we have an additional reason that is not pertaining to that particular matter; and that is, there is a sense in which this is not a Commission elected by the General Conference of The Methodist Church.

The facts are these if I remember correctly. Four years ago the Commission on Ministry of which Dr. Stokes was chairman recommended a study be made. Recommendations as to how that was to be made were given. It was authorized by the Council of Bishops. We were told that there was money available in a fund that made it possible to take care of this.

At that time, we were told there was money to finance such a study during this quadrennium. That was the basis on which the report went before the General Conference and was adopted.

I remember that Bishop Short, when he came to read the nominations from the Bishops, he had nominations for the Commission, but when he started to read them, he said, "We were told the money would not be available," and he was correct.

The provision was made in the closing days that this matter be referred to the Department of Ministerial Education.

Then, the Department of Ministerial Education elected the committee that has made this very excellent study. This committee was not elected by the General Conference and I think that makes a little difference in when we should have the matter before the Legislative Committee, or whether we should have it before the Legislative Committee of this Conference.

We are not under the compulsion of considering a report on this floor without having been before the Legislative Committee of this Conference, when it comes from a committee which was elected by the Department of Ministerial Education rather than the Conference itself.

Now I think we ought to refer it. This committee has been working on it. They had a special subcommittee on this report. They have been working on this since we have been here. They can save the General Conference some time by bringing their recommendations without having the arguments and speeches from this floor. We will have enough here, anyway, when it comes in, and if we let this committee bring in their report, it will save time.

I am in favor of this motion to refer.

Clarification—William R. Cannon

William R. Cannon (North Georgia—SE): Mr. Bishop, I stand here as a matter of high privilege and I also hope to clear up a rather awkward situation.

Mr. Thornburg referred to me. I happen to be the chairman of the Committee on Ministry and I was also a member of this commission. Now, it so happens that the legislative material that this commission reported on just now were referred as a memorial to the Committee on the Ministry. Therefore, they became the property of that committee to work on. This was reported by me to the commission, and yet the commission, eager to fulfill its responsibility by reporting directly to the General Conference, went ahead and made its report as properly it should have done.

However, I think that it will be absolutely necessary to permit the Committee on the Ministry to handle the material given to it since the Commission itself referred that material in a memorial to the General Conference.

If you rule on that, Bishop, I think we will save time and will move promptly.

Thank you.

Inquiry—Marion Brown

Marion Brown (Ohio—NC): I would like to ask the committee a question. If this is the study that was asked for at the last General Conference concerning the ministry—plural—of the church, or am I mistaken that the General Conference was asked to have a committee placed for this purpose of studying the ministry for the last four years.

May I have an answer to that? Then, I have a comment, if I may.

Bishop Tippett: The answer is in the mandate that a study be made of the ministry of The Methodist Church in the light of historic Christianity for the purpose of clarifying the doctrine of the church in relation to the ministry, and so forth and so on.

It deals with the ministry.

Miss Brown: Yes, sir I read that.

Bishop Tippett: That is the only mandate.

Miss Brown: Is there another committee that will report on the ministry of the Church?

Bishop Phillips: That matter, of course, will be referred if it is voted that way.

Miss Brown: I think there is an assumption that there was going to be a detailed study of the ministry of the Church, and since I have heard this report, I greatly appreciate it. I appreciate greatly the men who have been such wonderful workers on it, and I appreciate the men themselves, but when we begin to say, "Consecrated deacons," we also have consecrated deaconesses, and consecrated directors of Christian education. I think this whole field needs careful study.

Statement Favoring Referral—Don W. Holter

Don W. Holter (Kansas—SC): I think it ought to be clear as far as our committee was concerned, we voted unanimously to request that this be referred. One other item, I think in fairness to our committee, we had thought that this would come up tonight at 7:30, and we expected to have this afternoon in which to discuss this.

Just before noon, you changed the whole agenda which ruled out our committee meeting this afternoon. Therefore, I think it only fair for you to give us an opportunity to discuss this in committee, and especially inasmuch as we have the implementation of this whole material in our hands.

Report Referred

The Chair called for the motion and the Report was referred to the Committee on The Ministry.

Announcements

Announcements were given by the Secretary, *Leon T. Moore.*

Benediction

The Benediction was pronounced by Bishop Raymond J. Wade (retired).

SEVENTH DAY, SATURDAY, MAY 2, 1964
MORNING SESSION

Opening—Bishop John Wesley Lord

Pursuant to adjournment, the 1964 session of the General Conference of The Methodist Church convened in the morning session of the seventh day, Saturday, May 2, 1964, at 8:30 o'clock, in the Civic Arena, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, with Bishop John Wesley Lord of the Washington Area—NE, presiding.

Devotions—Bishop Ralph W. Ward

Bishop Ralph W. Ward conducted devotions.

The Conference sang Hymn 169, "Ye Servants of God." Bishop Ward read from the first chapter of Acts and spoke of the experiences that came to our Lord in the wilderness and related them to the experiences that come to us in the life of the Church today. (See Appendix, page 2218.)

Bishop Ward pronounced the benediction.

The Chair then made an announcement and requested the Conference to stand for a moment in silent prayer.

Announcement

The *Rev. Claude W. Fields,* pastor of St. Paul's Methodist Church, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, died as a result of a heart attack while attending the General Conference on May 1st. The Conference stood in silent prayer.

Committee on Journal—William M. James

William M. James (New York—NE) reported for the Committee on Journal: Mr. Chairman, members of the Conference, the Committee on Journal has examined the *Journal* record for the morning and afternoon sessions of May 1 and have found it correct except for minor editorial changes. The corrections are being made by the Journal Secretary.

I move the adoption.

The report was adopted. (DCA, page 289.)

Committee on Credentials—Paul M. Hann

Paul M. Hann (South Iowa—NC) reported for the Committee on Credentials: Bishop, members of the Conference, The Committee on Credentials has met and approved the changes made in the seating of delegates reported by the Chairmen of the Annual Conferences for April 30, May 1, and May 2. The delegates' names will appear in proper form in the *Journal.*

I move the adoption of the report.

The Report was adopted. (DCA, page 289.)

Committee on Agenda—Mrs. Charles W. Mead

Mrs. Charles W. Mead (Nebraska—SC) reported for the Committee on Agenda and moved that the Agenda as it appears in the *Daily Christian Advocate* be approved. The report was adopted. (DCA, page 289.)

Motion to Amend Agenda—Charles C. Parlin

Charles C. Parlin (Newark—NE) moved to provide an Order of the Day at 11:55 this morning for Bishop Mueller of the Evangelical United Brethren to speak. The amendment was voted. (DCA, page 289.)

Motion to Extend Time of Adjournment—J. Otis Young

J. Otis Young (Ohio—NC), Chairman of the Agenda Committee, moved that the morning session be extended in order to complete Report No. 1 from the Legislative Committee on Missions. It was voted. (DCA, page 289.)

Motion—Willis M. Tate

Willis M. Tate (North Texas—SC) Chairman of the Committee of Chairmen: There are 4,500 memorials before this General Conference. We have not acted on a single one. We have a lot of work to do. We have had—we have been squeezed out of time for committee meetings, as all of you know. We have had a particular hardship on those who serve on two legislative committees, and so I move, sir, that the committees 1 through 9 will meet at 2:00 o'clock this afternoon, with the exception of Committee No. 8, Pensions, who have completed their work, but all the other committees, legislative commitees 1 through 9, will meet from 2 to 3:45.

Committees 10 through 14 will meet at 4 o'clock this afternoon. We also would like to include in the motion that all committees will meet at 2:30 tomorrow afternoon. It will be necessary for us to work tomorrow afternoon in order to accomplish the work of the committees so that we can perfect the legislative part of this General Conference week.

The motion was carried. (DCA, page 289.)

Committee on Courtesies and Privileges—John B. Jones

John B. Jones (Baltimore—NE) reported for the Committee on Courtesies and Privileges and the Report was adopted.

Resolutions—J. Wesley Hole

J. Wesley Hole (Southern California-Arizona—W) presented the following Resolutions:

1. . . . That the Secretary of the Conference, *Leon T. Moore*, be authorized to appoint a Committee of Five to edit and produce the Journal of the 1964 General Conference.
2. . . . That *Leon T. Moore* be elected Secretary Emeritus for the 1968 General Conference.

These Resolutions were adpoted. (DCA, page 290.)

High Privilege—Eugene L. Smith

Eugene L. Smith (Newark—NE) Executive Secretary of the Division of World Missions of the Board of Missions presented Vaclav Hunaty and Vilem Schneeberger, the two delegates from Czechoslovakia.

High Privilege—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley (New York—NE) presented Dr. Ralph W. Sockman to the Conference. Dr. Sockman spoke as follows:

I deeply appreciate this gracious courtesy of Dr. Bosley and the General Conference. Since my retirement from Christ Church, I am talking more and thinking less.

I am glad to be back at the General Conference where I find so many old friends doing the same thing.

Agenda Announced—Bishop John Wesley Lord

The Chair announced the Agenda for the morning.

Commission on Promotion and Cultivation—Bishop William C. Martin

Bishop William C. Martin (Dallas-Fort Worth Area—SC): Mr. Chairman and members of the Conference, yesterday, on recommendation of your Council on World Service and Finance, you approved the largest general budget of the church which has ever been proposed. I am sure you did it with the understanding that meeting this goal would require outstanding stewardship quite above anything we have experienced thus far.

The church which has the responsibility for laying this appeal upon the hearts of our people is now about to make its report, the Commission of Cultivation. We think this will be interesting to each of us as minister and laymen who had a share in writing the report. To what extent it was a good report, the praise is yours. Wherever it has fallen short of its goal, you have your share in the responsibility, and we hope you are thinking not simply in terms of what has been done, but about what must be done if we reach the high aims which are before us, to say nothing of what will come later.

This Committee has the responsibility not only for making an appeal for service, but for other special appeals that come in unauthorized fashion, and unexpected fashion, because of calamities here and there. All this goes through this Commission.

The report will be like this. After the statement by our general secretary, Bishop Hazen G. Werner who has been there two quad-

rennia as chairman of the Advance Committee will be telling us about that phase of the work.

Bishop Lloyd C. Wicke carries the responsibility of the Committee for the presentation of the calendar for the One Great Hour of Sharing.

Bishop Mathews will be presenting to you the Crusade Scholars.

After, if there is time, Mr. Chairman, following that, I shall make a brief closing statement.

We are very fortunate in having as our general secretary of this Commission, a man who is well trained by gifts and graces, by his service as a pastor, district superintendent, secretary of the Board of Missions. Dr. Elliott Fisher has served his church in this place of his responsibility, and he will come now and make his report to the Conference.

Dr. Fisher.

Dr. Elliot L. Fisher of the Council of Secretaries addressed the Conference. (See Appendix, page 2192.)

High Privilege—James H. Laird

James H. Laird (Detroit—NC) called the attention of the Conference to the presence of a large number of visitors, seated in the balcony, who had come to the Conference to express both love and concern for the Church. He referred to the group as a "living memorial." He read the following statement for them:

"The delegates to the General Conference of The Methodist Church, Pittsburgh, May 2, 1964.

"Dear Brothers and Sisters in Christ: The time has come for judgment to begin with the household of God. We have come to Pittsburgh hopeful of renewal of the people called Methodists. We now find ourselves in dismay and sorrow at the failure of this Conference to provide leadership in removing racial injustice from within the household of God.

"Our brothers from overseas have spoken of embarrassment and failure. They return to a task made more difficult by our rebellion. The Central Jurisdiction has again been submitted to another denial to the rights of people of God. In this we have sinned against the call of God Almighty. We believe the clear call of God today is to eliminate the wound of blight and racism in our middle. Voluntarism has become a word to knock the Body of Christ. We have lost our oneness in Christ.

"Is renewal possible? We pray that it is. We trust that signs of renewal will yet emerge from this Conference. We prayerfully, imploringly ask for your approval to the following items of legislation:

"1. Amendment to the Constitution of The Methodist Church.

Amendment to eliminate the Central Jurisdiction and its several conferences and its applying to the merger of the Evangelical United Brethren Church.

"2. Memorials submitted by the Committee of Central Jurisdiction.

"Words, resolutions, good intentions will not do. Nothing less than the legislative proposal previously stated will provide a step toward the truly inclusive church, a people who shall dwell together in unity and peace. In the name of our Lord and Savior Jesus Christ. Methodists for Renewal."

The Conference stood and gave applause in recognition of this group of approximately 1500.

Matter of Privilege—Kelly L. Jackson

Kelly L. Jackson (Washington—C) rose to a matter of privilege and was ruled out of order.

Report of the Advance Committee—Bishop Hazen Werner

Bishop Hazen G. Werner (Ohio—NC) spoke on the work of the Advance Committee. He stated that total advance giving from 1948 to 1964 amounts to $99,296,000.00.

Bishop Lloyd C. Wicke (New York Area—NE) spoke to the Conference on the Special Days, Special Offerings and Emergency Offerings.

Order of the Day—Presentation of Crusade Scholars—Bishop James K. Mathews

Bishop James K. Mathews (Boston Area—NE) invited delegates of the General Conference who were Crusade Scholars to come to the platform to be introduced.

Miss Margaret Swift, Director of the Crusade Scholarship Program, was presented to the Conference. All of the Crusade Scholars present were presented and the Conference stood and applauded.

Fidel P. Galang (Middle Philippines—OS), representing the group, addressed the Conference: Mr. Chairman and members of the General Conference, the least and humblest of this group has been chosen to say a few words. I had the privilege of coming to this country to study as a Crusade Scholar and studying under two great teachers, Dr. Edwin Lewis, now of blessed memory, and Dr. Walter Mueller.

In my studies in this country, I learned two things; first, disciplined thinking, and second, self-help.

When I returned to my country, I put into practice these two important precepts that I learned in this country and helped my countrymen to do the same.

I have served as an evangelist not only in the Philippines but throughout Eastern Asia, and I served as one of the missionaries in South America in 1959.

Later on, I was requested to translate the Bible into the language of the Philippines. Since then, we translated it into 36 languages and there is plenty of work to do.

Presently I am serving as the vice-chairman of the National Council of Churches in the Philippines. This would not be possible if you did not give me the training through the Crusade Scholarship. For this, I am greatly indebted and I am deeply appreciative and thankful, and I know my fellow Crusade Scholars feel the same.

Thank you very much.

Motion to Adopt Report—Bishop William C. Martin

Bishop William C. Martin spoke to the Report of the

Commission on Promotion and Cultivation, moved its adoption, and it was adopted. (DCA, page 295.)

Privilege for Secretary—Leon T. Moore

The Secretary, *Leon T. Moore,* introduced *Wallace F. Stettler* (Philadelphia—NE) to the Conference. He moved that this General Conference express its appreciation to the Council of Secretaries for the production of the Morality Play, written by the *Rev. E. Jerry Walker,* and presented Wednesday evening, April 29. Copies of the script are to be made available on order by the Commission on Promotion and Cultivation. The motion was adopted. (DCA, page 296.)

Privileged Matter—Marshall C. Hjelte

Marshall C. Hjelte (Oregon—W): Bishop, I speak as a matter of personal privilege. I highly resented the interruption of our program which we had a short time ago. I resent also the prejudgment of this Conference and its delegates. I believe very intensely in an integrated church and will also vote to try to carry that out. I grew up in Hawaii, live in an integrated community and I know what the situation is, but here we can only vote on legislation before us. We must each one of us make our own decision based on the legislation put before us and based on our own principles. We have to—as most of us know, we have a very complicated church structure with very many complicated laws, yet we can vote to use our own good judgment. It is easy to stand around and criticize a checker game being played, but it is a lot more difficult to play the game. Constructive suggestion is always helpful and welcome, but negative motions are to do away with this or do away with that help no one.

If we had something to vote on, we could probably vote our conscience.

Order of the Day—

The Chair recognized Norman L. Conard, Vice-Chairman of the Committee on Entertainment and Program, who then called the members of the Pittsburgh Local Committee to the platform. Bishop Vernon Middleton was requested to introduce the local Committee Members to the Conference.

Resolution—Kenneth P. Rutter

Kenneth P. Rutter (Western Pennsylvania—NE) presented a Resolution from the Western Pennsylvania delegagation commending the work of Robert B. Peace (Western Pennsylvania—NE), Chairman of the Local Committee and *David J. Wynne* (Western Pennsylvania—NE), Executive Secretary, for their untiring efforts and devoted service in leading in the preparations of this General Conference. The resolution was adopted. (DCA, page 296.)

High Privilege—Bishop Herbert Welch

Bishop Herbert Welch, Senior Bishop, was recognized by the Chair and paid glowing tribute to Dr. Ralph E. Diffendorfer, creator of the Crusade Scholarship Plan, prime mover in establishing the International University in Japan and instigator of The Methodist Church program of Overseas Relief.

Announcements

Announcements were made and the Conference was recessed.

The Conference Reconvened

Dr. Austin C. Lovelace led the Conference in the singing of a hymn and presented the Hendrix College Choir which rendered several numbers under the direction of Robert McGill.

Privileged Matter—Don W. Holter

Don W. Holter (Kansas—SC) called the Conference's attention to the fact that today is being observed in the Eastern Orthodox Church as Easter, and moved that appropriate greetings be sent from this body by the Secretary of the Conference. This was ordered. (DCA, page 291.)

Report No. 1 of the Committee on Christian Social Concerns —Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley (New York—NE): Mr. Chairman, I should like to request that the officers of our committee who served in the formation of this report to come to the platform, if they will, Brothers Starkey, Calkins, and White, who helped on this report, come to the platform, please.

Brethren of the Conference, you will find the first report of our Committee on Christian Social Concerns on page 120 of the *Daily Christian Advocate*. You will find listed there the number of memorials that it deals with, and I will read the report at this time. You will notice that it is divided into the basic principles, and then as they apply to the church and the community and then the call to commitment, and when it has then been placed before you and received your approval, it will be recommended that it be placed in the *Discipline* under the heading, *Methodist Church and Race.*

Our Lord Jesus Christ teaches that all men are brothers. His Gospel makes no room for the arbitrary distinctions of racial or group prejudice. His followers came to see that "God shows no partiality, but in every nation anyone that fears him and does what is right, is acceptable to him."

"In Christ Jesus you are all sons of God, one faith. There is neither Jew nor Greek, neither slave nor free, neither male nor female, for you are all one in Christ Jesus."

Number 2. The church is the instrument of God's purpose. This is His church. It is ours only as stewards under his Lordship. The house of God must be open to the whole family of God. If we discriminate against any persons, we deny the essential nature of the church as a fellowship in Christ. That is a quotation from the Dallas Conference and quoted in our last *Discipline.*

Three. The fundamental affirmations of The Methodist Church will reflect the inclusive nature of the Gospel's invitation. Christ's offering of himself was made "For all the sins of the whole world." This is taken from our Article on Religion.

Membership in The Methodist Church is open to all people seeking salvation from sin and open to faith and practice.

The invitation to Holy Communion is offered to those who do truly repent of their sins and are involved in charity with their neighbors.

It is the duty of the local church to seek out the unsaved and unchurched in the community.

To read a racial qualification into these statements is to ignore both the main meaning of words as well as the plain meaning of the Gospel.

Number four. Racial segregation has been expressed in the life and structure of The Methodist Church. The bishops of the church meeting in Council have spoken sharply to the racial issue.

The Methodist Church stands for the equal rights of all racial, cultural and religious groups. We confess with deep penitence that our performance as a church has not kept pace with our profession. We urge our pastors upon whom rests the responsibility of receiving persons into the church, to receive all who are qualified and who desire to be received without regard to race, color, or nation, or origin, and we individually and collectively pledge them our support as they do so. "The Methodist Church is an inclusive church." This is a quotation from the Statement of the Council of Bishops made in Detroit last November.

Number Five. By biblical and theological precept, by the law of the church, by General Conference pronouncement, and by episcopal expression, the matter is clear with respect to race, the aim of The Methodist Church is nothing less than an inclusive church in an inclusive society. The Methodist Church, therefore, calls on all equally to perform the deeds of love and justice in both church and community as will bring this theme into full reality.

Number Six. We call on all pastors and churches to maintain all services and activities and local church membership to all persons of all races with equal opportunity for all to participate fully in all aspects of local church life, including the appointment of ministers to the churches.

Number Seven. We call upon all Methodist bodies, organizations, and officials to check their employment policies and to render services to the public without racial segregation or discrimination.

Number Eight. We call upon all Methodist bodies, organizations and individual church members to use their influence to secure fair employment practices and nonsegregated services in the companies and concerns with which they do business.

Number Nine. We call upon all Methodist bodies, organizations and individual church members to use their influence to secure fair employment practices and nonsegregated services in the corporations in which they hold investments.

Number Ten. We call upon all Methodists, also to address persons of all races with titles of courtesy and respect such as are proper to the particular occasion. Stories which bemean and belittle any person should be avoided. Opprobrious and derogatory insults and names should be repudiated as manifestations of prejudices.

Number Eleven. The fact that Methodist Churches and churchmen have often failed to work for racial justice in the past does not relieve us from our present obligations to end racial injustice in some cities as well as in the Church. The minimal requirements for justice in the social order include the recognition of equal rights and opportunities for all races in voting, law enforcement, education, employment, housing, public accommodations, and cultural functions. We support the passage and endorsement of laws appropriate to every level of government for the establishment and maintenance of equal rights in each of these areas of our common life.

Twelve. The right to vote is fundamental to the operation of democratic government. To deny the vote to any citizen solely because of race is to destroy a vital means for seeking a remedy. Churchmen should seek the removal of all racial barriers to voting.

Number 13. Since we are an organization founded on respect for law, it is incredible that police conduct, jail conditions and court procedures in many communities still grievously violate human dignity. That is the function of the church and the Christian to call such violations to the attention of the community concerned and to work for their correction.

Number Fourteen. The Methodist Church insists that the benefits of public education be provided to all the people without reservation because of race. We affirm the potential, social, cultural, and spiritual equal benefits of integrated education. Methodists should endeavor to eliminate racial segregation, including de facto segregation, in all public and Methodist schools everywhere, in every section of the country.

Number Fifteen. A society that denies all but the most menial of jobs to a single member of its minority race members is wasting its most precious resources, its people. A society which defies educational and employment opportunities to any of its members plants the seed of unemployment, maladjustment, delinquency and crime. We must now start to strive for fair employment practices in every section of the entire economy with special efforts and investments in job retraining and special educational aid.

Sixteen. Christians must insist that all people have the freedom to reside wherever their economical means and personal wishes permit. The local church should prepare its members to live in integrated neighborhoods and challenge them to help to create fully inclusive communities.

Number Seventeen. Any business or institution open to the public is morally obligated to serve all the people without restrictions of race, color, or creed. Wherever community patterns of rejection are encountered in such facilities as restaurants, hotels, motels, and hospitals, Christians should use all their influence to change those patterns.

Quoting again from the Council of Bishops as we again point out Number 18, "We affirm the legality and right of those minorities who are opposed anywhere in the world to protest, to assemble in public, and to acknowledge full redress of grievances provided it is done in an orderly way. A public march or other demonstration as a dramatic petition for attention and justice is in line with the principle and practices of free society. When such orderly protests are undertaken, the goal should be clearly identifiable. When resort to orderly, responsible, nonviolent public demonstrations by those engaged in the struggle for racial injustice provokes violence on the part of police, the blame for the violence should be placed on the violent and not the peaceable demonstrators; on the other hand, any demonstration that turns itself to violence takes to itself the same blame. Even peaceable demonstrations supporting entirely just causes must be restrained and limited by the recognition that no decent society can

exist apart from the rule of just law and decent order. Thus limited, however, orderly and responsible demonstrations can serve to bring a better order into being.

There are certain circumstances when arbitrary authority is sought to be imposed under laws which are neither just or valid as law. Even under such imposition, the salutary principle of the rule of law requires that in all but the most extreme instances, the individual confronting such authority must resort to legal processes for the redress of his grievances. However, Christians have long recognized that after exhausting every reasonable legal means for redress of grievances, the individual is faced with a moral and legal dilemma of whether or not his peculiar situations require obedience to God rather than men. There are instances in the current struggle for racial justice when individuals are required to make such judgments. In many such instances, legal recourse for the redress of grievances is available. The discerning individual will avail himself of the best available legal counseling about his dilemma. In rare instances where legal recourse is unavailable for redress of grievances from laws clearly unjust or immoral, the individual is justified in obeying God's authority rather than man's. Even then, meticulous care must be taken to prevent proliferation of civil obedience most carefully by circumscribed limits.

The final section, Commitment to prayer and involvement. This is Point 19.

We recognize that in these days of tension and stress, we need a deeper spiritual understanding for the tasks that lie ahead. We call our people to intense prayer for the guidance of the Holy Spirit as we seek in penitence to implement what we believe to be the will of God. We humbly request our fellow Methodists to join in prayers for Methodism, especially that we retain unity in love, while seeking to do the full will of God in all human relations.

Twenty. We call on every Methodist to seek the rediscovery of a unique sense of joy and living in these days. We discern in the tensions of our times the stirring of the kingdom of God for which we pray in our Lord's Prayer.

We are called by our Lord, who, in His coming to earth, identifies Himself with our agony and need to identify ourselves with the agony and need of all men. We rejoice to bear the name of one who bore in His body the suffering of others. Let us now seek to follow Him in taking unto our own understanding and feeling the rejections experienced by so many of our brethren. By this means, we shall come to a new sense of kinship with Christ which will yield us the joy of those who share His redeeming purpose.

Correction—John R. Van Sickle

John R. Van Sickle (Rock River—NC) called attention to an error in a word in the Report. The Chairman concurred.

Motion to Amend—Charles M. Earley

Charles M. Earley (Virginia—SE): Page 120, third paragraph, section 14. I should like to move deletion of the last six words of the last line in Section 14. If I get a second, I should like to speak briefly to it.

Bishop Lord: The Chair is confused, you are speaking—

Dr. Earley: Third column, section 14. I am sorry.

Bishop Lord: Your motion is to delete?

Dr. Earley: To delete the last six words in the last line of that section. They are, "in every section of the country."

Bishop Lord: Is there a second to this motion to amend by deletion?

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Lord: It is before us.
Brother Earley you may speak to your amendment.

Dr. Earley: I would like to give my reasons for asking for this.
Number 1, They are superfluous and not necessary.

Bishop Lord: Mr. Earley your chairman is willing to accept that deletion, but you have a right to state your reasons. Perhaps we should hear them.

Dr. Earley: Number one, they are not necessary.
Two, since Methodism is a worldwide church with schools in many countries, we restrict this reference to a single country.
Three, Methodism is not a sectional church and this language should not be so used. "Wherever" should mean what it says. In The Methodist Church, as in Christ, there is no east, no west, no north, no south but a worldwide fellowship. Let's make it so in all we say and do.

The Committee accepted the deletion (DCA, page 299).

Inquiry Relative to Procedure

The Chair inquired of Harold A. Bosley as to the procedure to be followed in presenting the Report. Mr. Bosley replied that the Committee desired the Report to be considered in its entirety before any amendments are presented.

Inquiry—G. Ross Freeman

G. Ross Freeman (South Georgia—SE): I would like to ask a question first of Dr. Bosley.

Bishop Lord: Yes.

Dr. Freeman: Depending on the answer, I may make a motion.
Does this mean, this paragraph 18, Dr. Bosley, that the General Conference is endorsing the principle of civil disobedience?

Dr. Bosley: Shall I answer?

Bishop Lord: Yes.

Dr. Bosley: Yes. We do it under carefully limited assertion, but, yes.

Motion to Amend Report—G. Ross Freeman

G. Ross Freeman (South Georgia—SE): I want to move to amend the report of the Commission on Christian Social Concerns by deleting the last two sentences, the last nine lines, of the last paragraph of section C, item 18, as found on page 121, column 1, the second paragraph.

Bishop Lord: Beginning with what word, Brother Freeman?

Dr. Freeman: Beginning with the beginning of the sentence, "In rare instances—"

Bishop Lord: Yes. The motion is to delete the last two sentences of Paragraph 18. They are found in the first column, middle of page 121.

Is there a second to Brother Freeman's amendment?

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Lord: It is seconded.

You may now speak to it, Brother Freeman.

Dr. Freeman: Thank you, sir.

While I can understand and applaud the suggestion that loyalty to a higher law ought to determine our personal behavior, I would urge the Conference to remember that government and law at all levels must be upheld. This strange recommendation must be voted down.

Now on the surface it may sound we are supporting the struggle for racial equality in which some of our young persons are engaged; it is well to remember there is another side to this proposal. I see grave danger here. It would be tragic for the news to go out from this place that The Methodist Church approves civil disobedience and thus encourages anarchy.

In some quarters, we are already suspected of having our policies written by "fellow travelers."

Suppose by way of illustration that the civil rights legislation finally passes the Senate and becomes law. It will violate the conscience of many Americans who believe that sections of it are an unwarranted intrusion of government into private business. Is The Methodist Church to be on record as condoning this disobedience to the law because it violates consciences?

Suppose, for example, that one of our members believes that disarmament is the only way to world peace and therefore the will of God. Suppose further, for the sake of argument, that he realizes that about 40 per cent of his tax dollar will be used for military purposes, and suppose as the result of conscience that he refuses to pay his next tax. Is The Methodist Church to be on record as condoning disobedience to this law because it violates consciences?

Ralph Magill, a distinguished newspaper man in the South who has furthered courageous leadership in human rights, in his syndicated column for the Pittsburgh Post-Gazette on Friday included this statement, "Tactics of an extremist group operating at the New York World's Fair angered many Americans. The means were wrong. They are as wrong as the White Citizens Council's defiance of courts and law."

Is The Methodist Church to be on record as approving the civil disobedience of our members who belong to the White Citizens Council or any other extremist group because they claim that conscience has been violated?

Again, suppose that we look at it from another direction. For conscience sake, many Methodists now disagree with some of the laws of the Church. Are we free to violate them? The church has a law about the smoking by ministers which some of us think should be enforced. Many young ministers disagree with this. They resent this kind of legalistic invasion into their personal lives. Sections of the social creed flaunt the flag of communism in the face of our members. Is the church to go on record as condoning this invasion of our own laws? Take a long look at this, my brethren, before you vote to approve willful disobedience of the law at any level.

Many of our friends from abroad know that stability of government alone guarantees freedom and provides the atmosphere in which the church can do its work. Let me appeal for us to work within the

processes of law no matter how slow, not in hasty disregard of it. Anarchy and the overthrow of the government is not the answer to any of our problems.

Thank you, sir.

Statement Opposing Amendment—Raymond E. Balcomb

Raymond E. Balcomb (Oregon—W): I am opposed to the amendment to delete. I simply want to say that the statement seems to me not to condone disobedience but to support individual conscience. That is its purpose, that we support the individual conscience and expect the individual to take the consequence of his responsible action, but The Methodist Church does support its individuals even when they are doing something which the church as a whole is not doing, if they are doing it from individual conscience.

I urge you to delete the amendment to delete.

Statement Favoring Amendment—Miss Khin Khin Thant

Miss Khin Khin Thant (Burma—OS): I have nothing to impress you but only that I have a different costume to view.

What I am saying is not to revoke, but for preparation. I am here as a delegate for the first time and I hope it will not be the last. I am mighty proud of the representation made by the delegates from all of our states and from the world sections of our church. I think all schools and institutions affiliated with the church will be affected by actions taken by the Annual Conferences and Churches. I hope this conference will not disperse without special procedures being made for integration in the churches and institutions. I will be very much disappointed if this God fearing and lowly body fails to meet the challenge made here today and to abolish segregation forever.

Statement Favoring Amendment—G. Eliot Jones

G. Eliot Jones (Mississippi—SE): I would kindly invite you to turn to page 37 of the *Discipline* of our church. On page 37 of the *Discipline*, paragraph 58, which is one of the general rules of our church, "Duty of Christians for Civil Authority," reads as follows:

"It is the duty of all Christians and especially of all Christian ministers to observe and obey the laws and commands of the governing or supreme authority of the country of which they are citizens or subjects or in which they reside and to use all laudable means to encourage and enjoin obedience to the powers that be."

Discussion of Amendment

Major J. Jones (East Tennessee—C): Mr. Chairman, members of the Conference, I am in support of this section of the report as it now stands. I am in support of it because I feel that it is the better part of our statement on race. Indeed, it relates us to one of the deep issues of our time and to one of the ethical dilemmas of our day: whether to obey God or to obey man.

Indeed, to be free, indeed to be supported by the church in the freedom to choose between obedience to God or obedience to man, is a sacred right.

Not to keep this statement as it now stands would be not to keep on with some of the great men of both history and the Scriptures.

The ancient prophet Daniel, the early Christians were among those

who have been accused throughout all of history as wanting to turn the world upside down, and indeed Jesus Christ, the Lord of our church, was himself in some time in conflict with law. The members of the Boston Tea Party, those who were among the founding fathers of our country, were all, if one might want to label them so, dissenters from law, unjust law. This is a time when the church must speak in support of those who stand because, having done all this, they can do no other. It may be true that there are those among us who consider people who demonstrate, picket, protest, dissent against unjust laws, and even march as law breakers out of step with their companions, but who are they—indeed, who are we to say how a man should measure his steps?

Who knows: He may be out of step because he hears a different drum. Let us here today leave every person free to keep step to the music he hears: Our religion.

I am for keeping this measure as it now stands.

Alfred J. Shaw (Lucknow—OS): Mr. Chairman and members of the General Conference, I think we ought to account in a very careful way. We all are against any kind of racial discrimination wherever it is. We would like all of our churches, our institutions, and our hospitals and all public places to be open to everybody so that no difference could ever be maintained, but when, as an individual, I would like to break the laws also, this may take us all to civil disobedience, I don't think I would like a body like this to support the individuals going against the law.

I would like everybody like us to allow the individuals to decide for themselves as to what they should do at a certain time, but for a church conference to support the individual violating the laws, I don't think is a very wise thing. As a matter of fact, laws of any kind, especially in a democratic country, ought to be obeyed by every individual until they are repealed, so, sir, I would like to support the amendment for deletion of those sentences.

Dwight E. Loder (Rock River—NC): It appears to me that either intentionally or quite unintentionally and by accident, we have moved away from the wording of that which is being considered. I would remind you that the sentence begins with the words, "In rare instances."

The first speaker was successful in taking us away from these words and recommending a peculiar and rather new reason for avoiding income taxes, a rather delightful suggestion in an Annual Conference, but somewhat out of his place.

Moreover, we then confronted communism. I don't know how that got in, but it is an emotional thing, and for a moment he had me right along with him, because I am against communism, too, but the fact remains that we are talking here about those rare instances when an individual comes into conflict with civil laws—now laws which are the ruler of the land as is indicated in the section of the *Discipline* to which a later speaker referred, to observe and obey the laws of the countries.

This is a situation which comes into existence when an individual in a local situation finds himself confronting a peculiar interpretation or a peculiar law—and the books are full of them—which individual hates his own conscience and against which we, in Christian conscience are willing to stand there and ought not suddenly to find himself isolated from the Christian community as a result.

Then we were thrown into the whole concept of religious disobedience.

I would suggest to anyone in this body who would object to the right of an individual in conscience to stand in religious disobedience

that he is in the wrong body for this is the heart of Protestantism.

I arise, therefore, to urge us to defeat this amendment.

John Persinger (Rocky Mountain—W): Although I am in favor of the report, the constitution seems to be—report seems to be unconstitutional in this regard.

Point of Order—Benjamin R. Oliphint

Benjamin R. Oliphint (Louisiana—SC) rose to the Point of Order that the report seems to be unconstitutional in the light of Paragraph 87 of the *Discipline.*

John Persinger (Rocky Mountain—W): The lines which are sought to be deleted are in contravention to the last article on religion.

On page 12 of your *Discipline,* of the first restrictive rule in section 2 of the Constitution reads, "The General Conference shall not revoke, alter, or change our Articles of Religion or establish new standards of doctrine contrary to the established and existing standards of doctrine."

Thank you.

Previous Question Moved—Joseph C. Evers

Joseph C. Evers (Southern Illinois—NC) moved the previous question, and the motion was seconded.

Privileged Matter—Robert E. Cushman

Robert E. Cushman (North Carolina—SE) requested the Conference to continue discussion on the Amendment.

Point of Order—Jack M. Tuell

Jack M. Tuell (Pacific Northwest—W) raised a Point of Order that the mover of the motion for the Previous Question made a speech before he made the motion.

Inquiry—Paul V. Shearer

Paul V. Shearer (South Iowa—NC) asked for an illustration of "in rare instances."

Harold A. Bosley (New York—NE): In this circumstance, it was pointed out in a number of places in the South it was impossible for some to get legal redress in the communities where they live, not often, but in rare instances this was so.

This was made known to the Committee and that was the reasoning behind this, and the reason for the words "in rare instances." I may say that the word "rare" was put in there after considerable amount of discussion because initially it was just a more general indictment. The committee feels it should stand as it is.

Substitute Motion—Clinton M. Cherry

Clinton M. Cherry (Philadelphia—NE): I move the following substitute motion: After the words "God's authority rather than

man's" the following words be inserted "provided arrest is not resisted and the full penalty of the law is borne to demonstrate the fact that the law harbors an injustice."

Bishop Lord: Is there a second?

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Lord: Would you speak to it?

Dr. Cherry: Mr. Chairman, I am a pastor of a church in Chester, Pennsylvania, where we have been having an illustration of the kind of thing we are talking about here. I think it is not unfair to say that the demonstrations there which have provoked violence have been led by irresponsible people in certain cases, at least, and that this whole matter is one that needs careful consideration by this Conference.

I do believe that there are occasions when civil disobedience is necessary, but it must also be under law, and if demonstrators do not resist arrest, if they allow themselves to be taken and not to provoke violence by resisting arrest and thus show by mass arrest that the law is not just, this, it seems to me, is not an unchristian thing to do.

Inquiry—James H. Laird

James H. Laird (Detroit—NC) made an inquiry about the status of the material being considered.

Harold A. Bosley replied that the Committee intends that the Report under consideration will replace Paragraph 2026 in the 1960 *Discipline.*

Special Order of the Day

The Chair presented Bishop Reuben H. Mueller of the Evangelical United Brethren Church and newly elected President of the National Council of Churches.

Bishop Mueller addressed the Conference. An ovation was given to him by the delegates. (See Appendix, page 2178.)

Motion for Procedure—Willis M. Tate

Willis M. Tate (North Texas—SC) moved that consideration of the Report No. 1 of the Committee on Missions be now considered, and that the present discussion on The Methodist Church and Race be carried over until a later session. This was done. (DCA, page 334.)

Report No. 1—Committee on Missions—Jack S. Wilkes

Jack S. Wilkes (Oklahoma—SC): Bishop Lord, the Committee on Missions, Report No. 1, is found on page 189 of the *Daily Christian Advocate.* This is a report of the proposed plan of reorganization of the Board of Missions.

With the permission of the house, Bishop Lord, I will present the

report and would like to call on Mrs. J. Fount Tillman, the president of the Woman's Division of Christian Service, and on Bishop Raines of the Board of Missions who would like to make statements concerning it, and then, if there are questions, we have members of the structure committee of the Board who are here to answer questions.

I would first like to call attention to changes in the report. Column 1, page 189, the printer left out one phrase, under paragraph 1, the aim of mission. At the end of the fourth line which finishes "to become disciples" should be added these words "and to gather these disciples into Christian churches."

In column 3 on that same page, there is a provision for the national chairman of the Commission on World Missions for the Church of Methodist Student Movement to be a member of the Board of Missions. We no longer have this office, and this provision, beginning at the end of those words, should be deleted. This will still leave three representatives of the Methodist Student Movement as members of the Board.

On page 193, we have another printed error. In column 2 in the general provisions for world missions, Committee on Coordination, there is a portion of it left out under number three. "To consult with the Board on all matters" and then the words should follow: "Of mutual concern."

Then, No. 4, "to receive and transmit to the Board reports from all the institutions and agencies."

In column three—

Bishop Lord: No. 4 was omitted, I take it? We go from three to five, and you are putting number four back in.

Mr. Wilkes: Yes.

Delegate: Would you read it again?

Dr. Wilkes: This is number three. It begins "to consult with the Board on all matters of," and we want to add "to receive and transmit from the Board reports on all these." This precedes "institutions and agencies."

At the top of page 193, there is a repeat by the printer near the end of that paragraph. There is a repeat of the words "of all women members." This should be deleted. Then it continues "of the Committee on Coordination."

On page 194, at the top of the page in the fourth line, it says, "provided no missionary shall be transferred to or from a mission with previous consultation." It should say, "without previous consultation with the Board." This is different.

On page 185, in the second column, under National Division, Promotion of Town and Country Work, the eighth line should be added, and this follows a statement concerning procedures between Methodist churches and those of other denominations, there should be added the words "in local communities and on other levels of church life."

These are the changes that should be made, Mr. Chairman. There are some editorial changes which will be made at another time. I don't believe it is necessary to call attention to the editorial changes.

Bishop Lord: Brother Wilkes, will you present the report?

Dr. Wilkes: Yes.

Bishop Lord: Proceed, please. It is the Board's understanding that you voted to stay in session until 12:45. There will be no afternoon session unless you change that.

Dr. Wilkes: Mr. Chairman and members of the Conference, we believe we have from the Committee on Missions and through the Board of Missions, good news for the church. We feel that this report

brings to the church a way for the Board of Missions to meet the needs of the new era in missions in a changing America and in a changing world. We believe that this will enable the Board to be better able to represent the vows of Christ in the world.

This report has been approved by the Committee on Structure of the Board of Missions, by its subcommittee, by the Executive Committee of the Board, and was approved by the Board of Missions in its January meeting by a vote of 84 to 7. It was then perfected by the Executive Committee of the Board. The Committee on Missions of the General Conference studied this report very carefully, spent several hours upon it, and then voted 97 to 0 to approve it.

The primary aim of this report is not just changes and organization, but we believe that reorganization is necessary so the Board might better perform its functions. I would quickly call the attention of the Conference to the salient features of the report.

No. 1, the changes are almost entirely on the general board level. There will be almost no changes in the conference, district, and local church relationship to missions.

No. 2, this unites for the first time the work on the field, at home, and overseas work. In the past, there has been dual administration with Woman's Division administration and World or National Division administration. Under this plan, there is one administrator for each field. It also reduces the number of agencies of the Board communicating with the local church. It puts in the Joint Commission on Education and Cultivation the Advance program of the Board.

Further, there is unity brought in the Board by the placing in the organization of a general secretary to correlate and unify the Board. In the past there have been four general secretaries of equal rank. It also brings to the Board a treasurer to carry out the Board's financial policies.

Another thing this reorganization does, it increases involvement of Board members in policy-making decisions. Further, it brings a correlation of work of other Methodist boards and agencies overseas and seeks to prevent a multiple approach to the overseas division, but at the same time enables the Board and missions to utilize the techniques and skills of all our Boards and agencies.

Another feature of this report is that it assures the continued leadership of women in the mission work of The Methodist Church. This reorganization will not solve all of the problems of the church in the area of missions, but we believe that it will help us move forward in the proclamation and implementation of the work of Christ in the world.

Some people may believe we have gone too far in uniting the Board under this program. There may be others who feel we have not gone far enough. We believe that this is an opportunity to offer the church a way of serving this new day in missions, we hope the Conference will enable the Board to take this new step in faith.

Now, Bishop Lord, normally we would call upon the president of the Board of Missions to speak at this time, but he has deferred to the gracious and efficient lady, Mrs. Tillman of Farmington, Tennessee, who has brought our Woman's Division of Christian Service to new heights of leadership. We would like to hear from Mrs. Tillman on this report at this time.

Statement—Mrs. J. Fount Tillman

Mrs. J. Fount Tillman (Tennessee—SE), President of the Woman's Division of Christian Service, addressed the Conference and made a plea for the passage of the Report:

The division thanks you. Personally, if I had my "druthers" I would sit down and leave the speech up here. In fact, like the Ambassador from Liberia, he had his notes in order so that he could be sure that they would not be misinterpreted. I have notes to keep me from mounting a horse and riding off in all directions. What I have to say, I hope will voice the feelings of the women in this matter.

This is a point in history, the 25th anniversary of the Board of Missions. Woman's work started in reply to needs that were presented to them, and God must have been in it because they had requests coming in every day from different parts of the country at about the same time.

Today we acclaim this organization as one, if not the greatest, lay organization that is now in existence.

Today, we have appraised the present and look to the future. It is trite to say the role of women is changing, but I would say the role of men is changing, too. I can illustrate this by something I see in my own community. I live on a rural route. There is no post office. When I see the tractor lights going in the field late at night, I know the woman of the house is doing the chores at night so that she can work in the office the next day to help pay for the tractor.

The role of women changed more than was indicated in the magnificent play of Jerry Walker's the other night.

If women are working in business and family and community, it is time for them to be working together in the church more than they ever have done it before.

They should work together in policy-making as well as in kitchen duty. If this proposed structure is adopted, we are not defensive for status, but for conserving the value of what has been accomplished for the fullness of opportunity to continue by God's grace to a greater height. What is offered is an effort to lay the foundation for the new working relationship. If adopted as presented, we will conserve most of the values built up through the years.

As has been said, all problems are not solved nor will they be. I am sure new ones will arise, but we will cure some problems in the area of administration and we think we can move in this as we have in the past. It is my belief that the plans proposed will make possible the work toward a new united endeavor. We are not concerned just with missions, but with the opportunity to fulfill the mission of the church in the world. If we all determine to make this plan succeed, we can enlarge the mission of the church. We can hold the interests of the women and hold them inviolate, which is the unique problem of this organization of women, and it must not be lost to the church. There is danger that it might be.

We are at the point now that is more crucial in church history than most people realize. Much study, discussion, prayer, and hard study has gone into the formulation of the report you have in your hand. Methodist women all over the world have been writing in great concern and are praying at this moment in concern for what happened here, because of that concern.

Women in Africa, South America, Europe, and Asia are doing this as well as those in the United States. This contains more sacrifice than appears on the surface, but if the proposed plan is accepted, many of us believe we can work together in planning to be better witnesses during the next twenty-five years.

Statement—Bishop Richard C. Raines

Bishop Richard C. Raines (Indiana Area—NC): Mr. Chairman, and Members of the Conference, just a few things in a brief way. In the 1939-1940 Era, we needed to bring together thirteen different

missions and organizations. The job was well done as the work of the Board of Missions during the intervening years indicates. For the past eight years, bishops traveling over these, returning, and having conversations with us indicated that perhaps changed conditions require that we once again look at the structure of the Board. We held consultations in Latin America, Africa, and Asia, and out of this came a renewed sense of a need to restructure the Board itself. These consultations led us to appoint a committee which has worked out the plan which is presented before you.

I will not cover all of the points mentioned by your chairman of the Committee on Missions, and I have but one plea to make to you, and I will explain why. There is in this a provision in the *Discipline* —deliberately put in the *Discipline*—that 40 percent of the elected staff should be composed of women. To be specific, it means two out of the top five jobs must be women, three out of the next nine jobs must be women, and 40 percent of all the elected personnel. The reason for this you should know.

When other women's organizations have united with other boards, it has been the unanimous experience that the women tend to disappear from places of leadership in the top echelons. We are desirous that this should not happen. We believe that we have now opened the channel from the local church to the top position in the Board of Missions. We are eager that this should continue. We believe we have the quality of women in The Methodist Church so that we can always find as we now do find able leaders among the women to take their full place at the top positions as well as all the way down to the local church.

When we consulted with professional organizations and men, they said, "This is not orthodox procedure. You don't say you will have 45 percent of blue eyes, brown eyes, or otherwise if you will follow the orthodox procedures." We believe this, but we believe we should observe a trial period of four years in keeping open jobs to women that we request you to keep in the *Discipline* rather than put it in the by-laws that we could change it any time we wanted to. It is the opinion of those on the Committee that we can do this successfully with the people who are already on the staff.

A word of appreciation now, and I am through. First of all, a word of appreciation to the Structure Committee. We began as strangers. We ended as a most uniform group. I could scarcely believe it, but when we got to the end of the discussion, we found we had never had a divided vote. We never voted until everybody on the Committee had come to feel that what was proposed might not be perfect, but it was probably the best thing we could get in the circumstances.

I should like to pay high tribute to the women of that Committee who had the courage to face their own compatriots among the women in the society that were giving up, but with the boldest members of the committee.

I should like to express appreciation to the members of the staff of the Board of Missions. How threatened they were. We said, "We cannot restructure the Board with anybody in mind. We say only that we will do the best to preserve your status and your opportunity to serve. And we can promise no one of you anything."

In the face of this fact, the staff has continued its work with unabated devotion and clarity of thought. Not only that, but whether they agree with the report or not, when we asked them to help us discover how it could be implemented most wisely for the work which they were doing, they gave their glad and helpful cooperation.

This shows the quality of the staff of the Board. It is my hope that this report will commend itself to you and that you will give us

the opportunity to seek to implement it at the reorganizational meeting of the Board which will be held in September.

Thank you very much.

Motion to Defer—Leon E. Hickman

Leon E. Hickman (Western Pennsylvania—NE) moved deferment of sub-Paragraph 12, Paragraph 5 of the Report until The Coordinating Council makes its Report No. 2. The Committee accepted this procedure.

Report Adopted

The vote on Report No. 1 was taken and the Report was adopted. (DCA, page 336; see Appendix, page 1547.)

Announcements

Announcements were made by *J. Otis Young*, Bishop W. Vernon Middleton, Bishop Edwin R. Garrison and Secretary *Leon T. Moore*.

Benediction—Bishop Charles F. Golden

The benediction was pronounced by Bishop Charles F. Golden of the Nashville-Birmingham Area—C.

EIGHTH DAY, MONDAY, MAY 4, 1964
MORNING SESSION

Opening—Bishop Paul E. Martin

Pursuant to adjournment, the 1964 session of The General Conference of The Methodist Church convened in the morning session of the eighth day, Monday, May 4, 1964, at 8:30 o'clock, in the Civic Arena, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, with Bishop Paul E. Martin of the Houston Area—SC presiding.

Bishop Martin called upon Bishop Mangal Singh of the Bombay Area, Southern Asia Central Conference, to lead the devotions.

Devotions—Bishop Mangal Singh

Hymn No. 381, "The Church's One Foundation," was sung. Prayers, on page 514 of The Methodist Hymnal, of "General Thanksgiving" and "For Missions" were prayed in unison.

The Scripture lesson was read from the first chapter of Acts. Bishop Singh spoke on the theme, "Methodism's Mighty Mission." (See Appendix, page 2231.)

The devotions were closed with the Hymn No. 278, "Lead on, O King Eternal."

Memorial Moments in Tribute to Bishop Dana Dawson

The Chair requested the Conference to stand. Brief memorial moments were observed in tribute to Bishop Dana Dawson, retired, who died Sunday morning, May 3, 1964, in Shreveport, Louisiana. Bishop Eugene Slater, Kansas Area—SC led in the following prayer:

In the name of the living Christ, we bow before Thee, our Father. Our hearts are saddened over the death of Thy servant, our brother, Dana Dawson. We thank Thee for his life, his ministry, his faith in Christ, and his love for the Church. We are grateful for his steadfast devotion to the kingdom of God, and for his faithful witness to Christ Jesus. The church is stronger because he gave his life to it, and our lives and the lives of our children in many places have been blessed by his Christian faith and example.

We remember before Thee his dear wife, his children, and grandchildren, his family and friends. May the grace be sufficient for their needs and ours and may the Holy Spirit come forth and sustain all who mourn his passing.

Strengthen our faith that he now sees Thee face to face, that he knows Thee even as Thou hast known him all along the way. Grant unto us grace and strength for this and every time of need through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen.

Report of the Committee on Journal—William M. James

William M. James (New York—NE): Mr. Chairman and members of the Conference, on Thursday, because of the three sessions, we did not report on all sessions last week. We shall report on them this morning. The Committee on Journal has examined the *Journal* records for the afternoon and evening sessions on Thursday, April 30, and the morning session on May 2, and has found it correct except for minor changes. These corrections are being made by the *Journal* secretary.

I move the adoption of the report.

The Report was adopted. (DCA, page 338.)

Privileged Matter—Miss Marion Brown

Miss Marion Brown (Ohio—NE) paid tribute to Bishop Mangal Singh, Bishop Gabriel Sundaram, and the other Bishops of India, and to the Ludhiana Hospital for their loving ministry and care of the late Muriel Coltrain of our General Board of Education who passed away April 3, 1964, in Ludhiana. Muriel witnessed to India and India witnesses to us.

Committee on Credentials—Paul M. Hann

Paul M. Hann (South Iowa—NC): Bishops and members of the Conference, the Committee on Credentials has met and approved the changes made in the seating of delegates made by the chairmen of the conferences. Delegates' names will appear in proper form in the *Journal.*

I move adoption of the report.

The Report was adopted. (DCA, page 338.)

Committee on Agenda—Hubert G. Hurley

Hubert G. Hurley (Southern Illinois—NC): Mr. Chairman, we have three recommendations. First, we recommend that a plenary session of the Conference be held this evening at 7:30.

Two, We move that the agenda as printed on the first page of today's *Daily Christian Advocate* become the agenda for the morning and evening session with these changes: Dean Richardson wants to withdraw his request for his presentation at 11:45 this morning, and may make a request at a later time.

The report of the General Committee on Family Life is to be rescheduled later in the week, and as you have just heard, Bishop Dionisio D. Alejandro will not be here.

The third part of our recommendation is that two orders of the day be established as follows:

(a) That Reports of the Coordinating Council be made the Order of the Day beginning at 9:15 and recess, and following recess, until these reports are completed, or the noon hour.

(b) That Reports of the Legislative Committee become the Order of the Day beginning at 8:15 p.m.

I move adoption of the report, Mr. Chairman.

The Report was adopted. (DCA, page 338.)

Committee on Courtesies and Privileges—John B. Jones

John B. Jones (Baltimore—NE): Mr. Chairman and members of the Conference, we feel it would be appropriate to get on the record an order of appreciation to the committees responsible for the fine programs that were presented Saturday evening and Sunday evening. We request that such action be taken.

Bishop Martin: I am sure there is a thorough response to that, but perhaps it would be better if we had a motion to this effect.

It was so moved and seconded.

Bishop Martin: If you favor it, lift the hand. Opposed? The Motion is adopted. (DCA, page 338.)

Mr. Jones: We make a motion that a proper message be sent by the Secretary to the Dawson family, one in sympathy to the family of Bishop Dawson.

Second, greetings from the Conference to the Bishops who have not been able to be present, to recognize their absence and express our feeling that they could not be with us.

The Motion was adopted. (DCA, page 338.)

Privileged Matter—Bishop Paul V. Galloway

Bishop Paul V. Galloway (San Antonio Area—NE) was recognized and he presented Judge-elect Thomas Reavley, a layman from Austin, Texas, and stated that Mr. Reavley had been present at the General Conference in Pittsburgh during the last crucial eight days of his campaign for office.

Nominations From Council of Bishops—Bishop Roy H. Short

The Chair called for the nomination of members to the Judicial Council of The Methodist Church.

Bishop Roy H. Short (Nashville Area—SE), Secretary of the Council of Bishops, made the following nominations:

Clerical: A. Wesley Pugh, North Indiana; *R. F. Curl,* Southwest Texas; *Lester A. Welliver,* Central Pennsylvania; *C. C. Sessions,* Central Texas; *Dale Hagler,* Florida; *Francis Kearns,* East Wisconsin; *Walter G. Williams,* Rocky Mountain; *Harold Hughes,* Virginia; *Hughes Wagner,* New England; *J. C. Huggin,* Western North Carolina; *Jack Tuell,* Pacific Northwest; *F. F. Moore,* Tennessee; *G. L. Fenn,* New Mexico; *John Yoak,* West Virginia; *Ralph M. Houston,* New York; *Ray Ragsdale,* Southern California; *J. H. Chitwood,* North Alabama; *Cecil Culver,* Little Rock.

The following are the lay nominations: Leon Hickman, Western Pennsylvania; Donald Holbrook, Michigan; Donald Odell, Southern California; R. C. Erwin, North Carolina-Central; Samuel Witwer, Rock River; Francis Dale, Ohio; Frank Dominick, North Alabama; Ex-Governor Robert Blue, North Iowa; Willard A. Zellmer, Pacific Northwest; R. Lee Blackwell, Louisville; William Burnett, Rocky Mountain; Vincent Clark, New England.

The Chair then asked for nominations from the floor. The following were nominated: *Murray H. Leiffer, Harold S. Swales,* John Cranston, Samuel Bautista, *Nat G. Long,* Mrs. John O. Mabuce, *Walter L. Hunt,* Fremont C. Fletcher, and J. Carlisle Holler.

Nominations Closed

John B. Warman (Western Pennsylvania—NE) moved that the nominations be closed. His motion was seconded and it was adopted. (DCA, page 339.)

Announcement—Leon T. Moore

Leon T. Moore, Secretary, announced that it would be necessary for brief biographies, consisting of not more than 100 words, to be written about each of the nominees, and submitted today for publication in the DCA.

Report of the Coordinating Council—Report No. 2—Bishop Edwin R. Garrison

Bishop Edwin R. Garrison (Dakotas Area—NC) announced that Leon E. Hickman (Western Pennsylvania—NE) would be in charge of the presentation of the Report.

Mr. Hickman requested *Dr. Byron Stroh, Dr. Lynn J. Radcliffe, Dr. Lester Auman,* Mr. J. P. Stafford and *Dr. Douglas Jackson,* members of the Council, to come to the platform during the discussion of the Report.

Leon E. Hickman (Western Pennsylvania—NE): We are going to attempt to legislate on the four remaining reports of the Coordinating Council in two hours and ten minutes. It will take some self-restraint on the part of those of us who are on the platform and patience on your part.

I think, however, if we stick to the fundamentals that we can cover the ground.

I believe I will save your time and perhaps help your understanding if I take a minute to tell you about the Coordinating Council.

The Coordinating Council is created under Paragraph 1111 of the *Discipline* which provides that there shall be a Coordinating Council responsible directly to the General Conference. It shall coordinate the work of the general administrative agencies of The Methodist Church. May I add parenthetically if I ever saw a body that was unenthusiastic about Coordination, it is The Methodist Church.

The membership shall consist of one bishop, one minister and one layman from each Jurisdiction, plus one minister and one lay person for each additional million persons or major fraction thereof above the first million persons in the Jurisdiction, all nominated by the Council of Bishops and elected by the Conference. A member of the Coordinating Council may not be a member of any other board or agency of the church. They report to the General Conference directly.

In twelve years of history, this council has had no staff, no office, and a budget which at no time exceeded twenty thousand a year.

As Bishop Garrison told you a few days ago, the Coordinating Council can say in all modesty, they are all crew, no passengers.

The first report that we are going to bring to you this morning is our report No. 2 which is found in the *Daily Christian Advocate* for April 27, beginning at page 20, and it deals with the episcopacy and the matters related to it. Our full report was mailed to the delegates some ninety days ago in the green-covered book.

We have a few extra copies of that book. If you do not have them, they are in the hand of Mrs. Max Gentry of the Nebraska delegation who can be located in Section E, Row 8, Seat 4.

Only the legislative portion of our report is printed in the *Daily Christian Advocate.* The full report is in the green book.

The report comes about by mandate of the 1960 General Conference which directed the Coordinating Council, "To initiate a cooperative study of the effectiveness of our general superintendency, including the number and size of areas."

Further, "That a report of this study be mailed to all delegates of the 1964 General Conference not less than ninety days prior to the convening of the Conference." That was done in the green book to which I made reference.

This study was not referred to the Coordinating Council by accident. You may disagree on the fact that it got there, but it was not done in the closing moments of the session of 1960 without thought. It came to the Coordinating Council on the unanimous recommendation of the Committee on Conferences which reported back to the 1960 session several days before adjournment.

The study which has been made by the Coordinating Council is summarized in the green book to which I made reference. I will call attention to only a few items which are referred to on pages 5, 25, and 26 of that book relating to the thoroughness of the study. It began by four teams, the leaders of which are named on page 5 of the report.

Questionnaires were sent to every delegate of the 1960 Conference, and a representative sampling of ministers, and each district superintendent were surveyed.

The bishops of the church were most cooperative in this study. Each of them submitted to an interview which averaged two hours in length in which they stated very frankly their appraisal of the general superintendency of The Methodist Church and what might be done to improve its effectiveness.

Twenty of the bishops filed detailed reports of the use of their time for four successive weeks. I am afraid I would have taken the fifth amendment on that, but they did it, as a means of finding out what consumed the time of the general superintendents.

The Council of Bishops appointed a committee of seven to meet with the Coordinating Council. That committee was chaired by Bishop Gerald Kennedy and included Bishops Slater, Golden, Harmon, Lord, Raines, and Wunderlich.

I hasten to add that these bishops are not committed to this report. I have not talked to them about it. They may disagree with it. They may disagree with portions of it. That is their privilege, but they were most gracious in meeting with us and in reviewing our draft reports and in making suggestions, which, of course, were listened to with respect.

This sub-committee which met with the Council of Bishops met on three separate occasions in full-day sessions. The Coordinating Council has devoted four two-day sessions to this work. We may be wrong, but we have at least done our home work.

The legislative portion of the report which is found in the *Daily Christian Advocate* for the twenty-seventh is divisible into at least three portions. It involves a constitutional amendment at the begin-

ning. It involves some changes in the *Discipline*, and it involves some references for study for the Council of Bishops and others.

With your permission in an effort to save time, I would propose that we proceed through the report seriatim, and vote as we go. We should first perfect the amendment and then the legislative items, and then the items of reference.

Unless objection is raised, I shall, on occasion, make note of slight editorial changes that have been suggested to us which would clarify language. There has been put in your hands a proposed amendment to our Report No. 2 in three or four places which, of course, can only be presented to you by the Coordinating Council if the rules can be suspended. I do not raise that question now, but I wish when I reach the items, to do so. If the rules are not suspended, I can state that the amendments would be accepted by the Coordinating Council if made from the floor. They represent the result of discussions. I may say in the week we have waited for the opportunity to present these reports, we have not been idle, and as the papers that we put on your desk this morning reveal, we have made every effort to compose differences with others who entertain equally careful thought out views to the end that we may not submit a family quarrel to this Conference. So far as I know, we come before you with reports that, while there may be individual objections to them, are not opposed in any organized way.

To these legislative matters and report which I shall bring to you, I shall, wherever possible, assume that you have the report before you and can read it so that I do not need to read the specific items to you. I will try to state the effect of the item, and if possible the reasons for or against it, and let you take it from there.

I may state in the overall, the Coordinating Council came out of this study more deeply impressed than ever that the general superintendency of The Methodist Church is one of its strong points. We are not critics of the general superintendency, we are supporters of it. We think The Methodist Church would not be where it is today without this leadership and the authority we vest in the leadership. The changes we suggest in philosophy are designed to better organize the leadership we have, either to unshackle it and on occasion to release it from overwork to do a variety of things that will be brought out and that will increase the effectiveness of the general superintendency.

I am reminded that whenever two or more employees of the Aluminum Company get together and have an idle moment, the most popular thing they do is take apart the top management. Every one of them can do it better. I have no doubt in our hearts we may feel that here, but I trust that we will remember we are working under reasonably close time limits and I think it is the consensus of the body that what we are trying to do is strengthen the hand of the leadership.

The first legislative proposal is found on page 20 of the *Daily Christian Advocate* in column 2, and it involves a constitutional amendment. It would involve amending both paragraphs 14 and 38 of the Constitution to accomplish two things. The amendment to Paragraph 14, set out in black type in the middle of column 3, page 20 would authorize the Council of Bishops to convene the several Jurisdictional Conferences on identical days. It does not say whether before or after the General Conference, and it does not attempt to state where the Jurisdictional Conferences shall be held simply that they may be directed to meet on the same date.

I am sure you all understand that.

The amendment to Paragraph 38 has the effect of permitting the transfer of bishops from one Jurisdiction to another on the basis of negotiations between the Interjurisdictional committee on Episcopacy

and subject to three or four careful limitations that I want to call to your attention. The limitations on transfer of bishops from one Jurisdiction to another and this procedure which operates under the control of the Episcopal Committees of the Jurisdictions and the Jurisdictional Conferences, are these:

They are found on the top of page 21. One. No bishop shall be transferred into another Jurisdiction unless he shall have given his specific consent.

Two. No bishop shall be eligible for transfer until he has served one quadrennium in the Jurisdiction which elected him to the episcopacy.

Three. All such transfers require the approval by majority vote of the members of the Jurisdictional Conferences involved, after consideration by their Committees on Episcopacy.

There is one slight editorial change that I should like to make with your consent. In the first column on page 21, in the 10th line, at the point that reads "no bishop shall be eligible for transfer until he has served one quadrennium in" I would insert right there with your permission "or under assignment by the Jurisdiction which elected him to the episcopacy."

That is for the purpose of covering a bishop who has been assigned by a Jurisdictional Conference to overseas duty. The overseas territory is not itself a geographical part of the Jurisdiction, and there might be some technicality about whether that bishop served his four years overseas was eligible for transfer. We do not mean to have any doubt on that point, and the language which I am inserting on the fifth line "or under assignment by" removes that doubt.

After we act on the constitutional amendment, if we act *favorably*, there is some implementing legislation which follows. In order not to confuse the issue, I move, Mr. Chairman, the adoption of the amendment to Paragraphs 14 and 38 of the Constitution as set out in column 3 of page 20 and column 1, page 21.

Motion to Adopt Report No. 2—Leon E. Hickman

Mr. Hickman moved the adoption of these amendments.

Motion to Amend Report—Leonard Slutz

Leonard Slutz (Ohio—NC) called attention to the problems involved in the Amendments proposed by the Coordinating Council for the Bishops in the Central Jurisdiction. He moved an Amendment to add a statement that "subparagraphs 2, 3, and 4 above shall not apply in the case of the transfer of a Bishop of the Central Jurisdiction in connection with the transfer of one or more Annual Conferences of this Jurisdiction.

Inquiry—Edwin L. Jones, Sr.

Edwin L. Jones, Sr. (Western North Carolina—SE): I think I know the answer, but I want the answer to be in the *Journal.*

The substitute for Paragraph 14, Article 4, states that the Jurisdictional Conference shall meet at the same time. Does that mean also at the same place?

Mr. Hickman: It does not in the mind of the Coordinating Council.

Mr. Jones: Thank you, sir, I wanted that in the record.

Amendment Adopted

The vote was taken on the Amendment, as perfected, and prevailed. (DCA, page 342.)

The Chair stated that far more than the necessary 2/3 vote for a Constitutional Amendment was achieved.

Editorial Correction—Leon E. Hickman

Leon E. Hickman (Western Pennsylvania—NE) stated that one editorial correction was made in Paragraph 526 of the *Discipline* by adding "or under assignment by."

Amendment Moved—Alva I. Cox

Alva I. Cox (North-East Ohio—NC): I should like to move that this report be amended by striking out, in the seventh line of Paragraph 526, second column, the words "for each episcopal area" and if adopted, to refer this paragraph to the Coordinating Council for rewriting in accordance with this action.

If I can have a second, I should like to speak to it.

Bishop Martin: Is the motion seconded? It is seconded.

Dr. Cox: The reasons for suggesting this amendment, Mr. Chairman, and members of the General Conference, as I see it, are these: In the first place, this, I think, would be the first legislation to be incorporated in the *Discipline* establishing the Area as a legislative body. The Area in The Methodist Church is determined only by reason of the assignment of bishops to residential supervision over annual conferences.

Technically, I think there is nothing in the *Discipline* which prescribes the relationship of these Annual Conferences one to the other. It is not necessary to require that they be contiguous Annual Conferences.

Several times in the times I have attended General Conferences, actions have been suggested to the conference which would have this same kind of effect and the General Conference has reacted rather sharply to this particular kind of a provision.

Understand, the force of this amendment would be to restore the present 526 to having representation on the Committee elected by the Annual Conference as is now done.

If this is adopted in its present form, it would be possible that many conferences would not be represented on this committee. As a matter of fact, there is nothing in here that if there are two conferences in an Area, both must be represented. I call attention to the fact that if adopted as it stands, even though you distributed the representation among conferences in the Northeastern jurisdiction, there are four Areas in which one or more conferences would be eliminated because there are more than two Annual Conferences in episcopal areas.

In the Central Jurisdiction, it would affect all five. In the Southern, it would affect one. I think it would affect one in the North Central—yes one in the North Central.

The argument that was set forth in the document for doing this was that the Committee on Episcopacy as it now stands in the juris-

diction is rather large. The maximum number, I think, of an Episcopal Committee is now 40. The minimum is 14. If this is adopted, it would reduce the number of representatives on the Episcopal Committee in the one that has 40 down to 14. There are three that now have 34. These would be reduced from 18 to 10 in numbers and so on.

I submit to the House that the matters which come before the Committee on Episcopacy in the General Conference are of such momentous and such significance and serious necessity that all the Conferences ought to be represented when decisions are being made. Even though there are two Conferences in an Area and one may not be represented, it is entirely possible that there will be differences of things and actions in regard to matters that come before this Committee.

I would like to request the house for adoption of this amendment.

Inquiry—L. Scott Allen

L. Scott Allen (Georgia—C): I would like to raise a question in regard to the language which is used here. "Persons selected by their selective Area delegations to serve on the different committees of the Episcopacy."

It seems these persons could not be elected by the area delegations. Section 526 says that the Jurisdictional Conferences elect persons to serve on the Committee on Episcopacy.

How would you harmonize this legislation with the present language of the *Discipline?*

Bishop Martin: Can you answer, Brother Hickman.

Mr. Hickman: I think we would have to change the word from "persons elected" to "persons nominated."

Inquiry—J. Henry Chitwood

J. Henry Chitwood (North Alabama—SE): I want to ask Dr. Hickman if the Interjurisdictional Committee meeting at the General Conference would, of necessity, mean that the Jurisdictional Conferences would be held after the session at ten o'clock?

Mr. Hickman: We think not. It seemed to us that the Episcopal Committee would be organized before the Jurisdictional Conferences.

Statement Relative to Cox Amendment—Robert H. Courtney

Robert H. Courtney (North-East Ohio—NC): Mr. Chairman, I am afraid that the Conference is getting to the point by some of the actions we have taken previously, unless there are some simple applications of other things involved, many of these things go by so quickly we don't realize what we are doing.

I rise to speak to Brother Cox's amendment because, since there is no reaction, there may be no concern about it. This is a drastic change. We will find ourselves elected by Areas instead of Conferences. I am just rising to ask us to pause and think for a moment to realize what we are doing, if we do not accept the amendment. If we do not accept the amendment, it means we change the form of the Episcopal Committee and the Jurisdictions completely causing them to be elected by Areas instead of by Conferences.

I rise to suggest and hope that we all pass the amendment.

Statement Opposing Amendment—Richard C. Erwin

Richard C. Erwin (North Carolina—C): I think the amendment is not necessary in that if we will refer to Paragraph 526 of the *Discipline*, this new proposal begins at the end of Paragraph 9. The proposed legislation will not in any way affect the Committee on Episcopacy as now constituted by Jurisdictional Conference.

As I understand it, I will ask Mr. Hickman if this is correct.

Mr. Hickman: You are correct. We mean no change in the Constitution in the Jurisdiction of the Episcopal Committee. This is to be Interjurisdictional Conference Committee to meet for the purpose of negotiating transfers consisting of people selected from the Jurisdictional Episcopal Committees on an area basis. It means this Interjurisdictional Committee will be composed of 80 men and women which seems to us quite large enough, and any action they take, of course, has to be ratified and approved by the full Episcopal Committee of the Jurisdiction which is affected, either a transfer in or out.

It was our judgment that 80 was quite a large enough number for this purpose.

Inquiry—Thomas L. Cromwell

Thomas L. Cromwell (North-East Ohio—NC): You are creating a new committee, an Interjurisdictional Committee at the General Conference which would only be ministers and laymen from each Area, but at the Jurisdictional Conference level, you would still have the Jurisdictional Episcopal Committee which would be ministers and laymen from each Annual Conference.

Mr. Hickman: That is right, with the qualifications that the Interjurisdictional Committee would be selected from the several Jurisdictional Committees.

Mr. Cromwell: They would have to be on the Committee?

Mr. Hickman: Chosen from that committee, yes.

Inquiry—Alva I. Cox

Alva I. Cox (North-East Ohio—NC) made an inquiry. The Chair ruled it irrelevant.

Suggestion—Winslow N. Wilson

Winslow N. Wilson (West Wisconsin—NC): The recommendations that appear on page 22, I contend, which have not been brought before us, I believe, do provide for the appointment of a Jurisdictional Committee on Episcopacy. However, on the first column of page 21 which is the item now before us, simply follow the procedure regarding this amendment by eliminating one word and that is the word "area." Persons elected by their respective delegations, this would simply fill this point but not create a question of debate on this point of how they are elected until we come to that specific provision.

Mr. Hickman: We accept that, by eliminating the word "area" in the first paragraph, second line of the proposed amendment.

Inquiry—William R. Cannon

William R. Cannon (North Georgia—SE): This is merely a ques-

tion. The proposal, as I understand it, means that this Interjurisdictional Committee will function throughout the four years until the meeting of the next Jurisdictional Conference. Is that right?

The Committee will be constituted from membership elected at one Jurisdictional Conference, that is, in August, and will function through the quadrennium, is that right?

Mr. Hickman: I don't believe we have even advised that, because within a month after that, the bishops would be assigned.

Dr. Cannon: And there would be a new committee.

Mr. Hickman: Yes.

Statement—D. Trigg James, Sr.

D. Trigg James, Sr. (Holston—SE): I think it should be clearly understood that this is for a specific function, this particular committee in question. Its purpose is to negotiate with other committees under the information which would be in the hands not only of the Jurisdiction before final action could be taken, the entire Jurisdiction, but the total committee on episcopacy as provided in Paragraph 526.

The reason for the smaller group is to provide for a greater flexibility in negotiations relating to the possible transfer of a bishop from one Jurisdiction to another, by agreement, and therefore would have to be supported by more of the jurisdictional action than merely giving a committee full authority to act. Therefore, it does not contravene Paragraph 526. It makes for facility of action, for flexibility, and must be, of course, supported by the larger groups. I see no danger in it, therefore.

I would also like to raise a question as a member of the committee about removing the word "Area." I think that is necessary to protect the very thing that Dr. Cox is arguing for in his amendment. I believe that if the word "Area" is removed, it would not be the best interest of the entire Jurisdiction, because each Area should be represented either by a clergyman or a layman—I believe it is both —on this special standing committee which gives advice to each episcopal area where a bishop is assigned, and where if a transfer were effected, it would affect each area and it should be represented on the committee.

Bishop Martin: Thank you.

Mr. Hickman: Mr. Chairman, in light of the speeches which have been made, the committee would like to withdraw acceptance of the removal of the word "Area" in as much as this interjurisdictional committee is simply a consultative body with no power to act.

Bishop Martin: The committee's report includes the word "area."

Previous Question—Arthur B. R. Colley

Arthur B. R. Colley (Western Pennsylvania—NE) moved the previous question and it was seconded. The previous question was ordered. (DCA, page 344.)

The Chair inquired if Leon E. Hickman desired to speak further on the matter. He declined.

Inquiry—Harold H. Hughes, Sr.

Harold H. Hughes, Sr. (Virginia—NE): I am asking a question as to the status of this Committee. Is this a committee that is elected

by the Annual Conferences in the particular year in which this General Conference is held, as the *Discipline* points out that this Committee on Episcopacy must be elected by the Committee on General Conference.

Is this the committee of the former Jurisdictional Conference, or of the group that is being held in the particular year of the General Conference?

Mr. Hickman: It would be selected from the newly-elected committee.

Inquiry—Raoul C. Calkins

Raoul C. Calkins (Ohio—NC): We could not hear the last remark of Mr. Cox. I thought that he was saying that he was withdrawing this amendment at this moment. Is that the case?

Bishop Martin: He offered to withdraw the matter, but before he had made that statement, the previous question had already been called for and this group voted to have the previous question.

Point of Order—Robert H. Courtney

Robert H. Courtney (North-East Ohio—NC): My point of order, Mr. Chairman, is that the amendment was made as relates to No. 10 on Page 22, Paragraph 526, and we are discussing the first column on Page 21. I think the withdrawal of the amendment was overruled because of that, the fact that it was overruled at the wrong location. I think to vote on it now would be out of order.

Bishop Martin: I think that you have ordered already the previous question on it, whether it should have been presented at one time or another, the previous question has been ordered by the group by an overwhelming majority.

Inquiry—Richard H. Gantz

Richard H. Gantz (Central Illinois—NC) asked the question, "Is there an official Area delegation?" Mr. Hickman replied that an Area delegation will be selected from the Committee on Episcopacy of each Jurisdiction.

Inquiry—Woodie D. Lester

Woodie D. Lester (Central West—C) inquired: "Just what are we voting on?"

Clarification Requested—James K. Forbes

James K. Forbes (Indiana—NC) requested clarification.

Cox Amendment Read

The Chair requested the Conference Secretary to read the Cox amendment. It was read.

Amendment Lost

A vote was taken on the Cox amendment, and it was lost. (DCA, page 345.)

Motion to Reconsider

Thomas A. Collins (North Carolina—SE) moved reconsideration of Paragraph 14, Article IV, for the purpose of substituting the words "within the 12 months succeeding the meeting of the General Conference." It was seconded.

Statement for Amendment—John C. Satterfield, Sr.

John C. Satterfield, Sr. (Mississippi—SE): This amendment provides that they shall meet at the same time as fixed by the Council of Bishops. It would authorize the time to be fixed, even though this response to Mr. Jones's question who came in asking the question and heard the response to that question after this telephone call, it would not apply to the place. On the other hand, full authority would be granted to the Council of Bishops to set the meeting of all Jurisdictional Conferences three days prior to the meeting of the General Conference at a place to be determined by the Jurisdictional Conferences. This authority is specifically granted. I am not in a position to read the mind of even my wife or our bishops in the future. It is a matter of authority, not what may be done.

In the Southeastern Jurisdiction, we, as you know, have a very broad, effective and excellent program which is carried on. Our conference meets for a number of days. We prefer to meet after the meeting of the General Conference. Many of the other Jurisdictions prefer to meet before the meeting of the General Conference. Therefore, if this motion to reconsider is adopted, our offer as a substitute to the amendment proposed, I will move the following "provided on any request of any Jurisdictional Conference, the time for the meeting thereof, shall be set as requested by it."

This would mean they would not all meet simultaneously, but it would not destroy the work of the Jurisdictional Conference of the Southeastern Jurisdiction.

I favor the amendment, that the meetings may be held before the General Conference, if other Jurisdictions so desire. I think I was perhaps the only member of the body that voted against this, because I am confident that most members of the body did not realize the full importance of the action.

I would like to see this amendment adopted. I believe it would be defeated in its present form.

Therefore, I hope you will reconsider and this is reconsideration only for the purpose of offering the motions pending for amendment thereto with substitute therefor.

Statement Opposing Amendment—Leon E. Hickman

Leon E. Hickman (Western Pennsylvania—NE): It seems to the Coordinating Council very unlikely that any of these things would happen. It would be more mischievous to tie the hands of the Council in setting a meeting date than to leave it open as it is.

The Council will meet and every Jurisdiction will be represented at the Council of Bishops. I cannot believe any conference will be interfered with.

Motion to Reconsider Defeated

The vote on the Collins motion to reconsider was taken, and was defeated. (DCA, page 345.)

Motion to Amend—Winslow N. Wilson

Winslow N. Wilson (West Wisconsin—NC) moved an amendment to delete the word "Area" in the second line of the paragraph under consideration, on page 21. It was seconded, and Mr. Wilson spoke to his motion. The vote was taken and it was defeated. (DCA, page 345.)

Legislative Item 2

Leon E. Hickman (Western Pennsylvania—NE): Item 2, Column 1, Page 21. This is a somewhat different proposal. "To permit the Council of Bishops to assign one of its number to some specific church-wide duty for a period of one year." Then the thing like it "to grant the Bishops as a permissive right, which all other ministers now have, to ask for a sabbatical leave." The items are sufficiently different that I think we would probably get the will of the house if we voted on the two items separately. They are raised in the black-faced paragraphs beginning at the first Column on Page 21, to the middle of the Second Column on Page 21.

Paragraph 425.1, as we are proposing that it be amended would permit the Council of Bishops, with the consent of the Bishop involved, and with the consent of the standing committee on Episcopacy of the Jurisdiction involved, to assign a Bishop to some specific church-wide responsibility believed to be of sufficient importance to the welfare of the total church, for a period of one year in which eventually he would be released from residential responsibility for that year.

This could be done for a second year, but it would require a two-thirds vote of the Bishops. This is subject to the consent of the Bishop and the College of Bishops.

Inquiry—John C. Soltman

John C. Soltman (Pacific Northwest—W) asked for clarification on what is involved in presidential as opposed to residential responsibilities.

The Chair referred the matter to Mr. Hickman, and Mr. Hickman stated that he would yield to the Chair. The Chair stated that presidential supervision referred to holding an Annual Conference and residential referred to where a Bishop is assigned to live.

Motion to Amend—John C. Soltman

John C. Soltman (Pacific Northwest—W): My motion is to have the continuing work of the area in the absence of the Bishop for one year and possibly two be taken care of. At times I am troubled how I can get along with the Bishop, and I am troubled now how we might get along without him for an extended period of time, conceivably a half of a quadrennium. I think we may harken back to the days that when the duties of the Bishop were more entirely presidential in regard to this area. In our area, we have a strong leadership, month by month and week by week from the Bishop, and we find it difficult to conceive of carrying on this work with his being gone one or two years. Therefore, I support this Amendment.

Statement—Bishop Edwin R. Garrison

Bishop Edwin R. Garrison (Dakotas Area—NC): I think what we are basically saying is that for this one year or two, as it might be, that on call of the Bishops of the College of Bishops, you would have what is, in effect, a leave of absence. This would mean that the other Bishops of the College would need to assume responsibility for his work. I think we need to point out that technically and constitutionally, the Bishop is elected by the Jurisdictional Conference whose place of residence for approval of the Committee on Episcopacy, but he is appointed for his presidential duty by the College of Bishops.

I think it ought also to be said that the Committee worked this out in response to a number of suggestions that there ought to be this permissive legislation, and it ought to be clearly understood that the Council of Bishops are not asking for this. It is permissive.

The Chair announced that the time of recess had been reached. Bishop Garrison pointed out that the Conference had not yet voted on the adoption of Legislative Proposal No. 1, and inquired if the vote could be taken before Recess. The Chair announced that the Conference would remain in session until this matter was disposed of.

Inquiry—John C. Satterfield, Sr.

John C. Satterfield, Sr. (Mississippi—SE): What is contained in the Report No. 1, pages 20 and 22? Knowing Mr. Hickman, I don't understand your inquiry, sir. The proposal of Bishop Garrison was that we suspend for a moment on legislative Item No. 2 and adopt Item No. 1 with the idea that we are perfecting these seriatim.

That brings us where?

Mr. Hickman: The end of the first column on page 22.

Mr. Satterfield: I move to amend Paragraph 14, Article 4, as proposed, as a part of the Legislative Proposal No. 1 by adding at the end thereof the words "provided that upon the request of any Jurisdictional Conference, the time of meeting thereof shall be set in accordance with its request."

If there is a second, I would like to discuss it.

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Martin: All right.

Mr. Satterfield: Mr. Chairman, Ladies and Gentlemen, I am sure that in consideration of this proposal a few moments ago, there being so far as I could see only one or two votes against the proposal, that the members of this Conference did not understand the effect thereof.

I am sure all of us believe that each Jurisdictional Conference should be permitted to meet at the time and place it desires within the framework of the Church. There is no tendency whatsoever on the part of any of the jurisdictions to take—

Bishop Martin: There is a point of order called for.

Raoul C. Calkins (Ohio Conference): I believe that this amendment is not germane and is irrelevant because it should have been attached to the other item which we voted down.

Mr. Satterfield: May it please the Chair, this is on adoption of

the entire legislative item that is before this body for discussion and amendment.

May I proceed?

Bishop Martin: Yes.

Delegate: Point of order.

Mr. Satterfield: Mr. Chairman—

Bishop Martin: The Chair recognizes the delegate at No. 10.

Delegate: We did vote. This was a constitutional amendment. It carried by a two-thirds vote.

Mr. Satterfield: The Chair ruled this was made item by item, No. 1, and item No. 1 has not been adopted yet.

Delegate: No, Item 1 on the next page was not adopted.

Mr. Satterfield: I understood the ruling that Legislative Report No. 1 was not adopted. Is that correct, sir?

Delegate: I think that what the judge is moving has been considered because we have not taken action on the section he has talked about.

Mr. Satterfield: That was a perfection of the items and on the entire legislative Report, the Chair ruled no action had been taken.

Bishop Martin: Judge, I did not rule that. I ruled on this amendment. You have taken an action.

Mr. Satterfield: What is the ruling of the Chair as to whether I may proceed or not?

Bishop Martin: You may not proceed.

Motion to Recess—Sterling F. Wheeler

Sterling F. Wheeler (Southwest Texas—SC) moved that the Conference be in recess. The Chair declared the Conference recessed.

Recess

Reconvened

Dr. Austin C. Lovelace led the Conference in singing the hymn, "The Heavens Declare Thy Glory," on page 54 of the Yellow Hymnbook.

Motion Relative to Resource Persons—Hoover Rupert

Hoover Rupert (Detroit—NC) moved that all Resource Persons for the various reports to be considered should be seated near the front of the platform. The motion was accepted by common consent.

Parliamentary Situation

The Chair stated that the proposed Constitutional Amendments to Paragraphs 14 and 38 must be taken by a count vote, and instructed the Secretary to announce the tellers. They were announced and instructed to take their places.

Inquiry—John C. Satterfield

John C. Satterfield, Sr. (Mississippi—SE) made inquiries and spoke to the present procedures, contending that the previous vote was void because it was not taken as a count vote.

Statement—Raoul C. Calkins

Raoul C. Calkins (Ohio—NC): Previous question was voted and we did take a vote, and therefore we are still under the previous question even though we need now to determine the count of that vote which was declared to be two-thirds by chair—

Mr. Satterfield: The previous question was not ordered on this matter. It was the next matter it was ordered on.

Bishop Martin: Mr. Satterfield, I don't believe you were in the room.

Mr. Satterfield: I was in the room when the question was asked by Mr. Jones of North Carolina, and I remember that a previous question was in order on this particular matter. I desire to present a matter at this time if the chair will permit.

Bishop Martin: The Secretary will . . .

Dr. Moore: We have the record that the previous question was voted but not the name of the mover.

Mr. Satterfield: Was that with reference to this or the succeeding item? That is the one as to which the previous question was ordered.

Dr. Moore: That was as to Nos. 14 and 38, pages 20 and 21. We wrote down the vote count as given two-thirds by the chair.

Mr. Satterfield: After the two-thirds vote was taken?

Dr. Moore: No, they voted only once, Mr. Satterfield.

Mr. Satterfield: No previous question was moved after the vote was taken.

Dr. Moore: No, before they took the vote.

Mr. Satterfield: Then, Mr. Chairman, if the previous question was ordered, it would be out of order for any amendment or debate, but it is my understanding that was taken after, neither discussion nor motion would be in order at this time.

Thank you very much.

Count Vote on Constitutional Amendments

The Chair called for a count vote.

The Secretary stated that the names of the Tellers would be found on page 93, DCA, and they would take their places.

Clarification Requested—Delma L. Hagood

Delma L. Hagood (North Georgia—SE) asked for clarification of the matter to be voted upon, stating that many delegates had no idea of what they were to vote upon.

The Chair replied that the delegates were voting on Paragraphs 14 and 38 on pages 20 and 21, DCA.

Inquiry—Russell Kibler

Russell Kibler (Indiana—NC) inquired of the Chair, "Are we not now about to vote on the Constitutional Amendments?" He inquired further, "How can we vote on two articles involving Constitutional Amendments at the same time?" He said he might personally want to vote on one negatively and vote in the affirmative on the other. The Chair stated that Paragraph 14 would be voted upon first.

Inquiry—John C. Satterfield, Sr.

John C. Satterfield, Sr. (Mississippi—SE): I am sorry to take so much time of the Conference, but may I inquire if this vote fails to receive a two-thirds majority and thereby fails by vote of this Conference, whether or not it would be appropriate on this or a succeeding day to reconsider that action by a majority vote at that time for the proposed amendments? Would that be in order?

Bishop Martin: I think this is a question that you should not have asked now.

I think you are privileged to ask it later.

The Chair interprets when you go to a previous question, you cause all the discussion and all argument to cease. You will disregard that question.

You are voting now on Paragraph 14. Are there any questions concerning that?

Inquiry Relative to Voting Procedure—Winslow N. Wilson

Winslow N. Wilson (West Wisconsin—NC) raised a question about the voting procedure, and inquired if the body could take two votes on one amendment. The Chair responded.

Inquiry—E. Wade Weldon

E. Wade Weldon (Louisville—SE): Mr. Chairman, I have a question. If this proposal is adopted, do we conclude by its action that we are putting in the hands of the Council of Bishops a right of determining the time of Jurisdictional Conferences, and that the place of Jurisdictional Conferences shall be determined by the Jurisdictional Conference itself?

Leon E. Hickman replied that this was his understanding of the proposed Amendment.

Vote on Amendment to Paragraph 14—Adopted

The Chair stated that two Amendments were before the Conference, the first, Paragraph 14, and it would be a standing vote. The vote was taken.

Leon T. Moore, Secretary, announced the result. Total vote cast: 844; 614 For; 230 Against. Amendment to

Paragraph 14 was adopted, as Amended. (DCA, page 385.)

Vote on Amendment to Paragraph 38—Adopted

The vote was taken on Paragraph 38. The Secretary of the Conference announced the result: Total votes cast, 789; 745 For; 44 Against. Amendment to Paragraph 38 was adopted. (DCA, page 385.)

Legislative Item No. 2

Point of Order—Jack M. Tuell

Jack M. Tuell (Pacific Northwest—W) stated his Point of Order to be that the Amendment of *John C. Soltman* was before the House to be acted upon. The Chairman agreed.

Bishop Martin: I think perhaps the Secretary should read the Amendment again, just to be sure about the matter.

Dr. Moore: Mr. Chairman, Members of the Conference, this is the motion signed by *J. C. Soltman* to amend Report 2 of Coordinating Council Page 21, column 2, lines 8 and 15, changing presidential responsibility to residential and presidential responsibility.

Statement—Leon E. Hickman

Leon E. Hickman (Western Pennsylvania—NE): It is the view of the Council that Item 2, amended or not amended, is an improvement over what we have, but is not vital. I do not think it is important to this house, corrected or not corrected. This refers to a special leave of absence of a bishop to take an assignment which might be extended to a second year. This rarely will happen. It might happen if a bishop were elected president of the Council of Churches or something of that sort. It is surrounded by safeguards, protecting the Jurisdiction, the Council of Bishops, and the man himself. We did not put in the relief from the residential responsibility along with the presidential responsibility because as we understand the law of the church, that can only be done by a Jurisdictional Conference. I propose that we take separate votes after we discuss them on the two portions of Item 2. The first relates to the leave of absence and we can vote it up or down. It is not a life or death matter. We think it would help.

The second relates to a possible sabbatical leave of a bishop. Obviously, this would seldom happen. It would involve taking a man from his Area for a year which would involve some serious problems, of course, of replacement, yet a minister has a right to a sabbatical leave after ten years of service. It seems that the law of the Church should make it possible for a bishop to have a sabbatical leave.

Now I shall say no more. I hope after a short discussion we vote the two of them separately, up or down, and get on to Item 3 which, for example, is of critical importance.

Amendment Defeated

The vote was taken on the first part of the Soltman Amendment and it was lost. (DCA, page 385.)

Motion to Adopt—Leon E. Hickman

Leon E. Hickman (Western Pennsylvania—NE) moved the adoption of the second paragraph.

Motion to Amend—Leonard H. Cochran

Leonard H. Cochran (South Georgia—SE): I would like to move to amend the report in column two, line 14, after the word "bishop" by inserting the following, "and with the concurrence of the standing Committee on Episcopacy."

If I have a second, I would like to say a word.

The motion was seconded.

Dr. Cochran: This would clear up the relevancy of this. In the transfer of a Bishop from one Jurisdiction to another and the transfer to special appointment, it is with the concurrence and consent of the Council of Bishops and the Episcopal Committee. We think this would clarify the whole procedure in the legislative sense relative to the transfer of the Bishop.

Mr. Hickman: As we read the proposed legislation, we have that provision in lines 1 and 2 of that column.

Bishop Martin: Is there anything further?

Are you ready? If you favor the amendment, lift the hand. Opposed? It is not amended. (DCA, page 386.)

Bishop Martin: If you are in favor of the adoption of this section, lift the hand. Down. Opposed? It is adopted.

Your second section, Brother Hickman.

Report Resumed—Leon E. Hickman

Leon E. Hickman (Western Pennsylvania—NE): The second section relates to the possibility of a sabbatical leave provision. It is put in here to give a Bishop the same rights that a minister has to a sabbatical leave. The minister has that right after ten years.

We recognize a situation which would warrant a sabbatical would seldom happen, but it might, and we have surrounded it with the safeguards you see here, the College of Bishops, the Committee on Episcopacy would have to be satisfied.

I move adoption.

The motion was seconded.

Motion to Amend—Arthur B. R. Colley

Arthur B. R. Colley (Western Pennsylvania—NE): I move to amend this particular paragraph by striking out, in the last sentence, that particular section, the words, "his regular salary" so that the item shall read, "He shall continue to receive his regular housing allowance for the period of leave."

If I may have a second, I would like to speak to this.

Bishop Martin: Is it seconded? It is seconded.

Dr. Colley: Mr. Chairman, I am not a Scotchman, although there is nothing wrong with being one. Neither am I advocating an LBJ economy program. I do make this amendment in the interests of fair play. I take second place to no man in this Conference in the respect

I have for the gentlemen who sit on the platform, but I also take second place to no man in my respect for the active pastor in the ministry. When he takes a sabbatical leave, he leaves his salary and he leaves his housing. Some of us, perhaps, would have been happy to take sabbatical leaves if we had been assured salary and housing, and so I think, in all fairness to everybody who holds the order of Elder in the Church, that we ought to be on the same footing.

Leon E. Hickman: I would like to say with respect to this provision—first, with respect to the Amendment that it seems to us quite inequitable, and we would not favor it. We leave it to the judgment of the house.

Inquiry—Roy H. Nichols

Roy H. Nichols (California-Nevada—W): A statement was made regarding the elder who is in a local church. In the report from this quadrennial committee on the Ministry, there is a proposal pending before the General Conference which would make a provision for sabbatical leave with pay for the men in the local churches. Am I correct?

Mr. Hickman: I do not know.

Bishop Martin: I am not able to answer that—

Dr. Nichols: The question might be answered by Bishop Tippett on the platform if he is up there. He made this report.

Bishop Martin: Bishop Tippett, can you give an answer to this?

Bishop Donald H. Tippett (California-Nevada—W): Mr. President, members of the General Conference, we have submitted a proposal, but it is in the hands of the Committee on Ministry, Dr. Nichols, and I do not know what the disposition is or how they intend to bring it back.

Dr. Nichols: But there is a provision.

Bishop Tippett: There is a provision. I don't know what they have done with it.

Statement—Leon E. Hickman

Leon E. Hickman (Western Pennsylvania—NE): This is out of order, but in fairness to the Bishops, I want to say that at no time during the consultative process did the Bishops ask for this provision. It was put to a vote and many of them did not favor it. It is to cover a possible contingency. Don't vote on this, in the belief that this is an action in relief of the Bishops. Most of them don't want it.

Amendment Adopted

The vote on the Colley Amendment was taken and it was adopted. (DCA, page 386.)

Motion to Adopt Section—Leon E. Hickman

Leon E. Hickman moved the adoption of the Section in its amended form. The vote was taken and the Section was adopted. (DCA, page 386.)

Legislative Committee Report No. 3—Leon E. Hickman

Leon E. Hickman inquired if the Conference was proceeding under suspension of the Rules which permitted the proposal in its amended form to be voted upon.

Motion to Suspend Rules—John D. Herr

John D. Herr (Philadelphia—NE) moved the suspension of the Rules. The motion was seconded, the vote was taken and the Rules were suspended.

Motion to Vote by Secret Ballot—Leon E. Hickman

Leon E. Hickman moved that all voting in connection with Item 3 be by the yes or no vote, by secret ballot, as authorized by Rule 11. The motion was seconded. The vote was taken and the motion prevailed.

Explanation of Section 3—Leon E. Hickman

Leon E. Hickman (Western Pennsylvania—NE): The effect of legislative Item 3 in its amended form is to change the retirement date for bishops so that they shall not serve in a quadrennium which carries them past their seventy-second birthday. Stated another way around, they cannot start a quadrennium after their 68th birthday. That has the practical effect of moving the average retirement date of the episcopacy from 72 to 70. It is now possible for a man to retire any time between 70 and 74, and we are voting to change this so that a man cannot start a quadrennium which he cannot finish by the time he reaches 72, which would mean an average retirement age of 70.

We think this has a good deal to commend it. To begin with, I should say it applies to the bishops selected by the jurisdictional conferences, but not the Central Conferences. The present retirement age which averages out at 72, was adopted by analogy to the precedent of our retirement age for ministers which is 72, but that subject will come up later in this Conference.

That age of 72 was originally adopted largely as an economic proposition. It is to the discredit of The Methodist Church that we paid our ministers such a poor wage that we couldn't retire them. We had to let them work as long as possible. I certainly hope that situation is improving. Certainly it applies with much less force to our bishops.

Furthermore, it is not feasible to lighten the episcopal load as a man gets into later years. If he has residential and presidential supervision, he has got it and there is nothing to do about it. A minister can be assigned to a lighter load as he approaches age 72. I know from experience that executive retirement in the business world is usually at 65, and rarely extends beyond 68.

I think there is good medical reason for that. We have a provision at the foot of our proposal that it shall not take effect prior to—that this shall become effective with the jurisdictional conferences in 1968. We have received inquiries as to why that qualification was put in. We put it in because we thought it was unfair to a bishop to retire him after a lifetime of service on six weeks' notice, and we thought it was unfair to the jurisdictions affected to be told they

had to elect a new bishop on very short notice.

The amendment that we have provided in the material that was put before you this morning was put in after some deliberation on our part because it seemed to us only an act of simple justice. When a man enters the ministry, he is promised employment by the law of our church until normal retirement age which is currently 72; under our provision, because of the quadrennial system we work under, we will retire some men, if this is adopted, as early as 68. It seems to us inconsistent with the general law of the church relating to ministers to do that. So that we have provided in the amendment that is before you that a bishop who retires from residential and presidential supervision under this amendment, will continue to receive salary and housing allowance until he reaches the regular retirement age for ministers which is currently 72, which may be something else in this or succeeding sessions; that he receive that pay until his normal retirement date if, during that period, he holds himself available to the Council of Bishops for a designated responsibility without further compensation.

That wording is before you and I shall not discuss it further. That, too, was not put in at the suggestion of the bishops, but was put in at our suggestion as an act of fairness. We think that the responsibilities of residential and presidential supervision are so vital that we ought not, in fairness either to the jurisdiction or to the men involved, to saddle them with that burden to the present age limit, which in some cases will go to age 74.

Statement Opposing Report—Leonard Slutz

Leonard Slutz (Ohio—NC): I am opposed to the proposition. Any mandatory retirement age must be a compromise. Some men would still be vigorous and effective and the loss of their experience and ability would be most unfortunate. Others should have retired sooner. Unless we have been seriously in error for many generations —and I submit on average we have not—our present provisions should not be changed. Modern medicine and health care is lengthening, not shortening, the time of man's vigor and alertness. Modern means of transportation and communication have significantly facilitated the work of our bishops. Other provisions of this Report are designed to promote much closer consultation with the Committee on Episcopacy so that in such cases where retirement before the mandatory age is advisable, it can be encouraged or required.

Perhaps my own thinking is influenced by my profession, the practice of law. My senior partners keep telling me that perhaps by the time I reach seventy, I may have the maturity and experience to be worth something.

Seriously, I feel it would be most unwise for the church; in a world so desperately needing wise, mature, experienced leadership; to lose earlier the experience and ability of all Bishops.

Consequently, unless the amendment may change this main purpose of this provision, no matter how it is submitted, I must vote against it.

Motion to Amend—Alfred J. Shaw

Alfred J. Shaw (Lucknow—OS): Mr. Chairman, I would like to move amendment to the second sentence in Recommendation No. 3. My amendment is as follows: Insert a few words in this sentence. "This shall become effective with the election of the Bishop from the Jurisdictional Conference of 1968."

I would like to insert in the middle of the sentence the words

"election of Bishops from the—" and if I have a second, I would like to say a few words in support of the amendment.

Bishop Martin: Is there a second to the amendment?

The motion was seconded.

Mr. Shaw: I have moved this amendment and hope it will be accepted by the General Conference in order to be fair to the Bishops elected under different conditions of service. We do not keep changing these conditions during the service of individuals, and with respect to all Bishops, I hope this will be clear to them. New rules generally apply with the new incumbent to the position. Thank you.

Statement Opposing Amendment—Leon E. Hickman

Leon E. Hickman (Western Pennsylvania—NE): I would oppose the amendment. If you believe at all in the principle of 72 as a maximum age at which a man ought to have residential and presidential supervision, you ought to vote down the amendment. If the amendment applies, it will not become fully effective until 1984. We have Bishops in office now who would serve until 1984 under the old rules. If it is right, it should go in now. If it is not, it should be turned down.

Motion Relative to Voting Procedure—John D. Herr

John D. Herr (Philadelphia—NE): I move that we act under Rule 12 and divide the question and not take a secret ballot on the Amendments.

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Martin: This is Brother Herr from the Philadelphia Conference.

You have to reconsider, Brother Herr, because you passed this other motion. If you want to make a motion to reconsider, we can vote on that.

Motion to Reconsider—John D. Herr

John D. Herr (Philadelphia—NE) moved to reconsider. The motion was seconded. The vote was taken and the motion prevailed. (DCA, page 387.)

Vote on Shaw Amendment

The Chair stated that the Conference was ready to vote on the Shaw Amendment. The vote was taken and the Amendment was lost. (DCA, page 388.)

Motion to Amend—Robert M. Thorpe

Robert M. Thorpe (Pacific Northwest—W): I wish to make an amendment to this section, the general nature of which is to move the underlined language which was given to us this morning, 436.1 down through 436.5, making it a new number and changing the amount.

I will read the amendment and if I have a second, will speak to it.

"Delete the language proposed under Roman Two, to be added to 436.1, namely, the language underscored in the white paper before us. Add a new paragraph 436.5 reading as follows: 'A bishop who has been retired from residential and presidential responsibilities in accordance with Sections 1, 2, or 3 above shall receive $12,000 and house allowance, in lieu of retirement benefits until he attains the retirement age for all ministers.' (See paragraph 368.) If during such period, he holds himself available for assignment by the Council of Bishops to some distinctive responsibility without further compensation, he shall only be assigned to work with an agency of the Church upon specific invitation of that agency."

Bishop Martin: Is there a second?

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Martin: You may discuss it.

Mr. Thorpe: Mr. Chairman and Delegates, I think that we all understand that this proposal does remedy an inequity which previously existed under a retirement by calendar when that was tied to Jurisdictional Conferences. The intent of the Coordinating Council was to assure a bishop that he might receive a certain level of income until a stated age regardless of the effect of calendar retirement.

In so doing, we create a new inequity, but I think it deserves note—it is not the real reason for my making this amendment, but in so doing, the bishop who had the good judgment to be born in proper relationship to the Jurisdictional Conference calendar can enjoy in excess of three years, 11 months, 29 days at a full salary and could travel in full connection to the dismay of his brothers whose parents, I might say, were less successful in their planning.

The unfortunate brothers might serve until they are 72 in the harness for the same amount of money.

This proposal stimulates us to the possibility of perfecting an instrument here of broader application and of real value to our church, and to understand this, I would ask that you open your *Discipline* to Section 436 so that you may follow with me Section 1, 2 and 3 because this affects all three of these Sections now if you approve this amendment.

For the purpose of this discussion, I would like to suggest that we think of Section No. 1 as retirement by calender action. This you will understand.

Let us think of Section 2 as retirement by church action. This is the section giving Jurisdictional Conferences the right to release a bishop at any age and for any reason.

Then, let us think of Section 3 as retirement by individual option.

All three of these kinds of retirements are a valid part of a personnel program, namely, retirement by mandatory calendar action, retirement by action of the church or retirement by election of the individual.

Now in practice, generally, only No. 1 has occurred because it was mandatory. It occurred with some inequities. Why have No. 2 and No. 3 never occurred? One reason was because there was no cushion between the full salary of the bishop and his retirement allowance which has been $5,500. It was like going on an elevator from the 10th floor to the mezzanine with no brakes on the drum.

I submit to you if we approve this amendment which provides a salary of $12,000, a house allowance for any one of these three retirements from the time that it takes place until the mandatory age,

we have provided a very useful cushion so that the elevator starts at the sixth floor until we get our breath and then goes down to the mezzanine.

I believe that the effect of this section would be to bring to life section 2 so that in some situations by the collective judgment of the Jurisdiction, a bishop who may be prophetic in his preaching, able in his writing or have an extraordinary ability in personal contacts, but who may not be as suited to duties of the administration, can be released from those duties and confined to other service in the church.

One thing I believe we need to remember, when we speak of retirement, we are in some senses misstating the problem. I think Bishop Welsh has given us a classic example, that a bishop leaving the residential and presidential service can do magnificent things for the church.

I would like to see Amendment 5 adopted so that the men in the early years of their life, starting with their own election at 65, can consider these the possibilities of service for the church.

Chairman Opposes Amendment—Leon E. Hickman

Leon E. Hickman (Western Pennsylvania—NE): I believe, in justice, I must oppose this amendment on behalf of the Coordinating Council. The proposed reduction in salary to $12,000 to a man who is retired I think overlooks the fact that we are holding him responsible for definite assignment. This church is given, time without end, to putting tasks on the college of bishops without the men to take care of them. We are relieving a man of certain duties because of age, but leaving him available to special tasks that so often the Council of Bishops doesn't have the power to man. Consequently, it seems to me quite inequitable that you change his duties from residential to presidential, or vice versa, and cut his salary during these years for reasons that I don't understand.

The proposal to apply it, further, to Sections 2 and 3 of Section 435 gets into situations where a man is retired voluntarily, usually for health, or where the jurisdictional conference retires him, and that, usually, too, would be for health. Under that situation, he would not be able to undertake many of the responsibilities we have in mind, so that it seems to me that in fairness to ourselves to confine our situation largely to where we expect to get value received. In fairness to the bishops, who are paid little enough in the light of their talents, in my judgment, I think the proposal of the Coordinating Council is a preferable solution.

Question Called for

The question was called for—the vote was taken—the amendment did not prevail. (DCA, page 389.)

Statement—F. O. Stockwell

F. O. Stockwell (Malaysia Chinese—OS): I thought Mr. Hickman said this would not apply to the change of retirement age—would not apply to the Bishops of the Central Conferences. By our *Discipline* it does, because the Central Conference Bishops cannot hold a different standard than you hold in the Jurisdictional Conferences here according to the Paragraph 438.

Motion to Amend—Robert M. Thorpe

Robert M. Thorpe (Pacific Northwest—W): I have a second amendment, Mr. Chairman, which I delayed in offering because it hung upon the first which has been defeated. This would substitute—would delete the words "Jurisdictional Conference of 1968, prior to said effective date, the provisions of 436.1 of the *Discipline* shall apply" and would substitute the following language "Jurisdictional Conference of 1964," instead of "1968" provided that a Jurisdictional Conference in the best interest of the church may defer until the close of the 1968 regular session of the same Jurisdictional Conference. The release of an individual bishop whose release would not have occurred until 1968 or later under previous legislation. This action shall be by secret ballot and shall require two thirds of those present and voting."

If I may have a second, I will speak to the amendment.

Bishop Martin: Is the amendment seconded? It is seconded.

Mr. Thorpe: I offer this amendment, sir, so that this change, if it be good—and I believe that it is—can become effective immediately. I recognize the point which Leon Hickman made concerning the chaos which could occur in some situations by retiring a bishop mandatorily on only six weeks of notice, and this amendment provides the mechanism whereby a Jurisdictional Conference in its wisdom could if this bishop is in essential negotiations, or in the midst of a building fund campaign, or tremendously active and effective, they can defer this.

As for the equity to the individual, since you have defeated the amendment which would have reduced the salary to $12,000, we no longer have an equity to the individual problem because he continues to receive his full salary. Therefore, I submit, ladies and gentlemen, that this decision should rest with the jurisdictional conference for the best interests of our church.

Statement Opposing Amendment—William R. Guffick

William R. Guffick (New Jersey—NE): Mr. Chairman, I think this Amendment ought to be defeated. In all fairness, I think we ought to delay the operation of this legislation until 1968. If this Amendment were adopted, it would involve us in what the speaker has called delegate negotiations which should not be necessary. Let's adopt the principle at this General Conference. I am opposed to the Amendment.

Statement—Leon E. Hickman

Leon E. Hickman (Western Pennsylvania—NE): I think there is some question of the constitutionality of this optional reference to the Jurisdictional Conference.

I call your attention to Section 2, Paragraph 10, of the Constitution—Section 1, rather, Article 10, which says that it is the responsibility of the General Conference to fix a uniform basis on which Bishops shall be elected by the Jurisdictional Conferences. I haven't had the time to think that out. I frankly don't know whether that covers retirement or not, but I think it raises a question.

I would have to recommend against an adoption of the Amendment. It seems to me, as a churchman, that this will be mischievous in the extreme if we refer to the Jurisdictional Conferences whether they retain a senior bishop for four years or more. It will be launch-

ing a campaign in the next six weeks which will defeat the usefulness of that Bishop no matter what the result may be.

I really believe our recommendation is the better.

Amendment Defeated

The question was called by the Chair and the vote was taken. The amendment was lost. (DCA, page 389.)

Previous Question—Richard C. Erwin

Richard C. Erwin (North Carolina—C) moved the previous question on this section of the Report.

The motion was seconded. The previous question was sustained.

Request for Extension of Time

The Chair requested a motion to extend the time for the purpose of taking the vote.

Motion to Extend Time—John T. King

John T. King (West Texas—C) moved that time be extended sufficiently to permit the vote to be taken. The motion prevailed.

Procedure Clarified

The Chair called upon Leon E. Hickman to explain what the vote was being taken on. Mr. Hickman replied "We are balloting on a revision of Paragraph 436, Section 1, of the *Discipline*, as put before you in its amended form this morning. We are voting under Rule 11."

Inquiry—John D. Herr

John D. Herr (Philadelphia—NE) inquired how the vote was to be taken "aye or no," or by secret ballot. Leon Hickman replied to the question—the unsigned ballot.

Instructions Requested—Roy Nichols

Roy Nichols (California-Nevada—W) asked the Chair to direct the delegates not to sign the ballot, otherwise it would invalidate the ballot.

Inquiry—Harold M. Karls

Harold M. Karls (Detroit—NC) asked a procedure question.

Inquiry on Voting Procedure—Raoul C. Calkins

Raoul C. Calkins (Ohio—NC): Some committees have been using their ballots out of their regular book so that they do not have the special form. Therefore, I would request that if the sheet of paper does not say anything about ballot on it, but it definitely says "Yes or No" it shall be valid.

Bishop Martin: By common consent, that is agreeable. Does anyone have an objection to that? I see no objection.

Inquiry—G. Wesley Lewis

G. Wesley Lewis (Wyoming—NE) asked for information.

Instructions by Bishop Martin

The Chair instructed the delegates not to sign the ballots and announced that due to the nearness of the hour of adjournment, the ballot would be placed in the hands of the Secretary of the Conference and the results would be reported at the evening session.

The Chair instructed the Tellers to meet in the Secretary's Office at 5:00 o'clock to count the ballots.

Privileged Presentation—Bishop Richard C. Raines

Bishop Richard C. Raines (Indiana—NC) was recognized for a privileged matter.

He presented Mr. William G. Beal, Director and Producer of World Methodist Night and Pittsburgh Night, and his mother, Mrs. William D. Beal. A standing ovation was given.

Announcements

Announcements were made by the Secretary, Leon T. Moore.

The Chair expressed his gratitude to the Conference and the Benediction was pronounced by Bishop Eugene Slater.

EIGHTH DAY, MONDAY, MAY 4, 1964
EVENING SESSION

Opening—Bishop Newell S. Booth

Pursuant to adjournment, the 1964 session of the General Conference of The Methodist Church convened in the evening session of the eighth day, Monday, May 4, 1964, at 7:30 P.M., Bishop Newell S. Booth, Africa Central Conference, presiding.

Bishop Booth: Let the Conference be in order. May the Conference be in prayer? Let us pray.

"Infinite Father, Thou who are at the same time infinite in all Thy powers, and the Father in all Thine infinite concern, guide us now with Thy unerring wisdom and direct us with Thy unfailing love. Amen."

Let us sing the hymn found on Page 48, "At Even, Ere the Sun was Set."

Bishop Booth: There is a report from the Agenda Committee, Dr. Young.

Agenda Committee Report—J. Otis Young

J. Otis Young (Ohio—NC) made a statement relative to the agenda for the sessions on Tuesday, May 5th.

He then called for a statement from Mr. Frank Baker, Chairman of the Entertainment Commission.

Statement of Procedure—Frank E. Baker

Frank E. Baker (Philadelphia—NE): Mr. Chairman, the Commission, as you know, has a lot of power before the Conference opens. We did a lot of hard work and got the Conference open; now our problem is to close, and we are meeting with considerable difficulty because we have no power over the functions of closing. Brother Cooke and Otis Young came to me today with considerable apprehension, and Otis with his briefcase on the Committee on Agenda getting fatter and fatter all the time, reminded me that our Commission has the responsibility of telling you folks the facts of life, and then laying the burden on your consciences as to what you wish to do. That is what I am going to try to do.

The facts of life are these: First, we have contractual arrangements which prevent our utilizing this auditorium beyond Saturday night. On Monday, a circus moves in. We have to be out. There is no question about that.

A number of people have come to me, who have come with husbands or wives who have come on family tickets. That means they must leave, or lose a lot of money, not later than Thursday. They have asked me—not with tears in their eyes—but to see if we can do anything about it.

There is another problem, which is that if we move the gear out of this place on Saturday, again we are in the hand of the union and will have to pay some kind of overtime or double time, I don't

know how much, but substantially more than it would cost to move the gear out on Thursday or Friday. So, we have issued instructions to move the gear out on Thursday and Friday regardless of whether you adjourn this Conference or not. That will go out.

I believe that you can adjourn at a reasonable time, and I want to make one comment and recommendation on behalf of the Committee. The comment is this: I can't help comparing you folks to the delegates at the Denver Conference. Out there, they had a pretty heavy agenda, a controversial agenda, and they completed their work in about, approximately, ten days.

I have observed this Conference very carefully and I am convinced that you are a much abler group of delegates than those out at Denver, and if they could complete in approximately ten days, I am quite sure that you can also. I have noticed what Denver did which you don't do. It is very much in your favor. Out at Denver we had to put a time limit on the speeches. It was the only way we could get some of the speakers down.

I have observed very carefully; I don't believe a speaker has run over a reasonable time limit. I don't think there is a speaker who has not spoken to the point and been well worth listening to. With that sort of spirit, I am convinced that you can close this Conference at a reasonable time if you make up your mind to do it.

You might say, "What is wrong? Why aren't we moving faster? Why did we actually go backward this morning in the first half of the session instead of forward?"

Based on my observation of Denver, Minneapolis, San Francisco, I would say this: With all your fine qualities, ability, and willingness to speak briefly and to the point, you have developed a peculiar technique here which is a sort of parliamentary picketing. You come up with something that is going to go through and your wishes are that it does not go through. You have no way of stopping it, but you line up a bunch of pickets around that thing in the way of questions and substitutions and resigning of rules and suspending of rules, and many other parliamentary techniques that are really delaying actions. Sometimes an hour and a half goes by in such futile endeavors. You have spent about $20,000 to $25,000 of the Church's money in that parliamentary picketing. I recommend that you lay it to your souls and think a little about that.

Our Commission has considered this at the noon day meeting and again this afternoon, and here are the two recommendations that I bring to you: That we consider and strive mightily to close on Thursday, but not being possessed of sufficient faith and keeping in mind that Friday midnight must be the closing—I use the words "Friday midnight" advisedly, and to show that the committee recommends that. I don't want to make a motion. Some of you can make this, but they asked me if I would ask you if you wouldn't be willing to work—you see, all the entertainment speeches are past, four o'clock services are past and there is no reason why you wouldn't be willing to work morning, afternoon and at night, until midnight if necessary.

I know that you are a wonderful group of delegates, as I said, and we are not asking you to be perfect. We are asking you to move a step or two nearer to perfection, and we are asking our presiding officers if they wouldn't be a little less gentle and a little more tough.

Bishop Dodge: Thank you, Mr. Baker.

I take it that this has been laid on the heart and soul of the Conference and that we shall be hearing from the Agenda Committee to implement it.

May we move on to our business at the present time? First of all, we wish to have announced the result of the written ballot on Pro-

posal 4 of the Coordinating Council in regard to Paragraph 436 of the *Discipline.*

The Secretary will read the results of the ballot. Dr. Moore.

Ballot on Constitutional Amendment—Leon T. Moore

Leon T. Moore, Secretary: Mr. Chairman, members of the Conference, the number of ballots cast was 827. There was one defective ballot, valid, 826. Two-thirds necessary to carry, 551. For the count, 700, against, 126. It carried.

Bishop Booth: Thank you.

Parliamentary Situation—Bishop Ralph Dodge

Bishop Dodge (Southern Rhodesia—OS): According to our agenda, we have the report of the Committee on The Methodist Church and Race with Harold Bosley, the Chairman. If you will recall the parliamentary situation, it was that we have the motion from Dr. Bosley to adopt the report which is found on Page 120 and Page 121 of the *Daily Christian Advocate.*

We had an amendment from Mr. Freeman to delete, on page 121, Paragraph 18, the words beginning, "In rare instances . . ." and on to the end of the paragraph. Then we had a substitute motion by Mr. Cherry. This is the parliamentary situation.

Dr. Bosley is here for a presentation.

Report No. 1—Committee on Christian Social Concerns—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley (New York—NE): Mr. Chairman, I direct the Conference's attention to this report which is on pages 120 and 121 of our *Daily Christian Advocate,* and I have been advised by the Chair that this is the way in which our Committee on Christian Social Concerns can bring to you a substitution that we would like to propose for the section in the designated paragraph which you have had laid on your desk at the Conference, a mimeographed sheet called "Substitution, Committee on Christian Social Concerns, Report No. 1, page 121, Section 18, Paragraph 3, lines 19 through 33."

I should like to move, sir, if it is in order for me to do so, a substitution of what is on this page for the indicated section, and then if I may, in order that there be no misunderstanding, that I may read the section where it falls.

Bishop Booth: This is a motion to substitute for what is before us. It comes as a motion from Dr. Bosley and therefore is in order.

Motion to Substitute—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley: If you will look at the paragraph in question, Paragraph 3, lines 19 to 33, there is a sentence which begins, "There are instances in the current struggle for racial justice when . . ." and from then on, the mimeographed section is to be substituted. The entire section will then read, and this will conclude the paragraph: "There are instances in the current struggle for racial justice when responsible Christians cannot avoid such a decision. Wherever legal recourse for the redress of grievances exist, the responsible Christian will obtain the best available legal and religious counsel for his dilemma. In rare instances, where legal recourse is unavailable, or inadequate, for redress of grievances from laws or their applications, that on their face are unjust or immoral, the

Christian conscience will obey God rather than man."

May I say that the committee was conscious of the discussion which had gone on. The committee was determined that we should do nothing to weaken what we are saying, but, if in some way we could clarify it, that we wanted to do.

Taking into account the discussion which occurred on the floor of the Conference, the lengthy discussion that we had in the committee, it was the overwhelming consensus of our committee that this substitute would clarify and keep the strength of the indicated section. It is in that spirit that I present to this body for their action this substitution.

Bishop Booth: Since we have had the substitute motion from Mr. Cherry and we do not have that in mind, I want to ask the Secretary if the substitution may be read so that we will be clear on the parliamentary situation.

Cherry Substitute Motion Read—Leon T. Moore

Dr. Moore: Mr. Chairman, members of the Conference, Brother Cherry's motion reads as follows: "Following the words 'God's authority rather than man,' insert the words 'provided arrest is not resisted and the full penalty of the law is borne to demonstrate the fact that the law harbors an injustice.' "

Statement Relative to Substitute—Clinton M. Cherry

Clinton M. Cherry (Philadelphia—NE): I do not know what the parliamentary situation really is, or on what basis I am talking at all, but we seem to have a motion, a substitute motion, and we have yet another motion, and I don't know what I am to do, but I do want to say something, sir.

Bishop Booth: I think you are in order, sir.

Dr. Cherry: I would like to say with respect to the substitute from the committee that in my opinion it does not say anything different from what is said in the previous account.

It seems to me that the real issue is whether we are to have civil disobedience with resistance to arrest, or if we are to have law and order. There are occasions when there can be a mass disobedience of the law as a technique, provided that the penalty of law is endured patiently to show the law itself is unjust at this point. But if this is not spelled out, I think we are encouraging lawlessness and anarchy, and that I don't think we need or can use this in our kind of society.

Statement Opposing Amendment—Eric A. Mitchell

Eric A. Mitchell (Bombay—OS): I would like to speak to the substitution which resulted from the amendment which was put forward. I must first of all say it is not so easy to speak on the subject of civil disobedience, for this has various meanings for various people. One is apt to be misunderstood. What may be construed as a trouble maker, a disturber of the peace and a breaker of the law.

I speak as an Indian National, and I do hope as a Christian. What I say applies to my own struggle in the light of my own experience.

I wish to oppose the Amendment for 3 reasons. First because of my concept of moral value. The mover of the amendment, I personally feel, has misrepresented the purpose and meaning of civil disobedience by saying civil disobedience "encourages anarchy." It does not.

Civil disobedience is not lawlessness. It does not believe in violence and suffering for others. It is aimed against the wrong within society. It is not aimed to condemn people, but to convict them of their sins. Civil disobedience is a disciplined expression of a moral and a spiritual force. In the expression of civil disobedience through nonviolence, it is the demonstrator who often suffers and takes upon himself the privilege to suffer for others.

Secondly, I oppose the Amendment because one of my colleagues from India has conveyed to you a wrong impression that civil disobedience is not desirable. I was shocked to hear such a statement from him. Were it not for being for the program of civil disobedience and nonviolence, India would not be a free country today, I would not be a free man.

India won her freedom through civil disobedience.

Mahatma Gandhi, the Father of the Indian nation, one of the world's great leaders, showed that freedom, human dignity and worth could be won through this movement of Christian expression.

India did not gain freedom through violence or bloodshed. Were it not for the spiritual method, millions of lives would have been lost on both sides, cities desolated, homes broken, families disrupted and life marred.

It was those who took part in the civil disobedience through nonviolence who suffered, suffered with broken heads and backs, thrown in jails and were badly treated because of the love they had for the exploited and the needy.

Thirdly, I oppose this amendment on Scriptural ground, and I believe as a Christian. The mover of the Amendment stated some objection to the sentence, "In rare instances, where legal recourse is not available for redress of grievances from laws unjust and immoral, the individual is justified in obeying God's authority rather than man's."

I personally see nothing unjust or wrong about this statement. It is, I believe, the Christian answer, the Christian protest.

I wish to remind you, my friends, of the incident between Jesus and the Scribes and Pharisees. They came to him and said, "Master, is it lawful to give tribute to Caesar?"

What did Jesus say? He said, "Give me a coin. Whose inscription is this?" And they said, "Caesar's."

His reply was, "Render unto Caesar that which is Caesar's and to God that which is God's."

He did not confine one part to God and the other part to Caesar. He wished to convey to them the sovereignty of God, that each one of them, each of us is created in the image of God, each separately minted in his creation. We are to render to Caesar that which is his, but where it is to clash with the sovereignty of God, we render to God that which is God's. When the world clashes with the sovereignty of God, we must resist the world and render to God, even at the risk of suffering of our own life.

Jesus refused to obey Caesar. He refused to bow his head. He was crucified on Calvary's cross. His destiny was that reward.

I could give you a list of other witnesses. In the light of these reasons and my own personal experience, I urge you, my friends, not to be worried about this world. It is the Christian's protest.

Statement Favoring Amendment—Vincent M. Mouser

Vincent M. Mouser (Louisiana—SC): After the eloquent address which we just listened to, it is difficult to express a different point of view, but as I heard the brother from India speak so eloquently of the saintly Mahatma Gandhi, it occurred to me that I had never read—I had never seen—I had never imagined the saintly Mahatma

Gandhi resisting a policeman. He took his stand. He obeyed the God whom he adored. He suffered the penalties for his disobedience, and the suffering of the penalties of his disobedience, and the suffering of the penalties of his disobedience by that saintly man of God gave to the world a picture of a people and of a man who was willing to obey God rather than man, for the only reason that man can have the temerity of saying, "I cannot obey the laws of man," is because he is under the utter and absolute compulsion of a higher authority.

Mahatma Gandhi, in his great wisdom, realized that God does not speak, does not reveal his will to all men, that men of good will do not receive the same message and the same impressions upon a point on which men must deal if they are to live together in this world.

He realized that the police who were sent to arrest him when he deliberately disobeyed the laws of the British Kingdom, were doing their duty, and Mahatma Gandhi, having given record to his determination to obey God rather than men, submitted with humility and dignity. He submitted in dignity and bore his pain in jail.

Mr. Chairman, I submit that when the Christian Church, The Methodist Church, has upheld the right of man to obey God rather than man, it has gone as far as it can go. It cannot tell that man that when the policeman who is under compulsion to enforce the law as written on the books, comes to arrest him, that we are encouraging you to lie down in the streets, we are encouraging you to withhold force, passively or actively against the execution of that arrest.

I know Dr. Bosley. I know his philosophy. I have had the privilege of exchanging many views with him, to my great spiritual edification and bringing about much in spiritual travail. I believe he and his committee feel it is implicit within the language of this report as they have written it that The Methodist Church will not encourage men to physically resist enforcement of lawful arrest, even by the man who is seeking or who is obeying God rather than man, following his own convictions. But we are speaking, not to people who have the great spiritual understanding which Dr. Bosley and his colleagues on the Committee and Commission hold, but we are speaking to people who do not have the spiritual understanding that people of this Conference hold, and I think we should make clear that while The Methodist Church will hold high the rights of man to exercise his right of obedience to God in an extreme case will disobey the law as it is written, we will not uphold his right to go take an additional step and say, "I will disobey the application of physical authority of law which is necessary to be administered upon me."

But let us follow the example of Mahatma Gandhi and follow the example that great leader set forth. Resist the laws of man which are contrary to the laws of God, but when the power of the law is visited upon you, yield with dignity and meekness to it and thus make your message clear to the world.

I am speaking for the amendment of Brother Cherry and I hope you will adopt it.

Point of Privilege—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley: May I inquire now whether our substitution now becomes the body of the document under discussion, and if so, does the Amendment proposed by Brother Cherry—an Amendment, I believe to a sentence, not in the new document?

Bishop Booth: It will only become a part of the document when it is adopted. Up to the present time, it is still part of the other.

Point of Order—Jack M. Tuell

Jack M. Tuell (Pacific Northwest—W): My point of order is if you are not ruling it a part of the order, it becomes an Amendment of the Third Order which means that this is the third Amendment, one to delete, one to substitute for this, and now another to substitute. I believe it is out of order.

Bishop Booth: This is an Amendment to the Amendment, so this is, then, an Amendment substituting for the original.

Point of Order—Vincent M. Mouser

Vincent M. Mouser (Louisiana—SC): When I spoke, I was a little uncertain about it. . . .

The Point of Order is—the point of information, rather, is this: Is the substitute by Dr. Bosley in the nature of a substitute both for the original Amendment and the additional Amendment of Dr. Cherry, and also the substitute for the language originally brought in? Is it in the nature of a substitute for them?

Bishop Booth: In the nature that it was brought in, it is a substitution for all that is before us, including the final paragraph of the original report.

Mr. Mouser: May I inquire further, in order to continue before the Conference the Amendment by Mr. Cherry, it will be necessary either to defeat this substitute or, if it is adopted, then I would presume that this Amendment by Mr. Cherry could be offered again? Would that be correct?

Bishop Booth: Yes, this Conference, if it wishes, may suspend the rules and take this as a part of the report of the Committee. It has not been before you for twenty-four hours, but you can make it that way if you wish to do so. But I think that we are in order as we go forward.

Statement Opposing Amendment—John R. Wilkins

John R. Wilkins (California-Nevada—W): May I speak against the Amendment and also the substitution proposed by the Committee?

I am sure we are aware of the fact that we are living in an era of our society where the conflicts between conscience and mores and powers of our society are of increasing frequency and intensity. It seems to me that generally, on the whole, this Section 18 is one of the finest delineations of guideposts to help people through this dilemma that we are so frequently confronting. However, in the last two sentences that have been proposed for deletion, there was an overtone which I think is important and this we are losing in the proposal from the Committee.

It seems to me it gives the impression in the last two sentences that there is a statement of affirmation and support on the part of the Church to help those who are caught in this serious dilemma, and I think when we face some of these issues, we have to stop and realize that a great generation of college and university students are struggling with these questions with great sincerity, searching for motivations for their religious life, endeavoring to discover methods and pathways of discipleship and witness, but we also must realize that these students are facing the question: "Does the Church furnish the place and the possibility for the fullest and finest expression?"

I think when we come to this, we have to take this into account.

Perhaps when these students, having been reared in Methodist Sunday Schools, perhaps at a Methodist altar having caught a great glimpse of what redemption means, the way of discipleship, suddenly they become confronted with this dilemma, the question we need to ask is: "Do they stand alone, or does the Church give them a sense of confidence, affirmation, support and love?"

I wonder sometimes whether the question, instead of being the conflict between conscience and the powers of society, whether the question shouldn't be the conflict between the conscience and the manner of our own Church, for we realize we are too tempted to conform to the society about us.

It seems to me in this substitution, although the words sound similar and it may carry, I wanted to have the chance to express the fact that it has lost something of the affirmation, and when a young person stands up who has been nurtured by the message of the Christian Church and stands there in conflict, in all sincerity, what is a great cloud of Methodist witnesses going to say to them?

Statement Favoring Committee Substitute—John B. Nichols

John B. Nichols (Alabama-West Florida—SE): I would like to speak in support of the substitution which the Committee is proposing. We have talked of the world at large. We have talked of the student. I have an overland delegate from the Southeastern Jurisdiction and I don't think we have to pass. Let's save something for the next General Conference. I don't think we have to pass everything in this session. I would like to suggest to you that there are two effects of legislation—one to affirm an ideal, and another effect that eventuates back where the legislation has to be put into effect.

I have had a rather strange feeling during all this legislation that has taken place here. I was reminded of a preacher who was told at the Quarterly Conference that the Church was going to raise his salary.

He said, "I thank you for the thought. I don't want to accept it, for it is hard enough to raise what you are paying me already."

While we have been passing a lot of legislation that is having effect back in the home situations of a good many of us, our World Service Asking has been raised 64 percent.

We have a lot of people threatening to withhold World Service and a lot of people withholding from other support. I think it would be a good thing if we had an exchange of District Superintendents in the first round of the Conference this year. We might swap the Buffalo District Superintendent for the Selma, Alabama District Superintendent, and let them ride each other's rounds in the new church year; then you would begin to see some of the effects of a lot of legislation which is taking place.

I am not saying I oppose all the legislation, but I am saying that we need to be realistic. I am as idealistic as anybody. I have a considerable reputation as a liberal where I live, but there is some point in being realistic.

Of course, there is a threat that some people will leave The Methodist Church. They remind me, too, of the story of a revival that was going on in the Church. Somebody asked the question, "Are you having any additions?" The preacher said, "No, but we are having some wonderful subtractions."

I don't want any subtractions in The Methodist Church. I love The Methodist Church; it is a world church. I made a speech four years ago in Denver, in which I affirmed my faith in The Methodist Church as a world church. I don't want to lose anybody. I want us

to keep in mind the effects the Church has on a lot of local situations where people are willing to make some progress, but you can't expect them to readjust 100 percent in a very short time on the basis of legislation which takes place here at the General Conference. We do not hold many unanimous positions in this Methodist Church. A lot of Methodists drink alcohol. If you want to rock The Methodist Church, require every preacher to go home and put out of his membership every Methodist drinking alcohol. That would be a shock. That is the kind of shock we could get as a consequence of some of the legislation that is being passed here at this General Conference.

We are not all stewards. Look at the giving record. About 1 percent of the Methodist people comes into the work of the Kingdom of God.

On race we are not the same. We must not abandon those in disagreement in any one of these things. I don't want any subtractions from The Methodist Church. I do not want a Pyrrhic victory, a victory that costs more than it is worth. The people who don't practice stewardship are my people, these drinking people are not my kinfolks, but they are my people; the dissenters on race are my people. I don't want to be cut off from any Methodists. I hope the interest and appreciation of the General Conference will be directed to the fact that a lot of us do operate in difficult situations.

I am very grateful for the fact that the report of the Committee of Thirty-six received the large support that it did. It puts back in each jurisdiction the responsibility for the final solution of this problem which confronts The Methodist Church.

No finality will actually exist until The Methodist Church has fellowship in it. We cannot legislate fellowship. We cannot pose—we can pass a carload of legislation here, but we cannot establish fellowship through legislation. I am concerned that when I leave the General Conference, I have a sense of identity and fellowship, not only with overseas delegates, but with the entire Church. I wish it would be possible for us to appreciate the situation which many of us are in and to keep that in mind when acting on some of the legislation.

Inquiry—Don W. Holter

Don W. Holter (Kansas—SC): I am uncertain as to what we are really talking about here. Are we talking about the Cherry Amendment, or are we talking about the Bosley Substitution to this Report?

My first impression, when Dr. Bosley came in with this, was that this would substitute for that particular passage we were talking about before, including the Cherry Amendment. If so, are we talking about the Bosley Substitution here?

Bishop Booth: A substitute opens the whole question; therefore, we are talking about the substitute from Dr. Bosley or Dr. Cherry or the original Amendment.

Previous Question Moved—Dennis R. Fletcher

Dennis R. Fletcher (Delaware—C): I move the previous question on Section 18, Report No. 1, and all the Amendments pertaining thereto.

The motion was seconded.

Previous Question Voted

Bishop Booth: Those who will order the previous question, raise your hand. Those opposed? It is so ordered. (DCA, page 395.)

Motion to Substitute New Report—John R. Van Sickle

John R. Van Sickle (Rock River—NC): We are in an impossible parliamentary situation voting on this, unless we suspend the rules to make this matter we have on our desks a part of the main motion from the committee. If I could, after making my speech, I would like to so move in that manner: I move that the White Paper placed on your desk become the Report of the Committee; then we can act on the Amendments to substitute and vote on the committee's report. Otherwise, we have a substitute to a substitute, which is not legal. I so move to suspend the rules to make this matter which has been placed on our desks as the motion for the main committee.

The motion was seconded.

Rules Suspended

Bishop Booth: The motion to suspend the rules does take precedence. Those in favor, raise your hand.

Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 395.)

Those who will, then, receive this as a part of the motion. Then we will be under the previous question to vote on the Amendments to it. Is that correct? Are you ready to vote?

We will vote first on Mr. Cherry's substitute.

Inquiry—G. Ross Freeman

G. Ross Freeman (South Georgia—SE): I would like to ask this question of Dr. Bosley. Does this substitution mean that you have deleted the principle endorsing civil disobedience from Section 18?

Reply—Harold A. Bosley

Dr. Bosley: Absolutely not. We have spelled it out with greater care than ever before, and we have done it affirmatively and not negatively. We do not intend to give a single inch on our attempt to underwrite the Christian understanding of civil disobedience. Do I need to make this motion again in order to get it before us, if the rules have been suspended?

Inquiry Relative to Procedure—John H. Rixse, Jr.

John H. Rixse, Jr. (Virginia—SE): I am at a loss to understand how we can vote on an Amendment that does not apply to the language before us. I fail to see how we can have the previous question called for a vote before we have the Amendment offered by the Committee legally before us.

I think that we should continue with the discussion.

Reply and Motion—Harold A. Bosley

Dr. Bosley: Mr. Chairman, I am eager to get this matter that is on the new paper as the body of our Document. I was under the impression that if it were given as a substitution that it would be

properly before us. We have suspended the rules now, and if it is in order, I should like to move that this be made a part of the designated section of our report, and at that point, the discussion of it is under way, and I would assume that if there are Amendments to be made, they would be made to it, not to what it is replacing.

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Booth: I think the simplest way to get out of our parliamentary difficulty here is for us to reconsider the motion under the previous question which stands on our books, and we can go ahead as Dr. Bosley has suggested.

Reconsideration Voted

Bishop Booth: It is moved and seconded that we reconsider. Those who will favor that will please raise your hands. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 395.)

Dr. Bosley moved that this be accepted by the Conference as a part of the original report.

Dr. Bosley: May I persist further and ask whether the Conference clearly understands the section that this is to replace, where it begins and ends, or is it necessary for me to go over it again?

Bishop Booth: It is before the Conference and has been discussed.

Statement Favoring Substitution—Robert E. Cushman

Robert E. Cushman (North Carolina—SE): Mr. Chairman, I understand that we may now speak to the motion to substitute which Dr. Bosley has presented and which is in your hands in mimeographed form.

I would like to speak—let it be understood—in favor of the substitution, mimeographed and printed and in your hands. I would like to call attention to the fact that this statement does not include the original words, "civil disobedience." They are eliminated from that statement.

I should have to say that this statement in the intention, I believe, certainly of myself, does not intend to render any judgment whatever about civil disobedience, in quotes. Civil disobedience is an uncertain and misunderstood term. The delegate from India described a type of civil disobedience, a nonviolent form. There are also violent forms of civil disobedience. There are also other kinds of civil disobedience, as for example, when laws are applied unjustly, so as to abridge the rights of human beings. This is a kind of civil disobedience that does exist in our society. Therefore, it is my understanding that the Committee undertook to avoid the ambiguities involved in the term "civil disobedience," and without insisting upon that term, nevertheless, do insist as a Christian right, the Christian conscience to affirm its fidelity to God in the face of man, if, in the view of the Christian conscience, the law subverted the higher law of God.

This is plain and clear in the substitution which has been presented by Dr. Bosley.

I should further like to agree with the delegate from India that this represents the historic Christian position. I should like further to point out that this position enunciated by Peter, through his persecutors, was the annunciation of the Christian conscience upon which Western Civilization has been built, namely, the right of man to appeal to a higher law above any positive law.

The Committee did not wish, I believe, to affirm that in any particular instance an individual was justified in opposing the law overtly. It simply says, and says explicitly, that in rare instances where legal recourse is unavailable or inadequate for redress of grievances from laws or their application—and that is the really crucial phrase—that on their face are unjust, the Christian conscience will obey God rather than man.

Now gentlemen, if we do not believe this, I do not think we understand the New Testament. I do not think we stand in the Christian tradition. I am doubtful that we even believe in God.

Furthermore, I should have to say that this statement, as one of the speakers indicated, does undertake to clarify helpfully burning and pressing issues which confront contemporary people in our society and others. Further, I should like to say that nothing is affirmed here that has not been affirmed in the Social Creed of The Methodist Church for many years, namely, the right of conscientious objection, simply in a different context, a context of which we are aware today.

Finally, I would say, gentlemen, that if this substitution is not misunderstood, it is simply an underwriting and clarifying of the underlying Christian ethical basis of the Bishops' statement on racial discrimination in Church and society which they set forth last November.

I hope that this Conference may find it possible to support an important statement of principle for our time.

Motion to Extend Time

Bishop Booth: I must call the attention of the Conference to the fact that we have gone past the time of the order of the day. Is the will of the Conference to extend the time for this report or proceed?

It is moved that we extend the time for the completion of this report.

Those who will extend the time will indicate by raising the hands. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 396.)

Inquiry—Robert W. Moon

Robert W. Moon (California-Nevada—W): At what point would it be right to move that the speeches be limited?

Bishop Booth: At any point the Conference may do it.

Motion to Limit Speeches—Robert W. Moon

Robert W. Moon: I move to limit speeches to three minutes.

Bishop Booth: For this discussion, or all the remainder of the Conference?

Those who will limit speeches to three minutes will raise their hands. Opposed? It is not carried. (DCA, page 396.)

Previous Question Moved—Loyd F. Worley

Loyd F. Worley (New York East—NE): I move the previous question on resolution No. 1 of the Committee on Race—of the Com mittee on Christian Social Concerns.

Previous Question Voted

Bishop Booth: Is this seconded? It is.

Those who will order the previous question raise your hands. Those opposed? It is carried. (DCA, page 396.)

Summation Statement—Harold A. Bosley

Dr. Bosley: Mr. Chairman, it is not necessary for me to speak at any great length in defense, either of the substitution or in further explanation of the report that is before us. I would underscore what has been said and what I tried to say before in just these few words.

This is an attempt on the part of our committee to place in the hands of this Conference a clear and unequivocal understanding of the meaning of the Christian's relationship to civil law, particularly at those points where, in his conscience, he cannot agree with them. This is an ancient point of tension and decision. This substitution is one which clearly places the individual Christian and the Church that has nurtured him in a position of affirmative responsibility at the point where obedience to God and obedience to law can no longer be reconciled and a man must choose. At that point, we do not cast him adrift, as a Church. We pledge him understanding and our support. This is the clear intention of the Committee, and we believe if the Document will be read with care, you will agree it is the clear intention of the document itself.

We believe that this motion as a whole, this report as a whole, is an indication of the way in which we ought to be moving. I would put it this way: It is not so much a pattern of words we are giving you —high sounding words. This represents an effort on our part to articulate what we believe to be a kind of covenant between Christian people and the God we seek to serve. As we are thinking in terms of covenant, we do not propose that these words in Report No. 1 shall be mere words. Let me put it two ways, if I may: If this document represents to you mere words that you intend to forget when you leave this assembly, then I urge you to vote against it. But if this document represents, as it were, a banner under which you propose to march as you leave this assembly and go to your separate responsibilities in which we are one under God and in Christ, then vote for it. Thank you.

Report of Committee Adopted

Bishop Booth: Those in favor of adopting the report, raise your hands. Those opposed? The report is adopted. (DCA, page 397, See Appendix, page 1268.)

Motion to Send Statement to Senate—Joseph H. Albrecht

Joseph H. Albrecht (Central Illinois—NC): I move that in view of the present debate going on in the United States Senate, the material just adopted on The Methodist Church and Race be sent by the General Conference Secretary to each of the senators from each of the states with the request that this statement be read into the Congressional Record.

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Booth: That motion is seconded.

Those in favor indicate by raising your hands. Those opposed?

Will you vote again, please? Those in favor, please indicate by raising your hands. Those opposed? The motion is carried. (DCA, page 397.)

Personal Privilege—Joseph C. Evers

Joseph C. Evers (Southern Illinois—NC): I want to have a personal privilege, sir.

Bishop Booth: State what your privilege is.

Mr. Evers: I have to ask for the personal privilege because of my extreme embarrassment which I made on Saturday morning during this previous debate. I tried to get the floor during this debate this evening.

My friends tell me that on Saturday morning I said that I was for the amendment by Mr. Freeman.

Sir, I was recognized by the Chairman on Saturday morning who was calling for a speaker against the motion. I don't know how the words came out that I was for it when I meant to say that I was opposed to it. I suppose that I will have to stand under the indictment of Dr. Sockman that said we were speaking more and thinking less.

Bishop Booth: You stated your privilege.

Mr. Evers: I would like to change the Journal to say I am against that motion.

Bishop Booth: You have spoken to the Conference and it will be in the *Advocate.*

Motion to Limit Speeches—Willis M. Tate

Willis M. Tate (North Texas—SC): We have before us 4,500 memorials. These have been processed by your Committee. Only two Committee reports have now been made. Most of these Committees, Committees 1 through 9, have an average of a hundred members or more. That is 10 per cent of the General Conference sitting in the Committees and they are representative of all the Annual Conferences. Most of these Memorials have been processed and debated before subcommittees and have been brought before the Committees as a whole and some of the reports represent compromises by all the interested parties.

In light of this and consistent with the report from the Commission on Entertainment, I move, Bishop, that the remarks and questions from the floor on the Reports of the Legislative Committees only be limited to three minutes.

Bishop Booth: Is that seconded?

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Booth: Those who favor, indicate by raising your hands. Opposed? It is carried. (DCA, page 397.)

Report of Committee on Pensions—Roland P. Riddick

Roland P. Riddick (Virginia—SE): Mr. Chairman, would you allow Bishop Marshall Reed to make a privileged statement for our Commission?

Bishop Booth: Yes, Bishop Reed.

Privileged Statement—Bishop Marshall Reed

Bishop Reed: Mr. Chairman, we ask for this special privilege to make a presentation of the Secretary of the Board of Pensions, Dr. Charles Calkins.

A few days ago we stood with enthusiastic applause and expressed our appreciation to Dr. Ralph Stoody for what he had done in the field of Public Information. Dr. Calkins has for 25 years been secretary of the Board of Pensions of The Methodist Church. When you hear the report, you will realize there has been a miraculous experience which arose from our Board of Pensions. It has been due to the leadership of this good man, his sane judgment, which has brought us to the place where we are today, and it may be that this Conference would like to spontaneously stand and through hearty applause express its appreciation.

Dr. Riddick: Members of the Conference, I am Roland Riddick of Virginia. Bishop Reed has spoken eloquently for the whole church. His expression of appreciation for the tremendous work of Charles Calkins for Pensions is for all of us.

Our report will be for you a change of pace. You will find it begins on Page 188, Calendar No. 42, Committee on Pensions, Report No. 1. The text has been carefully read and there are no corrections that we have found. The 1960 General Conference established a Pension Legislative Committee which has been at work diligently during the quadrennium. Our report is found in your Book of Reports. It has been before our Committee. The report that is presented to you tonight is largely the work of this Committee with certain minor changes brought about by Memorials.

I think as you read through our report, you will be impressed by the unanimity of our Committee. We have taken time to discuss matters thoroughly, but before voting have reached a meeting of minds. We have come to you with an almost unanimous report. You will find it divided into several parts. We are asking that Judge Vincent Mouser, chairman of our Subcommittee on Organization and Structure, present Report No. 1, dealing with organizational structure.

Report No. 1, Committee on Pensions—Vincent M. Mouser

Vincent M. Mouser (Louisiana—SC): Mr. Chairman, Dr. Riddick. I am happy that the matter that I speak to you about now, since it deals only with $145,000,000 of the Church's money, will not require the vehement or emotional concern which I displayed at my last remarks to the Conference.

Please turn in the *Advocate* if you have not already done so, to page 188. You will notice that my section of this Report No. 1, or rather Report 1 which I present to you, deals with only three articles of the *Discipline* and the report is divided into Section 1 and Section 2. With your indulgence, I will deal with Section 2 first; which relates to the Conference Board of Pensions. The other deals with the General Board of Pensions. This change in the legislation which we propose as indicated there is of earth-shaking importance. We changed the term "effective minister" to "minister in effective relation."

In prescribing the qualifications of ministerial members on the Conference Board of Pensions, that is more important than you think, a minister in effective relation might not be an effective minister. We want to be sure that the words which carry out the technical meaning which we wish to convey are used rather than words of general import which might cause some trouble.

Reverting back to Section 1, the first part of that dealing with the Amendment of Paragraph 1601.1 deals only with two minor things. First, we change the designation of domicile of General Board of Pensions from Cook County, Illinois, to the City of Evanston, Illinois. We left the Cook County in negotiations for property on

which to build the building. The building erected in Evanston is more accurately precise to declare the domicile in Evanston.

The third numbered paragraph simply deletes the requirement that the Board of Pensions maintain an office in St. Louis. Over the quadrennium, the admonitions of the Coordinating Council and the General Conference adopting the Survey Commission Report that the two offices be combined have been carried into effect and all the work of the Board of Pensions is being done in Evanston, Illinois. We simply ask you to eliminate the requirement that is no longer necessary for the Board to maintain offices in the City of St. Louis.

The Amendment to Paragraph 1602.1 is a little more involved. I request you to follow me more closely. I took 30 minutes to explain this to the Committee on Pensions, and I guess I clubbed them to sleep because we obtained a unanimous report, but I feel it my duty to make it clear to you in about five minutes.

The Board of Pensions must necessarily have enacted for its organization new legislation, because the legislation which was enacted four years ago, upon being submitted in part to the Judicial Council—part of it was declared unconstitutional. The part declared unconstitutional was a provision which you have noticed in italics that 20 members of the Board of Pensions be elected by the General Conference on nominations by the Council of Bishops. There was a formula, by which these members would be distributed among the Jurisdictions so it could be determined how many members would come from each Jurisdiction. The Judicial Council determined, since there was a specific designation of a specific number of members from certain Jurisdictions, that was in conflict with the position giving the authority to Jurisdictional Conferences to choose a representative on the General Board.

It was to remedy this that we found this legislation necessary. I would say this: By utilizing hold over provisions and filling vacancies the Board of Pensions was enabled to function during the last four years, but the legislation which we propose is simply this: We return to the Jurisdictions the election of members of the Board of Pensions. There are 20, as you will notice, to be elected by Conferences. Of those, a majority, or 12, being one layman and one minister from each Jurisdiction, are to be elected by the Jurisdictional Conferences on nominations by the Committees on Nominations of the various Jurisdictional Conferences.

Now, we provide for eight members to be elected by the General Conference, of whom not more than two, in effect shall be from any one Jurisdiction. Four of those shall be ministers and four laymen to maintain a parity between laymen and ministers. The provision of not more than two will come from any Jurisdiction, of course, indicates that at least four Jurisdictions will have representatives chosen under that category, but there is no assurance that any one Jurisdiction will have a single one under that category, so for that reason, we are certain—the Committee is certain—that this will not run afoul of the constitutional provision which the Judicial Council dealt with because no one Jurisdiction could point to any specific number of representatives which, under this legislation, it could say were its representatives.

Now, then, we retained the provision which was in the legislation four years ago for the selection of six members at large by the Board itself, that is the one Bishop—we retained one Bishop to be elected by the Council of Bishops, so the 12 elected by the Jurisdictional Conferences, the eight elected by the General Conference, and the Bishop, or 21, will then select six members at large, no more than 2 of whom shall be from any one Jurisdiction. This carries over the provisions set up four years ago which, as we know, there has been no objection. It is the same provision which you find in the

Constitution—provision constituting membership in the Board of Missions and some others of our Boards.

I would think, gentlemen, that this should be a sufficient explanation. This comes to you as a result of four years of work by the Pension Legislation Committee of the Board of Pensions. It comes to you with a unanimous, favorable report of the Pension Legislation Committee of this Conference.

I move, ladies and gentlemen, the adoption of this report.

Report Adopted

Report No. 1, Committee on Pensions was adopted. (DCA, page 398; see Appendix, page 1624.)

Reference to Judicial Council

Dr. Riddick: Mr. Chairman, I would like to offer a motion that the provisions of this report which provide for the eight members to be elected by the General Conference upon nomination of the Council of Bishops, that that part of the report be referred to the Judicial Council for a determination as to its constitutionality. We don't want to wait eight months and find out that we don't have a Board of Pensions this time.

The motion was seconded and was adopted. (DCA, page 398.)

Report No. 2, Committee on Pensions—Roland P. Riddick

Roland P. Riddick: Bishop Booth and members of the Conference, if you will turn to Page 252, No. 43, Report No. 2, the Committee on Pension Legislation. This looks long and complicated, but it really isn't. We are asking Dr. Harold Buckingham, Chairman of the Subcommittee that brought this report to us, to explain this to you.

Harold C. Buckingham (Wyoming—NE): Mr. Chairman and brothers and sisters of the Conference, we are on page 252, Committee on Pensions, Report No. 2.

If I were to read all of these paragraphs and the proposed Amendments, it would seem to be much more difficult than it is, so I am going to try this procedure:

Paragraph 1618 under 2-C, beginning at the point about 10 lines from the bottom of that paragraph where it says, "these joint contributory annuity funds administered by the Board of Pensions," beginning there and right through to the end of Paragraph C is to be deleted because this no longer has significance or importance, and in its place we substitute the phrase, "one of the pension funds administered by the General Board of Pensions."

That will read: "Provided, furthermore, that any institution, organization, agency or community church may arrange for a pension related to such service through one of the Pension Funds administered by the General Board of Pensions."

We move on, now to Paragraph 1620. We find there, under Item 7, beginning "the clearing house,"—this is to be deleted, all of that Item 7, because it is anticipated that that will never again be needed, and therefore should have no place in the *Discipline*.

If there is no question, we move to 1623, which deals with apportionment, and here we come down to Item 7-D, and we add a new Paragraph D, which is to read: "If an Annual Conference shall have entered the ministers' reserve pension fund, and the minister under

a special appointment be a member of the fund, such apportionment shall not exceed an amount equivalent to the annual contribution for a member of the fund as hereinafter provided in Paragraph 1645." See also Paragraph 1618, Items 4 and 9. That has to do with 12 times the annuity rate.

We move, then, to amend Paragraph No. 1624, Item 3. There is a reference there. "And on retirement, amount in default shall be deducted from his Annuity provided in Paragraph 1634.1. If such Pastor be a member of the Ministers' Reserve Pension Fund, the annual contribution to the service annuity credit by the Conference of such member shall be"—and we strike out and delete from there on "withheld in any Conference year unless and until such payment has been observed," and we recommend the substitution of this, which shall read: "The annual contribution to the service annuity credit by the Conference of such member shall be reduced proportionately by the Conference in any Conference year in which proportional payment, as required herein, has not been observed."

Paragraph 1630, Item 13. "A widow of a retired minister, who married him after his retirement, or a child born of such marriage, is not entitled to make an annuity claim except as provided in Paragraph 1621."

We strike out the sentence, or these words—the last sentence of 1620, Item 1.

Now, we go to Paragraph 1631, which has to do with the fulltime approved supply pastor, and here there are several insertions. After the word "pastor" we insert "approved supply pastor who shall have qualified under Paragraphs 314 to 317, and who shall have served four full years as a pastor," in order to include a person in an appointment like that. "Pastor or assistant Pastor."

Then, several lines further down, we do the same thing. We put in, "as a pastor or assistant pastor," and then, with the sentence, "Full-time approved service for which pension credit may be given shall mean service under an appointment as pastor," we insert "or assistant pastor of a charge for which the pastor's cash support," and we recommend the deletion of the word "pastors" and "shall be not less than the minimum salary established for a full-time supply pastor."

Item 4. We have these words to insert, Item 4, "a special conference claimant shall be entitled to make an annuity claim for each year of," and insert the words, "full-time approved service rendered as the regularly appointed pastor," and then, at the words, "or assistant pastor" and then delete the next several lines, "the equivalent of one per cent of the average salary, including house rent equivalent to 20 per cent of the salary, approved supply pastor, and so forth, approved by the Board of Pensions," and in place of that, insert or substitute these words, so that it will read: "As a regularly appointed pastor, or assistant pastor, of an amount not less than 75 per cent of the annuity rates applied to the claims of regular conference claimants.

Are there any questions on that?

Then proceed to 1634. The reference to the fund here is a reserve pension fund. There, under Item 2—rather Item 4, we delete "quarterly" and substitute "in monthly installments."

This is done in Item 5, instead of "quarterly" we substitute "monthly installments."

We do the same in Item 7. We do the same in Item 8, "monthly installments" instead of "quarterly."

We come to Paragraph 1648, Item 2, and where it reads, "shall be supplemented by a grant from the disability fund, disabled widows and children's fund" we strike out "widows and children" and put instead "disability and survivor benefits fund."

No. 1652. Here in the first sentence on "ceasing to be a member prior to retirement, the member shall receive a fund in lieu of all other benefits a sum equivalent to"—and here is the change, instead of the total of his own contribution to the fund, together with a regular interest accumulated thereon, substitute these words which spell out more specifically what he may expect, "in lieu of all other benefits, a sum equivalent to" and these words "the member's accumulated income annuity credits and a sum equivalent to the member's accumulated service annuity credits shall be transferred to the account of the Conference or Conferences which contributed them."

Then, Item 3, under 1652. We delete a part of the last sentence, "a sum equivalent to the total of" and delete "his contributions to the fund, together with regular interest accumulated thereon," and substitute "a member's accumulated income annuity credits and a sum equivalent to the member's accumulated service credit shall be transferred to the act of the Conference or Conferences which contributed them." That is the same as above.

We come now to 1653. You have service annuity fund under Item 1, and disability. After disability, widows and children's fund. That is to be deleted and in place substitute these words: "and survivor benefit fund."

Then under Item 3, the same thing; delete "widows and children," and put in "and survivor benefits."

There is another item, Mr. Chairman, under 5, Item C, "The service annuity credit released when a minister ceases to be a member of the fund," is deleted, and that is taken care of above, and Item D becomes Item C, and I think that concludes that section of the report.

Now, we come to 1656, Item 12, and under that B. Here again, the same words as indicated before to be deleted because of the change in Paragraph 1652 above.

Motion to Adopt Report No. 2—Leonard Slutz

Leonard Slutz (Ohio—NC): This report has been printed and we have examined it. I move adoption of the entire report without further explanation, unless someone from the floor feels it necessary to ask a question.

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Booth: We have before us only the final part on Chapter 12 and Chapter 13 which is before you. The motion is that we do adopt this full report. Is there any further word from the Chairman?

Those who would adopt, raise your hand. Opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 400; see Appendix, page 1628.)

Motion to Recess—John R. Van Sickle

John R. Van Sickle (Rock River—NC): I move we take a 10-minute recess and come back and adjourn at eleven o'clock.

Bishop Booth: Is there a second to that motion?

The motion was seconded.

Privileged Motion—Vincent M. Mouser

Vincent M. Mouser (Louisiana—SC): Mr. Chairman, members of the Conference, discussing the wording of the report dealing with

the four ministers and four laymen to be elected by the General Conference with the Committee on Editorial Revision, recommendations were made in the light of certain recommendations of the Coordinating Council, and I would like to be permitted to make a motion to suspend the rules to make a change.

Bishop Booth: If there is no objection, it will be allowed.

Mr. Mouser: On the fifth line of the second full paragraph on the third column, page 188, fifth line, second full paragraph of the third column, beginning with words—delete words "ministerial members of the Annual Conferences or lay members of churches within" and for those words, substitute the word "from."

I think you are glad we can shorten the verbage and achieve the same result.

Bishop Booth: Without objection, this will be incorporated.

The Chairman presenting the report thinks in five minutes they would be able to complete the report on finances. Will you hold the motion to recess until that report is finished?

Reports No. 3, 4 and 5—Committee on Pensions—Frank Webber

Roland P. Riddick (Virginia—SE): Bishop Booth, on page 254, in column 3, and on page 255 you have Calendar reports No. 44, 45 and 46, which deal specifically with Memorials and Mr. Frank Webber, a layman from California-Nevada—W, will summarize these briefly.

Frank Webber (California-Nevada—W): Mr. Chairman, Calendar No. 44, report No. 3, deals with Paragraph 1620, sub-paragraph 1. I would call your attention to the first full paragraph in the first column on page 255 in the bold-faced type at the end of that paragraph.

The first sentence of the bold-faced type does not have any change in substance. There has been some re-wording, but the substance is the same. The last sentence in the paragraph is new. It reads, "If the total years of service upon which the annuity claim is based be 15 or more, the pension shall be based on the total of her husband's years of approved service and shall be charged through the clearing house accordingly."

Mr. Chairman, I move the adoption of Report No. 3.

The motion was seconded.

Report No. 3—Committee on Pensions—Adopted

Bishop Booth: Any question? Those who will adopt Report No. 3, raise your hand. Opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 400; see Appendix, page 1638.)

Reports No. 4 and 5—Committee on Pensions—Adopted

Report No. 4 dealing with three different matters was referred to the Committee on Pension Legislation to be reported to the 1968 General Conference. (DCA, page 400; See Appendix, page 1640.)

Report No. 5 (non-concurrent) was also adopted. (DCA, Page 400, See Appendix, page 1641.)

Report No. 6—Committee on Pensions—Adopted

Mr. Riddick: Report No. 6 of our Committee is the last. It gives our approval of the report of the General Board of Pensions in your book of quadrennial reports, pages 622 to 656.

We can take justifiable pride in the pension program of The Methodist Church, ably conducted, and always in mind and spirit of the Master. I move that this report be received and approved.

Bishop Booth: Those who will adopt, raise your hand. Opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 401; see Appendix, page 1641.)

Recess Taken

Bishop Booth stated that after a ten minute recess the Conference would be in session until 11 P.M.

Conference Reconvened

The Conference sang Hymn No. 59, "Ancient of Days."

Bishop Booth: We will continue with the Order of the Day, Legislative Committees.

Just a moment. Mr. Van Sickle has a motion to make to facilitate the work of the Treasurer. If you will hold it, we shall ask him to make that motion at this time.

Motion to Facilitate Treasurer's Work—John R. Van Sickle

John R. Van Sickle (Rock River—NC): In view of the fact that it now appears that we cannot adjourn until Friday, to facilitate the work in the Treasurer's Office, I move that per diem checks be prepared for the delegates through Friday.

Bishop Booth: Is there a second to the motion?

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Booth: Is there any question? Those who favor, raise the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 401.)

Publishing Interests Report No. 1 Presented—Carl J. Sanders

Carl J. Sanders (Virginia—SE): We are now on Calendar Item No. 48, Report No. 1, having to do with the Quadrennium Report, Board of Publications part of that book including Methodist Publishing House, include pages 9 to 61.

I am not going to take the time of the Conference to read this entire report. We commend it to you for your reading. It contains much material that this Conference ought to have. The Publishing Committee recommends concurrence.

Report Adopted

Bishop Booth: This report is before you. Those who will concur, indicate by raising the hand. Opposed?

Page 255 is adopted. (DCA, page 401; see Appendix, page 1643.)

Publishing Interests Report No. 6—Carl J. Sanders

Dr. Sanders: If you will, turn to page 257 for the next report of your Committee. We are reporting first on the concurrence items, page 257, first column, Report No. 6, Calendar Item No. 53.

The subject is Publishing of Occasional Offices and Ritual of The Methodist Church.

We bring to you the matter of concurrence in the printing of the Occasional Offices of the Church in the *Book of Worship*, not in the *Discipline* or the *Hymnal*. This involves Section 4 of the proposed new *Book of Worship*. The *Discipline* is filled already, and the *Hymnal* is rather bulky, and Section 4, the Occasional Offices are not used quite as frequently as the General Services of the Church, and therefore, we recommend concurrence in our Report No. 6 on the Occasional Offices of The Methodist Church.

Report Adopted

Bishop Booth: Is there any question? Those who will concur, please raise your hands. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 401; see Appendix, page 1648.)

Publishing Interests Report No. 7—Carl J. Sanders

Dr. Sanders: Report No. 7, page 257, in the second column, Calendar Item No. 54. This has to do with the Ritual to be included in Part 9 of the 1964 *Discipline*.

The General Services of the Church to be printed in the Ritual Section of the *Discipline*. If you will look down in this report from your Committee, just below the bold face letters, "and be it further resolved," you will note the word begins "chapter, contents of Chapter 1." If you will, change that word "Chapter" to "Section," it has to do with Section 1 of the proposed new *Book of Worship*.

Your Committee recommends concurrence for this Section 1 to be printed in the *Discipline*.

Report Adopted

Bishop Booth: Is there any question?

Those who will concur, indicate by raising your hand. Opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 401; see Appendix, page 1649.)

Publishing Interests Report No. 2—Carl J. Sanders

Dr. Sanders: On page 256, column 2, Calendar Item No. 49, Report No. 2, we recommend nonconcurrence, the subject, discount to ministers of other Negro Methodist Denominations. We were sympathetic, but the discount to the ministers of The Methodist Church is considered a part of the appropriation of The Methodist Publishing House to conference claimants. We believe that to grant discount to members of the other Negro Methodist Churches would be a violation of the fifth restrictive rule, and therefore, unconstitutional, and we recommend nonconcurrence.

Report Adopted

Bishop Booth: Are you ready? Those who will adopt, indicate by raising your hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 401; see Appendix, page 1646.)

Publishing Interests Report No. 3—Carl J. Sanders

Dr. Sanders: Report No. 3, page 256, column 3, Calendar Item No. 50. It has to do with Methodist literature and less controversial subjects to be contained therein. You will note a statement from

your Committee concerning *Together* Magazine. As we understand it, it seeks to speak as Methodists to Methodists and to the world, and to the church. It seeks to inform and to inspire and call the Methodist contingency to a better understanding of the Christian faith and greater individual involvement of the life and work of The Methodist Church.

We therefore recommend nonconcurrence.

Report Adopted

Bishop Booth: Is there any question? Those who will adopt, indicate by raising your hand. Opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 401; see Appendix, page 1647.)

Publishing Interests Report No. 4—Carl J. Sanders

Dr. Sanders: Report No. 4, page 256, column 3, at the bottom of the page. The subject, "To simplify the *Discipline*," Calendar Item 51.

This memorial indicated that all of the material on any given subject was to be in one place in the *Discipline*. As much as we were sympathetic with it, we decided that it was impossible, that our *Discipline* could not be simplified in that manner, and we recommend nonconcurrence.

Report Adopted

Bishop Booth: Those who agree it is thus impossible to simplify the *Discipline* raise your hand. Opposed? (DCA, page 401; see Appendix, page 1647.)

Publishing Interests Report No. 5—Carl J. Sanders

Dr. Sanders: Calendar Item 52, Report No. 5, page 257, column 1. That has to do with the Constitution of The Methodist Church and the form of the publications in the *Discipline*.

We recommend nonconcurrence. Your committee voted nonconcurrence on this memorial which requested that the Amendments to the Constitution be printed in the main body of the Constitution in the *Discipline* as was done in 1956 edition, rather than the form used in the 1960 *Discipline*.

The Committee recommends nonconcurrence. The original Constitution was not changed but simply added to.

Report Adopted

Bishop Booth: Any question? All those in favor, please raise your hands. Opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 401; see Appendix, page 1648.)

Motion to Suspend Rules—Carl J. Sanders

Dr. Sanders: Bishop Booth, we can nearly finish the report of this Committee if we can have a suspension of the rules on page 318. The *Daily Christian Advocate* has been in your hands all day and you will discover there four reports from your Publishing Committee, each bearing nonconcurrence, and I move suspension of the rules in order that we might deal with these tonight, sir.

Bishop Booth: Those who will order suspension of the rules for

this purpose raise your hand. Opposed? These are suspended. You may proceed. (DCA, page 401.)

Publishing Interests Report No. 8—Carl J. Sanders

Dr. Sanders: Thank you. On page 318, Report 8, column 2, Calendar Item No. 95, subject, The Dealing With a Code of Ethics for All Methodist Publications. Your Committee recommends nonconcurrence because the Board of Publications is charged with this responsibility for the publications under its direction. Report No. 3 that will come to this Conference from the Coordinating Council deals also with this matter and we therefore recommend nonconcurrence.

Report Adopted

Bishop Booth: Those who will adopt, raise your hand. Opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 401; see Appendix, page 1649.)

Publishing Interests Report No. 9—Carl J. Sanders

Dr. Sanders: Report 9, page 318, column 2, Calendar Item No. 96. Your committee recommends nonconcurrence in this memorial requesting publication in advance of General Conference all Memorials through the *Daily Christian Advocate.* We recommend nonconcurrence.

Report Adopted

Bishop Booth: Those in favor, raise your hand. Opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 401; see Appendix, page 1650.)

Publishing Interests Report No. 10—Carl J. Sanders

Dr. Sanders: Page 318, column 3, Report 10, Calendar Item No. 97 has to do with miscellaneous group of Memorials, No. 1200 with establishment of a colporteur system in Methodism; 1245, Preachers' Book Exchange; 1573 with novel writing; and 1564 with *centralized* listing of all materials published by each agency of The Methodist Church, and we recommend nonconcurrence.

Report Adopted

Bishop Booth: Those who will vote nonconcurrence, raise their hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 401; see Appendix, page 1650.)

Publishing Interests Report No. 11—Carl J. Sanders

Dr. Sanders: Report No. 11, page 318, column 3, Calendar 98. Again, miscellaneous Memorials involving 1566, publication of a volume of sermons from each Annual Conference. 1572 involves the publication of inexpensive books and pamphlets. We understand that paperback books, reprints, and other pamphlets are now being provided and therefore we recommend nonconcurrence.

Report Adopted

Bishop Booth: Those who will vote it raise your hands. Opposed? It is voted. (DCA, page 401; see Appendix, page 1650.)

Willis Tate (North Texas—SC): You are doing fine, and I would like to call on Harold Bosley to complete his work from the Committee on Christian Social Concerns.

Bishop Booth: Harold Bosley.

Christian Social Concerns Report No. 2—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley (New York—NE): I would like to direct the Conference's attention to Report No. 2 of our Committee on Christian Social Concerns, which you will find on page 186 of the *Daily Christian Advocate*, No. 29.

It has to do with the creation of a General Conference Study Commission on Church-State Relations. That should be singular.

There is a correction to be made in the fourth paragraph in the report, the one that begins, "Be it resolved," in order to put it in more formal language, this is the suggested change. This is a move to—not a move, but a substitute on the part of the committee for the first eight lines. It will then read: "Be it resolved that there shall be a General Conference Study Commission on Church-State Relations for the 1964-1968 quadrennium. The purpose shall be to continue the work which the Study Commission in 1960-1964 has been doing to initiate research appropriate to such study.

With those changes, we move the adoption of this report. I am assuming that the Conference has read it and does not desire to have it read at this time.

Motion to Amend—Ethel R. Johnson

Ethel R. Johnson (New York East—NE): I would like to add an Amendment to the last sentence, that the Commission report to the Committee on Christian Social Concerns of 1968 General Conference.

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Booth: The Amendment is before you. Do you wish to speak?

Miss Johnson: Yes, I would. We have taken up so much time in this General Conference hearing reports with legislation which were to be given to the Conference in 1964, I hope we will be able to avoid this in 1968.

Substitute Moved—Ted Hightower

Ted Hightower (Louisville—SE): Mr. Chairman, I would like to move to substitute, if I understood the Amendment or the motion that the Chairman just read about the establishment of this Commission.

I would like to move that we adopt this resolution in principle and commit this study to the Board of Christian Social Concerns where I think it rightly belongs.

Bishop Booth: Is there a second to this?

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Booth: I take it this is a substitute for a report which is adopted.

Mr. Hightower: Let me clarify this. I believe that Dr. Bosley read an Amendment not in the paper, did you not, or an article: Be it resolved that there shall be a Commission?

Dr. Bosley: Yes.

Mr. Hightower: You see, this is a resolution which establishes the Commission. It is not in the report that we have printed, and I want to move that we adopt the principle of the study program involved here, but place it in the Board of Christian Social Concerns instead of setting up a separate study commission on this part of the program.

Dr. Bosley: May I comment on that?

Bishop Booth: Yes.

Explanation—Harold A. Bosley

Dr. Bosley: I should simply like to comment on the motion of Brother Hightower, that either the way we had it before the change was made, or now that it has been changed, causes the creation of a General Conference Study Commission.

What he is doing is asking that the study be made by the Committee on Christian Social Concerns and that is a different thing—Board of Christian Social Concerns.

That is a different thing, and it is something that is properly before the Conference for decision.

Amendment Accepted—Harold A. Bosley

Dr. Bosley: May I say we will be glad to accept the original motion which was made over here regarding the last sentence that this report be given to the Committee on Christian Social Concerns. I think that happens to the reports, anyway.

Bishop Booth: The Committee does accept the Amendment which was made.

Dr. Bosley: If it is necessary to have this report, should this Commission be constituted, given to the General Conference Committee on Christian Social Concerns, we will gladly accept that. I thought that was done as a matter of course. It was done with several of the reports we received.

Inquiry—John D. Herr

John D. Herr (Philadelphia—NE): My question has to do with the allocation of $50,000 for the expense of this quadrennial study. Has this been approved by the Council of World Service and Finance for the next quadrennium?

Dr. Bosley: No, that has not been approved. As I understand, these matters are referred to them.

Bishop Booth: It would be referred, before final action, to the Commission.

Dr. Herr: We would have to get a reply from them before this would get into effect, would we not, and the Conference would have to vote it?

Bishop Booth: Yes.

Statement Opposing Substitute—Roy Nichols

Roy Nichols (California-Nevada—W): I would like to speak against the Hightower Proposal. You will recall when we dealt with the interjurisdictional matter that we voted down a proposal which would have given staff, and the inference was that this matter was

going to be turned over to the Christian Social Concerns and other agencies of the Church to carry out.

The thing that bothers me is that if we heap these Boards, such as Christian Social Concerns, with studies to be made, with the staff they have, they are apt to be freighted with studies and matters internal, and they may not be able to deploy the staff on the field to get things done.

Statement Favoring Substitute—Nat G. Long

Nat G. Long (North Georgia—SE): I want to support Dr. Hightower's motion. It seems to me this Commission is already studying church-state relationship. Why do we need a $50,000 commission to do it?

I am opposed to the Commission and in support of Dr. Hightower's Amendment.

Motion to Defer Action—Charles A. Sayre

Charles A. Sayre (New Jersey—NE): I would like to move that we delay action on this matter. This is creating a very expensive commission for the Church. We have not heard the report on the Church and State Commission yet. We do not know what this report is. We do not know whether that report will require study.

It seems to me we would be very wise not to raise the Commission at this point, but to defer action until we have had their report before us.

Bishop Booth: Is it seconded?

The motion was seconded.

Statement—Chester A. Smith

Chester A. Smith (New York—NE): Mr. Chairman and members of the General Conference, I think we are moving in a very unfortunate direction. And I think future years will look back upon us at this General Conference. We are rushing and doing things without sufficient time, and I think it will be a very tragic thing if we do not at least complete our work as far as we can and go until Saturday night. How are we going to have this Commission created, which will be an expensive Commission?

Four years ago we created a Commission and we spent a quarter of a million dollars in the creation of the Commission of Seventy. We spent $250,000 to have that Commission present its report, and after it presented its report and the money had been spent, and it was submitted to the Annual Conferences, the Annual Conferences refused to accept it.

Now, we spent $70,000 on the report of the Commission of Thirty-six, at least as I understand it, and this Commission would not submit to the Annual Conferences, which are the great basis of the Church. They did not submit their report to the Annual Conferences. They took it only to this General Conference and said we could do what we wanted to do and we did what we wanted to do, against my protest, we did what we wanted to do, but we were not willing to submit this great question to the Annual Conferences.

Now we place ourselves in a situation tonight like this: We give them the power to spend $50,000 and create a report four years from now, and after they spend the money and make the report, we might not have time to consider the report in any proper way.

Tonight we are not willing to stay in this meeting, in this General Conference, until we get our work finished, and I think it will be a very tragic thing. I want to ask the Chairman now, and I think this is a very important question; you are a great man and I want to say your report, originally accepted, will go down in history as one of the great pronouncements, not only of The Methodist Church, but of Christendom. . . .

Bishop Booth: You are under the three-minute rule. You have about 20 seconds.

Mr. Smith: I want to ask you, sir, because I have great respect and admiration for you, what is it you propose to do by this Commission? Is it necessary?

Dr. Bosley: May I answer that, Mr. Chairman?

Bishop Booth: Yes.

Reply to Chester A. Smith—Harold Bosley

Dr. Bosley: We want to do a number of things immediately. We want to refer to the newly constituted Commission, if it is called into being, the report that the previous Commission made on Church-State relations. It has in it some 22 recommendations, many of which require more study by a broader based committee than the one that made that study.

The feeling is that as a General Conference we need to have more information before we move into certain difficult areas that are outlined and indicated by the recommendations of this Commission that have been printed in full in the Advocate.

There literally is no place to send this for General Conference study on a broad gauge base. This Commission would permit us to do this and I may say that the Memorial on which this was based came to us from the Board of Christian Social Concerns of which they are a part, but only a part, in order that we might have a broader gauge commission to study it.

That was where we would begin and there are many other facets that would develop from that. That is the reason for the Commission. If there is sufficient reason for the Conference to adopt it, we will be happy.

Previous Question Moved—Loyd F. Worley

Loyd F. Worley (New York East—NE): I move the previous question, Report No. 2, Christian Social Relations.

Bishop Booth: Those who will order the previous question, raise your hand. Those opposed. It is ordered. (DCA, page 403.)

Parliamentary Situation Explained—Bishop Booth

Bishop Booth: The report is before us. An Amendment was made and accepted by the Chairman. We had a motion, then, to refer this for study to the Board of Christian Social Concerns. We also have a motion to postpone action.

Inquiry—Ted Hightower

Mr. Hightower: Did I understand you to say that I moved that this be referred to the General Board of Christian Social Concerns for study?

Bishop Booth: Such was my understanding.

Mr. Hightower: No, for implementation. I do not mean to destroy the study. I want it done. I simply want it done by authority and an organization already established.

Inquiry—Edwin L. Jones, Sr.

Edwin S. Jones, Sr. (Western North Carolina—SE): If this motion carries as amended, will it carry the appropriation of $50,000 for that study to the Board of Christian Social Concerns, or will it be referred to the Council on World Service and Finance to determine the amount?

Bishop Booth: According to rules, it must be referred to the Council before final action is completed on it.

Mr. Jones: What becomes of the $50,000 item here?

Bishop Booth: That will be referred to the Council.

Statement Opposing Referral—Harold A. Bosley

Dr. Bosley: I should like to speak against the Amendment to refer this for implementation to the Board of Christian Social Concerns, and that for the simple reason that the study that is to be implemented is not yet completed. It is the judgment of our Committee that more work needs to be done and it needs to be done by a broader based Commission than the one we have.

We are as anxious not to spend additional money as anyone else, but if we are to have a report in this area, and if it is to be a fair report, it must be done thoroughly. The report which is to be given to us needs further study before there is to be implementation. We will bring in a report asking for the implementation of some of the recommendations, but other recommendations are not ready for implementation by any board at the present time. Therefore, we feel the need of a continuing study commission such as the one we have outlined here.

Bishop Booth: The first motion that we must vote on is that to postpone action. Those who will, indicate by raising your hands. Those opposed? It is not postponed. (DCA, page 404.)

The second motion we have to take is on the Amendment to refer this to the Board for implementation, Dr. Hightower's motion. Those who would approve, indicate by raising your hands. Those opposed? It is not referred. (DCA, page 404.)

Those who will adopt the report, please indicate by raising your hands.

Count Vote Requested

Bishop Booth: There is a call for a count vote. Those who desire a count vote, raise your hand. Those opposed? There is a third of the body asking for a count vote. The secretary will please indicate.

Instructions to Tellers—Leon T. Moore

Mr. Moore: On the left hand side of page 93, the tellers listed there will take your places and the Chairman will act as before.

Bishop Booth: Let the Conference be in order.

There is a call for a count vote. We are voting on Dr. Hightower's motion for reference for implementation. Those in favor will please stand for the counting.

Delegate: What are we voting on?

Bishop Booth: The motion of Dr. Hightower's for referral. Those in favor of his motion are to be standing.

You will now be seated.

Those opposed, please stand. You will be seated and the tellers will read the report.

The Secretary will report the result of the ballot.

Hightower Motion Prevails

Mr. Moore: The result is as follows: 403 for, 374 against. It carried.

Bishop Booth: The motion to refer is carried. (DCA, page 404.)

Inquiry—George W. Richards

George W. Richards (Central Kansas—SC): It was my understanding this was not a motion to refer, but a matter of amending the motion to implement this by the Board of Christian Social Concerns.

Bishop Booth: You are right. It was referred to the Board for implementation. I was using the short form.

Inquiry—Lee C. Moorehead

Lee C. Moorehead (Ohio—NC): I would like to ask if the way we have disposed of this report means that the Committee on Christian Social Concerns is not going to bring in any recommendations dealing with some of the specific issues raised in this report.

Answer—Harold A. Bosley

Dr. Bosley: Mr. Chairman, our Committee is preparing now a recommendation—regarding all the 22 recommendations of this study Commission.

Some of them will be brought in for immediate action here. Some will be brought in with a motion to refer to what will now be the domain of the Board of Christian Social Concerns. All these recommendations printed in the Advocate will be dealt with by our Committee.

Inquiry—Joseph E. Lowery

Joseph E. Lowery (Central Alabama—C): Am I correct in understanding that the $50,000 will also be allotted to the Board of Christian Social Concerns to conduct this study?

Answer—Harold A. Bosley

Dr. Bosley: It would be my understanding—this is a layman's interpretation of the Conference functioning on this point, that that will be a matter for the Commission on World Service and Finance to determine. They are the ones we have to have advice from before this Commission will be brought into being under these circumstances.

I would assume, sir, the same thing will obtain wherever this is placed, as it is now given to the Board of Christian Social Concerns.

Parliamentary Inquiry—John B. Warman

John B. Warman (Western Pennsylvania—NE): I have a parliamentary inquiry. Somewhere I got lost. I understood Dr. Hightower's motion was a substitute motion. We have voted to substitute it for the main motion, but we have not yet passed it, have we, as the main motion?

Bishop Booth: My understanding was that it was not a substitute, but it was referring this matter to the Board for implementation.

Inquiry—John R. Van Sickle

John R. Van Sickle (Rock River—NC): I don't believe we are clear yet whether we voted to spend $50,000 or not. Could we have that question answered?

Was Mr. Hightower's motion, the Conference just approved, to pass the study on to the Board of Christian Social Concerns and they were to pay it out of the present budget, or are they to have an additional $50,000 by this motion?

Answer—Ted Hightower

Mr. Hightower: Mr. Chairman, my motion was in the hopes that we would not have a confusion of tongues and expenditure of funds unnecessarily. I was hoping we would not have an extra commission created, but the Board already making this study would be authorized to continue its study, and if the Council on World Service and Finance can give us any additional help, we would be very happy to have it, and we will need it.

Statement—Roy H. Nichols

Roy H. Nichols (California-Nevada—W): Bishop, I think this is the reason why motions have to be written out. We are discussing interpretations without having that motion before us. It was my understanding that if he referred this whole matter to the Board of Christian Social Concerns, the stipulation in that report says, "Not more than $50,000" goes with the deal, with the Council on World Service and Finance determining how much up to $50,000 will be necessary to do this study.

If we are talking about something else now, that was not clear when Dr. Hightower referred.

Bishop Booth: The motion is now in the hands of the Secretary. It called for implementation and that would be implementation by the Board to which this is referred.

Statement—Lee C. Moorehead

Lee C. Moorehead (Ohio—NC): I think there is still very serious confusion as to whether the passage of this Hightower Amendment is really a replacing of the direction in here of the composition of the Commission which was a part of the Committee's report. It would seem that we would have to make it very clear as to who the people will be who will make the study. Would it be the members of the Board of Christian Social Concerns, or would the Board of Christian Social Concerns be authorized to constitute a committee as is directed here?

Dr. Bosley: Mr. Chairman, would it be possible for the Secretary to read the exact motion?

Motion Read by Secretary—Leon T. Moore

Dr. Moore: Mr. Chairman and members of the General Conference, this is the motion: That the Study of Church and State be adopted in principle and the matter be referred to the General Board of Christian Social Concerns for implementation.

Bishop Booth: I take it that the Items you have been studying are left in the hands of the Board for implementation.

Point of Order—John Warman

John Warman (Western Pennsylvania—NE): I am still lost as to how Dr. Hightower's motion may be before us after Dr. Bosley's motion to adopt, unless it came as an Amendment or a Substitution.

Explanation—Harold A. Bosley

Dr. Bosley: My Committee has not yet brought in a recommendation on Church-State Study, and I understood Dr. Hightower's motion to be that we should not, in effect, that we should not create a special Commission, but that this matter should be given to the existing Board of Christian Social Concerns for implementation.

I took that to be it. If I misunderstood, but that is how I took it. If I am in error, I have a lot of Company.

Hightower Motion Ruled a Substitute

Bishop Booth: Apparently, according to the motion, Dr. Hightower did check that this was a substitute motion, so that our action has been to substitute.

Dr. Bosley: It was a substitute.

Substitute Adopted as Main Motion

Bishop Booth: Now, we do need to adopt the substitute as the main motion. Those who will adopt, indicate by raising your hand. (DCA, page 405.)

Inquiry—Delegate

Delegate: The vote that we took has been interpreted by the Secretary as the motion to substitute, that the acceptance in principle and the referring for implementation that Dr. Hightower presented, and we have voted on that. This can be interpreted as a vote to substitute for the report as given by Dr. Bosley.

Inquiry—Ted Hightower

Dr. Hightower: Mr. Chairman, you have me confused now, or somebody has.

When this body adopts by a count vote a motion to refer, I would like to know what in the name of - - - , well, what are we voting on?

Explanation—Bishop Booth

Bishop Booth: Dr. Hightower, until I saw your paper and checked this was a substitute motion, that is what I thought we had done. We can disregard your checking of that motion and call that this has been a motion to refer for implementation.

Motion to Refer—John D. Herr

John D. Herr (Philadelphia—NE): I move that we refer the financial aspects of this to the Council on World Service and Finance.

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Booth: Those who will refer, raise your hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 405.)

Christian Social Concerns—Report No. 3—Harold A. Bosley

Dr. Bosley: Mr. Chairman, I direct the Conference's attention to the Report No. 3 from our Committee as you will find it on page 257 of the Advocate.

The subject is alcohol problems and general welfare.

We move adoption of this report to partially replace the designated paragraph in the *Discipline.*

Amendment Proposed—Mrs. T. F. Baum

Mrs. Ted F. Baun (California-Nevada—W): I want to propose an Amendment of an insert in the phrase in the next-to-last paragraph at the end of the second sentence, changing the period to a comma, and insert, "though we recognize that sincere Christians may differ."

If there is a second, I would like to speak to it.

Bishop Booth: It is seconded. You may speak.

Mrs. Baun: The Board of Christian Social Concerns recommended that this phrase be a part of their report. When this was considered in the committee, it was a divided vote, 43 to 44, and I believe the right of Christians to differ will give us an opportunity to win converts to the stand of abstinence, and rejection would not open the door to this kind of a stand that Methodists take, and we could encourage others to follow us in taking it, that we do not reject them as being Christian.

Statement Opposing Amendment—R. C. Campbell

R. C. Campbell (Holston—SE): I oppose this Amendment, Mr. Chairman, and members of the Conference. We are not legislating on a great principle for other Christians. We are legislating a great principle for The Methodist Church. In my opinion, if you adopt this Amendment, you will weaken the principle.

If this is adopted, suppose I am talking to a young person with reference to abstinence and he says, "Well, you say that other Christians differ. I would as soon follow other Christians."

It seems to me that the time has come when we should take the high road. And I think the voice of The Methodist Church should be loud and clear and strong.

Statement—Gregorio R. Bailen

Gregorio R. Bailen (Northwest Philippines—OS): I stand in favor of the proposed Amendment on the ground that it gives the democratic process for an individual to be of a different mind on conscience. We know that alcohol is submitted by physicians and is good for the body. I have a brother who, before I came to the United States, was commissioned to do some work in the state of Minnesota.

While he was in the great city of Minneapolis, he fainted and he was given a spoonful of alcohol, and he was revived.

He said that it would be necessary for other Christians to differ. While we are talking about temperance and so on, we must recognize that alcohol is used in many parts, and it has medicinal values.

During the time of our Lord, there was a use of alcohol along with other things, and we are told that He did not destroy the right of others to differ with us in the use of alcohol. For that reason, Mr. Chairman and brethren, I pray that we will adopt the Amendment.

Statement Opposing Amendment—Alfred J. Shaw

Alfred J. Shaw (Lucknow—OS): Mr. Chairman, members of the General Conference, I strongly oppose the Amendment and assert the position that The Methodist Church has always taken in relation to the use of alcohol and other beverages.

I come from a country where we have the policy of prohibition. In various states, liquor is not used, and even smoking is being forbidden for young people in some places, and if, in a country like ours, the members of the church smoke or use liquor, it would be a very poor witness in countries like ours. We find that other members of the Churches do use liquor and members of my country are not able to understand. Therefore, I very strongly oppose the Amendment and want to support the issue which is before us.

Statement Favoring Amendment—Wilbur C. Ziegler

Wilbur C. Ziegler (New England—NE): These words are simply stating a fact. The stating of the fact helps to create an atmosphere in which we can work with others of other faiths and denominations in attacking this problem which has caused such a blight in our America.

I happen to have the privilege of representing The Methodist Church on an Interfaith Committee in the State of Massachusetts. Two years ago, a year and a half ago, we sponsored workshops for clergy on the pastoral care of alcoholics and their families.

Our Committees and the men who attended this Conference were made up of men of all faiths, all creeds, Protestants, Catholics and Jews. We had many clergymen that came to the workshops every day where we had a new understanding of alcoholism, how to meet it, how to work with it, how to help the alcoholic and how to be of service to the community.

Just a few months ago we held a second series of workshops in our Conference's center, when we brought together the state leadership of young people's organizations, the CYO, Methodist Youth organization, and the rest, in an effort to come to an understanding of a program whereby we could approach all the youth of all our communities, and this coming Fall we hope to hold four workshops again throughout Massachusetts, for the local leaders of youth.

The second and third thing we are doing is to work with alcohol and driving. We can do this. I happened to serve as a chairman of this committee, and a statement of this fact helps to create the atmosphere that helps us to join hands in licking the problem that is before us.

I hope these words will help and this will be adopted.

Statement Favoring Amendment—Marion R. Mobley

Marion R. Mobley (South Carolina—SE): I am a layman and a

physician. Thousands of Americans are killed every year on the road as a result of inbibing alcohol. As a matter of fact, the distilleries and those who sell alcoholic beverages are probably responsible for the statement, "If you drink, don't drive."

Alcohol affects the higher centers of the brain first. It slows up your reflexes, and it can be definitely shown that, in driving, even two bottles of beer will slow up your reflexes to the point where you may endanger someone who is approaching you on the road.

Previous Question Moved—Paul M. Ward

Paul M. Ward (North-East Ohio—NC): Mr. Chairman, I move the previous question on this motion.

Bishop Booth: The previous question on the Amendment or the total report?

Mr. Ward: Total report.

Bishop Booth: Those who will order the previous question, raise the hand.

Those opposed? It is carried.

Summation Statement—Harold A. Bosley

Dr. Bosley: The statement I desire to make can be very brief. I should like to report that while the vote in our committee was close, the discussion of it was done in the very best of spirits.

There are a number of observations.

The first is that medicinal uses of alcohol are not in question.

The second is this. This has been said, "This is simply a statement of fact." That is true, but it is a statement of fact so placed as to weaken the principle for which our Church has been standing, at least in recent times. The statement is a clear statement of principle. It will be weakened by the addition of this Amendment. Therefore, we hope that you will defeat the Amendment and sustain the report.

Bishop Booth: Will the Secretary please read the Amendment?

Amendment Read by Secretary—Leon T. Moore

Dr. Moore: Mr. Chairman and members of the Conference, add "though we recognize that sincere Christians may differ."

Amendment Defeated—Report Adopted

Bishop Booth: Those who approve the Amendment, please indicate by raising your hand. Opposed? The Amendment does not pass. (DCA, page 406.)

Those who will adopt the report, raise your hand. Those opposed? It is carried. (DCA, page 406; see Appendix, page 1273.)

Motion Relative to Report No. 1—Harold A. Bosley

Dr. Bosley: Mr. Chairman, may I have the indulgence of the Conference to do something regarding the Report No. 1 which engaged us earlier in the evening and that is, simply to move its printing at —move that it appear at the appropriate place in the *Discipline?*

Bishop Booth: Those who will so order, indicate by raising your hands. Those opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 406.)

Dr. Bosley: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, that concludes the portions of the report which are ready for presentation at this time.

Personal Privilege—Jerry G. Bray

Jerry G. Bray (Virginia—SE): Several members of my group have asked why I left the floor while the vote was going on on Christian Social Concerns in respect to civil disobedience. I have on many occasions taken the obligation to uphold the law. I am a lawyer and a judge. Had I made myself a part of this action of the General Conference, I could conceivably find myself in the inconsistent position of recommending to my Methodist brethren a course of action for which I might subsequently be required to mete out punishment. That I was not willing to do. I respectfully request that the journal show that I did not participate in the adoption of the report, sir.

Motion to Adjourn—Willis M. Tate

Mr. Tate: I want to thank the Conference for this. We are on schedule, and I move we adjourn for this evening.

Bishop Booth: I take it that motion is seconded. All those in favor —hold steady, we may have an announcement.

Those who will adjourn after announcements, indicate by raising your hands. Those opposed?

Do you have announcements, Mr. Secretary?

Announcements—Leon T. Moore

Several announcements were made by Leon T. Moore, Secretary.

Appreciation Expressed—Bishop Booth

Will you pardon a personal word?

Modern electronics make it possible to use a miniature gavel. What I have used today is a bit of malachite, brought in from the Congo, a part of its resources, polished by its other resource, human beings.

I want to express my appreciation to the Conference, especially for helping us untangle the skeins of the parliamentary problems. I do appreciate very much the courtesy you have granted to me tonight.

Bishop Lloyd Wicke will pronounce the benediction.

Benediction—Bishop Lloyd Wicke

Bishop Wicke: Our Father, for the gift of another day, we are grateful. For the wonder of each other and the warmth of fellowship which comes to us through Christ our Lord, grant us now we pray the refreshment of earnest, honest sleep and if it be Thy will, the newness of a day. Amen.

NINTH DAY, TUESDAY, MAY 5, 1964
MORNING SESSION

Pursuant to adjournment the 1964 session of the General Conference of The Methodist Church convened in the morning session of the ninth day, Tuesday, May 5, 1964 at 8:30 A.M. Bishop Nolan B. Harmon presiding.

Bishop Harmon: Let the Conference be in order. Our devotions this morning will be led by Bishop W. Kenneth Pope.

Bishop Pope: Let us pray. O Thou unto Whom all hearts are open, all desires known and from whom no secrets are hid, cleanse the thoughts of our hearts by the inspiration of Thy Holy Spirit that we may perfectly love Thee and worthily magnify Thy Holy Name, through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen.

Let us continue our worship with the singing of Hymn No. 372, "Love Divine, All Loves Excelling."

The Conference then read responsively the First Reading, page 385 of the Hymnal, under the title "Christian Unity."

The prayer "Love to God and Man," page 513 of the Hymnal, was read in unison.

Bishop Pope read a passage of scripture from the twenty-first chapter of the Book of Revelation after which he delivered an appropriate devotional address. (See Appendix, page 2235.)

The devotions were concluded with the singing of hymn 205, "Amazing Grace."

Conference Called to Order—Bishop Harmon

Bishop Harmon: Thank you, Bishop.

We will take a moment now for the Conference to be in order.

I am going to call on Brother William M. James to report for the Journal.

Committee on Journal—William M. James

William M. James (New York—NE): Mr. Chairman, the Committee on Journal has examined the Journal for the Morning Session of Monday, May 4, and found it correct except for minor editorial changes. These corrections are being made by the Journal Secretary. I move adoption.

Bishop Harmon: You have the motion to adopt as the Committee has reported it. If you will so adopt, lift your hand. Down. Anybody opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 470.)

Committee on Credentials—Paul M. Hann

Paul M. Hann (South Iowa—NC): Bishop and members of the Conference, the Committee on Credentials has met and approved the changes made in the seating of all delegates reported by the Chairmen

of the Annual Conferences for May 4 and 5. The delegates' names will appear in proper form in the Journal.

I move the adoption of this report.

Bishop Harmon: Thank you, I think that really requires no action, but if you will so order, lift your hands. Down. It is done. (DCA, page 470.)

Committee on Agenda—Nat G. Long

Nat G. Long (North Georgia—SE): Mr. Chairman, the Committee on Agenda offers as the Agenda today the items indicated on the front page of today's Advocate. We call special attention to three items. At 10:20 an offering will be made for the pages and marshals.

The report of the Commission on Entertainment, on page 29 of the *Daily Christian Advocate*, recommends that such an offering be taken for the benefit of the pages and marshals, the exact time to be set by the Committee on Agenda. The Agenda Committee sets 10:20. We hope business can recess at that time in order that this offering can be taken. It will require 10 minutes for the offering.

Second, we recommend that there be an afternoon session from 2:30 to 4:30, and that for that period, the Order of the Day be the completion of the Reports from the Coordinating Council.

We suggest that we adjourn at 4:30 for two reasons: that there may be a period of rest between the afternoon session and the evening session for the members who can take the rest, and the other reason is that many committees have not finished their work and we want some time for them.

The third item is the Evening session scheduled to begin at 7:30. It is set aside for the report from the Legislative Committee. The time of adjournment will be left to the body itself.

One item that does not appear on this Agenda is one we would like to put on first right now. Bishop Short has several nominations which will need to be presented today, and we would like him to have the time for that now.

I move the adoption of the Agenda for today, including this one that doesn't appear in the Advocate.

Bishop Harmon: The motion is that we adopt this as the Agenda for today. Is there anything to be said? If you will so adopt, lift your hand. Down. Anybody opposed? We will follow that course. (DCA, page 470.)

Nominations From Council of Bishops—Bishop Roy H. Short

Bishop Short: Mr. Chairman, these are the assignments to boards and committees for which the Council of Bishops is responsible. This is not the complete list. We will have to bring in a few at a time.

To the Board of Missions: Bishop Eugene M. Frank, Bishop Roy H. Short, Bishop Kenneth W. Copeland, Bishop Paul V. Galloway, Bishop Edwin R. Garrison, Bishop Charles F. Golden, Bishop Walter C. Gum, Bishop W. Ralph Ward, Bishop Aubrey G. Walton, Bishop Lloyd C. Wicke, Bishop Donald H. Tippett, Bishop W. Vernon Middleton, Bishop Prince A. Taylor, Bishop F. Gerald Ensley, Bishop Fred G. Holloway, Bishop Jose L. Valencia, Bishop Mangal Singh, Bishop Friedrich Wunderlich, Bishop Ralph E. Dodge, and Bishop Pedro Zottele.

To the Board of Education: Bishop Ralph T. Alton, Bishop Paul Hardin, Bishop James W. Henley, Bishop James K. Mathews, Bishop

Noah W. Moore, Jr., Bishop T. Otto Nall, Bishop Everett W. Palmer, Bishop Eugene Slater, Bishop W. Angie Smith, Bishop Newell S. Booth, Bishop Hazen G. Werner, a new bishop from Southeastern Jurisdiction, a new bishop from South Central Jurisdiction, a new bishop from Central Jurisdiction, and a new bishop from Western Jurisdiction.

To the Board of Publications: Bishop Gerald Kennedy and Bishop Paul N. Garber.

To the Board of Evangelism: Bishop James K. Mathews, Bishop Roy H. Short, Bishop Noah W. Moore, Bishop Richard C. Raines, Bishop W. Kenneth Pope, and Bishop Gerald Kennedy.

To the Commission on Camp Activities: Bishop James W. Henley, Bishop W. Ralph Ward, Bishop Paul V. Galloway, Bishop T. Otto Nall, Bishop A. Raymond Grant, and a new bishop from the Central Jurisdiction.

To the Board of Christian Social Concerns: Bishop A. Raymond Grant, Bishop W. Ralph Ward, Bishop Paul Hardin, Bishop John Owen Smith, Bishop Charles F. Golden, Bishop T. Otto Nall, Bishop Edwin R. Garrison, Bishop W. Kenneth Pope, and Bishop Kenneth W. Copeland.

To the Board of Hospitals and Homes: Bishop Fred G. Holloway and Bishop Ralph Alton.

To the Commission on Deaconess Work: Bishop Eugene Slater.

These are elections, Mr. Chairman, and are given only for report. There are two sets of nominations.

Commission on Promotion and Cultivation: Bishop W. Vernon Middleton; Bishop Paul N. Garber; Bishop Marquis L. Harris; Bishop Hazen G. Werner; Bishop W. Angie Smith; Bishop Donald H. Tippett; Bernard Grossman, Troy; Gilbert Scarborough, Peninsula; O. L. Hathaway, North Carolina; W. A. Parkes, South Georgia; W. T. Handy, Jr., Louisiana; A. M. Carter, Georgia; Theodore Mayer, North-East Ohio; Mrs. John Gridley, Minnesota; Raymond E. Dewey, Central Kansas; W. Davis Cotton, Louisiana; Ben F. Lehmberg, Rocky Mountain; Alstyne E. Pruner, Southern California-Arizona; Hugh Massie, Western North Carolina; O. C. McCreery, Western Pennsylvania; George Fotes, New York; Hermann Leutzo, Missouri East; V. H. Dixon, Tennessee—C; and Howard Jones, Kentucky.

Council on World Service and Finance: Bishop Paul E. Martin; Bishop Fred P. Corson; Harold Buckingham, Wyoming; Asbury Smith, Baltimore; Carl Gilchrist, West Virginia; Robert Preusch, New York East; Foye Gibson, Holston; Nat G. Long, North Georgia; R. H. Berg, Florida; Herbert Wayne, Western North Carolina; J. W. Curry, South Carolina; Major J. Jones, East Tennessee; Joseph T. Johnson, Lexington; Leon G. Netterville, Louisiana; Thurman Morris, North Indiana; Fred E. Miller, North Iowa; Ronald G. Weber, Ohio; James Crippen, Detroit; G. Lemuel Fenn, New Mexico; Monroe Vivion, Texas; Alton B. Raney, Little Rock; Bryan Brawner, North Texas; Ray Ragsdale, Southern California-Arizona; John Soltman, Pacific Northwest; Frank Webber, California-Nevada; Clyde Cromwell, Montana; Mrs. Robert Armstrong, North Iowa; Mrs. J. W. Carroll, California-Nevada; Mrs. H. L. Georg, Central Kansas; Carleton Rogers, Rock River; Lawrence Cooper, Southern California-Arizona; John Urango, Rio Grande; John Harper, Philadelphia.

The last two are nominations, Mr. Chairman, and will have to be voted on by the Conference.

Bishop Harmon: You have before you this list of elections and nominations. Are there any questions? Are you ready? If you will elect these whose names have been called to these various boards

and agencies and the last two nominated, will you lift your hand? Down. Any opposed? They are all elected and so stationed. (DCA, page 471.)

Your important boards and agencies, Brethren, to carry on this work after the General Conference adjourns, and these men will give their time and attention to carrying forward, through these various agencies, the causes of the Church.

Committee on Courtesies and Privileges—John B. Jones

John B. Jones (Baltimore—NE): Mr. Chairman and members of the Conference, this is a moment which is highly significant in our Conference program.

One reason our Bishops can serve us so well, so alertly on the platform, and seem to be looking in our direction, don't let it fool you, they are looking beyond us to the inspiration that sustains and supports them, their ladies who sit here through these sessions keeping their loved ones in prayer and us as prayer inspiration. We want to have the privilege this hour to have these ladies presented. They prefer to stand where they are.

Bishop Short of the Council of Bishops will present them, and then, Mrs. W. Angie Smith will speak for them to us. As they rise, please remain in your seats until all of them have arisen and then give them your greetings.

Bishop Harmon: We thank you, sir.

Bishop Short, will you do the honors of introducing them to us? We will appreciate it, sir.

Bishop Short Presents Bishops' Wives—

Bishop Short: Mr. Chairman, before I call these names, may I tell a little story that will take only a moment?

My home town is Louisville, Kentucky. Some years ago, when I was a much younger preacher, I was speaking in Forth Worth, Texas, and one Sunday afternoon I got a telephone call from Bishop Selecman. He said, "I would like for you to have dinner with me tonight."

I accepted. It was the first time any Bishop ever took me to dinner and almost the last time.

I met him. One of the connectional officers of the Church met with us and talked with me about leaving my pulpit and going into a connectional job. I said to them, "Let me write my wife and I will talk to you later."

I wrote to her. A few days later, I got a telegram from her. It said, "Ruth won (w-o-n) sixteen." I was puzzled by it.

I said, "I don't know anyone by that name, but if she won sixteen hundred dollars. that is fine for her; but I finally realized that what she meant was Ruth 1:16, "Whither thou goest I will go; thy people shall be my people, and thy God shall be my God." But the races were on at that time in Louisville and the telegraph company could not imagine there was any other message.

These are the people who go where we go and share with us the joys of this blessed itinerant road.

As I call the names. each will stand.

Mrs. Raymond J. Wade, Mrs. Paul N. Garber, Mrs. W. Vernon Middleton, Mrs. James W. Henley, Mrs. Matthew W. Claire. Jr., Mrs. Prince A. Taylor. Jr., Mrs. Charles W. Brashares, Mrs. Frederick B. Newell, Mrs. Marshall R. Reed. Mrs. Shot K. Mondol, Mrs. John Subhan, Mrs. Paul V. Galloway, Mrs. Paul Hardin, Jr., Mrs. Noah W. Moore. Mrs. Sante Uberto Barbieri, Mrs. William C. Martin, Mrs. Charles F. Golden, Mrs. Everett W. Palmer, Mrs. Willis J.

King, Mrs. Walter C. Gum, Mrs. Glenn R. Phillips, Mrs. Ralph A. Ward, Mrs. W. Earl Ledden, Mrs. T. Otto Nall, Mrs. John Wesley Lord, Mrs. F. Gerald Ensley, Mrs. Edgar Love, Mrs. C. D. Rockey, Mrs. Mangal Singh, Mrs. H. Clifford Northcott, Mrs. Marvin A. Franklin, Mrs. Nolan B. Harman, Mrs. Gerald Kennedy, Mrs. Fred G. Holloway, Mrs. A. Raymond Grant, Mrs. W. Ralph Ward, Mrs. M. L. Harris, Mrs. Richard C. Raines, Mrs. J. O. Smith, Mrs. H. B. Amstutz, Mrs. J. K. Mathews, Mrs. Titus Lowe, Mrs. Eugene M. Frank, Mrs. Ralph E. Dodge, Mrs. Ralph T. Alton, Mrs. Kenneth W. Copeland, Mrs. Fred Pierce Corson, Mrs. Jose L. Valencia, Mrs. Kenneth Pope, Mrs. Lloyd C. Wicke, Mrs. James C. Baker, Mrs. Friedrich Wunderlich, Mrs. Newell S. Booth, Mrs. Hazen G. Werner, Mrs. W. Angie Smith, Mrs. Aubrey G. Walton, Mrs. Gabriel Sundaram, Mrs. Donald H. Tippett, Mrs. Paul Martin, Mrs. Edwin R. Garrison, and Mrs. Roy H. Short.

That completes the list of those present.

(Standing ovation.)

Mrs. Angie Smith Responds

Bishop Harmon: Mrs. W. Angie Smith will respond now.

Mrs. Smith: Mr. Chairman, Bishop Short and members of the General Conference, on behalf of the Bishops' wives, I express our deep appreciation for the high honor you have conferred upon us in these introductions. We follow all of your work and your deliberations with great interest. We pray that God will make each one of us worthy of the place we occupy in the life of the Church.

Thank you so very much.

(Standing ovation.)

Privileged Matter—

Bishop Harmon: There has come to my attention the request of a certain Committee represented by Brother Albrecht who feels that an important matter needs to be looked at for a moment. If he will explain it to us, I will recognize him before we push on to the Order of the Day.

Motion to Reconsider Calendar Report No. 29—Joseph H. Albrecht

Joseph H. Albrecht (Central Illinois—NC): I move reconsideration of Calendar No. 29, page 186, for the purpose of adding one member to the Study Commission on Church-State Relations for the next quadrennium. This was omitted from the report last evening. If I have reconsideration, I will make the motion.

Bishop Harmon: The request for reconsideration comes from one who voted on the prevailing side. He simply wants to make an insertion or put a nomination in. Will you reconsider? If you will, lift your hand. Down. Opposed? It is reconsidered. (DCA, page 471.)

Motion to Correct Report—Joseph H. Albrecht

Mr. Albrecht: I move that the membership of the General Conference Study Commission on Church-State Relations be composed of seventeen members so as to include two from the Board of Education, one from the Division of Local Church and one from the Division of Higher Education. The problem is that there is—when we were setting this Commission up, our intention was to have two

members from the Board of Education. One was for a representative of the Division of Higher Education, and one the Division of the Local Church. This just says, "One from the Board of Education," and should have said two.

Point of Order—Carl J. Sanders

Carl J. Sanders (Virginia—SE): Mr. Chairman, my point of order is that this Commission was not set up by this Conference. It was referred for implementation to the Board of Christian Social Concerns.

Bishop Harmon: I think the point made by Brother Sanders is correct, but the Secretary will have to guide us. Is that correct?

Leon T. Moore: It is correct and therefore it cannot be before us now.

Matter of Privilege—Richard H. Gantz

Richard H. Gantz (Central Illinois—NC): I would like consideration there for Dr. Thomas B. Lugg who for several years was general secretary of the Council on World Service and Finance. This is the first time since 1940 Dr. Lugg has been unable to attend a General Conference. He gave many years of great service. I wish to move that this Conference extend their best wishes to Dr. Lugg.

Bishop, would you respect Brother Lugg, and send him our greetings?

Report of Commission on Church Union—Bishop Glenn R. Phillips

Bishop Phillips: Friends of the Conference, it has been a privilege to serve on the Commission on Church Union. I pay tribute to my predecessors, Bishop Holt and Bishop Newell and express my warm appreciation to every member of the Commission standing faithfully by and serving on call and at the special sessions of our Executive Committees and otherwise have been most helpful.

We trust that you have our report in hand; it was distributed earlier, some of the literature which will make clear the position with reference to our theme, Church Union, the Plan of Union, and The Historical Statement, the enabling legislation, the Constitution, the work documents, with its comparison and statistics and the report itself of the Commission.

Just this word: These corrections to be made on Page 1 of the Report of the Commission on Church Union.

This is the report of the Commission on Church Union. Page 1, Bishop Holt served—that is correction from 1948 to 1956.

Bishop Newell succeeded him as chairman in 1956 to 1960.

There is a correction on page 13 and 14 of the Report; you will find the names of the Commissioners in it, members of The Methodist Church Commission and members, likewise, of the Evangelical United Brethren Commission. From the Methodist list, we regret this, were omitted the names of Mrs. Helen Waters who has been very faithful, and also the same for Bishop Lloyd C. Wicke who is a member of our Commission.

We note also on page 10 the correction for the name "Methodist Protestant"; it is listed in the parenthesis as Protestant Methodist. It is very obvious that this is a mistake.

Bishop Howard, secretary of the Evangelical United Brethren Commission on Church Union, and Bishop here in Pittsburgh in this

area of the Evangelical United Brethren Church is present with us this morning.

We recognize that this is not a perfect instrument. We have labored long, faithfully, sincerely, and we hope intelligently, as we have had many committees that worked during the four years in research, looking up facts and figures and giving us pictures of the actual status of the two churches. We have built on this kind of base. We realize that we do not reach a perfection in a single bound, and if we waited until everything was perfected, all questions answered, all problems resolved, that we would not make a move anywhere, anytime. We are in the mood which moves toward the perfection of church union in many parts of the world; United Church of Canada, United Church of Japan, United Church of the Philippines, United Church of Australia, and New Zealand where Methodists, Presbyterians and Congregationalists are working out their plan of union together, and across the world in India, North and South, where these plans are being perfected.

Now, simply this word: The report itself gives you briefly the historical statement, the statement with regard to intercommunion followed by four recommendations and certain resolutions in connection with these recommendations, as well as the comparison of Articles of Faith and organizational matters at the close of the report.

I want to present at this time, and with that express my own deep gratitude, for the service rendered by the Secretary of our Commission on Church Union, Mr. Charles C. Parlin. How one man can cover so wide a range of interests and do it so effectively is always a matter of wonder and amazement to those who know him, but we are deeply grateful for what Charles Parlin has done, and he will present this report.

I am happy to call him to the podium at this time.

Bishop Harmon: The Chair recognizes Charles Parlin.

Statement—Charles C. Parlin

Charles C. Parlin (Newark—NE): Fellow delegates, under the urging of the Committee on Agenda, we are going to try to compress our report in as brief a time as possible. To that end, we propose to make a brief statement of the problem, then take you through the four recommendations, the first three as briefly as possible, to give us the maximum time to discuss problems of union with the Evangelical United Brethren. Then we will present to you the resolution which appears on page 319 of the *Daily Christian Advocate*. Before doing so, I would ask you to make one change in the text on page 319, at the top right-hand box. This proposes a substitute alternative paragraph F, the first point of which now reads that union with the Evangelical United Brethren Church be approved in principle to make it clear as to intention and not tie it too tightly with the papers before us, we wish to substitute the following, after the word "that" insert the words "The Methodist Church records its desire for" and then put a period after the second line, United Brethern Church, so the sentence which will be proposed to you at the end of the discussion will be, "that The Methodist Church record its desire for union with the Evangelical United Brethren Church."

We are a world church and we have led in the ecumenical movement. We have led in the organization of the World Council of Churches, National Council of Churches, the World Methodist Council, and our church has actively participated in regional and local state councils of churches everywhere. Today the people of the world are thrilled at the prospect of moves toward Christian unity,

but we are in complete confusion as to what direction this unity should take.

One thing is clear. That we move toward unity in the sense of cooperation with Councils of Churches. On this there can be no doubt. Secondly, there is a strong movement to the organic union and grouping of families of churches. We did this in 1939 with our three-way merger. The Evangelical United Brethren did this in 1946 with a two-way merger. Presbyterians have had a two-way merger to form the United Presbyterian Church.

The Congregationalists and the Evangelical Reformed, partly across confessional lines, had a two-way merger.

Four Lutheran Churches in America have merged to form the Lutheran Church in America, and three other Lutheran Churches have merged to form the American Lutheran Church.

Today, there are conversations going on between the Presbyterian South and Reformed Church of America; between the United Church of Christ and the Disciples. And so on. I could list many others.

This grouping of family churches is a movement of today. On the other hand, let us face it, there is a certain disintegration of churches going on. For example, in spite of all our talk of unity and getting together, we have come to this Pittsburgh meeting one church and we are going to leave six churches because we will have to be faced with applications for independent status in the forming of independent autonomous churches in Liberia, Burma, Sumatra, Cuba and Pakistan.

We are at the cross roads for Methodism in the Ecumenical Movement, because Methodists are indeed engaged in conversations which would bring them into a united church, losing for all practical purposes the distinctive mark of the Wesleyan tradition. Such conversations, for example, go on today in England, Australia, New Zealand, India, Argentina, Indonesia, and here in the United States where we are considering forming the United Church in the United States under the so-called Blake-Pike proposal.

It is because of the crises in the ecumenical movement and the feeling of your Commission that because of the great thrust of this movement, it is not something that a committee of 18 men or even 36 or 40 should determine. We are at the cross roads and this is something that this body, in special sessions in 1966, should lay down the guide lines of our movement in the ecumenical movement. We bring to you some specific recommendations at this time, and if you will turn to the report on Church Union, first, we are urged continually by Memorials and Resolutions to see if we cannot get together with our sister Methodist Churches in the United States. We are in communication with most of them.

Recommendation No. 1, page 2, that the General Conference instruct the Commission on Church Union or such continuing commission as the General Conference may create to keep in touch with each of the Methodist Churches in the United States, particularly the three Negro Churches just mentioned, and make known the willingness of The Methodist Church to enter into union discussions. The Commission proposes this Recommendation.

Bishop Harmon: You have this recommendation before you, Recommendation 1. Is there anything to be said? Are you ready? If you will adopt this, will you lift your hands? Down. Is there any opposition? It is adopted. (DCA, page 473.)

Presentation Continues

Mr. Parlin: British Methodism has had a Commission of 12 men working for sometime in negotiation with the Church of England as

to whether they would form a United Church of England under the name of the Church of England. The Commission has brought in a report in which it divided 8 to 4. Eight of the Methodist commissioners have recommended that the British Methodist Church join the Church of England. There has been a vigorous dissent by four leaders of that Church and the Church in England today is vigorously—and I must say at times acrimoniously—debating this issue. The plan calls for a vote by the British Conference on whether they will go Anglican or stay Methodist in July of 1965.

We feel that we are distinctly within the Wesleyan tradition when we say to our British brothers, "We have a similar problem. We are being pushed toward a union here which would be Anglican, perhaps, in form if we work out our six-way deal, but together we should study and prayerfully consider our Wesleyan tradition and where we should go from here."

We quote on page 4 the text of what is believed to be the last letter which John Wesley wrote to the people in America. The last two sentences say—this is advice to people in America, "See that you never give place to one thought of separating from your Brethren in Europe. Lose no opportunity of declaring to all men that the Methodists are one people in all the world, and that it is their full determination so to continue, though mountains rise and oceans roll to receive us is in vain."

The recommendation appears on page 4: "That the General Conference endorse the Recommendation made by the Commission on Church Union that an approach be made to British Methodism concerning the possibilities of union, and two, that the General Conference instruct the Commission on Church Union, or such continuing Commission as the General Conference may create, to communicate to the British Methodist Conference the action taken and request the British Methodist Conference to designate a Commission or group with whom the Commissioners of The Methodist Church might confer regarding possibility of union of the two branches of Methodism."

We present this recommendation.

Bishop Harmon: Recommendation No. 2 is now before you. Are there any questions? Are you ready? If you will adopt this Recommendation 2, lift your hand. Any opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 474.)

Report Continues

Mr. Parlin: In a sermon preached in San Francisco in December, 1960, just prior to the meeting of the National Council, General Assembly, Dr. Eugene Carson Blake proposed the organization within the United States of a Church truly Catholic, truly Reformed, and truly Evangelical. His sermon was seconded by Bishop Pike of the Protestant Episcopal Church from whose pulpit Dr. Blake had spoken. The proposition was that the Presbyterian Church would join with the Protestant Episcopal and the two of them would extend invitations to the United Church of Christ and The Methodist Church. This program was followed except that the Protestant Episcopal General Assembly, in authorizing their Commission to go ahead, hitched on a condition that their Commission, in any conversations which were to ensue, could not speak outside the framework of their own Lambeth Quadrilateral of 1920.

Our Commission, by its mandate, is directed to talk to anybody who wishes to talk to our Church concerning union. Therefore, we accepted the invitation, as did the United Church of Christ, and these four Churches met. The first meeting decided that everyone

of the four participants who was in active negotiation with some other denomination should invite that denomination to join.

Pursuant to this, we invited the Evangelical Brethren, and United Church of Christ invited the Disciples, the Protestant Episcopal Church invited the Polish National Catholic Church. The former two accepted; the Polish National Catholic Church declined to be a participant, but asked to be an observer.

At page 5, I would like to make two corrections as to the corporate names of Churches. The first one, United Presbyterian Church technically should have added to its full title "in the United States of America," and the Church we referred to as Disciples Church is technically known as Christian Churches, Disciples of Christ, International Conference.

These six churches have been in consultation. The promoters of this proposal asked each of the six participating churches to get from their highest legislative bodies directions and orders to proceed to the drafting of a six-way plan. Your Commission wrestled with this problem and decided that we were not ready to ask this General Conference for such an order because there were too many unsolved problems. It left the Commission with three alternatives: The first was to accept the proposition and bring to you a request for an order to draft a six-way plan.

Secondly, to say it was premature and withdraw from the consultation. But your Commission took a middle ground, and said we would recommend continuing our consultation, but that we thought we should make known to our fellow participants certain concerns which we felt.

These are listed on page 6 of your report. In the first, I would like to make a correction or change in the article in the first item which has to do with the Anglican Doctrine of the Historic Episcopate where we say they feel this is essential to a valid ministry. Strike out "valid" and put "complete sacramental ministry."

This problem leads, apparently, to the closed communion and we said that we do not believe that The Methodist Church today is prepared to move away from our traditional open Communion Table.

The second point deals with Congregational against our connectional form of government. While we are drawing together to a certain extent, Congregationalists having organized a central clearing office for many matters, and our Bishops are more and more consulting with the Pastoral Relations Committee in the local churches, there is still a great gap between our forms of organizations, where the congregations own their own churches and call their own ministers, against our system where the church deeds contain trustee clauses, and where Bishops make appointments.

The third problem is a problem which the Disciples have brought. Again, in the second line, let me make a correction where it says that their doctrine of adult baptism is that the Sacrament "can be validly observed only by total immersion."

That word "validly" should be changed to "correctly."

Our Commission has said again we do not believe at this time our Church is prepared to move away from its traditional infant baptism.

The fourth problem which we raised up with our fellow participants is that The Methodist Church is a world church. There are many unsolved problems. Only the Evangelical United Brethren and ourselves have a world church structure, and if we organize a united church in the United States, what do we do with our Annual Conferences around the world? We have not yet discussed this.

Fifth, we say that The Methodist Church has taken strong stands on social issues. For instance, with reference to alcohol and gambling, and in these stands we differ in degree to one or more of the

participating churches. Here, again, no satisfactory compromise has come into view.

Therefore, the Recommendation that your Commission brings appears on page 7. You will realize from the Press that our Commission and our Church received a great deal of adverse criticism because of this Recommendation which was brought and discussed at the last Princeton meeting of the consultation. You probably saw the headlines in the papers, "Methodists Jar Unity Talk," and "Methodists Threaten to Withdraw from Unity Talk."

This is an exaggeration like Mark Twain's death. What we did was exactly as you see it on page 7, and the recommendation which comes to you is that the General Conference instruct its Commission on Church Union, or whatever successor body it shall create, to continue as a participant in the consultation on church union, but to make known to the other participants the areas of principle and conviction for which our church has concern, including the five mentioned in the report of the Commission on Church Union.

We bring to you this Recommendation.

Recommendation No. 3 Considered

Bishop Harmon: The Recommendation is now properly before you. Do you have a question? Go to Microphone No. 3, please. Give your name and conference.

Amendment Offered—William E. Smith

William E. Smith (Baltimore—NE): I would like to offer an Amendment that Recommendation No. 3 end with the word "union." It would be, "consultation on church union," and that the rest of the statement be stricken.

Bishop Harmon: Is there a second?

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Harmon: It is before you, now you may speak, sir.

Mr. Smith: I believe, sir, that we are hard put to it to establish the distinctiveness of our Wesleyan tradition. I think this is indicated in this report on pages 6 and 7, and certainly on page 3 where we say that among our distinctiveness, nothing is more important than the Christian assurance that all men without regard to race or class or national origin are children of God and can find him through faith.

Is this distinctive to Methodism? The fact is that the things which unite us theologically and organically are much more important and numerous than the things which divide us. As I read this report, I catch the feeling that we act as if we do not need our Brethren in a time when denominational divisions are becoming increasingly difficult to defend.

I believe union with the Evangelical United Brethren and within the family of Methodists is certainly desirable, but such union at the expense of serious conversation—and I underline serious conversation—with the other major Protestant denominations in our nation would be, in my judgment, a very serious mistake. I appeal to work toward the new pattern of witness into which I believe God is leading us in our time.

Statement Supporting Amendment—David E. Lindstrom

David E. Lindstrom (Central Illinois—NC): I rise to support that

action. I would like to state why I, as a layman, one who has had contact with people abroad, somewhat, feel that the position of The Methodist Church relative to church union with these other American denominations is desirable.

I may say that I believe we ought to begin our serious work in the United States first, even in preference to going to work with The Methodist Church in Great Britain. I may say, then, for the record, The Methodist Church until recently has been in the world leadership in the ecumenical movement. Many of us were shocked and dismayed at the negative attitude the newspapers stated our Methodist delegation took toward cooperation with other major denominations in bringing about greater unity in Protestantism.

My hope is that the Conference will direct the new Commissions to work diligently with other Protestant denominations for unification with them into one witness of all Protestant Churches in the United States in this decade. I hope we will approve this Amendment.

Bishop Harmon: Does anyone want to speak against?

Statement Opposing Amendment—Norman L. Trott

Norman L. Trott (Baltimore—NE): I wish to speak against the Amendment and for the Recommendation. My name is Trott, Norman Trott, Baltimore Conference. I might take a moment to indicate to my colleague, William Smith, who takes the other position, that this is an indication of the freedom of speech at the Western Theological Seminary.

Bishop Harmon: Last night you were limited to three minutes. I am not taking this against your time, but I want to remind you.

Point of Order—Thomas L. Cromwell

Thomas L. Cromwell (North-East Ohio—NC): Mr. Chairman, I think the motion for three minute speeches was for reports of Legislative Committees only. We can consult the record.

Bishop Harmon: Will the Secretary instruct the Chair if I am wrong?

Leon T. Moore: All reports of Legislative Committees. This is not a Legislative Committee but is a Special Commission you have created.

Bishop Harmon: I will ask the Conference to judge on this matter.

Mr. Cromwell: It seems to me that if the motion last night was only legislative committees, then there is nothing before the House and the gentleman can speak as long as he wishes.

Bishop Harmon: The Chair rules the point of order is well taken. There is no limit, Brother Trott, go ahead.

Mr. Trott: Thank you very much.

Bishop Harmon: There is a ten-minute limit by your rules. I fall back on that.

Mr. Trott: I would like to speak to sustain the Recommendation for two reasons. First, any one is ecumenically naive if he thinks Methodism has no distinctive characteristics and has nothing to contribute to the mainstream of discussion relating to church union, and unless we articulate our position, and unless we see to it that any merger of churches bears some of the characteristics of our own traditions, we really ought to dissolve the denomination.

Second, you can be sure that the other denominations in any conversations looking to the church union are very vocal with respect to their particular traditions. This is certainly true with respect to

the Episcopal Church insisting in its conversations within the Lambeth Quadrilateral which spells out the particular position held by the Episcopal Church. I hope you will sustain the Recommendation so that we will enter realistically, from the standpoint of our own convictions and of our own position, into continued discussions on church union.

Statement Favoring Amendment—Albert C. Outler

Albert C. Outler (North Texas—SC): I would like to support this Amendment because I think it will put us in a more effective and strategic position in our continuing participation in the ecumenical conversations with the churches, and in our ecumenical posture in the worldwide conversations going on in Christendom.

It is true as Dr. Trott has said there are distinctive features in the Wesleyan tradition and in our Methodist history. It is not quite so clear to me, however, that we know what they are or agree about them or are able to articulate them adequately and responsibly to our brethren in the conversations; and I am not at all clear, either as a historian or a theologian, that the five parts of the Greenwich statement constitute an infallible representation of our distinctive concern.

I, too, thought that the headlines of the newspapers exaggerated when I saw them first; they did, a little, but not enough to warrant Mr. Parlin's representation that it was like Mark Twain's death. I talked to the participants in the Princeton Conversations and many of them had the impression that our ecumenical concerns were far more verbal than real; that our good faith is at least at stake; and although it is important that we formulate our distinctive position; particularly if we can; and it is important to remain clear to our convictions, certainly if we can make them clear; we ought by all means to take a position in these conversations that we, too, are prepared to grow into the fullness of the body of Christ by the means of the ecumenical dialogue.

Statement Opposing Amendment—Roy H. Nichols

Roy H. Nichols (California-Nevada—W): Mr. Chairman, I want to speak in favor of the recommendation of the Committee. There is nothing in the recommendation that prevents continuing conversations, but simply underlines responsibility of the Committee to assert those distinctive characteristics of Methodism. I rise to support it also, Mr. Chairman, because I see no world-saving panacea in the prospect simply in all of us being organically one. There has been a history that we know of in the past when the Church was almost that, and we had to reform it by introducing certain particular points of view which are represented now in Protestantism.

There can be federation and cooperation between denominations without organic union, and if organic union means reducing to its lowest terms the prophetic emphasis in Christianity, I do not see how we can consent to it.

If we take, for instance, this one idea concerning the open Communion Service, if we ever consent to any kind of union which surrenders that and closes the church again as it was once closed, even in the name of union, this is not progress, it is not advancement.

I, therefore, feel that there is nothing in the recommendation of this Committee which asserts that Methodists want to go back to any kind of fragmentation of the Church. As a matter of fact, our records seem to indicate that we are strong supporters of additional cooperation. We can in many functional ways become a part of any

kind of inter-connectedness between denominations that will advance the cause of Christ, but we certainly do not want to do this at the expense of those historical positions and points of view which represent our interpretations of the mind of Christ in preaching the Gospel to the world.

Previous Question Moved—Ted Richardson

Ted Richardson (Southwest Texas—SC): I move the previous question.

Bishop Harmon: The previous question is moved. It must be voted without debate. Those of you who will order the previous question on the Amendment, lift the hand. Opposed? It is ordered. (DCA, page 476.)

Mr. Parlin for the Commission.

Summary Statement—Charles C. Parlin

Mr. Parlin: The Commission calls your attention to the fact that this does not lay down any conditions to our conversations. It simply says we should point out the areas of concern which the Methodists have. This is quite different from what the Episcopalians have said. They have said these are the terms on which we will talk, period.

To make it clear at the opening of the Conference, one of their Commissioners read the statement into the record the very first day. Our position is very different. Our position is, with the recommendation as it stands, that we are fully prepared to talk on any of these issues, but we think it only fair to a frank and full conversation that our conferees should know that there are areas of great concern which the Methodists feel as they enter into these conversations.

Amendment Defeated

Bishop Harmon: The vote is on the Amendment. The Amendment is to strike from Recommendation 3, after "consultation on church union" all the other words. I believe I am correct in that. That is the Amendment, to strike the last part of this Recommendation 3. If you will so do, and vote for the Amendment, lift your hands. Opposed? The Amendment is lost. (DCA, page 476.)

Recommendation No. 3 Adopted

Bishop Harmon: Recommendation No. 3 is before you. Are you ready? If you will adopt Recommendation No. 3, lift your hand. Down. Any opposed? It is adopted as Amended. (DCA, page 476.)

Evangelical United Brethren Proposal—Charles C. Parlin

Mr. Parlin: We now come to the Evangelical United Brethren proposal. This, within the framework of the way we have now been discussing, is non-controversial because with these people who were traditionally known as the German Methodists we have no problem with theology, doctrine, or of polity of any importance.

Both of us came out of the American Revolution. Some people have said that the Evangelical United Brethren are an offshoot of the Methodists. I think it can be argued the other way because when Francis Asbury was consecrated as a Bishop, Bishop Otterbein of the EUB participated in that Consecration Service with the laying on of hands. When he was succeeded by Christian Newcomer, Otter-

bein, an old man with only two months to go, selected Christian Newcomer as his successor and was going to consecrate him as a Bishop in the succession.

He asked the Methodists to participate and William Ryland obliged and Brother Newcomer was consecrated a Bishop by the laying on of hands with William Ryland participating.

Traditionally, theologically, in every way we have come down together. Actually, there were conversations concerning union between Christian Newcomer and Francis Asbury. Francis Asbury was having plenty of trouble organizing his English-speaking Churches, did not speak German himself, and so we grew up with two Methodist families, one English-speaking and one German-speaking.

In 1871, the Evangelical Branch of the EUB considered merging with the Methodists and voted by a margin of one vote to join the Methodists, but it was felt that if it was that close, it shouldn't go through.

German has been eliminated from the Church for all practical purposes. I attended the EUB General Conference two years ago and some of the representatives from Germany were there and they had to have an interpreter for the delegates.

In 1946 when they had the two-way merger, Bishop Oxnam spoke to them and told them the next logical step for both of us is to move together, their union of 1946 and ours seven years prior, in 1939.

Conversations began under the leadership of Bishop Holt and a Commission set up by this Church in 1948, and continued under the leadership of Bishop Newell and now under the leadership of Bishop Phillips and Bishop Ensley.

We have participated with the Evangelical United Brethren fully in all of our ecumenical work, world council, national council. The Evangelical United Brethren have contributed leadership to all these movements of the ecumenical cause far beyond their numerical membership. They have contributed two presidents to the National or Federal Council here, Bishop Stame, and now Bishop Mueller, a striking contribution for a denomination of small membership.

Incidentally, on this issue of alcohol which the papers took and blew up to such an extent after our Princeton Conference, one of the Evangelical United Brethren Commissioners came to me and said, "This may have hurt the feelings of a lot of Methodists, but let me tell you, it got for you a lot of Evangelical United Brethren votes."

Their principles are the same as ours right down the line. In all the years I worked in the ecumenical field, I never found myself voting on any issue except the Evangelical United Brethren representative has been standing beside me at my shoulder.

We have twenty commissions set up to study the various facets of our proposal. They reported that in every case, they found no barrier to our union. We went ahead with the drafting of the plan. One of the very important parts of their work and the very important part of ours is in Germany. The German Annual Conference of The Methodist Church is here with a delegation of twelve men. It is lead by Dr. Sommer, the president of the Frankfort Methodist Theological Seminary, son of our Bishop Sommer, and I am going to ask him to speak just a word about the situation in Germany.

Bishop Harmon: Dr. Sommer.

Statement—Carl Ernst Sommer

Carl Ernst Sommer (Southwest Germany—OS): Mr. Chairman, members of the General Conference, I speak not only for my Con-

ference but for the whole German delegation. We ask you to adopt the Resolution which will follow concerning union with the Evangelical United Brethren Church. You may remember Bishop Mueller a few days ago quoting a Bishop of the German National Church in saying that union between the Methodists and Evangelical United Brethren Church would be of decisive importance to the free church witness in Germany.

We could not agree more completely with him than we do. On the other hand, there cannot and will not be any union in Germany unless our mother churches unite. We do not want to be autonomous. We depend completely on action taken by this Conference. Starting work with the Commission of ours in Germany after an initial ignition on January 30, 1963, we have advanced so far in our conversations that it would be an embarrassing setback for us, probably, many of us think for a lifetime, if this Conference were to decide otherwise.

The German-Swiss Commission has voted unanimously in favor of the proposed union. I dare say the whole Methodist Church in Germany, although we have not put it to a vote yet, agree completely.

Permit me to use a metaphor which is a little awkward. If there were one left hand that wanted to marry another left hand, it could not do so unless the two bodies married.

As a delegate to a General Conference representing a world church, and as a man who feels himself to belong to a world church with his delegation, I would ask you to consider also the following: Where there is nothing to divide two churches, where in more than one area of a witness would be infinitely strengthened by union, where there is no question of compromise between two actually different denominations but essentially the union of people who long since should have been united, where all this applies, it would be, we feel, in direct disobedience to the will of God not to go forward.

I don't know whether I sense the feeling of this Conference correctly, I do not presume many of you will still have to be convinced, but for those who are not yet decided, I would quote the Swiss Reformer, Zwingli, "For the sake of God, do something courageously."

Presentation of Report Continues

Mr. Parlin: What we have in mind as a hope was that we could get this proposed work document before you and get the benefit of the thinking of the General Conference on some of the major points, not that it would be definitive, because our resolution as it appears on page 19 eventually submitted, calls for a reference back to your continuing Commission for study up to the time of the specially called Session in October, 1966. However, there are a number of points on which I think it almost essential that this General Conference gives some guidance.

First, the question of name. I would hope that we would test out by motions the question of name. We have had many technical problems in our discussion. Many Evangelical United Brethren were surprised when they came to work with us to find how nearly parallel our two Disciplines and Constitutions were. If they knew the history, they wouldn't be surprised. John Wesley led us with our Articles of Religion and got a Discipline. They then translated them into German and then back into English, so we ended up very similar.

There are some technical difficulties. For example, the Evangelical United Brethren elect Bishops for four years only. There is an election every four years. They like this system. They thought it was

more democratic. We were stubborn and we thought we of the Jurisdictions should elect Bishops for life and were quite unwilling to move away from this point. The Evangelical Brethren graciously agreed with this, and there is provision—no provision for term election.

In their Annual Conferences, they act a little different from us in our Annual Conferences. They elect district superintendents and they like this very much, and they said they thought it extremely unlikely that they would be able to sell their Annual Conferences to vote themselves out of this important prerogative.

At one time negotiations broke down on the question of how district superintendents were to be selected. Then we worked out a compromise measure. This our Council of Bishops didn't like at all, and we had some acrimonious debate with some of our Bishops as to their prerogative to elect without reference back to the Annual Conference. I defended the Annual Conference right to participate here with great vigor, but a strange thing happened. They convinced me, and what is more important, they convinced the Evangelical United Brethren Commissioners, and so the Evangelical United Brethren Commissioners have accepted the Methodist system.

Another point that caused the great discussion was the election of delegates to General Conference. Their Annual Conference elects, with the total membership, clergy and lay, exactly like ours, the election of delegates, whereas we elect by orders, the lay elect lay and clergy elect the clergy. There are advantages both ways. I am certain the clergy know better the qualities of the laymen of their Annual Conferences than do the laymen themselves. In almost every Annual Conference I have attended at the time of election, nominations for lay delegates to the General Conference had been made and along the line somebody stands up and says, "Make these people stand up so we may know who we are voting for," whereas the clergy would know the leading laymen.

There is a disadvantage. There may be many cases where the clergy would elect a prophetic voice from among their membership, whereas the laymen, traditionally more conservative than the clergy brothers, might gang up and defeat his coming.

These and other points were argued at long length and again the Evangelical United Brethren Commissioners said, "For a Church the size you have, we think that your system is best and that our system of election in the Church of your size, Annual Conferences of your size, wouldn't work."

Again, they have accepted the Methodist system.

Bishop Harmon: In two minutes we have the order to take the offering and have our recess. I want to remind you so that you may complete your statement.

Mr. Parlin: In two minutes I can wind up my statement. . . .

Bishop Harmon: We will go forward with the afternoon recess, of course.

Mr. Parlin: The only thing that is in here that represents—several concessions. One very important thing, we say to the Evangelical United Brethren Annual Conferences, if they come in, "our Jurisdiction will not take hold of you and liquidate you and merge against your will. For a period of 12 years, if you want that much time to get acquainted and find out how the Methodists work. . . ."

Remember this is a small minority coming in and they should understand our working. No one has any idea of any Evangelical United Brethren Annual Conferences in existence at the end of 12 years. Most will be merged into our Annual Conferences promptly upon the effectiveness of the plan. But for the protection of the

minority right, for the protection of the man who is a little uncertain as to what is going to happen to him in this mechanism of jurisdiction which he doesn't understand particularly well, we say, "If your Annual Conference, by majority vote wants to keep these same systems for a period, you have a maximum number of 12 years. At the end of the 12 years, Jurisdiction will take hold and do what they want to do."

This was one of the things which may be discussed, but primarily I think the question of name should be raised early in order that we may get a sense of the meeting about the name.

Bishop Harmon: By your order, you must take up the offering. Then we will have our recess, and after that, Brother Parlin will be present to answer, as will Bishop Phillips, questions which will come regarding this presentation.

I recognize Dr. Nat Long, North Georgia.

Offering Taken for Marshals and Pages—Nat G. Long

Nat G. Long (North Georgia—SE): Mr. Chairman, members of the Conference, the time has come for the offering for our marshals and our pages. These men have served us in numerous ways and they deserve some tangible evidence of our appreciation. They have come here at their own expense. They have been guaranteed only $1.00 a day from the General Conference, and we certainly want to make to them now a very generous gift. I hope the ushers will take their places immediately. Every man accordingly as he purposes in his heart, let him give, not of necessity, because God loves a cheerful giver.

Announcements

While the offering was being received the Secretary made several announcements.

Greetings to Dr. Harold Mohn—R. Marvin Stuart

R. Marvin Stuart (California-Nevada—W): In the interest of saving time, this is an opportunity for me to move that the General Conference send greetings to Dr. E. Harold Mohn. I would like for you to know that Dr. Mohn is active in the First Methodist Church at Palo Alto, in wonderful health and a great asset to our Church and our fellowship. I think this beloved servant of our Church would appreciate greetings from this Conference.

Bishop Harmon: May we have that. Will you send greetings to Dr. Mohn for his work in our Church? Lift your hand. Down. I am sure there is no opposition. It will be done by our Secretary. Has the offering been lifted?

Recess

We will recess until 10:40.

Conference Reconvened

Bishop Harmon: Let the Conference be in order.

I recognize Bishop Palmer for a privileged matter.

Bishop Everett Palmer: It is a call to the Urban Life Convocation by the Council of Bishops.

The America our fathers knew is fast disappearing.

This was the America of small towns and countryside, where most men were self-employed, or worked for those who knew them by name, or families who remained from generation to generation where they were known, and a man felt he belonged.

This was the America of Anglo-Saxon culture and Evangelical Christian values.

This was the America where The Methodist Church became indigenous, deeply rooted, mighty in stature, and abundant in good works.

That America is vanishing. Vast and irresistible tides of change erode it on every side. In its stead a new America is emerging: a crowded, jostling urbanism; a technological, depersonalized society of transiency and secularism, where God is a casual option, and people have togetherness without neighborly concern.

This is a land of divergent and merging cultural patterns, of different religions and contradictory moral values. It is a people burdened under loneliness, anxiety, and despair; restless in search of security, meaning, salvation! A people adrift in quest of a new homeland.

This is the new America. Can Methodism be transplanted from its waning base to this new society? Will it survive the transition, and surviving, will it thrive with its former vigor and abundance?

How can The Methodist Church minister with redemptive relevance and saving power in the New America?

To seek, find, and relate the answers God is eager to give us, we, the Council of Bishops, summon all responsible leaders of The Methodist Church. And to this end, we request the Department of City Work of the Division of National Missions to assume responsibility for organizing and promoting a convocation on urban life in February, 15 to 17, 1966.

Bishop Harmon: That is in the nature of an announcement and exhortation toward this Conference to be held.

Privileged Matter—Eugene L. Smith

Eugene L. Smith (Newark—NE): I have a matter of privilege. This Conference has just received and put in the record a report which contains comments upon the process of negotiations for church union in Britain. I am sure that this General Conference as a matter of courtesy would like to ask the fraternal representative from our Mother Church in Britain, Dr. Leslie Davison, would like to grant him an opportunity, and ask him to say to us a word about these negotiations.

He has been president of the Methodist Conference in Britain. He is one of the signatories to the plan of union. We would not want this to be done without his being given an opportunity to bring us a word of reporting as to those negotiations, and I do ask that this be done as a matter of courtesy, Mr. Chairman.

Bishop Harmon: Dr. Smith, we are under the control of the full Commission which is presenting another section of its report.

Let me ask if they will yield for this matter.

Bishop Phillips?

Bishop Phillips: Yes.

Bishop Harmon: The Commission yields and we do wish to be courteous to our Brother from Britain who does represent that great part of our Methodism.

Statement—Leslie Davison

Leslie Davison: Mr. Chairman and members of the General Conference, I am very greatly sensible of the honor and privilege you have granted me in thus addressing you. I would have felt very unhappy if I had to go back to Britain and comment upon the bare bones of the report which we have received this morning. That is not offered in any sense of criticism, but rather with the knowledge of the effect that this would have upon our people in Britain.

We are already engaged in conversations which have been going on for years with the Church of England. We have reached the stage where we are thinking about taking the vote in 1965. The one thing we have asserted from the beginning is first, this is not a merger in the sense of a take-over bit. We are not being swallowed up. What we have in mind is a new church in England in which the Methodist and the Anglican first step is only the initiative. We are looking at this because we are driven by overwhelming spiritual forces. The tide of irreligion has swept through Europe with devastating effect. We believe no single denomination has the answer to these problems. Much as we love our denomination and thank God for every saintly man it has produced, we are aware that God is saying some urgent things to us today, and if in Britain we are to return our nation to the great fold of the church, it is going to call for the deployment of every man and every penny we have and that God has given us.

If the scene is urgent in England, it is even more urgent overseas. In Nigeria, we are thinking of Methodists, Presbyterians and Anglicans coming together to form in 1965 a new Church. They are aware that the greatest opposition they are fighting is a spreading paganism and a triumphant Mohammedanism which is sweeping down from the North. Christianity is literally fighting for its life in land after land.

When I had the honor of an audience with Pope John, this was the thing we discussed, and he, too, shared the recognition that if Christianity is to face the world in which we live today, we shall indeed have to face the greatest missionary challenge we have known for seventeen hundred years. The population of the world is doubling and unless we double the number of Christians we shall become progressively weakened.

Let me say in all love that the presentation of this report, this move toward the ecumenical view is damned with faint praise, and there are many in Britain who would receive this with a cold feeling; that this could be interpreted as almost an interference with the debate which is under way. It is recognized that this is not the intention, and I am speaking from the heart and very sincerely when I say I hope when this great report comes before our people, it will carry with it the overtones of assurance that what we are seeking to do is together to find Christ's will in this matter, to explore both ways, and rather than to give to our people in Britain the impression that America is offering to us an attractive proposition on the condition that we refrain from conversations which are already far advanced. Such an interpretation would be tragic.

In the very sensitive feeling which everywhere exists in Britain today, I would wish the word that goes from this General Conference be hopeful, specifically, and that those who send the invitation will do so pointing out that this is offered in the wider fellowship and for the sake of that greater will.

Bishop Harmon: Thank you, Dr. Davison.

Bishop Phillips, do you wish to respond to Dr. Davison for our Commission?

Response—Bishop Glenn R. Phillips

Bishop Phillips: Mr. Chairman, we would respond in kind and the statement which Dr. Davison has made with reference to the overtones is very satisfactory, very appropriate, and surely we would seek to do all of that in the transmission of the Recommendation which has been voted here. We appreciate his spirit and certainly we would want to send greetings to our British Methodist friends in return.

Privileged Matter and Motion to Reconsider—Eugene L. Smith

Eugene L. Smith (Newark—NE): Mr. Chairman, this is a matter of privilege and this is a matter of courtesy which I think this Conference would want to do. In order to implement the generous statement of Bishop Phillips and in response to what has been said by the fraternal delegate from our Mother Church, I would like to move briefly reconsideration. I will not change the action, but if we could delete one or two of the paragraphs to which Dr. Davison has referred as paragraphs that would be misunderstood in Britain, I would like to move reconsideration. I think this can be done quickly and our own record will be one of which I think we will be more glad to have on the record.

Mr. Chairman, I move reconsideration of the action on Recommendation 2, page 4.

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Harmon: It has been moved and seconded that we reconsider our action on Recommendation No. 2. Is there anything to be said?

If you would reconsider, lift your hand. It is reconsidered. (DCA, page 479.)

Motion to Delete—Eugene L. Smith

Dr. Smith: Mr. Chairman, may I say a word as to the great concern there has been in the Commission for utter courtesy in this regard? This concern has been so great that Mr. Parlin himself personally went to England to talk with people there about this, but inasmuch as we have had this statement from the delegated representative of our Mother Church, and inasmuch as we are all concerned that the record should be utterly clear, I should like to move—this will not change the recommendation for action, but I should like to move the deletion of certain evaluative paragraphs dealing with negotiations for church union in Britain.

The paragraphs are these:

If we begin at the bottom of page 2, Roman numeral three, British Methodism, to retain the first paragraph, delete the second paragraph. . . .

Bishop Harmon: Dr. Smith, this is not the action. This is a preamble. If you are editing, you have the right to do so.

Dr. Smith: I am fully aware this is a preamble to the action. Out of the courtesy to our Mother Church I would hope that from the action accepted here we might delete evaluative comments on what the Church in Britain is doing.

Bishop Harmon: Proceed, then.

Dr. Smith: This is to delete the paragraph at the bottom of page

2 and the top of page 3, the first full paragraph on page 3, the second full paragraph on page 3, the third full paragraph on page 3. It will retain the paragraph beginning, "In order that there may be better understanding and a coordination of study and action, your Commission recommends that The Methodist Church invite the Methodist Church in Great Britain to enter into conversations."

Retain that paragraph; then delete the following paragraphs on page 3, and the paragraph which overlaps to the top of page 4; retain the paragraph beginning, "In recommending to the General Conference that it authorize approach to British Methodism and the letter from John Wesley."

Dr. Davison said in comment that this fact of our commenting officially upon negotiations in which another church is involved, during the negotiations, might drive a greater wedge between British Methodism and American Methodism. We do not want this to happen.

There is also another unintended problem here. Australian Methodism is in serious negotiations in Australia. Here, again, we are saying that such negotiations ought not to take place, that they ought to take place only on a world level. If these paragraphs can be deleted, the action to propose union with Britain is clear and I am sure we will all be more comfortable about the record. I am sure this was the intention of the Committee and Mr. Parlin in his very careful act of courtesy in going to Britain to talk about this.

I hope this Amendment and these deletions will carry, Mr. Chairman.

Commission Accepts Deletion—Charles C. Parlin

Mr. Parlin: The Committee will gladly accept these deletions from the text. It does not change the recommendation, but in the deletion of the text, it should be understood that the members of the Commission or anybody else reserve their right to debate on the thrust of the ecumenical movement.

We, on behalf of the Committee, accept these deletions.

Bishop Harmon: I think we did not take action on this, but since Dr. Smith has made the motion that we so edit this, I am going to put the question. If you will so edit it as requested, lift your hand. Down. Opposed? (DCA, page 479.)

It will be done that way and for the purpose that the Recommendation which we have adopted which guides us will be transmitted with this introductory material which Dr. Smith feels ought to have been fixed as you have fixed it.

Motion to Again Adopt Report—John R. Van Sickle

John R. Van Sickle (Rock River—NC): We should now move again to readopt the Recommendation because we moved reconsideration. I so move.

Bishop Harmon: It is moved that we now adopt the Recommendation which was adopted before. If you so feel, lift your hand. Down. Opposed? It is readopted. (DCA, page 479.)

Motion to Amend Recommendation No. 2—Richard W. Cain

Richard W. Cain (Southern California-Arizona—W): I move to amend Recommendation No. 2.

Bishop Harmon: You will have to reconsider again, sir.

Dr. Cain: I move to reconsider Recommendation No. 2.

Bishop Harmon: All right, if you would reconsider it, lift your hand. If you will not reconsider it, lift your hand. It is not reconsidered.

Motion to Amend Recommendation No. 3—Carl F. Lueg

Carl F. Lueg (Louisiana—SC): I would like to amend the recommendation of the Committee as it relates to the name.

Bishop Harmon: You are on the matter we were dealing with before recess?

Mr. Lueg: Yes.

Bishop Harmon: State how you wish it amended.

Mr. Lueg: I move you, sir, that we express the desire of this General Conference as being that of retaining the name "The Methodist Church."

Bishop Harmon: Is it seconded?

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Harmon: It is, Motion has been made that we do retain in this document which is to be perfected, I assume, the name "The Methodist Church."

Mr. Lueg: May I say a word in support of this?

Bishop Harmon: You may.

Mr. Lueg: While I am in favor of union with our Evangelical United Brethren, I am opposed to adding or taking away from the name "The Methodist Church."

The Methodist Church has a long record—indeed since its very beginning—of being an ecumenically minded Church. In word and deed we are known throughout the world as such. I do not think we would add anything to the strength and appeal and majesty of our name by adding the word "United." In my opinion, to make any addition to the name of The Methodist Church would be to take away from the appeal the simplicity of our name possesses. I trust the Conference will support this Amendment to the Recommendation.

Bishop Harmon: I am going to call upon the Committee. I think it will help us in our procedure if you hear from Mr. Parlin again before we take up this motion. We will hear Mr. Parlin.

Statement for Commission—Charles C. Parlin

Mr. Parlin: We had planned to bring up at this time this thing called the work document, and ask for exactly the type of motion that you have before you now, from the standpoint of committee. It is entirely agreeable to entertain this motion, understanding that there are proposed Amendments to the work document, at this time, if this is satisfactory.

Statement Opposing Amendment—John C. Soltman

John C. Soltman (Pacific Northwest—W): I would like to speak against the Amendment. I don't think that the Commission has brought the proposed change of name to us out of the desire to improve the name of our denomination. This would be entirely out of order. But rather, it is brought to us as a part of the whole concept of merger.

I speak as a former member of the Evangelical Church, now a part

of the Evangelical United Brethren Church. It is not too difficult for me to understand the immense adjustment in the thinking of the Evangelical United Brethren people to come to the point of endorsement of the instrument before us for the merger of our denominations. That is the psychological adjustment of coming into a church 10 or 15 times the size of their denomination.

It, therefore, seems highly appropriate to me that in the alteration of our name, we express the idea that it is a merger of our two denominations and not an assimilation of the Evangelical United Brethren Church into The Methodist Church. This is an extremely important part.

We should be very grateful that our two Commissions have brought to us a name which has such excellent implications in these days. I hope we can sustain the new name of the Churches proposed.

Statement Favoring Amendment—William H. Alderson

William H. Alderson (New York East—NE): Mr. Chairman, I rise to oppose this last speech and support the Amendment for the deletion of the word "united."

We have heard this union referred to a good many times as a marriage. I hope it will be a marriage, a long one, a permanent one, a very happy one; but I know in this country, at least, when Miss Brown marries Mr. Jones, they do not become Mr. and Mrs. Smith. I think this custom should be retained.

In this report, we have twenty denominations who incorporate the word "Methodist" in their name. The total membership in this group is about 2,689,000. We also have a statement in this report that there are three times that many denominations across the world. Here, then, are eighty denominations outside our own using the word "Methodist."

Now, then, the report says that this thing was changed because, to retain the name, "The Methodist Church," some found this name uncomfortably bumptious and a possible affront to our Methodist denominations of the world. I would like to raise the question of whether or not it is not uncomfortably bumptious and an affront to call us the United Methodist Church when just two branches get together.

I understand our Evangelical United Brethren are not going to make an issue of this, and are perfectly willing that our name shall be retained. I hope our Conference will be as wise as our Evangelical United Brethren.

Statement Opposing Amendment—Russell A. Huffman

Russell A. Huffman (Minnesota—NC): In answer to what has been said and particularly the references and the last moment of the speech, I would like to call attention to the fact that the proposal of the name "United Methodist Church" is, in fact, accurate. It represents the fact regarding the Evangelical United Brethren Church coming to us. They are the result of several unions. We, here in this General Conference, are the result of several unions.

What could be more appropriate than to retain the historic name "Methodist," but at the same time to indicate that we are moving and have been for two generations toward ecumenicity even in our own household?

Previous Question Moved—Jack M. Tuell

Jack M. Tuell (Pacific Northwest—W): I move the previous question.

Bishop Harmon: The previous question is moved. Let the house understand if you want to continue debate, do not vote the previous question. If you do not want to continue debate on the name, you will vote down the previous question.

Inquiry—Cecil F. Ristow

Cecil F. Ristow (Pacific Northwest—W): My question is this: Is this action final and definitive, a position from which we cannot possibly change, or is it merely a recommendation?

It seems to me that the name should finally be decided when we have united or when the two groups are meeting together.

Bishop Harmon: You are making a speech, Doctor.

The previous question is now before us and in all parliamentary procedure, we ought to put it. But if it affects your vote a bit, I am going to let Mr. Parlin speak on this.

Reply to Ristow Inquiry—Charles Parlin

Mr. Parlin: This is a good question of Dr. Ristow. The votes we are proposing now are not definitive, but are indicative of what this General Conference thinks they should give by way of guidance to the Commission.

Let me put an extreme case. Suppose by a vote of ninety per cent we would indicate that we wanted either to retain the old name or accept the new one. Under the terms of reference which we have, it would still be open to the Commission that it be given another name by the time it is brought to the special session in 1966.

However, if the vote were 90 per cent, I wouldn't think that would happen, but we are asking for guidance.

Previous Question Ordered

Bishop Harmon: The previous question has been moved. If you order the previous question, lift your hand. If you are opposed to the previous question lift your hand. It is ordered. (DCA, page 481.)

Explanation—Charles C. Parlin

Mr. Parlin: Just by way of explanation, that matter of name caused as much debate, perhaps, as any other item. We went around and around on it. At one of our very first meetings, Bishop Clair brought to the joint session of our Commission a cartoon from the *New Yorker* Magazine where a great fish with jaws extended was bearing down on a little fish, and the name of the cartoon was "merger."

We all passed it around the table and then came to the unanimous agreement, this is not what we are talking about.

We are talking about union between two churches with long and honorable history.

Both Commissions were divided right down the middle on which name they wanted, The United Church or The Methodist Church. I think if we could have had it, we would have come to the agreement on the Methodist Episcopal Church. That would validate all our cornerstones which say "M. E. Church."

There is a group in Texas calling themselves the Evangelical Methodist Church, and if we tried to take this name, we would be in court for years to find out what our name was. We abandoned that.

Interestingly enough, the man on the Methodist Commission who pressed most consistently for the name "United Church" was a youth delegate. He said he had been in ecumenical gatherings both in this country and abroad and that he frankly was embarrassed by the bumptiousness of having to respond to the name "The Methodist Church" and kept pressing for the name "United."

I am quite sure that a majority of the Evangelical United Brethren Commission would prefer to use the name "The United Methodist Church" because it would help in the Annual Conferences when it comes to persuading their people that this is a real union of churches and not the semblance of this cartoon which I just described.

However, we are assured by our Evangelical United Brethren, who are the most gracious people with whom I have ever negotiated in any field whatsoever, that if it is the overwhelming feeling of our General Conference that we would prefer to retain the name "The Methodist Church," they are quite confident on this point they would be able to persuade their Annual Conferences to go along, if it is overwhelming and that is our desire.

Amendment to Retain Present Name Adopted

Bishop Harmon: The question is on the Amendment offered by the brother from Louisiana that we ask for. I'm not sure how he worded it, but that we retain the name of "The Methodist Church" instead of "United Methodist Church."

Have I stated that correctly?

Are you ready to adopt the Amendment? If so, lift your hand. Down.

Those opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 481.)

Motion Relative to New Constitution—Robert W. Burtner

Robert W. Burtner (Oregon—W): I move that in the new Constitution the Central Jurisdiction not be included.

Bishop Harmon: The motion is that in the new Constitution the Central Jurisdiction not be included.

Do you mean in a reference to it? You had better explain that.

Mr. Burtner: As I understand it, we are giving the mind of the Conference to the Commission, and in the new Constitution, the Central Jurisdiction is in it. It is my idea to give the mind to the Commission that the words "Central Jurisdiction" not be included in the new Constitution.

Statement Favoring Motion—Dean E. Richardson

Dean E. Richardson (Genesee—NE): I rise to support this motion because I think we have already put ourselves very clearly in the record, not only in the adoption of the Committee of Thirty-six, but if you will note in the concurrence on the Memorials that come to us. The legislative procedure of the delegates there is likewise a record of concurrence. Were we to do contrary to this, were we to write in the new Constitution the Central Jurisdiction, we would be carrying to the entire Church and to the world a conviction that we do not intend to change what we said we are to change. It should be very clear in our negotiations. It should likewise be very clear to the entire world that the structural pattern of The Methodist Church will be subject to change and there will be no better place in which the matter can be widely debated across the Church, in Conferences, than at this point. I hope you will sustain this Amendment.

Statement Opposing Motion—Robert H. Courtney

Robert H. Courtney (North-East Ohio—NC): Mr. Chairman, I would disagree with the last speaker that the Recommendation coming from the Legislative Committee on Conferences is not that the Central Jurisdiction be abolished from the new plan of union, but that the Commission on Church Union should be directed to make the new plan of union in keeping with the action of the General Conference regarding the Central Jurisdiction. There is a difference here that if by 1968 the Central Jurisdiction is abolished, it would not be included. I hope, but I don't want to make the motion, but I hope the mover would accept this because we agreed on this in the Committee on Conferences.

Inquiry Floyd H. Coffman

Floyd H. Coffman (Kansas—SC): I am not sure which side I am on. I would like to give you information. Report 36 of the Legislative Committee on Conferences makes this report: We urge re-examination of the proposal for the new Constitution for the proposed "United Methodist Church" in merging with the Evangelical United Brethren Church with a view to conforming such plan to the action of the General Conference taken for the elimination of the Central Jurisdiction.

I think that, in effect, as one of the gentlemen said, the Recommendation should be re-examined of the proposal for the new Constitution with the view to conforming such plans and, in effect, eliminating reference to the Central Jurisdiction in it, depending on the circumstances as they develop in the next two or three years.

Bishop Harmon: What you have reported is information regarding a report to be brought to the Conference?

Mr. Coffman: Yes, Report No. 36 of the Committee on Conferences.

Resolution Presented—Russell R. Patton

Russell R. Patton (Kentucky—SE): Mr. Chairman, I desire to present a resolution at this time, if it is in order, and if I secure a second . . .

Bishop Harmon: Does it bear on this particular Amendment?

Mr. Patton: It bears on the problem before us.

Bishop Harmon: All right.

Mr. Patton: "Be it resolved:

"1. That the 1964 General Conference of The Methodist Church, duly assembled, receive the report of its Commission on Church Union, presented to the Conference under the title "The Plan of Union of The Methodist Church and The Evangelical United Brethren Church," and that the Conference express to the Commission and to its members individually its appreciation of their labors in this important and potential enterprise;

"2. The Plan in its present form leaves unresolved certain issues which we regard to be vital to our long-established polity and to the effective administration of our work. We refer especially to the name of the proposed united Church; tenure of Bishops; the proposed 12-year period of transition; the administrative councils; and the proposed quota of representation from the two Churches. These are matters that we believe require further study and negotiation;

"3. That we reaffirm our sense of kinship with our brethren of the Evangelical United Brethren Church, and our hope that a plan of organic union may be devised that is acceptable to both Churches,

and that will strengthen the work and witness of the united body;

"4. That the whole matter of union with The Evangelical United Brethren Church be and hereby is re-committed to the Commission on Church Union for further study and negotiation, and that the Commission report to the General Conference as the Conference may direct."

Could I have a second?

Bishop Harmon: Will someone second that?

It is seconded.

Mr. Patton: Just briefly, Mr. Chairman and members of the Conference, the 12-year plan of transition on page 31, section 7, article 6, is a part of the overall plan. The question is why is it necessary for us to wait for 12 years after all necessary steps have been made?

Then, in reference to the General Council of Administration, division 5, article 1, page 38, this should be clearly understood, refers to the General Council of Administration, that the powers and limitations of this body need to be defined more precisely. Shall it speak officially for the united church between General Conferences? What shall be its precise relationship to the Council of Bishops?

The Annual Conference Council of Administration also, what is its relationship to the Bishop and the cabinet? We would like for the Commission, on further study, to consider making these matters—referring to the administrative councils—a legislative proposal instead of a part of the Constitution.

In regard to the election of Bishops, division 3, article 6, page 36 of the plan, we read that the Bishop, at the time of union, shall have life tenure. Why is this specified? Why is this lifted up? Does it mean after this they shall not have life tenure?

In another place, we read the Bishops are elected by the Jurisdictional Conference. Looking at the writing here, how long?

There are two streams of thought and action that meet here. One goes back to the Christmas Conference of 1748. From Bishop Asbury and American Methodism we have had life tenure of our Bishops.

The other stream goes back to the Evangelical United Brethren Church, as we have heard, with terms of election for Bishops. The question is: These two streams meet here. Where do we go from here? Do we follow one of these two principles, combine them, or propose something new?

My only point is that this should be studied and more precisely stated. Let me say that we favor the principle and the spirit of the merger. We are deeply grateful for the splendid work of this Commission. We only ask that you give further study to these and other issues that may develop.

Mr. Chairman, in the *Advocate*, in the Amendment, if Mr. Parlin would accept this as a part of the study proposed, I think it would be agreeable with me.

Point of Order—Jack M. Tuell

Jack M. Tuell (Pacific Northwest—W): Point of order, Mr. Chairman, is that we had a motion before us specifically referring to removing references to the Central Jurisdiction in the Constitution of the proposed merged church. The motion which is now offered covers the whole variety of other things and I would respectfully submit, sir, that it is out of order.

Bishop Harmon: No, the point of order is not well taken. Here is the situation: The Commission is presenting its entire report. An Amendment comes in to change the report in one respect, having to do with the Central Jurisdiction. The whole matter is still before us.

Dr. Patton moves to refer the whole thing back to the Commission and gives his reason therefor. His motion is in order and it is taking precedence over the move to Amend.

If you do not refer, then the motion to amend will be still pending.

Decision of Chair Appealed—Jack M. Tuell

Mr. Tuell: Mr. Chairman, I appeal from the decision of the Chair.

Bishop Harmon: You wish to appeal?

Mr. Tuell: Yes.

Bishop Harmon: Let the Chair, then, state its reasons. I have just done so. A motion to refer the entire matter is before us to be discussed.

In the discussion, an Amendment was offered which has been supported and attacked and is still pending. Then, comes in a motion which is to refer the whole thing, as it is, back to the Commission for further study to be brought back as the General Conference may direct. The Chair believes that the rules of order would call for us first to put the motion of general reference. When the vote comes; and to that, of course, Mr. Parlin will speak before you see if you refer the whole thing.

Or, if you wish to perfect it before you refer, you may do so. But the motion to refer takes precedence. State your position, sir.

Mr. Tuell: My position is this: The Amendment referred to a specific item, the Central Jurisdiction in the Constitution. I believe this body ought to have the right to vote on that specific matter.

The motion, true, was worded in the language of reference. However, it carried with it a whole series of recommendations covering a broad range of subjects which are not specifically related to the Central Jurisdiction, but many other things.

Therefore, sir, I submit these as my grounds for appeal and hope the Chair will not be sustained.

Russell R. Patton (Kentucky—SE): To help the situation, I will be glad to include the matter of the Central Jurisdiction in my report to be referred.

Bishop Harmon: Will that suit?

The motion is to refer. I recognize this—the motion is on reference, amendment pending.

Statement Relative to Parliamentary Situation—C. Asbury Smith

C. Asbury Smith (Baltimore—NE): It seems to me our situation is clearly described in Rule 23: When a resolution or committee report is properly before the Conference for consideration or action, even if the Amendments thereto are pending, a substitute therefor may be offered by any member moving that the same be substituted for the report of the Resolution under consideration. The conference shall then proceed first to perfect the original motion or resolution, including considerations and actions upon any Amendments which may be offered thereto, and the same perfecting process shall then be followed with respect to the substitute.

Bishop Harmon: We have a motion to refer. If you refer, it all goes back to the Commission to which the Patton motion referred it. If it does, you still carry forward with it.

Inquiry—Delegate

Delegate: As a matter of general privilege in connection with the

appeal, I inquire from the Chairman whether it would be appropriate to make a motion that these questions be separated and voted on separately by the Conference.

Bishop's Ruling Sustained

Bishop Harmon: No, it will not, sir. We must—those of you who will sustain the Chair's ruling, lift your hands. Those who will not sustain the Chair. The Chair is sustained. We have the motion of reference properly before us, together with the Amendment which is pending.

Substitute Offered—Alva I. Cox

Alva I. Cox (North-East Ohio—NC): If it is in order, Bishop, I would like to offer a substitute to the Resolution which has been presented.

Bishop Harmon: It is in order, for a motion to refer keeps the whole thing before us until it is decided.

Mr. Cox: My motion would have been as a substitute for the Amendment which appears on page 319, I think it is, of the *Daily Christian Adovcate* which was in our hands yesterday, and it would be like this:

We have approved in principle a plan of union with the Evangelical United Brethren Church. We direct the Commission on Church Union to proceed with the perfecting of the plan of union and the writing of the *Discipline* as suggested in part 2, enabling legislation, so that union in all levels may be effective by 1976, subject to ratification by both churches.

If I could have a second, I would be glad to speak to it.

Bishop Harmon: Is it seconded? It is seconded.

Mr. Cox: Mr. Chairman, there are several reasons for offering this Amendment. The first one is that when the Commission this morning offered an Amendment to its own Amendment, it seemed to me that it rather indicated we are not yet ready, even to declare ourselves to be in favor of the principle of merger with the Evangelical United Brethren Church. The wording would change it to just a wish.

Some of the reasons for specific items in this resolution, however, are these: It seems to me that rather than leave an indefinite period of 12 years after 1968, or even 1966, it would take us nearer to 1980 before the whole merger would be completed at all levels. It seems to me this is a dangerous period. A courtship and engagement period may properly go on for a fairly protracted period of time, but if it goes on too long, one knows that problems arise just over the extension of time.

Point of Order—Leonard Slutz

Leonard Slutz (Ohio—NC): I don't like to interrupt the speaker, but I believe he is out of order. I believe a motion of reference takes precedence over further Amendment, and further Amendments are not in order while the motion to refer is before us and before we have been given a chance to vote it down.

Bishop Harmon: The point of order is not well taken. The motion to refer keeps the matter before us. When we put the question, then we must give precedence to the motion to refer.

Very good. Dr. Cox.

Point of Order—Raoul C. Calkins

Raoul C. Calkins (Ohio—NC): Would you turn with me to page 214, Rule No. 20, where we have the list of Motions in order of preference, and No. 8 is to refer and No. 9 is to amend. No. 8 is preferable.

Bishop Harmon: That is what the Chair said, so that when we put the motion, we put the motion to refer first and amend if it is not referred.

Very good, go ahead, Brother Cox.

Mr. Cox: I have the feeling, as was expressed by the person who offered the Resolution a while ago, that this 12-year period is really very difficult, especially in relation to the merger of Annual Conferences. In a state like Ohio, where there are four E.U.B. Conferences and two Methodist Conferences, it will certainly be necessary to redraw Conference lines.

Suppose that one of the three Conferences votes to merge with us right away, the second one in two or three years, and the other to resist our wooing until the very last minute; it will create a perfectly impossible administrative situation. It would seem to me that if we fixed a date at which the merger would finally be consummated, we would eliminate considerable adverse difficulty. We could use this 12-year period in creative fashion. I have a series of suggestions written out which I will not read in the interest of saving time, but it seems to me it would leave open for discussion other things mentioned by the other speakers that ought to be left open for consideration.

It looks to me that we are asking or requiring of the Evangelical United Brethren Church to make practically all the concessions. It might be that in this process of further discussion, we could find ways and means of adjusting a little nearer to the patterns to both. This would also provide for the preparation of a *Discipline* which could be submitted to one or two sessions of the General Conference, if need be, of both Churches.

I call your attention to the fact that the amended plan suggests a special meeting of the General Conference in 1966.

Bishop Harmon: This is not before us yet.

The material on page 319, the call for the General Conference, has not been before us yet, as the Chair understands it.

Mr. Cox: It is all in the Amendment, sir.

Bishop Harmon: Well, proceed.

Mr. Cox: My only point is this: If we all get together at that point and the Evangelical United Brethren should not vote for the merger, we would have kind of red faces, having called this meeting at the time, confidently expecting that they would take an action which they are not absolutely obligated to do.

Statement Opposing Substitution—Alvin J. Lindgren

Alvin J. Lindgren (East Wisconsin—NC): I rise to oppose substitution. It proposes to bind strongly, by suggestion of this Conference, the Commission in its future negotiations. The proposed deadline of any specific date will depend on many factors yet to be studied, and to arrive at a conclusion as to when all must be solved, previous to that discussion, places a real handicap on the Commission.

As a member of the Commission, I am aware of some of the difficulties that will be ironed out. There are many things in the *Discipline* that will have to be perfected previous to the General Conference of the Evangelical United Brethren. These items could become

of such a nature that it would determine how they might have to be handled if this time schedule were placed on it. The proposed limitation, it seems to me, would be a handicap rather than a help. It may be possible that many of these things will be ironed out in a much shorter time. All may not need to be brought to a sudden death ending at the same time. Many matters that are before the group for consideration in the future could not adequately be cared for with this substitute prevailing.

Chair Reviews Parliamentary Situation

Bishop Harmon: Let the Chair give you a summary of what is before us.

We have a motion to refer, which is a motion of great precedence. You have a motion to substitute by Dr. Cox. There is also pending an Amendment about the Central Jurisdiction. The whole matter is still open before us, but keep in mind what it is we are discussing.

Substitute for Substitute Proposed—Edwin E. Reeves

Edwin E. Reeves (Southern California-Arizona—W): I would like to make a substitute for the substitute by Dr. Cox.

Bishop Harmon: I cannot allow a substitute for a substitute.

Dr. Reeves: I thought the whole matter on page 319, the alternative program of the Commission was before us. That is the item to which Dr. Cox spoke.

Bishop Harmon: Yes.

Dr. Reeves: I am asking for a substitute to the substitute on that item, or an Amendment by substitution.

Bishop Harmon: You can, but it is an Amendment and does not take precedence over the motion to refer.

If you want to amend, you can state the substance of it, sir.

Dr. Reeves: The substance of my substitute is to retain Paragraph 1, and replace 2, 3 and 4 with this statement: That the report on church union be referred back to the Commission with instructions to perfect the plan during the next quadrennium and present it for adoption at the 1968 session of the General Conference.

Bishop Harmon: That is a motion to refer, again. I recognize Mr. Parlin.

Mr. Parlin: The suggestion of the Commission on Church Union appearing on page 319 is not yet before you. In outlining the planned procedure, we suggested that we take for suggestion this work document and get votes and suggestions, and then we will put before you for adoption the proposed plan for the special call of the session in 1966 and that will be before you. On that, it seems to me, would come the proposed votes for delay.

Until that is before us, I think we should stick to our business of trying to discuss the terms of this work document.

Bishop Harmon: Let me say I believe the substitute that is offered by Dr. Reeves is not in order for the reasons given by Mr. Parlin.

Point of Order—Edwin E. Reeves

Dr. Reeves: Point of order.

Dr. Cox spoke to this alternative proposal on page 319, and that is why I offered the substitute. He spoke to it already, he was out of order also.

Bishop Harmon: Just a minute.

Take your seats until we get this matter straight.

The Chair stated this material on 319 was not before us. Mr. Parlin's suggestion is that we get the questions and answers and other material here. Then he proposes to offer the plan if we proceed in that way. We can then get our own minds straight so we will know what to do when this Commission calls for a meeting of the General Conference in 1966.

Point of Order—Leonard Slutz

Leonard Slutz (Ohio—NC): Mr. Chairman, I hate to be persistent, but I refer to the inside cover of Robert's Rules of Order where the motions are listed in the order of precedence, and it says: "When any one of these is immediately pending, the motions above it are in order and anything below would be out of order." When a motion to refer is made, any motion below it in the list order, according to the basic principle of parliamentary procedure, is out of order.

Mr. Cox's motion is out of order. We are on the motion to refer, which I hope we will defeat.

Bishop Harmon: Let the Chair state its position.

As was said some moments ago, the order in which motions stand in relation here is fixed by your particular rule, which is No. 20. That is to say, when we come to vote, you will vote to refer before you vote to amend. You vote on the reference before you vote on the substitute. Just at present, the whole matter is before us, except I cannot allow a substitute to a substitute. I might have it amended, but we cannot have two substitutes conflicting with each other on the main motion.

Mr. Slutz: I much dislike disagreeing. It does not say that it is the order in which you vote. It is the order in which they are presented.

If we have a motion for the previous question which takes precedence, you cannot permit the presenting of any lower form of motion. That is our problem here. We have a motion to refer, and as it distinctly says, when any of the lower order motions are made, if they are below the one that is before us, they are out of order. The motion cannot be made, let alone voted on.

Bishop Harmon: Let the Chair—

Mr. Slutz: I refer to the Robert's Rules of Order inside the front cover.

Bishop Harmon: The motion to refer is debatable, both as to instructions to the Committee and advisability of Commitment.

Mr. Slutz: That is right.

Bishop Harmon: It may be amended by changing the instructions to the Committee. Some of these came in to do that.

I will recognize you, sir.

Previous Question Moved—H. Hughes Wagner

H. Hughes Wagner (New England—NE): I move the previous question on all that is before us.

Bishop Harmon: The previous question on all that is before us has been moved. If you order the previous question, lift your hand. Opposed? It is ordered by a two thirds vote. (DCA, page 485.)

Now, Mr. Parlin, for the Committee before we vote on the motion for reference, second, the motion to substitute if the motion to refer loses; then, I am not sure whether Dr. Patton's acceptance took

with it the Amendment you made, but we will straighten that out if we refer.

Summary Statement for Committee—Charles C. Parlin

Mr. Parlin: Now, I would strongly and with everything I could, oppose the motion of reference at this point. If we should get some guidelines for our Commission as we go into the future, we will present to you the proposition on page 319 which calls for a reference back and the bringing to a special session, and when this is presented, we will give our reasons. This is the time to say that is an inadequate reference and debate it.

I think the reference at this time is premature and should be defeated.

Motion to Refer Defeated

Bishop Harmon: If you will refer, lift your hand. If you do not refer, lift your hand. It is not referred. (DCA, page 485.)

Now, the substitute. The substitute by Dr. Cox. Do you want it stated or read to you? If you will vote for the substitute by Dr. Cox, lift your hand. He has spoken on the whole thing and closed the debate.

Mr. Parlin, again.

Mr. Parlin: Brother Cox's motion is even more objectionable, inasmuch as it calls for 1972. I certainly wish that to be defeated.

Motion to Substitute Defeated

Bishop Harmon: Very good, If you vote for the Cox substitute, lift your hand. Down. Those opposed? It is lost. (DCA, page 485.)

We have before us the Amendment offered about the Central Jurisdiction.

Are you ready for the—Mr. Parlin.

Mr. Parlin: You will find that the enabling legislation specifically provides that any constitutional changes of the Methodist Constitution which are applicable to the proposed Constitution automatically are taken in. Therefore, if the Central Jurisdiction is abolished, as we hope, by 1968, it automatically will be out. The only question, then, is: Suppose we have not been on schedule and we have not abolished the Central Jurisdiction. I say we can go ahead with union anyhow. This is agreeable to the Evangelical United Brethren. They say, "Whatever problems you have, we want to come with you and be good Methodists and wrestle with the problems with you." I would think we should not defeat union because of this problem within the family.

Amendment Defeated

Bishop Harmon: For the Amendment, lift your hand. Against the Amendment? The Amendment is lost. (DCA, page 485.)

Mr. Parlin, I suggest that you put before the house, if it pleases you, the part which includes implementation, and then we can proceed.

Committee Report Continued—Charles C. Parlin

Mr. Parlin: First, let me say that we have a number of items which we had planned to present to you for perfection of this document

suggestion, but it seems to me they are broad enough to be taken by the new Commission when it comes to work.

I would follow the suggestion of the Chairman, therefore, and present to you the proposition which appears on page 319 of the book. This calls for a reference back to the Continuing Commission to study and bring to a special called session of this General Conference in October, 1966. That gives to them two and a half years to work, negotiate, perfect the Constitution and draft a *Discipline.*

The change from presenting the Constitution at this sitting in Pittsburgh, and the reference back to two and a half years of study and bringing to a special called session in 1966, was that many members of this body felt that it was unfair to be asked to vote on the Constitution without having seen at least the draft of the *Discipline.* Some of this was brought out in the motion by Brother Patton. There were some details that they would like to see before they voted. That seems reasonable, so we plan to make this call.

Somebody immediately raises the question of expense of a special session. Now the plan would be to have a three-day work session on the relationship of The Methodist Church to the ecumenical movement. The Evangelical United Brethren plan would be part of that, but not exclusively a part of this.

We are told that the cost of such a session with delegates from all over the world, as these are here—the same delegates who sit here today, is approximately $200,000.

The unusual part is that this money is already in the till. Our General Conference of 1960 put into motion what was called Amendment 12 which called for a General Conference of 1400 people. This passed the General Conference by a good majority, but was defeated in the Annual Conferences by a fraction of 1 per cent of the required vote.

The Committee on Entertainment and the World Service and Finance Committee had estimated that this Pittsburgh gathering was to be 1400 people from all over the world, and they assessed to the Churches, and collected from the Churches the amount of cash necessary to have a 1400 member session. It just happens to work out that this conference of around 860, plus a similar conference in 1966, just about comes to exactly the figure which the 1400-man conference would bring. So the money has been collected and is in the till.

There are many things which our Church should consider at a special session. We are not in accord as to the thrust in the ecumenical movement. There were echoes of this in the debate already as to whether the ecumenical movement calls for the strengthening of world ties of denominationalism, or a cancelling out of denominationalism to the forming of national or regional churches.

I happen to have a very strong conviction on this matter. I yield to no one in my enthusiasm for the ecumenical cause. Councils and churches throughout the land are calling for this. I yield to no man. I do have a conviction, however, that if we came to national churches, we would be taking a step backward. This is a personal opinion.

Now I am free to express it, because I will not be on the new Commission on Church Union because I will—I agree.

I have been on the Commission for 16 years and the 12-year rule has come into being, so I over-stayed my term. I reserve the right to debate this issue with my brothers in the ecumenical movement who believe that national churches are the answer.

Today we have 8 or 9 big communions; Orthodox, Roman Catholic, Lutheran, Baptist, Methodist, and so forth. They are working on Faith and Order problems, many of them at world level. The Anglicans are talking to Rome at the world level. It seems to me when the time comes, Methodism must discuss other denominations on a

world level. This is a completely argumentative point on which I have personal convictions, but the one thing, I think, on which we could all agree is that The Methodist Church should step into leadership in the ecumenical movement.

We are today confused and divided and it would be our hope and plan that this special session of our Conference in October, 1966, would serve to bring The Methodist Church to a mind on which way it wants to go.

The British Church will have voted in 1965 and we will know the result of that, whether that plan of union with the Anglican Church has succeeded or failed. We will know many other things about the Ecumenical world. We will have probably a skeleton blueprint of the Blake-Pike proposal to look at in 1966, and it is my opinion that no commission of 18 men, such as we have had, has a right to take stands on such vital issues. It is something this body, the General Conference, should act upon, and this is the basis of our presenting to you this Recommendation of a special call of the General Conference in this body in October, 1966, to consider all the matters of the Church with reference to church union.

Motion to Amend—W. Davis Cotton

W. Davis Cotton (Louisiana—SC): I understand that we are now considering the Amendments to the report of the Commission which is published on Page 319.

Bishop Harmon: Yes, that is right, the black faced type.

Mr. Cotton: I move to Amend line 6 of Subparagraph 2, of Section F so as to add the word "sole" after the word "the" in that sentence which would then make that read as follows: "That the Methodist General Conference be called into special session in October, 1966, at the time and if practicable at the place of the Evangelical United Brethren in 1966 General Conference for the *sole* purpose of reviewing . . ." and so forth.

If I have a second, I would like to speak very briefly.

Bishop Harmon: Is it seconded? Does someone second?

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Harmon: It is seconded.

Mr. Cotton: I think the history of our Church has clearly demonstrated that we can and do properly handle our administrative affairs in our quadrennial sessions. I think this resolution should spell out clearly and definitely at this special session we shall only be concerned with this question of union and nothing else, and that is the reason that I am inserting the word "sole" here.

Of course, I can see that every question—and that would include a great many constitutional questions—every question involving union will be covered, but nothing else, other than union, will be considered at that special session.

Bishop Harmon: The matter is properly before us.

Amendment Accepted

Mr. Parlin: This is clearly the intent of the Commission, and we would accept that Amendment.

Bishop Harmon: It is accepted.

Motion to Amend—William Astor Kirk

William Astor Kirk (West Texas—C): Mr. Chairman, I move to Amend the Amendment of the Report on page 319 by inserting an 1-A following F-1, so that you would have F-1 and F-1-A, and that 1-A would read as follows:

"That The Methodist Church record its judgment that the Central Jurisdiction structure of The Methodist Church not be made a part of the plan of merger with the Evangelical United Brethren Church."

If I get a second, I would like to speak to it.

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Harmon: It was seconded.

Mr. Kirk: Mr. Chairman, I think the time has come for some of us to do some plain talking at this point. I am very concerned about a proposition to take over the Central Jurisdiction structure into the Evangelical United Brethren Church merger at a time when you are asking those of us who have leadership responsibility in the Central Jurisdiction to do everything that we can to get rid of this structure.

I think that we are here proposing to make the same kind of mistake that was made in 1939 when this kind of structure was created.

There is neither rhyme nor reason for carrying over into a new Church, a merged Church, a racially segregated organization and hope to try to get rid of it.

Secondly, I fear that some members of this General Conference, some delegates here, might misunderstand the kind of intellectual Commitment that we, who have responsibility in the Central Jurisdiction, have to try to move toward this inclusive Church. And already, people across our jurisdiction, whom we have had to persuade to go along with the kind of program we have indicated, have raised this kind of a question: Is this a General Conference, or are these Methodists really sincere?

The same leadership that has brought this proposal to us is the same leadership which has been telling us to get rid of the Central Jurisdiction, and throughout the Jurisdictions, the question is being raised as to whether or not this leadership is really sincere about this problem. If it were sincere, then why does it bring over into this proposition the same kind of proposal for a racially segregated structure?

Finally, Mr. Chairman, I think that a great number of delegates here misunderstand the kind of responsibility and the determination to discharge that responsibility on the part of those who have it in the Central Jurisdiction.

In 1939, you gave us a choice. The Central Jurisdiction was voted into creation against the opposition of the Annual Conferences composed of Negroes. We had three choices. We had the choice then of not accepting the plan, establishing a segregated denomination. We had the second choice of not accepting the plan, merging with C.M.E., A.M.E., or A.M.E. Zion. Now, we had the third choice of accepting the organization and hoping to persuade the Church, as the Bishops said in the Episcopal Sermon of 1940, to find a more Christian way.

If you force this kind of choice in 1964-66, you are going to get a different kind of response, because no self-respecting Negro in this day and time is going to carry this kind of structure over into the new Church, and it is going to be resisted all out. I think this General Conference needs to realize that.

Bishop Harmon: We will hear from the Committee on that. This is the same kind of Amendment, and perhaps Mr. Parlin would like to repeat what he said then. The Amendment some time ago was somewhat the same.

Will you speak again, Mr. Parlin?

Mr. Parlin: I think it is clearly my sentiment personally about getting rid of the Central Jurisdiction and getting rid of it as fast as we can. As I say, in the enabling act it is automatically provided that if the Central Jurisdiction has been abolished in the quadrennium, as we hope, it will automatically come out of the Constitution. The only question is if we have not, for any reason succeeded, are we going to hold up ecumenical movement because of this? This is the question. I would say not. I would say we should move in 1966 and 1968 in connection with the ecumenical movement in this movement forward for church unity.

Inquiry—Major J. Jones

Major J. Jones (East Tennessee—C): I would like to ask Mr. Parlin a question in the light of his answer. Mr. Parlin, would it at all be possible, or would you accept a suggestion to include on the agenda of the 1966 proposed General Conference session some discussion as to the progress that has been made or that may have been made up to that point on the elimination of the Central Jurisdiction under the presently adopted plan?

Answer—Charles C. Parlin

Mr. Parlin: I certainly would highly favor a progress report, a provision for a report of that. I would be reluctant to have it opened up to the whole discussion because we may get bogged down, because we are only planning a three-day session to talk about the world structure of Methodism, as well as our structure in the United States with references to the specific planned union, and I do not think we could do it within the compass of three days if we opened the whole thing up for discussion. Report—I am for it.

Mr. Jones: Then, you would be in favor, further, Mr. Chairman, of accepting such an Amendment at this time?

Mr. Parlin: Yes, I would be in favor of accepting an Amendment—personally, that is. I can't speak for the Committee.

Motion for Progress Report in 1966—Major J. Jones

Mr. Jones: I so move, Mr. Chairman, a report on progress which has been made, to be made at the General Session.

Pertinent Question Raised—William A. Byus, Jr.

William A. Byus, Jr. (West Virginia—NE): I wish to raise a very relevant and pertinent question to what is before us. We have already adopted the report from our Council on World Service and Finance. On page 70 of the Advocate, this relates to the surplus fund accumulated as the result of this General Conference.

The report says the surplus fund will be used for the 1968 General Conference.

Mr. Parlin tells us this will be in the till for a special called session in 1966. I would like to have information, please.

Answer Given—Charles C. Parlin

Mr. Parlin: I have spoken to the World Service and Finance Commission. If this plan is adopted, we have a motion to refer this to the World Service and Finance Council, and they will bring back the report to this body.

Statement Supporting Amendment—Robert M. Thorpe

Robert M. Thorpe (Pacific Northwest—W): I rise to speak in support of Amendment 1-A which would delete any reference to instruct the Committee to delete any reference to the Central Jurisdiction in any plan of union.

I am one of those who have opposed the fixing of a hard date for the elimination of the Central Jurisdiction. Until a few hours ago I would have opposed this Amendment. I support it now because we hear and gain insight in this matter and I realize this is not a hard date. Secondly, after the plan of union is adopted, it must be approved by the Annual Conferences of both Churches which will take a period of time during which it is entirely reasonable to believe that our members of the south will have completed the liquidation of the Central Jurisdiction. And, finally, I think this is most important of all, those of us who have tried to be moderates, who have tried to be a bridge of understanding, must at some time come out of the bushes and state what we believe is the right order of preference in this Church. And, I think that the elimination of the segregated Church and a new fundamental document, our new Constitution for another half century, is more important than the delay for perhaps a year or two of the merger.

Substitute Motion—John R. Van Sickle

John R. Van Sickle (Rock River—NC): I would like to move as a substitute for all that is before us the following:

I would like to have this report read, Section F-1, as it has been amended by the Committee, and that all the rest of the report be replaced with this paragraph:

"Point 2, that our Commission on Church Union continue further study and discussion with the Evangelical United Brethren Commission on Church Union and bring a perfected plan of union to the 1968 session of our General Conference for action." If there is a second, I would like to speak to it.

Bishop Harmon: Is it seconded?

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Harmon: It is seconded.

Mr. Van Sickle: I asked a very good friend, a pastor whom I have known for many years (he has almost been my spiritual advisor), I said, "I am puzzled. What are we to do? Is it this urgent?"

He said, "I do not think it is this urgent."

There are too many unsolved questions. There are too many things we are not sure about yet. I hope that our Commission can study these things and bring a more perfect plan of union to the 1968 General Conference. I do not think we need to spend $200,000 of our Churches' money to do this in 1966. I hope you will support the substitute.

Statement Opposing Substitute Motion—Lawrence E. Guderian

Lawrence E. Guderian (Oregon—W): I am speaking in opposition to the speech that was just made because I am taken back a few years to realize when I married my wife I didn't know everything about her either, but over a period of time we became very close together and quite understanding. I think this is essential here in the union which we are proposing with the Evangelical United Brethren.

Personally, I have been in association with some of these brothers and find them to be real Methodists, basic to the very core, understanding their heritage, and I think they understand us better than we think.

I know they have some ideas and they certainly want to enter into this union with an open mind, an open heart, and an open spirit. I think that we are ready to go into this merger, into this union, because we can grow together over the years that are yet ahead of us, and we can develop into the type of Church together which we can do better together than alone. I speak against the Amendment and certainly for the major motion that we enter now.

Previous Question Moved—Ted Richardson

Ted Richardson (Southwest Texas—SC): Mr. Chairman, I move the previous question.

Bishop Harmon: The previous question has been moved. On everything? Very good.

Point of Order—Robert W. Moon

Robert W. Moon (California-Nevada—W): I think, and I hope this is a simple thing.—Referring to the *Discipline*, even the General Conference does not have a right to call a special session of the General Conference. It would be in order to amend the Resolution which is before us on page 319 to say that we ask the Council of Bishops to call a special session.

Previous Question Voted

Bishop Harmon: If you read the Constitution of the Church, not the legislation, you will find the General Conference shall meet in April or May and at that time it shall decide. It does have a right to call itself together.

The previous question is called for. Those of you who will order the previous question, lift up your hand. Those opposed? It will be put. (DCA, page 488.)

Inquiry—Edwin Reeves

Edwin E. Reeves (Southern California-Arizona—W): Does the substitute by Mr. Van Sickle remove the 1-A Amendment which has been made?

Bishop Harmon: The Chair cannot answer that. The way we must vote will be, we will first put the Van Sickle substitute, which is a motion to refer, then the Amendment if it is not referred.

Dr. Reeves: My understanding is that he left No. 1 in. Did that carry with it Dr. Kirk's A. Can he answer that?

Bishop Harmon: He will answer.

Answer—John R. Van Sickle

Mr. Van Sickle: Personally, I would like to have that in if that is possible, to have that as an Amendment to the substitute. But I don't think it is.

Inquiry—John D. Herr

John D. Herr (Philadelphia—NE): Am I right in my understanding that what we are dealing with is Point 2, enabling legislation, and that we will have an opportunity to discuss Point 3 which has to do with the Constitution?

As I understand this, Dr. Parlin said he hoped there would be some kind of discussion on that so that the Committee would have the sense of this General Conference.

Bishop Harmon: What we are going to vote on is the Van Sickle motion to refer to the next General Conference. Depending on what you do with that, the Amendment will come up—if it is not referred.

Then we will have reached the time of adjournment and that will be left open until this afternoon when you will be able to discuss other material of this proposal which has not yet been before us.

Clarification of Motion to Refer—John R. Van Sickle

Mr. Van Sickle: I think it should be made clear this is not a matter of reference, but is asking the study Commission to continue the study and bring a better plan back in 1968 instead of 1966. It is really not a reference, but asking them to continue the work.

Bishop Harmon: Very good. It does turn it over to them, though to work upon it, and will take it out of the hands of this Conference if you vote it.

Inquiry—Joseph E. Lowery

Joseph E. Lowery (Central Alabama—C): I trust I still have the voice to give my question, Mr. Chairman. I am not sure where we are in voting. There was a motion by Dr. Jones that the question of the report of the Commission of 36 be considered at the adjourned session.

I don't know if Mr. Parlin accepted this or not. If he did, there is also in the Section 21, page 319, an Amendment made by Dr. Cotton, I believe, which was accepted by Mr. Parlin, that we would hold the adjourned session for the sole purpose.

Bishop Harmon: That is not now before us. What is before us is whether or not you refer or defer this, turn it over to the Commission for the study, and come back in 1968.

Mr. Lowery: Are we not on the same previous question of all that is before us?

Bishop Harmon: Yes.

Delegate: What is the status of the Amendment of Dr. Jones that the Amendment be included? Is that before us?

Bishop Harmon: It will be before us if we do not vote affirmatively on the Van Sickle Amendment.

Are you ready?

Statement—Charles C. Parlin

Mr. Parlin: This is a crucial vote on whether we go ahead with Evangelical United Brethren union, I think. I realize if this is postponed to our General Conference of 1968, then the Evangelical United Brethren Conference of 1970 would be next.

We discussed at great length whether it was possible to defer this to 1968 and still continue our conversation with success. It was the unanimous agreement of the Evangelical United Brethren Commission that it would not be, and that also if this General Conference took this step that they felt we should feel we had been defeated in our proposal. It is a very crucial vote. I hope the deferment will fail.

Van Sickle Motion to Refer Defeated

Bishop Harmon: Very good. The motion we are to vote on is whether or not you accept Mr. Van Sickle's motion which, as Mr. Parlin just stated, would call for—the Chair does not want to get into the debate—would call for a study and come back in 1968. Are you ready for that? If you will so order, will you lift your hand? If you are against that, lift your hand. It is not, therefore, referred. (DCA, page 488.)

Vote on Amendment

Bishop Harmon: Now, you have an Amendment pending about the Central Jurisdiction which was to go into this material which is still pending before us. If you will order that, will you lift your hand? If you are against that, lift your hand. It is not inserted.

Count Vote Requested

Dwight E. Loder (Rock River—NC): I request a count vote on this.

Bishop Harmon: Very good. The pending Amendment was opposed.

Mr. Loder: This is on the Amendment 1-A, and I request a count vote.

Bishop Harmon: It takes a third. If you order a count vote, raise your hand. If you are opposed to a count vote, raise your hand. The Chair is almost ready to count the count vote.

I will call it a third. A third is all that is necessary. We will have a count vote on whether or not we adopt the Kirk Amendment.

Request for Amendment to be Read—Dwight E. Loder

Mr. Loder: May we have the Amendment read again for us, Bishop?

Bishop Harmon: A call for a reading is in order. Who will read it?

Secretary Moore: Mr. Chairman, members of the Conference, "That The Methodist Church record its judgment that the Central Jurisdiction structure of The Methodist Church not be made a part of the plan of merger with the Evangelical United Brethren Church."

Bishop Harmon: Very good. That is now before you. Those of you who will vote for that Amendment, will you stand until you are counted?

The Amendment was read to the House some moments ago. You are voting on the adoption of the Amendment.

Point of Order—Dwight E. Loder

Mr. Loder: It is impossible for us to stop in the middle of a count vote to read an Amendment. We are aware of what we are voting on.

Bishop Harmon: You are correct. He is correct. It was thought it might be in the interest of somebody who did not hear. He said he didn't know what it was all about.

Take your count. I will call for the negative in a second.

Those opposed to the Amendment will stand and be counted.

We will have the announcements while the votes are being counted.

Announcements

Dr. Leon T. Moore made several announcements.

Special Privilege

Mr. Jones: I would like to take this opportunity to point out that there is a reserve delegate seated with the group from India—North India Conference. This, I understand, is the first time a reserve delegate woman has been seated—a Miss Basanti Singh, a student at Nashville. This is the only time she will be present, and I wonder if you would indulge the privilege of having her stand with the Chairman of the Indian delegation.

Voting Problem

Bishop Harmon: The Secretary has an important matter affecting this vote.

Dr. Moore: Mr. Chairman, members of the Conference, when we called for the tellers and captains to take their place, there was no statement that the captain on D section was not here. As a result, two different people came up here and gave conflicting numbers. I do not see how we can accept this vote.

Bishop Harmon: Let the Chair hear the result, either way. If the result would not be affected, we may proceed, but, if so, we cannot accept the vote.

What is the vote?

The Chair states that if the differential of these 20 votes does not affect the total result, you would not wish to vote again. If they do, of course, the vote is invalid.

We are close to adjournment. If you are patient a bit more, we will be able to conclude.

Motion to Declare Vote Invalid—W. Kenneth Goodson

W. Kenneth Goodson (Western North Carolina—SE): I move that the vote be declared invalid and that we vote again.

Bishop Harmon: The Secretary wants it that way, and we will have to take it again.

The motion is to extend the time to complete this business. It is not in order to make a motion while a vote is pending, but this vote has not been taken, so we may go ahead.

Inquiry—Sumpter M. Riley, Jr.

Sumpter M. Riley, Jr. (Lexington—C): If you are declaring the vote invalid, do we not have the privilege of knowing what it was, anyway?

Request for Clarification—Lawrence F. Almond

Lawrence F. Almond (New England Southern—NE): I think the Chairman asked for the vote before any of the names were announced as to which tellers should count. That may be a part of the confusion. May we have an announcement of the tellers who are to count this before we take the vote again, sir?

Voting Instructions—Leon T. Moore

Dr. Moore: The tellers are found on page 93, in the group on the left-hand side. If any of these persons are not present, you should state so, so we can give you a substitute.

Request for Reading of Amendment—Vincent M. Mouser

Vincent M. Mouser (Louisiana—SC): A great deal of confusion attended the taking of the last vote because a great many people thought the vote was being taken upon the question of whether or not to have a count vote. At this time, before we proceed, I would request again that the Proposition upon which we are to vote be read; that is, the Amendment upon which we are to vote be read and that another announcement definitely and positively be made of the exact proposition on which we are now asked to give our vote, so that we can avoid the confusion.

Bishop Harmon: I think in deference to those who have asked this as a matter of personal privilege, members of the Body, an Amendment should be read.

The tellers should be placed in position and the vote taken. We will proceed that way in a hope to get out of here before 2:00 o'clock.

Secretary Reads Amendment

Dr. Moore: Mr. Chairman and members of the Conference, this is what you are voting on: "That The Methodist Church record its judgment that the Central Jurisdiction Structure of The Methodist Church not be made a part of the plan of merger with Evangelical United Brethren Church."

The following captains should take their place. If one is missing, please advise me.

Point of Order—J. C. Hawkins

J. C. Hawkins (Missouri East—SC): Would it be possible for the Chairman of the Committee, Mr. Parlin to speak to this?

Bishop Harmon: He has already spoken.

Dr. Moore read the list of captains.

Vote on Amendment

Bishop Harmon: Those in favor of this Amendment, stand and remain standing until you can be counted.

Be seated when you have been counted.

Those who would vote against the Amendment, please stand and remain standing until you have been counted.

Let the house be in order. We have one more vote. This will be on the adoption of the Amendment as found on Page 319.

I will recognize Mr. Parlin again for a closing statement. He will not speak until the vote has been declared.

Motion to Adjourn

George W. Richards (Central Kansas—SC): If it is in order, I would like to move that we adjourn following the announcement of this ballot.

Bishop Harmon: Nothing is in order while a ballot is being taken, sir.

Inquiry—William R. Cannon

William R. Cannon (North Georgia—SE): Mr. Chairman, is a direct question in order of Mr. Parlin? Because the answer to this would affect the way some of us vote.

Bishop Harmon: No, it would not be in order until he gets through his statement.

The Secretary will announce the ballot.

Amendment Adopted

Dr. Moore: Mr. Chairman, members of the Conference, there were 826 ballots cast. In the affirmative, 464; negative, 362. (DCA, page 490.)

Committee Report Continued—Charles C. Parlin

Mr. Parlin: I now present to you the material that is found on page 319 as Amended. Many have asked: "If the General Conference calls for this special session in 1966, who are the delegates?" You will find that Report No. 23 of the Committee on Conferences is proposing clarifying legislation. I think it merely clarifies what the present rule is—namely, that the delegates who come here in October of 1966 would be the delegates now seated, provided only if your Annual Conference wants to hold a new election, it has the power to do so. I, therefore, propose the adoption of the report of the Commission on Church Union as amended.

Point of Order—Clinton M. Cherry

Clinton M. Cherry (Philadelphia—NE): I wonder if this negative vote will have any effect on the special session. Do we need to have the special session in the light of what we have done?

Bishop Harmon: The Chairman will answer that.

Mr. Parlin: Our Committee has not met. It would be very much in order to hold a special session, in my opinion.

Inquiry—William R. Cannon

William R. Cannon (North Georgia—SE): To Mr. Parlin. Mr. Parlin, when we meet for the special session of the General Conference which will involve three days, does that anticipate the opportunity for us to meet as Methodists, separate from the meeting with the Evangelical United Brethren, in order to receive, as Methodists, the proposals, and vote on them before the merger meeting—the final meeting which would be preparation for it?

Mr. Parlin: Absolutely. This would be a meeting of the Methodist General Conference, not a union meeting.

Point of Order—William H. Alderson

William H. Alderson (New York East—NE): This is a privilege, yes, Mr. Chairman. This may save a lot of embarrassment. I would like to see the place for holding a special session of the General Conference be referred to the Commission on Entertainment and Program. There is so much involved in finding a meeting place that I think instead of just letting that go as it is, it ought to be referred to the Commission.

Point of Order—W. Davis Cotton

W. Davis Cotton (Louisiana—SC): My point of order is that I made a motion to amend by adding the word "sole." Mr. Parlin said he would accept it, but this Conference never voted on it.

Bishop Harmon: It was accepted and there was no objection, so we put it in there.

Inquiry—Robert H. Courtney

Robert H. Courtney (North-East Ohio—NC): Mr. Chairman, I thought—I am asking a question on what we have before us. There was an Amendment further made that we have not yet voted on, I believe, by my Brother standing over here to my left. Has it been accepted, ignored, or where are we?

Parliamentary Inquiry—Major J. Jones

Major J. Jones (East Tennessee—C): Mr. Chairman, I was under the impression—I would like clarification on the parliamentary situation. I was under the impression that Dr. Parlin accepted my Amendment, which would mean that we would not have to vote on it. Am I correct?

Bishop Harmon: Mr. Parlin, can you answer that?

Mr. Parlin: I understood the motion was that there be included on the agenda a report on the status of the work of abolishing the Central Jurisdiction, and we accepted this report of the transaction, that it should be on the agenda.

Bishop Harmon: Does that satisfy you?

Mr. Jones: Yes.

Bishop Harmon: Are you ready for the vote?

All of you who will vote for the order here, the Amendment to the report of the Commission on Church Union—

Inquiry—Lewis H. Beckford

Lewis H. Beckford (Maine—NE): My question is whether or not the request of the Commission that has asked this Conference to give it directions in connection with further negotiations will be cut off by a vote at this point? In other words, if we vote now, will there be any other opportunity to address ourselves, as a Conference, to such questions as the tenure of Bishops and other parts of the Constitution that are included in its report?

Bishop Harmon: Can you answer that, Mr. Parlin?

Answer to Inquiry—Charles C. Parlin

Mr. Parlin: So far as this portion of the report is concerned, the answer would be "no," because the previous question was moved and

cut off further discussion of the work document. However, this matter is all referred back to the Commission for two and a half years of work, so if this Body wishes to open up more discussion and instructions to the Commission, I suppose they could do it. It would take a motion to reconsider at a later date.

Bishop Harmon: Very good. We are voting on the Amendment as brought in by our Commission on Church Union, as it was amended here this morning. All of you who will vote for this, will you please hold up your hand? Down. All opposed hold up your hand. It is clearly carried and I so pronounce it. (DCA, page 491.)

Mr. Parlin: Mr. Chairman, legislation is being brought in which requires that the vote for a special session requires a two-thirds vote. It is not on the book. But to avoid a quibble later, will you state for the record that this was more than a two-thirds vote, which it surely was?

Bishop Harmon: I so say.

Motion to Refer Selection of Site of 1966 Special Session—Charles C. Parlin

Mr. Parlin: I move, sir, that we refer to the Commission on Entertainment and Program the question of selection of place of the special session.

Bishop Harmon: If you so order, lift your hand. Down. It is done. (DCA, page 491.)

Motion to Refer Financing of 1966 Special Session—Charles C. Parlin

Mr. Parlin: I move that we refer to the Commission on World Service and Finance the question of the financing of the special session.

Bishop Harmon: Will you do so? If so, lift your hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 491.)

Congratulations to Bishop Harmon—Chester A. Smith

Chester A. Smith (New York—NE): Mr. Chairman, and members of the General Conference, I want to take this occasion to extend our hearty congratulations and good wishes to Bishop Nolan B. Harmon.

I haven't finished yet.

Bishop Harmon: You had better be seated, brethren.

Mr. Smith: I have known him for many years, since he became editor of The Methodist Church, succeeding John H. Langdale. He served for 16 years in that important position, and then in due time he became a Bishop of The Methodist Church, which was created in 1929. We have not always agreed, but we have been friends, and he represents in a unique and interesting way the fact that life is often a challenge. Bishop Harmon began as an editor, and now as he is retiring as an active Bishop, he will become an editor again, editor of the Methodist Historical Society.

We extend to you, Bishop Harmon, our good wishes for your good health.

Response—Bishop Harmon

Bishop Harmon: Thank you so much, Brother Smith. You and I have been in many General Conferences together. We have mostly

been on opposite sides, but we have learned to love each other through the years.

I do appreciate the opportunity to preside over this General Conference. Some other Bishops have rather commiserated themselves, but frankly, I like to preside. You nearly made me change my mind, but if all the Bishops had to do was to preside over Conferences and dedicate Churches, that would be a lane into heaven that leads from a dream.

We have administration in care of Churches, and the Methodist Bishop stands as he runs his office and the way we establish our Conference.

This gavel was presented to me by the Bethel Church in Charleston, South Carolina. I am going to put it among my souvenirs, reminding me of a nice morning in Pittsburgh in which the General Conference was in session. You are one of the three or four most important ecclesiastical bodies on the face of the earth, the most important in this hemisphere. There is nothing like it. Don't forget, Brethren, we are the Church of God in council, exchanging wisdom with one another and trying to do the work of God.

We have had our announcements, and unless there is a special matter, I will call Bishop Barbieri.

Motion to Adjourn—Claude Garrison

Claude Garrison (Ohio—NC): I move that we adjourn until 3:00 o'clock.

Bishop Harmon: The motion is that when we adjourn, we adjourn until 3:00 o'clock. If you would so order, lift your hand. Down. Opposed? The Chair does not think you fixed that formal time. That was the time we normally fixed?

Two-thirty was the time we normally fixed. So you will meet back at this time now.

Benediction—Bishop Barbieri

Bishop Harmon: Bishop Barbieri of Brazil will give us the benediction.

The benediction was given in Portuguese.

NINTH DAY, TUESDAY, MAY 5, 1964
AFTERNOON SESSION

Pursuant to adjournment the 1964 session of the General Conference convened in the afternoon session of the Ninth Day, Tuesday, May 5, 1964 at 3 P.M., Bishop W. Angie Smith presiding.

Hymn No. 180, "Breathe on Me, Breath of God," was sung.

Bishop Smith: Bishop Rockey will lead us in prayer.

Bishop Rockey: Let us be still and hear the voice of the Lord.

We thank Thee, our Father in Heaven, for the blessings that Thou hast given to us; in our working together, and how Thou hast brought about Thy will among us, even in the face of personal conflicts among us.

Make us more conscious of the fact that Thou art still willing to lead us and guide us, to help us where we have made mistakes. Grant Thy blessing at this time, we pray, and give us consciousness of Thy presence as we go through the work that is before us, we pray in Jesus' name. Amen.

Bishop Smith: I recognize Dr. J. Otis Young, the Chairman of the Agenda Committee.

Agenda Committee Report—J. Otis Young

J. Otis Young (Ohio—NC): Mr. Chairman, the agenda, of course, as you well know for this afternoon, will remain as printed in the Advocate since this was an order of the day.

The Committee on Agenda has suggested that there be no change in the Agenda for the evening, namely, reporting from legislative committees.

It has been suggested that your Committee on Agenda advise the General Conference of the items that will be coming up tomorrow morning.

At 9:15, report of the Committee on Worship being established as the order of the day.

MCOR, Methodist Corporation, Report No. 1, Committee on Rules, Committee on Family Life, report of Historical Association, joint statement from the Board of Hospitals and Homes and the Board of Christian Social Concerns. Should we run on schedule, that would bring us to 11:30. The remainder of the morning would be devoted to reports from legislative committees.

Mr. Chairman, this will receive our action tomorrow morning. It is given at this time as a matter of information.

I should like to have Bishop Short read the nominations at this time.

Nominations From Council of Bishops—Bishop Roy Short

Bishop Roy H. Short: Before I read these nominations, there are two corrections in nominations previously made for committees. The first, R. C. Holmes of Florida, on the Commission on Entertainment and Program in place of Paul Duffey, and L. Stacey Weaver of North Carolina on the Committee on Rules in place of D. W. Brooks.

Bishop Smith: Were they elected or just for information?

Bishop Short: They were elected.

Bishop Smith: It will be necessary, then, for them to be elected.

Bishop Short: I think so.

Bishop Smith: If you would elect these two in place of those mentioned, you will raise the hand. Opposition? It is done.

Bishop Short: The following nominations:

For the Board of Pensions, Bishop John Wesley Lord.

For the Executive Committee of the Historical Society: J. B. Cain, Mississippi; Max R. Clark, North Iowa; Joseph C. Evers, Southern Illinois; W. Guy Smeltzer, Western Pennsylvania; Warren Jenkins, South Carolina—C; R. E. L. Bearden, Little Rock.

Christian Vocations: Bishop Paul F. Galloway, Bishop Fred G. Holloway, Bishop John Owen Smith, a new Bishop from the North Central Jurisdiction, a new Bishop from the Central Jurisdiction, a new Bishop from the Western Jurisdiction.

Commission on Worship: Bishop Donald H. Tippett; a new Bishop to be chosen later; Warren Bugbee, Western Pennsylvania; Austin Lovelace, New York; W. R. Cannon, North Georgia; Virgil Eady, North Georgia; M. D. McCollom, South Carolina; Frederick Hall, Louisiana—C; Marvin Schilling, East Wisconsin; Mrs. J. L. McAdam, Central Illinois; Joseph D. Quillian, Jr., North Texas; Mrs. Floyd W. Rigg, Missouri East; Will Hildebrand, Southern California-Arizona; J. Robert Hammond, Rocky Mountain.

At large: W. F. Dunkle, Peninsula; Lycurgus Starkey, Missouri West; Howard Coble, Western North Carolina.

The next list, Mr. Chairman is nominations.

Board of Trustees: Bishop Hazen G. Werner; Don Cooke, Florida; Alexander K. Smith, Philadelphia; Reber Boult, Tennessee; Arch M. Bobbitt, Indiana; Arnold Johnson, Kentucky; Vincent M. Mouser, Louisiana.

Bishop Smith: If you will elect these, raise the hand. Opposition lift the hand. They are elected.

Bishop Short: *Commission on Chaplains:* Bishop W. Angie Smith; Bishop Fred P. Corson; Bishop Walter C. Gum; Bishop Charles F. Golden; Bishop Richard C. Raines; Bishop Gerald Kennedy; Charles I. Carpenter, Peninsula; James V. Claypool, New England Southern; Chester Pennington, Minnesota; A. Purnell Bailey, Virginia; William L. Hattaway, Texas; Earl N. Contee, Washington; Randolph Wood, Southern California-Arizona; John W. Whatley, North Georgia; Jim Egan, Oklahoma; Allen Whitfield, South Iowa.

They are to be elected, Mr. Chairman.

Bishop Smith: If you will elect these, lift the hand. Opposition, lift the hand. They are elected.

I recognize Mrs. Charles Mead of Nebraska for a matter of high privilege.

Matter of High Privilege—Mrs. Charles W. Mead

Mrs. Charles W. Mead (Nebraska—SC): Bishop Smith, and members of the Conference, I am delighted to find during the noon hour that *Time* Magazine carried a picture of Bishop Kennedy and a five page article on The Methodist Church in its current issue. Since Bishop Kennedy is recognized throughout the Church as a devoted minister and an effective Bishop of our Church, *Time* honors The Methodist Church in honoring him whom many of us agree is the best preacher in the world.

Thank you.

Parliamentary Clarification—Monk Bryan

Monk Bryan (Missouri East—SC): Bishop Smith, I implore your guidance for the parliamentary propriety of this. It is for clarification. I would like to state it and ask if the motion is in order.

Bishop Smith: State it.

Mr. Bryan: I move that this Conference clarify its action of the morning in adopting the Amendment by Dr. W. Astor Kirk by putting into our minutes that our action is advisory to and not mandatory upon the Commission on Church Union. Am I in order?

Bishop Smith: I would have to rule that you would have to move for reconsideration and I could answer your question if reconsidered. Otherwise, I would have no right to do so at this time.

Mr. Bryan: Then, sir, I do move that we reconsider only for this point of a clarification statement.

Bishop Smith: Will you hold that until after the special privileges?

Mr. Bryan: I apologize. I didn't know you had others. I apologize.

Special Privilege—D. Trigg James, Sr.

D. Trigg James, Sr. (Holston—SE): Mr. Chairman, I ask a special privilege to present at this time Report No. 6 of the Committee on Conferences, Calendar 8, Page 183, and I read it. It is only a paragraph. The Committee recommends that the General Conference provide for simultaneous translations as may be practical and necessary to accommodate the delegates. The General Conference would be enriched if non-English speaking delegates were provided such translations, whether it could be done this year, Mr. Chairman, or not. A number of members from overseas have not been able to understand English and this constitutes a real problem for their participation in the Conference.

I move adoption.

Bishop Smith: What are you asking for now?

Mr. James: That the General Conference provide for simultaneous translations.

Bishop Smith: This reference would have to be referred to the Committee on Entertainment in its plan.

If you would hold that then until we come to a privilege, that is, not a matter—if you will hold that until later, I will be glad to recognize it.

Mr. James: I move adoption of this report, then, at this time, and the privilege is in getting it before us now.

Bishop Smith: You can't offer that report because we have a point of order—I mean an order of the day, and unless you move suspension of the rules to get the matter before us.

Mr. James: I was instructed by one here that I thought knew we—that this could be considered the high privilege since it involves overseas delegates.

Bishop Smith: Unfortunately, he is not presiding. I wish he were. I must rule that it will have to come later.

I recognize Mr. William Veale for a privileged matter.

Privileged Matter—William H. Veale

William H. Veale (New York East—NE): I am from the New York East Conference. I am an alternate delegate and a member of the press.

As some members of the press are leaving for other assignments, we wish to express our appreciation to Methodist Information for their gracious attention to our every need. Our slightest wish was an order to them. Courtesies of every kind, thoughtful consideration on every phase of our work. We appreciated particularly the refreshments provided for us; hot coffee, and an ample quantity of home baked cookies, even one delicious chocolate cake makes us happy indeed.

Doubtless mention of our services will be made later. We have typed hundreds of thousands of words in our reports, kept two Western Union telegraphers rushed to wire our copy. What has been our duty has also been our pleasure.

To Dr. West, Mrs. O'Neal, and all the staff our grateful thanks.

This is signed by members of the Press.

Motion to Send Greetings to Dr. George L. Morelock—D. Stewart Patterson

D. Stewart Patterson (Baltimore—NE): I move you, sir, that the Secretary of the General Conference send fraternal greetings to Dr. George L. Morelock, one of the more eminent laymen of the Church who, for more than 20 years was the General Secretary of the General Board of Lay Activities, and did much to bring the lay movement to the center of the Church.

Bishop Smith: Is there a second?

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Smith: Those in favor, lift a hand. Opposition? It is done. (DCA, page 492.)

Dr. Bryan: I certainly thank you, sir. I did my best during the lunch hour to ascertain the interpretation of this and was not able. I understand this is rather consistently interpreted a certain way. I think my motion is unnecessary, and I thank you for the moment.

Bishop Smith: Then you withdraw your request?

Dr. Bryan: Yes, I do.

Bishop Smith: Then we come to the Order of the Day which is the consideration of the Report of the Coordinating Council, and if I understood correctly, we had passed down to No. 4 on page 21 of the Report No. 2, and we began with No. 4.

Is that correct, Mr. Chairman?

Mr. Hickman: That is correct.

Bishop Smith: You will proceed, then.

Coordinating Council Report No. 2 Presented—Leon E. Hickman

Leon E. Hickman (Western Pennsylvania—NE): We resume consideration for two hours and fifteen minutes of the report of the Coordinating Council. We are on Report No. 2, page 21, column 2, Legislative 4, well toward the bottom of the column 2 on that page.

I may say in general, in an effort to save your time and not impose on your patience, I shall speak briefly on each item and be as forthright as I know how in telling you what the language is and what we are attempting to accomplish.

Insofar as possible where we are able to anticipate opposition to our position, and when we know that other committees have pro-

posals which are different, we have attempted to negotiate with these committees in the last 24 hours. Several times during the course of the afternoon I shall ask you to suspend the rules, if you are willing, in order that we may have contrary views brought before us at the same time and thereby save a lot of wear and tear on all of us. However, there is no such situation with respect to Item 4 which is the first one before us.

Item 4 involves an Amendment to Paragraph 436, Section 3 of the *Discipline* by adding a new subparagraph 3 and a new subparagraph 4, the first of which starts on the bottom line of that second column, page 21, and it continues on to the third column.

The subject matter of this legislative item 4 is the retirement of Bishops. The present procedure permits a Bishop to retire at his Jurisdictional Conference, but only at that time. The purpose of our subsection 3—our new material—is to permit a Bishop to retire at any time, not simply at the time of the Jurisdictional Conference, but at any time during the quadrennium.

You will notice that we have carefully safeguarded that position by providing that he write to the President of the College of Bishops, his retirement must be approved by the Committee on Episcopacy, and the College of Bishops, and then, if they both consent, he can be retired between sessions of the Jurisdictional Conference.

It seems to us that this is in the interest of the Bishop and of the Jurisdictional Conference.

Before I move the adoption of that Section, I will explain for you what is in the next paragraph and move them separately if there are separate considerations.

Our subdivision 4 of Paragraph 436 provides for the involuntary retirement of a Bishop under the very carefully circumscribed circumstances set forth in that proposed paragraph. The initiation of an involuntary retirement is in the hands of one third of the members of the College of Bishops, the Bishop affected not being counted; or it is in the hands of the Jurisdictional Committee on Episcopacy by a majority vote. In either event, if they believe there is a health impairment so that the Bishop is no longer able to perform his full work load or render an effective service, and the Bishop does not wish to retire, the College of Bishops and the Jurisdictional Committee on Episcopacy will hold a joint meeting to consider the matter. They vote separately and a majority vote of each is required. If they determine it is to the best interest of the Church that the Bishop retire because of a health impairment, they both so vote, then inform him. The Bishop either accepts that judgment, or, if he disagrees with it, he may request an examination by a panel of three doctors, not including his own physician. That is explained in this section.

If the Bishop declines to submit to the medical examination and has previously declined to accept the suggestion that he retire, he can be retired by the joint and several actions of the College of Bishops and the Committee on Episcopacy of the Jurisdiction.

Of course, if the panel of three doctors should indicate there is no impairment, presumably that would completely influence the College of Bishops and the Committee on Episcopacy. That is all spelled out in more accurate language than I have given, but the bones of these two new paragraphs are to provide for the voluntary retirement of Bishops during the quadrennium and instead of limiting that right to this session of the Jurisdictional Conference.

The second of the two paragraphs provides for involuntary retirement for health under the circumstances I tried to detail and this is set forth in full.

I move the adoption of the first two provisions which is subsection 3 of the revised Paragraph 436, the one dealing with the voluntary

retirement of Bishops at any time during the quadrennium. I move its adoption.

Motion to Limit Speeches Adopted—Sterling F. Wheeler

Sterling F. Wheeler (Southwest Texas—SC): Mr. Chairman, I move that speeches on all matters before us this afternoon be limited to three minutes.

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Smith: All those in favor, lift the hand. Opposition? We are limited to three minutes. (DCA, page 493.)

Inquiry—Lester L. Moore

Lester L. Moore (South Iowa—NC): I have a question to ask the Chairman of this section. Does Section 3, as it relates to voluntary retirement at age 65 or thereafter, in Section 3, does this mean if a Bishop retires and holds himself in readiness to serve if called upon, he will continue to draw the full Episcopal salary that we voted until age 72?

Mr. Hickman: No. That provision was carefully limited to the mandatory retirement age that was voted yesterday.

Mr. Moore: If he retires voluntarily at the age of 65, or up to the age of 68, he then is not eligible to be called on by the Council of Bishops for service.

Mr. Hickman: I didn't answer that. I don't know the answer to that. The point is, he does not continue to draw full pay under the provisions which we voted on yesterday.

Report Adopted

Bishop Smith: Are you ready to vote? All in favor, lift the hand. Opposition? It is done. Next? (DCA, page 493.)

Motion to Adopt Proposed Section 4 of Report—Leon E. Hickman

Mr. Hickman: I move the adoption of the proposed Section 4, Paragraph 436 dealing with the involuntary retirement of Bishops.

Bishop Smith: Is there a second?

The motion was seconded.

Inquiry—Edwin E. Reeves

Edwin E. Reeves (Southern California-Arizona—W): Is there any legislation that tells when the term of one Jurisdictional Committee on Episcopacy ends and its successor begins so they would know which committee would sit?

Mr. Hickman: It is my understanding—I am not fully sure of this, and the Chair can correct me, but I believe the Committee on Episcopacy continues until the calendar year in which the next Jurisdictional meeting is held. That is, the 1960 committee would continue through calendar year 1963.

Section 4 of Report Adopted

Bishop Smith: Is there another question? Are you ready to vote? All in favor, lift the hand. Opposition? It is done. (DCA, page 494.)

Legislative Item No. 5 Presented—Leon E. Hickman

Mr. Hickman: I think we are going to make progress this afternoon.

We move now to legislative item 5, bottom of third column on page 21. This provision amends paragraph 437 of the *Discipline* to give a retired Bishop a vote in the Council of Bishops. They have the right to participate in discussion, but do not have the right to vote.

I know that they feel keenly that this gives them a second-class status. We have considered it. There are things to be said on both sides. On the other hand, it seems to us that the controlling considerations having the right to speak and participate, it doesn't really accomplish anything except it is something of a slight to deny them the right to vote. We therefore recommend that Paragraph 437 be amended as we have provided in the words which begin at the top of the first column on page 422, and the effect of the Amendment being to give him the right to vote.

Amendment Proposed—Eugene L. Smith

Eugene L. Smith (Newark—NE): I should like to move Amendment, and if I have a second, speak to it.

Bishop Smith: Yes.

Dr. Smith: I regretfully move the Amendment to read: "He may participate in the Council without vote." If there is a second, I would like to speak to it.

Bishop Smith: Seconded.

Statement Supporting Amendment—Eugene L. Smith

Dr. Smith: I cannot think of a time more difficult for me to speak to this, when cherished friends move into the retired relationship, especially one on whose wisdom I have leaned and to whom I have gone for personal counsel this week, which has been very valuable to me, but unhappily there is a conflict between the needs of the Church and the prerogatives of the clergy.

Two great leaders of this Church have felt this strongly, Bishop Oxnam and Bishop McConnell. Bishop Oxnam talked to me about this several times and I would not be true to his memory if I were now silent.

This was proposed in 1948. Bishop Oxnam told me it would have gone through except that Bishop McConnell asked enough delegates, "Do you know what this means? That the General Conference then sustain the action of the Conference before, saying without vote."

The reason these Bishops felt so strongly about this, then, was this: A Church facing rapid and unpredictable change, and the percentage in the Council of Bishops who would be in retired relations voting on these new questions would be greater than the other members who would vote.

Changes are more rapid today than at that time in 1948. We have taken an action which changes the percentage of men in the retired relationship.

At the beginning of the present quadrennium, of the Bishops resident in the United States, 36 per cent are in the retired relationship. The percentage is about the same for all those around the

world. This is a group likely to be at the meeting of the Council of Bishops.

We have now lowered the retirement age. It is possible that the figure of those voting would be 45 per cent in the retired relationship. Every person here would regret denying to these men more loved, and more honored, rightly, than anybody in the Church, any privilege whatsoever, but I remind you of the unrest there would be in an Annual Conference if an Annual Conference found itself voting upon new and unpredictable changes in the future with 45 per cent of those men who are voting being in the retired relationship.

With exceeding regret and personal unhappiness at the necessity of making this speech, because I believe the welfare of the Church is involved, because I am trying to be faithful to Bishop Oxnam, whom I so loved, I hope the General Conference will sustain the action of the General Conference of 1948.

Statement Opposing Amendment—Chester A. Smith

Chester A. Smith (New York—NE): Mr. Chairman and members of the General Conference, I am here to support the report of the Committee. I believe it would be very unfortunate not to give the retired Bishops the right to vote. I talked this matter over with Bishop Straughn four years ago, and he felt very badly that he could not vote in the Council of Bishops because of his age. I feel very strongly that it is improper to deny a man who is a retired Bishop, who is able to speak and who is able to vote, it is a very unfair thing to deny him the right to vote simply because of his age.

I think we ought to reach the point where we will not disenfranchise these great men who have served the Church so adequately and so beautifully, to put them in an unfortunate position where they are not taken care of.

What happens to our retired preachers at the Annual Conferences? They have a right to vote with relation to the questions which come before the Annual Conference.

They have that right although they are over 72, and so I think when you take a man like Bishop Straughn, when you take a man like Bishop Nolan, in his prime in my opinion, mentally and every other way, it would be a most unfortunate thing if this General Conference did not concur with the report and take a fair treatment for these men. I hope that this will prevail.

Statement Favoring Amendment—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley (New York—NE): I should like to speak in favor of the Amendment. We are not questioning the judgment or integrity or wisdom of the retired members of the Episcopacy. We are calling attention to what I think is an elemental and absolutely necessary principle, namely, that of a vote being tied in with direct responsibility for implementation. This is the principle I plead for. I find that it is ignored in the recommendation of the Committee. I find that it is protected in the Amendment. Therefore, I shall sustain the Amendment.

Statement Opposing Amendment—John C. Satterfield, Sr.

John C. Satterfield, Sr. (Mississippi—SE): May I say that I find myself in a somewhat unusual position of completely agreeing with my friend, Chester A. Smith?

It does seem to me that we need the benefit of the wisdom and

experience of our fathers who have led our Church for so many years.

We are in trying times. We know we need those who have been through the active work of the Church, who have gained through their service and experience an ability to reach valid judgments and to help lead the Church for that which is best for it and the cause of Christ.

Inquiry—Robert H. Courtney

Robert H. Courtney (North-East Ohio—NC): I wonder, Mr. Chairman, if I might ask the maker of the motion if he was including the fact that if a Bishop is assigned to an area, he then has a right to vote, or if he was just making it without vote, period?

Answer to Inquiry—Eugene L. Smith

Dr. Eugene Smith: According to the top of page 22 which was the recommended motion and was amended, he is not given the right to vote according to this.

Bishop Smith: It changes the original paragraph.

Dr. Smith: It would be my hope, if he were assigned to an area, he would be allowed to vote.

Statement by Chairman of Committee—Leon E. Hickman

Leon E. Hickman (Western Pennsylvania—NE): As I understand Dr. Smith's Amendment, he returns to what is now the legislation, Paragraph 437, subparagraph 1. It has been my experience, if I have a right to talk and participate in the discussion, I don't care whether I vote or not. I don't think it is realistic to think we accomplish anything but a status symbol in depriving the man the right to vote if he has the right to participate in the discussion.

This is not something on which our program depends. As far as the Coordinating Council is concerned, we recommended this and were quite relaxed and willing to take the judgment of the house.

Amendment Adopted

Bishop Smith: If you vote for the Amendment, it will be restored as it is. All those in favor of the Amendment, lift the hand. Those opposed will lift the hand. Well, I think the Amendment carries. (DCA, page 495.)

We are where we were. It becomes the original motion. If you favor the original motion, you will lift your hand. Opposed? It carries. (DCA, page 495.)

The Amendment carried and therefore it is now the main motion, and it carried.

Inquiry—Leon E. Hickman

Leon E. Hickman (Western Pennsylvania—NE): As a matter of inquiry, are we not back to 437, subparagraph 1, exactly as it is italicized at the bottom of page 21, line 3?

Inquiry—Joseph E. Lowery

Joseph E. Lowery (Central Alabama—C): My question is this, Bishop. You just made the statement we are back where we were

on the original paragraph. I would like to call your attention to the fact that the original paragraph provided, at the very bottom of the paragraph, that if a Bishop were assigned to an area, he could vote in the meetings of the Bishops, but the new paragraph as Amended by Dr. Smith's motion, I believe, does not provide that the retired Bishop may vote in meetings of Bishops, even though he is assigned a vacancy in the Episcopal area.

It seems this is the intention of the Amendment, and I hope it is. We should reconsider this matter with the purpose of adding a provision that he shall vote if he is appointed to an area.

If I am in order, I move reconsideration for that purpose.

Bishop Smith: I will ask the Secretary to read the Amendment and see if that is the intent.

Dr. Smith, listen to this reading, please, and see if this is the content of the Amendment.

Amendment Read by Secretary—Leon T. Moore

Dr. Moore: The Amendment reads this way, Mr. Chairman: "He may participate in the Council of Bishops," amended to read, "He may participate in the Council of Bishops without vote."

Mr. Hickman: I think we agree the gentleman who has the floor is right. What we have done is to adopt the section as it appears at the top of page 22, column 1, so that under no circumstances would a retired Bishop have the right to vote, even though he may have been assigned to an area.

Motion to Reconsider—Joseph E. Lowery

Mr. Lowery: I move to reconsider the Amendment just adopted.

Bishop Smith: Is there a second?

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Smith: All those in favor of reconsideration, let them lift the hand. Opposed? It is reconsidered. (DCA, page 495.)

Motion to Amend—Joseph E. Lowery

Mr. Lowery: I move, at the bottom of the page, beginning with "He may preside over an Annual Conference, Provisional Annual Conference, or Mission, make appointments and vote in the meetings of the Bishops."

Bishop Smith: The Amendment is before you. Is there a second?

The motion was seconded.

Inquiry—Mrs. Haskel E. Arterburn

Mrs. Haskel E. Arterburn (Louisville—SE): I have a question. It seems to me the Amendment was for Paragraph 437.1, not for 437.4, and 437.4 is still in effect on the basis of what is here, so that Dr. Smith's motion or amendment was, in effect, to change subparagraph 1, but in the balance of this, you will see it says Paragraph 436.4 which means this is still included.

Bishop Smith: Just a moment. Let the Chairman explain that, please.

I explained that that included the entire matter, but the Amendment changed that.

Motion to Substitute—John R. Van Sickle

John R. Van Sickle (Rock River—NC): I move that we substitute and leave the *Discipline* 437.1 as it is, in place of all these other Amendments.

Bishop Smith: Is there a second?

The motion was seconded.

Clarification of Procedure—Erwin H. Schwiebert

Erwin H. Schwiebert (Idaho—W): May I simply make a suggestion that if the motion to amend that was originally made from the Chair were defeated, the same result would have been obtained as moving the various Amendments. All we needed to do was defeat the original.

Bishop Smith: It has already passed.

The substitution is before you. If you will substitute, you will lift the hand. Down. Opposed? The substitution now becomes the original.

If you will adopt the original as substituted, then you will lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 495.)

Coordinating Council Report No. 2 Continued—Leon E. Hickman

Mr. Hickman: I am sorry for my part in that.

On Legislative Item No. 6, on page 22, column 1, this recommends a new subparagraph in Paragraph 439 of the *Discipline*, the effect of which would be to make possible the election of the Bishop to replace one who may have died or resigned within the first 24 months of a quadrennium. It permits the College of Bishops to call a special meeting of the Jurisdictional Conference to elect a Bishop, if the vacated office is within the first 24 months.

The reasoning is set out in the material that is before you. I would suppose it is noncontroversial. I move its adoption.

Bishop Smith: Is there a second?

The motion was seconded.

Legislative Item No. 6 Adopted

Bishop Smith: Are you ready? All in favor, lift the hand. Down. Opposed? Carried. (DCA, page 495.)

Legislative Item No. 7 Presented—Leon E. Hickman

Mr. Hickman: Legislative Item No. 7 relates to the making of special appointments. The present *Discipline*, Paragraph 432, Sections 4 and 5, spells out the situations in which a special appointment may be made. Subsection 4 deals with the special appointments to Methodist Agencies and subsection 5 to non-Methodist agencies.

If you want a working illustration of what the word "accretion" means study the *Discipline* as we now have it. That has been built up over many years. It is a detailed list of situations in which a spe-

cial appointment may be made. Our proposed revision, set forth in the middle of the first column, page 22, in two subparagraphs, simply substitutes general language permitting a Bishop to make the special appointments. In the first case, it is to Methodist agencies, in the second case to non-Methodist agencies, in the latter case on recommendation by the District Superintendent and by two-thirds vote of the Annual Conferences.

Before I submit these two for a vote, there is one editorial change that we should act on. In the third line, 432.4, which now reads "On the recommendation of," the Coordinating Council thinks it would be better to substitute "after consultation with," and then it continues and after consultation with the District Superintendent, and so forth.

Bishop Smith: On common consent, the words are changed.

Motion to Adopt Legislative Item No. 7

Mr. Hickman: With that editorial revision, I move the adoption of both subparagraphs 4 and 5 of the proposed revision of Paragraph 432.

Inquiry—Robert H. Courtney

Robert H. Courtney (North-East Ohio—NC): I am Robert Courtney, North-East Ohio. On No. 5, Mr. Chairman, where we incur no financial responsibility for special appointments, there are appointments where the Council of Church Secretaries under this category are in these positions and are members of reserve pension funds. The responsibility for the share of the organization is carried by the Council of Churches, but is this a fact in which we are involved in terms of the Church bearing a responsibility for the pension since they are under a reserve pension fund?

Mr. Hickman: I don't know whether I can answer that. Is Dr. Calkins here to answer that?

Bishop Smith: Dr. Calkins, would you come to No. 10, please?

Answer—Charles L. Calkins

Dr. Charles L. Calkins (Board of Pensions): The responsibilities rest back upon the agency. The agency is called upon to pay the 9 per cent contribution, and the Annual Conference does not pay it. The answer is that there is no responsibility on the part of the Conference.

Bishop Smith: Thank you sir. Are you ready for the question? All in favor will lift the hand. Down. Opposition? It is done. (DCA, page 496.)

Legislative Item No. 9 Presented—Leon E. Hickman

Mr. Hickman: We are too unanimous for our own good. I am coming to one where we will not be unanimous, I am afraid. I am going to pass number 8 and move to Legislative Item 9, page 22, bottom of column one, and—The Coordinating Council recommends that no change be made by the 1964 General Conference in the ratio of Bishops to Church members insofar as Jurisdictional Conferences are concerned.

The Legislative Committee on Conferences is going to report out at a different position. In order to save your time, the house willing, we recommend this procedure: That the Coordinating Council will move the Legislative Item 9. We will recommend that Dr. Trigg

James, Chairman of the Committee on Conferences, be recognized from the podium to offer the position of his legislative committee as a substitute; that McFerrin Stowe speak for the Coordinating Council from the podium, and Dr. Trigg James, also from the podium for his point of view, and the matter will be before you for an orderly presentation of both points of view. I move adoption of Item 9.

Bishop Smith: Item 9 is before you. This is a substitute.

Motion to Substitute—D. Trigg James, Sr.

D. Trigg James, Sr. (Holston—SE): Mr. Chairman, members of the Conference, if you will turn, please, to page 350 in the *Daily Christian Advocate*, calendar item 28, report No. 24 of the Committee on Conferences, Legislative Committee on Conferences. . . .

I move as a substitute for the paragraph in the report just presented this recommended ratio for the election of Bishops in the Church. The recommendation is to change, in paragraph 439 so that the first number, 500,000 becomes 400,000; the next number 500,000 becomes 300,000, and then delete the item "or major fraction thereof." And there was an error in the printing, either in the material that got to the printer or it was incorrect, but it was acted in this wise, and we should change the second item under the special provision on 70,000 square miles, where two items in your *Discipline* Paragraph 439, 400,000 occurs, the second one is changed to 300,000.

This simply means that a Jurisdiction with 750,000 members or less would be entitled to five Bishops, that they would be entitled—each Jurisdiction would be entitled to an additional Bishop for each additional 300,000, with no fraction thereof involved, and in the 70,000 square-mile special privilege situation, they would have five Bishops for the first 400,000 and an additional Bishop for 300,000, each 300,000 thereafter in this larger situation.

Am I permitted now to speak? Can I support this?

Bishop Smith: Yes.

Dr. James: This was presented with very strong Memorials from a number of Conferences and a number of sections of the Church. The arguments, or at least some of the arguments that have been presented in support of this Recommendation from the Committee on Conferences. Problem of the Episcopal area arrangement, as under the present ratio, in a number of the Jurisdictions is very difficult, if not impossible, in this present ratio.

A number of situations were brought to the attention of the committee with sufficient data presented to satisfy at least a majority of the members of the committee that they should be done on the basis of that argument.

The amount of work in the total program, the administration of all activities of the Episcopal area in extremely large areas, in a number of these Jurisdictions, makes the relief available under this proposal in our substitute very desirable in the best interests of the Church.

I would like to state in the next place what this proposal would do in the actual situations on the basis of membership at the present time.

It would make the North Central Jurisdiction entitled to one more Bishop than at the present time; the Northeastern one additional Bishop; the Western, under the provision now, there would be no change in the number at this time; in the Southeastern it would make only one additional Bishop available beyond that which is now available at this time under the present ratio. There would be no change in the South Central. There would be no change, also, in the Central Jurisdiction. It would actually entitle three Jurisdictions one

new Bishop, or a total of three Bishops for the entire Church at this time.

The arguments, the committee felt, were indeed convincing, and this substitution is presented, therefore, with the sincere hope that as it is considered by this body that the arguments and this proposal will be given consideration on the basis of the justification involved. Thank you, sir.

Statement Relative to Study of the Episcopacy—W. McFerrin Stowe

W. McFerrin Stowe (Oklahoma—SC): At the 1960 General Conference the Coordinating Council was asked to make a study of the Episcopacy. This study has been made. The report is found in your Advocate to some degree, and in a larger amount in the green book.

In the study which was made by persons we thought were very competent in this field, we come to the conclusion, historically, that The Methodist Church's Episcopacy is a general superintendency and not a diocesan superintendency. It was so when it was first set by Mr. Wesley, and has been so ever since.

If you turn to pages 30 and 31 in the green book, you will find something of the rationale underlying the conclusion which was reached by the Coordinating Council. In this, on page 30, you will find one statement which I will read in part. "Only a minority of the Bishops thought it would be advisable to increase the number of areas in the United States, especially in the light of expected developments in the next quadrennium."

At the bottom of page 31, I would like to read to you a conclusion which was reached in this study which was made. It is No. 3 at the bottom of page 31. "There has been on the average a steady decrease since 1940 in the number of Annual Conferences, districts, and charges per Bishop. The trend, if continued, has profound implications for the role of the Bishop, the position of a District Superintendent in The Methodist Church. Unless the Methodist episcopacy becomes much more localized, it would seem inadvisable to increase considerably the number of Bishops."

Our Methodist system, as you know, is different from the system of other Churches in that we do have District Superintendents and these men are more directly related to the local church and they, in turn, have a responsibility more closely related to the Bishop. Historically, we do not feel that we should continue the trend which we have been moving in, but we should keep a general superintendency, we feel for the reasons you will find written there on pages 30 and 31.

This is historical. What is the present situation? Let me call to your attention the fact that we have voted for union with the Evangelical United Brethren Church. When this takes place, it seems probable there will be seven Bishops from the Evangelical United Brethren Church coming into and becoming part of the Episcopacy of the combined churches. Of this number—there are 755,000 members in the Evangelical United Brethren Church. Of this number, two will go into the Northeastern Jurisdiction, two into the North Central Jurisdiction, one to the Southeastern Jurisdiction, one to the South Central Jurisdiction, and one to the Western Jurisdiction. That is seven new Bishops within the body as united.

Also, we have voted and we intend to do away with the Central Jurisdiction. There are five Bishops to be absorbed in what are now the geographical jurisdictions, one of these into the Northeastern, one into the North Central, one into the South Central, and two into the Southeastern; which gives a total increase of Bishops within

four years, possibly, of three additional Bishops in the Northeastern, three additional Bishops in the North Central, two additional Bishops in the South Central, three additional Bishops in the Southeastern and one in the Western, making a total of twelve additional Bishops with an increase of membership from these groups and these jurisdictions of 1,100,000 which means more than a Bishop added for each 100,000 persons.

One other additional item, and this is not primary. We have asked the World Service Commission to give us an estimate of cost. The estimate is that the new Bishop cost $33,200, counting everything, but not counting the expense of certain aspects of this and their statement I will read, the total expense, therefore, for the four new areas, the three you are to have to take away from this, would probably be from $140,000 to $150,000 a year. In a quadrennium this would be close to a half million dollars for the three new areas.

Therefore, we feel that it would not be wise.

Thank you.

Statement for Clarification—Franklin Alton Flatt

Franklin Alton Flatt (Memphis—SE): We are one of the Conferences that would be affected by this arrangement. I would like to explain our situation. Memphis is the largest city in the Southeastern Jurisdiction. We are a very important Conference in what we do for World Service and Advance Specials. The record will show it.

We are a Conference bounded on the west by a different Jurisdiction. To the south of us is North Mississippi and Mississippi, two Conferences in that state. To the east of us is the Tennessee Conference and the Holston Conference, the Nashville Area. To the north is Kentucky with the Kentucky Conference and the Louisville Conference.

Anywhere we turn, we are a third Conference in an Area. We believe that no Bishop should have three Conferences while some Bishops have only one. We believe that we ought to have some way to resolve our problems, and this is the only way we can see how to do it. And I remind this Conference that this is not a substantial increase in the number of Bishops.

This special Legislation would only give us an additional three Bishops, as was pointed out by Dr. Trigg James. Therefore, we hope that you will sustain this motion and give us an additional Bishop to solve our problem down in this West Tennessee Area. We believe that a Bishop there would be a great help to Methodism and to our Conference.

Thank you.

Statement Against Amendment—G. Wesley Lewis

G. Wesley Lewis (Wyoming—NE): I want to speak against the Amendment. I want to sustain Dr. Stowe. I am sure we could provide for this gentleman that wants another Bishop because we have one left over.

By this Legislation, you would be creating a situation which is almost impossible for the Northeastern Jurisdiction. We understand and we recognize that we will, through this process of union and likewise the elimination of the Central Jurisdiction, inherit three Bishops. This is all right.

Now, we are going to make it possible to have an additional one, and we already have a provision for one that we have not implemented because we have too many already. We won't have two Con-

ferences apiece when we wind up. I think there are two things here we need to consider.

It is not only the cost of this, it is the trend toward the Diocesan method of administration. We believe that in the connectional system we should avoid this. I hope we will not be saddled with more Bishops than we need, and this is what will happen unless we sustain this action which is taken here.

Certainly our District is not crying for a need.

Statement Favoring Amendment—Offie L. Hathaway

Offie L. Hathaway (North Carolina—SE): I would like to speak in order to say to you I believe in the Bishops of the Church, as all of you do, especially when you need them. I want to say that we want to see help here in our growth area. No one has to elect a Bishop who doesn't want to elect him. We feel the need of a Bishop in our area. North Carolina has been a growing Conference. We established over 85 new Churches. We have moved from 287 appointments to 516 pastoral appointments. We established two new four-year colleges, graduating classes now, and brought all colleges up to date.

We have brought our people up to where we have in our Conference now 200,000 members. We share graciously and kindly with the Virginia Conference, a great Conference devoted to Methodism. We share one of the great Bishops of the Church, and we know that we have opportunity here. If you approve this, to give the areas that are growing an opportunity to elect a Bishop, we will be most happy.

The second thing is this. I want to say that we spoke a while ago about the expenses of a Bishop. I think the North Carolina Conference, with approximately $10,000,000—is it asking too much for us to have the supervision of a man for $30,000? What business in the world would quibble about hiring a man to direct an enterprise like that?

The third thing I want to say in regard to this is that we feel deeply that we, too, are in an area where we need help. We have a great conference in our state, in western North Carolina. We have south of us the South Carolina Conference to cover the whole state. We need this additional supervision. This is where our Bishop pays off when he comes to be with us. Many of our laymen love our Bishop, all Bishops. These laymen, when they have him come to their churches to dedicate the church, to engage in the activity of the church, they pour out to see him. When our Bishop crosses our Conference, he speaks to somewhere between 6,000 and 10,000 people during two weeks, and we feel the upsurge of this in this way. We need this close supervision.

I am not afraid of us having too much. Our difficulty is having too little. I ask you to help.

Previous Question Moved—John Persinger

John Persinger (Rocky Mountain—W): I move the previous question on all matters before us.

Bishop Smith: Previous question is moved. If you will sustain the previous question, raise the hand. Down. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 561.)

Inquiry—Edwin L. Jones, Sr.

Edwin L. Jones, Sr. (Western North Carolina—SE): My question is this: A great deal has been said about when we merge we will

get Bishops. My question is, when we merge, will we not also get conferences which need to be administered?

Bishop Smith: Thank you, sir. We will ask the speakers who have a right to speak at last to answer that question.

Dr. James has a right to speak.

Closing Statements—D. Trigg James, Sr.

D. Trigg James, Sr. (Holston—SE): Mr. Chairman, members of the Conference, I think not many speakers today have changed the mind of the Conference. I do not want to infringe on the pressure under which we are working, but I do think that I have definite answers to the argument presented by Dr. Stowe, a very good friend, and great preacher in Oklahoma City. He indicates that the Study Committee wrote in the report in which it would not be good to measurably—the words were "to increase considerably the number of Bishops in the Church."

I submit to you an increase of three Bishops, because of the growth of membership and because of the growing burden of administration on the part of our Episcopal leaders, is not a considerable increase in the number of Bishops which this proposal would provide.

The second thing, I would like to say is, it is not special legislation. It is an entitlement that goes to every Jurisdiction when the numbers of membership of the local churches in that Jurisdiction reach this figure.

It is true that the argument was made, and I think it was very compelling upon needs that this would relieve at the present time to the problems that are seen in the Church, because these problems are in at least two of the Jurisdictions very noticeable. It certainly does not mean that it is legislation to take care of the specific cases. It applies to all.

The point that we will increase the number of Bishops with merger with Evangelical United Brethren in the Central Jurisdiction, but this provision will make more possible the rearrangement of the Episcopal areas to take care of the increased number of Bishops beyond those now in office before that takes place. It does not create a difficulty; it does definitely provide for an easier solution to any problems, if they are called problems, of taking care of an increased number of Bishops by this method.

The third thing I would like to point out, it relates to this, the present plan of union with the Evangelical United Brethren provides for a 12-year span of adjustment, even in the change of boundary lines of Annual Conferences, and rearranging of those Episcopal areas, which does not mean this would be a sudden application upon the Church, but would provide for the ease with which this might be done.

I point out in the final place that the number of Bishops involved in these situations are not necessary. This is a provision that may be taken or may not. I do not feel that in our section of the Church we feel we have too many Bishops as my dear friend, Brother Lewis, feels about his section of the Church.

These men are the men that really lead the Church, and instead of talking about weakening the position of the Diocesan approach to the Episcopacy, this is not that at all. This is to provide for the leadership with burdens that are possible for these men to carry that will make The Methodist Church go forward. I hope that you will adopt this substitute for the original motion and will adopt it with a large majority.

Statement by Committee Chairman—Leon E. Hickman

Mr. Hickman: I knew we would get an argument going. This is a matter peculiarly within the judgment of the house. Nothing that the Coordinating Council is proposing will be upset either way you vote. However, it is the judgment of the Council that this would be the best churchmanship to make no change in our present plan of representation.

As Dr. Stowe pointed out, we will absorb over the next few years, in all probability, in our existing Conferences, seven Bishops from the Evangelical United Brethren Church, five from the Central Jurisdiction, and the Southeastern Jurisdiction, where this problem seems to be more acute, is entitled to a new Bishop on the basis of population increase even if you sustain our position.

I think basically it gets down to what you want the Episcopacy to be. We have 100 Conferences and close to 50 Bishops, and we seem to be moving toward a Bishop to a Conference or something of that sort. And, as one person has put it, in conversation, it seems to us to "ensmall" the Episcopacy to keep enlarging the number. From our best study, we don't believe it is necessary. I say, the judgment is entirely yours. We would vote to sustain the position of the Coordinating Council that no change be made.

Vote on Substitute

Bishop Smith: We are now ready to vote, and if you vote for the substitute, you will vote for the increase of the number of Bishops. If you vote against the substitute, it will come back to the motion from the Coordinating Council.

All in favor of the substitute will raise your hand.

A point of order. I am sorry, we are going to take a vote.

Point of Order

Loyd F. Worley (New York East—NE): Did someone move to suspend the rules for the consideration of the memorial brought before us by Dr. James that was printed in today's Advocate? The rules need to be suspended for its consideration, and if they have not been suspended, I hope I am in order to move their suspension for the proper vote to this.

Bishop Smith: It will be necessary for me to rule under the terms stated, the Chairman was permitting Dr. James to present this as a substitute, and a person has a right to present any substitute from the floor at any time even though it is not printed. I would have to rule that it is properly before you. The point of order is not well taken.

We will now vote. All in favor of the substitute will lift the hand. Down. Those opposed? I will rule that the substitute did not carry unless there is a question.

Do you want a count vote? Those of you who want a count vote will lift the hand. We will have a count vote, if the Secretary will please name the tellers.

Point of Order—Harold C. Buckingham

Harold C. Buckingham (Wyoming—NE): You did not ask for an opposite vote.

Bishop Smith: I did not because I considered that at least a sufficient number was there. If you want it, I shall be glad to do so.

Those opposed lift the hand. Will the tellers please be appointed? I rule that it is a count vote.

Count Vote Taken

Bishop Smith: Are the tellers in place? Those of you who favor the substitute to change the number of Bishops will please stand until you are counted.

If there are any on the platform, please count them, and any acting as secretaries, please be seated.

Those opposed to the substitute, please stand and be counted.

The vote will be announced by the Secretary.

Substitute Motion Defeated

Leon T. Moore: Mr. Chairman, members of the Conference, 800 votes were cast. For, 362; against, 438. The motion lost. (DCA, page 562.)

Legislative Item No. 9 Adopted

Bishop Smith: That means that Calendar No. 128, Report No. 24 of the Committee on Conferences is defeated. You are back now to the original motion which is No. 9 on page 22 at the bottom.

Is that correct, Brother Chairman?

You have No. 9. Are you ready to vote? All in favor, lift a hand. Opposed the same sign. It is done. (DCA, page 562.)

Legislative Item No. 10 Presented—Leon E. Hickman

Mr. Hickman: We move to Legislative Item No. 10. If I may make an explanation, and if I can have the sympathetic participation of the house, we can get through this simply and on an informal basis. If we become technical, we can become involved here for hours.

The subject matter of Legislative Item No. 10 concerns the Episcopal Committees of the Jurisdictional Conferences and the proposed Interjurisdictional Episcopal Committee which we discussed at some length in connection with the Constitutional Amendment yesterday.

We sensed in the discussions on the floor yesterday considerable opposition to our proposal that the Jurisdictional Episcopal Committee be reduced in size. It is presently based on Conference membership. We proposed in the material before you to base it on an area representation. We felt that that would improve the Jurisdictional Episcopal Committee which seems to the Coordinating Council to be too large. However, we don't think it is important enough to have floor discussion or a floor fight about and waste time.

We have been in steady conference with the Legislative Committee on Conferences since we had the floor yesterday, in an effort to work out legislation that would command wide support. This legislation is before you, but you will have to suspend the rules to consider it informally, if you will. If you will place your hand on the paper that was put on your desk at the morning recess, dated May 5, and entitled, "Supplementary Report from the Coordinating Council," you will find in something short of two pages a revision of 526 of the *Discipline*.

May I say the paper was printed in great abundance and the box it was in was left in Dr. Leon Moore's office this morning. I am sure the pages can get more copies there if they don't have them before them.

Motion to Suspend Rules—John R. Van Sickle

John R. Van Sickle (Rock River—NC): I move to suspend the rules to consider this matter.

Bishop Smith: Thank you, sir.

All in favor of suspending the rules will lift the hand. Down. Opposition. The rules are suspended. (DCA, page 562.)

Report Resumed—Leon E. Hickman

Mr. Hickman: I can make the oral explanation which you can listen to without the paper before you. Let me say that we are trying to rewrite Paragraph 526 of the *Discipline* to accomplish three things which I will mention in a minute. When we get through, Section 526 will look like this; it will consist of the paper that was put on your desk this morning and followed by the two paragraphs found on page 22 of the *Advocate* in the third column. You will have the pages that were put before you this morning, followed by the two paragraphs in the middle of the third column on page 22.

That is followed by the Arabic No. 2. The revised 526 in that form will accomplish three things: It leaves the Jurisdictional Committee on Episcopacy just as it is now, founded on Conferences. It makes this slight change. Instead of having the members of the Jurisdictional Committee on Episcopacy nominated by the Conferences and elected by the Jurisdictional Conference, you will see at the very beginning that they are to be elected by the Conferences. In other words, we want to be able to constitute the Jurisdictional Episcopal Committee before the Jurisdictional Conferences meet, because in all probability, the Jurisdictional Conferences will follow the General Conferences and we want the Episcopal Committees to be able to function.

The second thing we do is new. We comprise an interjurisdictional Committee on the Episcopacy composed of all Jurisdictional Committees on Episcopacy. We are working on the premise that that Committee is so important that it is nearly always the first one chosen, and in all probability practically every member of the Jurisdictional Committee is a member of the General Conference. We see no hardship in asking that all those Jurisdictional Committees comprise themselves into an Interjurisdictional Committee on the Episcopacy. They are directed by our legislation to meet at the General Conference not later than the fifth day of that Conference to organize. They are directed to set up an Executive Committee composed of two members from each of the Jurisdictions chosen by those Jurisdictional delegations. They are directed to elect a President, a Vice President and a Secretary, so that the Executive Committee will be composed of two members from each of the Jurisdictions and three officers. The only function of the Interjurisdictional Committee—and I am reading from the third line of the second page of the paper that was put on your desk this morning—is to conduct consultations in connection with Episcopal Transfers. They have no authority beyond that. If they negotiate any transfer of Bishops across Jurisdictional lines, then the matter goes back to the several Jurisdictional Committees and to the Jurisdictional Conferences. Under this legislation, if a Bishop were to cross Jurisdictional lines, it not only requires his consent, it requires the consent of the Episcopal Committees involved and the consent of the Jurisdictional Conferences.

That is the matter that we were debating yesterday. There are two others. The first change is to set up the apparatus to create an Interjurisdicional Committee so it is possible to exchange Bishops across Jurisdictional lines.

The second thing is the provision that you will see in the bold-face type on Page 22, column 3, about the middle of the page, that the Jurisdictional Episcopal Committees are directed not to reach any conclusion concerning residential assignments until all elections of Bishops at the session of the Jurisdictional Conference are completed, except in the case of a Bishop being transferred into the Jurisdiction. The reason for the exception is obvious. The Bishop is not going to consent to the transfer unless he knows where he is going to go, and neither are the Episcopal Committees going to accept him unless they know where they are going to put him. Beyond that, there was a feeling that Jurisdictional Committees sometimes reach commitment on certain areas before they consider the entire package. There is certainly nothing controversial about our proposed revision.

The third change that we are making in the procedure is set forth in the Paragraph No. 2, page 22, column 3, starting, "Committee on Episcopacy." That provides in effect that a newly elected Bishop shall not be assigned to an Area which includes his old conference and he cannot have residence in his old conference during his first quadrennium. He can go back after the first quadrennium. The reason for that is obvious.

The parliamentary situation is this: Yesterday after we adopted our Constitutional Amendment, at pages 20 and 21 of the Advocate, we adopted a portion of Paragraph 526 that I am now discussing. That portion was on the premise that we have now abandoned; namely that we would reduce the Episcopal Committee. Therefore, it is necessary to reconsider our adoption of these two portions of Paragraph 526 which are found on page 21 of the Advocate, column 1, and once that is reconsidered, I shall move—if you will grant reconsideration—I shall move to adopt as Paragraph 526, this paper before you, followed by the two paragraphs on page 22, column 3, and submit the whole matter for consideration at one time.

Motion to Reconsider

Leon E. Hickman: I move reconsideration, Mr. Chairman, of the adoption of the two paragraphs of 526 which are set forth on page 21 of the Advocate in the first column which were adopted yesterday.

Bishop Smith: If you favor reconsideration, raise the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 563.)

Motion to Adopt—Leon E. Hickman

Mr. Hickman: I move adoption of Paragraph 526 of the *Discipline*, the material found in the paper headed "Supplementary Reports from the Coordinating Council," under date of May 5, followed by two paragraphs which are found on page 22 of the Advocate in the third column.

Amendment Proposed—J. Henry Ernst

J. Henry Ernst (Pacific Northwest—W): I have a small Amendment which I am sure you will be glad to adopt.

I move to amend the paragraph in this paper following the word "shall" by adding three words, "meet biannually to," so that the paragraph shall read, "The committee shall meet biannually to review the work of the Bishops, pass on their character, and official administration, and report to the Jurisdictional Conferences."

If I can have a second, I will tell you what I mean.

Bishop Smith: Is there a second?

The motion was seconded.

Mr. Ernst: This makes it possible for the Jurisdictional Episcopacy Committee to meet one other time in the Quadrennium. This makes it a sort of Pastoral Relations Committee for the Bishops.

There is a new interpretation and use of the Pastoral Relations Committee in the local church. It used to meet not more than once in a year, that upon call of the District Superintendent to discuss possible changes in pastors. Today the committee meets frequently.

When done in the right spirit, this relationship has been helpful and healthy.

A mid-term meeting of this committee with the Bishops could well serve the same purpose. We need another avenue for dialogue between the Bishops and the Jurisdiction. We need a closer fellowship and understanding with the Bishops. Every four years is hardly adequate. Our present pastor first objected to frequent meetings with the Pastoral Relations Committee, but the work has been done faithfully and these fears have been dissolved.

Sometimes a Bishop needs to know what the Ministers and the Conference Lay membership think of his administration and we all need to know the Bishop's desires and dreams for the area.

This one additional meeting would help bridge this gap. I hope you adopt it.

Bishop Smith: You have the Amendment. Does someone wish to speak against it?

Inquiry—Winslow N. Wilson

Winslow N. Wilson (West Wisconsin—NC): This is related to the Amendment. That is a question of the Chairman of the Committee as to whether in this redraft of Paragraph 526 there was reason for omitting the provision in the original draft, in the second column on page 22, that this standing committee shall continue until the close of the calendar year immediately preceding the next General Conference. What is the significance of that omission?

Mr. Hickman: May I consult with my colleagues a moment?

Bishop Smith: Yes.

Mr. Hickman: It was inadvertant. We recommend that it be added without further Amendment.

Bishop Smith: By common consent, this will be added. We are on the Amendment now.

Editorial Corrections—Leon E. Hickman

Mr. Hickman: There are two omissions on my part. One relates to the supplementary report which has several typographical errors, some of them humorous. I would like to edit with your consent. As I indicated at the beginning, we are presenting this report after consultation and collaboration with the Legislative Committee on Conferences, and after I have perfected this supplemental paper, if the Chair is willing and the body willing, I think it would be courteous and I should ask for the privilege of letting Brother James speak to the report.

May I edit the paper for a moment?

Mr. Hickman: In the third paragraph, second line, the word "Conferences" should be "Conference";—"and report to the Jurisdictional Conference."

Then, in the next full sentence, the committee shall recommend the assignment of the Bishops.

This paper was prepared in the middle of the night and you will have to excuse these mistakes. The humor, such as it is, in the paragraph following: "are elected by the responsible delegations," and we can't vouch for the responsibility of all delegations, so we want to make it "respective."

Following that, the word "for their convening."

Two lines before that, "committee shall be to discuss," so reads "The functions of this committee shall be to discuss" instead of "shall have."

On the second page, fourth line, "Bishops and others interested in possible Episcopal transfers" instead of "Episcopacy."

With apologies to Dr. James for overlooking him, I request the body to permit him to speak briefly to this report.

Bishop Smith: We have an Amendment before us. Do you want him to speak now? We have this Amendment before us.

Mr. Hickman: I think he could speak after they act on the Amendment.

Statement for Clarification—John D. Herr

John D. Herr (Philadelphia—NE): I think before we vote on the Amendment, it should be clearly stated to the body that this Amendment changes the character of the Episcopacy Committee of the Jurisdictional Conference.

As it is now, the Episcopacy Committee now receives the reports of the Bishops, passes on their characters, and makes recommendations to the Jurisdictional Committee which the Jurisdictional Committee may or may not accept regarding their assignments.

There is too great a danger that the Amendment would make this committee feel that they in some way or other had supervision of the work of the Bishops. I hope that it does not pass.

Motion to Divide Question—Merlyn W. Northfelt

Merlyn W. Northfelt (Rock River—NC): I move division of the question, separating paragraphs 1 and 2.

Bishop Smith: Does the Chairman understand that? He wants to divide the mimeographed sheet from the part that is here. Yes.

That will be necessary for us to vote on it. If you would vote on this separately, you will—

Parliamentary Inquiry—Ted Hightower

Ted Hightower (Louisville—SE): I have a parliamentary question, Bishop. As I understood it, you are calling for the vote on the Amendment for the Committee to meet biennially and this motion is a division of the two sections, and we are considering amending the first part?

Bishop Smith: This is correct. When we vote on the first part, we vote on his Amendment. When we get to that part. . . .

Delegate: His motion now is out of order, isn't it?

Bishop Smith: No, when we get to the one where his Amendment is in order, we will have the Amendment and vote on it at that time. He has a right, if the house sustains him, and that is the thing we are going to vote on. If you will divide this question, you will lift the hand. Opposed, lift the hand. It is not divided. (DCA, page 564.)

The Amendment is now before you. Do you have anything to say on the Amendment, or is Dr. James to speak?

The Chairman will speak.

Mr. Hickman: We are content to abide by the judgment of the house.

Ernst Amendment Defeated

Bishop Smith: Thank you.

If you are ready to vote, all in favor of the Amendment which means this Committee will meet biennially, if you favor that, lift the hand. Opposed, lift the hand. It is not carried. You are back on the main motion. (DCA, page 564.)

Amendment Proposed—Merlyn W. Northfelt

Merlyn W. Northfelt (Rock River—NC): I move to amend Paragraph 2, bottom of the third column, line No. 7, page 22, deleting the words, "nor shall the Jurisdictional Conference recommend that he administer the area within which his Annual Conference membership has been most recently held."

It seems to me, sir, this is—

Bishop Smith: What is the Amendment, sir?

Mr. Northfelt: To delete.

Bishop Smith: Thank you.

Mr. Northfelt: To delete those words. This properly belongs to the Jurisdictional Conference, it seems to me, as it is presently constituted and authorized to pass restrictive legislation to cover any particular situation in any local church or any Annual Conference or any Jurisdictional Conference is not good legislation.

The explanation given by the Coordinating Council is to guard against the tendency for the localized Episcopacy with an area promoting its own candidate. I don't think that is necessarily the assumption that should be made when a Conference actually has unanimity, or with majority support of any particular person.

I think it would be a tragedy if the Conference supported a man they were not willing to receive as an appointment to that particular Annual Conference. Therefore, I move you, sir, the deletion of this section.

Statement Opposing Amendment—John H. Rixse, Jr.

John H. Rixse, Jr. (Virginia—SE): I think the wisdom of this speaks for itself, and I recommend that we support the committee.

Amendment Defeated

Bishop Smith: All in favor of the Amendment which means the deletion of these words—does everyone fully understand what you are voting on?—deleting these words in No. 2, column 3, page 22, restricting the appointment of a Bishop to the Conference from which he has been elected? If you favor this Amendment, by deleting the words, lift the hand. Opposed, lift the hand. The Amendment does not carry. (DCA, page 564.)

We are now on the original motion.

Inquiry—Winslow N. Wilson

Winslow N. Wilson (West Wisconsin—NC): I asked a question some time ago and was informed that inadvertantly a phrase or

paragraph was omitted from this, but when the Chairman edited the report, he didn't include that phrase. I would like to know if it is in or out, second column, third line, Paragraph 526, which says the Jurisdictional Committee on Episcopacy which shall continue until the close of the calendar year next preceding the General Conference. Is that in or out?

Mr. Hickman: In.

Bishop Smith: The house will consider it included unless there is objection.

Mr. Wilson: May I ask another question?

Bishop Smith: Yes.

Mr. Wilson: In adopting a Constitutional Amendment on pages 20 and 21, I believe we amended the paragraph appearing in the *Daily Christian Advocate* so that the various provisions restricting the transfer of Bishops shall not apply when the Bishop of the Central Jurisdiction is transferred along with an Annual Conference. Does this cover that provision, or does it need to be included in here?

Bishop Smith: Thank you, sir. Can you answer that, sir?

Mr. Hickman: Will you give the place?

Mr. Wilson: Top of page 21, first column. We adopted a Constitutional Amendment, we amended that, but I don't have the words because it is not in the Advocate yet. At the end of that first paragraph on the top of page 21, I believe we amended this to provide it should not apply to Bishops of the Central Jurisdiction being transferred with their Annual Conferences. I believe this was on motion of Leonard Slutz.

Mr. Hickman: The protection you are referring to is in the Constitional Amendment.

We are not on the Constitutional Amendment. It is as we adopted it yesterday.

Delegate: In this 526, does it need to be brought in to conform to that provision?

Mr. Hickman: We think it is, sir, as it stands.

Previous Question Moved—James S. Chubb

James S. Chubb (Nebraska—SC): I move the previous question.

Bishop Smith: The previous question is moved. All those in favor, lift the hand. Down. Opposed? It is carried. (DCA, page 565.)

Closing Statement—D. Trigg James

D. Trigg James (Holston—SE): I would say a very few words, Mr. Chairman.

This matter was brought to the careful attention—not to misrepresent anything—this was brought to the attention of the Committee on Conferences and a unanimous vote supported cooperating with the Coordinating Council on this report.

I have only two things to say. This revised paragraph of these revised sections support, first of all, the present use of the Episcopal Committee of the Jurisdiction. Secondly, it provides for this continuing smaller group as an Executive Body to negotiate concerning transfer of Bishops across Jurisdictional lines, and that is very necessary due to two major things, as I see it. First of all, as soon as the implementation of the tenure on an Episcopal Area is fully applied, at the end of this quadrennium, such necessity faces the

Church, plus the necessity that will face the Church growing out of the expected mergers.

I certainly hope that the members of the Conference Committee will vote their own minds. I do not want to attempt even to commit them, but I hope they will support this fully.

Report Adopted

Bishop Smith: Are you ready to vote?

For the report, raise your hands. Down. Those opposed? It is passed. (DCA, page 565.)

I agreed to recognize Dr. James for a matter of privilege and then Dr. Rixse.

Motion to Extend Time Defeated—Leon E. Hickman

Mr. Hickman: I would like to move to extend the time of the afternoon session until 5:00 o'clock to permit us to make further progress.

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Smith: It is moved that after these privileged matters are submitted, you will extend the time to 5:00 o'clock. Those in favor, raise the hand. Those opposed, raise the hand.

I can't tell on that vote. Will you all vote, please? If you will vote to extend the time, raise the hand. Those opposed, raise the hand. I will have to rule that it is not carried. The time is not extended. (DCA, page 565.)

Privileged Statement and Motion to Refer—D. Trigg James

D. Trigg James (Holston—SE): Mr. Chairman, members of the Conference, I shall not multiply the words. I should have asked for the privilege of the house in the matter that I brought to you a while ago. I would like to refer to the Commission on Entertainment and Program of the General Conference concerning translations for the overseas delegations who do not speak English.

This is a motion to refer this report of ours which was mentioned a while ago to these Commissions. (Report No. 6, Committee on Conferences.)

Bishop Smith: If you will refer, you will lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. Thank you. (DCA, page 565.)

Motion of High Privilege—John H. Rixse, Jr.

John H. Rixse, Jr. (Virginia—SE): Mr. Chairman, members of the Conference, I have a motion that I would like to make as a matter of high privilege. After it is stated, I think maybe one or two would like to second it with their remarks.

I move that this General Conference express by a standing vote and acclamation its sincere appreciation for the outstanding leadership and unselfish dedication to The Methodist Church in the service of our Lord of a deeply consecrated layman, Charles C. Parlin, who has the confidence of laymen and ministers alike. His churchmanship has led our church, both in denominational and ecumenical activities, through a period of great and vital issues to a position of vital leadership.

I have had the privilege of knowing Mr. Parlin and know that he has been available to us not only in this great Conference over the

years, but also at every level of church activity. His statesmanship, his leadership, his patience, his wisdom, has guided us for many years. Today, from this platform, he advised of something we perhaps had realized, that our 12 years of service rule on general boards sometimes deprived of vital leadership. At this time, we should recognize his outstanding service.

Bishop Smith: Is there a second?

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Smith: All those in favor will stand, please. (DCA, page 565.)

Announcements

The Secretary made several necessary announcements.

Privileged Announcement and Nominations—Robert F. Oxnam

Robert F. Oxnam (Newark—NE): Bishop and members of the General Conference, I should like to take this opportunity to mention that Drew University has already completed 98 years of service to our Church. We are now preparing for a Centennial.

I now have the honor, Mr. Bishop, to present nominations for trustees of Drew University to be elected by this body.

After I have read their names, I should like to move their election, sir.

In the class of 1976: Donald R. Baldwin, Walter C. Bennett, Bishop Fred B. Corson, Joseph R. Ferry, Phillip H. Hazelton, F. LaMont Henninger, Roswell W. Lion, Robert H. Parker, Herbert J. Smith, Phillip S. Waters, Bishop Herbert Welch.

To fill vacancies in the class of 1968: A. Vernon Kearneham; Guy Cunningham and Ralph E. Davis.

To fill vacancies in the class of 1972: Harold Bosley and Jonah A. McElroy.

Mr. Chairman, I move their election.

Bishop Smith: Dr. Oxnam, if there is no objection from the floor. I will put that question, but we are under announcements. Is there any objection to voting these now? All in favor will lift the hand. Opposed. It is done. (DCA, page 565.)

Paul M. Hann (South Iowa—NC): The Committee on Credentials will meet in the office of the Secretary immediately after adjournment.

Bishop Smith: Dr. James.

Dr. James: A Committee on Conferences will meet very briefly right in the front of section B immediately after adjournment.

Please meet accordingly.

Bishop Smith: Thank you, sir. Are there others?

Delegate: Committee on Membership and Evangelism will meet in C-21, in the balcony, immediately after adjournment.

William R. Cannon (North Georgia—SE): The Secretary announced an important meeting of the Committee on Ministry at its regular place. I think it would be more convenient if the members gathered right here in Section E, row 7. I don't think it will take us long and it will be easier on them. It is an urgent meeting.

I want to express my appreciation to you for your courtesy and

kindness. This is the fifth time I have been in this seat. I am deeply grateful to Bishop Martin and Bishop Short for their help this afternoon.

Bishop Smith: I ask that we stand and Bishop Baker will pronounce the benediction.

Benediction

Bishop James C. Baker: Now may God, who is the source of hope, fill us with all joy and peace in our faith that we may be filled to overflowing with hope by the power of the Holy Spirit. Amen.

NINTH DAY, TUESDAY, MAY 5, 1964
EVENING SESSION

Pursuant to adjournment the 1964 General Conference of The Methodist Church was convened in the evening session on the Ninth Day, Tuesday, May 5, 1964 at 7:30 P.M., with Bishop Walter C. Gum presiding.

Hymn No. 44, "How Great Thou Art," was sung.

Bishop Gum: Bishop Raymond Wade will lead us in prayer.

Prayer—Bishop Raymond Wade

Bishop Raymond Wade: Our heavenly Father, we come to Thee as the evening shadows gather, to praise Thee, to thank Thee for this day, to thank Thee for Thy presence all the way. Let Thy blessings be upon us at this hour as we continue our worship and as we continue our work. Thy blessing be upon not only those who are here gathered, but upon those who are at home, and who are at work there and who will carry on in the days to come.

Help us all to follow Thee closely, to seek Thy way, to ask for Thy strength, to comfort those who come to Thee for guidance and help us all along life's way. Be with us on that way, not only here in the great Conference and at our homes, but wherever we may be in spreading Thy word and gospel; Thy blessing be upon men everywhere.

May the whole world be drawn nearer and nearer to Thee and may we have guidance and strength for every task, in the Savior's name. Amen.

Bishop Gum: I would like to say in the beginning that the little gavel that I am using this evening was made from a piece of timber which came from the Masterson house in Kentucky where Francis Asbury held his first Annual Conference, with 27 people present.

Chairman of Committee Chairmen—Willis M. Tate

Willis M. Tate (North Texas—SC): All of us were impressed by the progress of the Conference last night. The reports of the Legislative Committee have been considered and debated by subcommittees and the larger committees as a whole and are refined for your consideration.

It is very poor pedagogy for the teacher to read the text to the student. I am told that the literacy rate of the General Conference is very high, and all before you has been printed in the *Daily Christian Advocate*. You are expected to read the reports, and I have asked the chairmen not to read the text but to give you a summary and answer your questions. Let me also remind you that you are under the three-minute rule on these reports.

Reporting first tonight will be the Committee on Membership and Evangelism, Dr. Potter, Chairman.

Committee on Membership and Evangelism Report—Truman W. Potter

Truman W. Potter (West Virginia—NE): I would like to have

Bishop Angie Smith, President of the Board of Evangelism for the last 12 years, to make the statement and then to make an introduction as background for our report.

Statement—Bishop W. Angie Smith

Bishop W. Angie Smith: Mr. Chairman and members of the General Conference, in 1960, the General Conference directed the General Board of Evangelism to make a full and complete study in the total area of church membership and report its findings with recommendations to the 1964 General Conference.

Dr. Ray W. Ragsdale, secretary of the General Board of Evangelism for 20 years, was appointed the very distinguished chairman of this study committee, a delegate from the Southern California-Arizona Conference, and it is my privilege to present him who will present the report to the General Conference.

Report of Committee to Study Church Membership—Ray W. Ragsdale

Ray W. Ragsdale (Southern California-Arizona—W): Bishop Smith, Mr. Chairman, members of the General Conference, I am aware of the pressure of time upon us. I believe I can summarize the report of the Committee to Study Church Membership in about eight minutes. This in no way indicates the measure of its importance. Indeed, I believe this is one of the most important documents that has come to the attention of the General Conference, because it deals with our very life blood, which is membership in the body of Christ, which is the Church.

In developing this report, we felt we had to get our theological bearings before we could advance very far, so we drew upon our friends in the Seminary, in the Theological Disciplines, and as a result of this we came to focus on five aspects of the nature of the Church; namely, the Church is of God, the Church is the Body of Christ, the Church is a universal fellowship, the Church is a priesthood of believers, and the Church is the hope of the world. We tried to understand what is distinctive about Methodism and stated our convictions at this point. Then we called on our friends in the seminaries again. We wanted to look up the present situation with regard to membership in the Church. The situation is not encouraging.

We Methodist pastors have been sinfully sloppy in our record keeping. We have failed to give adequate attention to our preparatory rolls with the result that thousands of youth slip through our fingers annually who should have been brought into a life-determining commitment to Christ.

We have ruthlessly cleaned our rolls sometimes in violation of the Disciplinary laws and have relegated to the sea of forgotten men literally thousands of people in whom the flame of faith flickered and died under our pastoral care.

We have been woefully weak in our membership training programs so that the people of the Church inside look and act little different from the people outside.

The report's statement on nominal Christians, I think, is noteworthy at this point. I should like to refer to it. It says, "The weakness of the Church today lies in the partial commitment of its members. There are many persons who have taken the vows of membership but whose fundamental attitudes are still basically secular. Their life views are more likely to reflect the thinking of

their business, social and political groups than of Christianity; yet they are within the Church.

"Where does the fault lie? We have not expected enough of the people who joined the Church. They have not been sufficiently grounded through confrontation of Christ, whether in preaching, training, or group activity for the role they assume as members of the Church.

"Many of them admit they have never had a vital Christian experience. In addition, then, to a serious effort to lead members into a more valid Christian experience, steps must be taken to deepen the Christian commitment of those who are within the Church.

"Nominal Christianity cannot even perpetuate itself, let alone change the world. Without slacking in our efforts to reach the unreached, we must find a way to evangelize the evangelized. This must be a major concern of the Church during the next decade."

We look at the evangelistic records and see a downward trend has been established. Unless that trend is changed, we will have a smaller and smaller percentage of the population in The Methodist Church as the years go by. We came close to 6 percent in 1950. By 1960, it had dropped to 5.53 percent. By 1965, it will be 5.35 percent. By 1970, it will be 5.16 percent. By 1975, we will drop below 5 percent to 4.98. By 1980, it will be 4.79.

What does this mean? It means that the great Methodist Church is not carrying its share of the responsibility to the people of this nation. It means that we do not care enough about the spiritual life and destiny of the people about us to seek them out and to bring them into a life changing encounter with Christ. It means we are too concerned about budgets and committees and the sweet fellowship of those within the Church to be troubled in soul by our own unchurched neighbors.

It should disturb us to know that most of the other denominations are demonstrating a greater evangelistic concern than we are; that other denominations are carrying their load of responsibility to the burgeoning population, plus a part of ours.

Our study showed that we are frankly puzzled over our growing urban culture.

As long as American was predominantly rural, we knew what to do. Now that it is predominantly urban, we look with wondering eyes to the inner city, throw up our hands in despair, and flee to the suburbs where we attempt to apply the patterns of a rural culture to a sophisticated society.

Chart 9, page 23, is one of the most disturbing pictures. It shows world population sharply declining and our urban population sharply rising, and our Methodist membership barely holding its own.

What about the cure? We do not believe the cure lies with better methods adapted from Madison Avenue. It lies at the very point where we hesitate to look, in the mirror, that shows our own image, as individual Christians and as a Church.

We have a few suggestions which are being implemented into legislation, but to look to new legislation for the answer is like looking for elephants in the Mojave Desert. The final answer lies, as always, in our own hearts. It lies in the quality of our response and obedience to the will of God. It lies in the possibility of our renewal as a Church and as living parts of that Church.

This report was sent to you several months before the General Conference convened here. I hope you have read it. I hope it has disturbed you. Let me say, if it drives us to our knees in penitence to arise in ultimate obedience, it will have served a useful purpose.

I commend it to you.

Report on Study of Church Membership Adopted

Bishop Gum: The report is now before you. What will you do with it? Will somebody move its adoption?

Truman Potter (West Virginia—NE): I move, sir, that it be received by this Conference.

The report was adopted. (DCA, page 567.)

Appreciation Expressed for Leadership of Bishop W. Angie Smith and Ray W. Ragsdale

Truman Potter: Bishop, I wish to express a word of appreciation to Bishop Angie Smith and to Dr. Ragsdale for their leadership of our General Board. Both of them are leaving the General Board. They have given 12 years of effective and creative leadership. And I want to express the appreciation of this body to them on our behalf.

Motion to Suspend Rules—Truman W. Potter

Truman W. Potter (West Virginia—NE): Mr. Chairman, I would like to move the suspension of rules in order that we might consider Report No. 1 and Report No. 2 of the Committee on Membership and Evangelism. It is on page 352, the first report and page 368 is the second.

Bishop Gum: If you will suspend the rules to handle these reports, raise your hand.

Opposed, the same sign. It is done. (DCA, page 567.)

Report No. 1—Committee on Membership and Evangelism —Truman W. Potter

Truman W. Potter: If you will turn to Report No. 1, Calendar No. 133, page 352, the third column, there are two editorial changes. In the third paragraph down, the fourth line of the paragraph, the words, "natural origin," should be "national origin."

I would like to add, with permission of the Conference, one Memorial which came to us Saturday afternoon. This report was in the hands of the printer. The Memorial is No. 4443.

What this report proposes to do is to make it clear that anyone, without regard to race, color, national origin, or economic conditions, shall be able to attend a worship service, to participate in the program and to be admitted into the membership of the Church anywhere in our connection. This report makes explicit what hitherto has been implied.

It is recommended that this be spelled out, and we have done so in clear and unmistakable language.

This report lifts up the statement that the Church is for all who, in faith, make their confession.

It implements the address of the Bishops when they said, "We believe this General Conference should insist upon the removal from its structure of any mark of racial segregation and we should do it without wasting time."

This report points up the message of the New Testament that whosoever will, may come. I think the statement of the report is clear. The Recommendation is for concurrence.

Report No. 1 Adopted

Bishop Gum: Report No. 1 of the Committee on Membership and

Evangelism is before you. Are there any questions, any comments? Are you ready to vote? If you approve this report, raise your hand. Down. Opposed, the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 567; see Appendix, page 1471.)

Report No. 2 Presented—Truman W. Potter

Truman W. Potter: Report No. 2 of the Membership and Evangelism Committee is found on page No. 368, the first column, Calendar Report No. 191. There is one editorial revision in this. In the middle column, the first paragraph, the entire last sentence of the paragraph should be added just above No. 2, in the middle column of the page. This was dropped in printing, but it is a repeat.

What this report seeks to do is to spell out the steps to be taken in love as we seek to reclaim the nonparticipating members. We seek to place on the Commission and on the Pastors the needs and the concern for all the members. We have lost by nonparticipation and quarterly conference action of withdrawal, in the last 13 years, over 1,100,000 members. This is a very serious problem, and what we are attempting to do in the reports that we present to you as a board is to keep the door open so that we may receive all people and work at the business of winning new people to the Church and the fellowship of Christ, but also make it clear that we are concerned for every member whom we now have in the fellowship of this Church.

This report is entitled, "The Care of Church Members," and it spells out steps to reclaim the nonparticipating members. This is the purpose of the report.

I think it is before you. I suggest that we do two or three things. It states the obligation of the Church to nurture and to concern itself with the nonparticipating member, and then it places a new paragraph which makes it clear that we must visit this member after a period of time in order that we might win him again to the fellowship and the active participation in the life of the Church.

There also is in this provision later—it spells out the steps whereby we may win him back again, and it also involves a personal written consent if he is to be placed on a withdrawn roll for quarterly conference action. We have also lifted up in this paragraph, and it should be highlighted—but if you will turn to the third column, down about eight lines, you will see the word, "three," which is changed from five to three. As we lift out the fact that three years for the person who is nonparticipating in the life of the Church, whose name we know, and address we know, and three years for the person who has moved away from the city, whose address we do not have, but whose name we know, then the removal of the name by Quarterly Conference action is taken. And this is the recommendation of the Commission.

I think it is clear and the report is before you.

Report No. 2 Adopted

Bishop Smith: Report No. 2 from the Committee on Membership and Evangelism is before you. Do you have questions or comments? Are you ready to vote? If you will approve the report No. 2, you will raise your hand. Down. Opposed, by the same sign. It is carried. (DCA, page 567; see Appendix, page 1472.)

Report No. 2, Committee on Missions, Presented—Jack S. Wilkes

Jack S. Wilkes (Oklahoma—SC): Report No. 2 is found on page 312

in the *Daily Christian Advocate.* As the delegates are turning to this, I would like to have a personal privilege.

In presenting Report No. 1, the other day, we did not have the time to actually pay tribute to the leaders who worked out this new structure. Our Committee at the General Conference reviewed and perfected this report which was truly the result of the outstanding leadership of Bishop Raines, the president of the Board; Mrs. Tillman, the president of the Woman's Division; Bishop Kennedy of the Division of National Missions; Bishop William C. Martin and Bishop W. Angie Smith.

I do want the Conference to know and to understand that this is the work of the Board and of these great leaders of the Board of Missions who served the Board so faithfully over these past years.

I am not going to talk very much about the legislation, and if we can have an agreement between ourselves about this, I will be very pleased.

The first is Report No. 2 which deals with changes. This is a form resolution which deals with the changes in chartering constitutions of the Board of Church Extension of The Methodist Church, one of our legal entities, and this is in keeping with the uniting of the Board of Missions and makes the members of this Corporation a Board of Church Extension, the same as the Board of Managers of the Division of National Missions.

The paragraphs in the *Discipline* simply allow the Board to carry this through.

I move its adoption.

Report No. 2, Committee on Missions, Adopted

Bishop Gum: Do you have a question? Are you ready to vote? If you will adopt Report No. 2, you will raise your hand. Down. Opposed by the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 568; see Appendix, page 1599.)

Report No. 3, Committee on Missions, Presented—Jack S. Wilkes

Jack S. Wilkes: Page 321, Calendar 114. We have a series of Memorials approving the Plan of Reorganization of the Board of Missions. The Committee recommends concurrence on the Memorials insofar as they relate to the general principles of reorganization of the Board of Missions. I recommend its adoption.

Bishop Gum: Any questions? If you will adopt Report No. 3, raise your hand. Down. Opposed, the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 568; see Appendix, page 1606.)

Report No. 4, Committee on Missions, Presented—Jack S. Wilkes

Jack S. Wilkes: Report No. 4, a series of Memorials relating to the reorganization, Disciplinary changes in Chapter 6. The Committee recommends nonconcurrence insofar as the Committee felt that the Report No. 1, the overall plan of reorganization, dealt with this in the best fashion. I move its adoption—nonconcurrence.

Bishop Gum: Do you have any questions? If you will adopt the report, you will raise your hand. Opposed, by the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 568; see Appendix, page 1606.)

Report No. 5, Committee on Missions, Presented—Jack S. Wilkes

Jack S. Wilkes: Report No. 5 deals with Paragraph 1225 which was dealt with in Paragraph 49 of the reorganization plan which was adopted. The recommendation is nonconcurrence.

I move that we follow recommendation.

Bishop Gum: Are there any questions? If you will adopt the report, you will raise your hand. Down. Opposed by the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 568; see Appendix, page 1607.)

Reports Nos. 6 and 7, Committee on Missions, Presented—Jack S. Wilkes

Jack S. Wilkes: Reports No. 6 and 7 are miscellaneous Memorials dealing with the general area of Missions. Both series of Memorials are recommended for nonconcurrence, and I move we follow the recommendation of nonconcurrence in Reports 6 and 7.

Bishop Gum: Will you vote on them together or separately?

If you will adopt the Report No. 6, raise your hand. Down. Opposed by the same sign. If you will adopt Report No. 7, raise your hand. Down. Opposed by the same sign. They are adopted. (DCA, page 568; see Appendix, page 1607.)

Report No. 8, Committee on Missions, Presented—Jack S. Wilkes

Jack S. Wilkes: Report No. 8 states that the Division of National Missions has given careful consideration to Memorial 2670 of the 1960 General Conference and feels we accomplished the objectives of this Memorial in other ways. I move Report No. 8.

Bishop Gum: Do you have any questions? If you will adopt it, raise your hand. Down. Opposed, by the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 568; see Appendix, page 1608.)

Report No. 9, Committee on Missions, Presented—Jack S. Wilkes

Jack S. Wilkes: Report No. 9 deals with Church Extension Funds and Race. The recommendation is nonconcurrence. I move its adoption.

Bishop Gum: If you will adopt it, raise your hand. Down. Opposed by the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 568; see Appendix, page 1608.)

Report No. 10, Committee on Missions, Presented—Jack S. Wilkes

Jack S. Wilkes: Report No. 10 deals with the Quadrennial Reports and the Report of the Board of Missions. The Committee recommends reference to the Board of Missions and I move its adoption.

Bishop Gum: Do you have questions? If you will adopt it, raise your hand. Down. Opposed by the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 568; see Appendix, page 1608.)

Report No. 11, Committee on Missions, Presented—Jack S. Wilkes

Jack S. Wilkes: Report No. 11 deals with referrals to the Board of Missions and Divisions for Study of Particular Memorials Listed. I move its adoption.

Bishop Gum: If you will adopt it, raise your hand. Down. Again the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 568; see Appendix, page 1609.)

Report No. 12, Committee on Missions, Presented—Jack S. Wilkes

Jack S. Wilkes: Report No. 12 deals with a Memorial which concerns Missionary Recruitment, which endorses a very fine and challenging program, and the Committee recommends referral to the Commission on Christian Vocations. I move its adoption.

Bishop Gum: If you will adopt it, raise your hand. Down. Opposed by the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 569; see Appendix, page 1609.)

Report No. 13, Committee on Missions, Presented—Jack S. Wilkes

Jack S. Wilkes: Report No. 13 deals with referrals to the Division of World Missions, series of Memorials dealing in this area, and I move its adoption.

Bishop Gum: If you will adopt it, raise your hand. Down. Opposed by the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 569; see Appendix, page 1609.)

Report No. 14, Committee on Missions, Presented—Jack S. Wilkes

Jack S. Wilkes: Report No. 14 deals with establishment of a Commission on Work in the Inner City. Inasmuch as this is being done by the Inter-board Committee on the Local Church, we recommend nonconcurrence and I move its adoption.

Bishop Gum: If you will adopt No. 14, raise your hand. Down. Opposed, the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 569; see Appendix, page 1610.)

Motion to Suspend Rules—Jack S. Wilkes

Jack S. Wilkes: Mr. Chairman, I would like for the House to suspend the rules as it relates to reports in the *Daily Christian Advocate* in order to take further reports found in the Advocate for today.

Bishop Gum: If you will suspend the rules in order to take care of these reports, you will raise your hand. Down. Opposed by the same sign. The rules are suspended. (DCA, page 569.)

Report No. 15, Committee on Missions, Presented—Jack S. Wilkes

Jack S. Wilkes: Further reports begin on page 352, Calendar 134, in the May 5 *Christian Advocate.*

Report No. 15 deals with the purposes of the Woman's Society of Christian Service. These purposes are stated, we believe, in a larger

and more inclusive fashion, and we believe they more nearly state the purposes of the Woman's Society.

I recommend its adoption.

Bishop Gum: If you will vote to adopt Report No. 15, you will raise your hand. Down. Opposed by the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 569; see Appendix, page 1610.)

Report No. 16, Committee on Missions, Presented—Jack S. Wilkes

Jack S. Wilkes: Report No. 16 deals with the District Board of Church Location, and it concerns adding only the word after "racial" or "cultural" and concerns the continuity of service in a changing neighborhood. I recommend its adoption.

Bishop Gum: If you will adopt Report No. 16, you will raise your hand. Down. Opposed by the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 569; see Appendix, page 1611.)

Report No. 17, Committee on Missions, Presented—Jack S. Wilkes

Jack S. Wilkes: Report No. 17. We have a request that we make one change from the Committee on Correlation and Editorial Revision. Report No. 17 deals with the method of organizing the local church. It states—the new part of it, deals with the fact that the new church is to be started after due consideration, with the Conference Board of Missions, long-range and short-range programs of home missions and church extension, and that the Bishop shall designate the District within whose bounds the Church shall be organized. And we add in Paragraph 155.2, this phrase, "according to the Conference approved long-range and short-range programs of home missions and church extension."

On the recommendation of the Committee on Correlation and Editorial Revision, for that portion of Paragraph 155.2, we would like to substitute, with the approval of the House, the words, "in accordance with the above procedures." This is striving for simplicity and the avoidance of unnecessary verbiage in the *Discipline.*

Bishop Gum: Are there any objections on the part of the House to the substitution?

Mr. Wilkes: I move its adoption.

Bishop Gum: If you will adopt Report No. 17, raise your hand. Down. Opposed the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 569; see Appendix, page 1611.)

Report No. 18, Committee on Missions, Presented—Jack S. Wilkes

Jack S. Wilkes: No. 18 deals with the Interboard Commission on the Local Church. There are three Memorials for concurrence and three for nonconcurrence. It adds, simply for clarification and putting it as it actually exists—The Woman's Division to have representation on the Commission on the Local Church. It changes slightly the membership of the Commission on the Local Church, and changes the words from general secretaries to chief executives. We recommend its adoption. I move its adoption.

Bishop Gum: If you will adopt the Report No. 18, you will raise your hand. Down. Opposed, by the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 569; see Appendix, page 1612.)

Report No. 19, Committee on Missions, Presented—Jack S. Wilkes

Jack S. Wilkes: Report No. 19 is the referral to the Board of Missions, The Division of National Missions—a Memorial concerning the Department of Ethnic Work. I move its adoption.

Bishop Gum: If you will adopt Report No. 19, you will raise your hand. Down. Opposed, by the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 569; see Appendix, page 1614.)

Report No. 20, Committee on Missions, Presented—Jack S. Wilkes

Jack S. Wilkes: Report No. 20 is additional Memorials concerning reorganization which we received later than the others. These we recommend nonconcurrence as they are taken care of in the Report No. 1 of the Committee on Missions.

I move its adoption with nonconcurrence.

Bishop Gum: If you adopt Report No. 20, you will raise your hand. Down. Opposed by the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 569; see Appendix, page 1614.)

Motion to Reconsider Report No. 9—Committee on Missions —Lemuel K. Lord

Lemuel K. Lord (New England—NE): I would like to move to reconsider No. 9. We moved so rapidly, I couldn't keep up with the report.

Bishop Gum: Is there a second that we reconsider Report No. 9. If you favor reconsideration, raise your hand. Down. Opposed, the same sign. It is not reconsidered. (DCA, page 569.)

Personal Privilege for Bishop Gerald Ensley

Bishop Ensley: Mr. Chairman, and members of the Conference, I would like to call your attention to a brochure which is on your table concerning the G. Bromley Oxnam Memorial Lecture Forum on Religion and Public Affairs. Bishop Oxnam, as one of the great social prophets of our time, in his brave defense of liberty before the House unAmerican Activities Committee, really won a decisive victory for all of us. It is the feeling of the Board of Christian Social Concerns that the best memorial to Bishop Oxnam would not be a lifeless monument somewhere, for a living lectureship. With the support of the Oxnam family, it has been the decision of the Board of Christian Social Concerns to have a lectureship on those topics in which Bishop Oxnam was himself most interested.

We now simply ask, but if you are interested in participation in this lectureship, if you know speakers or themes, and not least of all if you are willing to help us undergird this project financially, we shall be very grateful if you will write to the address of the Oxnam Memorial Lecture Forum. I commend this heartily for all those interested in the social applications of the Gospel.

Reports of Committee for the Local Church Called For—Willis M. Tate

Willis M. Tate (North Texas—SC): We shall now call on the Committee for the Local Church. Dr. Northfelt will be the Chairman.

Report No. 1, Committee on the Local Church, Presented—Merlyn W. Northfelt

Merlyn W. Northfelt (Rock River—NC): Mr. Chairman, the first report is on page 528 of the *Daily Christian Advocate*. Report No. 1, series of miscellaneous Memorials dealing with such subjects as having fewer meetings in the local church, and keeping all names on the Methodist roll forever and reading them once a year.

Our Committee votes nonconcurrence in this series. I so move.

Bishop Gum: The motion is to adopt the report. If you will adopt Report No. 1, raise your hand. Down. Opposed by the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 569; see Appendix, page 1696.)

Report No. 2, Committee on the Local Church, Presented—Merlyn W. Northfelt

Merlyn W. Northfelt: Report No. 2 provides for the representation on the Commission on Stewardship and Finance by a representative of the Woman's Society of Christian Service. We move adoption of this report.

Bishop Gum: Are you ready to vote? If you will adopt Report No. 2, you will raise your hand. Down. Opposed, the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 570; see Appendix, page 1696.)

Report No. 3, Committee on the Local Church, Presented—Merlyn W. Northfelt

Merlyn W. Northfelt: Report No. 3. The last General Conference omitted the Commission on Christian Social Concerns from the list of Chairmen on the Official Board, and this time we propose to eliminate that reference to any specific chairmen and substitute the whole list by the following words, "the Chairmen of all Commissions."

We move adoption of this report.

Bishop Gum: If you will adopt Report No. 3, you will raise your hand. Down. Opposed, the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 570; see Appendix, page 1697.)

Report No. 4, Committee on the Local Church, Presented—Merlyn W. Northfelt

Merlyn W. Northfelt: Report No. 4 covers the provision for having the interest of the Television-Radio Ministry Fund reported, and ask for support from the Commission on World Service and Finance. We move adoption of this report.

Bishop Gum: If you will adopt Report No. 4, you will raise your hand. Down. Opposed, the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 570; see Appendix, page 1697.)

Report No. 5, Committee on the Local Church, Presented—Merlyn W. Northfelt

Merlyn W. Northfelt: Report No. 5. The *Discipline* already provides that the Commission on Education may create an intercommission Audio-Visual Committee. If it does this, this Committee shall (and the substance of this report is in the bottom of last half of the paragraph in the first column on page 259)—this intercommission Audio-Visual Committee shall serve as the contact group for the Television, Radio and Film Commission, and it shall be responsible

for presenting to the Commission on Stewardship and Finance plans for supporting the Television-radio Ministry Fund and a recommendation for this to be included in the local church benevolence budget and shall develop a library of audio-visual materials.

We move adoption.

Bishop Gum: Do you have any questions? If you will adopt No. 5, raise your hand. Down. Opposed, the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 570; see Appendix, page 1698.)

Report No. 6, Committee on the Local Church, Presented—Merlyn W. Northfelt

Merlyn W. Northfelt: The next group of reports is found on page 320 of the *Daily Christian Advocate.* Calendar No. 106, Report No. 6, group of Miscellaneous Memorials which we report and suggest nonconcurrence and move adoption of this report.

Bishop Gum: If you will adopt Report No. 6, you will raise your hand. Down. Opposed, the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 570; see Appendix, page 1699.)

Report No. 7, Committee on the Local Church, Presented—Merlyn W. Northfelt

Merlyn W. Northfelt: Report No. 7 provides, under the sundry provisions on Paragraph 186 of the *Discipline* under the local church, the procedure for the study of churches of 50 members or less in declining areas, to study their effectiveness for the District Advisory Committee. We move adoption of this report.

Bishop Gum: If you will adopt Report No. 7, you will raise your hand. Down. Opposed by the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 570; see Appendix, page 1699.)

Report No. 8, Committee on the Local Church, Presented—Merlyn W. Northfelt

Merlyn W. Northfelt: Report No. 8—another group of miscellaneous Memorials. We suggest nonconcurrence and move adoption of this report.

Bishop Gum: If you will adopt Report No. 8, you will raise your hand. Opposed by the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 570; see Appendix, page 1700.)

Report No. 9, Committee on the Local Church, Presented—Merlyn W. Northfelt

Merlyn W. Northfelt: Report No. 9 provides for the Associate Lay Leader to represent the Lay Leader on the various Commissions and offices of the Quarterly Conference. We move adoption of this report.

Bishop Gum: If you will adopt Report No. 9, you will raise your hand. Down. Opposed, the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 570; see Appendix, page 1700.)

Report No. 10, Committee on the Local Church, Presented—Merlyn W. Northfelt

Merlyn W. Northfelt: Report No. 10. I think I should read this one, Mr. Chairman. The Committee recommends reference of the

above Memorials to the Interboard Commission on the Local Church for study and report to the next General Conference. That should read, "to the Legislative Committee on the Local Church at the next General Conference," with the request that in this study there be adequate involvement of local church laymen, pastors and Conference District Superintendents.

I move adoption of this report.

Correction Suggested—Harold S. Swales

Harold S. Swales (Central New York—NE): Wouldn't it be better to say the General Conference of 1968? The next General Conference will be a special session.

Bishop Gum: If there is no objection, that will be made. Are you ready to vote?

Inquiry and Correction—Robert W. Moon

Robert W. Moon (California-Nevada—W): Is there a difference between the Conference Superintendent and the District Superintendent, in the last line? Do we need the word "conference"?

Bishop Gum: Not to my knowledge. You can delete the word.

Inquiry and Correction—Mrs. John Gridley

Mrs. John Gridley (Minnesota—NC): I think it is just an omission. There should be special reference also to the Worship Commission.

When Mr. Northfelt was out of the room, we had a vice-chairman when that was mentioned, but there were many Memorials with regard to the staus of the Worship Commission.

Mr. Northfelt: This is the General Commission on Worship being asked to be represented along with these other groups.

Bishop Gum: You are adding that?

Mr. Northfelt: That is right.

Bishop Gum: Any other questions or comments?

Are you ready to vote? If you will adopt Report No. 10, you will raise your hand. Down. Opposed, the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 570; see Appendix, page 1701.)

Report No. 11, Committee on the Local Church, Presented—Merlyn W. Northfelt

Merlyn W. Northfelt: Report No. 11, Calendar 113, which calls for a representative of Methodist Men on the Commission on Christian Social Concerns. We are concurring in this and move its adoption.

Bishop Gum: If you will adopt No. 11, you will raise your hands. Down. Opposed by the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 570; see Appendix, page 1701.)

Reports From Committee on Interdenominational Relations Called For—Willis M. Tate

Willis M. Tate: That is the highest grade in my book. I would like to call on the Committee on Interdenominational Relations, Dr. Wilson Weldon, Western North Carolina.

Report No. 1, Committee on Interdenominational Relations, Presented—Wilson O. Weldon

Wilson O. Weldon (Western North Carolina—SE): Bishop Gum, before calling the individual reports of our Committee on Interdenominational Relations and Activities, I desire to make a brief statement which I think will undergird and explain quite a number of our reports.

In presenting the reports of the Committee on Interdenominational Relations and Activities we express the conviction that our Methodist Church by action, witness, and vote has declared its desire to be a part of the strong and vital cooperation with the other Christian denominations through such agencies as the National Council of Churches of Christ in the United States, the World Council of Churches, but our recommendation of continuing membership and financial support for these agencies does not imply that a majority vote of such interdenominational groups is binding on The Methodist Church nor its individual members, for the *Discipline,* Paragraph 512 clearly states that the General Conference only speaks officially for the Church.

We believe that The Methodist Church desires to continue to give its own distinctive witness, but that it also desires to be an effective influence in the denominational cooperation and in ecumenical affairs.

I invite your attention first to page 259 of the *Daily Christian Advocate,* Calendar No. 63, the Report No. 1. This is the Recommendation of continuing support of the National Council of Churches of Christ. The Committee recommends adoption.

Bishop Gum: Any questions? If you will adopt Report No. 1, you will raise your hand. Down. Opposed by the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 570; see Appendix, page 1674.)

Report No. 2, Committee on Interdenominational Relations, Presented—Wilson O. Weldon

Wilson O. Weldon: Page 259, same page, Calendar Item No. 64, Report No. 2, concerning the American Bible Society's 150th Anniversary celebration. This is a recognition of this coming anniversary celebration and we recommend adoption.

Bishop Gum: If you will adopt Report No. 2, raise your hand. Down. Opposed by the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 571; see Appendix, page 1674.)

Report No. 3, Committee on Interdenominational Relations, Presented—Wilson O. Weldon

Wilson O. Weldon: Now, we turn to page 317, and you will find on that page and also on page 318 a grouping of Reports Nos. 3 through 12. All of these have to do with nonconcurrence concerning related items. I suppose you will want to call each one, sir.

On page 317, Report No. 3 is calling for a special Committee for Church Union. We recommend nonconcurrence on the basis that we already have the agencies dealing with this and this would be unnecessary.

Bishop Gum: If you will adopt it, raise your hand. Down. Opposed by the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 571; see Appendix, page 1675.)

Report No. 4, Committee on Interdenominational Relations, Presented—Wilson O. Weldon

Wilson O. Weldon: Calendar Item 84, same page, Report No. 4, concerning the withdrawal from the National and World Council of Churches and on the basis of the statement I have just made, we recommend nonconcurrence. I move the adoption of nonconcurrence.

Bishop Gum: If you will adopt Report No. 4, you will raise your hand. Down. Opposed by the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 571; see Appendix, page 1675.)

Report No. 5, Committee on Interdenominational Relations, Presented—Wilson O. Weldon

Wilson O. Weldon: Calendar Item 85, Report No. 5, the Relationship of The Methodist Church to the National Council and we recommend the adoption of nonconcurrence. I move adoption.

Bishop Gum: If you will adopt Report No. 5, you will raise your hand. Down. Opposed by the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 571; see Appendix, page 1675.)

Report No. 6, Committee on Interdenominational Relations, Presented—Wilson O. Weldon

Wilson O. Weldon: Calendar Item No. 86, Report No. 6, concerning withdrawal and denial of financial support to the National Council of Churches. We recommend nonconcurrence. I move adoption.

Bishop Gum: If you will adopt Report No. 6, you will raise your hand. It is adopted. (DCA, page 571; see Appendix, page 1675.)

Report No. 7, Committee on Interdenominational Relations, Presented—Wilson O. Weldon

Wilson O. Weldon: Mr. Chairman, Calendar Item 87, Report No. 7, abolish all ties and financial support to the National and World Councils. We recommend nonconcurrence. I move its adoption.

Bishop Gum: If you will adopt Report No. 7, you will raise your hand. Down. Opposed, the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 571; see Appendix, page 1676.)

Report No. 8, Committee on Interdenominational Relations, Presented—Wilson O. Weldon

Wilson O. Weldon: Calendar Item 88, Report No. 8, membership in and financial support to the National Council. Nonconcurrence. I move its adoption.

Bishop Gum: If you will adopt Report No. 8, you will raise your hand. Down. Opposed by the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 571; see Appendix, page 1676.)

Report No. 9, Committee on Interdenominational Relations, Presented—Wilson O. Weldon

Wilson O. Weldon: Calendar Item 89, Report No. 9, to investigate and censure the National Council. Nonconcurrence. I move its adoption.

Bishop Gum: If you will adopt Report No. 9, you will raise your hand. Down. Opposed by the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 571; see Appendix, page 1676.)

Report No. 10, Committee on Interdenominational Relations, Presented—Wilson O. Weldon

Wilson O. Weldon: Calendar Item 90, Report No. 10, Methodist support of the National Council. Nonconcurrence. I move its adoption.

Bishop Gum: If you will adopt Report No. 10, raise your hand. Down. Opposed by the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 571; see Appendix, page 1677.)

Report No. 11, Committee on Interdenominational Relations, Presented—Wilson O. Weldon

Wilson O. Weldon: Calendar Item No. 91, Report No. 11, Methodist support to the National Council of Churches. Nonconcurrence. I move its adoption.

Bishop Gum: If you will adopt Report No. 11, raise your hand. Down. Opposed by the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 571; see Appendix, page 1677.)

Report No. 12, Committee on Interdenominational Relations, Presented—Wilson O. Weldon

Wilson O. Weldon: Now, Calendar Item No. 92, Report No. 12, Authority of the National Council to speak for The Methodist Church. Nonconcurrence. I move its adoption.

Bishop Gum: If you will adopt Report No. 12, raise your hand. Down. Opposed by the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 571; see Appendix, page 1677.)

Report No. 13, Committee on Interdenominational Relations, Presented—Wilson O. Weldon

Wilson O. Weldon: Calendar Item No. 93, Report No. 13, concerning the Town and Country Commission to be given more direction and interdenominational cooperation, calling for the addition of a paragraph—words in Paragraph 1229, Section 1 of the *Discipline*, wherein inserting after the words, "other denominations," the words, "in other communities and on other levels of church life."

This is simply to spell in more detail the responsibility of this Commission in working with other denominations. Concurrence is recommended. I move its adoption.

Bishop Gum: If you will adopt Report No. 13, raise your hand. Down. Opposed, the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 571; see Appendix, page 1678.) (NOTE: Report No. 13 was later withdrawn.)

Report No. 14, Committee on Interdenominational Relations, Presented—Wilson O. Weldon

Wilson O. Weldon: On the same page, Calendar No. 94, Report No. 14, asking for special power to hold Ecumenical Prayer Vigils. We felt that we already had that—the local church already has that authority. Nonconcurrence. I move its adoption.

Bishop Gum: If you will adopt Report No. 14, raise your hand. Down. Opposed by the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 571; see Appendix, page 1678.)

Report No. 15, Committee on Interdenominational Relations, Presented—Wilson O. Weldon

Wilson O. Weldon: On the same page, Calendar Item No. 99, Report No. 15, on instructing the Commission on Church Union to negotiate with sister Churches—should have other Methodist Churches. We recommend concurrence and move its adoption.

Bishop Gum: If you will adopt Report No. 15, raise your hand. Down. Opposed by the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 571; see Appendix, page 1679.)

Report No. 16, Committee on Interdenominational Relations, Presented—Wilson O. Weldon

Wilson O. Weldon: Page 319, Calendar Item 100, Report No. 16, the statement concerning Catholic-Protestant relations which you will find there, rejoicing in the evidence of better relations between the Catholics and Protestants at the Second Vatican Council, The Methodist Church must make it clear that in our cooperation we will retain our Protestant heritage and doctrine and adhere to the separation of church and state. Recommend concurrence. I move its adoption.

Bishop Gum: Report No. 16, if you will adopt it, raise your hand. Down. Opposed by the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 571; see Appendix, page 1679.)

Report No. 17, Committee on Interdenominational Relations, Presented—Wilson O. Weldon

Wilson O. Weldon: We are almost through, Bishop.

Calendar No. 101, Report No. 17, concerning calling a Methodist Ecumenical Conference. On the basis that a World Methodist Council is already planned for 1966, we voted nonconcurrence. I move its adoption.

Bishop Gum: If you will adopt Report No. 17, raise your hand. Down. Opposed by the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 571; see Appendix, page 1679.)

Report No. 18, Committee on Interdenominational Relations, Presented—Wilson O. Weldon

Wilson O. Weldon: Calendar Item 102, Report No. 18, membership and budget on interdenominational agencies. As you will see there, a statement concerning first item that we recommend adoption of these recommendations of the Coordinating Council in providing for provisions for the expenses of delegates attending the various Ecumenical Meetings and that full provision be made for expenses of working members of the Councils. I believe we have already acted on that in the report of the Coordinating Council.

Second, that there be more proportional representation from our Jurisdictions or Geographical areas in election of members to Ecumenical Bodies and less dependence upon representation from boards and agencies.

Where vacancies occur and replacements must be elected when

General Conference cannot take action, the nominations should be made by the College of Bishops, election by the Council of Bishops. We suggest also that the Council of Bishops be given authority to select from the Church at large any individual who can make special and significant contributions to the ecumenical movement as a delegate or member of the working committee.

Item 4 is that provision be made for informing the Church at large in the following ways in addition to our regular publications for, (a) delegates to be encouraged to organize the discussion and formulate reports, (b) greater use made for returning delegates to Annual Conferences, and so forth, and (c) simply carries out the provisions in the *Discipline*. This we understand is not legislation, simply a statement of recommendation.

I move its adoption.

Bishop Gum: Report No. 18 is before you. If you will adopt it, raise your hand.

Change in Wording Suggested and Accepted—James R. Uhlinger

James R. Uhlinger (New England—NE): In the middle column, 319, under Item 1, about half way down, wouldn't you want to say, "working committees of these councils," to make the record correct?

Dr. Weldon: We accept that and are glad to include it.

Bishop Gum: Any other questions? If you will adopt Report No. 18, you will raise your hand. Down. Opposed by the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 572; see Appendix, page 1680.)

Report No. 19, Committee on Interdenominational Relations, Presented—Wilson O. Weldon

Wilson O. Weldon: Calendar 103, Report No. 19, on the same page, 319, calling for direct Methodist representation on the Board of Managers of the American Bible Society. We voted nonconcurrence on the basis that it is not proper for us to dictate to or designate to such a body how their Board of Managers shall be elected.

I move adoption of nonconcurrence.

Bishop Gum: If you will adopt Report No. 19, you will raise your hand. Down. Opposed by the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 572; see Appendix, page 1681.)

Report No. 20, Committee on Interdenominational Relations, Presented—Wilson O. Weldon

Wilson O. Weldon: Calendar 104, Report No. 20, concerning conversations regarding union with the African Methodist Episcopal Church, and Christian Methodist Episcopal Church, simply recommending conversations with them looking toward union.

We recommend concurrence. I move its adoption.

Bishop Gum: If you will adopt Report No. 20, you will raise your hand. Down. Opposed by the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 572; see Appendix, page 1681.)

Report No. 21, Committee on Interdenominational Relations, Presented—Wilson O. Weldon

Wilson O. Weldon: Now, on page 320, Calendar 105, Report No. 21, to invite the British Methodist Church to enter negotiations for merger.

We recommend nonconcurrence on the basis that such relations would be in the hand of the Commission for Ecumenical Affairs and that already some degree of negotiations have been entered into, therefore, this would be unnecessary. I move its adoption.

Bishop Gum: Report No. 21. If you will adopt it, raise your hand. Down. Opposed by the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 572; see Appendix, page 1681.)

Motion to Suspend Rules—Wilson O. Weldon

Wilson O. Weldon: Bishop Gum, for the last one, I should like to move the suspension of rules in order that we might consider, on pages 358 and 359, Report No. 22. The reason we move suspension of the rules is that this will involve action by the Council of Bishops, and therefore, we should process this on to them if possible tonight.

Bishop Gum: If you will suspend the rules for action on these reports, you will raise your hand. Down. Opposed by the same sign. The rules are suspended. (DCA, page 572.)

Report No. 22, Committee on Interdenominational Relations, Presented—Wilson O. Weldon

Wilson O. Weldon: On pages 358, 359, Calendar No. 169, Report No. 22, calling for the creation of a Commission for Ecumenical Affairs, I should like, if I may, to have the privilege of requesting Bishop Gerald Ensley to make a brief statement concerning this recommendation.

Bishop Ensley: Mr. Chairman and members of the body, there are three brief points that I would like to make with respect to this resolution creating a Commission for Ecumenical Affairs.

The Church has had two Commissions until now: one Church Union Commission, whose main purpose has been one of negotiating with other bodies; and it has had a Commission on Ecumenical Consultation of which I have been honored with the Chairmanship, which has been in the language of ecumenicity, I suppose, a Faith and Order Commission. This is a proposal that we bring these two Commissions together, consolidate them, and call them a Commission for Ecumenical Affairs. A great many times in the Church we have seen a proliferation of agencies. This is a consolidation.

A second point I would like to make with respect to this proposal is this: We will have a standing Commission on Ecumenical Affairs, but there is a provision here that whenever negotiation with another body be imminent, when, in the judgment of the General Conference, the time has come for us seriously to negotiate organic relationships, then the General Conference shall create an ad hoc committee for the purpose of doing this work. The provision is that half of the members of this ad hoc committee shall be members of the standing committee, but others shall be chosen with respect to their special competence.

One of the things we feel, and I have been a member of the Church Union Commission as well as the one on ecumenicity, but one of the shortcomings we have felt about the Committee on Church Union, they have been very able and devoted folks, but they were chosen for purposes of discussion and not with an eye to their competence on the matters of faith.

For instance, the Evangelical United Brethren people have the publishing agent as a member of the committee. We did not. We need someone like the publishing agent or his representative. We need someone who knows pensions. We need someone who is com-

petent in the field of education, insofar as the touching upon the merging of instructions, and furthermore, we feel that an ad hoc committee constituted by the General Conference would have an authority that a mere standing committee of the Church might not have, and so the arrangement here is that there shall be an ad hoc committee composed of half of the standing committee and the rest chosen for special purposes.

The other thing that I call your attention to is that this particular resolution involves a secretariat—a full-time secretariat. I don't know whether you appreciate how important this is with regard to this work. Just think of what we have got to do in order to implement the action taken this morning to work out a *Discipline* ready for 1966.

It takes hours and weeks of work and it cannot be done by any Bishop or Church leader or layman. There simply are not enough hours to do it. We need someone who can give full time to this work.

These, I believe, Mr. Chairman, are the salient elements with regard to this proposal.

Inquiry—Charles M. Earley

Charles M. Earley (Virginia—SE): I wonder if there is any significance to this voting by the committee. The number present when the report was acted on was 15, the number voting, zero. How are we going to know how the committee voted?

Dr. Weldon: Mr. Chairman, if this is agreeable, we will insert the number voting, 15.

Another correction, in Paragraph 1, the seventh line, I believe, where it provides 2 from Central Conferences, the spelling should be a "C" instead of an "S."

On the next page, 359, Item 4, "When the General Conference shall decide that negotiations—" that should not be singular.

Bishop Gum, it is printed here in detail. Unless there is some question about some part of it, I shall not read it.

Inquiry—William Astor Kirk

William Astor Kirk (West Texas—C): Mr. Chairman, I wonder, in Paragraph No. 1, should not this be, "from the Methodist membership," on the General Board of the National Council of Churches?

Dr. Weldon: The Chairman would certainly be of the opinion that that was our understanding and intention. We would be glad to spell it out if that is agreeable. I think the Committee would be agreeable to that.

Inquiry Relative to World Federation of Women—Mrs. Harold M. Baker

Mrs. Harold M. Baker (Ohio—NC): I notice in Paragraph No. 1 the World Federation of Women is not included. Is that inferred, are they included, or could I know that this organization will be added to this list of membership? It would seem that this would be a logical organization to be represented here.

Bishop Gum: Will you accept that, or would you rather it be voted?

Dr. Weldon: Bishop Gum, it is the judgment of the committee that this was essentially the recommendation that came from the body which—the two bodies which this will supplant, this new Commission will replace. I certainly would not be in a position to try to

make any changes in the membership. I would point out, however, that if we start adding one agency after another, it may be that we should get it unduly large, but with that statement, I shall certainly be willing for the body to act.

Mrs. Baker: I would think this organization should be represented in some way by name in this group.

Bishop Gum: Do you make a motion to that effect?

Motion to Include World Federation of Women—Mrs. Harold M. Baker

Mrs. Baker: I make a motion to this effect.

Bishop Gum: Name the group.

Mrs. Baker: World Federation of Methodist Women be included in the group.

Bishop Gum: Is there a second?

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Gum: Do you want to speak to it?

Mrs. Baker: No.

Bishop Gum: Are there any questions?

Inquiry Relative to Finances—Edwin L. Jones, Sr.

Edwin L. Jones, Sr. (Western North Carolina—SE): If this is adopted, finances must be provided and we should have some guidance. Does the Committee have any suggestion as to what will be needed? Has that been studied, or would that be left entirely to the Council on World Service and Finance?

Dr. Weldon: It is the judgment of the Committee that the Council on World Service and Finance would have far better information and guidance on such a matter than our Committee, and we would be perfectly willing to leave it to that Committee.

Bishop Gum: There is a motion to add the World Federation of Methodist Women. The motion is to add that to the list.

Suggestion for Change in Wording—Thomas L. Cromwell

Thomas L. Cromwell (North-East Ohio—NC): To help my friend, Mrs. Baker, out, so we don't have to reconsider this, is there to be one or two women as she is suggesting? You had better get it in there. Just to say "World Federation of Methodist Women"—it doesn't say how many.

Bishop Gum: All right. Mrs. Baker, will you answer?

Mrs. Baker: I think two would be more logical than one, sir. Thank you.

Wilson O. Weldon: The Committee accepts that.

Bishop Gum: The Committee accepts it. Does the house? Does the house accept it? Do you want to vote on it?

Inquiry—Jack M. Tuell

Jack M. Tuell (Pacific Northwest—W): I have been looking for the Federation in the *Discipline*. I find one reference to it under

Paragraph 568. I wonder whether—is that an official organization established by the *Discipline* of the Church?

Bishop Gum: Are you ready to vote? If you will vote for the motion which would give the World Federation of Methodist Women two representatives on this group, you will raise your hand. Down. Opposed by the same sign. It is so ordered. (DCA, page 572.)

Inquiry—John R. Van Sickle

John R. Van Sickle (Rock River—NC): I have a question of personal information. In Section 4, I am not sure what the "it" refers to there. "When the General Conference shall decide that negotiation with other Christian bodies are in order it shall create"—does that mean the General Conference? Who creates the ad hoc Committee?

Wilson O. Weldon: It is the understanding of the Chairman it is the General Conference.

John R. Van Sickle: If that is true, how do we find out how they appoint this ad hoc committee? Should not that be spelled out or do we ask the Bishops?

Shouldn't that be spelled out in here?

Wilson R. Weldon: The Council of Bishops, I think.

Bishop Ensley: The Bishops are usually the Nominating Committee. We assume they would nominate and the Conference would elect this ad hoc committee.

Wilson O. Weldon: It is done by the General Conference.

Bishop Ensley: Yes.

Statement to Clarify—George W. Richards

George W. Richards (Central Kansas—SC): First, I would like to call attention to what I believe is a discrepancy created by the omission of the listing of the World Council of Churches in Paragraph 1, down toward the latter third of that paragraph, where it seeks to correlate 13 members in aggregate of the Board of Missions, the National Council, and World Methodist Council. This doesn't add up right. I do not find the World Council of Churches listed above this. I believe that has been omitted.

Bishop Gum: Can you answer that?

Wilson O. Weldon: It is not our understanding, I would be corrected on that, that the World Council was listed here as a representative. If you will give me a moment, I would like to confer with Bishop Ensley.

George W. Richards: Mr. Chairman, I have another matter to which I would like to speak. If it is a matter of correlation, there is no point in waiting on this.

I support the motion and adoption of this report. At the same time, I would like to express a concern for something else. Where we call for the nominations of four coming from each Jurisdiction, we indicate one of these should be a Bishop, one is to be a Clergyman, one is to be a layman and one is to be a laywoman. This Committee is well supported at the top by Episcopal leadership and there is considerable representation from the Methodist Schools of Theology. This is in order and should be with the type of work that this Committee will be doing. But some of us are concerned that we fail to keep our feet on the ground by including pastors of local churches, Executive Secretaries of Annual Conferences and Board Councils, and perhaps even the District Superintendent in these Commissions.

We think these persons also have a contribution to make and we

hope that in making the nominations for this, these will not be overlooked.

Answers to Richards Inquiry—Bishop Gerald Ensley

Bishop Ensley: Mr. Chairman, it doesn't seem to me that there is necessarily discrepancy here. It only means that of this group listed above—the 13—that there shall be at least four Bishops, three laymen, and three laywomen. That would leave a leeway of three for any classifications which might be decided upon. It is only a limitation or indication of the least number.

Bishop Gum: Are you ready to vote? If you will adopt Report No. 22, as Amended, you will raise your hand. Down. Opposed by the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 572; see Appendix, page 1682.)

Inquiry—Arthur V. Long

Arthur V. Long (South Iowa—NC): I would like to ask the Chairman and Dr. Weldon concerning Report No. 13 on Page 318. It refers to . . .

Bishop Gum: This matter has been passed. We cannot discuss it without moving reconsideration.

Arthur V. Long: I would like to ask a question. This refers to action the General Conference took last Saturday—and to the Committee on Missions, and this particular paragraph was deleted and a totally new paragraph was inserted in the report in the action last Saturday at the General Conference.

I am not sure how we ought to proceed. This refers to a Paragraph which is no longer in the *Discipline.*

Wilson O. Weldon: Bishop, this has already been acted upon. Would I have the authority to withdraw this?

Bishop Gum: We will have to reconsider first. Do you move to reconsider?

Motion to Reconsider Report No. 13—Wilson O. Weldon

Wilson O. Weldon: It is a matter of correlating. I will be glad to move to reconsider.

Bishop Gum: If you will reconsider this matter, raise your hand. Opposed by the same sign. You may make a motion. (DCA, page 574.)

Motion to Withdraw Report No. 13—Wilson O. Weldon

Wilson O. Weldon: I move that Report No. 13 be withdrawn.

Bishop Gum: If you will support that, you will raise your hand. Down. Opposed by the same sign. It is withdrawn. (DCA, page 574.)

Report of Progress on Legislative Reports—Willis M. Tate

Willis M. Tate (North Texas—SC): May I give the members of the General Conference a little report? You have sent to the Secretary 429 calendar reports which have been received. You have now acted upon 77 of those and we are making good progress.

The Committee on Ministry is next with Dean William Cannon to report.

Bishop Gum: According to the agenda tonight, it calls for a recess

in just six minutes. Do you want to go into this now or take the recess at this time? Will you make the motion?

Willis M. Tate: I think if you will stay in session until 9:30, we can finish the work before us.

Motion to Recess Defeated—William M. James

William M. James (New York—NE): I move that we recess now and come back in time for the reports.

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Gum: If you vote to recess now, you will raise your hand. Down. If you are opposed to it, raise your hand. We do not recess. (DCA, page 574.)

Report No. 1, Committee on Ministry, Presented—William R. Cannon

William R. Cannon (North Georgia—SE): Members of the General Conference, since there are only four short reports tonight, I hope that we can finish before 9:30, depending, of course, upon you.

The first report, No. 1, Calendar Item No. 2 is found on page 121. It is a list of miscellaneous items. For example, there is one recommendation that the Bishops appoint preachers by casting lots.

Oddly enough, Bishop Gum, that is called the "Arthur J. Moore morning glory plan."

That is a sample only, but we move nonconcurrence.

Bishop Gum: If you will adopt Report No. 1, raise your hand. Opposed by the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 574; see Appendix, page 1496.)

Report No. 3, Committee on Ministry, Presented—William R. Cannon

William R. Cannon: The next report is found on page 186. It is Report No. 3, Calendar Item No. 31, and that report has to do with the retirement of Ministers. Some of these items are already covered and we move nonconcurrence on that report, Calendar Item 31, Report No. 3.

Bishop Gum: If you will adopt No. 3, you will raise your hand. Down. Opposed by the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 574; see Appendix, page 1498.)

Report No. 4, Committee on Ministry, Presented—William R. Cannon

William R. Cannon: Next is Calendar Item No. 32, Report No. 4. This is a Memorial to establish a Churchwide Commission to study Spiritual Healing. We did not disparage and do not disparage spiritual healing, but we didn't see how it could be improved by any study commission. It is very difficult to study the activities of the Holy Spirit, and we move nonconcurrence. I move adoption of the report.

Statement of Clarification—Lemuel K. Lord

Lemuel K. Lord (New England—NE): I appreciate the Dean's humor, but the Committee really acted here on the basis that we

approve the work being done by Ecumenical bodies and felt Methodism would better support the work done by Churches working together in establishing standard. We think it is worthwhile to do research here and work with other denominations.

Bishop Gum: If you will adopt Report No. 4, you will raise your hand. Down. Opposed by the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 574; see Appendix, page 1498.)

Inquiry Relative to Report No. 2—Charles W. Kessler

Charles W. Kessler (Troy—NE): On page 258 in the Advocate, there is a minority report attached to Calendar 30 which we just acted on a few moments ago.

Report No. 2, Committee on Ministry, Presented—William R. Cannon

William R. Cannon: I beg your pardon, Mr. Kessler, beg your pardon. We acted on Calendar No. 31. We are about to act on No. 30. We have adopted Reports 1, 3 and 4.

If you will turn now to Calendar No. 30, it is on the same page, so I can easily see the confusion. Let us look at Report No. 2. The subject is the same as Report No. 3, but the content of the Memorial is different.

This has to do with the retirement age of ministers and the Memorial suggests that the retirement age be 70 instead of the present age 72.

The Committee, by a close vote, as you will see, recommends nonconcurrence.

There is to be a minority report found on page 258 in the Advocate and that report will be presented by another member of the Committee, Dr. Clytus Mowry of the Genesee Conference. Let me speak now for the majority report.

In the first place, if I may borrow from speeches given earlier in this Conference, one especially by a layman, Leonard Slutz, we need age and experience in the ministry, and there are evidences of improvement in health and strength of older people. Secondly, there is a great shortage of ministers. It is not the time to encourage them to leave when we need their services.

Third, there is a study report of a Committee which worked for a quadrennium on the Ministry, and from whom you heard the other afternoon. They looked at every aspect of ministerial life and they recommended many changes, but among those recommendations, so far as I remember, this was not recommended. The retirement age was left as it is.

Then, finally, if you take seriously the action of the morning in regard to the adoption of the report of the Coordinating Council on the general superintendency, if you change this legislation, you will introduce into the ministry a serious ambiguity, because we have set a Bishop's retirement, so far as his compensation is concerned, and his work—some of it may be with general agencies, but nonetheless, at 72. The retirement age of the preacher, superintendent, and Bishop ought to be the same.

Notice a sentence in the legislation passed this morning which would throw real confusion into the situation—if you make any change at this point tonight; provided, however, that a Bishop, when he retires—he does retire when he attains the mandatory requirement age for all ministers, and then we cited paragraph 268, the paragraph under consideration.

The majority of the committee urges you not to make the change,

but to leave the retirement age as it now is at 72. A minister can retire earlier, but the mandatory age, we think, ought to stay the same.

Minority Report Presented—Clytus F. Mowry

Clytus F. Mowry (Genesee—NE): The minority report will be found, as Dean Cannon indicated, on page 258, the middle column, half way down.

The minority committee recommends the change in Paragraph 368 of the 1960 *Discipline* by deleting from line 2 the words "seventy-second" and inserting in their place the word "seventieth" and adding this additional sentence: "This provision becomes effective with the Annual Conference sessions of 1966; prior to said effective date, the provision of the 1960 *Discipline* shall apply."

The reason for setting this date is to enable those Conferences that might have a difficulty in preparing for this pension increase an opportunity to plan and prepare for it.

Also you will note that an additional change is recommended in the pension code that will correlate this particular legislation, changing the figure 72 to 70 and adding the same effective date.

Thirty-three members of the minority committee favor this report for the following reasons:

We live in a much different age than we did 25 years ago when the 72-year rule was adopted. Since then; pension support has been greatly improved, social security legislation has been adopted, revolutionizing retirement support. No longer is there the threat of indigency and dependency.

Second. Furthermore, the Board of Pensions has anticipated, and more or less favored this action, providing you act this way.

How many ministers are actually involved if this change should be made? According to the recent study, only 1.2 percent of Ministers in full connection and in effective relationship are between the ages of 68 and 72, or 232 persons would be effected in 1966, provided none of them retire before then.

Third. Earlier retirement is the prevailing trend of our modern society. We see it in business and all about us. Many concerns are setting the age 65 as a compulsory retirement. Some are lowering it to 62, and even 60.

It is the prevailing trend in the area of education. Nearly all states have at least 70, some 68, and a few 65. Universities and colleges of our denomination and others set 68 and many 65.

Our General Boards are suggesting 68. In spite of what Dean Cannon has indicated to you, I believe that the action we took in regard to the Bishops would require the correlation of this with that particular legislation, and it would help greatly.

Fourth. The arguments advanced against this action are not persuasive. Some may say that he cannot afford to retire. We have shown that frequently with improved pensions, social security, and the salary from a small church as a retired supply pastor, a minister may well receive a total income that is substantially greater than his salary before retirement. Again, some will say that we should retain this experienced leadership. We hope to do just that. If a minister's health permitted, upon retirement he could be appointed to a small church, or a position as an associate pastor and continue serving, bringing the value and skill of experienced leadership to a small appointment which has previously had only approved supply pastors, building up the quality of its work thereby.

Frankly, I could use on the Rochester District about five such this year if they were available.

Again, some say doctors and lawyers don't have to retire at 70, why should ministers? There is a great difference here. Doctors and lawyers control their own work and command their time. Days off, vacations are arranged by the busiest of them when the need is felt, with others covering their responsibilities and, furthermore, their patients and clients will retire them when they see it is necessary.

A minister cannot do this, but is usually confronted with greater demands at 70 than he knew at 30 when he was at the peak of his energy.

Fifth. It is increasingly difficult for a minister of 70 to understand and to minister to modern youth. This problem was ably underscored in the dramatic production the other night where father and son no longer were able to communicate with understanding, and only recently an older minister said to me: "I don't bother with the M.Y.F. any more. I let the lay counsellors handle them." And we wonder why fewer young people go into the ministry.

Finally, I will speak for a group whose voice is never heard on the floor of the Conference, the ministers' wives. Before becoming a District Superintendent, I served for a quadrennium as the Chairman of the Genesee Conference Relations Committee. One minister's wife said to me, "My husband is 69. Is there anything you or your committee can do to persuade my husband to retire? He thinks he has to go until he is 72 or he will be considered a shirker."

I had to answer, "I am sorry. There is nothing. He must choose to retire voluntarily before the age of 72, if he retires."

Eighteen months later, that minister died in the saddle, as he would put it, but a lonely widow was deprived of the enjoyment of a few sunset years with her husband.

For these reasons, the retirement provision of our day, the Board of Pensions favors it, it is a trend of our day, no strong arguments against it, ministry to youth, and the right of the minister's wife. I therefore strongly urge your support for the Minority Report, and I move its adoption.

Bishop Gum: We have the report of the Committee and the Minority Report of the Committee. The first thing we will do is to perfect the Majority Report. When that is completed, we will perfect the Minority Report. First of all, perfect the Majority Report.

Do you want to speak to that?

G. Wesley Lewis (Wyoming—NE): I wish to speak against the Amendment.

Bishop Gum: This is a matter of perfecting the Majority Report. Do you have any Amendments to the Majority Report?

Mr. Lewis: I want to speak in opposition to the substitute.

Bishop Gum: That is not before us at this time.

There seems to be nothing before us on the Majority Report. We will perfect the Minority Report. You may now speak.

Statement Opposing Minority Report—G. Wesley Lewis

G. Wesley Lewis: May I say I fail to harmonize in my thinking with the previous speaker's logic. He states them in his own district. He has a shortage of ministers where he could use these older men, and yet at the same time, he is saying that we ought to force them into retirement.

I fail to harmonize this in my thinking. The fact is, we recognize there is a shortage of ministers. We recognize through this legislation that ample provisions are made for voluntary retirement at an early age. I fail to see how we improve our position when we recog-

nize we have this shortage by facing the fact these men who are willing to continue and want to continue are forced into retirement.

I respect the judgment of the District Superintendent and our Episcopal leaders at this point. I am sure that they are amply capable of determining when a man has reached the retirement age and it is necessary for him to have a lighter assignment, but I do believe that when we have such a critical shortage of talented, skilled ministers, we do not improve our situation by forcing retirement on them.

As long as we have ample provision for earlier retirement at their desire, I think we should defeat this and make it possible for them to continue until they are 72 if they so desire.

Statement Favoring Minority Report—John H. Rixse, Jr.

John H. Rixse, Jr. (Virginia—SE): I would like to speak in favor of the Minority Report. I speak of this as a layman, not as a minister. I would like to call your attention to the fact—speaking primarily to the laymen here—we should consider the benefit of favoring our Ministers the same as we do a lay brother with whom we work in a day to day relationship throughout this country.

As has been pointed out, and as we amply know by examples of others, we have been lowering the retirement age for all professional people, factory workers, all skilled and craftsmen. It is much below 70. I personally think it is the humane thing to do, the decent thing to do.

Point two, again, as a layman, I can cite cases, and I think you can, where brethren have retired, taking Social Security, and taking appointments where they qualified as approved supply pastors and are earning more than they would have if they had not retired.

It is not entirely a financial disadvantage to them.

Point three. I do not believe that we want to keep the ministers until 72 and look for a place with a lighter load. All churches need most competent people, and who can pull a full load. I do not think we ought to keep the age 72 years because we have a shortage.

Statement Opposing Minority Report—Cecil F. Ristow

Cecil F. Ristow (Pacific Northwest—W): I shudder to think what would have happened to The Methodist Church in the beginning if John Wesley had been compelled to retire at the age of 72.

I would like to call the attention of the Conference to the fact that the ministry is not exactly parallel to other callings. We have been called to preach the gospel and as long as there are empty churches and churches without pastors and we have energy to carry on, this is what we want to do. At this moment, I am eligible to retire. If either my health or that of my wife required retirement, I would do it at the forthcoming Conference in June, but until that happens, I intend to keep on working.

Now I have on the wall of my study a picture of John Wesley which was given to me by a layman, a member of one of my parishes.

Under the picture of Wesley in his eighties are the words, "Still firm of step, although gray time had overtaken him."

In view of the need for ministers and in view of the ways in which the health of our men can be maintained and lengthened, I think we ought to keep our retirement age as it is.

Statement Favoring Minority Report—C. W. Kessler

C. W. Kessler (Troy—NE): Mr. Chairman, I speak in support of

the Minority Report, to lower the figure for automatic retirement to age 70, and for the following reasons:

First, the trend in other great denominations is toward a lower retirement age for veteran ministers. For example, the Protestant Episcopal Church has established the age of 68 as its standard. Lowering the age for automatic retirement would be in line with practices adopted by colleges, universities, research foundations, and other institutions manned by brain workers, although in every case they put the retirement age mostly at age 65.

Secondly, the provision to lower our retirement to age 70 follows the prevailing trend of Methodist ministers toward lower voluntary retirement. At the time of the 1960 General Conference, the average age at which our ministers asked for retirement was 69. The last year, the average had dropped 1.2 years, and now it stands at 67.8 years of age.

By setting retirement age at 70, we shall still leave a margin of over two years between the current average and the disciplinary requirement.

One more point. If the required age for retirement was set as 70, there is nothing else to prevent a retired brother from serving as a retired supply.

Previous Question Moved—James Fraggos

James Fraggos (New England—NE): I would like to move the previous question.

Bishop Gum: If you favor the previous question, raise your hand. Down. Opposed, by the same sign. It is ordered. (DCA, page 576.)

We will now have a statement by the Chairman and the minority reporter.

J. Henry Ernst (Pacific Northwest—W): We will leave it to the House.

William R. Cannon: We, likewise, will leave the matter to the House to vote correctly.

Minority Report Defeated—Majority Report Adopted

Bishop Gum: We will vote on the Minority Report. If you favor the Minority Report, you will raise your hand. Down. If you do not favor the Minority Report, raise your hand. The Minority Report is lost.

We have the Majority Report before us. If you favor the Majority Report, raise your hand. Down. Opposed, the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 576; see Appendix, page 1496.)

Reports of Committee on Conferences Called For—Willis M. Tate

Willis M. Tate: Bishop Gum, we have one more committee to report, the Committee on Conferences. Dr. Trigg James will present the report.

Suspension of Rules Moved—D. Trigg James, Sr.

D. Trigg James, Sr. (Holston—SE): Mr. Chairman, I would like to move the suspension of rules in order that we may have one report presented for reference to the Committee on Rules. I think this will not encounter any debate. It is merely for reference and I hope we may hear it. Henry Ernest will present it.

Bishop Gum: If you will suspend the rules, raise your hand. Opposed, the same sign. It is done. (DCA, page 576.)

Proposal From Committee on Missions—J. Henry Ernst

J. Henry Ernst (Pacific Northwest—W): Mr. Chairman, this recommendation comes from the Committee on Conferences and we are suggesting that it be referred to the Rules Committee. There are six separate suggestions. The first is called the Standing Legislative Committee.

It is just a piece of paper. You haven't got it. It is to be printed in the Journal tomorrow. That is why I am reading it.

First of all, it is the Standing Legislative Committee. Delegates should be able to choose any standing committee from 1 to 14. A heavy extra burden is now placed on those chosen to serve on the committees numbered from 10 to 14. Since this group is chosen from those who already have responsibilities on regular committees, they must work long, extra hours.

Special study committees authorized by the General Conference. Too extensive use of this procedure tends to limit the work and importance of our legislative committees. Under ordinary circumstances, special study committees should report briefly to the General Conference, after which their reports should be referred automatically to the appropriate legislative committees. Their material, however, should be in the hands of the Legislative Committees for study at the beginning of the General Conference sessions. There were two suggestions: one, a two-thirds majority vote, in special cases, by the General Conference at the time of authorization of the Study Committee, could give the Study Committee the right to bypass the Legislative Committee; or, after the Study Committee makes its report, the General Conference by a two-thirds vote could refer it to the appropriate Legislative Committee.

Deadline for Memorials. The opening day of General Conference as the deadline for receiving Memorials does not give the Committee on Reference adequate time to properly refer the Memorials. Closer study of Memorials before reference would greatly facilitate the work of the Committee.

Not more than ten nor fewer than five days before the opening of the General Conference should mark the closing date for receiving Memorials. Trained personnel could do the work of reference, for the task is primarily a clerical one. Then all Memorials and the Committees to which they have been referred could be listed in the first issue of the *Christian Advocate.*

Parliamentarian. Uniformity on rulings would be more easily achieved if the same parliamentarian aided the presiding officers for the whole Conference. The Parliamentarian could well be selected by the Council of Bishops itself.

Material for Overseas Delegates. Lateness of elections in some overseas Conferences make it difficult to get material to these delegates. This is embarrassing and causes irritation.

A packet could be prepared with all the materials so far distributed to delegates and be ready for the overseas delegates one week before Conference convenes. Since most overseas delegates arrive at their seat of the General Conference early, this would insure them an opportunity for study.

Motion to Refer Resolution to Rules Committee—D. Trigg James, Sr.

D. Trigg James, Sr. (Holston—SE): Mr. Chairman, the motion is to refer to the Committee on Rules and Orders.

Bishop Gum: Are there any questions? If you will refer this paper . . .

All right, sir, No. 2.

Suggestion for Procedure—Ben F. Lehmberg

Ben F. Lehmberg (Rocky Mountain—W): I would like to offer a suggestion, not an Amendment to this, but for the foreign delegates. Why couldn't the packet be prepared and sent to the Conferences and then passed on to the delegates after their election, just as a suggestion?

Statement Relative to Deadline on Memorials—Eugene L. Smith

Eugene L. Smith (Newark—NE): May I just say a word about the Proposal to have the deadline on Memorials be that many days before General Conference?

This, in fairness to overseas delegates who often arrive with acute concerns, who have not been aware of the development in some of the discussions, who often ask how to draft Memorials and send them in, so that they may arrive in this country at a time when this would eliminate their chance to submit memorials, I hope that the date may be later than that which was suggested from the platform just a moment ago.

Inquiry—Edwin E. Reeves

Edwin E. Reeves (Southern California-Arizona—W): Would it not be possible to make an exception in the case of overseas delegates so that the rest can abide by the rules?

Suggested Change Accepted by Committee—D. Trigg James

D. Trigg James: The committee will accept that as a part of the reference and add it by oral presentation as it is on the desk, just as a courtesy to these overseas delegates.

Bishop Gum: If you will refer the paper to the Rules Committee, Committee on Organization, raise your hand. Down. Opposed, the same sign. It is so ordered. (DCA, page 577.)

Inquiry—John D. Herr

John D. Herr (Philadelphia—NE): Could I ask Dr. James to leave a copy of these proposed changes with the Secretary for the Rules Committee? I doubt if they will be printed by tomorrow morning.

Answer—D. Trigg James, Sr.

D. Trigg James, Sr.: They are already in the hands of the printer. We tried to get them yesterday, but they will be in the *Daily Advocate.*

Personal Privilege—Mrs. W. L. Perryman

Mrs. W. L. Perryman (Missouri West—SC): May I have a matter of personal privilege?

Because there seems to be some confusion about the World Federa-

tion of Methodist Women, I wonder if I might have a word of explanation at this time in which I would be granted that time?

The World Federation of Methodist Women is an organization of the Methodist women of the world. It is made up of all women who are members of the Methodist groups who make up the World Methodist Council. This includes five different groups in the United States; the African Methodist Episcopal, African Methodist Episcopal Zion, the Free Methodists, the Christian Methodist Episcopal and the Methodist unit.

This group voted a few minutes ago to include two from the World Federation of Methodist Women as members of the new Committee on Ecumenical Relations. I understand these members are to be appointed by the Bishops. I am wondering if they will not need a little help as to the inclusiveness of this group.

I would like to ask—it will take only a few minutes, if we would reconsider Report 22, Calendar 69, Committee on Interdenominational Relations in order that I may make a motion that I feel will be of help to the Bishops when they make this selection?

Bishop Gum: Are you moving reconsideration?

Mrs. Perryman: Yes.

Bishop Gum: If you will reconsider Report 22, Calendar 69, you will raise your hand. Down. Opposed by the same sign. It is not reconsidered. (DCA, page 577.)

Announcements

Several announcements were made by the Secretary and others.

Bishop Gum: Stand and Bishop Willis J. King will dismiss us.

Benediction—Bishop Willis J. King

Bishop King: May the blessing of God, our Father, and the grace of our Lord Jesus Christ and the fellowship and communion of the Holy Spirit rest and abide with you now and forever. Amen.

TENTH DAY, WEDNESDAY, MAY 6, 1964
MORNING SESSION

Pursuant to adjournment the 1964 General Conference of The Methodist Church was convened in the morning session of the Tenth Day, Wednesday, May 6, 1964 at 8:30 A.M., with Bishop Edgar A. Love presiding.

Bishop Love: Let the Conference be in order. Delegates will please take their seats.

Our devotions this morning will be led by Bishop Everett W. Palmer of the Seattle Area.

Bishop Palmer.

Bishop Palmer: Oh, come, let us worship and bow down. Let us kneel before the Lord, our maker, for He is the Lord our God and we are the people of His pasture and the sheep of His hand.

Let us sing together to the Hymn No. 111, "Fairest Lord Jesus."

Responsive Reading—19th Sunday; Prayers, page 53.

Bishop Palmer delivered an appropriate devotional message. (See Appendix, page 2240.)

The conference rose and sang in unison Hymn 465.

Bishop Palmer: Now, may the grace of our Lord Jesus Christ, the love of God our Father, and the fellowship of the Holy Spirit rest upon and abide with each of us now and ever more. Amen.

Bishop Love: I am sure you desire me to thank Bishop Palmer for his inspiring message which makes each of us search his own heart.

At this time, we will hear the report of the Committee on Journal, William James.

Committee on Journal—William M. James

William M. James (New York—NE): Mr. Chairman, and Members of the Conference, the Committee on Journal has examined the Journal record for the evening session of Monday, May 4, and found it correct except for minor editorial changes which the Journal secretary will correct. I move the adoption.

Bishop Love: The motion is before you. Shall you adopt the Journal? If you approve, raise your hand. Opposed, the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 578.)

Committee on Credentials—Paul M. Hann

Paul M. Hann (South Iowa—NC): Bishop Love, Members of the Conference, the Committee on Credentials has met and approved the seating of the delegates reported by the chairmen of the Annual Conference for May 5 and 6. The delegates' names will appear in proper form in the Journal. I move adoption of the report.

Bishop Love: Shall we adopt the report on the Credentials? If so, lift the hand. Contrary? It is adopted. (DCA, page 578.)

Committee on Agenda—J. Otis Young

Dr. J. Otis Young (Ohio—NC): Mr. Chairman, members of the Conference, you can fully appreciate when you fix an agenda at a meeting following the noon adjournment that the thing you proposed the next morning is not quite that which you arranged for at that particular time. Therefore, I should like to make two suggestions and move the adoption of the agenda. First of all, may I read to you this note from the secretary. "It is extremely important that the ballot for the Judicial Council be taken today. It will require a suspension of rules which call for the biographical sketches to be printed two days prior to the time. If you wait until tomorrow to vote, we probably cannot complete the elections before Friday because another ballot must be printed before the alternates can be voted upon. The ballots for elections are ready."

It is the hope of the secretary that we would move to suspend the rules and receive this first ballot as the first order of the business today.

I should like to make that motion.

Bishop Love: The motion is before you. Are you ready? As many as will adopt it, lift the hand. Contrary, the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 578.)

J. Otis Young (Ohio—NC): Now, Mr. Chairman, the other items on the agenda will be as they appear on the front cover of the *Daily Christian Advocate*. With this exception: At 11:30 where we have legislative committee reports; your agenda committee would like to list that as a time when we would complete the report of the Coordinating Council. We would like to make that as an order of the day for 11:35. With this one change, I should like to move that this be the order for the morning, afternoon, and evening sessions.

Request for Reading Agenda—Harold Swales

Harold Swales (Central New York—NE): I wish you would read that. Many of us do not have our *Advocate* and do not know what is on the Agenda.

Bishop Love: May I see the hands of all the delegates who do not have them. Will the pages please see that these are supplied immediately.

Brother Young will read the agenda today to you.

J. Otis Young: This is your agenda until you receive the *Advocate*. At 9:15 Report of the Committee on Worship.

10:15 M. C. O. R.

10:45 Methodist Corporation Report No. 1.

10:55 Committee on Rules.

11:10 Committee on Family Life.

11:25 Report of the Historical Association.

11:30 Joint Statement from the Board of Hospitals and Homes and the Board of Christian Social Concerns.

That will bring us to 11:35 which has been established as the Order of the Day for the Coordinating Council.

Bishop Love: That is the morning agenda.

Are you ready to vote? As many as will establish this as the agenda, lift the hand. Contrary the same sign. It is done. (DCA, page 578.)

Committee on Courtesies and Privileges—John B. Jones

John B. Jones (Baltimore—NE): Mr. Chairman, first we would like to move that the Secretary of this Conference send greetings

from the Conference to the Bishops of the Christian Methodist Episcopal Church meeting today with their General Board at Gary, Indiana.

Greetings Sent to Christian Methodist Episcopal Church

Bishop Love: The motion is to send greetings to the Bishops of The Christian Methodist Episcopal Church in session today. Those in favor, lift the hand. Contrary? The Secretary will so do. (DCA, page 578.)

Proposal to Have Catholic Bishop Wright Presented—John B. Jones

John B. Jones: Other items are more in the nature of announcements. We request that the courtesy of the platform be given for the presentation of Bishop John Wright, Bishop of the Roman Catholic Diocese of Pittsburgh, who is expected to be here at approximately 2:45 this afternoon. Bishop Middleton and Bishop Corson will take care of the arrangements, but we would like to have this courtesy established.

Bishop Love: You have heard the request. If you will have Bishop Wright of the Roman Catholic Church presented to you this afternoon at approximately 2:45 P.M., lift the hands. Contrary? It is done. Thank you.

Retiring Bishops to Be Presented

John B. Jones: We will be planning to present the retiring bishops in this period tomorrow morning. We would like to alert you about that.

There are two people who have asked for privileges. I believe there is time if you would grant a matter of personal privilege to Dr. Frank Williams at Microphone 9, I believe.

Personal Privilege—Frank S. Williams

Frank S. Williams (Southern California-Arizona—W): Because of the excellent service provided by the pages and marshalls of the General Conference, I move that we request the Commission on Entertainment for the General Conference of 1968 to make provision in its budget for the remuneration of the marshalls and pages.

Bishop Love: Is there a second?

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Love: The motion is now before you. Is there any discussion? As many as approve this, please lift the hand. Hands down. Contrary, the same sign. It is approved. (DCA, page 578.)

John B. Jones: The other matter of privilege, Bishop, is privilege of the floor for a moment to Brother Harvey O. Yoder of the North-East Ohio Conference who will be recognized at Microphone No. 4.

Personal Privilege—Harvey O. Yoder

Harvey O. Yoder (North-East Ohio—NC): Mr. Bishop and fellow members of this Conference, in the hymnal that you will find at your places, on the preface, you will find a committee of 1928 authorized

by this Conference in former days, a committee of 15 who authorized this hymnal, 5 bishops, 5 clergymen, and 5 lay members. Those bishops have all passed to the beyond, but among the ministers authorized there is the name of Dr. Oscar Thomas Olsen. For 25 years, he was the minister of our church in Cleveland, Epworth Euclid. He is now minister emeritus of that church. He has been stricken. Half of his body is paralyzed, but his mind is clear. I sometimes think that because of the long service he has had that he is here today in spirit, and I think it would be a very gracious thing if we authorized the secretary of this conference to send the greetings of this order to him where he is at the Wagner Sanitarium in Cleveland Heights, Ohio. It will gladden him and I think it is a gracious thing to do. I move it.

The motion was seconded.

Greetings Sent to Dr. Oscar Thomas Olsen

Bishop Love: It is moved and seconded that greetings be sent to Dr. Oscar Thomas Olsen. As many as agree, raise the hand. Contrary? Thank you very much.

I served with him on several commissions a good many years ago. I am sure he will appreciate a message from this Conference.

Personal Privilege—Ezekias G. Gacutan

Ezekias G. Gacutan (Northwest Philippines—OS): Mr. Chairman and members of the General Conference, in behalf of the overseas delegates, permit me to remind your good selves that last night the delegate from the Philippines was trying to call the attention of the high-handed Chair with the top of his voice, but it fell on a deaf ear.

We came from 10,000 miles away and it is very expensive for America to pay this. You would not like that we come just to sit down. We did not bring our shoes to be tapped on the top of our tables like Khrushchev did in the United Nations. We brought our feelings which we hope will be considered by your kind attention and we beg of you sanctified common sense, quoted from Bishop Kennedy; we ask for common sense and sympathetic understanding. If it is not our problem, we close our ears, but it is our international problem. Please lend us your ears and justify our being here. Please give us a chance in America to do this. We come and we beg you to give and consider this. Thank you.

Bishop Love: Let me say to my good brother from the Philippines, my left ear is as good as my right ear, and I will try to hear every voice from the left, from the right, as well as the voices from the center. We apologize if you think the Chair has not heretofore listened to you. It is awful hard at times, but the Chair at no time wants to be discourteous to any delegate, whether from at home or abroad. Bear that in mind, please.

Ballot for Judicial Council

Bishop Love: I want to present—if you will let us—the voting for the Judicial Council. If we don't get it in this morning, it will be difficult to complete this election at this General Conference. We have been asked by the Committee on Agenda if you will let us do that now and we will be doing a favor to ourselves.

Mr. Secretary, will you come and tell us how this is to be done

and get the tellers ready, and those with ballots will present them to the Conference.

Point of Order—Robert Preusch

Robert Preusch (New York East—NE): I would like to call to your attention that one of the names in nomination (for Judicial Council) is indicated as being 39 years old. The *Discipline*, under Section 901, I believe it is, requires a candidate to be at least 40 years old. I noticed that most ages are not listed. I wonder if there are other such errors. I move the name be deleted.

Bishop Love: A point of order is made that one of those nominated for a position on the Judicial Council is only 39 years of age and the *Discipline* requires that he be at least 40. I don't know who he is and how we can deal with it unless you tell us the name.

Robert Preusch: The name, sir, is William Burnett. His qualifications impressed me. I was reading them. I noticed the date, checked the *Discipline*, and noticed that he was too young.

Bishop Love: If that is true, I think the Chair would have to rule that he would be ineligible for election, and I presume it is true unless someone raises the question of the other side that it is not.

The Chair will rule, then, that William Burnett will not be eligible for election to the Judicial Council.

Voting Instructions Given by Secretary

Dr. Leon Moore: In that case, can we go ahead with the election? According to Paragraph 901, there must be six times the nominees of ministers to be filled and six times the laymen to be filled, which means we must have 18 nominees. We have those 18, but we have extras from the floor, so I think it would be all right.

May I ask the captains of the six divisions to come forward and have the ballots distributed before we give instructions.

Bishop Love: The names of all those who were nominated are before you.

Page 375 of yesterday's Journal.

Dr. Moore: Page 403 contains the name of a nominee.

Point of Order

George H. Proctor (Alabama-West Florida—SE): Sir, could we have the lists that were nominated by the College of Bishops read to us?

Bishop Love: The brother is calling for the names of those who were nominated by the Council of Bishops, I believe.

While we are waiting, I want to apologize for the *Advocate* not being in the hands of some of you. It is not the fault of the pages, but the press did not get enough out in time for the distribution. The pages are not at fault.

Point of Order

W. F. Davis (Peninsula—NE): Mr. Chairman. It seems to me that this is a point of prejudice for the body to have the names read of those nominated by the bishops and not the others. This wouldn't be political strategy in my state.

Bishop Love: Would you have the complete list read?

W. F. Davis: Yes, certainly.

Bishop Love: All right. We will have the complete list read of all those in nomination for the Judicial Council.

The secretary of the Conference will have to read them. You will listen to those nominated by the Council.

Leon T. Moore: Mr. Chairman, they are all in the *Advocate.* I can read them. Do you want me to?

W. F. Davis: The thing I am trying to make is that to have the names of those presented by the bishops would be unfair.

Bishop Love: The point is well taken. I ask the secretary of the General Conference to read all the names.

Leon T. Moore: You will find them all on the ballot, but I will read them.

Dr. Moore read the list.

Bishop Love: You will mark your ballot for 3 clerical and 2 lay.

Instructions Given by Secretary

Leon T. Moore: There should be a word of explanation on this.

If you will turn to page 13 of your *Handbook,* you will find there you have remaining in the class of 1968, 2 ministers and 2 laymen. The law requires that by the election we shall have 5 ministers and 4 laymen on the Judicial Conference and every Jurisdiction must be represented.

Brother Throckmorton is from the South Central, Brother Humphreys from the Southeast, Brother Irwin from the Southeast, Brother Berry from the North Central.

It is necessary to elect 3 ministers and 2 laymen to an 8-year term expiring in 1972. At least one of the 5 members to be elected must be from each of the following Jurisdictions: North Eastern, North Central, and Western, because those 3 Jurisdictions are not represented in the 4 carryovers from the class of 1968.

Inquiry—Douglas E. Jackson

Douglas E. Jackson (Louisiana—SC)**:** Is a ballot valid if it does not have a ballot for each of these Jurisdictions?

Bishop Love: Your ballot would be all right, but I don't see how it could be counted if each Jurisdiction is not represented.

Your point is well taken. Your ballot will be valid. It may mean that you will have to do some re-electing if you don't get the candidate from the Jurisdictions that do not have representation.

Are you ready to vote?

Leon T. Moore: Mr. Chairman, may we understand, of course, that a ballot may not have more than 3 votes for ministers and 2 for laymen.

Bishop Love: Must it have a complete list of 5 names from the—

Leon T. Moore: No, 3 ministers and 2 laymen. You can't vote for more than 3 ministers and 2 laymen. That is what we are electing.

Bishop Love: I understand that. What I am asking would the ballot be incorrect if it has less than that?

Leon T. Moore: Yes, I would think it would be, according to the way we ballot for delegates to the General Conference.

Floyd H. Coffman (Kansas—SC)**:** We don't all have ballots, if you are at that stage.

Bishop Love: Where are the ballots? How many delegates do not have ballots, raise your hand? One, and here is one over here.

Are we ready now to vote? Please mark your ballots.

Leon T. Moore: Will the tellers in Group A that have worked before please take your places.

Bishop Love: Tellers in Group A mark your ballots quickly, and then take your places.

Leon T. Moore: Mr. Chairman, may we permit the members of the body on the platform be permitted to pass their ballots out, although they are not on the floor?

Bishop Love: The delegates on the platform desire to ballot where they are. If that is permitted, raise your hands. It is done.

As soon as the tellers have marked your ballot, please stand and take your places. You may fold the ballots if you want to, but you do not need to. It will help the tellers if you don't fold them. They will not be invalid if you do, however.

Leon T. Moore: Will the captains of the various sections please take your places?

Bishop Love: The secretary requests the captains of the sections to take their places. I guess balloting is different from the Annual Conference where our minds are made up and it doesn't take long to put our names down, but here even the tellers seem to have difficulty.

Announcement—Leon T. Moore

Leon T. Moore: May I make an announcement, Mr. Chairman?

Bishop Love: Yes.

Leon T. Moore: The tellers will please come forward because the tellers must go to a different room and count the ballots while we are in session.

Bishop Love: The tellers will have to be excused by the conference so that they can go and count the ballots. As soon as you have made the collection in your section, please come forward.

Leon T. Moore: May I make another announcement?

Bishop Love: Yes, Mr. Secretary.

Leon T. Moore: Go to Room 203 of this building to count the ballots, all tellers.

Bishop Love: All tellers will go to Room 203 of this building to count the ballots.

Have you collected the ballots all over the house? Have all the captains received their ballots?

Will the Conference excuse these? Lift your hand.

They are excused to count the ballots.

May I ask that the secretary of the Council of Bishops at this time be allowed to complete the nominations from the Council of Bishops.

Nomination From Council of Bishops—Bishop Roy H. Short

Bishop Short: Mr. Chairman, I wish to complete this list for this morning, it is not complete.

Town and Country Commission: Bishop Aubrey G. Walton, Bishop Ralph T. Alton, Bishop Newell S. Booth, Bishop Walter C. Gum, A new bishop from the Central Jurisdiction, and a new bishop from the Western Jurisdiction.

TRAFCO: Bishop Lloyd C. Wicke, Bishop James W. Henley, Bishop Aubrey G. Walton, Ernest Lee, New Jersey; J. Hubert Orr, Alabama-West Florida; Carl T. Wethers, Louisiana—C; Eldon Campbell, Indiana; J. C. Love, Jr., Louisiana; Edwin E. Reeves, Southern California-Arizona.

Washington Corporation: Bishop W. Vernon Middleton, Bishop Paul N. Garber, Bishop Noah W. Moore, Jr., Bishop Walter C. Gum, Bishop Richard C. Raines, Bishop Paul E. Martin, new bishop from Western Jurisdiction, new bishop from Central Jurisdiction, Resident Bishop of Washington, Bishop Hazen G. Werner, new bishop from North Central Jurisdiction, new bishop from Southeastern Jurisdiction, Bill Price, North Carolina; John J. Hicks, Central West; Thurman Dodson, Washington; Jewell Smoot, Detroit; Samuel Witwer, Rock River; Donald Redmond, Southwest Texas; R. E. Smith, Texas; J. Henry Ernst, Pacific Northwest; Erwin Schwiebert, Idaho.

Coordinating Council: Bishop A. Raymond Grant, Bishop James K. Mathews, Bishop J. Owen Smith, Bishop Eugene M. Frank, Bishop Ferdinand Sigg, Bishop Marquis L. Harris, Bishop Mangal Singh, Bishop F. Gerald Ensley, John Warman, Western Pennsylvania; Frank Shaffer, West Virginia; Paul Russell, New York; James Favor, New England; Mrs. W. T. Anderson, Central New York; Francis Cunningham, South Carolina; Paul Duffey, Alabama-West Florida; Earl Blazer, Holston; Jerry Bray, Virginia; Mrs. H. E. Arterburn, Louisville; T. A. Edgar, North Alabama; B. I. Thornton, South Georgia; Joe E. Grier, Georgia; R. C. Erwin, North Carolina —C; Mrs. E. J. Badgett, Louisiana—C; J. Kenneth Forbes, Indiana; Harvey Sander, South Dakota; Dr. A. B. Bonds, Northeast Ohio; Harry Young, North Iowa; Mrs. Alfred Lowther, Detroit; John Bayliss, North Arkansas; W. McFerrin Stowe, Oklahoma; Roy Grogan, Central Texas; T. Russell Reitz, Kansas; Mrs. A. R. Marquardt, Nebraska; Robert Uphoff, Pacific Northwest; Hubert Orton, Southern California-Arizona; Mrs. Mildred Howell, California-Nevada.

Commission on Public Relations and Methodist Information: Bishop Eugene M. Frank, Milburn P. Akers, Rock River; Burton W. Marvin, Kansas; Walter M. Megronigle, Western Pennsylvania; Charles C. Parlin, Newark; W. C. Jason, Jr., Delaware; Alvah Chapman, Jr., Florida; Louis Spillman, Virginia; Howard J. Brown, Ohio.

Bishop Short: This last one requires election. Also the Coordinating Council. The others are reports.

Bishop Love: The last two require election?

Nominees Elected

Bishop Love: You have heard the nominations. Are you ready to elect these? As many as will elect these, lift your hand. Contrary the same sign. They are elected.

Commission on Structure of Methodism Overseas: Bishop Richard C. Raines, Bishop Everett W. Palmer, Bishop Prince A. Taylor, Bishop Lloyd C. Wicke, Bishop Sante Uberto Barbieri, Bishop Gabriel Sundaram, Bishop Odd Hagen, Bishop Ralph E. Dodge, Wilbur K. Ziegler, New England; Robert Oxnam, Newark; R. R. Patton, Kentucky; Mrs. J. Fount Tillman, Tennessee; E. A. Smith, Upper Mississippi; T. W. Cole, Texas; Russell Huffman, Minnesota; Mrs. L. W. Osgood, South Dakota; Virgil D. Morris, Louisiana; Mrs. W. E. Horton, Jr., Texas; R. Marvin Stuart, California-Nevada, and Gerald Schlessman, Rocky Mountain.

Bishop Love: You have heard the nominations. Are you ready to elect? As many as would elect, please lift the hand. Contrary?

They are elected, thank you very much.

The governors of the Wesley Theological Seminary must be elected by this Conference. If you will allow me, I will present the President of the Wesley Theological Seminary for the nomination of governors, Dr. Norman Trott.

Further Nominations and Elections

Norman L. Trott (Baltimore—NE): I will take this from here to save time.

The nominations are found on page 424 of today's *Advocate*. I will not take the time of the Conference to read the list.

Bishop Love: That is the list of governors nominated. Are you ready to elect them? As many as would elect these, would you lift hand. Contrary, the same sign. They are elected. (DCA, page 581.)

We are now on the Order of the Day. Committee on Worship.

Bishop Voigt has been with this for a long time and there is no man on the Council knows more about worship than Bishop Voigt and how it should be done. He will present the report.

Report of Committee on Worship—Bishop Edwin E. Voigt

Bishop Voigt: Bishop Love, and members of the Conference, I appreciate these words, but, again, this is an example of Methodist ecclesiastical exaggeration.

We hope every delegate has a copy of the *Book of Worship*. In case you don't have, will you hold up your hand. There are a few extra copies. The pages may be able to supply them.

There are a few extra copies left. Will the pages pass out those copies that are available for this purpose?

While this is being done, Mr. Chairman, may we clear the order of procedure? After a few introductory remarks, I would like to call on Dr. Cannon, Dr. Hildebrand, Dr. Bugbee, Bishop Harmon, and Dr. Emory Bucke to present different phases of the book.

We placed an additional copy of the book on the table of every delegate from overseas. I hope those copies were properly in their hands.

I think, Mr. Chairman, that I can proceed.

I was interested in reading the minutes of Francis Asbury. You will recall that Francis Asbury made the recommendation to an early General Conference that they have a Council made up of himself and Bishop Coke, and the central presiding elders, I guess they called them.

The first meeting of that Council was on December 1, 1879. The first item of business that they took up was to render the time and method of public worship and they proposed four things; singing, prayer, scripture and exhortation, and then this "In case no preacher is there, a sermon is to be read. The officiant is to be appointed by the elder deacon or traveling preacher."

Now, our fathers did not accept Wesley's order of service.

From that time on, our forefathers have been dealing with this matter of public worship. They did not accept Wesley's, but they started to create one for themselves, one that would fit America. Every *Discipline* since then has had something to do with this subject.

Bishop Thrailkill gave this a new turn with some of his trail blazing. Later on, Bishop Welch and Bishop Baker moved the thing forward in a very creative fashion.

In the United Church, Bishop Holt brought out the *Book of Worship* for church and family. Bishop Holt and his committee also provided that a revision of that book should be made. Your Com-

mission has been working under that mandate for the last eight years. We brought out a little book to be called the Green Book. It has been in your hands since 1960. We have had hundreds of letters from individuals, from churches, from Commissions on Worship giving us some very significant suggestions. The book you now have in your hands is the best judgment of this Committee as to these suggestions and the way in which we may help our church to worship. We recognize that Methodism has always been a free church in worship, and this is a part of our tradition. This is understood. We do not mean to say that it must be in a certain way, but we recognize also that there are those who would like to have these aids in making worship more meaningful. We do not insist that everybody should follow one course, but we think that those who want aid in worship should not be denied, so we bring this to you and these individuals will now present their phases of the *Book of Worship* so that they can explain this a bit more in detail of what we have before you.

After they get through, I hope the Chair will recognize the chairman of the Committee on Ritual and Orders of Service. We have worked with them, and then if the report is adopted, this may help us in our discussion, if you adopt the report, we would recommend that an Editorial Committee be appointed to make the editorial refinements before final publication of the book.

We will call first on Dean Cannon to present the section dealing with the Sacraments.

Book of Worship—Section Dealing With Sacraments—William R. Cannon

William R. Cannon (North Georgia—SE): Mr. Chairman, members of the General Conference, it is my responsibility, and I might add, privilege, to make the recommendations of our commission regarding the administration of the two sacraments, Baptism and Holy Communion, and also the Rite of Confirmation. One feature that characterizes all three services and which is a great improvement of what we had before is the rubric for performing the various acts in the service.

For example, a minister is told in Baptism to call only the given or Christian name of the child, not to repeat the family name, because the child has his family name. The Christian name indicates his relationship to the new gift of grace which Baptism confers. You will find these explicit directives throughout all the services.

I want to consider each service briefly. First, look at Baptism itself. This service emphasizes the divine grace. It is not what we do for ourselves, nor yet what we as parents confer upon our children when we present them in this Holy Rite. Rather, Baptism is what God does. What happens in His divine act, an expression of His mercy, the gift of His love. Consequently, we do not begin the service, the Baptism of infants, with the statement that all men are as of God and subject to life eternal, but we begin with grace and say, "By grace, we are made partakers of the righteousness of Jesus Christ."

Three questions are addressed, specific questions, to the parents: one, they are asked to affirm their own faith, the convictions that underlie their life.

Secondly, they are asked to show a good example to this child.

Thirdly, they promise that the child will be nurtured in the church, and here is another feature of the service: the congregation itself affirms its willingness to guide and direct the child, and so the arms of mercy are thrown around the child by the entire company of Christian people.

When you turn to the second Baptismal service, that is Baptism of youth and adults, you find a return to the language of Wesley, to the language of the *Book of Common Prayer*, and here, again, the redemptive element is emphasized, and we know that Baptism saves a man from his sin, and the statement is made that we are all sinners and fallen short of the glory of God and we cannot save ourselves.

Turn, secondly, from Baptism to the Service of Confirmation or reception into the membership of the church. For us Protestants, this is not a Sacrament, it is subsidiary to Baptism, it supplements the sacrament of Baptism; it completes it, because the person on his own initiative takes the vows of church membership and joins voluntarily into Christian fellowship.

You see in this service that opportunity is given for a man to confess his faith and unite himself to the church, that is, the church universal, and after that the hands of the minister are placed on his head, but then he is given the vow of fidelity to the Methodist expression of Christianity, to The Methodist Church as the institution in which he will work and serve. Here is something we have done. It is traditional to give the right hand of Christian fellowship, but now a layman is invited to stand with the minister and extend his hand as the minister extends his to the person joining the church. I think this is a most important thing because the church is not its clergy, the church is the laity, and we don't act as priests, we believe in the priesthood of all believers and the layman stands with the minister to receive the new one into fellowship, whose goal is Christian service in the spirit of love.

The last order, the order for the Sacrament of Holy Communion, the administration of the Sacrament of the Lord's Supper, we feel we have made a great contribution here. You know how we worked for four years and then submitted our labors to you at the last General Conference, and we got suggestions from you and from the membership of the whole church, and then we have come back again.

There are certain features that I want to point out. First, this order is one order, the Methodists are one people, and we give the same service to all our people.

Secondly, this order is a complete service. It is not truncated, it is not added on, not attached to something else.

Thirdly, it is flexible. If you read the rubrics you will find it is possible to have changes, and the congregations have a liturgical part of the service. It is applicable on the part of the congregation where people like to be as simple as possible. Not only so, but we have arranged the service psychologically so that it moves through all the stages of worship, just as the service of morning worship does.

I have been told by Episcopalians, for example, even members of the Church of England, that they wish they were brave enough to make this sort of innovation. I believe at this point that Methodism is making a real and solid contribution to the Ecumenical Movement, theologically sound, liturgically dignified.

The next feature is the insistence on the sermon in the service of Holy Communion. You see in the Protestant tradition, we always preach the Word. We administer the Sacraments only as we preach the Word, so the church is the place where the pure Word of God is preached and where the Sacraments are rightly administered.

Finally, though we have rearranged materials and we have given a new service, we have not tampered radically with the language. Only in two instances, very small instances, have we changed the wording, and I might add parenthetically, I voted against that, even, in the Commission, but we did it. Most of the wording is the wording of the service that Wesley used. It is the wording of the

Book of Common Prayer, hallowed by immemorial uses, sanctified by its place in the hearts of all our worshippers.

You know, liturgy is poetry. You can't improvise. You can't change. You can't express personal tastes and likes. It is something that the church has passed and approved by using it for a long time, and in these services, we join in the communion with the saints for what we do today has been done and will continue to be done in the total body of Christ's Holy Church.

I am really enthusiastic about these three orders and I hope that that enthusiasm is shared by all of you.

Inquiry—H. Hughes Wagner

H. Hughes Wagner (New England—NE): Are we perfecting these as we go along?

Bishop Love: Perfecting, no. They want to give the whole report.

Book of Worship—Section on the Order of Worship—Will M. Hildebrand

Will M. Hildebrand (Southern California-Arizona—W): Mr. Chairman, Fathers and Brethren, I have been asked to present the section on the Order of Worship. In the present *Book of Worship*, we have 11 different orders for our morning service. We have made a real effort to simplify our structure and are offering you two. Even these two, of course, have no more than the strength of our commendation one to another, and it is expected and anticipated that pastors will continue to develop their own service of worship, but using these as guides and assistance in their work.

One of these orders is a very simple one. The other one has a more full expression of suggesting appropriate prayers in appropriate places. However, you will note it is the same order. I think it commends itself to our wide acceptance and useage because it roots us deeply in our tradition which is the kind of a bridge church between the Reformist and the Anglican communions. Both are reflected in this order and it is an order which in a unique way belongs to the history of the church, but also belongs to the "people called Methodists."

In eight different places, scriptures are called for. Our Calls to Worship, or Scripture sentences, the lessons, the acts of praise or the alternate other act of praise, or canticle, the calls for the offering, scriptural, the benedictions, all the way through; it is rooted in the book that is ours, and we commend it as being one which may breathe great life, and the sense of the whole Gospel into the work that we bring to God in our worship and in our life.

A large part of the book is related to the Orders of Worship. I would refer you to the large section from page 66 to page 290 called "Aids for the Ordering of Worship." A section of these are based on the Christian year, and in another section, they are called General aids. Both sections are used in the preparation of any service. It is not necessary, of course, that we follow the Christian year. A large number of churches are doing so. Practically all churches observe Easter and Christmas and we would urge that the following of Lent and Epiphany could be structures by which we get at the theology of our faith rooted in the events, the mighty events and acts of God, that are the essence of the Christian faith. You will see this orderly progression of these materials in the Christian year section.

In the General Section of the book, we follow the order of service

and place in each section the appropriate materials following the order of service on through to page 290.

We have reprinted material which you have already adopted called Acts of Praise, the Psalter, and other Acts of Praise. There are several, however, which were not included in *The Hymnal*, those which are on page 346 and 353, and I believe they should properly be before us today.

There is a form of worship for family prayer or worship in a small group. I especially want to point this out to the laymen who are here. You who are chairmen of the Official Boards or presidents of Woman's Societies who may want some assistance in structure and service of meaning, turn to this, it doesn't give you any sentences to say, it just suggests how you may use this book for a ten or twelve minute service of worship that can be very helpful in every church of ours in the land.

We venture to hope that this book may be as useful a tool for the church and that it may be a kind of stalwart witness for the place of The Methodist Church in the universal church.

Here are prayers of Loyola, of Harry Emerson Fosdick, here are prayers from the Gregorian Sacramentary, from the Bishop of Chichester, and from many of our contemporary Methodists, not a few from young men just graduating from our Theological schools. It has the width and depth and strength of the whole Gospel and for this we are grateful.

Book of Worship—Section on Order of Service and Marriage—Warren Bugbee

Warren A. Bugbee (Western Pennsylvania—NE): Bishop Love, Members of the General Conference, it is my purpose to call your attention to the Order of Service of Marriage found on page 45, the Order for the Service for Burial of the Dead on page 52, and the Occasional Offices on page 355.

First, the Order for the Service of Marriage. You will find 6 additional rubrics added by the Commission which distinctly seek to uphold the pastor in preserving the sacred meaning and dignity of this service in the life of the church. In case some of you want to know what the word "rubric" means as I did when I first joined the Commission some years ago, it is the italicized direction given either before or in the body of the service, and it formerly or originally was printed in red ink, thus the name. The Methodist Church is not quite so generous with its red ink so usually we find it in black.

The service of marriage we feel raises to its climax following the pronounciation "Husband and wife." The blessing prayer, spoken by the pastor over the couple who may be kneeling, followed by the first act of the husband and wife after marriage, praying together the Lord's Prayer, reinforced by the voice of the church with the congregation joining them in unison.

In the Order for the Burial of the Dead on page 52, 4 rubrics have been added and the 5th amplified. The purpose of these rubrics is two-fold, again to hold up the arm of the pastor as the one through whom arrangements for the funeral should be made, and secondly, to restore these services to the church where they belong.

In the setting of the church surrounded by the symbols of our faith, generally a congregation may join together in singing the Gloria, and following the readings from the Psalms, and also affirm their faith together bringing these comforting words to those who are bereaved. Alternative and additional prayers are given in the service, and especially those for the burial of a child, thus making

one service which formerly was two services in the previous *Book of Worship.*

If you will turn with me to page 355, you will find there the Occasional Offices. There are 17 of them. I do not intend to go down through 17 services. Suffice it to say that 7 of these are the same as in previous *Book of Worship.* Five of them have been shortened from full orders of worship to partial orders of worship that they may be incorporated in the orders of worship. Five of these occasional services of worship are altered or changed slightly or new for inclusion in this book.

Since this occasion is not one of those 17 occasions, we will not use any one of them in toto today, but we trust that the General Conference will accept them as they are printed in this book and will adopt them, having read them previously since this report has been in your hands since early in the year.

Let me say this in closing, I would like to pay tribute to the chairman of this Commission, Bishop Edwin Voigt. Only with his patience and firmness could the many points of view, attitudes and convictions represented in this Commission be resolved to produce such a book as you have in your hands. We trust that you will accept it and adopt it as a tool for the worship of God revealed in Jesus Christ.

Book of Worship—Forms of Ordination—Bishop Nolan Harmon

Bishop Harmon: Mr. Chairman and Members of the Conference, I have been asked to present the forms of ordination and since you have these in your *Discipline,* and since they are not changed except in very minor areas, we did not try to publish them or print them in the handbook which you have. Let me say that these forms came to us from John Wesley as did the other 8 offices of the Old Ritual. For the benefit of the laymen, let me say that when John Wesley sent men to America to ordain Francis Asbury as superintendent, he sent an edition of the prayer book of his own church, an abridgement of the prayer book which he said was the language which was strong and eloquent in the highest degree. He thought American Methodism was going to revolve about a book of prayer, as the Church of England has.

In America, we built a different sort of book, not inadequately called the *Discipline.* Our genus has seemed to be an ordered activity rather than an ordered worship. We did keep in it the 8 forms, the communion, 2 baptisms, marriage, burial, and these 3 forms of ordination.

The only changes that were made in these 3 forms were minor changes. For instance, when you ordain deacons, if any of you knoweth of any valid reasons—the committee makes that—if any of you know any valid reasons appertaining to the office of the deacon, the change is if it appertains to the office of the deacon.

I happened to be one who liked the old language, but I was outgunned and outmanned in the committee and I stand by the committee in the presentation of its report.

The ordination of elders is not changed.

The ordination or consecration of bishops has scarcely any change. One general change was putting into the ritual, as you did in your responsive readings, the Revised Standard Version, and so to conform to that, the offices in the ordinal—and we have not called them that before—we put into them the language of the Revised Standard Version.

I do think in a public liturgical reading, the King James Version is best, but I stand by the committee.

If you have questions, we will ask them later on. You will find very minor changes.

Book of Worship—Section of Services in the Methodist Tradition—Emory S. Bucke

Emory S. Bucke (Tennessee—SE): Bishop Love, Members of the Conference, if you will turn to page 415 in the report which is the concluding section, services in the Methodist tradition, I call this to your attention because there are several firsts here. As Bishop Harmon said, the Methodists, when they received the order of Sunday service from John Wesley in 1784 were fresh from an encounter with the British and were not feeling too kindly over the matter, and they did not intend to continue as members of the Church of England as John Wesley did, and as the members of the Methodists in England did until long after John Wesley died. I always like to remind my friend Eugene Smith who refers to British Methodism as the Mother Church, if it is the Mother Church, America is the Father Church since we organized our church before the British organized as a church, but this could start another revolution.

I am very pleased that for the first time since 1784, you find in this section, which is historical, the complete printing of Wesley's service as he sent it over. It was revised and presented in part in the last *Book of Worship*, indeed, in the present *Hymnal*, but notice the interesting rubric. I like the one about the minister shall begin in a loud voice.

The second thing which is in this section, in our tradition, is the service for Covenant, renewing the Covenant. We secured this from Epworth Press. It has been published in its complete form. It is a beautiful service to be used occasionally. It has historic value.

More tears have been shed and pulpits have been pounded over the question of Wesley's heart being "strangely warmed" at Aldersgate. Gentlemen, I submit for the first time, we now have in our literature anywhere—in official Methodist literature—a translation of Luther's *Preface to the Romans*. Apart from our Pennsylvania Dutch friends, very few of you have ever read this preface. It has been included. We think it is of historic value. It is not an obligatory service, but it is a valuable addition.

Finally, the service, which is not a service but a form, for observing the Love Feast—this has never appeared. We are indebted to the British who, incidentally, have been able to use this in Ecumenical services. The Anglicans have willingly entered into this rather than Communion. It is not a Communion. It is not a substitute for Communion, but is in a rich tradition, the form of the Love Feast. It is included in here for occasional use. We hope it will be of value.

My final assignment by the committee is to call your attention to the organization of this book. You will note that the sections, beginning with the Table of Contents, first are identified as the general services. This is on Roman numeral page 9.

Some prayer books of confessional churches call these the stated services. We do not believe that in the light of the restrictions in the Methodist *Discipline* we should refer to these as stated services, but the general services. These are the services through the top of page 10 including the services of ordination of deacons, elders, and consecration of bishops, which Bishop Harmon just referred to. These are the services which we propose shall be included in the *Discipline* and the only services. You have already voted that recommendation from the Publication Committee and it is concurred with in the Commission on Worship.

Bishop Love: Our time has expired for this order, and we can continue only with your permission.

Motion to Extend Time—Amos A. Thornburg

Amos A. Thornburg (Rock River—NC): I move for extension of time.

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Love: If you will vote for an extension of time, you will raise your hand. Down. Contrary? It is carried. (DCA, page 584.)

Amendments Proposed—Amos A. Thornburg

Amos A. Thornburg: The Committee on Ritual and Order of Worship received about 200 memorials and I come to suggest 5 amendments. With your permission, I now propose to present these amendments. It is my hope and the hope of the Committee that the Commission on Worship will receive these amendments. We believe we can save the time of the General Conference by presenting each amendment in succession.

Turn first to page 355 in your *Daily Christian Advocate*, Calendar No. 147, Report No. 10. This amendment is to include our Lord's Summary of the Law, and add it as a Canticle of Praise, on page 353 in the *Book of Worship*.

Bishop Voigt: We will accept this as a part of the report.

Bishop Love: If the Committee accepts it, I think it requires no further action.

Amos A. Thornburg: The next is Report No. 11, Calendar 148, in cases where there is to be cremation, it is suggested that a rubric be added "in the event of cremation, the service may be adapted at the discretion of the minister."

This is a recognition that such practices are pretty prevalent in the church.

Bishop Love: Do you accept this?

Bishop Voigt: We accept it.

Bishop Love: Brother Smith, for what purpose do you rise?

Chester A. Smith (New York—NE): Mr. Chairman and members of the Conference, I have some changes about this matter that I want to present. I want to ask whether this is the time for me to present my proposition.

Bishop Love: No, sir, this is not the time. We want to present the full report. These amendments are coming from Dr. Thornburg, and then I think you would be in order.

Amos A. Thornburg: Calendar 149, Report No. 12, is an attempt to clear up this whole matter of the reception of members and it is before you. I will read it if it is desired.

Bishop Love: Do you need to have this read?

William R. Cannon (North Georgia—SE): We are delighted to accept this, recognizing it is a real improvement.

Amos A. Thornburg: Calendar Item No. 150, the next is the service of marriage. The suggestion here, "In token of our constant faith and abiding love" is suggested to be included.

Bishop Voigt: We accept this amendment.

Amos A. Thornburg: The next report is Report No. 14, Calendar Item 151. In the Baptism service we first want to correct "this

child" and this is supposed to be in italics and then "recognized as a member of the family of God."

William R. Cannon: Brethren, I am extremely hesitant to make an issue over this and precipitate a debate on the floor of the General Conference. Frankly, I think the suggestion from the Committee is not as good as the original work of the Commission. I have looked back on other forms by major churches. When one is baptized, he is received into the family of God, but I will not make an issue over this and if the Committee is insistent on it, we will accept it feeling that the difference is not that great.

Amos A. Thornburg: Now, I am instructed that with these amendments accepted by the Committee to inform you that they are unanimous and their support of the motion to adopt the *Book of Worship* and its contents, and I want to support this with my motion.

Bishop Love: All right. These are reports, coming from the Committee on Ritual and Worship, now becoming a part of the Report of the Commission on Worship.

Is that right?

Amos A. Thornburg: This is right.

I think now the questions that may come from the floor, however, need to be—if we accept this, we will have to change it. I think Mr. Smith's desire to amend is in order at this time.

Bishop Love: The time limit on debate does not apply to this report. Is there someone to make that motion?

Motion to Limit Speeches—Sterling Wheeler

Sterling Wheeler (Southwest Texas—SC): I move that the remarks from the floor be limited to 3 minutes, not only this morning, but in all the remaining sessions of the General Conference of 1964.

Bishop Love: Is there a second?

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Love: Are you ready to vote? Those in favor, lift a hand. Contrary? It is done. (DCA, page 585.)

Point of Order Thomas Cromwell

Thomas L. Cromwell (Northeast, Ohio—NC): I will ask a question and make a motion. I believe we extended the time only to complete the presentation of the report which I believe has been completed. Am I correct?

Bishop Love: You are correct.

Motion to Recess—Thomas L. Cromwell

Thomas Cromwell: Then I move we recess immediately.

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Love: The motion now is that the presentation of the report has been completed, not the perfection of it, but the presentation is complete. The motion to recess I am accepting as being in order.

Amos A. Thornburg: May we continue after recess?

Bishop Love: He is asking whether this will continue after recess.

I don't know. I have to determine that.

Amos A. Thornburg: I so move, I so move.

Bishop Love: There is a motion to recess and you are making a motion that you continue after this recess. I don't know which I should put first.

Thomas Cromwell: I will be glad to include that in the motion.

Bishop Love: All right. The motion is that we recess and continue the report of the Commission on Worship at the close of the recess. Are you ready? As many as will recess, please lift a hand. Contrary? We now stand in recess after the announcements.

Announcements

Several important announcements were made.

Bishop Love: Thank you, and let me thank you for your help this morning. I may not be back in the afternoon.

Short Recess.

The Conference reconvened and Hymn 183, "Spirit of Faith, Come Down," was sung.

Bishop James Mathews assumed the chair.

Bishop Mathews: Will the General Conference continue in order, please.

Let us pray.

Direct us O Lord in all our doings by Thy most gracious favor, further us with Thy continual help, that in all our works begun, continued and ended in Thee, we may glorify Thy holy name, and finally by Thy mercy obtain everlasting life through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen.

You will observe some changes are made up here. I am not really a relief pitcher. Consider this as a doubleheader. We will continue in a moment.

I have been asked to announce that cars parked on Bedford Avenue are at this time being towed away. If any are parked in that vicinity, take note.

According to your order just before recess, it was agreed that the report of the Commission on Worship had been completed. We are now open for discussion. I should like to recognize, first of all, Bishop Voigt for further remarks.

Report of Commission on Worship Resumed

Bishop Voigt: Mr. Chairman and members of the Conference, I rise only to bring to your minds where we stand. The commission members presented the various items and the reason we took action in our recommendations as we did, can be found in the *Book of Worship* which is in your hands. Then the Committee on Ritual and Order of Service made five recommendations for amendment. These seem to the Commission to be acceptable, and they were accepted.

Now, the matter is before you for adoption. We hope you will adopt, but let me say this, Mr. Chairman, that we propose to nominate a Committee on Editorial Refinement. We know that there are many rough places and many errors in the book that need to be corrected. This may help us in our discussion because this is all going to be gone over with the greatest of care in its final edition when you adopt this, as I hope you will. The matter is before you. I

think we were in the act of recognizing Brother Chester Smith, but this is in the hands of the Chairman and the Conference.

Bishop Mathews: Thank you, Bishop Voigt.

You will observe particularly the forthcoming appointment of the Editorial Committee, and will the members please try to exercise restraint on purely editorial changes so that you can use that open avenue to register your purpose.

The Chair before recess agreed to recognize Brother Chester A. Smith of New York. I would like to honor this promise.

Motion to Amend Report—Chester A. Smith

Chester A. Smith (New York—NE): Mr. Chairman, as I understand it, I am speaking now on the report of the Committee on Worship. Let me say, Mr. Chairman, and members of the Conference, that I have 5 or 6 important changes that I would like to present, but I cannot present them now because I am limited to three minutes. I will have to defer those important changes about which I have spent some time until I am not limited to 3 minutes, and address myself to this point.

I am again asking the General Conference to change the word "wine" in the ritual of the Lord's Supper to "cup." We should use the word "cup" as used in Matthew, Mark, Luke, and John.

Bishop Mathews: Brother Smith, may I find if there is a second?

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Mathews: The matter is seconded and you may speak to the matter before the house.

Chester Smith: Reverend Carl Hiller of the Illinois Conference presented a memorial in 1944 asking for the word "cup." William Taylor was for the word "cup," so was Bishop Edwin Holt Hughes.

Mrs. Chitambar of India, widow of Bishop Chitambar of India, pleaded for the word "cup" 4 years ago. Bishop Shot K. Mondol is for the word "cup."

So is Dr. E. Stanley Jones.

There are many people who are offended by the word "wine" as I am. I have presented this matter for 6 General Conferences. I do not expect to present it again, so it would be the crowning event of my life if I could persuade you, members of the General Conference, to use the word that Jesus used, the word "cup."

So I ask you for the last time, that I want to present this matter to you, which is of great importance to myself and to many people. I think that we ought to go back and do what the Lord Jesus himself did. We ought to use in our ritual the very same word that was used by Jesus himself when he created the Lord's Supper. We ought to go back to that, and I think God's blessing would come to us and I think the Master is interested in what goes on in this world today, and who I like to think is interested in that we are doing in this General Conference because we are dedicated to his name and to his service. I think it would be a great joy to him to know that at last, after many years, we have accepted the word which he used in creating the Lord's Supper. I ask you to have the Holy Spirit and I ask the Holy Spirit to lead you and guide you in this matter.

Bishop Mathews: Thank you. You have heard the amendment by Brother Chester Smith. Are you ready? Is there any discussion? Are we prepared? As many as will approve the amendment to change "wine" to "cup," will you show the hands?

The Chair stands corrected. There has to be an answer from the Committee.

Statement From Committee—William R. Cannon

William R. Cannon: Though the Commission appreciates the motives that prompts Brother Smith to make this request to the Conference, and we admire his conviction, we cannot accept it. Listen to the text, "Hear us, O merciful Father, we most humbly beseech Thee and grant that we, receiving these thy creatures of bread and wine." If he changed it "receiving these thy creatures the bread and cup"—we cannot accept it.

Vote on Smith Amendment

Bishop Mathews: You have heard reply. As many as will approve the amendment offered by Brother Smith, raise the hand. Down. Opposed? The same sign.

Motion to Adopt Report of Worship Commission—John R. Van Sickle

Mr. John R. Van Sickle (Rock River—NC): I move that we now adopt this report before us as amended with gratitude to the Commission for its 8 years of work. If I may have a second, I would like to speak to it.

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Mathews: The motion has been made. I will—

John Van Sickle: I move to adopt it as amended, now. The reason I do this, we cannot in an hour or 2 hours perfect what a Commission has been working on for 8 years. I think we are wasting the time of this Conference.

Clarification Requested—J. Carlton Babbs

J. Carlton Babbs (Rocky Mountain—W): Will you clarify the vote which was taken? We didn't understand your announcement.

Bishop Mathews: I am sorry. The vote just taken was on an amendment offered by Brother Chester Smith which would have substituted the word "wine" for "cup" in the Communion Ritual.

"Cup" for "wine," I am sorry—"cup" for "wine." His motion did not prevail. I apologize. (DCA, page 586.)

Inquiry—J. Henry Chitwood

J. Henry Chitwood (North Alabama—SE): Just a question. On page 27 in the doctrines, it says the elements may be served to the people while seated in the pews. Does this mean that laymen could assist in the serving of the elements?

Answer to Inquiry

William R. Cannon: The answer is yes.

Request for Editorial Revision—H. Hughes Wagner

H. Hughes Wagner (New England—NE): I would not rise for anything trivial. This is not an editorial change, but I think it is

something extremely important and I cannot understand how it could possibly have escaped the attention of pastors through 8 years. Please turn to page 15. This is for Baptism of adults. I spoke to Brother Thornburg about this, but it didn't get into memorials. In the first paragraph in the Baptism of adults, at the bottom of that paragraph, the last words "and being received into Christ's holy church, may continue as a worthy member of the same." I am not going to make a motion or amendment. But I would like to have the assurance that this will be referred to the Committee on Editorial Revisions so that the baptism of an adult is not equated with membership in the church. It says specifically "be baptized with the Holy Spirit," and specifically "being received into Christ's holy church."

Anyone who comes from New England, Bishop Mathews, and you have an experience on that, knows that the Roman Catholic tradition is that when you are baptized, you are a member of the church. We are not Roman Catholics, we are Protestants, and that you had been "family of God" or "when received in the church" or something of that nature.

May I have your mind on that, Bishop Voigt?

Bishop Voigt: I am sure if you write this out for the Committee, they will give it consideration.

Previous Question Moved—Everett Jones

Everett Jones (Baltimore—NE): Mr. Chairman, I move the previous question on all that is before us.

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Mathews: The question is before us. If you would order the previous question—

Point of Order—Robert W. Moon

Robert W. Moon (California-Nevada—W): We can move the previous questions after 2 speeches for and against, but we have had questions and answers here and no speeches for or against.

Bishop Mathews: There has been a speech for and one speech against. Your point is well taken. The previous question is before us. You can vote it down if you do not desire to have it for the moment. As many as desire the previous questions, lift the hand. Down. Those opposed, the same. It appears that the previous question is not ordered.

Bishop Mathews: Again, as many as will order the previous question on all that is before us, lift your hands high.

Now, those opposed. It appears that two-thirds have voted. The previous question is ordered.

Point of Order—Thomas L. Cromwell

Thomas L. Cromwell (Northeast Ohio—NC): I do not see how you can put the motion which you have already acknowledged that we have only had one speech for and one against, and the Rules of the Conference say there must be 2 for and 2 against. I think putting the motion is out of order.

Previous Question Reconsidered

Bishop Mathews: He wants to reconsider. Can you have a motion

to reconsider a previous question? If you will reconsider, will you show the hands? Opposed, the same. It is done. It is reconsidered. (DCA, page 586.)

Statement and Motion to Amend—Raymond Valenzuela

Raymond Valenzuela (Chile Conference—OS): If the Brothers will listen for a moment to an overseas delegate to a point of extreme sensitivity in our field, you must bear in mind you are legislating for the whole church.

The entire question of baptism is a point where we have to clearly define our stand when we are working in a semi-pagan-nominally Roman Catholic milleu.

We have been under strong pressure to perform what we can describe in no other way, what we can describe only as semi-blasphemous Baptisms.

I propose, sir, this, that we delete the word "people" in the first paragraph, for "members of a Christian church."

Bishop Mathews: Would you indicate exactly where on page 12?

Raymond Valenzuela: First line, sir.

Bishop Mathews: First line, top of the page.

Raymond Valenzuela: "Our ministers are enjoined diligently to teach the people committed to their pastoral care."

I move to delete the word "people" and substitute "members of a Christian church, committed to their pastoral care."

Bishop Mathews: Is there a second?

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Mathews: Is this acceptable by the committee?

Committee Does Not Accept Amendment

William Cannon: The delegate spoke to me in the recess period and we appreciate his situation as he has described it, but "people committed to their pastoral care" would imply members, and we can't modify this rubric according to the suggestion.

Raymond Valenzuela: I have 3 minutes.

I submit the word is ambiguous and persons who are not members of the church cannot take the vows of this ritual unless they are members of the church.

I also wish to ask, Mr. Chairman, whether this does not open up all of Paragraph 114.

Bishop Mathews: Take one thing at a time.

You have made your amendment. Is there anyone who wishes to speak against this amendment?

Statement Opposing Amendment—W. F. Dunkle, Jr.

W. F. Dunkle, Jr. (Peninsula—NE): I think we ought to recognize that in holy baptism, we are admitted to the whole of Christ's church, not to any single denomination of it. We are embraced in the whole family of God in Baptism. It has ever been the meaning of that Sacrament. I think we need not restrict it to the children of or persons of any one congregation or one denomination. This is our one wide open arm to the whole of God's children.

I am opposed to the amendment.

Raymond Valenzuela: It does not say members of The Methodist Church, it says members of the Christian church.

Statement Favoring Amendment—Kim-Hao Yap

Kim-Hao Yap (Malaya—OS): I do want to support the amendment because it raises a question whether we should baptize children of non-Christian parents. This is the intent of the amendment so that the children of non-Christian parents will find themselves in a very peculiar situation. Parents who are non-Christian, even though they come under the pastoral care of the church taking certain vows in the context of the service of the church.

Statement Opposing Amendment—Albert C. Outler

Albert C. Outler (North Texas—SC): Mr. Chairman, the problem of the doctrine of Baptism is a very complex one, as we all know. One recognizes the issue at stake here, and yet to accept the notion that we baptize children only of professed Christian parents is to misunderstand, both the historic and the evangelical concept of baptism and the relation of the pastor to those committed to his pastoral care. This would require the pastor to make a judgment even within the membership of the Christian church as to whether you will baptize a child of one member or of two—this is an ancient and thorny question—it would mean that you restrict the notion of membership in the church to formal membership of the church and deny the whole problem of the latent church in the relation to the baptism to this membership of God's people, and it would—in the last instance—require a kind of division in families, which it seems to me, is very seriously inimical to the notion of Baptism, not as Baptismal regeneration, but as initiation into the people of God.

You can refuse to baptize a child until the people have been properly taught on any ground other than the notion that if a child is not baptized, they will go to hell straight away. This is a very clear notion on which to do or not to do baptism for infants.

Previous Question Moved and Ordered—James S. Chubb

James S. Chubb (Nebraska—SC): I move the previous question, and all before us.

Bishop Mathews: Is there a second?

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Mathews: As many as will order the previous question, show the hand. Down. Those opposed. The previous question is ordered. (DCA, page 587.)

Statement From Committee—William R. Cannon

William R. Cannon: Well, my concern is only with the amendment and Dr. Outler has spoken to that and I need add nothing further. I hope the amendment does not pass.

Amendment Defeated

Bishop Mathews: The amendment, if you will recall, is that offered by Brother Valenzuela, page 12, the top line, to change "people" to "member."

As many as approve, show the hands. Down. Opposed, the same sign. The motion does not prevail to amend and is lost. (DCA, page 587.)

Inquiry—Rel Rubric—Lawrence F. Almond

Lawrence F. Almond (New England Southern—NE): I want to be sure that I heard Dean Cannon correctly in saying that the rubric does provide that laymen may assist in the administrating of Holy Communion.

Bishop Voigt: That is correct.

Inquiry—Marian Brown

Miss Marian Brown (Ohio—NC): I would like to ask the Committee if they considered placing the service—placing the material on page 373 entitled "an office for consecration of a director of Christian education and/or director of music" if they considered placing this in the context of a worship service as they did for the Commission of Missionaries and Deaconesses?

Reply

Bishop Voigt: Yes and no. If it is on a Sunday morning in the church service, why, of course, it would be in there, but so many times the service is administered at the Annual Conference after the close of the report of the Woman's Society.

Inquiries—Robert Cushman

Robert E. Cushman (North Carolina—SE): Getting to this microphone is at least as much of an ordeal or more as speaking to this Conference.

May I ask Dean Cannon whether he accepted the recommendation of the Committee on Ritual and Orders of Worship to change the words "received into" to "recognized as a member of"?

I hope he did not so do.

The second question is directed to Bishop Voigt, Chairman of the Commission, as to whether it would be possible—and since the previous question has been moved, I cannot move it—following the Introduction to the *Book of Worship*, to include the Articles of Religion of The Methodist Church which are otherwise accessible to our people only in the *Discipline?*

Replies to Robert Cushman

William R. Cannon: I speak in reply to the first question, and must say that, grudgingly and of necessity, I accepted that change from "received" to "recognize" because my colleagues on the Commission seated here preferred to do that to getting into a heated parliamentary debate. It is a part of the report, although personally I regret that it is.

Bishop Mathews: The second question regarding the Articles of Religion.

Bishop Voigt: Dean Cushman, we had several requests to do so. It was debated very thoroughly in the Commission. We did not see any particular reason why this should be included here. It is in other instances, and this is more of a Handbook of Worship and the Articles of Religion are related to another matter, so the Commission decided not to print them.

Bishop Mathews: We are under the previous question. The motion is on adoption. I recall to you that the items presented by Dr. Thornburg are also a part of the report.

Inquiry—Rel Printing Sacrament of Lord's Supper—Lee C. Moorehead

Lee C. Moorehead (Ohio—NC): I would like to ask if the Commission has considered the possibility of printing the sacrament of the Lord's Supper with the liturgical divisions clearly identified and given their titles as they did in the 1960 proposal?

Reply

William R. Cannon: That was considered by the Commission and reconsidered many, many times, but we felt that the explanations, the rubrics, were enough and we did not want to make these topical divisions.

Bishop Mathews: We are under the previous question. Do you have a question? Proceed to the microphone, please.

Inquiry—Rel Directives—Lycurgus Starkey, Jr.

Lycurgus Starkey, Jr. (Missouri West—SC): With regard to the question raised by Mr. Almond, I would like to address a question to Dean Cannon.

Are there any required directives for worship in The Methodist Church? Does this *Book of Worship* contain any required directives which must be followed in the worship of our church?

Reply

William R. Cannon: My reply to that must be watched by Bishop Voigt. He knows the answer, I am sure, better than I, but as I understand it, we do not have the right to mandate. This is suggested that the pastor must make his decision as to its use.

Is that right, Bishop Voigt?

Bishop Voigt: Yes.

Bishop Mathews: Are you ready? We are on the previous question.

Inquiry—Rel Lay Speakers—Roy L. Turnage

Roy L. Turnage (North Carolina—SE): Mr. Chairman, I would like to direct this question to the Commission. Speaking on behalf of the thousands of certified lay speakers in the church and the *need* for a great lay witness in this direction, was it the intentional oversight not to provide for a service of recognition for certified lay speakers in the section 4 on Occasional Offices?

Reply

Bishop Voigt: By no means. The Commission was instructed to revise the book. There was no such service in the book. We, therefore, did not have a mandate to create a new order.

Inquiry—Rel Baptism of Children—Charles L. Carrington

Charles L. Carrington (Delaware—C): I would like to ask the Commission if they felt that the additional instructions concerning the Baptism of children as listed under the section on children, page 12, needed the additional reference to children in the first rubric on page 12, or should that first one not have pertained only to the

general issue of Baptism? They seem both to relate to the same issue. We wondered if the Commission had really intended to make both of these rubrics apply to children. The first rubric under children at the bottom of page 12 specifically addresses itself, I think, to the point raised by our Brother from Chile, but the first rubric at the top of page 12 also relates to the Baptism of children where it might have been one of just general address to the whole issue of Baptism. I wonder if you felt it was necessary to doubly stress this?

Reply

William R. Cannon: This is a very fine question. I am glad you raised it. These rubrics at the beginning of the service direct for the entire service, both parts, youth and adults, as well as children, and the one under children, of course, applies only to that service.

Bishop Mathews: There have been a number of questions. We are on the previous question. Are you ready?

Desire to Move Reconsideration—William A. Byus, Jr.

William A. Byus, Jr. (West Virginia—NE): I wish to move reconsideration of the previous question so that the Brother from North Carolina can make a motion.

Bishop Mathews: This is not in order at this time. It would be in order at a later time.

Inquiry—Rel "Parish Notices"—F. K. Kirchner

F. K. Kirchner (Troy—NE): I wonder if the Commission seriously considered the rubric found on page 32 of the report, the rubric in question being "Here parish notices may be given."

It seems to me at times parish notices may be out of order in the solemn ritual of this church.

Reply

Bishop Voigt: Certainly, we gave a great deal of attention to this and we said "may." We did not say "shall."

We recognize the fact that notices can be given in such a way as to cement the fellowship of the church, and bring us into a united body of Christ.

There was a great deal of discussion on that and this was the decision.

May I, Mr. Chairman, say with reference to this lay matter, we are not against this matter of having an order for the consecration of laymen.

Dr. Mayfield Stokes spoke to me about it at the recess. I told him what I said to you that we were under mandate to revise the *Book of Worship* for church and family. That was not in there at the time. If the Board of Lay Activities feels that such an office should be created, there is no reason why they should not ask a new Commission on Worship to do so. This would be perfectly proper and in order. I do not think at this time the General Conference can create an office that is of the quality of the other offices in the book.

Bishop Mathews: We have not yet had a summary statement by the Commission.

The chair calls attention to the fact that we are within 5 minutes of your order, at 11:35 or there-abouts.

Is there further question? Are you ready for the summary statement?

Inquiry—Rel Order of Communion—Donald W. Cryer

Donald W. Cryer (Ohio—NC): Bishop Mathews, in 1960 at Denver, we had this green book, a proposed order of worship offered to us for use; during the quadrennium a number have asked me this question, and I wonder if the Commission would answer it in a few sentences.

There is quite a radical difference in the order of Communion in the proposed *Book of Worship* and the one presented in Denver in 1960. I am sure they had good historical and theological reasons for it, but nothing was said about it in the presentation today.

Reply

Bishop Voigt: Dr. Cannon referred to it. He didn't refer to the change of the 1960 book, but we gave the reasons why we did what we do now. This 1960 suggestion was only for trial use.

Summary Statement From Committee Called For

Bishop Mathews: Since we have set an order of the day, I think we should make the summary statement at this time.

I call on Bishop Voigt to make the summary statement.

Bishop Voigt: I think I have no further summary statement to make except that for 8 years we have done honest work. We have read every communication which has come to us. This is our deep and really prayerful decision as to the best way to handle all the suggestions. We leave it to your vote.

Report of Commission on Worship Adopted

Bishop Mathews: The vote is for the adoption of the report of the Commission on Worship as it has been altered by the proposals made and presented by Brother Thornburg. As many as will adopt the report, show the hands. Down. Those opposed, the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 589; see Appendix, page 2116.)

Bishop Voigt: Mr. Chairman, when may we present the resolution for the Editorial Committee?

Bishop Mathews: Are you ready for that now?

Bishop Voigt: Yes.

Bishop Mathews: If there is no objection, we will hear that now.

Motion Authorizing Publication of Book of Worship—Amos A. Thornburg

Amos A. Thornburg: Because the 1960-1964 Commission on Worship —in conjunction with its Hymnal Committee—was made responsible for presenting the revisions of both the *Methodist Hymnal* and the *Book of Worship*, the General Conference of 1964 directs that final editing of these projects as adopted by the 1964 General Conference shall be done by its representatives, namely, Dr. Paul Burke, Dr. Fred B. Gealy, The Reverend Carlton R. Young, and the book editor of The Methodist Church, and that the work be completed to meet the deadlines required.

I move adoption.

Bishop Mathews: You have heard the motion. Are you ready?

Inquiry—Rel Editorial Changes—Alva Cox

Alva Cox (North-East Ohio—NC): As I understood the report of the committee or the chairman, it will be in order for members of the General Conference and others to send in editorial suggestions or reactions. My question is this: If you will establish a deadline and publish it so that everybody might know the time by which the material should be in.

Bishop Mathews: I am sure that will be taken into consideration.

The motion is to approve the Editorial Committee whose names you have heard. If you approve this resolution regarding the Editorial Committee, will you show the hands? Down. Opposed, the same sign. It is done. (DCA, page 589.)

Additional Authorizations—Amos A. Thornburg

Amos A. Thornburg: Other enabling resolutions.

The General Conference directs the publishers to proceed to publish the *Methodist Hymnal* and the *Book of Worship* as adopted subject to the publishers' ability to secure proper copyright permission.

I move its adoption.

Bishop Mathews: You have heard the motion before you, is there any discussion?

If not, if you approve, lift the hand. Down. Opposed, the same sign. It is done. (DCA, page 589.)

Recognition of Members of Worship Commission

Bishop Voigt: It is a privilege, Mr. Chairman, to give recognition to those men who have worked on the Commission on Worship and who now, because of retirement and because of the 12 year rule, will need to leave the work of this body.

Bishop Harmon, book editor, was on the Commission and in the last quadrennium a member of the Commission whose work in rite and ritual of the church has been of inestimable value.

Dr. Harper and Dr. Houghton were on the former Hymnal Committee. Their work has likewise been of special importance.

Dr. Thornburg, because of the 12 year rule goes off.

Dr. Burke, for retirement, and Dr. Ridout. These have been the lettermen on the Commission on Worship and without their wonderful help, the kind of book which you have adopted would not have been made. I think we ought to give them our recognition.

Appreciation of Chairmanship of Bishop Voigt

William R. Cannon: Bishop Mathews and members of the Conference, Bishop Voigt has been so unselfish and so high in his praise of others, and these retiring members deserve all our praise, but we must not overlook our gifted Chairman himself.

Bishop Voigt has given yoeman service to this task. He has guided us in all of our deliberations. He has brought the wisdom of the statesman to us. I think that his work will live after him, and what he has done will go far in giving him a place in the permanent history of American Methodism. I wanted to say that for his colleagues on the Commission.

Bishop Mathews: Thank you, would you like to stand in appreciation?

Bishop Voigt, this is a job well done. Your name shall be great in the kingdom.

High Personal Privilege

J. B. Jones (Baltimore—NE): Mr. Chairman, members of this Conference, I am sure you would want to take just a moment to recognize another great and gracious lady who has just come into the auditorium. We want to recognize her. She could not be here yesterday. We would like to grant the privilege of the floor to Bishop Wicke to make a statement on behalf of all of us.

Presentation of Mrs. Francis McConnell

Bishop Lloyd Wicke: Mr. Chairman, some time ago a volume appeared among us and it was inscribed to a certain lady. The inscription was after this fashion, "To the lady who created the conditions which made this volume possible."

Now, then, there is among us a lady who made a life possible and a contribution to this church which has possibly never quite been equalled.

When these gentlemen retired and returned to the valley from whence they came, one of the townsmen remarked, "Things are looking up since Eva McConnell came back to town." They never mentioned the Bishop.

This lady is among us today, and I trust that you will welcome her in keeping with her status among us.

Mrs. McConnell.

Bishop Mathews: Will you stand please?

(Standing ovation)

Bishop Mathews: It is certainly a great honor to greet this mother of Methodism.

We are at the Order of the Day at 11:34 to continue the report of the Coordinating Council.

Motion of Appreciation of Work of Worship Commission

Robert Cushman (North Carolina—SE): I think that this Conference ought not and would not wish to miss this opportunity to express its deep appreciation for the extensive, careful, traditional and theologically informed work by the Commission on Worship, and I move that this Conference express appropriate thanks for this 8 years of service to The Methodist Church.

Bishop Mathews: Is there a second to the motion?

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Mathews: If you approve, lift the hand. It is done. (DCA, page 590.)

Order of the Day—Coordinating Council Report

Bishop Mathews: We are at the Order of the Day for the Coordinating Council report, but I am informed there is a ballot to be reported on the voting for the Judicial Council. If you desire to hear that at this time, it will be necessary to defer the Order of the Day so that the ballot may be heard. What is your pleasure?

If you will extend the time long enough to hear the report of the ballot, does that mean you desire to take another ballot? It apparently does. If you so order, lift the hand. Opposed, the same. The time is extended to hear the ballot.

Report of Ballot in Judicial Council

Leon T. Moore: Mr. Chairman, there were 804 ballots cast, 403, therefore, were necessary for election. There was no election.

Bishop Mathews: No election. Would you give the returns, please, Dr. Moore?

Leon T. Moore: Chitwood, 103; Culver, 53; Curl, 142; Fenn, 74; Hagler, 79; Houston, 86; Huggins, 122; Hughes, 74; Kearns, 159; Leiffer, 265; Long, 104; Moore, 19; Pugh, 291; Ragsdale, 252; Sessions, 65; Swales, 145; Tuell, 58; Wagner, 87; Welliver, 255; Williams, 58; and Yoak, 20.

Lay Election Ballots: Bautista, 70; Blackwell, 46; and Blue, 59.

Unfortunately, Mr. Chairman, one who was disqualified received 8 votes. Clarke, 121; Cranston, 39; Dale, 50; Dominick, 72; Erwin, 115; Fletcher, 42; Hickman, 356; Holbrook, 51; Holler, 131; Hunt, 63; Mabuce, 84; Odell, 137; Witwer, 139; and Zellmer, 25.

May we proceed with another ballot?

Second Ballot for Judicial Council Taken

Bishop Mathews: The Conference has ordered that another ballot be taken. Will the secretary give any further instructions which may be necessary?

Leon T. Moore: Please pay attention to this announcement. Tellers for this ballot will be Group B, regular, as found on page 94. I will read only the names of the chairmen.

Section A, Mrs. Robert Davis; B, George M. Northrup; C, William H. Alderson; D, Robert C. Jones; E, Joseph E. Lowery; and F. Lawrence F. Almond.

Marvin Boyd is the one who will act as the judge of election for this ballot.

Bishop Mathews: Will the members proceed to take the ballots as you have been instructed?

Leon T. Moore: Will the chairmen come forward to get the ballots for distribution?

Bishop Mathews: Will the secretary advise the members how many they vote for?

Leon T. Moore: Three ministers and two laymen.

Remember that in the vote, there must be represented Northeastern, North Central, and Western Jurisdictions.

Instructions Given

The secretary gave instructions for preparing the ballot.

Bishop Mathews: Please collect the ballots as quickly as possible and go to the room indicated.

There appear to be members of committees which are on the agenda who thought that they were orders of the day at the time indicated. This is not the case, only one order was established, that of continuing the report of the Coordinating Council.

We had come yesterday to page 22, through Item 10 with Item 8 withheld.

I recognize Brother Leon Hickman to proceed with the report.

Coordinating Council Report Resumed—Leon E. Hickman

Leon E. Hickman (Western Pennsylvania—NE): Ladies and gentlemen, members of the Conference, Item 8 was passed yesterday.

That appears on page 22, column 1. It involves no legislation but simply involves a statement of our historic position that we elect our episcopacy for life. Recognizing that this might take some of our time and we really have nothing to accomplish by debating it, if the body is willing, we will pass it and include it in the motion at the end that the report be accepted as perfected.

Motion to Amend Constitution—Lewis H. Beckford

Lewis H. Beckford (Maine—NE): I should like to make a motion in connection with No. 8 and speak to it if it is seconded. That the Constitution be amended by the addition of the following words in Division 3, Article 2, "for a single term without re-election," so that the Article will read: "The bishops shall be elected by the respective Jurisdictional and Central Conferences for a single term, without re-election, and consecrated in the historic manner of the episcopal—in the manner of Episcopal Methodism at such time and place as may be fixed by the General Conference."

Bishop Mathews: Brother Beckford, we have heard this proposal for an amendment. The Chair would like to suggest that we wait until this report is completed, and then you bring that forward if you will.

The chairman of the Committee has already suggested that we continue with the report and any final motions to adopt should be taken at that time. We are not going to discuss that in detail at this time.

If you will accept that as an alternative, it is good, but if you wish to present your proposal, it is in order.

Lewis H. Beckford: I do not understand the parliamentary procedure at the moment. I had the floor. If it is seconded, I would appreciate an opportunity to speak to it.

Bishop Mathews: Is there a second to this motion which would amend the Constitution with respect to term of episcopacy? Would you give the paragraph. You gave the section in the Constitution. It is harder to find it that way.

I hear no seconds. Is there a second?

Will you proceed. Indicating the paragraph in the Constitution, if you will, please.

Lewis H. Beckford: Paragraph 35, I understand. Mr. Chairman, I am in favor of a limited tenure of our bishops for the following reasons. This is an age of mobility and change. Our Methodist Church is suffering by several blocks within the circulation of its itinerant ministry.

Life tenure constitutes one such block. Men move from our local churches and board ministries into general superintendency and then by life tenure are prevented from moving back into the ministry of the local church with the rich worldwide experiences which can be obtained only through the exercise of the office of bishop.

Life tenure, I believe, constitutes a poor stewardship of our manpower. Limited tenure, and from my point of view, it would preferably be in the nature of a definite term without re-election, could bring to this office men at the peak of their professional and physical powers.

Currently we continue to assume that men can continue throughout life to carry the arduous tasks and schedules of the office when experience has proven otherwise.

We have spent considerable time on this present report in working out ways and means by which those servants of our church who have been subjected to the work of the bishop's office may be relieved without embarrassment to them of responsibilities which are

more than any man ought to have to carry throughout the span of his life.

It is my contention that if we have a definite term without re-election, the politicing which is offered as one problem in connection with re-election, would thereby be eliminated.

Proposed Amendment Defeated

Bishop Mathews: The Chair would like to point out that although he has entertained an amendment of this report which was proposed as a constitutional change, that, in fact, the change intended could not be affected by the proposal just made. The Chair's reasons for stating this is that the amendment had to do with Paragraph 35 which, if accepted by this Conference and by the Annual Conferences, would bring about the term episcopacy, but if this were done, it would stand in contradiction to Paragraph 34 which says we shall have an episcopacy which continues with the plan, powers and privileges, which existed in the Methodist Episcopal Church, and the Methodist Episcopal Church, South, which was a life episcopacy. This would not be in order. The Chair would trust you would withdraw it at this time because it would not achieve the end which you have sought to achieve.

You do not need to withdraw if you do not desire. You put the matter before the Conference.

What is the pleasure of the Conference? As many as will—perhaps I should turn to—

There is no remark.

As many as will approve this amendment which would bring about a constitutional amendment in turn, will you lift the hand. Those opposed, the same sign. The motion is not carried. (DCA, page 591.) Please proceed, Mr. Hickman.

Legislative Item 11 Presented—Leon E. Hickman

Leon E. Hickman: Page 22, bottom of the third column, the matter in hand is to amend Section 1101 of the *Discipline* which deals with agencies of the church. We would propose for the first time a definition of what we mean by agencies, and I need not read it for you beyond stating that it is intended to be comprehensive, and intended to include committees as well as agencies that are denominated councils, or agencies, or something else.

I do call your attention to the last half of the black-faced type, top of page 23, column 1, which excludes from the definition boards of trustees, interagency committees, or such commissions and committes as are created by the General Conference to fulfill a special function within the ensuing quadrennium, or ecumenical groups in which The Methodist Church is represented, or agencies related to the quadrennial session of the General Conference.

In other words, we are defining what we mean by "general agencies" in terms of a permanent, on-going agency of the church which continues quadrennium after quadrennium, and excluding the one quadrennium situation and boards of trustees.

I should say this definition, if adopted, will control Section 1102 which has to do with the fact that the bishops can serve on no more than three such agencies. It will also control Section 1103 which says no person who receives compensation for services rendered or commissions of any kind from a board or other agency shall be eligible for voting membership on the board or agency.

I should tell you in fairness that when we move to Section 1104, the Council will sponsor a verbal amendment which will broaden 1104

which deals with the 12-year tenure, so that the 12-year tenure will apply to this "general agency" as we are defining it in 1101.

Recent decisions of the Judicial Council, which are undoubtedly correct, on the legislation as we have it today are excluding agencies which carry the name "committee" from the 12-year rule.

I say, we are going to propose in 1104 the substitution of "general agency" as we are defining it in 1101 for the present subject of the paragraph which is "Division, Board, Commission, or Council."

I tell you that in fairness so that you will know if we approve this definition of "general agency" which has no counterpart in the present *Discipline*—we don't know what a "general agency" is—it will have that effect.

I move its adoption.

Bishop Mathews: You have heard the motion to adopt the proposed subparagraph, adding to 1101. What is your pleasure?

Inquiry Rel Exclusion of Boards of Trustees—Russell A. Huffman

Russell A. Huffman (Minnesota—NC): I ask this question because the pattern established here for national agencies will no doubt be carried to the Annual Conference. This is not required, I understand, but Annual Conferences are waiting for a pattern.

The question is this: Could you give us a good reason why boards of trustees are excluded at this point?

Reply

Leon T. Hickman: I would say because they are not under the control of this body. We are proposing this definition to control tenure and participation of the bishops of bodies under our control.

Inquiry Rel Glossary—G. Clifford Pettygrove

G. Clifford Pettygrove (California-Nevada—W): I realize the glossary is not a part of the law of the church, but I call attention to the definition of "agency" on page 723 of the *Discipline* in which an agency is defined as a council, board, division, commission, committee, or other body established to carry out the connectional work of the church. I am not sure this language applies to what is before us, but it seems it might be helpful.

Reply

Leon E. Hickman: The glossary is not definitive and is not regarded so by the Judicial Council.

Recommendation 11 Adopted

Bishop Mathews: This is correct.

Are you ready? As many as will adopt 1101, the additional portion, will you lift the hand? Down. Opposed, the same sign. It is done. (DCA, page 592.)

Recommendation 12 Presented

Leon E. Hickman: Recommendation 12 is found on page 23, first column. It appears in the black-faced type. It, again, deals with the

definition of boards or agencies insofar as they apply to Episcopal representation on three of them. It would include—if the bishop serves as a liaison member from one general agency to another, that shall be counted. No person shall serve as president or chairman of more than one general agency or division of a general agency. We are trying to divide the work, and lighten the work load on the episcopacy.

I move adoption.

Recommendation 12 Adopted

Bishop Mathews: You have heard the motion. What is your pleasure? As many as will adopt, show hands. Down. Opposed, the same sign. It is done. (DCA, page 592.)

Recommendation 13 Presented—Motion to Suspend Rules

Leon E. Hickman: Number 13, same column, middle of the page. We are proposing amendment to Paragraph 1104. I said there would be a verbal change, and I move that the rules be suspended for that purpose, because it will control what we are writing here.

Bishop Mathews: Will the conference suspend the rules so this introduction may be made? If you will, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 592.)

Amendment Moved

Leon E. Hickman: If you look at the *Discipline* Section 1104, "tenure on any board, division, commission, or council, except the council of bishops and judicial council, shall be limited to twelve consecutive years."

We move to amend by moving to strike "division, board, et cetera," and substitute "general agency" which is defined as you have it before you in the material you just adopted.

I move the amendment.

Amendment Adopted

Bishop Mathews: The amendment is before you. If you approve this amendment, will you show the hand? Down. Opposed, the same sign. It is done. (DCA, page 592.)

Legislative Item 13 Presented

Leon E. Hickman: Legislative Item 13, printed material, part 1. There are four sub-divisions to be added to Section 1104. The one numbered two makes 70 the maximum age for nomination or election to membership in any general agency. I understand the Council of Bishops had in de facto been following that practice in its action thus far.

Item No. 3 covers the situation where a minister transfers membership to an Annual Conference in another Jurisdiction and it provides that in such an event, he should cease to be a member of the agency; if we may have permission, we would like to insert editorially for clarity, after the word agency in the sixth line, "at the time of his transfer."

Bishop Mathews: Is there objection to that from the General Conference? There is no objection. It will be permitted.

Inquiry—Dennis Fletcher

Dennis Fletcher (Delaware—C): Does this contravene the action that is in the report of the Committee of Thirty-Six?

Answer

Leon E. Hickman: It is not intended to do so. I think we can assume it will be interpreted not to do so.

Inquiry—Nat G. Long

Nat G. Long (North Georgia—SE): I should like to ask of Mr. Hickman if this Item No. 2 under 1104 would apply to someone already elected by this General Conference at this time?

Reply

Leon E. Hickman: I would assume it would not have a retroactive application.

Explanation Continued

Leon E. Hickman: In Paragraph No. 3, it is likewise provided that if a layman leaves the Jurisdiction which he is representing on a general agency, that his appointment is terminated. When a minister takes the retired relationship, that his membership on an agency is terminated at that time. Likewise in Paragraph 5, if there is a merged agency, the period of time is tacked in determining the 12-year rule. I think it is quite clear in that, and I move the adoption of the supplemental paragraphs of Section 1104 of the *Discipline.*

Amendment Proposed

Walter L. Hunt (Wyoming—NE): I propose an amendment in Section 2, following the fifth line, at the end of the first sentence, by inserting the sentence, "This will become effective with the Jurisdictional Conference of 1968."

Bishop Mathews: Is there a second to this amendment? I hear none.

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Mathews: It is seconded.

Walter L. Hunt: Mr. Chairman, if this report is to be consistent, then the addition of this sentence makes it correspond to other sections of the report where it occurs, making it the proposed action effective at the end of the next quadrennium, but of even more importance is the effect on the church.

In some of the general boards and agencies, it is my understanding that about 50 percent of the board is changed at the end of the quadrennium by reason of the 12-year rule, and by elections to memberships by the Jurisdictional Conferences.

To add to this big percentage of change, this mandatory removal of experienced men of wide knowledge, with mature judgment, deep understanding, and proven ability is to deprive, unnecessarily, the whole church of valuable service. It seems most fitting and in the

best interest of the church that the proposed action become effective with the Jurisdictional Conference of 1968.

Bishop Mathews: Brother Slutz, does it have to do with this amendment?

Leonard Slutz (Ohio—NC): Yes, your Honor.

Bishop Mathews: Microphone No. 10.

Leonard Slutz: Excuse me from force of habit, Bishop. I am Leonard Slutz, and I, of course, should address you as Mr. Chairman.

Bishop Mathews: I am highly honored.

Motion to Substitute—Leonard Slutz

Leonard Slutz: As a substitute to the amendment offered by Brother Hunt with which I have considerable sympathy, I move to amend the report by deleting all of Section 2 of Item 13 in the first column of page 23.

If I may have a second, I have a very brief speech.

Bishop Mathews: Would you please repeat your proposal so that it is quite clear?

Leonard Slutz: As a substitute for the amendment presented, I move to amend the report by deleting all of Section 2 of Item 13 in the first column of page 23 of the *Daily Christian Advocate.*

Bishop Mathews: That is seconded.

You may speak.

Leonard Slutz: We have spoken before about retirement age of bishops and ministers. Both of those are full-time exhausting occupations. I submit there is an important difference when we come to considering boards and agencies. There are ministers and certainly many laymen of vigor, experience, and ability who can give far more time and attention to the work of boards and agencies of the church after they no longer have to devote quite so much time to earning a living.

Recently I was a member of a Commission of Five of our state government. The younger four were part time. We did the best we could in the time we could spend from the crowded schedules but the chairman was a recently retired superintendent of schools. He was able to give his major attention to the work of the Commission and traveled tirelessly throughout the state. In fact, the proposed rule would cause our Council of Bishops great difficulty in making nominations at this session of the General Conference. They have assembled a mass of information, hundreds of ministers and laymen who have been recommended to various positions. They know a great deal about you, except for one thing, at least as far as the laymen on the list, they have no information whatsoever as to your birth date. I submit that is as it should be. They should be concerned about your dedication to the church, your ability, your experience, yes, they should consider your health, your vigor, your alertness, but not the calendar.

Bishop Mathews: We have No. 13 before us. There was an amendment to Paragraph 2 in the bold-faced type. There has been a substitute for the whole of Paragraph No. 2.

We must now discuss further the amendment by Brother Hunt which would add at the end of the first sentence of No. 2 reference to this being effective after 1968.

Is there anyone who wishes to discuss that amendment? Are you ready? As many as would approve it,—

Leon E. Hickman: May I have a word?

Bishop Mathews: I am sorry.

Statement From Committee

Leon E. Hickman: I am quite willing to submit this to the judgment of the house but I think the amendment should be defeated. This is quite different from the thing we voted on it in connection with the bishops. Here we are not removing anybody from board membership because he is over the age of 70. If he has been nominated and elected at this time, he stays on until his term is up. It seems to me, therefore, that if the provision is right, it should be put in effect now and while there are many men of vigor over 70. I am getting dangerously close to it myself. I am equally confident that there are men of equal vigor under 70.

Point of Order—John Van Sickle

John R. Van Sickle: The Chair announced we are going to vote on the amendment. We should vote on the substitute first.

Bishop Mathews: You are correct. We will do that. We turn to the substitute offered by Brother Slutz, assuming no one else wishes to speak on the amendment by Brother Hunt and you have perfected that and we turn to the substitute.

Point of Order—Harold S. Swales

Harold S. Swales (Central New York—NE): According to our rules, the amendment was made to the main motion which has to be perfected before you can present it. Then you can present the substitute.

Bishop Mathews: As the Chair understands it, we had 13 before us, an amendment to Paragraph 2 was made, a substitute was made which was entertained, we opened the amendment for discussion. There was no discusion. We assume that the house has perfected that as it will. We turned to the substitute offered by Brother Slutz. Do you wish to speak to the substitute?

Statement Opposing Slutz Substitute—Ralph Hastings

Ralph G. Hastings (Indiana—NC): I wish to speak against the substitute for the reason that this matter was thoroughly considered by the Coordinating Council after a study of the general superintendency. We realized that Mr. Slutz had some very valid arguments as to the mature judgment of men past 70, but nevertheless we think that after the study and the serious consideration given to it by the Coordinating Council, that the substitute should be defeated.

Bishop Mathews: We are on the substitute. Does anyone else desire to speak?

If not, if you are ready, as many as would approve the substitute offered by Brother Slutz.

Substitute Motion Defeated—Amendment Defeated

Bishop Mathews: If you would approve the substitute, show your hands. Down. Opposed the same sign. The substitute is not accepted. (DCA, page 593.)

We are now on the amendment offered by Brother Hunt. As many as will approve the amendment, show the hand. Down. Opposed, the same sign. The amendment does not prevail. (DCA, page 593.)

The motion is on the whole of No. 13. Are you ready?

Amendment Proposed—Alva Cox

Alva I. Cox (Northeast Ohio—NC): I am referring to Item No. 4, Mr. Chairman. I would like to move to amend the report by striking it out in its entirety. There are several reasons for it.

Bishop Mathews: Let me see first whether or not there is a second to your motion to delete, to strike out all of No. 4.

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Mathews: It is seconded. Will you speak?

Alva I. Cox: Mr. Chairman, there are several reasons for this. In the first place, we have, by retaining Section 1 or 2, whatever the one was we just voted on regarding the 70-year rule, we have put a control on the matter of cluttering up boards with persons who are too old. This is discriminatory legislation, however, in that the same kind of controls are not placed on laymen that they have to retire from a board simply because they quit working.

In these days when more and more ministers are retiring at age 65, and laymen can serve many years beyond this, this would hardly seem to be fair.

The same argument that Leonard Slutz brought forth to support his substitute could be brought in this instance, I think, because it might be that man for one or two or three years beyond his retirement in which he would be serving and render more service to the board than he could have when he was in a full-time occupation.

It also seems to me this would cause a great deal of confusion on agencies because a man retiring in June in the last year of a quadrennium and had to be replaced could not attend more than one meeting of the agency.

Statement for Coordinating Council

Leon E. Hickman: The Council opposes that because when a minister is put on an agency, he is put on as the representative of his Conference. When he takes the retired relationship, he is really no longer in a position to adequately represent his Conference in most situations.

Inquiry

Leon W. Bouton (Wyoming—NE): A question I would like to address to Mr. Hickman. Does he mean those who had already been elected at this Conference are not included in No. 2?

Reply

Leon E. Hickman: That would be a matter for the decision of the Judicial Council but it is my understanding that legislation we pass goes into effect at the end of this General Conference. If a man has been nominated and elected before the end of the Conference, I would assume that Paragraph 2 has no application. It only applies prospectively and not retroactively.

Amendment Defeated—Legislative Item 13 Adopted

Bishop Mathews: Having heard the question, it seemed well to allow it.

As many as would approve the amendment to delete from thirteen

Paragraph 4, show the hands. Down. Opposed, the same sign. The amendment is not accepted. (DCA, page 594.)

The motion now is on the whole of No. 13. If you would approve, show the hand. Opposed, the same sign. It is done. (DCA, page 594.)

Legislative Item 14 Presented

Leon E. Hickman: No. 14 starts at the bottom of column 1, page 23, and continues through most of the second column on page 23. It is set out in full. The subject matter is membership on the Board of Managers of the Board of Missions, the Board of Education, and the Board of Evangelism, and the purpose of the proposed amendment is to lighten the load on the bishops by reducing the number of Bishops that serve on these Boards and quite possibly thereby increasing the representation that would be possible for others. But the primary concern is to conserve time of Bishops. The first of the three amendments were found on column 2, page 23, relates to the Board of Managers of the Board of Missions. The effect is to cut down the number of Bishops on that Board from one half of the entire Council to 15, and to cut down the number of Overseas Bishops from 6 to 5.

The same suggestion is applied to membership on the Board of Education where we cut the number of Bishops from one half the entire Council to 15, and cut the number of overseas—there are no Overseas Bishops on the Board of Education.

Moving to the Board of Evangelism which now has 2 Bishops from each Jurisdiction, and 1 appointed by the Council of Bishops who becomes the president, we would reduce that 7 to 6 and let the Board of Evangelism elect its own president.

I move adoption of these amendments.

Legislative Item 14 Adopted

Bishop Mathews: Recommendation 14 is before you. Are you ready? As many as will adopt Recommendation 14, will you show the hand. Down. Opposed, the same sign. It is done. (DCA, page 594.)

Report Continued

Leon E. Hickman: Item 3, column 3, page 23 is designed to compel attendance at these board meetings or forfeit membership. It provides if a member of a general agency is absent from 2 consecutive meetings of the agency without an excuse acceptable to the agency, he loses his membership. I move adoption.

Bishop Mathews: The section 15 is before you. As many as will adopt the motion, show the hand. Down. Opposed, the same sign. It is passed. (DCA, page 594.)

Leon E. Hickman: Legislative Item 16, column 3, deals with retirements of both elected staff members on general agencies and other staff members. In view of the action that was taken with respect to Bishops, postponing it until 1968, if we may proceed informally by a suspension of the rules, the Council would strike the last sentence which is that a person retired by one agency shall be regarded as retired by all, and we will depend on the general age limit set out above and substitute that this shall become effective at the adjournment of the General Conference of 1968.

Bishop Mathews: Is there anyone who objects to suspending the rules for this deletion and insertion? The Chair hears none.

Leon E. Hickman: I move it in the amended form.

Bishop Mathews: No. 16 is moved.

Motion to Amend by Deletion—Eugene L. Smith

Eugene L. Smith (Newark—NE): I should like, Mr. Chairman, if I may, to move the deletion of one word and if I can have a second, to speak to it.

It is the last sentence in the larger paragraph under 16. It now reads "An agency may retire its employed personnel at an earlier but not a later age than specified above."

I should like to move the deletion of the word "employed." I do so move.

I hope that I might have a second.

Bishop Mathews: The Chair is under the impression that we have by common consent deleted the last sentence and replaced it with one—

Amendment to Delete Accepted

Leon E. Hickman: This sentence is still in, but the proposed amendment is acceptable to the Council.

My proposal is that the black-faced paragraph in the middle will stand as it is with the qualification that we strike the words "A person retired by one agency of the church shall be regarded as retired by all agencies" because there are different retirement ages, and substitute "This shall become effective at the adjournment of the Conference of 1968."

The sentence to which Dr. Smith is addressing himself is the one before that. It is up to the body, but as far as the Council is concerned, we have no objection to his amendment.

Bishop Mathews: The Chair asks again if there is objection in the Conference to deleting, removing from the report as proposed by Mr. Hickman, the last paragraph and replacing the words having to do with 1968. Is there any objection?

We hear none.

Dr. Smith is recognized for his proposal.

Eugene L. Smith: Thank you. My motion is this: In the sentence saying, "The agency may retire its employed personnel at an earlier but not a later age than that specified above" delete the word "employed" and it will then read "An agency may retire its personnel at an earlier but not later age than the one specified above."

Bishop Mathews: Is the Council willing to accept that?

Leon E. Hickman: We accept it.

Inquiry Rel Effective Date—Paul Hann

Paul M. Hann (South Iowa—NC): I wonder why the Committee is at this point making it effective at the close of 1968 Jurisdictional Conference? Other times it has been at the close of this Conference, and here there is a change.

Reply

Leon E. Hickman: It was an effort to be fair to men or women who have been employed for many years and give them time to adjust to the lower age limit. It would seem that is quite different to remove a man or woman from gainful employment than it is to deal with appointment to a non-paying board of the church. Livelihood is involved in this provision.

Legislative Item 16 Adopted

Bishop Mathews: This would be in accord with Rule 41. Are you ready on Rule 16?

As many as would adopt it as altered, lift the hand. Down. Opposed, the same sign. It is done. (DCA, page 594.)

Is there an overall motion to pick up on No. 8 which was withheld temporarily?

Motion to Approve Recommendations in Entirety—Leon E. Hickman

Leon E. Hickman: Mr. Chairman, I move adoption, in their overall entirety, of the legislative recommendations as distinguished from constitutional ones which are number 2 through number 16; that is number 2, page 21, through number 16 on page 23. We have debated them all.

Bishop Mathews: This includes number 8?

Leon E. Hickman: Yes.

Bishop Mathews: Are you ready? As many as will approve this motion, show by the uplifted hand. Down. Opposed, the same sign. It is done. (DCA, page 594.)

Matter of Personal Privilege—Chester A. Smith

Chester A. Smith: Mr. Chairman and members of the General Conference, I desire to pay tribute to the Bishop who served in the first part of the morning session, Bishop Edgar A. Love. I have known him for many years. He is a dear friend. He has served The Methodist Church for 49 years, 36 years as a preacher, and 12 years as Bishop of The Methodist Church. He has also been active since 1936 as a member of The Methodist Federation for Social Action, and is now the president. He has been a leader in the movement to secure the civil rights of the Negro and has carried on in an effective way those great traditions which were established by those great Negroes who have taken their place in the past in the history of the Christian Church and of mankind. I speak of Simon of Cyrene who carried the cross of Jesus on his way to Calvary, and St. Augustine, the great theologian of the Middle Ages, and Crispus Attucks, the first American to give his life in the American Revolution, Frederick Douglass, an early champion in ending slavery, Booker T. Washington, founder of Tuskegee University, George Washington Carver, a great Negro scientist, who added millions of dollars to America, and the South, Martin Luther King, a great hero of our times who suffered imprisonment for his principles as John Bunyan did in England.

Bishop Love, we hail you as another great member of the great Negro race, and in your retirement, we extend to you good health and many more years of happiness.

Privileged Matter for Bishop Raines

John B. Jones: Another matter of courtesy and privilege. Bishop and members of the Conference, if you will grant the floor to Bishop Raines at this time, we will appreciate it.

Bishop Raines: Mr. Chairman and members of the General Conference, two matters of privilege requested by the Board of Missions. One. I request to ask the Secretary of the General Conference to send an appropriate greeting to Mrs. Truman Collins of Portland,

Oregon. Her husband, Mr. Truman Collins, died unexpectedly in this year. Three generations of the family, grandfather, father, and son, Truman, have had a remarkable devotion to Methodism and the Board of Missions, which has resulted in the largest single gift to the Board in Methodist history in the form of timber lands which have to date provided approximately nine million dollars to the Board of Missions, a substantial portion of which provided for pensions for missionaries, and which will continue to provide sizable income for years to come.

Mr. Collins has served without salary as administrator of these timberlands and has also served as a member of the Board of Missions and of the World Division of the Board.

In addition, his personal gifts have undergirded a large number of Methodist schools, hospitals, and churches. His sudden death is a loss, a serious loss to Methodism. Our expression of sympathy and gratitude to the family I trust will be sent by this Conference if some member would so move.

It was moved and seconded.

Motion to Send Greetings to Mrs. Truman Collins

Raymond E. Balcomb (Oregon—W): I would like to make the motion or if it is made, second it. Mrs. Collins is, and Mr. Collins was, a member of my congregation.

Bishop Mathews: As many as will grant this request, raise the hand. It is done. (DCA, page 595.)

Presentation of Rev. Malcom McVeigh

Bishop Raines: The person who has devoted the most creative hours of labor to make possible structural changes in the Board of Missions which you approved as a missionary, the Reverend Malcom McVeigh. May I present him, despite his reluctance to do so and request that you express your appreciation for this work through your applause.

Bishop Mathews: Thank you, Bishop Raines and Brother McVeigh. Will you hear the announcements of the Secretary.

Announcements

Several Announcements were made by Leon E. Moore, secretary, and by others.

Agenda Committee Report

J. Otis Young: Mr. Chairman, meeting of the Agenda Committee following adjournment. It is my understanding that Bishop Wright will appear before the Conference this afternoon at 2:45. Following his appearance, the Agenda Committee would like to move to create an order of the day to finish the report of the Coordinating Council and then following their report, we continue with Legislative Committees.

I should like to move adoption of this recommendation.

Bishop Mathews: If you will approve these recommendations, show by the uplifted hand. Down. Opposed, the same. It is done. (DCA, page 596.)

The Chair would like to express appreciation for this shakedown cruise here. This gavel was made in India from a half dozen kinds of Indian wood. I am particularly pleased to say that the benediction

will be given by the man who was long my Bishop, Bishop Pickett of India, and of everywhere. After the benediction, we will be in recess until the afternoon session.

Benediction—Bishop Wascom Pickett

Bishop Pickett: May the wisdom, grace and peace of God, Father, Son and the Holy Spirit, abide with each of you and work through each of you to the good of many others. Amen.

TENTH DAY, WEDNESDAY, MAY 6, 1964
AFTERNOON SESSION

Pursuant to Adjournment the 1964 General Conference of The Methodist Church convened in the afternoon session of the Tenth Day, Wednesday, May 6, 1964 at 2:30 P.M., with Bishop Hazen G. Werner presiding.

Bishop Werner: Will the Conference be in order, please?

Hymn No. 32, "Christ, Whose Glory Fills the Skies," was sung.

Prayer—Bishop T. Otto Nall

Bishop T. Otto Nall: Eternal Father of our spirits, it is so good for us to be here. In ways that we do not understand and certainly do not deserve, Thou dost summon us to be workers together with Thee. Give us wisdom and courage for the facing of these days, we ask in Jesus' name. Amen.

Courtesies and Privileges

Bishop Werner: We now turn to matters of courtesies and privileges.

Motion to Send Fraternal Greetings—William T. Handy, Jr.

William T. Handy, Jr. (Louisiana—C): Mr. Chairman and members of the Conference, on last week we had fraternal delegates from our sister Methodist Churches and they brought appropriate and official greetings. I am going to move that a statement of official and appropriate greeting be sent from this General Conference to the General Conference of the African Methodist Episcopal Church, presently meeting in Cincinnati, Ohio.

Bishop Werner: You have heard the motion. Is there a second?

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Werner: It is seconded. I believe a voice in back of me. Bishop Prince Taylor has been appointed to bring personal greetings from this body. I will be glad to pass this motion, however. All those who do, hold up the hand. Opposed? Thank you. (DCA, page 596.)
I recognize George A. Atkinson, California-Nevada Conference, at this time.

Resolution Read for Information—George H. Atkinson

George H. Atkinson (California-Nevada—W): Bishop Werner, I wish to make a statement to the General Conference as a member of the Committee of 36. I want to read an important news item which has not yet been able to be published in the *Daily Christian Advocate*. But, first, let me say that as far as the plan of action goes, as many of you know, there are lots of rumors; there are rumors

that a certain Jurisdiction is going to drag its feet, go home, or not be sincere or something, and I think this is a good time to stop those rumors.

We, on the Commission of 36, have never doubted but what every member of this General Conference would go home and do his best to see that the action of the General Conference is carried out.

Nevertheless, it is gratifying to be able to make this following report. Its reading has been authorized by Mr. J. Clint Hawkins, the Chairman of the South Central Jurisdictional Board of Lay Activities.

I will read the resolution which they will have published:

"We recommend to the various Boards of Lay Activities of the South Central Jurisdiction that the report and plan of action presented by the Committee on Interjurisdictional Relations be carefully studied and a plan of implementation be prepared by them for proposal to their respective Annual Conferences."

That is the end of their quote, and they go on to say the Chairmen and the members of the board present for the meeting pledge themselves to present the request of the Jurisdictional Board to their respective Annual Conference Boards to assist in discovering the most appropriate means for implementing the Recommendations adopted by the General Conference, and to urge that they be adopted by the Annual Conferences.

You will see that this is just a general statement. It does not go into specifics because the Annual Conference of the South Central Jurisdiction will be the ones that will have to act, but the important thing is, to me, as a member of the General Commission, that Mr. Hawkins said two things: He said, "This is no idle gesture; we mean business."

I not only want to commend their action, which was on their own initiative, to the Conference; but to express the sincere hope that every member of this General Conference will go home and do his best to put this plan of action into real action.

Host Bishop W. Vernon Middleton Presented

Bishop Werner: We are grateful and privileged this afternoon in greeting a visitor and welcoming him to our midst. We are asking Bishop Middleton, the host Bishop in Pittsburgh, to be at this time afforded the right of privilege of introducing him.

Bishop Middleton: Mr. Chairman and members of the Conference, on this historic occasion, when possibly the host Bishop should introduce our distinguished guests, I have deferred to Bishop Fred P. Corson of Philadelphia, the President of the Methodist World Council. I cannot introduce him, but present him to you to introduce one who is not only a personal friend, but a very distinguished churchman, and an American. I present to you Bishop Fred Corson.

Bishop Corson Presents Bishop John Joseph Wright

Bishop Corson: Dear Fathers and brethren, this is one of the very great historic moments in the life of The Methodist Church, and in the life of our great ecumenical movement which is dominating the thought of Christendom in this particular age. This is the first time that a Bishop of the Roman Catholic Church has attended and spoken to a General Conference of The Methodist Church, and I think it is the first time that the Methodist General Conference has invited a Bishop of the Roman Catholic Church to be present at their General Conference.

It reminds me of a story, at this moment. Many of you, no doubt, have heard it. It is about a good woman who had lived a very modest and quiet and humble life, and who died and went to heaven. She had anticipated that because of her life on earth and the denials of the good things that had come to her because of her condition, she would have some special place in heaven. They did not pay the attention to her she thought she deserved. She could not get their attention. Finally someone took her off to a side street, took her up into one of the palaces that at first she thought would be hers, only to find herself comfined to a back room.

She was sure that that was simply temporary and certainly they would come and give her the place that she should have, but nobody came, and day after day she was there until one morning she observed a great to-do in heaven. Everybody was alerted. They were polishing up their crowns. They were dusting their wings. They were hurrying around doing all the things that should be done when something really exciting is going to happen.

She said to herself, "This is my day. This is my day, and it will repay me for all the good I have done on earth." But nobody came.

Finally, being a woman, her curiosity got the best of her, and she asked somebody, "Why is all this bustling going on?"

"Well," they said, "don't you know Bishop So-and-So is scheduled to arrive today?"

"Oh," she said. "I know. He was my Bishop, but I must say he didn't impress us on earth that he should have this attention. He had the office, but he didn't really act like a Bishop. He didn't do the things that I think would have warranted all this."

"But you don't understand. This is the first Bishop we have had up here for 250 years."

We are happy that the Bishop who should come would be his Excellency John Joseph Wright. He is one of the great Ecumenical spirits of Protestantism—I mean Catholicism.

Well, I think the other is right.

He has been well trained for this very hour to which I think God has destined him, as one of the outstanding Theological interpreters of the Ecumenical Movement.

He is a young man as you can understand. I may not be right about this, but I believe he has been an Ordained Priest for 27 years, and 14 of those 27 years he has been a Bishop.

He was first the auxiliary to Cardinal Cushing. You know and can understand now why he should be at the forefront of our Ecumenical Movement. No one could be associated with His Eminence Cardinal Cushing without catching the great Ecumenical heart and mind and soul that Cardinal Cushing has.

From that office, he became the Bishop of Worcester, Massachusetts, and five years ago he came to Pittsburgh as the Bishop.

I know that Bishop Middleton would have told you of the joy and pleasure that he gets in associating with Bishop Wright, as Bishop Wright has assured me of that regarding Bishop Middleton—how they feel themselves together as a responsibility for the great Christian movement in this very significant part of our world.

Bishop Wright is serving on the Theological Study Group of the Second Vatican Council, and he comes to us today with great joy in his own heart, as he will tell you, because of his deep interest in bringing all Christendom together in spirit and in oneness in Christ.

I have known Bishop Wright for some time. It has been my joy to be with him both here and in Rome. I think he would fit in very well with our Council of Bishops. I am sure he will feel at home here now and later, and I am sure of another thing, too. I am very sure that what we are doing today, John Wesley is giving his

approval to. You cannot read his great sermon on the Catholic Spirit nor can you read his letter to a Roman Catholic, written in 1749, when it took great courage for a man to stand up and express his convictions about the oneness of Christendom in Christ, when to be a Roman Catholic in Great Britain was to be a second-class citizen, and to be persecuted for it. To say what he did in that remarkable letter, when he pointed out that we should be thankful for the things we have together and share in common, and we should consider it a great joy and privilege as well as a responsibility in all of these matters to work together toward the coming of Christ's kingdom.

Bishop Wright is that kind of man, and it is a very great personal privilege and a high moment in my life to present him to this General Conference.

Bishop Wright Addressed the Conference

(See Appendix, page 2186.)

Bishop Corson Responds

Bishop Corson: I am sure I voice the sentiment of every delegate and every visitor here this afternoon when I say to Bishop Wright how honored we are by his presence here, how encouraged we have been by his word, and how humble we feel by his request that we pray not only for him, but also for Pope Paul and all of his great flock.

Prayers of righteous people availeth much and one of the very lasting impressions I have gotten in my frequent visits with our Christian friends of the Roman Catholic faith is that sense of the necessity of holiness and of discipline which John Wesley tried to bring back in his day into the religious life of England and which I feel we are called today to bring back into our world.

While I cannot speak for this Conference, Bishop Wright, I feel I know this Conference well enough to know that even now, from these delegates, prayers are being offered for you and for the Holy Father, Pope Paul, and for our Christian brothers around the world.

I would like to have the very special privilege of presenting two young men who are Bishop Wright's assistants. I already have told him and told them that when and if this union is effected and I am still an effective Bishop, I want him to transfer Monsignor Brennan and Monsignor Burton to me because I certainly would have joy in fellowship with them and I certainly could use them in my work. I deem it a personal privilege to present these two young men, devoted as I know they are, to this General Conference.

Report on Ballot for Judicial Council

Bishop Werner: This is rather an unforgettable hour, certainly one of high meaning. This will be notable because of the exceptional experience we have had in greeting these friends who have come to visit our General Conference.

We turn now to the business of the afternoon. May I remind you that you are under the three-minute rule. We will ask for the completing of the report of the Coordinating Council.

Our Secretary, Dr. Moore, informs me that the ballot is ready. Is it your desire to have it now? We evidently have to go on voting.

The desire of the Conference is that we go on with our balloting. I recognize Dr. Moore.

Leon Hickman Elected to Judicial Council

Dr. Moore: Mr. Chairman, 803 ballots were cast, 9 defective, leaving 794. Necessary to elect, 398. There was one election. Leon Hickman received 554 votes.

Reading the Ministerial in order: Chitwood, J. Henry (96); Culver, Cecil R. (33); Curl, Robert Floyd (123); Fenn, G. Lemuel (40); Hagler, Albert Dale (34); Houston, Ralph M. (55); Huggins, James G., Jr. (48); Hughes, Harold H. (57); Kearns, Francis E. (111); Leiffer, Murray H. (381); Long, Nat G. (80); Moore, Farris F. (17); Pugh, A. Wesley (367); Ragsdale, Ray W. (335); Session, Cleo C. (46); Swales, Harold S. (123); Tuell, Jack M. (28); Wagner, H. Hughes (49); Welliver, Lester A. (322); Williams, Walter G. (32); Yoak, John B. F., Jr. (15).

Reading the laymen in order: Bautista, Samuel (54); Blackwell, R. Lee (22); Blue, Robert D. (26); (three defective because they were with Burnette) Burnett, Wm. H. (3); Clarke, Vincent P. (92); Cranston, John M. (14); Dale, Francis (16); Dominick, Frank (63); Erwin, Richard C. (131); Fletcher, Fremont C. (25); Hickman, Leon E. (554); Holbrook, Donald E. (36); Holler, J. Carlyle (148); Hunte, Walter L. (36); Mabuce, Mrs. John O. (63); Odell, Donald A. (103); Witzer, Samuel W. (191); Zellmer, Willard A. (10).

Bishop Werner: You will prepare now for another ballot.

Will you instruct us, Dr. Moore, with respect to this ballot, please?

Ray Ragsdale Withdraws

Bishop Werner: Yes, I recognize Dr. Ragsdale.

Ray W. Ragsdale (Southern California-Arizona—W): A matter of high personal privilege, sir.

I consider it a great honor that I should be in contention for this high office in our Church. I had not expected myself to be in this position. It was out of a high regard for the office and a desire not to be presumptious that I delayed until now to say what I wanted to say, but I would appreciate it very much, sir, and members of the Conference, if you would allow me to withdraw from the balloting for the high office of the Church. There are others in the Western Jurisdiction from which I come who are far more able to carry out the responsibilities of this high office, and I should like to have the privilege of serving the Church in a more humble way.

Bishop Werner: Dr. Ragsdale, we have heard your statement. Members of the Conference.

Secretary Gives Instructions

Dr. Moore: Mr. Hickman was elected and removes from our instructions the word "Northeastern." We have no compulsion to elect from the Northeastern. One must be elected from the North Central and from the Western out of the remaining four to be elected, three ministers and one layman.

If you will turn, please, to your *Daily Christian Advocate* on page 93, group A tellers, reserve, will be the tellers who will take this ballot.

Dr. Moore gave instructions and answered several questions which were raised.

The ballot was cast by the delegates.

Coordinating Council Report Resumed—Leon E. Hickman

Leon E. Hickman (Western Pennsylvania—NE): Thank you, Bishop. We have reached Item 17, Report 2, found on page 23 of the *Daily Christian Advocate*. The late great Justice Oliver Wendell Holmes, when on the Supreme Judicial Court of Massachusetts, had to rule reversible error had been committed by a trial judge in stopping testimony at a certain point which the judge regarded to be adequately cumulative. Justice Holmes sustained the judge, and said there were times when one had to make a concession to the shortness of life.

We are somewhat in that posture this afternoon and I am going to try in every way possible to deal with this with a broad brush. If at any time we seem to be going too fast or too superficially, and you don't get at the meat of the matter, I trust you will stop us. In an effort to save time, I am going to present Items 17 through 26—that carries us over to page 24—as a single item, because they are all simply suggestions for the consideration of the Council of Bishops. We are not recommending that you put your stamp of approval on them beyond stating by your vote, if you vote affirmatively, that they are worthy of serious consideration on the part of the Council of Bishops. They deal in general with three subjects on which the Coordinating Council feels that serious attention should be given, and we trust that you will share that conviction.

The first, there ought to be a better program for the orientation of new Bishops in the first responsibilities of their office.

The second is that ways ought to be found to enable the Council of Bishops as an organization, as a Council, to be more effective than they are. I say that, not by way of criticism, because these suggestions largely come from the members of the Council themselves.

The third item relates to some studies we feel should be made with respect to the appointment of Ministers.

In view of the fact that we seek no legislative action on these, simply that they be referred for study, I move that the group, of Items 17 through 26, be referred to the Council of Bishops for their consideration.

Items 17 Through 26 Referred to Council of Bishops

Bishop Werner: The motion is a motion to refer. Are you ready for the action? Is there any discussion, any comment, any question?

All those who will so adopt and refer, please lift the hand. Down. Those who do not? It is done. (DCA, page 600; see Appendix, page 1793.)

Motion to Adopt Items 27 to 29—Leon E. Hickman

Leon E. Hickman: Items 27 to 29, page 24. These are suggestions for consideration of the Committee on Presiding Officers of the General Conference. I need not recite to you what you can read for yourselves. They carry the same connotation. We are not voting that they must be done, but that they be seriously considered. I move their adoption.

Bishop Werner: You have the motion before you which is one of adoption. Is there any discussion?

All those who adopt, please lift the hand. Those who do not. They are adopted. (DCA, page 600; see Appendix, page 1796.)

Motion to Refer Items 30 Through 32—Leon E. Hickman

Leon E. Hickman: Items 30 through 32, same column, page 24, are of like ilk. They are three items that we believe worthy of serious consideration by the Jurisdictional Committees on Episcopacy, and we recommend reference of these three suggestions to the several Jurisdictional Committees on Episcopacy.

I move that reference.

Point of Order—Charles C. Parlin

Charles C. Parlin (Newark—NE): May I call the Chairman's attention to the fact that he is not announcing the results of the vote so that the record may show whether these are passed or rejected. You say, "Thank you," and this does not show which way we voted.

Bishop Werner: Thank you for the suggestion. I am very glad to have it.

You now have Items 30, 31, 32 before you for adoption. Are you ready? All those who would adopt, please lift the hand. Down. Those who do not? They are adopted. (DCA, page 600; see Appendix, page 1796.)

Report No. 3, Coordinating Council, Presented—Leon E. Hickman

Leon E. Hickman: We move on now to Report No. 3 of the Coordinating Council. That once loomed as one of the great battles of this session. I am glad to say in holding the reports for a week, we have had a chance to work out our difficulties, and I think we will find that there is general support for these proposals. You will find Report No. 3 on page 25 of the *Daily Christian Advocate* issue of April 27. You will find in the *Daily Christian Advocate* for May 5, page 379, a substitution for Section 4, Report No. 3, page 26 of the *Daily Christian Advocate.*

The substitution is presented as the joint work of the Coordinating Council and the Committee representing the Legislative Committee on Publishing Interests. I would request suspension of the rules to the end that our Section 4, as it appears in the issue of May 5, at page 379, in which we have worked out common agreement with the Committee on Publishing Interests, may be substituted in our presentation for Section 4 of our initial report as set forth on page 26.

Bishop Werner: All those who will suspend the rules, please lift the hand. Those who do not? The rules are suspended.

Mr. Hickman: The procedure we will follow in presenting this report, if it has the concurrence of the body, will be to ask Bishop Edwin Garrison to present the problem we are dealing with in Report No. 3 and the reason for our Legislative recommendation, and then we would request that Dr. Byron F. Stroh, Indiana Conference, representing the Committee on Publishing Interests, be recognized to speak to the same subject, and after those two speeches and such discussion as the body cares to indulge in, I will present the legislative recommendation.

May Bishop Garrison be recognized?

Bishop Garrison Recognized and Speaks

Bishop Werner: I recognize Bishop Garrison for the first presentation.

Bishop Garrison: The question of the periodicals of The Methodist Church has been a thorny one for a great while. Twenty-one years ago, I attended my first General Conference as a delegate. At that time, there were a number of restless speeches about the great number of periodicals, and the great amount of promotional material scattered abroad.

The Council of Secretaries were asked to prepare plans, and report to the General Conference of 1948, a plan that would coordinate the publicity material. But the requested plan did not materialize, and in 1948, the General Conference set up a special committee of 31 to make recommendations. This committee of 31 requested the General Conference to authorize a church-wide survey and authorization was given.

The survey came in 1952. As one of the results of the survey, the Commission on Promotion and Cultivation established responsibility for correlating and distributing all promotional material and to avoid duplication. Because that Commission had been established, Methodists somehow got the idea that promotional magazines were to be consolidated into one, but no such mandate had been given and the Commission was unable to make such a consolidation.

The Coordinating Council reported four years later that the total cost of promotional materials had been doubled. In 1956, the General Conference adopted the proposal of the Coordinating Council that a promotional journal be established in lieu of general agency promotional periodicals. Shortly thereafter, *Concern* Magazine appeared. While there were private complaints, no official complaint was addressed to the Coordinating Council and we reported that to the 1960 General Conference. The General Conference, on motion from the floor, referred the complaints to us in official form, but also adopted Paragraph 1108 which requires approval of the Coordinating Council before any agency may establish a magazine or periodical.

The Coordinating Council reserved judgment with regard to *Concern*, and the Commission on Promotion and Cultivation initiated a widespread study of Methodist magazines and periodicals.

In our 29-page resume of this study, which was a combination of readership by a commercial firm, and a content analysis by persons appointed by us and widely represented throughout the Church, in this 29-page resume which we sent you in January, I think it was, we pointed out that the hazard of the present segmented approach to Methodism is that we create ingrown groups who are usually talking to themselves.

It is our belief that all Methodists should be able to read in *Together* the most relevant and cogent articles usually associated with *Concern*, *World Outlook*, and other board periodicals.

Dr. Earl Brewer, one of our researchers made this statement: "As presently conceived, the periodicals are largely organs of connectional Methodism designed to serve local churches. Connectional Methodism is a vast bureaucracy of Councils, Commissions, Boards and Agencies. It seems natural for each of these Boards, and frequently divisions of them, to see the need for house organs which carry the promotional materials and programs to the local church; but in past decisions, the General Conference has made it clear that some measure of simplification and synchronization is desirable and necessary.

Connectional Methodism exists for local Methodism. If there were no local groups of people who believed in Jesus Christ and tried to work out the implications of their beliefs and practices, all other units and expressions of the Church would fold up and fade away. The contemporary view of a local Methodist Church as the retail outlet of the goods and services manufactured and performed by the

boards and agencies of connectional Methodism must be increasingly questioned.

Periodicals have their own responsibilities to join in the ferment of faith. A pale, middle of the road, play it safe journalism is hardly calculated to be a vital part of the Christian mission in this dangerous and dynamic age.

To raise a few questions of concern here: Why is there so little material about, by and for the laboring people? Are the periodicals instruments of the Church to preach the Gospel to the poor? Why is there so little awareness of the rapidly growing fundamental sect type Protestants and their place in community and national life? For example, a study that we made of 1963 issues of our General Periodicals indicated that during this revolution of 1963, Dow Kirkpatrick's article on race was the only feature article on the problem. There were no value judgment articles nor editorials. To be sure, in the section in *Together* Magazine called, "The Church in Action," there were news items concerning racial problems, but these were simply given as news items, deadpan, and if a person, a Methodist, limited his information to only that which he read in *Together* magazine, he would not yet know that Medgar Evers had been assassinated or that four little children had been killed in a Church.

We believe laymen and ministers would support a stronger editorial line, a deeper commitment to the Mission of the Church, and a move toward fewer but better magazines.

In saying this, the Coordinating Council would insist that the church press has the same responsibility to exercise its freedom as a free church press that we expect of a free pulpit. Your Coordinating Council, as a result of nearly 12 years of study of the problem, believe that the overlapping and duplication of periodicals have drifted far beyond the intention of The Methodist Church and, indeed, beyond the considered intention of the general agencies themselves.

Not one of the periodicals of the general agencies is limited in content to "promotion." This steady growth of general interest articles is based upon the argument that the general periodicals, such as *Together* and *Christian Advocate,* have no place for their material. The Coordinating Council has concluded that the problem is rooted in a misapprehension of the proper inter-relatedness of all the general agencies, including The Methodist Publishing House as a part of our total Methodism, and that the problem will never be solved by the piecemeal approach. We are convinced that the Church must lodge responsibilities somewhere for an overall view of circulation and content as well as overlapping.

We desire to make three observations. First, our proposal to rest this overall view with the Coordinating Council is that the Council then would be designed as a catalytic agent in a continuing consultation between all the Boards now publishing or proposing to publish periodicals.

This committee can sponsor the kind of exchange between the agencies in which all would benefit from shared experiences and new avenues of cooperation may be discovered. We feel that much has already been accomplished in the consultations conducted by the Coordinating Council during the last year when twice we had all the Publishers and all the Editors of our various periodicals gathered around the table with us.

We think it is for the lack of such consultation conducted by a neutral agency that we have incurred so much duplication. We believe the effectiveness of this consultation will be enhanced by our proposed legislation.

The second observation: We think the proposal for consultation in regard to election of the Editors of the general periodicals such as

Together, Christian Advocate, Central Christian Advocate, Methodist Story, is acknowledgment that these periodicals are a voice for, and should be responsible to, the whole Church. Moreover, we are positive that it is futile to study and make recommendations to other agency publications without reference to the general periodicals. We believe this consultation is required if the present chaos in the publishing policy is not to become more widespread. Without it, the Church may as well repeal Paragraph 753.3 which calls for the *Methodist Story* in lieu of these periodicals. I doubt that pastors and congregations would be happy with the result of such a repeal.

In the final observation, what the Coordinating Council is really trying to say is that the legislation specifically—this is simply another observation—that the legislation specifically provide that the editors shall be responsible to the publisher for on-going publishing procedures and recognizes that the Editor himself must make final decisions in regard to Editorial content. It is not our idea that the Coordinating Council or any other group should have the power to sit and look over the shoulder of any Editor and tell him precisely what he is trying to say.

What I think the Coordinating Council holds above all else is this—that it takes all the general agencies to make up the Church, and that no agency can live to itself alone, nor publish for itself alone, nor solve its problems without reference to other agencies, and that Methodism is greater than the sum of all its general agencies, and that our publication policy should reflect that fact.

Clarification of Procedure—Leon E. Hickman

Leon E. Hickman: Before Dr. Stroh speaks, there are a few things I would like to clarify about our procedure.

Dr. Lester Auman and Mr. Hubert Orton are asked to join the group on the platform.

I should have made clear that we are going to deal separately with Legislative Item 4 which concerns the publishing interest and *Concern* which is Item 5. We have not been able to work out a composure of our position on *Concern* with the Board of Christian Social Concerns, but we have invited Dr. Harold A. Bosley to join us on the platform when we consider so that you may have both sides of the matter presented.

We would like to present Dr. Byron Stroh, representing the publishing interests to explain their point of view.

Byron F. Stroh Speaks for Publishing Interests

Byron F. Stroh (North Indiana—NC): Mr. Chairman, and fellow members, I am keenly aware of the stewardship of time. I am likewise conscious of the importance of the issue and my responsibility as a spokesman for the Coordinating Consultative Group of both the publishing interest group and the Coordinating Council.

You well know that it is not easy to say in a few moments the full compass of sharply divergent points of view which have required countless hours before our two Committees came to a meeting of minds.

I had prepared a statement which I felt was more adequate and would take four or five minutes. I shall attempt to say in about one minute what I hope will speak to the basic issue.

To the Conference Committee of the Coordinating Council, I express my appreciation for their graciousness and unfailing courtesies as we grappled with only one of the many thorny problems placed in their hands.

We are grateful to the Coordinating Council for calling to the attention of this Conference the increasingly urgent appeal for correlation of the work of the Board and to avoid duplication in its promotional and program periodicals. We also express our appreciation to them for their insistence that changing times, new issues, and social upheaval must be confronted by the Christian and the Church; that the Church must be in dialogue with society, with the world, and that there is immediate need for effective communication with the total church.

In focusing on the need for a mechanism to provide continuing consultation between the general Church, the Publishing House and the World Service Organization, the Coordinating Council seeks to preserve editorial freedom for the Church's periodicals while seeking at the same time to implement policy statements of the General Conference.

Our Committee examined the proposals of the Coordinating Council, the earlier proposals, containing provisions for a policy committee and the other committees to assist in the selection of the editors. We foresaw that these proposals could be misinterpreted as infringements on editorial freedom and would establish complex and unnecessary machinery and procedures for accomplishing desired goals.

We believe this function can best be accomplished by asking the Coordinating Council to represent the general church in continuing consultation, keeping the lines of communication open, even at the point of editorship with the Publishing House and other general agencies.

This recommendation, Mr. Chairman, we believe will produce increasingly superior publications, which grapple with the crucial issues of our time and accurately reflect the ideals, traditions and aspirations of The Methodist Church. Meanwhile, we reaffirm the conviction of previous Conference Sessions that the World Service Agencies of the Church, working in consultation with the Coordinating Council, should work to eliminate overlapping periodicals and to consolidate magazines, where more effective communication with all of Methodism may be achieved. We also reaffirm our hope that Methodists will give increasing support to their general church periodicals and will provide all the resources necessary to help them speak adequately and relevantly to Methodists and to the world through this vital and challenging ministry of the printed word.

Editorial Corrections Made—Leon E. Hickman

Leon E. Hickman: We have reached the point where we have to edit the paper slightly. Apparently when we print under pressure, we never do it perfectly. Turn to page 79, of the *Daily Christian Advocate* of May 5, where the legislation appears, looking in the first column, the fourth paragraph in bold-faced type, starting with "Coordinating Council," there was a sentence dropped before that which says that paragraph is going to be offered in Section 1114 of the *Discipline*. That is the bold-faced paragraph starting, "Coordinating Council shall consult . . ."

Moving over to column two on the same page, the last full paragraph in column two before we come to the signature, the paragraph reading "editor of the program journal," and so forth, in the 98th line of that paragraph, where it says, "The Chairman of the Commission on Promotion and Cultivation . . ." it should have said, "The General Secretary of the Commission on Promotion and Cultivation."

Six lines below that, "the editor shall be responsible to the publisher . . ." should say, "the editor shall be responsible to the General Secretary of the Commission on Promotion and Cultivation." We are speaking of *Story* Magazine.

Sir, may we assume we can present this in that edited form?

Summary Statement by Committee—Leon E. Hickman

Leon E. Hickman: I would simply say by way of summarizing, what we are trying to accomplish in the legislation set forth on page 379, is as shown in the first column, is that the Coordinating Council shall lead in a continuing consultation with all Editors of all Publications and the President and Publisher of The Methodist Publishing House so that we have the general periodicals as well as the board periodicals involved, to minimize unnecessary duplication and overlapping of content, emphasis and coverage, and, where desirable, to combine periodicals. This is the heart of the legislation.

Then, we provide that the Coordinating Council in the manner spelled out in column 2 shall be consulted in the selection of Editors for the periodicals of the board publications and also of *The Methodist Story*. In each case, the Bishops of the Church will be represented so that they, too, give a wider base to church representation.

With that explanation, I move adoption of the legislation set forth in the first and second columns of page 379 of the *Daily Christian Advocate*.

Exhortation—Chester A. Smith

Chester A. Smith (New York—NE): Mr. Chairman, members of the General Conference, I have read this *Christian Advocate* and I have read your publications for a long time. What we need in The Methodist Church is an editor who will be free to say what he thinks ought to be said and to say it in an effective way.

We do not need, in my opinion, and should not have a group of people who will tell him and advise him what to say. What we need in Methodism is a great man like an editor we had a few years ago who was free to say what he thought. He did not have to go to any committee or any group. He said what he said and what he thought ought to be said. We need a man today at the head of our publications —speaking of the *Christian Advocate*—we need a man like Horace Greeley who laid his hand and heart on America for nearly forty years because he was a great editor and said what he thought and said it in such a cogent way that he made a profound impression upon all the people before the Civil War. That is the kind of man we want, in my opinion.

Statement Supporting Report—Lance Webb

Lance Webb (Ohio—NC): Mr. Chairman and friends, I rise to support this joint report of the Conference Committee between the Coordinating Council and the Committee on Publishing Interests.

Serving as a pastor on the Content and Analysis Panel authorized by the Coordinating Council, I have seen the great need for further consolidation of our publications and more effective communications between the publishers and the editors and the editors and ministers and members of the Church.

We now have some remarkably effective publications, as I personally believe, and as our research journalists testify. I believe there is need to reduce the number of publications that are almost an embarrassment to the pastor who is supposed to push them all. We have 14 under consideration. All but two of these are on the subscription basis. There is also a need for strengthening the general church magazines so that they may have a wider use by our members as the readership survey shows, and as our content analysis showed

a deepening of the theological and spiritual content. For instance, out of all the magazines, 17 per cent could be called psychological and personal. In several of them, from 38 to 40 to 46 percent could be called general information. That is, it might as well have been in *Look* or *Life* or *Reader's Digest* as in a church magazine. Not that this is bad; it is very good; but if this is put in and there is not enough deeply theological and spiritual interpretations of our Gospel, we feel that somehow there is a lack of it.

Only 6 percent of this total could have been considered to be truly theological in an interpretation of our Gospel for daily and social life.

Personally, I am thrilled. I would like to say that the announcement which was on our desk a few days ago of the upcoming articles in 1964 and 1965 to be expected in *Together* Magazine reveal a stronger emphasis on articles that will have more spiritual and theological depth, in relating our gospel to everyday living, personal and social.

We have had certain of these, but some of us feel we have not had enough as far as the generally accepted percent. I feel the recommendations made by the Coordinating Council are fair and will make possible a greater effectiveness in our fine publications. I hope we will adopt this report.

Previous Question Called For

Bishop Werner: The question is called for. Those who will order the previous question, will you lift your hand? Those who do not. The previous question is called for. (DCA, page 603.)

Summary Statement for Committee—Leon E. Hickman

Leon E. Hickman: I would simply like to say that we rewrote this legislation on page 379 in an effort to assure the Board of Publications and the Legislative Committee on Publishing Interests that we are not interfering with the freedom of their Editors. I believe they are so satisfied and have said so.

You will notice in the middle column on page 379, in each instance the legislation states specifically that each of the Editors shall be responsible to the publisher for ongoing publishing procedures; the Editor shall be responsible for the editorial content of his publication. In other words, I think there is a clear line between what we are trying to do in preventing overlapping and duplication, and interfering with editors.

Section IV, Report No. 3, Adopted

Bishop Werner: Are you ready now for the vote? All those who will adopt this section four, page 379, lift the hand. Those who do not? It is adopted. (DCA, page 603; see Appendix, page 1797.)

Section V, Report No. 3, Presented

Leon E. Hickman: We turn to Item 5 in the legislative program of this report, found on page 26 in the *Daily Christian Advocate*, in the third column. There is one editorial change to be made in the light of the legislation which you just passed. In the last paragraph under Item 5, in the third line, it speaks of a publication policy committee. That should be stricken and "Coordinating Council" substituted, with your consent, because we made that change in our earlier legislation.

Now, with respect to *Concern* we have not been able to compose

our difference of opinion with the Board of Christian Social Concerns concerning the publication of this periodical. It seemed to us it would best conserve your time and bring about a fair result if we asked the representatives of the Legislative Committee on Christian Social Concerns to state their position and then you might express your judgment.

With that in mind, we asked Dr. Harold Bosley either to speak, move a substitution, or do whatever fairly represents his position.

Amendment Proposed—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley (New York—NE): Mr. Chairman, we appreciate the courtesy of being able to present the judgment of the Committee on Christian Social Concerns on the matter of this magazine. We were preparing to bring out a report in due course of time dealing with this in response to many Memorials regarding it, but we spoke with the Coordinating Council when we saw their recommendation. After a long and careful discussion with them over the issues involved, we decided that the matter was one that needed to be settled here by the General Conference and could only be done by a change in Paragraph 753.3 of the *Discipline* of our Church that has already been referred to in the Coordinating Council's report that is before us.

I should like, in the name of our Committee on Christian Social Concerns, to move an Amendment to the *Discipline* at this point and to indicate it to you. I will do it as carefully as I can. I am sorry we could not get it in writing. The Amendment is the insertion of one word, and if you will find the place in the *Discipline*, I will indicate precisely where the word goes, and then, if I may, I will explain why we would like to have it there.

It is page 230 of the *Discipline*, in the paragraph marked number three, the next to the last line, after the name of the journal, *The Methodist Woman*, insert a comma, then the word *Concern*, and then the sentence continues as it is.

This comes to you with the recommendation of our Committee on Christian Social Concerns, Mr. Chairman, and am I at liberty to comment on it now?

Bishop Werner: It is seconded. You may proceed.

Statement Relative to Amendment

Dr. Bosley: Our Committee spent a great deal of time on this journal, assessing, as we could, its relation to the Board of Christian Social Concerns. It is not a promotional journal. It is a journal which seeks to relate The Methodist Church to the great issues of the day in which we live. It is our feeling that this journal is needed, needed if we are going to continue to expect the Board of Christian Social Concerns to have an effective and vigorous relationship with the general church as it discharges the duties we give to it.

We have given it many new tasks in this General Conference already. Most of them have to do with investigation and implementation and interpretation. The journal, *Concern*, has served this purpose well. No other journal does it. Therefore, we commend to you this Amendment to the *Discipline* of the Church which will make possible the continuation of this journal and will also, I may say, incidentally, in passing, give the Coordinating Council a chance to implement their feeling that it was too bad to close down *Concern*. Apparently they didn't want to do it either, but because of the law, they felt that they had to. All we need to do is to change the law, and they will feel better about it and we will have something through which we can speak in a day when something needs to be said on the great issues.

Statement Favoring Amendment—Lee C. Moorehead

Lee C. Moorehead (Ohio—NC): I would like to support Dr. Bosley's motion and call the attention of the General Conference to this fact: That *Concern*, which was established in 1958, was itself a consolidation of several other publications, thereby actualizing the principle which the Coordinating Council is proposing here, that, namely, of consolidating.

For example, this included the former *Clip Sheet of the Board of Temperance*, the former *Courier* of the former Board of World Peace, the general mailings which were sent out by the Board of Social and Economic Relations, and *Concern*, the former magazine, for which this present one takes its name, which was the publication of the Youth Department of the Board of Education.

The result of all this was that it cut down considerably the cost and the number of mailings, and that *Concern*, in its present form, now pays out of its own pocket the publishing cost out of subscriptions. The only charge against the Board's own budget is the charge of the Editor and his staff.

I would like to support this very strongly because it seems to me that *Concern* has distinguished Methodism for its vitality, its cutting edge, and its all-around general excellence. No other publication in Methodism possesses its relevance and prophetic thrust. To eliminate it would be to devitalize the social witness of The Methodist Church. Indeed, since we are the originators of the Social Creed which has been used as a model of the Ecumenical Movement, we ought to have an untrammeled and a fearless journalistic articulation of those crucial principles which *Concern* fulfills. It is an organ of ideas and of opinions, not just as a promotion of program. It expresses these ideas and these opinions vigorously, and no other organ of The Methodist Church has placed it so relevantly and effectively in dialogue with the world.

I certainly hope that this motion will be sustained.

Amendment Changed to Substitute Motion

Bishop Werner: May the Chair say that Dr. Bosley has a suggestion for a change of action? I think you would be interested in hearing his word of explanation.

Dr. Bosley: After conference with Bishop Garrison and Mr. Hickman, they informed me that what we were doing would completely negate their point 5, and I guess it would. They suggested, and I gladly concur, that my motion should be to substitute my proposal for Point 5 in the report of the Coordinating Council.

Bishop Werner: With the consent of the body the motion is changed to one of substitution for V which you will find on page 26. Is there any further discussion?

Statement Opposing Substitute—Bishop Edwin R. Garrison

Bishop Garrison: I hope you will not adopt the substitute. The Board of Christian Social Concerns could not have better friends anywhere in the Church than are found among the membership of the Coordinating Council, but Paragraph 753.3 was set up in an attempt to create an overall magazine that would get to all of the Church. We did make these exceptions for *The Methodist Woman*, and *The Methodist Layman*, but these periodicals themselves have been under study; and you have already seen the announcement, I am sure, in the church press that as a result of many things that have been called to our attention, they have already announced they are going

to withdraw *The Methodist Layman* from circulation, and count upon our general periodicals.

We feel—and this is written out in a part of the introduction to our report—that it must be acknowledged that in the Church, as in the general public, it is the concerned minority voicing the initially unfamiliar, if not unpopular, opinion who finally cause change in general opinion and conviction. Why should they be systematically set apart with others of like mind in a magazine with a very small circulation? If they have anything worth saying, those of their number who can say it most eloquently ought to say it to the whole Church in periodicals with larger circulation.

What the Coordinating Council is trying to say to you this afternoon is this: we do not want less of an emphasis than is being placed in such magazines as *Concern, World Outlook, The Methodist Woman,* and the rest, but we are insisting that there ought to be an ever larger area of readership for them all. We insist that all of these periodicals, their editors and publishers should be brought into this continuing consultation so that your Coordinating Council can have the opportunity to put on the kind of pressure and to express the kind of Methodist opinion that helps to make these general periodicals more effective. We want the messages that are absolutely necessary in *Concern* magazine, not simply to go to 17,000 families in The Methodist Church, but to more than a million.

If you begin to make exceptions on 753.3 and the Coordinating Council sees this month after month after month since this has been placed here, you will immediately be faced with the necessity, faced with a request from other general boards for their own periodicals, and they can argue with the same cogency, because we have listened to them, that they have a right to a house organ.

We hope you will defeat the substitute.

Inquiry—Robert W. Moon

Robert W. Moon (California-Nevada—W): Bishop Garrison, may I ask this question?

It is my understanding, Bishop Garrison, that *Together* Magazine has offered to the Board of Christian Social Concerns space in its magazine but at a price the Board cannot afford to pay. Will you speak to this question?

Reply—Bishop Edwin R. Garrison

Bishop Garrison: Gladly. As a part of our consultations, this proposal was made. In fact, as we first called all of the Editors and Publishers together and showed them about 350 pages of our research material, furnishing them all with complete copies of everything that was before us, we asked them to make suggestions to us because they were the professionals; they all know better how to do it.

We received no suggestions from any of them except The Publishing House. The Publishing House did propose that a special section be set up in *Together* Magazine in which these messages might be given. We tried to pin The Publishing House down as to price. We discovered that this would not be satisfactory and that it needed to be under a continual negotiation and consultation. This is why you will discover that the substitute which we adopted today is much less definitely worded than was the earlier one which we had.

It is true that the Board of Christian Social Concerns cannot afford to buy advertising space in *Together* Magazine at the regular rates, nor even 50 percent of the regular rate. We need to remember that when their magazine is stopped they no longer have the income from these subscriptions.

All this we see. We have tried to spell this out, some of the problems that are involved here but we remind you that we are not asking *Concern* Magazine to close down now. Remember we have stated here in our Resolution that *Concern* shall be discontinued when the Coordinating Council after consultation with the Publishers and Editors of the general church periodicals determine that satisfactory arrangements have been effected for the coverage of the essential message of the Board of Christian Social Concerns which now appears in the *Concern* Magazine.

What goes for *Concern* goes for the other periodicals. They are to be in continual consultation. We do not intend to cut off *Concern* until that has been solved.

I will not be a member of the Coordinating Council, and a good many persons on the Council will not be members this next quadrennium, but I am sure that we so well expressed this that whoever the members are, they will see the responsibility.

What we are saying to the periodicals, every one of them, including the *Central Christian Advocate*, which we worded most positively because it was not in violation of 753.3, is that they shall be continued until another policy has been worked out in consultation. We recommended that *Concern*, because it was in violation of 753.3, should be discontinued at such and such a time, but we are really asking that all these periodicals go forward for now with heads up and aggressively, but to keep in constant consultation about it so that ultimately this problem may be solved.

Statement Favoring Substitute—Robert M. Thorpe

Robert M. Thorpe (Pacific Northwest—W): Again, a difficult position in which to speak, although I speak for the Amendment—the substitute offered by Dr. Bosley.

I suppose that . . .

Bishop Werner: This was the final statement of Dr. Bosley. He has offered his motion as a substitute for this which you will find on page 26, and, therefore, his motion now is one of substitution, therefore, he has a right to speak.

Point of Order—Vincent M. Mouser

Vincent M. Mouser (Louisiana—SC): We may not have had the vote for the previous question, but we were about to take a vote when Bishop Garrison requested the privilege of making a final statement before taking the vote. After he made the final statement, then a question was asked before the vote was taken. I don't recall definitely whether we were under a motion for the previous question, but I know we were about to vote and the Bishop had to ask the privilege of making the closing statement before we made the vote. It was after he did that that the question was asked.

Bishop Werner: Yes. I would have to rule, Judge Mouser, just simply to call for the question out of the body is not in the same form or the same necessary nature as appears in stepping to the microphone and calling for the previous question. We will have to have a formal request for that.

I think what you are saying is, "Let's move it along and get it done." I think that is what you are saying.

I do believe Dr. Bosley who offers the motion of substitution would have the right to speak to this.

Are you in agreement that this man is in order in speaking now?

The Chair rules that he is because we have not as yet had—we

have not as yet had the request or the call for the previous question in the fashion we should.

Statement Favoring Substitute Continues

Robert M. Thorpe: Bishop, I was about to say that personally I probably do not understand or agree with 75 percent of what is published in Social *Concern.* Perhaps ten years from now I will; perhaps my children will, but I also realize that if Amos, Hosea and other prophets of the Old Testament had had to have their material seek the general approval—and I don't mean editorial approval—but be so well understood and agreed to that it would be proper in a circulation having a million circulation and having the general support and acceptance of the majority of our Church, they never would have spoken.

I think it is important, Bishop, for those of us who try to be intelligent conservatives to support a prophetic voice with which we cannot agree many, many times, and I think, too, we should distinguish between the administrative necessity of limiting the proliferation of house organs for program purposes—and I am well aware if we did not push this, every single program agency would have its house organ—I think we should differentiate between this administrative need and an even greater need to protect the far out, the distant, the prophetic voice of social conscience of this Church. I support Harold Bosley's substitution.

Previous Question Moved—John R. Van Sickle

John R. Van Sickle (Rock River—NC): I move the previous question on all that is before us.

Point of Order—W. Davis Cotton

W. Davis Cotton (Louisiana—SC): Point of order. There has been confusion about the closing statement. I think the previous question should carry with it the right of Bishop Garrison to make a further closing statement if he so desires.

Bishop Werner: I think I would have to rule that the closing statement would have to come from Dr. Bosley who made the motion for substitution.

Inquiry for Clarification—James H. Laird

James H. Laird (Detroit—NC): I would like to ask. We are being asked to vote on alternatives and I want to be clear in my own mind what the alternatives are, and on Column 1, bottom of Column 1, page 26, it is stated that *Together* will make available to the general agencies of the Church space. I wonder how many general agencies are involved?

Reply

Bishop Garrison: If I understand the question, it would be available to all, but I remind the questioner that he is speaking from material that we now have substituted for material on page 379. The paragraph he is talking about is not relevant.

Mr. Laird: I would still like to know what the alternative before us is. If *Concern* is discontinued, what will replace it? How will the material now made available through its pages be made available?

Bishop Garrison: Our legislation provides that *Concern* is not going to be discontinued until such time as the Coordinating Council has satisfied itself that their essential message can be carried in our general periodicals, and under the *Discipline*, as I understand it, if the Board of Christian Social Concerns would fail to accept our decision, it could still be brought to the General Conference. The Coordinating Council has no absolute and final power anywhere except to report to the General Conference.

Parliamentary Situation Clarified

Bishop Werner: May the Chair clear up the matter? The previous question is called for on all before you. I said Dr. Bosley could make a statement in respect to the motion that has to do with substitution. Following that, Bishop Garrison can make a closing statement in respect to all on this page, beginning with the Roman numeral four. Am I correct about this?

Then you will vote. Is there another question?

Inquiry—Marion Brown

Marion Brown (Ohio—NC): My question is similar to the one that the gentleman just asked. In the material we received before coming to the General Conference, it was intimated that the material in *The Christian Home* might be incorporated in *Together* Magazine. Is this an illustration of the condensation of the various magazines? This is a very important time to us as we are preparing for Christian Studies for Methodist Children.

Reply

Bishop Garrison: As to *The Christian Home?*

Miss Brown: As to whether the material in *The Christian Home* is to be incorporated in *Together* Magazine.

Bishop Garrison: There was a period in our study when we thought this might be possible, that some of it might be included with advantage to everybody concerned. However, technically, the magazine, *The Christian Home*, belongs in the field of educational material over which we have no power. The negotiations for that have broken down.

Previous Question Voted

Bishop Werner: We have the motion on the previous question. All those who will, lift the hand. Those who do not. The previous question is ordered. (DCA, page 605.)

Will you listen now to Bishop Garrison—rather, Dr. Bosley, first, and then Bishop Garrison.

Summary Statement on Substitute—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley: Mr. Chairman, I have great sympathy with the problem that is faced by the Coordinating Council as it deals with the multiplicity of journals that have come from our Church. As a minister, I have long since despaired of trying to keep up with all of them, but I revert to the point I made a moment ago, we do have to make value judgment and judgments about the relative work of journals and the way they relate their reader to what is going on in the name of the Church and throughout the world. The point I am

making, and it came up repeatedly in our long deliberations over this in the Committee on Christian Social Concerns, is that we do not see now in existence any other way in which the essential message of *Concern* can be brought to The Methodist Church. That time may come. That time is not here. The very fact that at the beginning of our discussion this week, it looked as though we might be able to work out some kind of satisfactory compromise and that we might think that *Concern* could continue almost indefinitely, and then seeing the time on us, and the feeling that it might not continue, then, again, it might continue, that is no way to try to build up the witness of the Church in a day of tremendous social confusion.

We are not asking for the continuation of a promotional organ. I would not even cast my vote for *Concern* if I regarded it as simply a promotional organ. It is a way in which one of the responsible commissions of the Church is trying to relate us intelligently and helpfully to the grave issues that change almost overnight, and the ones to whom we have given the responsibility of interpreting these issues to us ought to have the means to say what they think ought to be done.

Summary Statement for Committee—Leon E. Hickman

Leon E. Hickman: I will make my remarks quite brief. We are not looking for ways to close out *Concern.* We want the message to be heard. On the other hand *Concern,* in our judgment, is being published in violation of the mandate of a previous General Conference—"The magazine *Story* shall be published in lieu of general agency promotional periodicals."

I would like to call your attention to an item in the Report No. 3, which was mailed to you many months ago, by Dr. Earl Brewer, one of our resource men, from the Candler School of Theology. He said, "*Concern* seems to be moving more and more to a regular house organ type of publication. There is less stress on the short date-line type of material and more attention to background papers and regular Divisional program suggestions. Program suggestions belong in *The Methodist Story.* Background materials deserve longer and fuller treatment of pamphlets and books."

To which the Coordinating Council would say, they also deserve treatment in the general publications of the Church. *Concern* magazine is sent to 17,000 homes, people largely already concerned. We honestly seek not to curtail that but to give it a larger circulation in the general publications of the Church. I call your attention to how carefully we have circumscribed our final recommendation, No. 5, with respect to *Concern,* "that the magazine be discontinued when the Coordinating Council, after consultation with the publishers and editors of the general church periodicals, determines that satisfactory arrangement has been effected for the coverage of the essential message of Christian Social Concerns now carried in *Concern.*"

As Bishop Garrison has said, if we cannot compose our differences so that the Board of Christian Social Concerns is satisfied when we have reached a satisfactory substitute, the Coordinating Council has not the power to close them down. We will simply report to the next General Conference that we were unable to work it out, and that we think the General Conference should do something about it.

I am quite convinced, in view of the broad powers that you have vested in the Coordinating Council, that we have a continuing consultation, not only with the Boards and Agencies but with the Publishing Interests, that we will be able to work this out. If we don't, if we should adopt the substitute, the problem is that we

are giving the Board of Christian Social Concerns the right to publish which we are denying to other boards and agencies.

Substitute Defeated—Report Adopted

Bishop Werner: Are you ready now for the vote? This is the vote on the substitution, the change suggested by Dr. Bosley in 753.3. This is to be substituted for this Section Five on page 26. Are you ready for the vote?

All those who will substitute, please lift the hand. Down. Those who do not. Well, the substitute is lost. (DCA, page 606.)

We have before us now the motion for—you are under the previous question for the rest of this section on page 26. Are you ready for the vote? All those who will adopt the rest of the section, page 26, or the entire section, lift the hand. Thank you. Those who do not. This is adopted. (DCA, page 606; see Appendix, page 1802.)

Personal Privilege Statement—F. Olin Stockwell

F. O. Stockwell (Malaysia Chinese—OS): Bishop and fellow delegates, I do not want to make a motion but I want to call your attention to a fact that the *Christian Advocate* and *Together* is for the whole Church. This includes the Church not only in the United States but the Church abroad. Our problem is that the Churches abroad are not receiving these magazines as pastors here are. This means that those English-speaking, and oftentimes leaders, of the Church abroad, and those upon whom we must depend for the interpretation of the American Church to the Church in the Central Conferences are not getting these magazines.

I would hope that our English-speaking pastors abroad might be treated in the same way as English-speaking pastors are treated here in the United States. I have no motion. I just draw this to your attention.

Motion to Instruct Editors—Raoul C. Calkins

Raoul C. Calkins (Ohio—NC): I move that the Conference instruct the Editors of the *Story* magazine to have it sent to all English-speaking pastors in the world, and that a suitable arrangement be made for the provision of *Together* on as equitable a basis as possible with the English-speaking pastors in other parts of the world.

Bishop Werner: Do you desire as a Conference to do something about this?

Mr. Calkins: I meant the *Christian Advocate* and *Story,* and then with *Together* there would have to be some adjustment.

Motion Adopted

Bishop Werner: Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Those who do not. It is adopted. (DCA, page 606.)

Coordinating Council Report No. 4 Presented—Leon E. Hickman

Leon E. Hickman: With a little bit of luck, you may be through with the Coordinating Council this afternoon. Report No. 4, *Daily Christian Advocate,* for April 27, page 27.

There was referred to the Coordinating Council a request for study on alternative method of selecting delegates to the General Conference. The present method I am sure you all know. The Constitution provides a limit from 600 to 800 delegates and says that the membership of the General Conference shall be based on the total ministerial membership in the Annual Conferences, and under legislation, section 501 we provide one ministerial and one lay delegate for every 70 ministerial members of each Annual Conference.

The obvious alternative is to base General Conference Membership on total membership of the Church and that is the thing that was primarily studied and presented in Report No. 4. In order to stay within the Constitutional limits, there would be one lay and clerical delegate for every 20,000 members. We checked that out and found that it would make no significant difference in any Jurisdictional representation. The Jurisdictional Conferences would come out almost identical with where they are now. We did find it would work to the disadvantage of those Conferences with a large number of small Churches where now they get the advantage of the Ministerial membership rather than the lay membership in the Churches.

We saw no advantage in changing the method. It doesn't change the weight of any Jurisdiction. It would involve a Constitutional Amendment; it would hurt the Conferences which have many small churches.

We see no equity in the change, and the effect of Report No. 4 is to recommend no change in the present method of representation in the General Conferences, and I move that.

The motion was seconded.

Inquiry—Paul V. Shearer

Paul V. Shearer (South Iowa—NC): The question I have is: When do you count the number of Ministerial members of the Conference? Is it at the beginning of the session of the Annual Conference, or just when? The reason I ask this question, in South Iowa, if we counted the ministers at the end of the session, we would have been entitled to two more delegates.

Reply

Leon E. Hickman: Frankly, I do not know the answer to that. It wouldn't really affect our study.

I am told, on the platform, that the answer is that at the time the vote is taken is the time when the ministerial number should have been determined.

Report No. 4 Adopted

Bishop Werner: Are you ready for the question? All right, those who will adopt No. 4, which is the matter of leaving it as it is, raise your hands.

All right. Those who do not? It is carried—adopted. (DCA, page 607; see Appendix, page 1803.)

Coordinating Council Report No. 5 Presented—Leon E. Hickman

Leon E. Hickman: Report No. 5. I promise you it is our last. It is in the *Advocate* for April 28, beginning at page 62. It is our general

report. I will assume that you have read it or can read it insofar as it contains the report of our activities for the quadrennium.

I call your attention to two matters on which we have been questioned since the report was published in the *Advocate*. Those are the items on page 63, column 1. It first relates to the qualified approval which the Coordinating Council gave to the construction of the Church Center on the United Nations Plaza in New York City. You will note in the report in the first column, page 63, the statement is made that approval was given upon the representation that the project would be self-liquidating and was made subject to the condition that no solicitation of gifts for the project would be made from Churches, foundations, individuals or other persons without further approval of the Coordinating Council.

I say that the representation was made to us by the proponents of the Church Center that it was a self-liquidating affair. The Coordinating Council took them at their word, and this made the matter relatively easy, because, were it not self-liquidating, we were fearful of overlapping demands on the Methodist dollar. You note that we said if it were not self-liquidating, they might come back and seek further approval from the Coordinating Council.

The second item is at the bottom of the same column, Item 2, "General Conference Procedures." The 1960 General Conference directed a study of conference procedures, but unfortunately directed the task to two different agencies. They directed it to the Coordinating Council to make the study as well as the Interim Committee on Policy, Organization and Rules of Order. After consultation with the General Conference Interim Committee, we deferred to them and the study was made by them. I simply explain why we made no report on the mandate which was given to us in 1960. There being a duplication, we deferred to our brothers on the other committee.

Our legislation starts in the third column on page 63, and the first item relates to special days. Notice at the bottom of the column, page 63, and top of the column on page 64, the list of special days is given as they now appear in Paragraph 296 of the *Discipline* with the qualification that we recommend that Layman's Day be observed on the second Sunday in October, instead of the third, and Commitment Day, the second Sunday in November instead of the first Sunday in December. This on the request of the agencies directly concerned.

With these two alterations, we move that the special days be continued as they are now listed in Paragraph 296 of the 1960 *Discipline*. I move it.

Inquiry Relative to World Service Sunday and Motion to Amend—Ethel R. Johnson

Ethel R. Johnson (New York East—NE): I want to raise a question about the World Service Sunday on the fourth Sunday in each month. The way our curriculum is now set up, this does not tie in with the over-all Christian purposes.

I appreciate the concern to receive funds from the Church School for World Service, but I am wondering if some other adjustment could be made in this respect. If not, I would like to amend. Instead of saying, "World Service Sunday, the fourth Sunday in each month," to say, "the offering for World Service from the fourth Sunday offering each month," and there wouldn't have to be a special observance of World Service.

Bishop Werner: Can someone speak for the Board of Missions? Probably Dr. Elliot Fisher, if you don't mind; we will try to ask Dr. Fisher to answer your proposal.

Dr. Elliot Fisher: Mr. Chairman, members of the General Confer-

ence, you will know that we have been, through the years, observing the fourth Sunday for World Service. Offerings have been received and they amount to three to four million dollars each year. Not only that, but they have been used in most of the Church Schools for educational purposes. I feel that if we did do this, it would greatly affect the total program of the Church, especially in view of the fact that you have voted as you recently have, for an increase in the World Service program. I emphasize this is very important, and above all else is an educational appeal to the Church and to the Church School.

I hope you will continue it.

Request for Statement From Dr. Leon Adkins—Russell E. Clay

Russell E. Clay (Southern California-Arizona—W): This involves the Division of the Local Church, and I would like to call for Dr. Adkins to make a statement at this time. He is here and I think we should hear him.

Bishop Werner: Dr. Adkins. Are you here? Do you want to make a statement?

Leon Adkins (Central New York—NE): What Dr. Fisher has said is virtually the case. World Service Sunday is not primarily a time for raising money so much as it is a time of expression which has educative value in terms of total support of the whole mission of the Church. It is not a matter of the Board of Missions, but it is a matter of the Board of Missions, Lay Activities, Evangelism, and all others, since it is one way in which the Church School, by its teaching, may express through its pupils their devotion to and growth in their support of total enterprise of the Church.

Clarifying Statement—Edwin L. Jones, Sr.

Edwin L. Jones, Sr. (Western North Carolina—NE): The World Service report on special days has already been adopted. It seems to me that the motion which has been made would have to reconsider what has already been done by the Conference.

Inquiry Relative to Church Center in New York—Chester A. Smith

Chester A. Smith (New York—NE): I desire to ask the Chairman one or two questions. The first question is with respect to the Church Center in New York City. How much was spent for the erection of that building?

Point of Order—Ted Hightower

Ted Hightower (Louisville—SE): A point of order raised by Mr. Jones that this matter has been acted on and not reconsidered, and I think the motion the lady made here is out of order.

Statement Favoring Amendment—J. Henry Ernst

J. Henry Ernst (Pacific Northwest—W): Mr. Chairman, the mover of the Amendment—now I am thinking of her—the one who made the motion did not suggest we have the offering. She only suggested that the Curriculum did not have once a month the study on this

subject. We work on units, they all come together in units. Through the course of the year, the Church School gets their Missionary Education. Churches that follow this plan usually still take once a month, an offering, and divide what there is out of the total income and give it to our service. This does not decrease the amount. It simply says, "Don't call it World Service, it is not that in the curriculum." I think she has a point.

Statement of Clarification—Henry M. Bullock

Henry M. Bullock (Editorial Division): Let me clarify briefly this matter about the relation of World Service Sunday to the curriculum. There has not been any conflict in the use of the observance of World Service Sunday as an educational device, and an occasion for taking an offering with the Curriculum. The new Curriculum will not produce any disjunction or difficulty in the proper observance of that Sunday. Let's continue. Give us in Education an opportunity to undergird World Service on the fourth Sunday.

Ruling of the Chair

Bishop Werner: May the Chair rule that we have gone beyond the time, and I understand that those who are leading us in the discussion of the reports of the Coordinating Council are agreeable to raising these issues in the next session. If this lady will raise the question, then, we will take it up at that time.

Announcements

The Secretary made several announcements.

Bishop Werner: If you are ready now, all those who would adjourn, please lift the hand. Those who would not? We are adjourned.

TENTH DAY, WEDNESDAY, MAY 6, 1964
EVENING SESSION

Pursuant to Adjournment the 1964 General Conference of The Methodist Church was convened in the Evening Session of the Tenth Day, Wednesday, May 6, 1964 at 7:30 P.M., Bishop Sante Uberto Barbieri presiding.

Hymn No. 372, "Love Divine, All Loves Excelling," was sung.

Bishop Barbieri: Bishop Sigg of the Geneva Area will lead us in our prayer.

Prayer

Bishop Ferdinand Sigg (Geneva Area): Almighty and ever-blessed God, we thank Thee that Thou dost inform our minds with Thy divine truth and undergird our will with thy divine grace. Let us not refuse Thy leading, or quench this light which Thou hast kindled within us, but rather let us daily grow in grace and knowledge of Jesus Christ, our Lord and Master.

While we are thinking in terms of space and time and power, let us not lose faith in immeasurable dimensions. Make this evening session of the General Conference and our busy work an instrument for the fulfillment of Thy divine plan. Make us all, the visitors, the press reporters, the delegates, the Bishops, the human channels, so far as we are responsible, through which Thy divine love and everlasting peace may reach the heart and lives of all our brethren in the world.

In Jesus Christ, we pray. Amen.

Bishop Barbieri: Brothers, it is a privilege to be sitting in this chair at this time. I come from South America, where the rules are very much different from what they are here, so I hope you will be patient with me.

We have some matters of high privilege at this time, and I shall call first the name of Dr. J. Earl Moreland.

Matter of High Personal Privilege—J. Earl Moreland

J. Earl Moreland (Virginia—SE): Bishop, this is a matter of high personal privilege. If Dr. John O. Gross is in the auditorium, will he come to the stage? I hope you will allow me to say while this is being done, since we are referring to the retirement of Dr. John O. Gross, after 24 years of an extraordinarily capable, and productive administration in higher education at our Church, I am particularly pleased to do this at the time when Bishop Barbieri is presiding. Although we didn't plan it this way, it is a happy occasion because he was the first graduate of my college in Brazil just 30 years ago next November and the most brilliant student I ever had the honor of teaching, I can assure you.

I do not see Dr. Gross. Since he has to leave tomorrow morning, I think we will proceed with this tribute to him because this will be the last occasion on which we could do this, Bishop, if that is satisfactory.

Suggestion to Delay Tribute to Dr. Gross—Norman Trott

Norman Trott (Baltimore—NE): I hope we will arrange the business of the evening so that this tribute can be made in Dr. Gross's presence. It would be most unfortunate if he was not here to permit us to express our gratitude to this man for his tremendous leadership.

Matter of High Personal Privilege—Arthur Milne

W. Arthur Milne (Ohio—NC): Mr. Chairman, since we have this international spirit this evening, I would like to take this opportunity and have this privilege of presenting an outstanding woman of another country.

Apropos to our discussion last evening, concerning the World Federation of Methodist Women, I think it is appropriate that we present this evening the past president of the World Federation of Methodist Women. She is the wife of one of our delegates from West Germany, and with your permission, sir, I would like to introduce to the Conference Mrs. Ernest Shultz of West Berlin, who is seated in the Gallery in Section C-8.

Greetings to Dr. Thomas A. Stafford and Dr. A. T. McIllwain—Vincent M. Mouser

Vincent M. Mouser (Louisiana—SC): I wish to offer the following privileged motion. I move that cordial greetings be sent by the Conference to Dr. Thomas A. Stafford and Dr. A. T. McIllwain in honor and appreciation of their outstanding service to The Methodist Church as Executive Secretaries to the Board of Pensions. (This was voted.)

Nominations From Council of Bishops—Bishop Roy Short

Bishop Short: We have a few more nominations, Mr. Chairman.

University Senate: Hurst R. Anderson, Baltimore; Robert E. Burns, California-Nevada; Lowell E. Ensor, Baltimore; William C. Finch, Tennessee; H. Ellis Finger, North Mississippi; Henry G. Waights, North Georgia; Robert F. Oxnam, Newark; Wilma Player, North Carolina; Raymond Withey, Jr., Troy; and William P. Tolley, Central New York.

Christian Vocations: Bishops Galloway, Holloway, John O. Smith, New Bishop from the North Central, Central and Western Jurisdictions.

Board of Education at Large: Andrew Benedict, Tennessee; Spencer Rice, South Carolina; Grant Shockley, New York East; Virginia Henry, Oklahoma; A. P. Wallace, West Virginia; J. R. Palmer, North Iowa; and R. Franklin Thompson, Pacific Northwest.

Board of Lay Activities: Bishops Slater, Harris, Booth. At Large: Lloyd Bertholf, Gerald Slessman, W. C. Beaty, James Walker, and James Hervey.

These are all for now, Mr. Chairman.

Bishop Barbieri: Is there any objection to these nominations? All those who approve them, will signify by the usual sign. Opposed? They are elected. (DCA, page 610.)

Report of Agenda Committee—J. Otis Young

J. Otis Young (Ohio—NC): Mr. Chairman, members of the Conference, in the absence of a printed agenda before you, the three

items we are considering this evening, number one, completing the report of the Coordinating Council, to be followed by a brief statement by Dr. Charles Parlin, and then legislative committees.

I should like to move that this be the agenda for this evening.

Bishop Barbieri: All those who approve, signify by the usual sign. It is done.

High Personal Privilege—Bishop Fred P. Corson

Bishop Corson: I want to take just a moment in this very busy session for a very high personal privilege.

When I went to Rome as a representative of The Methodist Church, I did not know where I was going, really, and I was met by a very devout priest, Monsignor Frisco whom I very soon learned knew everybody in Rome from the Pope down to the taxi driver who was at such a great premium at that time, very difficult to get, but Monsignor Frisco opened every door that I wished, or anyone could wish, to be opened to me.

My wonderful interview with Pope John and many other things which are too numerous to mention, except that he showed himself a wonderful friend of The Methodist Church. He is here tonight and I want the privilege of presenting him to you, my dear friend, Monsignor Frisco.

Monsignor Frisco Presented

Monsignor Frisco: I am highly honored to be here and to be able to subscribe and second everything that Bishop Wright said to you this afternoon. I had the high honor of introducing Bishop Corson to Pope John and I know the interview was one of the most significant during the counsel. Pope John asked the Bishop what he represented, and he said, "I am the president of fifty million Methodists, and Pope John said, "Well, your job is very much like mine, and you must have, like me, a lot of trouble and a lot of joys."

Then Pope John asked Bishop Corson, "How soon do you think we will all be together," and Bishop Corson said, "In about two hundred years."

Pope John said, "You are too pessimistic, Bishop; the mere fact that you and I are here together, we are already one."

Report on Judicial Council Ballot

Leon T. Moore: Mr. Chairman, members of the body, the last ballot elected the three ministerial members of the Council as follows:

There were 788 votes cast; 11 of them were void, leaving valid ballots of 777. Necessary to elect, 389.

Murray Leiffer received 552; *A. Wesley Pugh* received 470; and *Lester A. Welliver* received 397.

There remains one layman to be elected. By virtue of the election of these three ministerial members, you have complied with the law as far as necessary to have all Jurisdictions represented, therefore, you can elect one layman regardless of the Jurisdiction from which he comes. The votes for laymen on the ballot were: Samuel Bautista, 18; R. Lee Blackwell, 7; Robert D. Blue, 6; Vincent P. Clarke, 32; John M. Cranston, 6; Francis Dale, 10; Frank Dominick, 24; Richard C. Erwin, 110; Fremont C. Fletcher, 15; Donald E. Holbrook, 23; J. Carlyle Holler, 163; Walter L. Hunt, 7; Mrs. John O. Mabuce, 21; Donald A. Odell, 77; Samuel W. Witwer, 248; and Willard A. Zellmer, 10.

Ballot Taken

After instructions from the Secretary, a ballot for Judicial Council Members was taken.

Coordinating Council Report Continued

Bishop Barbieri: We shall follow now the order of the day which was approved some moments ago. The Coordinating Council is going to complete its report.

Leon E. Hickman: We were working from the *Daily Christian Advocate,* page 63, edition of April 28, third column, dealing with special days. I had made the motion that the special days be as listed in Paragraph 296 of the *Discipline* with the qualification that Layman's Day be observed the second Sunday in October instead of the third, and Commitment Day be observed on the second Sunday in November instead of the first Sunday in December. There had been an Amendment moved to the first of those, 1-A, in the list of special days, World Service Sunday. That Amendment, as I understand it, is pending, Mr. Chairman.

Pending Amendment Read

Leon T. Moore: Mr. Chairman, members of the body, this is the Amendment, "Amend Report No. 5 of the Coordinating Council, No. 3. No. 1, day to be observed, to read, A-1 the offering from the Youth Sunday of each month used for World Services, but the day does not have to be observed as such."

This is signed by Ethel Johnson, New York East.

Motion to Refer Amendment to Board of Education—Arthur T. Tedcastle

Arthur T. Tedcastle (New York East—NE): Mr. Chairman, I would like to make a motion to refer the Amendment to—its meaning and implication to the General Board of Education.

The motion was seconded.

Mr. Chairman, this seems to be the proper place to refer it. The problem seems to have arisen from the fact that World Service Sunday and the material of the Board of Education, particularly the new material, does not necessarily correlate. If this were referred to the Board of Education, it would simplify the problem.

Motion to Refer Defeated

Bishop Barbieri: The problem is if we refer it, we cannot approve the section which is under discussion. What is the will of the body?

All those who favor that this be referred to the Board of Education will vote. Those who do not. It did not pass. (DCA, page 611.)

Previous Question Moved—Roy R. Roudebush

Roy R. Roudebush (North Indiana—NC): I move the previous question.

Bishop Barbieri: Is there a second?

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Barbieri: Those in favor, indicate. Opposed? We are on the previous question.

Amendment Defeated

Bishop Barbieri: We have to vote first on the Amendment. Do you want to hear again the Amendment? All who favor the Amendment will signify by the raising of the hand. Those who are opposed. The Amendment is lost. (DCA, page 611.)

We are on the report of the Committee.

Statement Relative to Responsibilities of Coordinating Council—Ted Hightower

Ted Hightower (Louisville—SE): I want to refer Mr. Hickman's attention to Column 1, page 63, where at the bottom of the page the numeral 2, General Conference Proceedings, appears.

I think there is a very unfortunate situation existing here. The last Conference referred to this Council the study of the very problems with which we have been dealing here. They referred it to another Committee. The other Committee reported and didn't mention it, so there has been nothing done about it, and since the *Discipline* which has not been repealed, in 113, Section 2, specifically requires the Coordinating Council to do this task, I would like to do whatever is necessary to recommit this column or this item to the Coordinating Council for action.

Procedure Clarified—Leon E. Hickman

Leon E. Hickman: Mr. Chairman, I wish to comment as to the program to be followed.

If we could follow through on the Legislative Recommendations which begin in the third column and thereby perfect the report, then I would propose to move the entire Report No. 5 in its perfected state, and at that time, it seems we would be in position to take this Amendment or any other that you have, Dr. Hightower.

Section III of Report No. 5 Adopted

Bishop Barbieri: All those who are in favor of the matter before us will signify by the usual sign. Those who are against. It is approved. (DCA, page 611; see Appendix, page 1805.)

Inquiry Relative to Point No. 8—Clytus Mowry

Clytus Mowry (Genesee—NE): I have a question regarding No. 8 of the Report. On page 63, do we understand that we have adopted this?

Mr. Hickman: No. I have not moved adoption of the Report. I am recommending that we perfect the material that is found in Roman Numeral Three, the Legislative portion of it, then we will come back and move to adopt the entire report and the body of it will be open for Amendment at any point.

Mr. Mowry: If we adopt, this will be included.

Mr. Hickman: What is "this"?

Mr. Mowry: Point No. 8.

Mr. Hickman: Yes, that is right.

Report No. 5 Continued

Leon E. Hickman: Turn to page 64, second column, in the black-face type about the middle of the page headed "Responsibilities of the Coordinating Council." It is our recommendation that the Legislation concerning the Coordinating Council, which is found in Paragraph 1113 be amended as we have set it forth in Columns 2 and 3. The additions are in the black-faced type. The deletions are in italics. That will take us down to the very last item, which has to deal with the Inter-Agency Committee on Research. I do not mean to put that before you. I will explain in a minute what is sought with respect to Paragraph 1113.

In Paragraph arabic 1, in the middle of the second column, page 64, which starts with, "on request of the General Board," and continues "or other agency, or of an Annual Conference," we have added, "or on its own initiative it shall review questions involving overlapping activities, of lack of cooperation among or within general agencies." The General Conference has dealt very cautiously with the Coordinating Council in this respect, limiting its field of activity to a request initiated by a General Board, agency or Annual Conference. It has been our experience in 12 years that there are many disputes between agencies which fester for a long time and which we are consulted about unofficially but which one board hesitates to bring before us officially because it involves one Secretary complaining of the conduct of another. If you will cooperate in this matter of overlapping and lack of cooperation, we could take care of a lot of disputes brought to the back door that nobody is going to bring into the open.

In the Paragraph No. 2, right below it, the substance of that change is to provide that we not only are directed to study existing structure of the Church but that we shall study and recommend proposals for new structure, growing out of changing situations, and also a rather important last sentence, "if the Coordinating Council shall review changes in structure which may be proposed by the General Agencies."

As matters stand now, we are directed to review and report on structure and yet every agency of the Church is authorized to bring before this General Conference, without consultation with us, new structure, with the result that many things could be straightened out at the beginning if we were consulted originally instead of after the legislation is adopted.

While I say "we," most of us on the Council go off at the end of this session. Others will have that responsibility.

Paragraph 3 points out in italics at the bottom of page 2 a basic change over what we have now. The substance of the present Paragraph 3 directs the Coordinating Council, in consultation with the Council of Bishops and the Council of Secretaries, to formulate a quadrennial program. As that is construed within the Coordinating Council, this is a mandatory requirement. I think it is debatable whether that is the proper construction, but it is pretty well a frozen construction that we have to present.

There is a widespread feeling that the Church would do well to pass up a few of the quadrennial programs and go at it some other way. The revised Paragraph 3 provides that one of these programs will be presented, if you look at the first line in the third column on page 64, "if such is judged desirable."

In other words, you vest in the Coordinating Council, in consulta-

tion with the Council of Bishops, and the Council of Secretaries, decision as to whether there should be a program at all. Up to this time, we felt you were mandating a program.

Also, as a substitute in Paragraph 4, third column, we add the provision that the Coordinating Council shall give leadership in long-range planning. It seemed to us in the light of 12 years' experience that we might provide better planning for the Church if we worked on long-range planning rather than these quadrennial programs.

The rest of the paragraph concerning the Coordinating Council is exactly as it is now. That brings us down to the Inter-Agency point in Paragraph 8, which I will move separately.

I move approval of the revision of the authority of the Coordinating Council as it is set out in Paragraph 1113.

Inquiry for Reassurance—J. Henry Ernst

J. Henry Ernst (Pacific Northwest—W): I would like to ask the Chairman a question for reassurance. This is, of course, in my judgment a very wise decision to add to your responsibilities, but I think it would be reassuring to the General Conference to have your word about reference, when it is appropriate in your recommendations, to the proper legislative committees at the General Conference.

Is this contemplated? Is this the way you operate?

Reply

Leon E. Hickman: No, the very first section of the Paragraph 1113, I believe it is, says the Coordinating Council is responsible directly to the General Conference. We have felt it was not only our privilege but our duty to report directly rather than through legislative committees, although as a matter of procedure in the practice of coordination, when we found the committees held one view and we another, we negotiated with them and either reached a compromise or have them on the floor with us. We do feel under existing legislation it is our duty to report directly.

Further Inquiry Made—J. Henry Ernst

J. Henry Ernst: Mr. Chairman, may I ask a further question? Are your reports then officially in the hand of the Legislative Committees so they can review them at the time General Conference meets?

Reply

Leon E. Hickman: In both the last quadrennium and this one, our reports were published in either the first or second issue of the *Daily Christian Advocate.* I would say in the last two general sessions they have been available and the Coordinating Council feels it is responsible to have the reports published in the very beginning of the Conference.

Request for Clarification—J. Henry Ernst

J. Henry Ernst: Just one more. I am not trying to be picky here, but for a point of clarification, this arose at this present session in the general legislative committees. Sometimes the reports were published but they were not for use by the legislative committees. This is my question, really.

Leon E. Hickman: It is the position of the Coordinating Council

that their reports which are worked on throughout the quadrennium are not helpfully revised and presented by another committee that looks at them for a few hours. We would not favor reference of our reports to another committee, but this practical purpose is served. Everyone in the Conference has our reports, and if anyone has any contrary opinion, experience to date indicates we are entirely flexible in trying to negotiate it out before we present our reports.

Amendment Proposed—John Rixse, Jr.

John Rixse, Jr. (Virginia—SE): I have an Amendment to add to the report which I think—I will comment after I give the motion.

I move that we add item six under "responsibility of the Coordinating Council." This has three parts. I will read it relatively slowly so it can be digested.

"This General Conference requests the Coordinating Council to: (1) evaluate the procedures and effectiveness of overseas representation on and participation in the general boards and agencies, committees, and commissions of the Church, and the special committees and commissions of the General Conference.

"(2) Evaluate the effectiveness of procedures heretofore utilized to provide overseas delegations with material to be considered at the General Conference.

"(3) Implement where possible and recommend to the General Conference new appropriate measures to better assure full effective representative participation of our overseas brethren in the work of our Church and our General Conference."

If this is seconded, I would like to make just an observation.

The motion was seconded.

John Rixse, Jr.: All through the last three days we have had questions on this. I think it is near the hearts of all of us that we have not resolved them. It seems to me the Coordinating Council would be an appropriate place for this to be handled.

Statement Supporting Report—Roy H. Nichols

Roy H. Nichols (California-Nevada—W): I'm not sure exactly which side I am speaking on. I am going to support the principal matter offered in the committee, but I wanted to submit this general concern. When we come to General Conference, we have the Conference itself with representatives from all the Annual Conferences legislating. We have a statement that comes to us from the Council of Bishops, indicating their feeling about the future of the Church, and we have now, or at least we are beginning in this procedure, of vesting in the Coordinating Council with all of the Conference things suggested, a new major legislative possibility in what they will present to us.

We have a fourth source, also, from the local Church and the individual member, the Memorials.

Now, if we vote this and set in motion a new kind of authority for the Coordinating Council, then if we are not careful, unless the Coordinating Council in its proposals to the General Conference takes into consideration seriously the importance of Memorials, we are going to be put in a position where, by the time we get to the General Conference, Memorials won't mean very much because the principal decisions will be made by Commissions reporting directly to the General Conference, and the Legislative Committees will be

going through motions, but, in fact, the Memorials will have no great significance on the action of the General Conference.

As I vote for this proposal, I am hoping very seriously that the Coordinating Committee, particularly taking into consideration the item Mr. Hightower raised regarding the suggestions that were proposed, will also consider a way of assuring that we will be getting legislative suggestions from the bottom as well as the top and in the middle, all the way through, unless we become overly encumbered with predigested suggestions which will, in fact, become mousetraps for the General Conference.

Statement Favoring Report—W. F. Davis

W. F. Davis (Peninsula—NE): I am trying to say what Dr. Nichols has very aptly and ably presented. I spoke four years ago at this General Conference and warned my brethren that this Coordinating Council is becoming an octopus controlling the General Conference. It is doing exactly what Dr. Nichols said. It thwarts the Memorial. Today we slapped in the face a large number of Memorials which came to this Conference about alcohol. That was my committee on the subject, and there were numerous Memorials asking us to stand fast on the question of temperance.

Four years ago we abolished the Board of Temperance and put them in a larger committee and many of our brethren throughout the states are fearful that we are weakening our stand on temperance.

Now, then, they want the structural complaints—they want the structural arrangement changed. I think they are going entirely too far.

The first day I came here, I wanted to make a motion to abolish the Coordinating Council and I would have done well if I had.

Many of them are my good friends. I hate to speak of this Council in this way but I do because I admire Brother Hickman and the many other members, but I think it is time that we return to this Memorial and to the grassroots of Methodism. We are getting over-organized. We allow this Committee to scare the people on the publishing interest.

Four years ago we had a publisher who said he didn't know what to publish or how to publish it. He would have to run to the Coordinating Council.

Brother Smith was more concerned than I am and went back to Horace Greeley, but we should get somebody free to print Methodist materials and Methodist stands unimpeded by the Coordinating Council or anybody else.

I think this General Conference should say something about this tremendous authority that we have put in this Committee. I don't know how to thwart it or how to reduce it, but I do know it should be considered. I think that is the thing I am more afraid of than anything else. In four more years, they will practically run this Conference. I think we should be very careful in how far we go and how much authority we delegate.

Inquiry for Clarification of Parliamentary Situation—Norman Trott

Norman Trott (Baltimore—NE): I wish to ask a question of Mr. Hickman, and first I would like to know what the parliamentary situation is. Do we have an Amendment before us?

Bishop Barbieri: We are on the Amendment.

Statement Favoring Amendment—Lloyd M. Bertholf

Lloyd M. Bertholf (Central Illinois—NC): I rise to speak in favor of the Amendment. It seems to me that regardless of how true the things are that have been said about the Coordinating Council, this matter of the proper part our foreign delegates play on the Boards and in between sessions of the Conference is something that needs to be dealt with and I can't see any other agency in the Church that is in the position to do it. Therefore, I urge us to support this Amendment of the gentleman from Virginia so that this matter can be properly cared for.

Previous Question Moved and Voted—Sterling F. Wheeler

Sterling F. Wheeler (Southwest Texas—SC): Mr. Chairman, I move the previous question on Report No. 5, all that is read and unread, and all that adheres thereto.

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Barbieri: All those in favor of the previous question and all that is underway, signify by the usual sign. All against. The previous question is passed. (DCA, page 613.)

Now we have first to vote on the Amendment.

Leon E. Hickman: We will leave it to the judgment of the house whether you want the Coordinating Council to do that or not.

Rixse Amendment Read by Secretary

Leon T. Moore: Mr. Chairman, members of the Conference, this is the Amendment signed by John Rixse, Jr., Virginia.

Add Item 6 under Report of Coordinating Council. This General Conference requests the Coordinating Council to (1), evaluate the procedure mechanics and effectiveness of overseas representation on, and participation in, the general boards, agencies, committees and commissions of the Church, and the special commissions and committees of the General Conference and, (2), evaluate the effectiveness of procedures heretofore utilized to advise overseas delegates of materials to be considered at the General Conference, and (3), implement where possible and recommend to the General Conference where appropriate measures to better assure full effective representation and participation of our overseas brethren in the work of our Church, and on General Conference—I suppose it means General Conference body. It doesn't finish out.

Amendment Prevails

Bishop Barbieri: All those who favor this Amendment will signify by raising the hand. All those who do not favor. It has prevailed. (DCA, page 613.)

We are on the present question. We have to approve, now, the—

Inquiry Relative to Paragraph 8—Asbury Smith

C. Asbury Smith (Baltimore—NE): I want to inquire about Paragraph 8 on page 63. "Every clerical member of the Annual Conference whose 70th birthday precedes the regular session of the Annual Conference shall automatically be retired from active ministry of said Conference."

I believe we voted a different action on that at another session.

Leon E. Hickman: I understood—I was going to move reconsideration of the first portion of the report which is not legislative because I indicated earlier I was going to move that separately, and Brother Hightower and several men were given—it was indicated they would have a chance to offer Amendments to it.

Motion to Reconsider Previous Question—Don Holter

Don Holter (Kansas—SC): I would like to move for reconsideration of the previous question on this whole report. I think there are two items. Certainly No. 8 cannot be considered in as much as we have already passed—disapproved this very thing that is included here in the minority report that came in last night. Consequently, that certainly is not in order in this particular case.

I would hope we could move reconsideration and consider this because opportunity should be offered for further Amendment to this whole section.

Reconsideration Voted

Bishop Barbieri: All those who favor that we reconsider the previous question will signify by the raising of the hand. All those who do not approve it. It is reconsidered.

Motion to Delete—Don Holter

Don Holter: I would like to move that on page 64, in the second paragraph, second column, under the number 2, "Responsibilities of the Coordinating Council," No. 1, that we delete the words "or on its own initiative." If I have a second.

The motion was seconded.

Don Holter: That which I am afraid can very easily happen is an overarching hierarchy. I would not put it in the extreme form that it has been stated, but I do think there is a problem here in as much as the Coordinating Council in its present make-up, many of these will not be on the future Coordinating Council.

They have been there 12 years. The whole personnel is going to change, and therefore my apprehension is not necessarily concerned with the present membership because many of these will be disappearing after this General Conference, but this could very easily be an overarching hierarchy. Here is now a Coordinating Council that reports to the General Conference, not necessarily through any of the legislating Committees. Here is one that on its own initiative could delve into anything in the whole Church. This, I think, is dangerous; to create any agency that has this tremendous power. I think any Conference or General Board or Agency certainly ought to have the courage to request, as has been provided, the Coordinating Council; to make an issue of a particular problem and therefore I am opposed to this and hope that this phrase, "or on its own initiative" will be eliminated.

Statement Opposing Amendment—Leonard Slutz

Leonard Slutz (Ohio—NC): I speak against the Amendment. I disagreed with the Council several times, but I am not at all afraid of its power. What we say here is that it may look into problems on

its own initiative, that it shall make recommendations to the Board and Agencies for the resolving of issues, but it goes on and says, "in the event of noncompliance, any recommendation shall be reported to the next General Conference," so the Coordinating Council is not asking for any authority or any power to do anything other than to investigate and make recommendations and I would welcome the recommendations even though I often disagree with them.

Comment on Amendment—Wesley H. Hager

Wesley H. Hager (Missouri East—SC): If you read No. 2 just below the section we are discussing, it would seem it would make no difference whether these words are deleted or not. "The Council shall study and recommend." The authority is already there in the present wording. "It shall study and recommend proposals for new structure which is needed."

Statement Against Amendment—Arthur B. Colley

Arthur B. Colley (Western Pennsylvania—NE): I want to speak against the Amendment. I think we are acting in a typical Methodist fashion. We appoint a Committee and immediately proceed to distrust it.

What we do, we give a Committee responsibility and then we do not give them the authority with which to carry out that particular responsibility, which, as I understand it, is one of the violations of the principles of good management. Nobody wants to be coordinated and I speak as one from experience, being the Secretary of our own Conference Coordinating Council. I am heartily in favor of the insertion of this item, "On its own initiative." Our speaker has said all this bickering is going on. Nobody will come and stand on his own two feet as every good man ought to, especially potential sons of God, and speak in the open. They are afraid to take responsibility for their own actions, and when they are afraid to take responsibility for their own actions, it seems to me the Coordinating Council should step in and take the necessary measures to resolve its problems. I am in favor of the item inserted and against the Amendment.

Motion for Previous Question Prevails

John R. Van Sickle: I move the previous questions on the Amendment and on the report except Section 8 on the top of page 63.

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Barbieri: We are on the previous question. All those who favor the previous question will signify by raising the hand. Opposed. The motion prevails. (DCA, page 614.)

Request for Explanation—Harold Hughes

Harold Hughes (Virginia—NE): I wonder if Mr. Hickman would give to us the thinking involved on page 64, the second column, the second paragraph at the top, No. 4, where it says, "And shall arrange for representation of The Methodist Church in long-range planning activities in ecumenical bodies."

I would appreciate knowing what is meant by that.

Explanation

Leon E. Hickman: During the past quadrennium, it was brought to our attention that the National Council of Churches was doing excellent work in long-range planning, and were willing to have observers sit with it. The Coordinating Council, I suppose without authority, sent two members to sit at certain sessions of the National Council of Churches in order that we might inform ourselves in the problems of long-range planning as they related to Protestant Churches.

Inquiry—Richard Gantz

Richard Gantz (Central Illinois—NC): My question is: Has the Coordinating Council made any plans in which the delegate body could receive these reports of the Coordinating Council before the ensuing General Conference that they might consider them in the light of other agency reports?

Reply

Leon E. Hickman: We sent out Reports 1, 2, 3 and 4 more than 90 days before this session to each of you. No. 5, our General Report, was filed at the beginning of the session because we wanted to bring our work up to date, but we are quite amenable to any suggestion about getting the reports earlier, or doing anything you want.

Request for Clarification—John D. Herr

John D. Herr (Philadelphia—NE): Mr. Chairman, I know that we are under the previous question, but would you allow Mr. Hickman to indicate any portions of the report that were not supposed to come before us for a vote?

Bishop Barbieri: Yes, he is going to give clarification which has become necessary at this point.

Concluding Remarks for Committee

Leon E. Hickman: If I may, I will make my concluding remarks and shall also answer the question.

It was the thinking of the Coordinating Council that we would submit for specific vote our Legislative Recommendation, Roman 3, beginning at the middle of the third column on page 63 through to the end of the report, and then we would simply ask for general approval of the first two Roman sections of the report which are simply reporting to you what we did during the quadrennium. If we did anything during the quadrennium that you thought was wrong, or that you wanted to do anything about in the next quadrennium, you would have the opportunity on that motion for general approval to correct us, but the structure of this report is that the first two sections are simply a fair, complete recital of what we did during the quadrennium. It even goes into our special reports, and Roman 3 is what we recommend for legislation during the ensuing quadrennium.

With respect to the Amendment, and this will be my concluding word as vice-chairman, you are in this dilemma: you have to trust somebody. You either trust the Coordinating Council to look into the lack of cooperation or overlapping, or you trust the agency on its own.

Parkinson's Law was not invented exclusively for industrial

corporations. I find it applies to Churches as well. The Methodist Church has been described by a friendly member of the Church as a sprawling bureaucracy. That is really a kindly statement of the situation.

I think the Coordinating Council could do a lot to try to bring about cooperation and prevent overlapping between sessions of the General Conference, remembering always as said by an earlier speaker that all we have to support our position is jawbone control. If we can't solve the problem, we can only report and let the Conference decide.

After twelve years of experience on the Coordinating Council, I would say the Council is well aware of the fact, as any responsible church man would be, that you entrust it with great power, and I think it can be fairly said that the Coordinating Council to date has moved with great caution in the exercise of the vast powers that you put into its hands. As I say, I think you have to put them somewhere. I think the first time this Coordinating Council or the next one oversteps its bounds and begins to reach out, you would slap it down in a hurry and we would all find out that the boss is the General Conference and not the Coordinating Council.

The fact is that the Coordinating Council has gone 12 years without one staff member, without an office, without a secretary or anything else. I think this is evidence of the fact that conscientious church men entrusted with great power have dealt with it well.

Amendment Defeated

Bishop Barbieri: We are going to vote on the Amendment. Those who favor the deletion of the words, "or on its own initiative," will signify by raising the hand.

Those who do not favor? It is lost. (DCA, page 628.)

Inquiry—Joseph E. Lowery

Joseph E. Lowery (Central Alabama—C): Thank you, Mr. Chairman. My question is related to No. 4 and the report on page 64, third column, the last part of that paragraph which begins, "shall arrange for representation of The Methodist Church in long-range planning activities in Ecumenical bodies." I have two questions.

No. 1: How does this relate to the responsibilities of the newly instituted Commission on Ecumenical Affairs?

No. 2: How does this relate to the responsibility of other councils or bodies which now nominate Methodist representation to other bodies?

Reply

Leon E. Hickman: I think the subject matter of this paragraph 4 is long-range planning, rather than ecumenical activities, and we simply refer to other ecumenical bodies that have long-range planning activities, that is, we would get in contact with them only if we felt we could inform ourselves on the subject of long-range planning. We don't desire to exercise any ecumenical activities, except to inform ourselves on long-range planning.

Inquiry

L. Scott Allen (Georgia—C): I should like to ask the Chairman of the Committee, in view of the fact that Subsection 8 of Section 2 does not require legislation and is simply reporting the disposal of a

Memorial, is it necessary to exclude it from this general consideration here now?

Reply

Leon E. Hickman: I think Paragraph 8 is before you only in the sense that when we move for approval of our actions for the past 4 years it is certainly within the power of the body to say "we don't approve" or "we wish you would do something more," and that is why I think at some point you ought to have a chance to indicate by your approval of the first section that you either do approve or you want it done some other way.

Point of Order—William R. Cannon

William R. Cannon (North Georgia—SE): The Point of Order is this, Mr. Chairman. Section 8 is no longer before this body. It was merely a recommendation, at best. That recommendation was handled as a Memorial to the Committee on Ministry. The Committee on Ministry reported its recommendation last night. It was adopted. Therefore, this means nothing. It is just information. We need not consider it any more at all.

Bishop Barbieri: I will ask our Chairman here of the Committee to state exactly what we are going to vote on so that there will be no misunderstanding.

Leon E. Hickman: I understand the motion to be that we are voting approval of the entire report except Section 8, which will preclude us from making any further comment on the first section.

I also, however, should bring to the attention of the Chair an earlier Amendment requesting the Coordinating Council to evaluate procedures with respect to overseas delegations, I believe we've lost sight of, and has never been voted on.

Inquiry—Eugene L. Smith

Eugene L. Smith (Newark—NE): May I ask whether Paragraph 4, the first sentence in heavy print is interpreted exclusively, whether it would eliminate the continuing participation of agencies in a long-range planning unit to the Division of Foreign Mission of the National Council, and Study Department of the World Council of Churches, where there has been heavy staff representation over a period of years—is 4 to be considered as an exclusive prerogative?

Leon E. Hickman: We don't intend it to be so interpreted.

Report of Coordinating Council Adopted

Bishop Barbieri: Are you ready to vote? All those who are in favor of the matter before you will signify by raising your hand.

Those who are against? It is approved. (DCA, page 611; see Appendix, page 1803.)

Privileged Matter for Bishop Garrison

Leon E. Hickman: If Bishop Garrison can be privileged to speak, we may close out the work of the Coordinating Council at this time.

Bishop Edwin Garrison: It would be unfitting to close this series of reports by the Coordinating Council which we hoped would be completed on the first or second legislative day of the General Con-

ference, if I did not express gratitude to the many members of the Council and research people who helped us prepare these reports, but I would especially like to present at this moment the Chairman of our subcommittees; the chairman of the Property Committee, Mr. Hubert Orton, California.

Bishop Roy Short, Chairman of our Committee on Program.

Lester Auman, New York East, Chairman of the Committee on Review.

That stalwart Methodist from Mississippi, J. P. Stafford, Committee on Structure.

Certainly, I want to say a special word of gratitude to Leon Hickman who has worked long hours as the leader of these reports and as vice-president of the Council. I told Mrs. Garrison the other night it was worth all the work of the Coordinating Council just to have association with him. Likewise, I would include Dr. Murray Leiffer for the splendid help he gave us.

Matter of Personal Privilege—G. Wesley Lewis

G. Wesley Lewis (Wyoming—NE): Part of this has been done, but now I want to complete it.

I speak now as a member of the Coordinating Council. I want, likewise, to speak on behalf of the Coordinating Council, and I am sure that you, as delegates, would want to join me in expressing our sincere appreciation to Bishop Edwin Garrison. I want also to again include Judge Leon Hickman for the fine leadership they have given this Council for 12 years. They are now leaving the Council because of the tenure act.

Will you join with me in expressing our appreciation to Bishop Garrison along with Judge Hickman.

Motion to Reconsider Section 8 of Report—Clytus Mowry

Clytus Mowry (Genesee—NE): Forasmuch as we are a day older and therefore older in maturity, I move reconsideration of Section 8 of the Report on page 63.

The motion was seconded.

I feel this is the matter of great importance and we see it in a large framework as presented here in the report. For the reasons we submitted last evening when we were tired and perhaps didn't see it as clearly, I would like to have various members of the minority report present their report.

Point of Order—Harold C. Buckingham

Harold C. Buckingham (Wyoming—NE): Reconsideration has not been voted.

Bishop Barbieri: We are out of order for the moment.

The order of the day that we have approved, after the completion of the reports of the Coordinating Council, we should have a statement by Mr. Charles Parlin, so I am recognizing Mr. Charles Parlin for his statement.

Motion to Amend Paragraph 439 of Discipline—Charles C. Parlin

Charles C. Parlin (Newark—NE): Mr. Chairman, I wish to make a motion and if seconded, I will speak very briefly.

I move to amend the *Discipline*, Paragraph 439, by adding at the end thereof, the following sentence. "In the event a Bishop is transferred to a regional Jurisdiction upon the request of a Jurisdictional Conference and becomes a Bishop of that Regional Jurisdiction, that Jurisdictional Conference, may, nevertheless, elect Bishops up to the limit of its regular quota whether or not the transfer becomes effective before the completion of such election."

If there is a second, I will try to explain.

The motion was seconded.

In the legislation adopted as a result of the report of the Commission of Thirty-six, this Paragraph 439 provided that on the transfer of a Bishop from the Central Jurisdiction to a regional Jurisdiction, the quota of Bishops of that regional Jurisdiction would be increased by that number of the transfer. The legislation contemplated that the Jurisdiction would have completed its Jurisdictional Conference and then there would be a transfer and that transfer would result in an increase of the quota.

I am astounded but happy to report to you that during these days in Pittsburgh, North Central and Central Conferences have arranged a program in which they think that there will be the first act of the North Central Jurisdictional Conference, the invitation to a Bishop of the Central Jurisdiction who will have already been cleared by the necessary votes, and he will forthwith come into and be inducted into the North Central Jurisdiction.

They have requested, both North Central and Central Jurisdiction representatives, this sentence of addition to make clear that if this procedure is followed, the Negro Bishop coming into North Central Jurisdiction will not fill up the normal quota of North Central, but will, as everybody intended, increase the quota by that one number.

Paragraph 439 Amended

Bishop Barbieri: Yes? All those in favor will signify. All opposed. It is passed. (DCA, page 629.)

Statement Relative to Progress of Business—Willis M. Tate

Willis M. Tate (North Texas—SC): Members of the General Conference, as all of you who have been to a General Conference before know, there comes a time when we must stop making speeches and start to vote. You have been acting almost as a committee of the whole in considering the Coordinating Council Report. We are ready for the report of the Legislative Committee. These are not necessarily interesting or exciting, but they are very important and are mandatory because they have already been debated and passed by your Committees.

It is our plan to work hard tonight and tomorrow in order to finish all the Legislative Committee Reports by tomorrow night.

We have told the Agenda Committee that we can finish all the latest Committee reports by tomorrow night so that the General Conference can adjourn on Friday.

Any delay or unnecessary obstruction will be to rob you of your sleep not only tonight but tomorrow night. You will be under the three-minute rule when you come back from recess for this important meeting to discuss these reports.

We have 521 reports. Only 87 have been acted upon, and let me remind you that even a three-minute speech of this is equal to one

hundred man hours. I ask you to have a quick and refreshing recess because we have a great deal of work to do when you return.

Statement of Appreciation—Bishop Barbieri

Bishop Barbieri: Before you will recess a moment, I want to thank you for your patience and cooperation and also for the assistance of Bishop Corson during this session. Thank you very much. We are in recess.

(Short recess)

Bishop Edwin R. Garrison assumed the Chair.

Bishop Garrison: Come to order, please.

Please stand and sing together Hymn No. 299: "I Want a Principle Within."

The Conference rose and sang in unison.

Organist Recognized

Bishop Garrison: There is one person who has contributed a great deal to our music that we have not yet recognized. I am speaking of our organist, Dr. V. Earle Copes, the editor of *Music Ministry*. We are grateful to you for the help you have given us.

I recognize Dr. R. Marvin Stuart for a matter of privilege.

Matter of Personal Privilege—R. Marvin Stuart

R. Marvin Stuart (California-Nevada—W): I am pleased to present the following resolution:

"Whereas, Methodism has a rich heritage and impressive historical record of establishing Christian higher-educational institutions on the frontiers of America and thereby has provided dedicated and competent Christian leaders for the emerging societies; and

"Whereas, Methodism, through the Division of National Missions conducted studies on America's 'last great frontier region' of Alaska before Statehood and concluded that the greatest single need of Alaskan society in transition from territorial status to full statehood responsibilities, was for indigenous leadership, 'uniting knowledge and vital piety,' and

"Whereas, Methodism by the unanimous actions of the General Conferences of 1956 and 1960 commended the Alaska Methodist University project for support, through the Division of National Missions, to all local churches for support through the program of Advance Specials, and

"Whereas, Methodism's support to date has made possible the development of the initial facilities and personnel required to begin a Christian higher educational program of good academic quality and the completion in May of 1964 of four full years of operation with the current year's enrollment of over 450 students, and

"Whereas, the progress to date at Alaska Methodist University is impressive from the viewpoints of facilities, programs and personnel, any additional development will require churchwide support to bring the school to a stage of development considered adequate for a Methodist college.

"Be it therefore resolved, that the 1964 General Conference in session in Pittsburgh, commend the Alaska Methodist University project to each local church for support in its program of Advance

Specials through the Division of National Missions of the Board of Missions."

Mr. Chairman, I move adoption of this resolution.

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Garrison: Is there any discussion? As many as will approve the motion, lift the hand. Down. Opposed? It is approved. (DCA, page 630.)

Matter of Personal Privilege—Tribute to Dr. John O. Gross

J. Earl Moreland (Virginia—SE): Mr. Chairman, a matter of high personal privilege. We are presenting a tribute to a servant of the Church who has given extraordinary leadership in the area of Christian Higher Education for 24 years, and who comes to retirement before the end of this year.

I am taking Memorial 2803 from the Committee on Education.

The report of the Division of Higher Education to the General Conference of 1964 indicates the extraordinary range of effective services provided by the Division as it represents Methodist Colleges and Universities to the Church and the Church to Colleges and Universities. Recent decades have seen significant gains in more closely binding educational institutions and the Church, including notably the Methodist Universities which represent the last large group of Church-related Universities in America.

Among Methodist Colleges and Universities are many of the finest in the nation, and they have never been stronger. Over one-half of all ministerial students are graduates of these institutions. In 1952 the Department of Ministerial Training became a part of the Division, and since that date Ministerial education has entered a new era of Church support and service.

The Department of College and University Religious Life, particularly through Wesley Foundations, has ministered to multiplied thousands of Methodist students in tax supported and non-church related institutions of higher learning. They represent The Methodist Church to those institutions and relate them in a vital way to the Church.

The General Conference Committee on Education acknowledges with deep gratitude, the historic contribution of Dr. John O. Gross, General Secretary of the Division, toward achieving these and many other advances which have brought Methodist higher education in all its aspects to new levels of excellence and quality. The General Conference would express its abiding gratitude for the consecrated, statesmanlike leadership of Dr. Gross. His creative contributions will continue to produce values for the Church through the long years ahead.

I am happy to present this word of tribute to Dr. Gross for his extraordinary services for 24 years as head of this Division of Higher Education.

Response

John O. Gross: Mr. Chairman and members of the Conference, it has been a great privilege of my life to have served The Methodist Church and its ministry. I have never regarded myself as a Methodist preacher in exile, I have always felt I was a Methodist preacher doing the Lord's work in a very important area of the Church's life.

Higher education is related to every development within our thinking as far as the Church is concerned, and I pray God that in the years to come its place in the Church may reach a much higher level than it knows now.

We can contribute greatly to the Church through the utilization of the great resources that we have in education. I thank you again.

Motion Relative to Non-Concurrence Reports—Willis M. Tate

Willis M. Tate (North Texas—SC): I have good news for you. I have found a way to make a motion that everybody feels is legal and I would like to move to approve at one time the 250 nonconcurrence reports unless there is a minority report or unless the Chairman of the Committee wishes to lift it up.

I so move, Bishop.

The motion was seconded.

All Non-Concurrence Reports Adopted

Bishop Garrison: As many as approve the motion, lift the hand. Down. Opposed? It is carried.

Reports of Committee on Conferences Called For

Willis M. Tate: You have taken care of 250 reports. Congratulations.

The first Legislative Committee has been scheduled since 11:35 this morning. The Committee on Conferences, Dr. D. Trigg James is Chairman.

Motion to Suspend Rules—D. Trigg James, Sr.

D. Trigg James, Sr. (Holston—SE): Mr. Chairman, I would like to move the supension of the rules in order to consider the reports printed in the *Advocate* today with the exception of Calendar Items 310 and 311.

Bishop Garrison: As many as will suspend the rules, lift the hand. Those opposed? They are suspended. (DCA, page 631.)

Legislative Committee on Conferences Report

D. Trigg James, Sr.: We have had an excellent leadership in the subcommittees in our Committee on Conferences.

The secretary, Judge Floyd H. Coffman, has done the detail work of carrying through the work of the Conferences of the Conference.

I would like to have the Chairmen of the subcommittees present the reports in the order of the names in which I call them tonight without further announcement.

Dr. Lance Webb, Ohio Conference, will present the matters dealing with General Conference.

Mr. Francis Faber, Minnesota, will present the matters dealing with Jurisdictional Conferences.

Dr. John Wilkins, California-Nevada, will present the matters dealing with the Annual Conferences.

Report No. 22, Committee on Conferences, Adopted

Lance Webb (Ohio—NC): Bishop Garrison, friends, our first report is on page 315, Calendar 76, Report No. 22. It is a recommended reference to the Coordinating Council for study of a long Memorial having to do with implementing the paragraph in the 1960 General Conference which said, as you will note about the middle of column one, that the *Discipline* of The Methodist Church in its format and phraseology should be more expressive of the worldwide nature of The Methodist Church instead of its present form which gives the impression of its being the *Discipline* of The Methodist Church in the United States of America.

This Memorial calls attention to the fact that this has not been implemented. We realize the Conference, our Board of Missions, our people in many parts of the world have been thinking about our Church as a world Church for some time.

We believe that this paragraph should be implemented. We recommend that the General Conference refer this Memorial to the Coordinating Council for study and report its recommendation to the 1968 General Conference. I move adoption of this report.

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Garrison: As many as will refer, lift the hand. Opposed? It is referred. (DCA, page 631; see Appendix, page 1342.)

Report No. 23, Committee on Conferences, Adopted

Lance Webb: Our next report is on page 350, Calendar 127, Report No. 23.

Since there is some confusion, as was evidenced the other day when we were voting on the special session of the General Conference, some confusion regarding the authority to call a special session of the General Conference, we are recommending the following Amendment to Paragraph 509, which would simply make explicit that which was done here by adding the words at the beginning of Paragraph 509, "The General Conference by a two-thirds vote of the delegates present," and then continuing, "Council of Bishops or two-thirds of all the Annual Conferences," and adding the sentence, after the word "fix" in Line 7, "the purpose of such extra session shall be stated on the call and only such business shall be transacted as is in harmony with the purpose stated in the call." I move adoption.

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Garrison: Are you ready? Is there a question? As many as will adopt lift the hand. Those who are opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 631; see Appendix, page 1342.)

Report No. 25, Committee on Conferences, Adopted

Lance Webb: Calendar 129, on same page, middle column, Report No. 25. The subject has to do with implementing Amendment nine. The Committee agrees that Central Jurisdiction should be eliminated in accordance with Amendment IX, and I move adoption of this report.

Bishop Garrison: Are you ready? Any questions?
As many as approve, lift the hand.

Opposed? It is approved. (DCA, page 631; see Appendix, page 1348.)

Report No. 37, Committee on Conferences, Presented

Lance Webb: On page 432, Calendar 196, Report No. 37, second column. This recommends an Amendment of Article 4, Section 4, Division 2 of the Constitution, *Discipline*, Paragraph 14, after the word "meet" the following, "either within the 12 months preceding, or"—paragraph to read as follows:

"Each Jurisdictional Conference shall meet either within the 12 months preceding or within the 12 months succeeding the meeting of the General Conference at such time and place as shall have been determined by the preceding Jurisdictional Conference or its properly constituted committee." This is permissive legislation. It has to do with an Amendment, I believe, of the Constitution.

Statement Opposing Report—Leon E. Hickman

Leon E. Hickman: I should like to point out that this proposed Amendment is at variance with an Amendment which we have already voted to submit to the Conferences. On page 20 of the *Daily Christian Advocate*, for April 27, is an Amendment which we voted to submit which authorizes the Council of Bishops or its delegated committee to convene the Jurisdictional Conferences on identical dates that in context is to enable us to arrange an interchange of Bishops between Jurisdictions.

This is, of course, constitutional to have two Amendments on the same subject going off in different directions, but I think we ought to defeat the second one if we believe in the first one.

Report No. 37 Defeated

Bishop Garrison: Are you ready? As many as—the motion is to approve. As many as will approve Report No. 37—that is correct, is it not—Calendar 196, as many as will approve, lift the hand. Those opposed, lift the hand.

It is not approved. (DCA, page 631; see Appendix, page 1353.)

Report No. 39, Committee on Conferences, Adopted

Lance Webb: Calendar No. 198, Report No. 39. Alternate General Conference delegation leadership is next. It is recommended that the Chairmen of Annual Conference delegations be elected from the lay members of the delegation and from the ministerial members of the delegations for alternating General Conferences.

I move adoption.

The motion was seconded.

Lance Webb: It was felt that this is the general practice. Sometimes there may be a little discrepancy in the procedure. We felt that this was fair and just and we would like to move it as an addition.

Bishop Garrison: Are you ready? Any questions?

As many as will approve, lift the hand.

Those who are opposed? It is approved. (DCA, page 631; see Appendix, page 1354.)

Report No. 56, Committee on Conferences, Presented

Lance Webb: Then on page 434, Calendar 215, No. 56. This is a very important part of the legislation recommended by the Commission on Promotion and Cultivation. I think that I may save your time by beginning down at the bottom of column 3, page 434. You will notice here the entire paragraph, 755 of the *Discipline,* Sections 1, 2, 3 as we propose to amend them.

Notice, of course, the changes in black and boldfaced type. This is at the top of the first column on page 435, speaking of this Commission on Promotion and Cultivation for each Annual Conference. This shall be done, that is, there shall be such a Commission on Promotion and Cultivation. This is already in the *Discipline,* provided, however, that if a Conference Council or similar body should serve as a promotional agency for all general benevolences approved by the Conference, it shall function—"shall" is substituted there for the "may."

It shall function in lieu of the Conference Commission in Office and may form a committee for that purpose.

In Section 2 of the same paragraph, middle of the page, the Commission shall be composed of the following members. The resident Bishop and one or more members of his cabinet, instead of at least one, as in the present law, one or more members, and then one representative from each district, either ministerial or lay; at least half of them shall be laymen. This we think is an improvement on the original which you see on the preceding page.

The rest of this paragraph has to do with adding to the *Discipline* certain persons who surely ought to be on the Annual Conference Committee on Promotion and Cultivation, persons, by the way, who are already being invited and are participating in such work, and therefore, there should be no debate about this.

Section 3, same paragraph, in order to secure full participation in the support of the several benevolence causes, the Commission or the Conference Council shall organize under its authority and direction a World Service Committee to promote the program of World Service and Conference Benevolences, and then adding other items that need to be promoted in this category.

The only difference, or the principal difference here, with the exception of the addition of such things as general advance, conference advance, specials, One Great Hour of Sharing, which certainly would come under the Promotion and Cultivation Commission is the fact that we are changing the word "may" in Line 4 of this section to "shall." I call your attention to this in order that you may be sure what you are voting on. I move adoption of this report.

Proposal to Add to Membership of Committee Accepted—Charles Carrington

Charles Carrington (Delaware—C): Referring to Column 3, line 6, we have listed there a number of persons who should be on this Committee on Promotion or Commission in the Annual Conferences. I wonder if the committee would accept the addition of the Conference Executive Secretary of the Conference Board of Missions, should there be one?

Lance Webb: I certainly think we might.

Motion to Amend Report—Thomas Cromwell

Thomas Cromwell (North-East Ohio—NC): On page 435, first column, paragraph 755.3, actually the last paragraph of the report,

on line 8 of that paragraph which is right there where the brackets are around paragraphs 793 and 795, I would like to move to insert "it may organize" so that it will read, "It may organize an advance committee" and go on to the finish.

If there is a second, I would like to say a word.

The motion was seconded.

Thomas Cromwell: This is dealing with an Annual Conference Commission on Promotion and Cultivation, and not on the general church level, so we are clear.

I would like to delete this completely but it seems to me at this stage, this is probably the best we can do. It seems to me that there is a conflict in the *Discipline* between the responsibility of the Conference Board of Missions and the Commission on Promotion and Cultivation in regard to Advance Specials and their promotion.

I call your attention to the fact that 759 of the *Discipline*, number one, says that the Conference Board of Missions—the Conference Advance Specials shall be improved and promoted by the Conference Board of Missions.

Then on 1299 says "The Conference Board shall cooperate with the General Board in carrying out policies" and so on, "and it shall cooperate with the Joint Section of Education and Cultivation in developing an effective program of education and cultivation within the conference."

The structure of the Board of Missions is that the Advance Department of the Board of Missions is within the Joint Section that handles Advance Specials. Therefore, it seems to me that if—that we are really creating a conflict if we demand that the committee be created. If we put it may be created, this then leaves it up to the Annual Conferences if they feel it is necessary, and they can do it. If they do not feel it is necessary, they don't need to.

Statement Relative to Amendment by Committee

Lance Webb: This was adopted in the later hours at our committee meeting and we did not really discuss this particular portion in the committee. Those of us in the Executive Committee talked about this, and we feel we would like to leave it up to the judgment of the body as to whether this, in line 4, should be "may" or "shall."

This might simplify things.

Thomas Cromwell: I am not questioning the fact that you "shall" create a world service Committee to promote. Do you follow me?

I feel there is no question that it is the responsibility of the Commission on Promotion and Cultivation to promote world service. I raise a question on Line 8 only in regard to the Advance Committee which is, as I tried to point out in the 759 and 1299, there seems to be a confusion, and at this stage of the General Conference, I don't think we can straighten out this confusion. Maybe by the next General Conference we can, but, at least, if it is "may" we will—

Amendment Accepted by Committee

Lance Webb: The Committee will accept the Amendment.

Inquiry

John Rixse (Virginia—SE): I have a question. Many of us like the permissive form of this before because it permitted us to have

the functions performed and organization expanded if we want, but if we were already doing a good job, such as through the Conference Board of Lay Activities, we did not find it necessary, this makes it mandatory that we incur additional conference expense.

Reply

Lance Webb: May I call attention to the fact that in Section One of this paragraph, at the top of the page, it says that if—however, if the Conference Council or similar body serves as a promotional agency for all general benevolences approved by the Conference, it shall serve in lieu of the Conference Commission.

It may be in your situation you can continue promoting through this Board of Lay Activities. I believe this would be the interpretation of this paragraph.

Inquiry

Alva Clark (Nebraska—SC): I address a question to the Chairman. When he accepted the word "may" was it accepted in line 4 for the entire matter of world service as well as advance specials?

Lance Webb: No.

Alva Clark: I move, sir, that the word "may" be substituted for the word "shall" in line 4, 755.3.

The motion was seconded.

Lance Webb: I think we will accept this.

Bishop Garrison: It is accepted.

Inquiry—Mrs. L. H. Donaldson

Mrs. L. H. Donaldson (New York East—NE): I would like to ask a question with reference to page 435, the first column toward the end of Paragraph 755.2, the bold type indicates that the Chairman of the Conference Television, Radio and Film Commission has been added to the committee. My question is why since they should be qualified better than anybody else on that committee, why should they be without vote?

Answer Given by John R. Wilkins

John R. Wilkins: I think this was suggested by the Commission, feeling that certain leaders should be in them for their professional help and advice, but without vote. This suggestion comes from the Commission.

D. Trigg James: I think the answer is really because they do not represent a basic body within the Annual Conference, but are agents of the entire Conference, serving all agencies, and therefore would not have a particular point of view to present, but aid in the development of the program.

Inquiry Relative to Oversight

Edward Susat (Indiana—NC): On page 435, Paragraph 755.2, it points out that this Commission shall be nominated by the Bishop and his cabinet and elected by the Conference.

The *Discipline*, on page 231, points out that these people shall be nominated by the Bishop and his cabinet or by the Conference Nominating Committee and elected by the Conference.

I wonder if this is an oversight.

Lance Webb: We will accept this as an addition if you will prepare it for the Secretary, please.

Bishop Garrison: It is accepted.

Previous Question Moved and Voted—J. W. Keith

J. W. Keith (Indiana—NC): I move the previous question.

Bishop Garrison: It is seconded.

As many as will approve the previous question, lift the hand. Opposed? It is ordered. (DCA, page 633.)

Report No. 56, As Amended, Adopted

Lance Webb: I believe we will leave it up to you. I believe you understand the intent of the legislation. We recommend it for adoption.

Bishop Garrison: As many as will approve, lift the hand. Down. Opposed? It is approved. (DCA, page 633; see Appendix, page 1359.)

Report No. 59, Committee on Conferences, Adopted

Lance Webb: Calendar 218 on the same page, second column, another part of the Commission on Promotion and Cultivation, changes in legislation. There is a very simple change in Paragraph 750.1 of the *Discipline* by adding in line 12, following the words "Methodist—Television-Radio Ministry Fund" the following: "Fellowship of suffering and service." This is simply to include a very important agency under the Commission on Promotion and Cultivation.

We move adoption.

Bishop Garrison: Is the intent clear? Are you ready to approve Report No. 59?

As many as will, do so by the lift of the hand. Opposed? It is approved. (DCA, page 633; see Appendix, page 1363.)

Request for Another Vote for Judicial Council—John R. Van Sickle

John R. Van Sickle (Rock River—NC): The Committee on Chairmen told us that we had many things to get done. Our Secretary told us early in the day that we should take another vote and get it counted. I believe he has enough ballots if needed for another election. Could we have that report now and take another ballot. He said he had to have that ready by ten o'clock.

Statement Relative to Report No. 39 of Committee on Conferences

D. Trigg James: When we acted on Report 39, Calendar 198, page 432, Dr. Webb said "this for the *Discipline*." This is a recommenda-

tion and is not for insertion in the *Discipline*. It is not an Amendment. It is a recommendation for the consideration of the Annual Conferences. This should be in the record in this way.

Report on Ballot No. 4 for Judicial Council

Leon T. Moore: Chairmen and members of the Conference, Ballot No. 4, 747 votes cast, 3 invalid, 744 counted, necessary to elect, 373, there was no election.

Do you wish the names read and the amounts?

Samuel Bautista, 21; R. Lee Blackwell, 4; Robert D. Blue, 3; Vincent P. Clarke, 8; Francis Dale, 3; Frank Dominick, 9; Richard C. Erwin, 73; Fremont C. Fletcher, 3; Donald E. Holbrook, 9; Carlisle J. Holler, 219; Walter L. Hunt, 3; Mrs. John O. Mabuce, 5; Donald A. Odell, 38; Samuel W. Witwer, 345; and Willard A. Zellmer, 8.

Ballot No. 5 Taken

After instructions from the Secretary, Ballot No. 5 was taken.

Report on Committee on Conferences Resumed

Bishop Garrison: Let us now resume our report.

D. Trigg James: Mr. Francis Faber will now present the next set of reports.

Report No. 26, Committee on Conferences, Approved—Francis H. Faber

Francis H. Faber (Minnesota—NC): Bishop, members of the Conference, would you turn to page 350, Calendar 130, Report No. 26. Your committee concurs with the intent of these Memorials to eliminate the Central Jurisdiction by 1968 and within the framework of the report of the Commission on Interjurisdictional Relations. We move concurrence.

Bishop Garrison: As many as will approve, lift the hand. Down.

Opposed? It is approved. (DCA, page 634; see Appendix, page 1348.)

Report No. 30, Committee on Conferences, Adopted

Francis H. Faber: Turn with me now to page 358, Calendar 165, Report No. 30. You just got through voting on the privilege of calling a special session of the General Conference. This Memorial deals with the calling of a special session if necessary, for the Jurisdictional Conference.

You will amend Paragraph 523 by the addition of the sentence, "A special session may be called by the members of the Jurisdictional Conference in such manner as the Conference shall determine."

We move its adoption.

Bishop Garrison: Are you ready? All in favor, lift the hand.

Those who are opposed? It is approved. (DCA, page 634; see Appendix, page 1350.)

Report No. 31, Committee on Conferences, Presented

Francis H. Faber: Calendar Item No. 166, Report No. 31. This report calls for the elimination of all Jurisdictions. The Committee voted the retention of the Jurisdictional system. We vote concurrence. This is, of course, within the framework of the Commission on Interjurisdictional Relations as given before.

Inquiry

Delegate: I understood him to say the Memorials recommended doing away with all Jurisdictions and he said they voted concurrence in the Memorial. I don't understand. He also said they voted in favor of keeping the Jurisdictional System.

I may not be hearing good. Maybe it is getting late for me.

Mr. Faber: Let me try again, sir.

This Memorial asks us to eliminate all Jurisdictions from our structure, all were to be eliminated. We voted to retain the Jurisdictional structure as it is now given in our Constitution.

Bishop Garrison: What you are really saying is nonconcurrence, aren't you? The motion, then, is nonconcurrrence, is that correct?

Francis H. Faber: We voted to retain the Jurisdictional system, which is a positive way of saying the same thing.

D. Trigg James (Holston—SE): May I say, Mr. Chairman, I think if we just mark out the "retain Jurisdictional system as a whole," and move nonconcurrence on the Memorial, it will be clear. Just mark out the line, "retain," and we will vote nonconcurrence, move nonconcurrence.

Irving Smith (Oklahoma—SC): We are on Calendar No. 166, which asks for the retention of the Jurisdictional system.

No. 167 asks for the elimination.

Bishop Garrison: We are not dealing with the Central Jurisdiction, but all Jurisdictions in the structure of our Church, and we would like to keep all the Jurisdictions in accordance with our Constitution.

Lemuel K. Lord (New England—NE): May I not ask if we have not already dealt with this question in another connection earlier in the Conference? It is my understanding we already had voted not to abolish the Jurisdictional system.

D. Trigg James: Mr. Chairman, I think we have been a little confused. The Memorials really ask for the retention. Therefore, we vote concurrence rather than nonconcurrence, and retaining the Jurisdictional system is not unnecessary because the Memorial said retain and we simply concur in that as a system.

In another, we voted to eliminate the Central Jurisdiction according to this plan of the report of 36 under Amendment IX, so this should be concurrence with the Memorial.

Substitute Motion—Leonard Slutz

Leonard Slutz (Ohio—NC): I think we are still under a misapprehension. I can't believe that we voted to retain the Jurisdictional system as a whole which would include the Central Jurisdiction.

Mr. Chairman, I move to substitute, as a substitute, that we vote nonconcurrence on the Memorials.

The motion was seconded.

Parliamentary Question Raised—Ted Hightower

Ted Hightower (Louisville—SE): Parliamentary question. I would like to know if Mr. Slutz meant what he said. If we vote nonconcurrence on retention of the Jurisdictional system, technically, as a whole, meaning to hold onto the Central Jurisdiction, that is one thing, but if he means to vote nonconcurrence on retention of the Jurisdictional system, that is an entirely different thing.

What do you have in mind, my friend?

Reply

Leonard Slutz: If this had read, "retain the Jurisdictional system aside from the Central Jurisdiction," I would be for it, but when it says, "Retain the Jurisdictional system as a whole," that means without changing and without eliminating the Central Jurisdiction. I, therefore, move that we nonconcur, and I submit that you never do any harm by nonconcurring because that does nothing.

Substitute for Substitute Moved—Edwin L. Jones, Sr.

Edwin L. Jones, Sr. (Western North Carolina—SE): I move a substitute to the substitute.

On the last line, "Retain the Jurisdictional system as a whole," I move we add to that, "Retain the Regional Jurisdictions."

Leonard Slutz: I will accept that.

Committee Accepts Substitute

Francis H. Faber: We will accept that.

Report No. 31, As Amended, Adopted

Bishop Garrison: The Committee accepts. We vote to retain the geographic—the regional Jurisdictions.

As many as will approve this, lift the hand. Down. Those who are opposed? It is approved. (DCA, page 634; see Appendix, page 1350.)

Report No. 32, Committee on Conferences, Adopted

Francis H. Faber: Calendar 167, Report No. 32. You are handling 370 Memorials in this one report. We vote concurrence in principle in view of the fact that your General Conference has adopted a plan for the elimination of the Central Jurisdiction. We vote concurrence. Are you ready?

Bishop Garrison: As many as approve, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 634; see Appendix, page 1351.)

Report No. 33, Committee on Conferences, Adopted

Francis H. Faber: Calendar 168, Report No. 33, the same thing. Memorials that came in later. We vote concurrence.

Bishop Garrison: Are you ready? As many as approve, lift the hand. Those opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 634; see Appendix, page 1352.)

Report No. 36, Committee on Conferences, Adopted

Francis H. Faber: Turn with me now to page 432, Calendar 195, Report No. 36. You will remember that we had a discussion on this just the other day. We urge a re-examination of the proposal for the new Constitution for the proposed United Methodist Church in merging with the Evangelical United Brethren Church with a view to conforming such plan to the action of the General Conference to be taken for the elimination of the Central Jurisdiction. We move concurrence.

Inquiry—Wilbur C. Ziegler

Wilbur C. Ziegler (New England—NE): A question just to be sure.

This is not a reconsideration of 1-A on page 319, Amendment to the Church Union report, is it?

Reply

Francis H. Faber: No. This was asked before the report came to the floor. We are talking about the same thing.

We move concurrence.

Bishop Garrison: Are you ready? As many as will concur, lift the hand. Those who are opposed? It is approved. (DCA, page 634; see Appendix, page 1352.)

Report No. 38, Committee on Conferences, Adopted

Francis H. Faber: Calendar Item 197, Report No. 38. This is a continuation of the Commission on Jurisdictional Relations which was passed a few minutes ago under the General Conference heading, to develop better understanding of the issues involved and encouraging progress in the elimination of the Central Jurisdiction. We vote concurrence.

Bishop Garrison: As many as will approve, lift the hand. Down. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 635; see Appendix, page 1353.)

Report No. 40, Committee on Conferences, Adopted

Francis H. Faber: No. 199, Report No. 40, subject is to abolish the Central Jurisdictional Deaconess Association. The members of this group have asked us to eliminate it and let their members become members of the overlapping geographical associations.

We move concurrence.

Bishop Garrison: Are you ready? As many as approve, lift the hand. Opposed? It is approved. (DCA, page 635; see Appendix, page 1354.)

Report No. 18, Committee on Conferences, Adopted—John R. Wilkins

John R. Wilkins (California-Nevada—W): The first report is on page 184, Calendar Item No. 20, report of the Committee No. 18. I was hoping we would get at this one before the Bishops began drifting back onto the platform.

This was a Memorial requesting a prohibition on the voting in Annual Conferences relative to an invitation for the Bishops to be

reassigned to the respective area at Jurisdictional Conferences.

We substituted and inserted the words there in column 2 that are quoted from the Study of the General Superintendency of The Methodist Church by the Coordinating Council. With that substitution, we therefore recommend concurrrence.

Bishop Garrison: Are you ready? As many as will approve, lift the hand. Opposed? It is approved. (DCA, page 635; see Appendix, page 1340.)

Report No. 21, Committee on Conferences, Presented

John R. Wilkins: Next on page 259. This is a result of considerable discussion related to a large number of Memorials of various kinds dealing with the matter of membership in the Annual Conference. There are many Memorials that came from various parts of the nation. Some of them proposed that the Conference Lay Leader, the Associate Lay Leader, all District Lay Leaders, assistant District Lay Leaders, automatically be members of Annual Conferences. We had perhaps eight or ten varieties of proposals, the most numerous, and the two that ran through all these Memorials dealt with the possibility of having the President of the Conference Woman's Society of Christian Service, and the Conference Lay Leader to be members of the Annual Conference. Whether they were elected by their Churches or not.

This involves a constitutional matter. One paragraph described the membership of the Conference. Then there was an Amendment No. 10, found on page 27 of the *Discipline* which was an Amendment to the original Constitutional statement.

The proposal here which we felt we wanted to draft so this particular question could be put before you in a legal form, would change Amendment 10, inserting in the lines indicated the names of the Conference President of the Woman's Society of Christian Service and the Conference Lay Leader.

And there was also a proposal that came related to the same matter. Amendment 10 dealt with the question of having an additional lay member of Annual Conference if a local charge had two or more full-time pastors who were members of the Conference.

We had many of these which dealt with the endeavor to try to equalize lay and ministerial members in the Conference, which was difficult.

This proposes the Amendment, "there shall be as many lay members as there are effective full-time ministers in full connection."

That would mean if a large Church had six full-time ministers on its staff it would be entitled to six lay delegates to Annual Conference. That actually is the substance of this proposed Amendment.

We thought there was enough common interest to it so that we should draft this and bring it to you here for your consideration.

The motion is concurrence as it is drafted.

Division of Question Suggested—D. Trigg James, Sr.

D. Trigg James, Sr.: For the convenience of the House, Mr. Chairman, I suggest that we divide this question and deal with the two separately.

Inquiry—Robert W. Moon

Robert W. Moon (California-Nevada—W): Is it not in order also to make two Amendments out of it? An Amendment should deal with only one subject and it is possible when we send it back to the

Annual Conferences they might want to approve one and not the other.

Reply—John R. Wilkins

John R. Wilkins: This could be sent to the Committee on Judicial Administration and Enabling Acts with that instruction because that is the committee to draft the final form for submission.

Inquiry—Thomas Cromwell

Thomas Cromwell (North-East Ohio—NC): I would like to ask the Chairman a question. You are now allowing the President of the Woman's Society and Conference Lay Leader to be members of the Annual Conference. Did you discuss the fact that a layman might be the Chairman of any one of a number of the major boards of a Conference and not be a member of the Annual Conference which creates some problems? In other words, take the Board of Missions, Board of Education, Board of Evangelism. The minister, of course is a member of the Conference, but if a layman is chairman of the Board, I think what you are getting at is getting these people who are heads of important organizations as members of the Annual Conference.

What about the others if they happen to be laymen?

Reply—John R. Wilkins

John R. Wilkins: We had numerous requests. One proposal stated that all General Conference and Jurisdictional Conference delegates should automatically be members of the Conference. I think that this particular thing thought that these two offices represented a General Conference-wide program responsibility, a little different from the other boards.

Committee Chairman Requested to Divide Question

Bishop Garrison: May the Chair make a suggestion?

Dr. Trigg James proposed that we divide this, and it seems that would be wise, to divide it in discussion so we are not talking about first one and then the other.

Will you, Dr. James, indicate how you would like this divided?

D. Trigg James, Sr.: Could I suggest that we deal with the top of Column 2 and discuss only the part down to that first paragraph, concluding with "and the Conference Lay Leader" and discuss the first paragraph in Column 2.

Amendment Offered—Mrs. Rex Pope

Mrs. Rex Pope (North Indiana—NC): I would like to make an Amendment and if I have a second, I would like to speak to it. Delete the Conference President of the Woman's Society and the Conference Lay Leader.

Bishop Garrison: That is the entire subject before us.

Mrs. Pope: All right, I would like to remove that from the recommendation as it stands.

Bishop Garrison: You are really speaking against the acceptance, then?

Mrs. Pope: Yes, sir. I would like to speak to it. The Woman's Society is an organization within an organization, and our first allegiance is to our parent organization, The Methodist Church. Most members of the Woman's Society, and certainly all men for whom the Conference Lay Leaders speak, are quite adequately represented in the Annual Conference by the local lay delegates. This change would, to some extent, mean double representation and open the door for representation by every organization of the Church.

The Woman's Society and lay leaders are both privileged to serve on Conference Committees and may be heard on the Conference floor, but the final decision on affairs of the Church should be entirely by vote of lay delegates, not by organizations.

Statement Opposing Amendment—D. Stewart Patterson

D. Stewart Patterson (Baltimore—NE): I am speaking in favor of the legislation as proposed, that we do include in the membership the President of the Woman's Society of Christian Service and the Conference Lay Leader. These are responsible leaders within our Annual Conferences. I do not know the practice across the Church, but I suspect they are somewhat alike, namely: Often when the Conference Lay Leader has been so elected his local church feels he is already in the Annual Conference as a member, thereby elects someone else as the lay member from that local church.

Here is a man who has been chosen by the laymen of the Conference and I think the same thing might apply to the President of the Woman's Society of Christian Service. These are people who represent leadership in the Annual Conference. These are people who give strength to the Annual Conference, and even by these additions, we are still very low in the representation of the laity at the Annual Conference.

You have as effective members of the Annual Conference many ministers who are on special appointments.

We have no equalization among the laity, and I hope this Conference will give additional lay representation to make effective the voice of the laity within the Annual Conference.

Statement Favoring Amendment—Leon W. Bouton

Leon W. Bouton (Wyoming—NE): I am opposed to this legislation unless we allow the approved supply preachers, or whatever they are called, who are experienced, ordained, have a vote in the Annual Conference on major questions, excepting election of delegates to General and Jurisdictional Conferences, and to ministerial orders and relationships.

Previous Question Moved—Arthur B. Colley

Arthur B. Colley (Western Pennsylvania—NE): I move the previous question on that Amendment.

Bishop Garrison: I am sorry, that is out of order. We only had one speech for and two against.

Statement Supporting Committee Report—Lewis Beckford

Lewis H. Beckford (Maine—NE): I am speaking in favor of the Committee's recommendation. We have in our Annual Conference votes that are based on ministerial members and lay members. Among those ministerial members are quite a number of ministers who are

in special appointment. They have all the opportunities of voting in Annual Conference, and in most instances there is no comparable layman to the vote that they bring, the ministerial side of the Annual Conference.

It would seem that the bringing into voting position of these two elected persons in our Annual Conference would help to create more nearly a balance between the number of lay and ministerial delegates in our Annual Conference voting. I would therefore support the committee's view.

Previous Question Moved—W. Davis Cotton

W. Davis Cotton (Louisiana—SC): I now move the previous question as relates to these two positions we are now voting for.

Bishop Garrison: The previous question is called on just this part; the Conference President of the Woman's Society of Christian Service and the Conference Lay Leader. May I remind you, you are voting on a Constitutional Amendment and it will require two-thirds vote. Does the Chairman care to speak?

Inquiry—John R. Wilkins

John R. Wilkins (California-Nevada—W): I want to ask a question. Did the lady's Amendment to delete, is that before us or are you voting on the recommendation?

Bishop Garrison: We determined she was simply speaking against your motion.

Summary Statement From Committee—John R. Wilkins

John R. Wilkins: I think the only thing I would say, the vote in the committee was 65 for, 24 against, and two abstaining. I have no further comment.

Bishop Garrison: All right, are you ready? As many as will approve the recommendation of the committee, lift the hand.

What is the point of order just a moment.

Point of Order—Charles W. Kessler

Charles W. Kessler (Troy—NE): You have not yet voted the previous question.

Previous Question Voted

Bishop Garrison: I thought we did. As many as will call for the previous question, lift the hand. Down. Those opposed. Thank you very much for that correction.

We are ready to vote. (DCA, page 636.)

Comment—John H. Rixse, Jr.

John H. Rixse, Jr. (Virginia—SE): The observation was made which ought to be called to the attention of the Conference that there is a report recommending a similar constitutional amendment with respect to the approved supplies.

Bishop Garrison: We are not dealing with that now, I think.

Are you ready? As many as will approve—I am reminded that this takes a count vote, since this is a Constitutional Amendment.

Point of Order—John R. Van Sickle

John R. Van Sickle (Rock River—NE): According to the Constitution, we do not have to take a count vote unless it is ordered that way. It says an Amendment to the Constitution shall be made on two-thirds vote of the body present, and two-thirds majority—and so on. That is on page 13 of the *Discipline*. We do not have to take a count vote unless it is ordered.

Point of Order—Fred B. Noble

Fred B. Noble (Florida—SE): Aren't we in error in saying the vote on this takes two-thirds? Don't we perfect the Amendment we are going to submit and then vote on that as a matter of two-thirds? That is a question, a point of order.

Bishop Garrison: I understood we divided the matter and it will be presented as two Amendments, therefore, it would need to be on a two-thirds majority.

I think the Chair is correct, but we perfect it and take the vote on it.

All right, we are voting on page 259, the middle column, the first black-faced type. We are proposing to say "the Conference President of the Woman's Society of Christian Service and the Conference Lay Leader shall be members of the Annual Conference."

That is understood. What goes with it here?

Now, as many as will approve, lift the hand. Those who disapprove. I don't know whether it is two-thirds. I am informed that there is a Judicial decision which requires a count vote.

Instructions for Count Vote Given by Secretary

Leon T. Moore: Mr. Chairman, the tellers are listed on group B, page 94, regular, please take their places.

Bishop Garrison: Let's prepare to take the vote. Please give me your attention. May I have your attention, please. Let's make this clear and save time. If you are voting in favor of this Committee Report, this means that you are voting to provide a Constitutional Amendment that will add the Conference President of the Woman's Society and the Conference Lay Leader to the Annual Conference.

As many as will do that, stand.

Now, those who are opposed, please stand. Please remain standing until you are counted.

Constitutional Amendment Carries

Leon T. Moore: Mr. Chairman, members, there were 845 votes cast. Two-thirds of that would be 564.

There was 575 for and 270 against.

Bishop Garrison: All right. May we move to the second section.

Over here. We are under the previous question now, No. 5, privileged motion.

Motion to Suspend Rules—James S. Chubb

James S. Chubb (Nebraska—SC): I move that we suspend the rules and while vote is being counted, continue with the business of the House.

Bishop Garrison: The motion is that we suspend the rules and—while the voting is being counted and continue with the business before the House.

As many as will suspend the rules, show the hands. Opposed? They are suspended. (DCA, page 637.)

Inquiry Relative to Requirement for Count Vote—Chesley C. Herbert, Jr.

Chesley C. Herbert, Jr. (Western North Carolina—SE): It was stated that the Judicial Council had ruled that it was necessary to take a count vote, but on page 2313 of the *Discipline*, there are two footnotes under the heading of Amendments to the Constitution, "Judicial Council decisions 132, and 154. Neither of those refer to a count vote. Has there been a Judicial Council decision since the publication of the 1960 *Discipline* to that effect?

Bishop Garrison: Dr. Leiffer, can you answer that question?

Dr. Leiffer: Yes, there has been. There were reasons that there has been—I do not have the number here, but it has been since the last published volume of Judicial Council Decisions. This was furnished to Leon Hickman and it is the reason that he found it necessary to call for a count vote after the Chair had ruled that a two-thirds vote by show of hands had already been voted.

The necessity for the count vote also is shown by the closeness with which a vote can come to the small margin. If a count vote is not taken, it might subsequently be challenged. The vote must also be published. It is not simply to be counted, but published.

Summary Statement From Committee on 2nd Section—John R. Wilkins

John R. Wilkins: Just the first sentence of that second section so that would add as many lay members as there are effective full-time ministers in full connection. Unless you wish to include both, the other is an editorial thing that would delete the words "one of the constituent churches forming this union" which was in the original *Discipline* of 1940.

The committee felt that could be deleted.

Inquiry—David E. Lindstrom

David E. Lindstrom (Central Illinois—NC): I raised the question as to whether or not the Churches from which supply pastors come do not send lay members to the Conference; and therefore, if that is the case, the lay members have a majority of about 30 per cent, almost 30 per cent in all Conferences.

John R. Wilkins: We have no figures to answer that question.

Inquiry for Clarification—J. Henry Ernst

J. Henry Ernst (Pacific Northwest—W): I am a little disturbed here, Mr. Chairman. As I understand this part that we are voting on now, in the second sentence, change the words "two lay members" to read "as many lay members as there are effective full-time ministers in full connection." This refers to a large church which has three ministers, but according to the *Discipline* now, can it have only two lay members of the Annual Conference?

This was designed so that the large church like this, if they had

five full-time ministers could also have five lay members from that Church. This is the legislation which came to us, and this is the way we tried to answer that particular question, feeling that that church deserved as many lay members as they had full-time ministerial members. Is this not right?

John R. Wilkins: Right.

Bishop Garrison: In this case, we are now discussing representation from the point of view of the local church, and not the balance in the Annual Conference.

John R. Wilkins: The sentence would read: "Each pastoral charge served by more than one minister in full connection shall be entitled to as many lay members as there are effective full-time ministers in full-connection."

Statement Favoring Proposal—Leonard Slutz

Leonard Slutz (Ohio—NC): I believe the first speech was against the proposal. I will be for it and I will be so brief we can get two speeches in a hurry. The doctor did point out that there are charges served by a supply with a lay member to Annual Conference but no ministerial member. On the other hand, I point out there are quite a number of retired ministerial members of the Conference who do vote, and I think they, plus the special appointment ministers presently outnumber the laymen in most Annual Conferences, and many laymen don't come and participate as they should. This does give us more nearly fair representation. A large Church with hundreds of members now has but two members, whereas the small church has one. I think it is in order to make this Amendment and I support it.

Statement Opposing Report—Ray Pengra

Ray Pengra (North Dakota—NC): There is another problem in connection with this Amendment which has not been considered and would be vital in our particular Conference.

We have one Church in our Conference which is in a position to hire three pastors. This would give that one Church six votes, then, in the control of the Conference; compared to many, as you pointed out, which have only one instead of the usual two, and would give Fargo altogether too much control as far as smaller people are concerned. We are not much impressed.

I am sure if the Fargo representative were here, he might not feel as I do, but nevertheless I feel this could easily get out of hand.

Also, as far as balancing the lay and ministerial membership of the Conference, you are never going to get all the Conferences balanced because we do not have that kind of balance. We have a lot more accepted supplies than some of you do. Some of you have a lot more retired ministers than we do, and there is no hope of balancing them exactly and I don't think this should ever be a problem to us. I suggest we leave the *Discipline* as it is in this place and not make this Amendment.

Statement Opposing Proposal—J. K. Forbes

James K. Forbes (Indiana—NC): The Indiana Conference which might well make the same kind of appeal as has just been made here in the last speech because, as we analyze ourselves, we have a supply pastor situation where there are practically as many supply pastoral appointments as there are effective men in appointment. Therefore, it might be logical that I would argue in behalf of this man's

argument. However, there are other considerations. One has already been mentioned as regards to the number of retired ministers, a part of the Annual Conference.

A second, I think, must call attention in this Conference, and that is rapid urbanization of life on the American scene which must be given consideration in the whole of Methodism.

We must, as people, move out of the realm of psychology which makes us think only in terms of the rural church, and we now need some leadership from urban centers which can well be supplied from some of the larger places of appointment. These are entirely vital to the leadership of the Annual Conference and must be given recognition.

I believe there is a further point to be considered here in the proposition of the financial support of the Church which comes in large part from many of the larger appointment possibilities. Therefore, I would support the Constitutional Amendment as presented by the Committee.

Previous Question Moved—Lyle H. Truax

Lyle H. Truax (Pacific Northwest—W): I move the previous question.

Bishop Garrison: As many as will call for the previous question, lift the hand. Those opposed? We are now on the previous question. (DCA, page 638.)

We need a count vote.

You are voting on a Constitutional Amendment that requires a two-thirds vote, and you are saying a church shall have as many lay members in membership in the Annual Conference as it has on its staff full-time ministers—ministers in full-time connection.

This is effective full-time ministers. Full connection.

Request for Wording of Proposal—Cecil F. Ristow

Cecil F. Ristow (Pacific Northwest—W): I would like a ruling as to the exact wording of what we are voting on. It says "each pastoral charge served by more than one minister in full connection shall be entitled to as many lay members as there are effective full-time ministers in full connection."

That would be the entire membership of the Conference.

Bishop Garrison: I think we have in the record here this has been construed to mean in regard to the staff of the local church.

As many as will approve this proposed constitutional Amendment, stand.

Have you completed the check on the "yes" vote?

Those who are opposed, stand. We need this count. Please remain standing.

I want to be sure that the ballots have all been collected. We are under suspension of rules which permits us to go on with this. I am not sure that the ballots are collected.

Request for Report on Judicial Council Ballot—John R. Van Sickle

John R. Van Sickle: Do we have another report of the Judicial Council?

Bishop Garrison: Yes.

Would you rather finish the reports?

Mr. Van Sickle: I would rather take another ballot, if necessary.

Bishop Garrison: We will hear from the Secretary. We can take that by general consent.

Secretary Reports on Judicial Council Ballot

Leon T. Moore: There were 782 ballots cast, one invalid; necessary to elect, 391. Samuel Witwer received 516.

Bishop Garrison: Let's continue with these while the ballots are being counted.

Proposal for Editing Amendment—D. Trigg James

D. Trigg James: In the present paragraph, there is a brief portion of the sentence referring to the uniting Churches which is no longer relative to the situation, and these are the words: "One of the constituent churches forming this union or" and we would like you by common consent to allow us to include those under this last vote as a part of that Amendment which is only a deletion of those lines from this paragraph, Amendment 10, and they are words found on the top of page 28 and have no need to be there.

That is what we have been advised by the editing group and it would be all right if you grant consent for that to be done under this last vote.

Bishop Garrison: Is there any objection to this? We will take it by common consent.

Report No. 47, Committee on Conferences, Adopted

John R. Wilkins: Turn to page 433, Calendar No. 206, Committee Report No. 47.

We had requests coming to the committee from two Annual Conferences indicating that in the Paragraph 931 of the *Discipline* where it specifies—there shall be three reserves for the Annual Conference committee on Investigation, that they would like to have that increased to five. We thought it wasn't too significant for others and if some would like to have that raised to five, we would recommend that that be increased to five, so we are therefore recommending this for adoption.

Bishop Garrison: Are you ready to vote?

As many as will approve, lift the hand. Those who are opposed. It is approved. (DCA, page 638; see Appendix, page 1357.)

Report No. 49, Committee on Conferences, Adopted

John R. Wilkins: The next is at the bottom of the page Calendar 208, going onto the next page, we had requests to the effect that the specifications indicating the constituency of the Annual Conference Board of Christian Social Concerns states that the additional lay members shall be made up equally of men and women. They have indicated that is difficult always to do that and they wanted that "equally" deleted.

We felt that that was not exactly what they wanted, what we wanted to recommend, so we are recommending the insertion of the word "approximately."

Bishop Garrison: Are you ready to approve?

Hold up the hand. Opposed? It is approved. (DCA, page 638; see Appendix, page 1357.)

Chairman of Committee Chairmen Resumes Agenda—Willis M. Tate

Willis M. Tate: Mr. Chairman, we have some more, but there are no constitutional Amendments and no controversial matters. These are housekeeping matters and if you are patient, it will save us a lot of time tomorrow night.

If this is in order—

Bishop Garrison: We have not had the count.

Report on Constitutional Amendment Vote

Leon T. Moore: Mr. Chairman, members of the Conference, total vote was 784, the two-thirds was 582, there were 732 in the affirmative and 52 in the negative.

Bishop Garrison: The proposed Constitutional Amendment is approved. (DCA, page 638.)

Presentation of Reports Resumed

Willis M. Tate: I think you have adopted a motion that the rules be suspended and we can consider anything printed in this morning's *Advocate.*

I would like to call on Dr. Douglas Jackson to give our report on the Committee on Education.

Motion to Reconsider Vote on Nonconcurrence Memorials—Lester L. Moore

Lester L. Moore (South Iowa—NC): Mr. Chairman, I would like for us to reconsider this motion that we adopted some time ago, I am not sure how long ago, to vote nonconcurrence to the 250 reports of Memorials so that I might amend one report for a referral.

Bishop Garrison: The motion is to reconsider. Is this seconded?

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Garrison: As many as would reconsider, lift the hand. The motion did not prevail.

Report No. 11, Committe on Education, Presented—Douglas E. Jackson

Douglas E. Jackson (Louisiana—SC): Report No. 11, Committee on Education, Calendar 170, page 359, in the *Daily Christian Advocate* for May 5, 1964. There are certain changes, some typographical, some Amendments that came in late.

At the bottom of Column 1, page 359 add to the Memorials Nos. 1235 and 3247.

On Column 2, same page, halfway down the first long paragraph in the bold face type, the word "provided" should be "produced."

On page 360, column 3, line 23, it reads "in subparagraph 2, line 5" and it should be "line 6."

On line 33, which reads, "145.3 shall be considered" is now changed to "are recommended."

First column next page, 361, line 23, third line under arabic number 3, "shall be considered" is changed to "are recommended."

Second column, two thirds of the way down, paragraph 1289, there is some difference in nomenclature here from the description of the same agency in previously adopted legislation connected with the Board of Missions. This will be harmonized by the Editor on correlation of the *Discipline*.

On page 362, second column, delete lines 12 to 20. This begins, "replace present paragraph" and runs through the bold face type ending "provided by the division."

In Column 3, delete starting with line 12, Paragraph 1401.4 Division of the Local Church through line 23 ending with the words "undertaking these programs."

On the next page, page 363, line 21, insert between Paragraph 1404.4 and the following line, this in line 1, after Conference, insert "(and staff, if any)."

In line 33, following the word "conference" you will have the same legend "(and staff, if any)" as just given.

Second column, line 7, delete "one or."

Line 8, insert the following at the end of the sentence, "In overall planning, the committee shall cooperate with its constituent agencies in the development of programs so that reciprocal entries shall be maintained." This does not change the meaning of anything.

In the third column insert in the proper place just above Paragraph 1451, following the full paragraph of bold face type, "Paragraph 1446." Delete the last sentence, which reads: "All checks must be countersigned by the Executive Secretary or some other person duly authorized by the Board."

That paragraph then will read in full, "The President shall be a presiding, not administrative, officer. The treasurer, who shall be adequately bonded, shall receive and receipt for all funds of the Board and disburse them by check and as ordered by the Board."

Farther down that column, there is a paragraph that starts, "in subparagraph 1, line 10, change B. to read C. and delete that entire paragraph.

That ends the Amendments for this particular Memorial. This Memorial is the Housekeeping Memorial of the Board of Education. It is largely set for one or two items from the action of the General Board of Education. Most of it has to do with minor Amendments and changes in structure of the Board of Education. If you have any questions, we would like to answer them. We assume you have read this lengthy Memorial and therefore we will not explain it item by item.

Procedural Inquiry—Clare N. Pettit

Clare N. Pettit (California-Nevada—W): I want to ask a question of the procedure, if I may.

Line 2, page 361, the Amendment to be added to Paragraph 1160. This is on page 37, *Daily Christian Advocate*.

In the second column, page 361, it begins, "At the conclusion of the present paragraph 1160, add these words: "The Commission shall serve as an agency through its member boards"—et cetera, which authorizes the Interboard Commission to go into the business of producing film strips, manuals, and all sorts of guiding and training materials. There was an identical Memorial presented to the Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy and unanimously voted down.

What should I do at this point, should I move to strike out, or should I ask that it be brought up at the time the other Memorial is presented? I ask the advice of the Chair.

Bishop Garrison: Let me ask the Chairman whether he knows if there has been clearance between the two committees.

Douglas Jackson: We had no knowledge of such a Memorial going to Lay Activities. We assumed this was sent only to us and we acted in that fashion.

Clare N. Pettit: There was such a Memorial unanimously defeated.

Bishop Garrison: Since we are on this report, I think you would need to move to strike out or substitute.

Motion to Delete—Clare N. Pettit

Clare N. Pettit: All right. If I may make a motion on this point on your advice, I would move to strike out all of that beginning with paragraph 1160 which revises the wording in that paragraph.

Bishop Garrison: Is there a second?

The motion was seconded.

Clare N. Pettit: If I may speak to the point, it seemed to us in our discussion in the Committee of Lay Activities and Temporal Economy that it is unnecessary to establish another agency which is going to print materials of all kinds, provide materials of all kinds. The constituent boards have been operating in cooperation through this committee for some time.

To make a duplication of staff, of expenditures, of all the things that it would require for this Commission to go into production of materials would be an unnecessary addition to the expense of operation of the General Conference.

I am just debating with myself now whether to send my material home by plane and walk, or send myself home by plane and ship it. We already have so much on hand.

The net result of this would simply be to increase the cost of production, increase the amount of materials received. It is an unnecessary expense and is fully covered by the constituent boards in their joint production of materials to date.

Statement of Clarification—Donald E. Redmond

Donald E. Redmond (Southwest Texas—SC): I believe the previous speaker has a misapprehension as to the purpose of this. Section 1160 deals specifically with an Interboard Commission of the Local Church, which is made up of staff representatives and other elected persons from the agencies. It does not envisage the addition of other materials, but enables them to do by our direction what informally they are already doing for the quadrennium.

Thomas L. Cromwell (North-East Ohio—NC): The Committee on Missions had this same resolution. I am too tired to remember what we did, but I think we agreed with it.

If Dr. Clapsaddle is here, he could tell. Apparently it went to several committees.

Some may have agreed; others not. I think Don Redmond explained it.

Douglas Jackson: I would like the privilege of having Dr. Leon Adkins speak to this.

Explanation Given by Leon Adkins

Leon Adkins: Paragraph 1160 deals with the Interboard Commis-

sion on the Local Church, which was set up in 1952. There are working, of the five boards that represent the five Commissions, five staff persons, one respectively from each of the component boards. These boards work together cooperatively. This is designed so that they may proceed in the development of materials appropriate for their boards with the least amount of ignorance possible of what is going on in the other boards as they have worked with the Commission.

This does not envision a joint printing enterprise, but rather a joint understanding as they proceed to prepare materials for Commissions in the local church and for the Official Board.

Inquiry—A. L. Jones

A. L. Jones (Missouri East—SC): Page 362, middle column. Are you deleting all of the present paragraph 1368?

Reply

Bishop Garrison: Just now, we are dealing with Paragraph 1160 on page 361, sir. That has to do with Paragraph 1160. This is what we are about to vote on.

May we take this motion to delete? The motion now before you is to delete. As many as will support the motion—

Clare N. Pettit: My motion was to delete the Amendment, not my intent to remove the section from the *Discipline.*

Bishop Garrison: But, as I understand it, you are deleting that which is printed in black-faced type.

Clare N. Pettit: That is correct.

Motion to Delete Defeated

Bishop Garrison: I think the Conference understands that. As many as will delete, lift the hand. Those opposed? It is not deleted. (DCA, page 639.)

Are we on the motion for the report as a whole?

Just a moment. The motion is on the approval of the report as a whole.

Inquiry—A. L. Jones

A. L. Jones: Column 2, page 364, at the bottom, paragraph 1575 has to do with the Commission on Church Union. It is my impression that this Conference has eliminated the Commission on Church Union, and shouldn't that be eliminated from this report?

Douglas Jackson: This legislation was prepared prior to that action. We will harmonize it with that action. We are not trying to reconsider.

Motion for Previous Question—John R. Van Sickle

John R. Van Sickle: At this time of night, I am tired of housekeeping, I move the previous question.

Bishop Garrison: I am sorry. You are out of order. You made a speech.

Inquiry—Marion Brown

Marion Brown (Ohio—NC): I have been trying desperately to find some of the things that we spent so much time within our division. I would like to ask a question concerning page 362, the third column, paragraph 1404.4. I believe this entire paragraph was deleted and referred to a study committee of which I haven't been able to find all of our Recommendations for the study of the Director of Christian Education and Educational Assistant as it is related to other Church Lay Career workers. I fail to find that whole thing, but I do know that that particular paragraph was definitely deleted.

Reply—Douglas Jackson

Douglas Jackson: The answer is that that particular Memorial she is referring to, Director of Christian Education and others, will be in tomorrow's *Daily Christian Advocate.* This particular housekeeping bill was adopted before that was considered. There may be inconsistencies that will be called for revision at a later date.

Motion for Previous Question—Vincent M. Mouser

Vincent M. Mouser (Louisiana—SC): I move the previous question on all that is before us.

Point of Order—Ted Hightower

Ted Hightower (Louisville—SE): I hate to do this to anybody and since this is a time when many of our colleagues are out of place, this happened so frequently, I want to rise to protest your accepting a motion for previous question when the man has been promised the floor over here and has been standing some while and he has an Amendment that he was promised he might bring up at a later time. I think it is wrong to close debate when you have a promise to hear this.

Bishop Garrison: May we hear what the statement was. I have no memory of it. I don't want to be unjust.

Inquiry

Delegate: I have a question that I wanted to ask.
Middle column, page 362. Are you deleting all of the present paragraph 1368?

Reply—Douglas Jackson

Douglas Jackson: I intended to answer that before we took a vote. We are deleting the entire paragraph 1368. The pertinent material which we wish to leave in is moved up to 1367.2, immediately above.

Bishop Garrison: Thank you. I am delighted to hear people who are reading this report at this hour of the night. With the previous question called for, are you ready? As many as will support the previous question, lift the hand. Those opposed, the same sign. We are on the previous question.

Summary Statement—Douglas Jackson

Douglas Jackson: I believe this is a well prepared report, recom-

mended to us by the General Board of Education. It has been carefully gone over. I recommend it in its entirety.

Bishop Garrison: As many as will approve the report, lift the hand. Those opposed. It is approved. (DCA, page 639; see Appendix, page 1377.)

Motion to Adjourn—Willis M. Tate

Willis M. Tate: Bishop, the Conference has been in good order tonight. You have done your homework well, and I think we are well along and I move we adjourn.

Announcements

The Secretary made several Announcements.

Bishop Garrison: May I thank you for your great courtesy to the Chair this evening and to Bishop Raines who backed me up here.

I will see you tomorrow morning at the Communion Service in the Smithfield Church at 7:00 A.M.

I would like to call on Bishop Pedro Zottele of Chile for the benediction.

Benediction—Bishop Pedro Zottele

Bishop Zottele: I pronounce the benediction in my language.

The benediction was presented in Spanish.

ELEVENTH DAY, THURSDAY, MAY 7, 1964
MORNING SESSION

Pursuant to Adjournment the 1964 General Conference of The Methodist Church convened in the Morning Session of the Eleventh Day, Thursday, May 7, 1964 at 8:30 A.M., Bishop Prince A. Taylor, Jr., presiding.

Bishop Taylor: Let us now be in order.

Bishop Fred G. Holloway will lead us in our devotions.

Bishop Holloway: We shall arise and sing hymn No. 120, "We May Not Climb the Heavenly Steeps," and remain standing for the Lord's Prayer.

Bishop Holloway then read Scripture from the sixth chapter of St. Luke following which he delivered the Devotional address. (See Appendix, page 2245.)

Bishop Taylor: Thank you, Bishop Holloway, for this most provocative and moving devotional service.

There is no disposition to rush over any of the legislation or the issues, but to give them adequate treatment in this General Conference. You recognize the time limit under which we work, I am sure, and I would like to ask for your kind cooperation this morning as we move to the agenda. You will realize, also, that we have the three-minute limit on speeches. May I suggest that before you make a speech, ask yourself the question, "Is this speech really necessary?"

You have three minutes. May I urge you that if you can say it in two minutes or one minute, do the Conference a kindness by doing it.

Let us hear the report of the Committee on Journal.

Report of Committee on Journal—William M. James

William M. James (New York—NE): Mr. Chairman, members of the Conference, the Committee on Journal has examined the Journal record for the morning session of Tuesday, May 5, and has found it correct except for minor editorial changes. These corrections are being made by the *Journal* secretary. I move the adoption.

Bishop Taylor: Those in favor of adoption of the report, lift the hand. Those opposed. It is adopted. (DCA, page 641.)

Report of Committee on Credentials

Paul M. Hann (South Iowa—NC): Mr. Chairman, the Committee on Credentials has met and approved the changes made in the seating of delegates reported by the Annual Conferences for May 6 and 7. The delegates' names will appear in proper form in the *Journal.* I move adoption of the report.

Bishop Taylor: The report is before you.

As many as will adopt it, lift the hand. Those opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 641.)

Report of Committee on Agenda—J. Otis Young

J. Otis Young (Ohio—NC): Mr. Chairman, members of the Conference, two announcements, first the meeting of the Agenda Committee following the noon recess—noon adjournment, I should say. The other announcement is if you have any item that should be on the agenda before this General Conference closes, would you see me on the platform at recess time?

With the cooperation of Dr. Tate, Chairman of the Committee of Chairmen, let me indicate to you something of what our plan is. It is our hope that we shall continue throughout this day—part of the morning, all of the afternoon, all of the evening, hammering out our legislation, and then we shall hope to complete with legislation this evening and come back tomorrow morning for a great inspirational meeting that we may adjourn this Conference not under the pressure of legislative committees reporting, but under the inspiration of a statement, a challenge from the Council of Bishops.

Now, Mr. Chairman, the Agenda for this day is found on the cover of the *Daily Christian Advocate*. There is one item to be added. Following the 10:45 period you will add the final report of the Council on World Service and Finance.

Mr. Chairman, I move adoption of this agenda for the morning, afternoon, and evening sessions.

Bishop Taylor: The agenda is before you.

As many as will adopt it, lift the hand. Those opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 641.)

Report of Committees on Courtesies and Privileges

John B. Jones (Baltimore—NE): We would like to have the opportunity at this time of making a statement through Bishop Eugene M. Frank of appreciation for the press coverage.

Statement of Appreciation for Press Coverage—Bishop Eugene M. Frank

Bishop Frank: Methodist Information and Public Relations has not asked for a period on the agenda of the General Conference to make any kind of report. We do appreciate your wonderful support in the honoring of Dr. Ralph Stoody who retires this year as the director of Methodist Information, replaced by Dr. Arthur West. We would like to offer this little resolution of appreciation to the Press who have covered the activities, the work, the interpretation of the news of the Conference.

"Behind the scenes of a General Conference organization there is a vast structure of personnel dedicated to gathering, writing, and interpreting the news of the Conference. From coast to coast, International news services, daily papers, radio, and TV newsmen, and the church press, have used the press services provided by Methodist Information and TRAFCO. We have been told that the technical arrangements and news interpretation of this Conference have exceeded any other provision in other General Conferences, but this is to pay tribute to a small army of newsmen and women, over 150 of them, who have used our facilities. They have been patient with certain inconvenience. They have sent out millions of words in news of the life and work of The Methodist Church."

It is my privilege to ask the General Conference to pay tribute to the press representatives who are giving wise and intelligent news coverage to our 1964 Conference.

Appreciation Expressed to Chancellor of the University of Pittsburgh

John B. Jones: Bishop, two items of courtesy and then the important thing we have before us. One item of courtesy, Delegate George Proctor of the Alabama-West Florida Conference suggests that the General Conference express appreciation to Dr. and Mrs. Edward Lytchfield, the Chancellor of the University of Pittsburgh for the delightful tour of the University and tea at their home yesterday afternoon.

On behalf of this delegate, I move that we do so.

Bishop Taylor: Those who would express such appreciation, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 642.)

Greetings Sent to "Big Ed Stallings"

John B. Jones: Also a delegate, Hubert Orton (Southern California-Arizona—W), calls our attention to the fact that one known to you as "Big Ed Stallings" who led the singing of this Conference eight years ago and led inspirational singing throughout Methodism, is lying seriously ill from a stroke and he requests that we send greetings, prayers for recovery to him. I so move.

Bishop Taylor: If you will do this, lift the hand. Opposed? Thank you. (DCA, page 642.)

Greetings Sent to Dr. Ezra Cox

John B. Jones: One other courtesy in this line from Bishop Phillips.

Bishop Phillips: Mr. Chairman, friends of the Conference, I should like to move if it were possible, and I hope someone will do it, that we send greetings assuring Dr. Ezra Cox of our affection, admiration and appreciation. Dr. Cox—a note from Mrs. Cox this morning tells us that he is at death's door. As many of you well know and remember, a kind of Will Rogers of Methodism, and one of the great missionary stalwarts of our day and age, a leader to whom we owe much.

I would like to suggest that greetings be sent to Dr. Ezra Cox of the Rocky Mountain Conference.

Bishop Taylor: Those favoring sending the greetings, lift the hand. Opposed? They will be sent. (DCA, page 642.)

Privilege of Floor Request for Bishop Roy Short

John B. Jones: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

What we consider a very important privilege on the part of this Conference is to recognize the men who have served us so well through the years who will be the retiring bishops after this General Conference. We are asking you to give the floor to the Secretary of the Council of Bishops, Bishop Short.

Retiring Bishops Presented

Bishop Short: Mr. Chairman, may I ask these brethren to come and stand right here, if they will?

Mr. Chairman, members of the General Conference, may I say first of all how much we appreciate personally the privilege of paying a brief tribute to these dear brethren in the Council of Bishops.

There are all kinds of ideas abroad in the church about bishops. A few years ago, I had a secretary, a young widow. She had a little girl about four years old. Apparently she would go home and talk to the little girl, make some mention of the bishop, and finally one day she said to me: "Would you mind if I brought Martha down to the office tomorrow? I talk so much about the Bishop, and she would like to see you."

I said, "Surely, bring her down."

The next morning she brought her, a lovely little girl, and she said to Martha, "Sweetheart, this is the Bishop."

A disappointed expression came over her little face, and her mouth puckered up and she said, "I thought it was something like an elephant."

Well, in some cases this is true. Ever since I was a young preacher, I have had a hobby of episcopal biography. It makes very interesting reading. When you study the bishops across the years, you find that there is at least one statement that you can make about all of them, and that is that they are delightfully different. This has always been true in the episcopacy and it is true now and it is true of these brethren to whom we pay our tribute today.

I want to move down the line. The first one here to my right is Bishop Phillips who was elected in 1948, whose earlier ministry was in California; who has served all of these years of service in the episcopacy on the Denver Area and who has given magnificent leadership on many committees and boards of the church.

Next is Bishop Amstutz, who, many years ago, went out to Malaya and had a career of magnificent service there; and for the last eight years, I think it is, has been the bishop of the Singapore Area. I suppose Bishop and Mrs. Amstutz have entertained more of us in their home than any other Bishop and his wife in the world. All of us who have ever been there have known what it is to share the hospitality of their home.

The next is Bishop Nolan Harmon, who has had a great career in the church. He was a leader in the Church, South. For a long time he was the book editor of the church. He has written a great many books. He knows more about church law, I suppose, than any of the rest of us. He has served as the resident Bishop since 1956 of the Charlotte, North Carolina Area.

The next is Bishop William C. Martin, who, for a long time, has been a towering figure in this church of ours. Bishop Martin is the last of the bishops who were Bishops of the church at the time of the Uniting Conference in 1939 to come into retirement, and I suppose that there is no one anywhere who does not love and respect Bishop Martin for the remarkable leadership he has given to the church.

The next man is Bishop Matthew Clair, who was elected in 1952, and who, since that time, has served the St. Louis Area. I think Bishop Clair is the only Bishop in Methodism whose father was also a Bishop, and I tried to find out this morning how many total years of service in the episcopacy that meant, but I was not able to find it, but I think in honoring Bishop Clair today, we honor his great father also.

The next is Bishop Dionisio Alejandro. He is the only one of us who has been elected a Bishop twice. I don't know whether some of the rest of us could do that or not, but he was elected as a Bishop in the Philippines. He went back into the pastorate. They had a term episcopacy there at that time, and again four years ago he was elected to this same office.

The next is Bishop Edgar Love, elected in 1952, who has served the Baltimore Area, who prior to that time had a significant career in the staff of the Board of Missions of our church, and all of us in the council feel very close to him, some of us in particular. He

and I had the joy of working together up in East Tennessee. He is a great co-laborer in the Kingdom.

Next is Bishop Charles W. Brashares. We are always glad when he takes the floor in the Council. In the first place, we never know what he is going to say! And in the second place, we never know how he is going to say it, but he always does it in a most interesting way, and I do think some of the rarest pearls of wisdom that I have heard brought from the lips of any of the brethren I have heard come from Bishop Brashares sometimes in his delightful way of expressing himself.

He said a thing in the Council of Bishops a year ago that I think I will always remember. The more I think about it—he may not remember this—but the more I think about it, the more I recognize the rare wisdom of it. He just was talking off the cuff to us. I don't know whether he remembers it or not, and he said, "Brethren, you know, I have discovered that the best way—one of the best ways to get somewhere is to start in the opposite direction."

Not many of us could have thought that up.

I thought about it over and over again since he said it a year ago. This is one of those things that I said to myself, "I wish I could have said that."

The next is Bishop Marvin Franklin. He and I were consecrated at the same time. He gets to sit down a little sooner than I do, but he has been a great pastor-bishop. I read the *Mississippi Advocate* and every week he writes a little article and tells where he went on Sunday and whom he preached for, and whom he ate dinner with, and so on, even what he had for dinner sometimes; but if any of us have shuttled back and forth across our area Sunday after Sunday, have been in the homes of the preachers and the people in the big churches and little churches, I am sure none of us have exceeded Bishop Franklin in that.

Next is Bishop Marshall Reed who spent all of his ministry in Michigan and who has been the Bishop of the Michigan Area for these last 16 years. This is a rare distinction that comes to few men in the life of the church.

The next is Bishop John A. Subhan, long a distinguished leader in the life of our church in India. He has been in the Hyderabad Area in these late years. I don't know what the area was before that —Bombay.

The next is Bishop Shot K. Mondol who has been on the Delhi Area and before that, Hyderabad. He has been a distinguished leader not only in India, but in all of Asia and who has found his own place in the Council for the Ecumenical Church.

The next is Bishop Edwin Voigt who has been a pastor, and a teacher, and a college president, and who served as the Bishop in the Dakotas upon his election in 1952, and the last four years has been the Bishop in Illinois. All of us will be continually in debt to him for the next half century as we use this *Hymnal* and this *Book of Worship* that bear so indelibly the imprint of his personality and leadership.

The last in line is Bishop Clement D. Rockey. He has retired once or twice before. We have kept calling him back for service in Pakistan, and he has given rare leadership not only to the church in India, but to the church in Pakistan as well.

This is an inadequate tribute to these unusual men who have given so many years of devoted and distinguished service in The Methodist Church that all of us love so dearly. This morning we pay to them our tribute of love and affection and appreciation and wish for them the richest blessings in the years that lie ahead as this journey on an itinerant road continues.

Bishop Taylor: Will you rise and greet your retiring Bishops? (Standing ovation)

Courtesy of Floor Extended to Bishop J. Owen Smith

John B. Jones: Bishop, Mr. Chairman, and members of the Conference, Dr. Trigg James requests this Committee that we give Bishop J. Owen Smith an opportunity of high privilege to correct an impression given in the news media.

I so move.

Bishop Taylor: You have heard the motion. Those who would adopt it, lift the hand. Opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 642.)

Bishop Smith Corrects Error in News Report

Bishop Smith: Mr. Chairman, I can think of a lot of things I'd like to say, and even a story I would like to tell. I shall not do that. I would like to correct, if I could, an impression that is made on the front page of the morning paper. My friends as well as the official body of this General Conference thought I should do it, and I am very happy to try to do it. You will notice on the front page of the morning paper that Bishop John Owen North of the Atlanta Area, and it so happens that my name is Smith. Now just as the name was confused and frustrated, so is the statement. One is about as confused as the other. Without further words, therefore, I say this to you and that will be my complete answer. I note with interest that I was misquoted in the morning paper, May 7th, with regard to my position on admitting people of all races to The Methodist Church.

I believe that Methodist churches are under the compulsion of faith and doctrine to admit all people to the House of God for worship. My position, therefore, is that our churches should be opened to all, and this is signed by John Owen Smith of the Atlanta Area.

Report of Committee on Presiding Officers—R. Marvin Stuart

R. Marvin Stuart (California-Nevada—W): We cannot give you, as a Committee on Presiding Officers, a full line-up for today's triple header, but we can give you the names of the presiding officers. As you are aware, Bishop Taylor, president designate of the Council of Bishops, is in the Chair at the present time.

Following the recess and for the remainder of the morning session, Bishop Raines will be in the Chair. For the afternoon session, Bishop Middleton will be in the Chair.

This evening, beginning at 7:30 for this evening session, Bishop Kennedy will be in the Chair. Tomorrow for the closing session of the General Conference, Bishop Short will be in the Chair.

The Committee on Presiding Officers is pleased to make this report.

Point of Personal Privilege—Robert B. Pease

Robert B. Pease (Western Pennsylvania—NE): A point of personal privilege, Mr. Chairman. The pamphlet I hold is a publication of the Protestants and Other Americans United for the Separation of Church and State. I therefore construe it as an example of the work of that organization. I rise to speak because by implication, by inuendo, by half-truths, and by twisted facts, this pamphlet attacks

the urban renewal program and with a picture and words it attacks me, personally.

In private life, I am executive director of the Urban Development Authority of Pittsburgh. The record of accomplishments achieved in Pittsburgh renewal program speaks for itself, and speaks more eloquently than I could. You have seen Pittsburgh, and I hope you agree.

Two examples to illustrate the irresponsible nature of P.O.A.U.: The pamphlet states, "In Pittsburgh, the Holy Ghost fathers who operate Duquesne University announced plans for a 21 acre expansion program to cost 9.2 million dollars in public funds. The project followed a long period of fraternizing between Robert B. Pease, Director of the Re-Development Authority and Father Henry Mack, president of Duquesne University. Nothing was said about competitive bidders for the land or reimbursing of the government." End of quotation.

This article fails to point out that the developer will pay the full market value for the land as required by the Federal Housing and Home Finance Agency. The pamphlet discusses a renewal project in St. Louis, Missouri, and I quote: "St. Louis, Missouri, the large Mill Creek renewal program was conceived and executed for the benefit of St. Louis University, a Jesuit institution. Hundreds were ousted from homes and businesses in order to provide a new campus for this school." End of quotation.

Again, not one word about the new, much needed private housing, new industry, and new commerce now being built in that project.

The report uses words such as "ousted and evicted" when it discusses the re-location aspects of urban renewal. Urban renewal is the first public program conceived which carries on the re-location of families in project areas in a humane manner.

As support efforts to maintain and insure the separation of the church and the state, I deplore any anti-Roman Catholicism disguised as an effort to maintain the separation of church and state.

Ballot for Judicial Council Reserves Taken

Bishop Taylor: At this time, I would like to call for the vote for the Judicial Council. Will the Secretary come forward and instruct us as to the procedure.

Leon T. Moore: Mr. Chairman, and members of the body, may I ask that we have a slightly different procedure as we approach the election of the alternate delegates or members of the Judicial Council? May we have the ballots placed in your hands and then may I give you instructions because there are several changes?

The Secretary then gave specific instructions to the delegates for balloting.

Inquiry Rel Names on Ballot—Cecil F. Ristow

Cecil F. Ristow (Pacific Northwest—W): You state that there must be a ministerial alternate from the Central Jurisdiction. I have examined as carefully as I can and I find no one listed from the Central Jurisdiction among the ministerial nominees.

Leon T. Moore: I have to confess, Mr. Chairman, that all I have are the nominees presented from the floor, and by the Council of Bishops. The rule requires that you have to elect alternates from those that are remaining on the ballots after the regulars have been elected.

Motion to Reconsider Nominations—Charles C. Parlin

Charles C. Parlin (Newark—NE): I move we reconsider the closing of the nominations in order that an addition may be made in the ministerial alternate delegates.

Bishop Taylor: Is the motion supported? If you would reconsider, raise your hand. Those opposed? It is reconsidered. (DCA, page 644.)

Additional Nominations Made

Harry B. Gibson, Jr. (Lexington—C): I would like to place in nomination the name of *Charles Copher,* Theological Center, Atlanta, Georgia.

Motion to Close Nominations—Sterling F. Wheeler

Sterling F. Wheeler (Southwest Texas—SC): Mr. Chairman, I move the nominations cease.

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Taylor: As the Chair understands it, this matter was reconsidered merely for the nomination of a minister for the Central Jurisdiction.

Delegate: If you allow only one nomination, you have given in the election?

Bishop Taylor: There is no second for this motion.

Frederick K. Kirchner (Troy—NE): I understood the Secretary to say there would be eight, and eight to be elected; is that correct?

Leon T. Moore: No, it's seven and seven, because we have two carry-overs, Reverend Houston from the Northeastern Jurisdiction, class of 1968, and Ivan Lee Holt, Jr., a layman who is from the South Central Jurisdiction, who is a hold-over from the class of 1968.

Additional Nomination Made

Robert E. Goodrich, Jr. (North Texas—SC): I would like to place in nomination Dr. Ernest T. Dixon of West Texas, Central Jurisdiction.

Bishop Taylor: Ernest Dixon of the West Texas Conference has been named. That is the Central Jurisdiction.

Motion to Close Nominations—John T. King

John T. King (West Texas—C): I move the nominations cease on these two names.

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Taylor: Those who would support the motion, raise your hand. Opposed? It is carried. (DCA, page 644.)

Motion to Suspend Rules—Charles C. Parlin

Charles C. Parlin: I move that the rules be suspended so far as they require printing in the *Daily Advocate* 24 hours in advance the biography of these two men.

Point of Order—John C. Soltman

John C. Soltman (Pacific Northwest—W): This is a provision of the *Discipline* in Paragraph 901. Are we empowered to suspend the *Discipline?*

Bishop Taylor: Read the Paragraph.

John C. Soltman: It pertains to publishing of the name in two consecutive editions of the *Daily Christian Advocate* prior to election. The motion was to suspend the Rules of Order. I think this is a different matter, however, is it not?

Bishop Taylor: The rules are suspended. The motion should be made not to print the names. Will you do it. Will someone make that motion?

Motion That Names Not Be Printed in DCA—Ted Richardson

Ted Richardson (Southwest Texas—SC): Mr. Chairman, I move that the names not be printed as we accept the suspension of the rules.

Bishop Taylor: Is the motion supported?

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Taylor: As many as approve it, lift the hand. Opposed? It is approved. (DCA, page 645.)

The ruling has been made that Ernest T. Dixon is not eligible. He is an employee of the Board of Education.

Will you strike his name off?

Additional Nomination Made

William E. Trice (Louisiana—SC): I nominate W. T. Handy, ministerial delegate from Louisiana.

Bishop Taylor: This is a little parliamentarily irregular, but go ahead.

Mr. Handy has been nominated. Write his name on your ballot.

The nominations were not opened. That is why the Chair said it was a little irregular, but I was trying to help save a little time and that is why we didn't go back to our procedure.

J. C. Hawkins (Missouri East—SC): Could we place another one in nomination?

Bishop Taylor: The Chair would rule that you would have to reopen it if you did.

J. C. Hawkins: I so move.

Bishop Taylor: Those who would reopen it, lift the hand. Those opposed? It is not reopened.

Nominations Reopened

Bishop Taylor: Let us vote again. Those who approve, lift the hand. Those who oppose. It is reopened.

Will you make the nomination?

J. C. Hawkins: I would like to nominate Dr. John Hicks of St. Louis.

William E. Trice: I would like to nominate *Reverend W. T. Handy* from Louisiana officially.

Motion to Close Nominations

Charlemagne P. Payne, Sr. (Mississippi—C) · Mr. Chairman, I would like to move the nominations be closed.

Bishop Taylor: Is the motion to close the nomination seconded? The motion is seconded. As many as would close it, lift the hand. Those opposed. It is closed. (DCA, page 645.)

Point of Order—Leonard Slutz

Leonard Slutz (Ohio—NC): I am still concerned that we can't, by suspending the rules, change the *Discipline.* I would like to make a motion to amend the *Discipline* so that we can proceed in order, and there can be no legal question as to the validity of the election.

Bishop Taylor: It was the Chair's understanding that was the intent of the motion which was passed, not to print it in the *Discipline.*

Just a moment, will you listen to the Secretary? Will you listen to the Secretary?

The Secretary then gave instructions for balloting.

Leon T. Moore: It is necessary to elect five ministers and five laymen for eight years. It is necessary to elect two ministers and two laymen for four years. May I ask at this time that Brother J. Wesley Hole propose a suggestion for the following this procedure because of these two different terms?

Motion to Clarify Procedure—J. Wesley Hole

J. Wesley Hole (Southern California-Arizona—W): I move that the first five ministers and the first five laymen elected be assigned to the term expiring in 1972 and the next two ministers and the next two laymen elected be assigned to the term expiring in 1968.

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Taylor: The motion is before you. As many as approve it, lift the hand. Those opposed? It is approved. (DCA, page 645.)

Point of Order

Robert W. Preusch (New York East—NE): My question is this: If we elect five ministers and five laymen for the Class of 1972, we have ten alternates for the Class of 1972, and we have six alternates for the Class of 1968. According to the *Discipline,* 901 Article 2, there shall be eight alternates of each Class. Are we electing the proper number?

Leon T. Moore: May I answer that, Mr. Chairman? Five ministers elected for eight years, and two ministers for four years is seven, and one carry over, Mr. Houston, makes eight. You have to have eight alternates in each Class. You have the same thing with Brother Holt, carry over in 1968 for the laymen. If you do what we say here, elect five and two, you have one makes eight in each case.

Inquiry—William H. Alderson

William H. Alderson (New York East—NE): If Dr. Houston is a carry over, does that mean that we should not vote for him?

Leon T. Moore: He should not be voted for.

Request for Names of Last Nominees—Harold S. Swales

Harold S. Swales (Central New York—NE): We would like to have the names of the men nominated for the Central Jurisdiction. They came in such haste we didn't get them written in. I think we ought to have them.

The Secretary read the names of the last nominees whose names were not printed in the DCA.

Request for Results of Previous Ballot—Marion R. Mobley

Marion R. Mobley (South Carolina—SE): I believe the Secretary was to call the results of the last ballot.

The Secretary then read the results of the previous ballot.

Motion Rel to Validity of Ballots—Vincent M. Mouser

Vincent M. Mouser (Louisiana—SC): I move that any ballot bearing the surname only of the three candidates from the Central Jurisdiction be deemed an adequate and good ballot because we may have a problem on the given names and initials. Any ballot bearing the surname of the nominees who have been made and are being written on the ballot will be deemed a valid and legal ballot and will be counted for the man bearing that surname.

Bishop Taylor: If there is no objection from the house, that will prevail.

Instructions relative to balloting were given by the Secretary.

Motion Rel Future Balloting—William F. Dunkle, Jr.

William F. Dunkle, Jr. (Peninsula—NE): Assuming the announcement of the Secretary intended to conclude this voting, I want to make a motion to help with this.

I move that we recommend that at the 1968 General Conference one member of the Secretary's staff be designated to handle exclusively the election to the Judicial Council so that a minimum amount of time need be given to this purpose.

If I get a second, I will speak to it.

The motion was seconded.

William F. Dunkle, Jr.: Without any criticism of anybody, I think it is obvious that this is a very complicated matter, and I think we should have someone in constant check with the nominations, printing and distribution of ballots who could lead us through this with a great deal less confusion and a great deal less use of time.

Bishop Taylor: The motion is before us.

Substitute Proposal Made and Accepted—Marshall T. Steel

Marshall T. Steel (Little Rock—SC): I wonder if the gentleman who just made that motion would be willing to accept a substitute to the effect that we ask the Coordinating Council to make a study of the *Discipline* and the requirements related to the election of the Judicial Council. If I can get a second to that . . .

William F. Dunkle, Jr.: I would be glad to accept the substitute as my motion. In the last two or three conferences we have had a great deal of confusion on this matter. This is one of the most important groups in the church and we have acted with inadequate information. I hope the Council will make a study and simplify and clarify the election of the Judicial Council.

Bishop Taylor: The motion is as you heard it. As many as approve the motion, lift the hand. Those opposed. It is approved. (DCA, page 646.)

Statement As A Personal Privilege—William C. Jason, Jr.

William C. Jason, Jr. (Delaware—C): Mr. Chairman, in 1864 at the other end of this state, the General Conference of The Methodist Episcopal Church, meeting in Philadelphia, authorized the organization of the Delaware and Washington Conferences.

During 1964, each of these two Conferences becomes one hundred years old. On July 29, 1864, twenty preachers, the oldest, Reverend Frost Pullet, in his 75th year, gave up their local preaching license and presiding elder employment in exchange for real itineracy appointments under a Methodist Bishop.

On that day, the Delaware Conference sent 20 loyal happy missionaries out from our little John Wesley Church in South Philadelphia to evangelize, to exhort, to chide, to plead and to agitate for social and economic justice throughout the Delaware valley.

They and their successors are increased several fold—have served our Communion from Boston, Massachusetts to Cape Charles, Virginia; from the Atlantic Ocean to the Susquehanna River, and the little John Wesley Church of 1864 is the Tindley Temple of 1964.

Now that the Delaware Conference is about to vanish from the Methodist scene, its churches and its people remain a loyal part of The Methodist Church. As we have loved our preachers who devoutly and dutifully served us through the years, so have we loved and glorified in our Delaware Conference. In its passing, we love it not less, but The Methodist Church more.

For us to cling to the man-made Delaware Conference after it no longer serves in the building of the Kingdom in an excellent way, is, as we see it, sin.

Order of the Day Recognized

The Chair recognizes Bishop Mathews for the order of the day, report on MCOR.

Report on Methodist Committee on Overseas Relief—Bishop James K. Mathews

Bishop James K. Mathews: Mr. Chairman and members of the General Conference, it is not the purpose of MCOR to take much of your time. We should like, however, to call your attention that next

year will mark the 25th year of service for Methodist Overseas Relief.

I would also like to report, up to the present time, Methodists have given more than $26,000,000 for the purpose of relief in more than 50 different countries. In addition, they have given in kind, food and clothing, something like $35,000,000.

In 24 years, then, up to the present, more than $60,000,000 have been given for this important purpose.

It was my privilege in 1962 to conduct a service beside a plane load of blankets to go to Algiers. Thirty-six hours later, those same blankets were bringing warmth to the bodies of those homeless and cold in North Africa.

For all of this, we say, "Thanks be unto God, and thanks to you," and when we say it, we believe we are expressing thanks from millions of people you have served.

MCOR has a modest administration. We have fewer employees than in 1960, a smaller staff than in 1960 and, in 1960, we had a smaller staff than in 1956.

If our administration is modest, our ministration is extravagant wherever human need exists. In time of famine, flood and earthquake, among refugees, homeless, hungry and sick, we believe you have been there through MCOR, extending the healing and helping hands of Jesus Christ to all who have needed to feel his touch. We have not been alone but have had cooperation with other denominations through World Service and the World Council of Churches.

I would like to ask that he simply stand and I want to call attention to the first Director and Chairman of the Methodist Committee on Overseas Relief, Bishop Herbert Welch.

Bishop Mathews: I call attention also to Bishop Frederick Newell, the past chairman, and to Dr. Warfield, who is at present the General Secretary, former missionary to Poland, and for 18 years associated with this organization.

Statement—Dr. Gaither P. Warfield

Gaither P. Warfield: Mr. Chairman, and members of the General Conference, since Bishop Mathews has made our report, I am simply going to say a personal word. I could not let the opportunity pass to thank The Methodist Church for permitting me to serve it for over 40 years as a worker abroad and in America, and for the last 18 years with this particular agency. To have been associated with Bishops Herbert Welch, W. W. Peele, Titus Lowe, Frederick Newell, and more recently our present chairman, Bishop Mathews, has been an unforgettable experience. I am proud for the record of MCOR. I am even more proud of the confidence that you and The Methodist Church have shown in our agency. I am proud of the love and appreciation expressed by millions who have blessed our Lord because of this service.

Bishop Taylor: The Chair recognizes Bishop J. Wesley Lord on the Report of the Methodist Corporation.

Report on the Methodist Corporation—Bishop John Wesley Lord

Bishop Lord: Mr. Chairman and members of the General Conference, four years ago the late George McKibben made the motion and the address that brought the Methodist Corporation into being. This corporation has been blessed across the years with the leadership and support of effective laymen.

Mr. Leon Hickman, a trustee of the corporation, has been chairman of the Finance Committee and has been asked to make the report to this General Conference of the work of the corporation during the past quadrennium.

Mr. Chairman, I ask you, if you will, sir, to call on Mr. Leon Hickman for the presentation.

Bishop Taylor: Mr. Hickman, will you come forward and make the presentation?

Methodist Corporation Report Presented—Leon E. Hickman

Leon E. Hickman: Mr. Chairman and members of the General Conference, I share your sorrows that the Agenda Committee saw fit to bring me back so soon.

Report No. 1 of the Methodist Corporation is found in the *Daily Christian Advocate* for April 28, on page 42. I will simply say a word about it. The Methodist Corporation is a property-holding body composed of representatives picked broadly across the church, each Jurisdiction being represented. It was formed to own 19 acres of property in the City of Washington, D. C. The Methodist Corporation is a corporation created by the 1960 General Conference to hold title to and to ultimately develop and dispose of 19 acres of property located in the City of Washington, D. C., at the corner of Massachusetts and Nebraska Avenues, immediately adjacent to American University. It is the largest undeveloped tract of land in the City of Washington. We are fortunate from a financial point of view to hold the property.

It is the judgment of experts in the field that the property has appreciated in value substantially since we purchased it. It was purchased for the church in 1960, largely by funds advanced by the Division of Temperance, at a cost of $1,500,000. The church borrowed that entire sum of money. It has spent the quadrennium reducing the debt. You will see from the report that the corporation has sold three tracts of land for a total receipt of $672,500, leaving between eleven and twelve acres.

It applied that money toward the reduction of the debt, but the astounding development of the quadrennium was the wide-spread support of all the Conferences of the Church from coast to coast in the reduction of that debt.

The Conferences gave money, as you know from your own figures on giving, had subscribed $659,000 to pay for that property and have already paid $383,000 of that.

The budget of the Commission on World Service and Finance which you have approved provides the fund, together with this Conference money, sufficient to pay off the debt on the property during the ensuing quadrennium.

The sales that have been made will result in two fine church edifices going up on the property without expense to the general church.

The Board of Christian Social Concerns is planning to erect its headquarters building on five acres of property that it has purchased from the corporation, and the Metropolitan Memorial Methodist Church purchased some of the property and is going to erect a lovely chapel on the property.

Without involving the General Conference in expenditure, we are succeeding in developing the property.

The legislation that we ask of you is entirely non-controversial. We plan no development of the property until the debt has been paid off, and until some generous support comes our way.

We are asking for two things in the third column of the *Daily*

Christian Advocate, page 78, April 28th. One is that you permit us to amend our charter to make abundantly clear that we have the appropriate tax status for those who would care to give us funds. These charter amendments have been recommended to us by tax counsel. The provision in black face at the bottom of that column would also give us permission to go to the Commission on World Service and Finance for permission to attempt to raise additional money, if, during the quadrennium, some foundation or other generous donor were to give us funds that made it appropriate to proceed with development. We have no development plans beyond this quadrennium beyond paying off the debt, but we do think it advisable to have the flexibility of being able to put the problem up to the Commission on World Service and Finance if somebody, for example, would give us a matching gift.

I move adoption of the legislation recommended in Column 3 on page 78.

Report Adopted

Bishop Taylor: This report is before you. As many as will adopt it, lift the hand. Those opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 647; see Appendix, page 1865.)

Permission to Suspend Rules Reported—Leon E. Hickman

Leon E. Hickman: Mr. Chairman, I would like to ask for permission to suspend the rules and proceed to a matter not on the agenda, but I can save the time of the Conference if I can have the floor.

Bishop Taylor: Those who wish to suspend the rules, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 647.)

Motion to Amend Par. 1102 of Discipline—Leon E. Hickman

Leon E. Hickman: I am told by representatives of the Council of Bishops that in Section 1102 in the *Discipline* which limits bishops to three General Boards, as we have defined them, that that legislation as drawn has the effect of depriving the Western Jurisdiction of representation on some essential boards. There are five bishops in the Western Jurisdiction. Three boards each would mean fifteen places. There are 12 General Boards which I have listed here whereas geographical representation is mandatory. There are also 14 other Boards of this General Conference where episcopal representation is authorized but geographical representation is not required. The Western Jurisdiction would use up 12 of its 15 possible places on the Boards where they are mandatorily placed, leaving them virtually no possibility of being represented on such institutions as the Board of Publications, Lay Activities, Hospitals and Homes, and so forth, where obviously they have an interest.

In an effort to be fair about that, I would like to move, under this suspension of the rules, that Paragraph 1102 of the *Discipline* be amended at the end of the third line which concludes this way, "no Bishop shall serve at the same time on more than three agencies," by inserting this proviso, "provided that if a Jurisdiction would be deprived of episcopal representation on any agency by the foregoing limitation, it may be suspended to the extent necessary to permit such representation." I move its adoption.

Bishop Taylor: The motion is before you. As many as would adopt it, lift the hand. Opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 648.)

Report of Committee on Family Life Adopted—Bishop Hazen G. Werner

Bishop Taylor: The Chair recognized Bishop Hazen G. Werner for the report of the Committee on Family Life.

Bishop Werner: Mr. Chairman, members of the Conference, I come to the microphone to make a gift of 10 minutes to the General Conference.

If someone will move the omission of the reading of this report, it will be printed in the *Journal.* It will save time.

Bishop Taylor: Is there such a motion?

Vincent M. Mouser (Louisiana—SC): I so move.

Bishop Taylor: Those who will support it, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 648.)

Presentation of Report of Historical Association—Bishop T. Otto Nall

Bishop Nall: Bishop Taylor, and members of the Conference, Methodists are making history and we are learning from our history, too. In 1960, the General Conference adopted the resolution directing the Association of Methodist Historical Societies to formulate plans for the appropriate recognition and celebration in 1966 of the bicentenary of American Methodism and to report said plans and details to the 1964 General Conference for the consideration and action of that body.

With your permission, I should like to introduce the Executive Secretary of the Association, Dr. Albea Godbold, Missouri East Conference, to outline very briefly some of the plans.

Dr. Albea Godbold Presents Report

Albea Godbold (Missouri East—SC): Mr. Chairman, members of the Conference, if the brethren will turn to page 27 of the *Daily Christian Advocate,* they will find the report.

You will discover that there is a preliminary statement which I shall not read. Most of you have read it. It tells what was done a hundred years ago. It resulted in a great thrust forward on the part of American Methodism.

We are making several suggestions, all recommendations: there is nothing mandatory about them. I call attention to Item No. 1, in column 3, page 27. We are suggesting, as they did in 1866, that on the first Sunday in January, 1966, every pastor in Methodism preach a sermon on the 200th anniversary of the beginning of American Methodism.

Item No. 2, page 28, that at the session of each Annual Conference, there be a historical sermon or address or paper, as in 1866, and that it be printed in the Conference Journal. If you move to Item 6, first column, page 28, we are recommending that a booklet be prepared by the Association and the Methodist Publishing House giving the essential facts of the beginning of Methodism in America, and that that booklet also provide a leadership guide for the study of the new three-volume history of American Methodism and will be used by pastors and others finding it practical to use it.

Item 7, that we have a film strip only about 10 minutes long, and that it cover these items.

Then, going down to Item No. 8, we are suggesting that we have a guidebook with maps which will be useful to those who wish to go or

make pilgrimages to shrines of American Methodism and other places of importance.

Item No. 13, the last one, that we have a meeting of all the Jurisdictional Associations of Methodist Historical Societies along with our National Association, and that we invite the presidents of Annual Conference Historical Societies, and this would be a meeting of two or three days in which we would have great historical addresses and papers and that they would be printed in the quarterly magazine, METHODIST HISTORY.

May I say, Mr. Chairman, this will not involve asking for a special appropriation because the Council on World Service and Finance has given us, in our budget, we think, enough money to take care of these items.

Report of Association of Methodist Historical Societies Adopted

Bishop Taylor: As many as will approve the report, lift the hand. Opposed? It is approved. (DCA, page 648; see Appendix, page 1870.)

Statement From Council of Bishops—Bishop Roy H. Short

Bishop Short: Mr. Chairman, this is in reply to a reference to the Council of Bishops, and is for the record: The Council of Bishops has given consideration to the report and plan of action for the elimination of the Central Jurisdiction adopted by the General Conference. In response to the request relative to the transfer of Bishops, we are agreed that our policy is to grant the request of a Regional Jurisdictional Conference for transfer to it of a Central Jurisdiction Bishop concurrently with the transfer to that Jurisdiction of one or more Annual Conferences of his Area under Amendment 9.

This is for the record, Mr. Chairman.

These additional nominations are for the *Commission on Structure of Methodism Overseas:*

Robert F. Gebhart, Geneva, Switzerland; Ernst P. Scholz, Frankfurt, Germany; Henry Atterling, Stockholm, Sweden; Eduarde J. Gattinoni, Latin America; P. C. B. Balaram, Southern Asia, Zacarias Cardoso, Africa; Yap Kim-Hoa, Southeast Asia; Mrs. Arsenia A. Cabotaje, Philippines.

From the Board of Missions: Mrs. Porter Brown; Tracey K. Jones; Marian Derby; C. Melvin Blake.

The first are to be elected, Mr. Chairman.

Bishop Taylor: As many as would elect them, lift the hand. Opposed? They are elected. (DCA, page 648.)

Statement "Methodism Views Her Ministry With the Aging"—Bishop John Wesley Lord

Bishop Lord: Mr. Chairman, members of the Conference, the 1960 General Conference ordered the Boards of Christian Social Concerns and Hospitals and Homes to make a joint study following the White House Conference on the Aging.

You called it an arm chair study. The study has been made. It is distributed to you. This is—this brochure METHODISM VIEWS HER MINISTRY WITH THE AGING.

Recommendations are clearly set forth of this joint study, pages 4 through 6, and all we are requesting from the Conference is the

motion to receive the report and refer the recommendations on pages 4 through 6 to the appropriate boards for implementation.

Motion to Refer Report—Lycurgus M. Starkey, Jr.

Lycurgus M. Starkey, Jr. (Missouri West—SC): I move that the Conference express our appreciation to these two agencies for the preparation of this report and ask that we refer this report to the two agencies for further implementation.

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Taylor: All those in favor, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 648.)

Appreciation Expressed

Bishop Taylor: You have been kind and gracious to me this morning and I want to thank you sincerely for it. This is the first time I have had this privilege in your General Conference and you have extended to me every courtesy. This gavel with which I have presided was presented to me by the Liberia Annual Conference and is made of Liberian redwood.

Just before the recess, the Secretary has an announcement.

The Secretary made several announcements.

Bishop Taylor: You are in recess.

(Short recess.)

Hymn No. 72, "This Is My Father's World," was sung as the Conference reconvened.

Bishop Richard C. Raines assumed the Chair.

Bishop Raines: Will the members of the General Conference please be seated?

It has been suggested that we can save time of the Conference if we would give Mr. Charles Parlin an opportunity to make a statement concerning the work of the Committee of Thirty-Six.

I recognize Mr. Parlin for that statement now.

Statement Rel Committee of Thirty-Six—Charles C. Parlin

Motion to Amend Constitution

Charles C. Parlin (Newark—NE): First, I wish to make a motion that we amend the Constitution by deleting from Amendment IX, Article V, the entire Paragraph 5, and from the last paragraph the final sentence—

Bishop Raines: What page, please?

Charles C. Parlin: That you will find in the *Discipline* on page 27. If there is a second, I will explain it.

Bishop Raines: Is there a second?

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Raines: It is, and it is before you.

Explanation of Proposal to Amend Constitution—Charles C. Parlin

Charles C. Parlin: On page 221 of the *Daily Christian Advocate*, it will be found that Brother Lowery, in connection with the presentation of the report of the Commission of Thirty-Six, dealing with the transfer of Bishops from the Central Jurisdiction to the Regional Jurisdictions, raised the question of whether there was a conflict between the provision of the committee and the present Amendment IX. He was joined in this request by Brother Scott Allen.

Mr. Atkinson moved that this matter be referred to the Legislative Committee.

Brother *Ted Hightower*—and you will find that on page 222—asked, "Are you ruling that they have to report?"

Bishop Martin said, "I am so ruling."

The chairman of the Legislative Committee has reported to me that they neglected to take up this item, and the easiest way for me, as chairman of the Commission of Thirty-Six, would be for me to move this. We think Brother Lowery and Brother Scott Allen are correct, and if there is a possibility of ambiguity, these conflicting points should be removed from the Constitution.

Bishop Raines: Mr. Parlin, a number have come in since you began your statement. Could you briefly indicate again what your constitutional amendment is, and its effect?

Mr. Parlin: We are moving—page 27 of the *Discipline*—to eliminate that final Paragraph 5, and in the last paragraph dealing with abolition of the Central Jurisdiction, the final sentence. Both of these deal with transferring a Bishop from the Central Jurisdiction, based on membership transfers, numbers of members.

The formula doesn't work. A different procedure has been proposed by the Commission of Thirty-six and adopted by the General Conference. In order that it be perfectly clear and that there be no confusion about which procedure is to be followed, we accept the suggestions of Brother Lowery and Brother Scott Allen of the Central Jurisdiction that the Constitution be amended by eliminating what might be confusing on this point.

Bishop Raines: Are there questions, or is it clear, and you are ready to act?

This requires a two-thirds vote and a count vote.

Will the Secretary indicate to the tellers to take their places so that we may have a complete vote?

Statement for Clarification—Murray H. Leiffer

Murray H. Leiffer (Southern California-Arizona—W): Last night we took two votes on constitutional amendments. The question was raised as to the necessity for taking a count vote. This is based on Judicial Council Decision No. 154.

Judicial Council Decision 154 states explicitly the manner in which a constitutional amendment shall be taken. Item 2 in the list of six steps reads as follows: "A count vote on the exact wording be taken with the vote for and against announced to the Conference and recorded in the *Journal*."

One other item, Mr. Chairman, if I may proceed. It has been stated by certain legislative committee chairmen that a committee must recommend either concurrence or nonconcurrence in presenting legislative calendars.

There are two other options that the General Conference should

be appraised of. One of them is referral. It is possible to refer a single item, or, in the case of a majority and minority report, both can be referred to a continuing authorized agency of the General Conference for further study.

A fourth possible line of action is withdrawal, and increasingly in the hours remaining before us, this is an appropriate action, because frequently the action has already been taken through the motion of some other legislative committee. It is not appropriate to move non-concurrence just because a legislative item is similar to something else previously presented. It would be better to move withdrawal with a reference being made to the other calendar items.

Further, if the recommendation is exactly the same, re-enactment is superfluous. If it is slightly different and is then enacted, we will have confused legislation.

Therefore, Mr. Chairman, it would be helpful if the chairman and others would be appraised of and alert to these two other important types of action that can be taken.

Inquiry Rel Amendment—Thomas L. Cromwell

Thomas L. Cromwell (North-East Ohio—NC): May we ask Mr. Parlin a couple of questions regarding this amendment? Briefly, what is the formula under which we will transfer Central Jurisdiction Bishops, and does this action by any change now, amending the Constitution, mean that we could not transfer Central Jurisdiction Bishops until these constitutional amendments are taken care of?

Was the action of the other night a constitutional amendment?

Answer—Charles C. Parlin

Charles C. Parlin: The action the other night was not a constitutional amendment. The provision for the transfer of Bishops proposed by the Commission of Thirty-Six was under the *Discipline* which provides that a Bishop may be transferred if the receiving Jurisdiction votes to request his transfer and the Council of Bishops consents.

You have just heard the consent of the Council of Bishops that any Bishop can now be transferred upon request of a regional Jurisdiction.

This is a mandatory provision which says if 25 percent of the membership of the Central Jurisdiction transfers some place, it automatically carries the Bishop with them. The Central Jurisdiction felt this was confusing, and we agree, and we agreed to knock this out.

Inquiry—Delma L. Hagood

Delma L. Hagood (North Georgia—SE): I would like to ask the question of Mr. Parlin, please. I would like Mr. Parlin to explain briefly how this proposed legislation would change the regular steps as provided in the plan of action that we adopted, namely, that the first has to do with the realignment of Annual Conferences in the Central Jurisdiction so as to comply with the Regional Jurisdiction.

Two, that Jurisdictional Conferences be on a voluntary basis united, and then the third step relating to the Annual Conference being invited to merge with Annual Conferences.

How does this legislation change or how would it affect what we have already done in this regard?

Reply—Charles C. Parlin

Charles C. Parlin: It would not change or affect the program outlined in the Commission of Thirty-Six Report which was adopted in any way whatsoever. This would simply remove one cloud of possible confusion.

Inquiry—Lawrence F. Almond

Lawrence F. Almond (New England Southern—NE): Do I understand this proposed amendment by Mr. Parlin will have to have the approval of the Annual Conferences as any other amendment? If so, I assume that we are bound by this until it has such approval. Is this correct?

Reply

Charles C. Parlin: This is correct.

Request for Amendment to Be Read—L. Scott Allen

L. Scott Allen (Georgia—C): I wonder if we might have the proposed amendment restated.

Bishop Raines: I was going to do that. Thank you for the request.

Mr. Parlin, would you read that again; some delegates came in since you first read it.

Amendment Restated—Charles C. Parlin

Charles C. Parlin: I move that we amend the Constitution by deleting from Amendment IX, Article 5, entire paragraph 5, and from the last paragraph the final sentence. The import is to remove the provision dealing with the transfer of the Central Jurisdiction Bishops based on the transfer of membership. This formula doesn't work. The formula provided in the Commission of Thirty-Six report, I think, does work. We are satisfied of that, and we don't want any hostile confusion.

Voting Instructions Given

Voting instructions were given by the Secretary.

Bishop Raines: Have all the delegates now been counted? Those opposed, will they now stand, please?

Will the tellers take the count and come forward?

I will ask Mr. Parlin to make two clarifying statements while the tellers are counting the ballots.

Clarify Statements Rel Committee of Thirty-Six—Charles C. Parlin

Charles C. Parlin: Three clarifying statements which I hope will be clarifying. First, it is the intention of the Commission of Thirty-Six that transfer of Annual Conferences could take place forthwith and not wait for this constitutional amendment which may take some period of months, perhaps two years.

Secondly, I would like to clarify a statement of yesterday because there has been misunderstanding. The groups which have been working between Central Jurisdiction and the Regional Jurisdictions,

and I'm happy to say that this has taken place in all four Regional Jurisdictions involved, has not made any agreement for the transfer of Central Jurisdiction Annual Conferences. It is unquestionably clear that this can only be done by action of the Central Conference —the Jurisdictional Conferences, and in turn, by the Annual Conferences themselves. Indeed, transfers under Amendment IX not only require votes in Annual Conferences, but Conferences with a two-thirds majority. What the groups have done is to work out a procedure and time table and agreed on a time table and agreed on the form of action which must be taken by the Jurisdictional Conference and the respective Annual Conferences.

For those who are interested in the details of this, I suggest that for Central Jurisdiction, you might see Dr. Astor Kirk; for North Central, Mr. Slutz; and for the Northeastern, Dean Richardson, who have been working on the mechanics of these.

Many people have been disappointed that we have not had more time at this General Conference to debate the details of the Evangelical United Brethren plan of union and the work document which was before you. However, all of you are privileged, in fact requested, to write to whatever Commission shall have the work of polishing this up and finalizing the plan of union with the Evangelical United Brethren—write in to that Commission all suggestions you have with reference to the work document as it now stands.

Bishop Raines: Members of the General Conference, while the vote is being taken, may we use your time wisely by asking Paul H. Hagiya, Pacific Japanese Provisional Conference, to make a report about an Annual Conference going out of existence. Will you come forward, please?

Report Rel Pacific Japanese Provisional Conference—Paul H. Hagiya

Paul H. Hagiya (Pacific Japanese Provisional—W): I have asked for this personal privilege because in two weeks the Pacific Japanese Provisional Conference of The Methodist Church will be dissolved. I have been asked by my people of the Americans of Japanese Ancestry who are Methodists in America to thank you folks in The Methodist Church for all the guidance and love that you have been giving us the last 75 years.

Just for a point of information, my colleague, Tosh Terasawa, and I, representing the Pacific Japanese Provisional Conference, have been asked by so many delegates what island we come from.

I want you to know that we comprised the Japanese Methodist Churches in America on the mainland, 35 churches, and the next time somebody asks this question, we are going to tell you we are from Catalina Island because we have never been off the mainland.

Anyway, it has been a wonderful 75 years for us, especially in the Western Jurisdiction of The Methodist Church, and on May 21, in just two weeks, our Bishop Donald Harvey Tippett will call a conference and end this colorful Annual Conference of The Methodist Church.

We will enter into the larger church by entering the geographical conferences of the Western Jurisdiction.

I want to thank Bishop Tippett for his gentle and loving leadership of our Conference for the last 16 years. The reason that we have been given such wonderful guidance and have progressed so far is because of his dynamic leadership. I want also on behalf of the Conference to thank Bishop James C. Baker, who before Bishop Tippett, led us for nine full years.

The reason that the Japanese Americans in America, as far as

Protestants are concerned, the Methodists are the largest proportion, is because The Methodist Church has been so kind and courageous and loving toward us. We cannot thank you enough for the help and counsel and guidance you have given us.

We know as we enter into the larger church, we have not solved all the problems. We have many questions unanswered, and we have a lot of fears, like all you folks, too, who are being swallowed up, and literally, we are, but we feel this is an act of faith, and America called us to that act of faith once before. During the Second World War, we were taken away from our homes; our freedoms and rights of citizenship were taken away from us, but we still believe in this good land of America.

In order to prove that America was our country, out of that came the famed 442nd Regiment, and the "Go For Broke" team that received so many Citations, Purple Hearts and Stars.

As we go through into the larger church of The Methodist Church, we know that we have a lot of fears, but we go with this same kind of faith again. We do not ask to be carried. All that we ask is that you folks will walk with us, and with patience and understanding, and that as we walk together, that we will grow deeper in the faith that we so love which is in Christ Jesus our Lord.

Thank you, The Methodist Church.

(Standing ovation.)

Report of Ballot on Constitutional Amendment

Leon T. Moore: Total number of ballots, 672; 667 affirmative, 5 negative. Necessary to pass was 448.

Bishop Raines: The constitutional amendment, therefore, is passed by more than the required majority of votes. (DCA, page 651.)

May I say that the delegates over in section F said they could not hear me clearly. If this proves to be true anywhere else in the auditorium, will you send up word, please?

Statement of Privilege—Marion R. Mobley

Marion R. Mobley (South Carolina—SC): Brethren, I regret to announce that Dr. Carlisle Holler, South Carolina Conference lay delegate, has been called home on account of the serious illness of his wife.

Bishop Raines: We are indeed sorry to hear this.

Point of Order—Vincent M. Mouser

Vincent M. Mouser (Louisiana—SC): Point of order. I voted for the constitutional amendment. A number of people in our section said they wanted to vote the other way and never did have an opportunity to vote.

Bishop Raines: I am sorry, we cannot go back and take action again.

We come again to the order of the day. The report of the Committee on Ministry.

Report of Legislative Committee on Ministry Presented—William R. Cannon

William R. Cannon (North Georgia—SC): Mr. Chairman and members of the Conference, I have on the platform with me two

other officers of the Committee, the vice-chairman, Dr. Don Holter, and the secretary, Dr. Finis Crutchfield, and we are indebted to them for the leadership they have given in these reports.

We turn now to these items. There will be a series so I don't invite you to look at any specific pages as yet.

Though there is a long series of reports, some of which are of major importance, from the Committee on Ministry which this Conference will need to consider, and I trust to adopt, I know now it is the disposition of the body to receive our recommendations regarding the report and legislative proposals of the special Quadrennial Study Committee on the Ministry which laid its findings before the General Conference in plenary sessions last week, and which findings were referred to this Legislative Committee on the Ministry for study.

That special Quadrennial Committee did a very fine piece of work and on behalf of the membership of the Legislative Committee on the Ministry, I express deep appreciation to the special Committee and say publicly that we could have made no report at all without that very fine and penetrating study.

I now ask our secretary, Dr. Crutchfield, to read our recommendation, after which I will speak and the matter will be before you for your consideration.

Report No. 7, Committee on Ministry, Presented—Finis A. Crutchfield

Finis A. Crutchfield (Oklahoma—SC): The resolution as presented by the Committee on the Ministry follows:

We ask that it be adopted by this Conference. "Resolved that we present to the General Conference both the majority report on the Committee to Study the Ministry, 1960-1964, and the minority report known as the original report itself, on the work of the Committee to Study the Ministry, and that both be referred to a Committee on the Study of the Ministry for the ensuing quadrennium."

The personnel and manner of creating this committee is requested elsewhere.

I believe this is Report No. 7, calendar item 227, page 437, in the *Advocate*. Will you turn to that quickly before I continue. "It is further resolved that an equitable balance of theological viewpoint be borne in mind in the selection of this Committee. It is to be borne in mind that the Committee should be free to consult with any official representatives of the Evangelical United Brethren Church as they may desire."

Bishop Raines: Is this report seconded?

The report was seconded.

Explanation of Report—William R. Cannon

William R. Cannon: Bishop, unfortunately, I need to make an explanation to this body for our having arrived at this recommendation. You heard very patiently, and I think enthusiastically, the report the other afternoon of the Special Quadrennial Committee to Study the Ministry. That report was referred by you to our Legislative Committee for study, refinement, and recommendation to this body.

I happened to be on both committees, and as chairman of the Committee on the Ministry, presided over our deliberations as we considered that report.

The Committee on the Ministry took the findings, reviewed them very carefully, saw their great value, expressed appreciation for the work of their preparation. However, the Committee could not accept in their entirety those findings. It meant, then, that we prepare another report of our own. You know the reason for the great difference. Before the report was presented to this Conference, you had read in the publications of the church presentations by those who would advance only one order for the ministry. You read the very able article by Brother Thomas Pryor of Michigan on the subject. There were others who expressed their views.

At the same time, many of you read Bishop Nolan Harmon's discussion, urging us to maintain the orders.

The Committee itself recommended in its majority report that we preserve the two traditional orders in Methodism, that the ministry be a term including both the deacon, who might be a layman because the deaconate has in it the approved supply pastors, but making the elder the full conference member, and reserving elders orders only to those admitted into full connection.

We thought we had reached a decision which would be acceptable to all parties and that this Conference could adopt that action enthusiastically. We added another proviso, namely, that the study continue because we felt that we needed to consider more theological issues.

In the Special Quadrennial Study Committee, there were two gifted theologians in the technical sense of that word, our own secretary, Dr. Gerald McCullough, and Dr. Harvey Potthoff of the Iliff School of Theology. Both were enthusiastic for only one order. However, there were other theologians—three of them represented very strongly in this body—Dr. Albert Outler of S. M. U., whom we heard praised yesterday by the Roman Catholic Bishop, by my own friend and fellow dean from the Southeast, Dr. Robert E. Cushman, a man whose reputation as a theologian is very high; and Dr. Mack B. Stokes, who teaches with me at the Emory University. He worked with this Committee on the Ministry. He lent his weight and support to the two orders. Therefore, it was inadvisable to present this matter for a heated debate in the closing sessions of the General Conference, and I met with the Special Quadrennial Study Committee. We discussed our findings and what we were prepared to recommend. They felt that they could not accept that recommendation and that it would have led to a floor fight.

Dr. Dwight Loder of Garrett, a very wise and judicious man suggested that we study on, and we were quite willing to do that, and so you heard our proposal to the General Conference.

We feel that a new factor was introduced in this Evangelical United Brethren merger plan, because when the two denominations come together, the matter will have to be studied still. We leave matters as they are. We present both reports without prejudice to the Committee, as the secretary said, and we urge them to work so hard that they will have something to say even as early as 1966, and we insist that they keep in conversation with and seek the advice of a comparable agency in the Evangelical United Brethren Church.

I think our recommendation is clear. I move adoption by this body.

Bishop Raines: You mean you move to refer?

William R. Cannon: Well, it is more than that. It is the creation of this committee to refer—who will receive the referral—and then to refer these two reports to the new committee created.

Bishop Raines: Page 437, is it?

William R. Cannon: Page 437.

Bishop Raines: Report No. 7. Was it not amended, an addition put in about the Evangelical United Brethren?

William R. Cannon: Correct.

Substitute to Report No. 6 Offered—R. Kern Eutsler

R. Kern Eutsler (Virginia—SE): I wish to offer a substitute, Mr. Chairman, I move as a substitute that one portion of the Legislative Committee on Ministry, Calendar Item 262, Report No. 6, as found on pages 444 to 449, Roman numeral 1-A, Chapters 1 through 8, down to the item on Column 3, page 449, headed "Committee on Ministry Minority Report" be substituted for all that is before us, If I may have a second, I will speak to it.

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Raines: They have asked for the numbers again.

R. Kern Eutsler: Page 444, beginning with Roman numeral 1-A, Chapters 1 down through what is listed as eight, actually should be nine. They were wrongly numbered. To the item on column 3, page 449 headed "Committee on Ministry—Minority Report."

Substitute Motion Ruled Out of Order

Bishop Raines: May the Chair inquire? I thought we were about to act on the creation of a committee, and then we were going to turn to the question as to whether we would refer or substitute. Am I correct, Dr. Cannon?

I think you are out of order. I will recognize you the moment we have disposed of this matter. The question is whether you will adopt Report No. 7 with the addition of the Evangelical United Brethren representatives to create the committee. Are you ready to act?

Motion to Amend by Deletion—Marvin L. Boyd

Marvin L. Boyd (Northwest Texas—SC): I would like to move that we make an amendment to Report No. 7, 227, by deleting all of the last paragraph—that is the last paragraph as it is printed in the *Daily Christian Advocate*. It is not the paragraph added concerning the Evangelical United Brethren Church.

Bishop Raines: Does it begin with "The Committee shall be composed"?

Marvin L. Boyd: Yes, that is the paragraph. By deleting all of the last paragraph and inserting in its place the following paragraph: "The Committee shall consist of one pastor or District Superintendent from each Jurisdiction, one minister in a special appointment, one minister from the faculty of each of five theological seminaries, and then proceed as it is, two from the Council of Bishops, two from the Laity."

If that receives a second, I would like to say just a word about it.

Amendment Accepted by the Committee

William R. Cannon: Mr. Chairman, no need of a second. I accept that.

Bishop Raines: The amendment is accepted by the Committee. Are you ready to approve? If so, lift the hand. Opposed? This is adopted. (DCA, page 652; see Appendix, page 1532.)

Eutsler Substitute Considered

Bishop Raines: Now, I think we are ready for your motion at No. 9, please.

R. Kern Eutsler: Shall I repeat it?

Bishop Raines: Does the body wish to have this motion repeated? It is a motion to substitute.

Inquiry—W. F. Dunkle

W. F. Dunkle (Peninsula—NE): I would like to ask Dr. Eutsler to make quite clear to us whether the substitute he now proposes is to substitute one order alone for the two which the majority report of the Committee has accepted.

R. Kern Eutsler: No, sir, it retains the two orders.

Bishop Raines: Would you like to repeat the substance and purport of your motion, Dr. Eutsler, so the body may know what effect this would have?

Substitute Motion Explained—R. Kern Eutsler

R. Kern Eutsler: The purport of this motion is to get before us what was originally the majority report of the Committee on the Ministry, rather than to refer it along with the study committee report for another four-year study. The purport is to get it before us now for action.

Bishop Raines: The question, then, is as to whether the body wishes to bring this report before you now for discussion—further discussion, or, whether you wish to do as has been suggested and refer both of these matters to a continuing study committee.

Any comments or questions on this?

Statement Rel to Proposal

R. Kern Eutsler: The purpose of this motion is to get before the General Conference at this session some very fine legislative proposals which the Committee on the Ministry labored on for 8 days and which I believe will enhance the effectiveness of our ministry.

The report of the Special Study Committee on the Ministry was referred by your action to the Legislative Committee on the Ministry. It is a splendid report and has served as the basis for our legislative proposal.

Without the work of this Study Committee, as Dean Cannon has said, we would have had no proper base from which to work. The legislative proposals as carried on the pages of the *Daily Christian Advocate* I have indicated—seek to carry out many of the basic ideas in the study report.

I am aware that these legislative suggestions are not perfect, and as we have just done. we have recommended the continuation of a study committee, but I do believe we have here proposals that will clarify much confusion now surrounding the ministry and that will lift the whole concept of the supply ministry.

This report proposes to retain both orders of the ministry, but makes it possible for a man who now qualifies as an approved supply pastor to be ordained a deacon and exercise all ministerial functions in the charge to which he is appointed, and as long as he is under appointment.

The practical effect of this proposal is to eliminate all local orders.

No deacon could go on for local order unless he qualifies for full conference membership. This will eliminate an area of confusion and ambiguity which has plagued the church for years. At the same time, it elevates and dignifies that large segment of our pastors who have been exercising full ministerial functions without ordination and under the somewhat condescending designation as approved supply pastors. This report calls for no basic change in our concept of the structure of the ministry and I believe it will make the legislation which we now have in the *Discipline* much easier to administer.

Statement Opposing Substitute—Norman L. Trott

Norman L. Trott (Baltimore—NE): I wish to speak in opposition to the substitute and favor of the motion to refer, regrettably, because I personally would like to see an action taken, but I believe that at this late date in our sessions, to open up this area for discussion will get us into exceedingly complicated situations.

The original study report was referred to the Committee on the Ministry. There were eight days of debate without any sharp resolutions of the problems that were involved. At one time there was a very close division between the rejection of the initial report and the adoption of a substitute report, the division being 43 to 41.

I was asked to chair a minority group to support the original report. In a later session of the Committee on the Ministry, it was agreed within the Committee that rather than expose the Conference to extended debate, and we believe general confusion at this point in our meeting, that it would be wise to refer, and I believe that the General Conference would therefore be wise to follow the recommendations of its committee.

Statement Favoring Substitute—Lewis H. Beckford

Lewis H. Beckford (Maine—NE): Mr. Chairman, I am in support of the substitution. As we go back to our local situation, we will be able to report all the actions that have been taken by this General Conference in connection with the work of the Coordinating Council. We will be able to tell our people that many decisions have been made concerning our bishops and their retirement. We will be able to tell them about the World Service Program of our Church.

In Maine, I will be able to tell our people that a plan has been worked out whereby we will have an increase of 20 percent in our Benevolence Apportionment.

The thing that I will not be able to say to the ministers who are serving our local churches is that after a four year study, and after articles that have set these men looking for a definition as to exactly where they stand in the total structure of The Methodist Church that this General Conference has been unable to give any time to a definition and the resolving of problems that are acute in the minds of our ministers.

I plead with this Conference, even at this point in our deliberations, to give enough time to the consideration of the local ministry so that those who are feeding the sheep in the fields will understand where they stand with The Methodist Church.

Statement Opposing Substitute—A. James Armstrong

A. James Armstrong (Indiana—NC): It seems to me if it has taken almost two thousand years to bring us to this present point of confusion, we need not try to solve it all in four years. I think the recommendation is very fine. We need to have the clearest possible

thoughts in making radical decisions. I suggest that we accept the original motion and turn away from the substitute.

Previous Question Moved—G. Eliot Jones

G. Eliot Jones (Mississippi—SE): I move the previous question.

Bishop Raines: The previous question is called for. Is it seconded?

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Raines: If you would call for the previous question, lift the hand. Down. We are under the previous question. (DCA, page 653.)

Summary Statement for Committee—William R. Cannon

William R. Cannon: Since discussions are underway with the Evangelical United Brethren Church, and since our minds are divided on this issue, I think it the better part of wisdom to delay decisions until further study, and so I urge us to support the recommendation of the Committee on the Ministry. That final recommendation was made after discussion with the Quadrennial Committee. There were 79 people present, and 75 voted for—I am told by the secretary, that is not published—and 4 against, so we had better accept the recommendation.

Inquiry—Harvey H. Potthoff

Harvey H. Potthoff (Rocky Mountain—W): I would like to ask a question of Dean Cannon if I might. I was a member of both the Quadrennial Study Group and the Committee on the Study of the Ministry. It was my understanding that this most recent suggestion for a new study was against the background that we are now under a new set of circumstances, namely, with a special session of the General Conference in 1966.

My question is this: Is it your understanding that this new study group will be looking definitely toward that meeting in 1966 in the anticipation that we might be working closely with the Evangelical United Brethren group to come up with the program which is mutually agreeable? In other words, the original study group is not back-tracking from what it says. We are simply functioning under a new set of circumstances with a new deadline before us.

Reply

William R. Cannon: That question bears a bit too much on the argument. We will invite, if this is set up, discussions with the Evangelical United Brethren, but our own committee is our own committee and it goes on its way as it sees that way.

Substitute Motion Defeated

Bishop Raines: The substitute would require that you discuss that here this morning. If you wish to discuss it, you will vote for the substitute. As many as vote for the substitution, lift the hand, please. Opposed? The substitution does not prevail. (DCA, page 653.)

Inquiry

W. F. Dunkle (Peninsula—NE): May I address a question to Dean

Cannon? On page 444, Dean Cannon, you will find a section entitled 1-A-Part 3, "Ministry." That comes down through the sentence, "Supervision of the Pastor in Charge." It is all in bold face type. It terminates where it says Chapter 1, call to preach, no change.

Is it your understanding, sir, that in moving that this be referred to a continuing committee on the Study of the Ministry for the past quadrennium, that the remainder of the majority report of the Committee on the Ministry of this General Conference, beginning with Chapter 1, "The Call to Preach," everything after the initial bold face definitions which we have been referring to as the Potthoff Compromise, that this is not to be enacted at this General Conference?

My understanding—correct me if I am wrong before I vote—that we agree to refer to a continuing study of these initial definitions entitled "Introductions" along with the four-year study report which has just been made, but that the majority report of the Legislative Committee would recommend to this Conference, as Dr. Eutsler has suggested—

Bishop Raines: I suggest that you are out of order. There is a good deal of argument to this question.

If you care to, answer the question as put.

Reply

William R. Cannon: I will answer it. You are partly right and partly wrong. The Report No. 6 is referred in its entirety to this special study committee. It is not adopted. You confuse Report No. 6 with the Potthoff Report which is another report entirely which will be presented to this body, and I hope this body will adopt it. Nothing in Report No. 6 will be adopted. It will be referred, if you pass this in its entirety along with the minority report to the special study committee.

Bishop Raines: The brother says the question has been answered satisfactorily.

Are you ready? Those who will approve the main motion, lift the hand, please. Down. Opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 653; see Appendix, page 1510.)

Report No. 5, Committee on Ministry, Presented by William R. Cannon

William R. Cannon: Now, Mr. Chairman, with the indulgence and attention of the house, we proceed with our reporting. Turn, now, to this report, number 5, calendar item 192, pages 429 to 431 in your *Daily Christian Advocate.* There are certain editorial changes in this. I presume I must call your attention to them, though I regret to have to do so.

Bishop Raines: Are these of sufficient seriousness so that they must come before the body, or the body grant the privilege of editorial revisions?

William R. Cannon: I think they are not really serious. They are substantive, but as I review the details of the report, they will be obvious without reading. However, if the house insists, I will read them.

Bishop Raines: Would the house like the shorter procedure followed?

Bishop Raines: Proceed, Brother Cannon.

Report Explained

William R. Cannon: These, then, are the specific recommendations contained in this report and they do improve, I think, our working arrangements for preachers.

For a man—the first one is this—for a man to get a license to preach, he must either have been graduated from an accredited high school or be 25 years old. This is the first recommendation. I will go through them all.

Two. When a license to preach has lapsed, it can be restored only at the discretion of the District Committee on Ministerial Qualifications, and that committee must assure itself that the candidate has completed the current studies for the license to preach.

The third recommendation, only if a full member of an Annual Conference is voluntarily located shall he become a local preacher with membership in a Quarterly Conference.

The fourth recommendation, the normal way to take the course of study is through a school for the course of study. Only under extraordinary conditions will a person be permitted to take it by correspondence, and he must have approval of his Board of Ministerial Qualifications, and that for one year.

The fifth recommendation is the course of study must be completed in a maximum of 12 years. Now, listen, brethren, we have been thought of always as raising standards and pinching the approved supply preachers, and making appointments—making difficulty. This committee has not done that. In fact, it extended it four years, and you might accuse us of lowering standards just a little, but we feel under present circumstances this change is indicated.

Number six is just an improvement for clarity's sake in writing, and I will not bother you by calling it to your attention.

The seventh one, a part-time approved supply can administer Baptism and perform marriages only if during the year preceding he has completed half the year's work in the course of study, and always he must have completed the introductory studies.

Then, number eight, recommendation nine, that records are to be kept in duplicate, not triplicate, by the Board of Ministerial Qualifications. Very minor.

Number nine is to change one year to two years for the person with a college degree who is seeking by a three-fourths vote admission into an Annual Conference. He must have done his introductory studies and two years of the Conference course rather than just the one year. The reason for this is to have ordination of a deacon correspond with admission on trial.

The tenth recommendation is that a discontinued ordained man becomes a local preacher, but a discontinued unordained man must seek to have his license renewed. In both of these instances, the Board of Ministerial Training must give its assessment of the man to his District Committee.

The eleventh recommendation, no candidate can be continued "on trial" beyond the eighth year of the session of the Annual Conference after he was first admitted. In other words, you can stay on trial only eight years. That it what it means.

Number twelve is a deletion that is necessary to restore passage of the *Discipline* because we did not accept the majority report. There are a few of these things. They are minor. They are editorial. They will have to be worked out in the light of your decision just a few minutes ago.

The next is a statement similar to an earlier one that a man on trial who is not in a school of theology must do the Conference Course of study at these special schools rather than by correspondence. You did it earlier in another situation.

Then, there is the definite requirement of Methodist history, doctrine, and polity. If this man does not have that, he must take an examination under the direction of the Department of Ministerial Education.

Next is that the Department of Ministerial Education must certify course offerings in non-Methodist schools.

Next is editorial, a matter of clarification, I will not trouble you with it.

There is another matter of clarification, I won't bother you with that.

The next item is the Conference Board of Ministerial Training shall appoint a representative to each District Board, even though the representative does not reside in the district. Then, the next recommendation, the Department shall prescribe studies for License to Preach and Introductory Studies and Four-year Course of Study. It already does that; we had editorialized a bit in the wording. You need not bother with that.

The next is an order of words. Trivial. Don't concern yourself with it.

Local preachers—This is the last one—are not eligible for ordination as deacons unless they are approved supply preachers.

Those are the recommendations. The report is before you. I hope you will adopt it.

Bishop Raines: We thank you for the clarifications. Is it clear enough to vote on?

Amendment Offered—Forest M. Fuess

Forest M. Fuess (Newark—NE): I would like to offer an amendment, page 429, column one, paragraph that is numbered four—after the words "Ministerial qualifications" delete the word "and" and put a comma and insert these words: "shall hold himself amenable to such psychological and aptitude testing as the District Committee may require and provide," and then continue from there.

If there is a second, I could explain this a bit.

The motion was seconded.

Amendment Accepted by Committee

Bishop Raines: I want to ask the committee if they want to accept this.

William R. Cannon: We can accept that since it is already permitted anyway.

Bishop Raines: Thank you. It is accepted.

Amendment Proposed—Charles L. Carrington

Charles L. Carrington (Delaware—C): Mr. Chairman, I call your attention to page 429 in the first column, about half way down, the line which begins number 1, "has been graduated from an accredited high school or its equivalent, or has attained 25 years of age."

I would like to amend this by substituting the word "and" for the word "or" in the second line of that paragraph. If I have a second, I would like to say a word about it.

Bishop Raines: Is it seconded?

The motion was seconded.

Charles L. Carrington: This is a serious matter. Very frankly, in some sections of the church, there is pressure—and I speak after 12 years of heading the Education on Ministerial work in the Baltimore Area. There is constant pressure for lowering the standards for men creeping into the relationship of local preacher. We have been able successfully for a period of years to raise the base to at least high school graduation, and I don't know why it should be any lower than that for any man getting into any phase of the ministry.

So that you require him either to have a high school diploma or its equivalent, or simply to be 25 years of age, I think that it would be unfortunate if this is interpreted anywhere as not requiring basically at least a high school education for a local preacher's license.

Committee Does Not Accept Amendment

William R. Cannon: I want to answer. We can't accept that suggestion because we found in various sections of the church many rather able approved supply pastors, older people beyond 25, just didn't get their high school diploma and District Superintendents need these men. Out of deference to the practical wisdom of these District Superintendents who sat in on our committee, I hope we can just take a vote now on that and close it out, just leave it the way it is.

Comment Rel Amendment—Hobart F. Goewey

Hobart F. Goewey (Troy—NE): It seems to me that this amendment, if passed, would mean that a theological student under 25 could not become a local preacher. I think from that standpoint this would be utterly impractical. It might be rephrased.

Inquiry—Leon W. Bouton

Leon W. Bouton (Wyoming—NE): I would like to ask Dean Cannon about a reference made at the close of his speech.

Would you locate the statement in which you said that only an approved supply pastor can be ordained?

Reply

William R. Cannon: I think you are right, doctor. Since Report No. 6 did not pass, you would still have local preachers who could reach ordination through the course of study, even though they don't have churches, but I must ask Dr. Freeman or another member of that committee to validate this.

I have asked Dr. Freeman to sit up here in case questions of such minute detail arose that he could help deal with them.

G. Ross Freeman (South Georgia—SE): Mr. Chairman, I wonder if it would not be better for us to go ahead and deal with the items before us rather than getting into another one at this point.

Bishop Raines: Are you ready to vote on the amendment that substitutes "and" for "or" in Paragraph 1? If you will—

Amendment to Amendment—Arthur Colley

Arthur Colley (Western Pennsylvania—NE): I would like to

amend the amendment by deleting these words: "or has attained his twenty-fifth birthday."

Bishop Raines: Yes, it is before you. You may speak.

Arthur Colley: I see no reason for putting on any age limit. Personally, I would have been out of the ministry if those restrictions had been placed on me on both counts. Maybe the church would have been better off, I don't know, but at least we have to remember that in our Methodist tradition that a good deal of our early work was done by men under twenty-five years of age.

Previous Question Moved—Mack Stokes

Mack Stokes (Holston—SC): I move the previous question on what is before us.

Bishop Raines: The previous question is moved. If you would sustain it, lift the hand. Opposed? Sustained. (DCA, page 655.)

We are on the deletion of "has attained his twenty-fifth birthday." Do you want to speak to this?

William R. Cannon: Just one word. The reason we put 25 in, if a man is under 25 he ought to be encouraged to get all the education he can get. I hope that won't prevail.

Amendment to Amendment Defeated

Bishop Raines: The motion is to delete the requirement of 25 years. If you approve, lift the hand, please. Opposed? The amendment does not prevail. (DCA, page 655.)

Amendment Defeated

Bishop Raines: Now, you are under the amendment that substitutes "and" for the word "or," are you ready? If you would substitute "and" for "or," lift the hand. Opposed? It does not prevail. (DCA, page 655.)

The body of the report is before you. Are you ready?

If you will approve the report now as it is before you, lift the hand, please. Opposed? The report is approved. (DCA, page 656; see Appendix, page 1498.)

Report No. 8, Committee on Ministry, Adopted—William R. Cannon

William R. Cannon: Page 437, Report No. 8, Calendar No. 227—I am sorry, I mean Report No. 8, Calendar No. 228. That is the matter of tobacco. It just adds this little statement, "for the sake of a disciplined example, and without implying moral superiority." That is just put in and it remains as it is now in the present *Discipline.*

Bishop Raines: Is that seconded?

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Raines: Are you ready? If you approve, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 656; see Appendix, page 1533.)

Report No. 9, Committee on Ministry, Adopted—William R. Cannon

William R. Cannon: Now, page 438, Calendar No. 229, Report No. 9. This is exactly the same with the addition of "alcohol."

Bishop Raines: Are you ready? If you approve this, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 657; see Appendix, page 1534.)

Report No. 11, Committee on Ministry, Presented—William R. Cannon

William R. Cannon: Page 438, Calendar 430, Report No. 11. This report requests the bishops to lead a churchwide program of ministerial recruitment. We urge adoption.

Bishop Raines: Is there a second?

The motion was seconded.

Amendment Proposed—Hammel P. Shipps

Hammel P. Shipps (New Jersey—NE): I would like to make an addition to this matter of recruitment of the ministry by the following recommendation:

"The Council of Bishops requested we make a study of our Methodist related colleges with reference to the number of recent graduates entering full-time Christian service and compare those findings with those of other Christian Colleges in America and ascertain, if possible, what influence may be brought to bear in our Methodist colleges to produce a higher percentage of graduates for the Christian ministry and the mission field."

If I have a second, I would comment.

The motion was seconded.

The Board of Education has requested our Bishops to devise means to set up the number of candidates for the ministry.

Looking over the statistics of church-related colleges, if you will compare the figures, there is a relatively small percentage of the graduates of our church related schools that are entering the ministry, and some Christian colleges outside of our definite church relationship are sending more and a higher percentage of graduates into the ministry. It seems to me there must be some reason for this and I suggest we try to learn why more of our graduates from Methodist Schools are not entering the Christian ministry.

Statement Opposing Amendment—J. Earl Moreland

J. Earl Moreland (Virginia—SE): I have no objection to the first part of his statement, but certainly the second part is erroneous and all he has to do is to read the figures. To suggest that either the number is diminishing or we are not doing this in the Methodist colleges, is to overlook the realities, the truth, and the facts.

I mentioned this last night in the tribute paid to Dr. Gross. For example, at the present time, far more than half of all the ministers coming to our church come from the church-related college.

I say the first part, Bishop Raines, is correct. Lift up the figures. The second part is erroneous and base.

Amendment Does Not Carry

Bishop Raines: Is there another comment? Are you ready? If you will approve the motion, lift the hand. Opposed, the same. The motion does not carry. (DCA, page 656.)

Report No. 11 Adopted

Bishop Raines: If you approve No. 11, Committee on Ministry, lift the hand, please. Opposed, the same sign. It is carried. (DCA, page 656; see Appendix, page 1536.)

Report No. 18, Committee on Ministry, Presented—William R. Cannon

William R. Cannon: Turn, please, to Calendar Item 237, on page 439, Report No. 18. Unfortunately this report was not printed—The Minority Report was not printed in the *Advocate*, and copies of it have been distributed and are on your desk, but to save time, I will let Dr. Edwards present his minority report and I will reserve the right before the final vote to speak for the Majority. I don't think two speeches are necessary for me.

Bishop Raines: Dean, to clarify the parliamentary procedure, are you moving this report and then permitting him to move a substitute for it?

William R. Cannon: Yes.

Bishop Raines: It is before you. Is there a second?

The motion was seconded.

William R. Cannon: What we are moving in non-concurrence and Dr. Edwards is speaking on concurrence to a memorial.

Motion to Suspend Rules Voted—K. Morgan Edwards

K. Morgan Edwards (Southern California-Arizona—W): Mr. Chairman, in order to get this properly before you, it has been on your tables about 23 hours, I wonder if you would suspend the rules.

Bishop Raines: If you will suspend the rules to hear this discussion, show the hands, please. Opposed. The Rules are suspended. (DCA, page 656.)

Minority Report Presented—K. Morgan Edwards

K. Morgan Edwards: The secretary has asked for the privilege of reading The Report itself before we provide the Minority Report.

Minority Report Read—Finis A. Crutchfield

Finis A. Crutchfield: Mr. Chairman, this resolution has three parts: Therefore, be it resolved:

(1) That funds be made available from voluntary gifts by persons in churches or otherwise throughout the connection to assist ministers under duress;

(2) That said funds be administered by the Council of Bishops and made available for use by members of that Council administratively involved in cases of duress because of racial consideration;

(3) And that the Commission on World Service and Finance be the receiving and disbursing agent.

This is the heart of the Memorial that the printer misplaced and was not printed.

Motion to Substitute Minority Report for Majority Report —K. Morgan Edwards

K. Morgan Edwards: Bishop Raines, to get this matter before the house, I move the Minority Report be substituted for the Majority Report.

The motion was seconded.

Statement for Minority Report—K. Morgan Edwards

K. Morgan Edwards: No arrogance is any more distasteful than the suggestion which sometimes we thoughtlessly imply when we live in the North and West that race is sectional form. We have racism everywhere. We open the doors up in a new church to negotiation, but we do not open our hearts, and quietly they leave by the side door after receiving membership in our churches. I think we need to realize the problem of racism, which is a problem which affects every person here, and this resolution would give us an opportunity, all of us who desire it now to do something about our own short-sightedness and our own sinfulness by sharing the burdens of those who suffer because of these sins.

We have perfected this legislation for one or two reasons and this form rather than the form in which it was originally presented. We have asked that the Interboard Commission of the Local Church administer the funds, and we have done this because in consultation with the president of the Council of Bishops, he explains to me that this is not their task. They do not administer funds.

We have chosen the Interboard Commission because we did not want to ask this General Conference to form another Commission and pay the travel expense of people to dispense the funds. The Interboard Commission is as representative as any we can find.

This whole proposal is based entirely on voluntarism.

The Presbyterian Church voted $500,000.00 of its central funds for such a purpose.

Our brothers in the United Church of Christ voted $100,000.00. Because we have a church which is more widely represented in the South, North, East, and West, we are making this wholly voluntary.

I believe if the wording of the original had been made less distasteful and put in the form of this, it might have been adopted by the entire Commission. I move its adoption.

Motion to Amend Minority Report—James W. Morgan

James W. Morgan (Southwest Texas—SC): I move that we make the simple amendment of adding, "and laymen" so that at every place where it says "Methodist ministers" it will also say "Methodist ministers and laymen."

It is not only professional ministers, but the ministry of the laity who are joining hands in this sort of suffering, and here is a statement that expresses our oneness in suffering and sense of liability

for one another throughout the whole church. I move adoption of this simple amendment.

Amendment to Minority Report Accepted

K. Morgan Edwards: It is accepted.

Bishop Raines: I understood this is an amendment to the main report.

K. Morgan Edwards: It is an amendment to our report, and we would be happy to accept it, but we could not bring it in as such because it comes out of the Department on the Ministry, but I quite agree with the spirit of it.

Bishop Raines: Is it permissible for this to be added, with the permission of the House?

Statement Against Amendment—W. C. Vaughan

W. C. Vaughan (Virginia—SE): I am a layman and I have sat quietly and listened for nearly two weeks. I love this church and I think all of us here do. I am seriously opposed to this amendment. Mainly because it will be misunderstood in the press. Frankly, I think we have hammered out here and discussed for days the report that 36 of our most informed, most dedicated people of The Methodist Church worked on for four years and brought us, and I hope we will do nothing here to throw a roadblock, editorially or an impression or what-have-you that will cause us to go home with any other spirit, and with any other tools than with which we can implement this report of the thirty-six, this program which we have adopted four years ago, this program which I hope we are going to all be able to carry out with enthusiasm, and I think this would be misunderstood as was the statement in the press the other morning when it said we were supporting disobedience which we, of course, did not vote, and for that reason, I strongly oppose the amendment. It will be definitely misunderstood by our Methodists at home. They will not understand why The Methodist Church would set up a fund for civil disobedience which this actually will be interpreted.

Bishop Raines: Let the Chair clarify the situation. I assumed we had common consent to include this. We did not have it. Therefore, we are on the amendment to add "laymen."

Motion to Amend Minority Report—Ram Datt Joshi

Ram Datt Joshi (North India—OS): Sir, I would like to move an amendment to this part one of the Minority Report. If I would have a second, I would like to move an amendment to the part one report, Minority Report.

I would like to add the words "around the world" in part one of this Minority Report so that the whole sentence reads: "That an opportunity be provided for individuals, churches, and any others around the world who wish to contribute to a fund to assist these Methodist ministers."

Bishop Raines: The question is to make it world-wide.

Ram Datt Joshi: Yes. My reason for doing this is as follows:

Bishop Raines: It is seconded.

Ram Datt Joshi: It makes quite explicit what is perhaps implied but not clearly stated in the resolution.

Although it would appear that the subject to which the resolution refers concerns only the Methodist Churches in the United States of America, and may be considered a domestic issue, yet I really believe it is not a domestic issue or purely national issue. It is an international issue. It is an ecumenical issue. In all, it is a human issue. As such, it is my conviction that on such an issue, we, the Methodists around the world, should speak with one voice, and stand and act together. The total resources of the church, world church, should be geared to meet such human issues in any part of the world church. Our contributions from overseas churches may be very, very small. However, they would constitute a symbol of our Christian fellowship which transcends all barriers of race, color, or social position. I strongly hope my amendment will be accepted.

Amendment Accepted by Minority Leader

K. Morgan Edwards: We are happy to accept this world-wide character of the fund.

Inquiry Rel Procedure—Jack Wilkes

Jack Wilkes (Oklahoma—SC): I have been a member of the Interboard Commission on the local church for the last eight years and I am at a loss to see how the Interboard Commission which meets only once each year, has no staff, can administer funds of this type. I believe that some other agency should be designated for funds in this area. There is no treasurer. The officers are not full-time staff people at any point.

Reply

K. Morgan Edwards: Mr. Wilkes, you notice that Provision 2 talks about the handling of the funds through the Commission on World Service and Finance. I consulted with Bishop Short who is the president, but he assured me the retiring president, since there are no handling of funds such a group might carefully consider, as we could not on the floor, how such funds would be administered and would have the right to delegate if they could not do the administering themselves.

Statement Favoring Minority Report—Kelly L. Jackson

Kelly L. Jackson (Washington—C): Mr. Chairman, I rise in support of the Minority Report because I know many ministers and laymen who have suffered because of the fact that they stood—took a stand against racism. I further rise in support of this Minority Report because it is basically sound in judgment, intent, and purpose. It would free the tongues of millions who would be prophets but who remain silent because of the fear of what harm might come to their families. It places the church in a position where it can be relevant. In the opinion of many, the church is not relevant at this particular point.

Again, this is, if you please, individual as well as church, a chance to witness for righteous causes.

I think without a doubt that if this Minority Report is adopted, the church certainly would be put in a position where it can claim that it is relevant to the issues of the day.

Amendment to Minority Report Proposed—Edwin Jones

Edwin L. Jones, Sr. (Western North Carolina—SE): I wish to offer an amendment to what is being considered, this minority report.

"That an offering on a special Sunday to be announced by the Council of Bishops be taken for this purpose, that is the purpose announced in this resolution, and that it be called "The Civil Disobedience Relief Fund."

I wish to comment further. I am on the Council of World Service and Finance. I have used my pencil many hours trying to figure where funds come from. If we consider we have now included ministers and laymen—I am not speaking facetiously—who may ask for relief for court fines, which may run as much as $500 a person, economic relief, and so on, as one member of the Council on World Service and Finance, I do not know where that money will come from, and therefore we will need such a special offering which the church will contribute to very liberally, I am certain.

Bishop Raines: Before you continue, we have reached the time when you need to extend the time. Do you wish to do so?

Motion to Extend Time—Ted Richardson

Ted Richardson (Southwest Texas—SC): I move we extend the time.

Bishop Raines: Is it seconded?

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Raines: If you will extend, lift the hand, please. Down. Opposed? Yes, it is extended. (DCA, page 658.)

Statement Rel Proposal of Committee on Christian Social Concerns—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley (New York—NE): I would like to direct the attention of the Conference to an action of our committee which you will find on page 553 of the *Advocate*, No. 509 on the docket which covers essentially the same ground as that by the amendment.

When we were to bring this up in due course, there were certain changes that we were going to make in terms of the group that would be handling the fund, but I think the Conference will understand that by an overwhelming majority the Committee on Christian Social Concerns is endorsing essentially the ground that is covered by the amendment and I do not think it is necessary for me to read that.

We were going to say the fund would be administered by the National Board of Missions, but after conferring with Dr. Edwards and a member of the Council, it seemed wise to put our two Boards together, though we did not work these out in collusion.

I would simply like to offer this to the Conference as the judgment of the Committee on Christian Social Concerns that the amendment ought to be adopted by this body.

Parliamentary Inquiry by Chair

Bishop Raines: May the Chair ask a question of the two?

Since this matter is to come before the General Conference in another guise, would you both be willing to withdraw your motions in order that it might come before the Conference later on rather than being debated twice?

K. Morgan Edwards: I prefer not. We have voted to extend the time. I believe we are essentially ready to make a decision on it.

Statement Supporting Jones Amendment—Robert F. Oxnam

Robert F. Oxnam (Newark—NE): I should like to support, if I may, the amendment made by Mr. Jones. I think a good number of us are not pleased with the name that he chose and would hope that a better name could be found. I would hope, though, Mr. Chairman, that we as a Church would back this proposal. It is high time.

If you will give me just a moment, Mr. Chairman, some had a feeling that the young people who came here the other day stood up and prayed behind us, wasted our time. Nothing could be further from the truth. These young people are deeply concerned about a problem which we face as a people and which we face as a church. I would earnestly hope, Mr. Chairman, that we would support Mr. Jones' proposal, but with the name left in the hands of someone else.

Previous Question Moved—Roy R. Roudebush

Roy R. Roudebush (North Indiana—NC): I move the previous question on all that is before us.

Bishop Raines: The previous question has been called for. If you would sustain it, lift the hand. Opposed, the same. It is adopted. (DCA, page 659.)

We are under the previous question, and the immediate question you must vote upon first is the amendment of Brother Jones, which sets up a particular day, thus amending the minority report.

Request for Clarification—Eugene L. Smith

Eugene L. Smith (Newark—NE): Will you, sir, please rule as to whether or not this makes the name which was suggested mandatory, or whether in view of the statement by Dr. Oxnam that could be referred to the Council of Bishops for action?

Bishop Raines: Could we ask Brother Jones if he would accept this in the more liberal fashion?

Edwin L. Jones, Sr.: Mr. Chairman, why should we change it? That is what it is.

Bishop Raines: The question is answered in the negative.

Inquiry

Allen M. Mayes (Texas—C): Just one question, Mr. Chairman, before we cast our votes.

Are we now voting on an amendment to have a fund, a specific fund, called the Civil Disobedience Fund, for persons who witness to a racially inclusive church and may suffer economic deprivation thereby?

Bishop Raines: Would the Secretary read the amendment, as we may have it clearly before us then?

Amendment Read

Leon T. Moore: Mr. Chairman, members of the Conference, amend-

ment to the minority report that "this matter be financed by an offering to be taken on a special Sunday, date to be selected by the Council of Bishops, and to be named the Civil Disobedience Relief Fund."

Motion to Lay Amendment on Table—Robert E. Cushman

Robert E. Cushman (North Carolina—SE): I move that the amendment and all else be laid on the table.

Bishop Raines: The Chair rules that under the rules, page 21, the order of previous question precedes the order to lay on the table. We are under the order of previous question: therefore, the motion to lay on the table is not in order.

If I am wrong, let's hear it.

As many as will approve the amendment, lift the hand, please: You are not sure what you are voting on?

The Jones Amendment which sets a specific day and a somewhat adjustable title for the fund. If you approve this, lift the hand, please.

Opposed? The Jones Amendment does not prevail.

We are now under the main minority report. Would you like to hear the speeches so you can vote?

Summary Statement for Minority Report—K. Morgan Edwards

K. Morgan Edwards: I think I do not need to take the time of the body to make any lengthy statement. I think it is clear to us that this is a problem of pride which lodges in the human heart. I celebrate the witness which is being made by my brothers south of the Mason-Dixon Line in a heroic attempt to be open in the matters of brotherhood. I believe this is a chance for all of us on a wholly voluntary basis, to participate in binding up the wounds of the human family. I hope you will sustain the substitute.

Summary Statement for Committee on Ministry—William R. Cannon

William R. Cannon: Mr. Chairman, and brethren, as a matter of record, 69 members were present when this matter was considered. Fifty-three of those persons voted non-concurrence, that is, to reject this proposition. Fifteen voted concurrence, and one person abstained. Thus, the vote of non-concurrence was more than three to one. Let us look at it, first of all practically from the viewpoint of administering it. It has now been extended to include people around the world, and it is a voluntary situation in which people are urged to contribute, and individual churches to contribute. It would be difficult to do anything for anybody along these lines, but, of course, our reason for rejecting it is more basic than that.

We feel that it would be entirely misunderstood by the general public. A church which seeks to influence social action, certainly in a democracy, must give careful attention to public opinion. I would hate to see our church labeled as a subversive agency of any sort. We might understand it, but would the public understand it?

Then, in the next place, in other sections of the world where the government is obviously so different from ours, and antagonistic to Christian ideals, what would this do to our people living in those sections if we were pictured as supporting any action that might impair the effectiveness of the government.

We think finally, it might do something even to the people who witness for conscience' sake against a particular law. There is a sort of glory in martyrdom, and the effectiveness of martyrdom lies in the willingness of the person to pay the price or penalty involved therein. This would relieve individuals making their witness of this opportunity. We can't support this, but it is up to you.

Vote Taken on Minority Report

Bishop Raines: You have listened carefully, is the Chair correct in saying the minority report would set up the fund, the major motion would not set up the fund?

If you would adopt the Minority report and set up the fund, lift your hand, please. Down. If you are opposed. Frankly, the Chair, on a matter like this is not sufficiently sure. I ask for a count vote.

Will the Secretary please—I am sorry to prolong it this way, it is impossible for me to be sure as to the vote here.

Count Vote Taken

The Secretary gave instructions for the ballot and the vote was taken.

Matter of Personal Privilege—Chester A. Smith

Chester A. Smith (New York—NE): Mr. Chairman, members of the Conference, I have a resolution or a matter which relates to the Reverend Billy Graham.

The General Conference of 1964 takes note of the worldwide Christian service of the Reverend Billy Graham, preacher and evangelist, who, by the miracle of radio, speaks to the people of the world, the first Evangelist who ever enjoyed such a large audience.

Methodism likewise serves the world, and we commend Dr. Graham for the good work he is doing in proclaiming the Gospel and we ask God to grant him many more years of health and service. I move its adoption.

Bishop Raines: If you would like to express approval, clap, if you desire.

Delegation of Young People Recognized

Finis A. Crutchfield (Oklahoma—SC): Mr. Chairman, we will take this moment, if it is your pleasure, to recognize the fact that in the balcony is a very large delegation of young people who came 1,500 miles from Oklahoma to watch the last two sessions. We would like this delegation to stand.

Bishop Raines: Stand, please, so we can see who you are.

Announcements Made

Bishop Raines: May we have announcements while the count is being completed?

The secretary made several announcements.

Bishop Raines: The count is ready, I believe. Remember, we have to vote again. This is only on the substitute.

Minority Report Adopted

Leon T. Moore: Mr. Chairman, number of votes for the paper was 441; the number against, 312. It is carried. (DCA, page 660.)

Bishop Raines: The Minority Report is substituted for the Majority Report. As many as will approve the Majority Report, lift the hand, please. Opposed? It does pass. (DCA, page 660; see Appendix, page 1539.)

Appreciation Expressed

Bishop Raines: May I speak a word of appreciation to you? I brought a gavel, but due to your courtesy and good behavior, I had no chance to use it.

Bishop Lee of Korea will say the benediction for us. This wonderful country has been fought over across the centuries until recently we, too, have participated unwillingly in the decimation of that land. These people are a great and brave and wonderful folk. Having been liaison between this country and Korea for 8 years I came to love them. This is my second home.

It is a joy and a privilege to ask Bishop Lee to pronounce the benediction. I ask him to do it in Korean.

Will you rise to receive it?

Benediction

Bishop Lee gave the benediction in the Korean language.

ELEVENTH DAY, THURSDAY, MAY 7, 1964
AFTERNOON SESSION

Pursuant to adjournment the 1964 session of the General Conference of The Methodist Church convened in the afternoon session of the Eleventh Day, Thursday, May 7, 1964 at 2:30 P.M., with Bishop W. Vernon Middleton presiding.

The Conference, with Bishop W. Vernon Middleton presiding, convened at 2:30 P.M.

Hymn 51—"Open Now Thy Gates of Beauty," was sung.

Bishop Middleton: Let us pray.

Direct us, O God, in all our doings, that what we do may be pleasing in Thy sight. Amen.

Report on Judicial Council Ballot

Leon T. Moore: Chairman and members of the body, for the state of confusion in which we were this morning, we have done very well. You elected eight out of the fourteen delegates to be made members of the Judicial Council. If you stay in the same state, we will finish with the next ballot. The total ballots cast, 786; 5 invalid, leaving 781 to be counted; necessary to elect, 391.

The following individuals were elected: Robert Curl, 472; Francis E. Kearns, 531; Harold S. Swales, 430; Vincent P. Clarke, 488; Richard C. Erwin, 607; J. Carlyle Holler, 535; Donald A. Odell, 516; and Charles B. Copher, 462.

Shall I read the rest of the ballot, Mr. Chairman?

Bishop Middleton: I think you had better read the rest.

Leon T. Moore: J. Henry Chitwood, 349; Cecil R. Culver, 214; G. Lemuel Fenn, 239; Albert Dale Hagler, 244; W. R. Handy, Jr., 215; John J. Hicks, 132; James G. Huggins, Jr., 173; Harold H. Hughes, 235; Nat G. Long, 296; Farris F. Moore, 154; Cleo C. Sessions, 224; Jack M. Tuell, 298; H. Hughes Wagner, 205; John B. F. Yoak, Jr., 57; Samuel Bautista, 345; R. Lee Blackwell, 357; Robert D. Blue, 325; John M. Cranston, 306; Francis Dale, 221; Frank Dominick, 265; Fremont C. Fletcher, 310; Donald E. Holbrook, 249; Walter I. Hunt, 357; Mrs. John O. Mabuce, 247; and Willard A. Zellmer, 277.

Mr. Chairman, by this election we eliminated the necessity of the order to comply with the Jurisdictions, we eliminated under the ministers the Central, North Central and South Central.

In the election of three we will need to have in the ministerial vote a representative for the Southeastern and one for the Western.

For the Lay Nominees, we eliminated Central, Northeastern, Southeastern, and Western. We only have to provide for the North Central.

We elect three ministers and three laymen on the next ballot.

Ballot for Judicial Council Taken

Bishop Middleton: When the ballots are distributed, we will ask the Secretary to again read the ones elected.

Leon T. Moore: Mr. Chairman, notice that this is a different ballot. We had them reprinted removing the names of those who were elected before. Those who were elected and should be crossed off.

Ministerial Nominees: Charles Copher, 462 votes; Robert Floyd Curl, 472 votes; Francis E. Kearns, 531 votes; Harold S. Swales, 430 votes. Lay Nominees: Vincent P. Clarke, 488 votes; Richard C. Erwin, 607 votes; J. Carlyle Holler, 535 votes; and Donald A. Odell, 516 votes.

You will vote for three on each side, and on the ministerial side, we must have one elected for the Southeastern Jurisdiction and one for the Western. On the layman side, one must be elected for the North Central.

Bishop Middleton: Will you prepare your ballots? May the Chair remind you again, for the ministerial, you elect three, and for the lay, three. In the ministerial there must be one from the Southeastern and one from the Western. In the lay, one from the North Central.

Bishop Middleton: Please mark your ballots, and proceed with the collection when you have marked them.

When you mark your ballot, please pass it to the isle end where it will be collected. Then will the tellers come forward after you have collected your ballots before you go to Room 203 for counting?

It is very important that this body take the next item of business which is the presentation of a paper for reference at this time.

I recognize Mr. William W. Bennett.

Privileged Matter Presented—William W. Bennett

William W. Bennett (Central Illinois—NC): Mr. Chairman, Members of the General Conference—This morning there was placed before you a recommendation from the delegations of the Central and Southern Illinois Conferences concerning a matter that we think is of the greatest importance, a matter which has to do with the establishing of detailed plans and procedures for the implementation of the work of the Commission on Interjurisdictional Relations as provided by the plan adopted by this Conference for the elimination of the Central Jurisdiction.

This establishes a line of procedure all the way from the General Conference down to the local church, and a series of organizations and line of communications from the General Conference to the local church. This paper needs to have two additions to it. On the first page, under No. 2, the reference under the "Board of Pensions" is to paragraph 1609 regarding the creation of a distributing committee, and then Item I, the representation from the Commission on Minimum Salaries in the Annual Conference, and also representation from the Board of Ministerial Training and Qualifications.

On the second page, under "Suggested Procedures, A, in the case of the North Central Jurisdiction, the Annual Conferences will meet to establish such commissions prior to the time of the meeting of the North Central Jurisdiction."

We feel that the Commissions on Church Merger that are recommended will be useful not only in the matter of the Central Jurisdiction, but also in the proposed merger with the Evangelical United Brethren Church. We feel that this is an implementation, an outlined plan that can be most helpful all the way through the church. This gives an opportunity for the permissive work of people in communities and congregations and Districts and Annual Conferences.

Motion to Refer

William C. Bennett: Mr. Chairman, I move that this recommendation be referred to the newly created Commission on Interjurisdic-

tional Relations for its considered use as it implements the plan adopted by the General Conference for the abolition of the Central Jurisdiction.

Bishop Middleton: It is before you. Are there questions? This is a motion for referral.

As many as will refer, will lift the hand. Down. Opposed, the same sign. It is referred. (DCA, page 661.)

Report of Council on World Service and Finance Presented

Bishop Middleton: The next item of business is the continuation of the report to complete the report of the Council on World Service and Finance, Bishop Paul Martin.

Bishop Martin: Mr. Jones is to take this.

Report No. 11 Presented—Motion to Adopt—Edwin L. Jones, Sr.

Edwin L. Jones, Sr. (Western North Carolina—SE): We are reporting for your consideration and action three reports for the Council on World Service and Finance. Report Number 11, found on page 407, which I will present will be first.

Report Number 12, found on page 510, Dr. Henry Blackburn will present.

Report Number 13, which was mimeographed and put in your hand this morning, I will attempt to present.

Will you please turn to page 407, Report Number 11?

There you will find suggested action on your part for what you have already voted when you adopted the report of the Commission of Thirty-six on Interjurisdictional Relations entitled "Temporary General Aid Fund."

I want to make two additions or changes in this as it is printed. At the bottom of the first column, section two, subsection C, add these words, "and shall be applicable where transfers are made from the Central Jurisdiction Conferences to geographical Jurisdictions, and where major differences and minimum salary rates exist," which will make it conform in verbage to that above, number B.

Then, in paragraph number six, after the words, "States of America," fourth line from the bottom, add these words "the $240,000 as a part of the World Service on Apportionment" and the other part "$260,000," and then to read as it is, using a decimal formula, based on an average and so on.

The effect of this Report Number 11 can be briefly summarized as follows: You adopted a report of the Council on World Service and Finance which was presented in several sections. I believe it starts on page 65, and in the World Service section was an item of $240,000 under fixed payments called "Pension Equalization Fund."

The effect of this report which is being presented now would be that that much money which would be raised on World Service would be—and which the Conference has already declared must be raised to bring pensions within the Central Jurisdiction up to a minimum of $35 per service year, will then be used and disbursed from the central treasurer as the first part of this General Aid Fund which is recommended to be $500,000.

Then, the one hundred thousand dollars which is recommended for the grant-in-aid, for assistance to Conferences which will take these members on transfer, will have their proportionate part of what is paid in to assist further in equalization of pensions.

The $160,000 would assist those Conferences in bringing salaries up to the minimum of that Conference.

The Council on World Service and Finance does not expect or believe that either of these funds will be sufficient to do the work prescribed within any Conference. However, it will be an assistance and a help on a basis, the formula to be worked out by the Board of Pensions, and will be used as the money comes in.

Mr. Chairman, to get the matter before us, I move adoption.

Bishop Middleton: May I remind you that any report coming from a commission or a committee, it is not necessary to move adoption or second. I think we can save a little time in that way.

Inquiry of Dr. Charles L. Calkins—Nat G. Long

Nat G. Long (North Georgia—SE): I would like to ask a question or two. I would like to ask a question of Dr. Calkins.

I would like to ask how this additional pension of $100,000 will be applied.

I would like to take two illustrations, South Carolina and Baltimore. South Carolina has a pension of $62. If South Carolina has transfers from the Central Jurisdiction, there will be a differential of $27.

The other Conference is Baltimore. They have a pension rate of $88. If they receive transfers from the Central Jurisdiction, there will be a difference of $53.00. Will this $100,000 fund be used to help South Carolina pay the $27, its differential, and will it be used to help the Baltimore Conference pay the differential of $53?

Answer

Charles L. Calkins: I think I would like to preface this by saying that this matter came before us without any previous preparation. However, we do have some data which can be applied to the situation, although we wouldn't want to be held to a final answer.

In connection with the distribution of this $100,000, we believe that it is your desire that the Board of Pensions would distribute this as equitably as possible according to the needs of the various Conferences concerned. We believe that we will have to determine some areas of distribution, some rules and regulations whereby the areas, the Conferences which have the greatest need will receive the larger help.

As a matter of giving you a little indication, there are 20,000 years involved in the event the entire Central Jurisdiction were transferred to a geographical jurisdiction. Those are 20,000 years of retirement, of people actually retired. Of these, roughly half will go to the Southeastern Jurisdiction.

If you have $100,000 and you simply distribute it on a per-year-of-service basis which probably would not actually happen, it would be in the neighborhood of $5.00 per year of service. The other question was to compare South Carolina with Baltimore.

I said in the first statement, I think, that we would have to develop a formula. The formula that we would have under consideration is that we would take the data relating to the various Conferences concerned up to a figure which related them all to one point.

For instance, if we took the recommended rate—the disciplinary recommended rate of 1 percent of the average salary as a basis for our consideration, then every Conference would be treated alike.

From that basis, we could then work toward the actual distribution in terms of what the need would be.

Inquiry—Dennis Fletcher

Dennis R. Fletcher (Delaware—C): I am not making an amendment. I think it is a question. If I understood correctly, someone said when a Central Jurisdictional Conference transferred to one of the other Jurisdictions that help would be available.

I don't think this is the idea. I think that when a Central Jurisdictional Conference has been transferred to another Jurisdiction and the Conference has been dissolved and the receiving Conference has a heavy responsibility placed upon it, the mere transferring it to a Jurisdiction does not mean that aid will be granted. I think this is the interpretation.

Am I right?

Edwin L. Jones, Sr. (Western North Carolina—SE): I believe he is correct.

Comments on Report—Clarence L. Fossett

Clarence L. Fossett (Baltimore—NE): Last year we made a study in the Baltimore Conference of the churches lying within the area of the Baltimore Conference from the Washington Conference, and I think we discovered that there would be 114 or 117—I forget the figure—churches coming within our area.

As I understand the action we have taken, we have decided to try to bring all the Central Jurisdiction churches up to at least $35. If I remember correctly, the Washington Conference now pays either $35 or $37. I think the Delaware Conference pays the same thing, pays the same amount. We would receive, under our present action, no help at all from this fund which is being set aside. Already the Washington Conference is paying at $35. We would receive no help at all.

Editorial Correction Made—Edwin L. Jones

Edwin L. Jones: There is another editorial change that was overlooked. In paragraph one, the way I presented it, changes the words, so it needs to be changed in the sixth line, the Pension Equalization Fund, that is the $240,000 to be raised in World Service and then placed in the Temporary General Aid Fund.

Inquiry—Allen M. Mayes

Allen M. Mayes (Texas—SC): I would like to ask Mr. Jones a question, please, relative to the insertion that he directed us to write in Column 1, page 407, under Section C.

The $160,000 for minimum salaries, where assistance is needed, did your insertion ask us to say, where Conferences have received churches from the Central Jurisdiction or where churches have transferred from the Central Jurisdiction, which would imply that no Central Conference would be eligible for such grant-in-aid?

Reply

Edwin L. Jones: It will read exactly like Paragraph B above.

Report No. 11 Adopted

Bishop Middleton: Are you ready for the question on adoption of this Report No. 11? If you so order, will you lift your hands? Down.

Opposed? Like sign. It is so ordered. (DCA, page 663; see Appendix, page 2113.)

Report No. 12 Presented—Henry W. Blackburn

Henry W. Blackburn (Florida—SE): Mr. Chairman, members of the Conference, will you turn, please, first of all, to page 68 in the *Daily Christian Advocate*, Roman Numeral Section II. You will remember that this section of the report was deferred when the rest of the report was adopted for consultation with representatives from the Central Conferences.

I would like to ask for a suspension of rules in order to substitute Arabic 5 in Report 12, on page 510, for the first eight lines in Arabic 5, on page 68. I think this is the quickest way for us to correct a rather awkward situation.

Bishop Middleton: Is there a second?

The motion was seconded.

Rules Suspended

Bishop Middleton: If you will suspend the rules for this purpose, raise your hand. Opposed, a like sign. The rules are suspended. (DCA, page 664.)

Henry W. Blackburn: We are substituting Arabic 5 on page 510 which reads: "The final authority for fixing salaries of Bishops elected by Central Conferences rests with those Central Conferences and the Council on World Service and Finance. However, it is suggested that the minimum salary for bishops elected by the Central Conferences should not be less than the proportion set by the General Conference of 1960 which is one-third of the cash salary of the Jurisdictional Conference Bishops."

Let me say that consultation was held with representatives from the Central Conferences, and your Council on World Service presents this substitute as representing our best judgment of the best way to solve this problem.

It may not meet with unanimous approval, but we think it is the best that can be done. The rest of Report No. 12 is identical with Section 2 on page 68. You will realize that the last few lines on page 68 of Arabic No. 5 will be included in the report. The minimum pension of Bishops who retire from Central Conferences should be set at 60 percent of the salaries of the bishops of the Central Conference who live in the Area where they reside.

Substitute Proposed—Kim-Hoa Yap

Kim-Hoa Yap (Malaya—OS): Mr. Chairman and members of this Conference, I would like to make an amendment to the substitute which has been offered.

This is a substitute for the substitute, if I am in order.

Bishop Middleton: You are in order.

Kim-Hoa Yap: This is to read like this, to substitute for what has been presented, "the salary of bishops elected by the Central Conferences shall be determined by the respective Central Conferences in accordance with the provisions of Paragraph 559.1, *Discipline* 1960."

The motion was seconded.

Kim-Hoa Yap: I will state the issues briefly. I understand that this provision that you have, I am taking issue with the figure of one-third of the salary of the bishops in The Methodist Church in the United States.

This was introduced at the last General Conference. I believe some of us may not know the serious implications of presenting an arbitrary figure like this. Needless to say, this one-third figure assumes or works under the basis of the differential of the cost-of-living of the bishops overseas. Now it is almost a stretch of our imagination to believe that this group here of 800 Americans are able to determine what is the cost-of-living of the bishops overseas. It is almost irresponsible for such a group here to state an arbitrary minimum figure, and what you have decided at the last General Conference worked on the basis that the cost-of-living of the bishops in the Central Conferences outside of the continental United States are, at the most, one-third of the cost-of-living here.

I am sure there are places outside of the United States which have a lower standard of living, and so the figure is arbitrary, but aside from the point of this arbitrary figure, I myself, coming from one of the Central Conferences, will find it difficult to try to place the same figure for the other Central Conferences in the different parts of the world.

At the same time, I would like to indicate that this problem of the salaries of the bishops can best be resolved by the Central Conferences themselves. They have elected a bishop. They are in a better position to know the cost-of-living of the people in those areas, and at the same time, they are able to determine the relationship of the salary of the bishops with the other ministers within the Central Conferences.

There is also this other issue whereby we outside the United States are very much aware of the problem within our own church life. This can be interpreted by the critics of the Christian church, that the Episcopal leadership is being supported by a foreign source and we have to try as far as possible to remove that impression.

Bishop Middleton: Your time is up, I am sorry.

Kim-Hoa Yap: With this thought, I plead for this Conference to support this substitute.

F. Olin Stockwell (Malaysia Chinese—OS). I would like to speak for it. Mr. Chairman, Paragraph 559.1. I do not believe, sir, that we are talking about money here. We are talking about human relationships.

For more than 30 years the General Conference said to the Central Conferences, "We give to you the responsibility for electing your Bishops. We permit you to decide their length of service, whether it be a term Episcopacy or a life tenure. We give you the responsibility of fixing the salary, travel and administrative and housing expenses. You decide what is fair and then you may ask the Council of World Service and Finance, and that Council will meet your needs."

For some 30 years this was done. At no time did the Council on World Service and Finance refuse a request of a Central Conference in these matters. This arrangement maintained the dignity and self-respect of the Central Conferences and encourage them to grow in self-reliance and maturity.

In 1960, this was changed. I do not know why. Certainly, the Central Conferences did not ask for it, but in 1960, the General Conference, by its action, said to the Central Conferences, "We trust you to elect your Bishops, but we do not trust you to deal fairly with them, therefore, we will decide that you cannot pay them less than a certain figure."

That was poor legislation, and an unwise act, and should be corrected now.

I do not believe that any of us, either as individuals or as a body, knows enough to set the salary, either minimum or maximum, for personnel in other countries.

At Singapore, at the Trinity Theological College where I am President, our students receive $15 a month for board. Here we collect $16 a day.

How can we here, living in this different, this affluent and cushioned society, know what is fair and just in Malaysia, India, Africa or South America? Anything we can do here will be wrong for we do not know.

Before 1960, the salaries of Bishops in the Central Conferences varied all the way from $3,000 to $7,000. This variation reflecting the different economic standards across the world. When we in our pride and ignorance took hold of the matter, we compelled the Central Conferences to accept what we thought. . . .

Bishop Middleton: Pardon me, Mr. Stockwell, your three minutes are up.

Statement Favoring Committee Report—G. Clifford Pettygrove

G. Clifford Pettygrove (California-Nevada—W): Evidently the two previous speakers heard the reading differently than I did, for both of them have assumed that this is a proposal for an arbitrary action on our part, fixing the salaries of the Central Conference Bishops at one third of those in the United States of America. I didn't hear it that way. Rather, as I understood it—maybe we should have it read again, as I understood it that the proposal was in exact conformity with Paragraph 559.1 with merely the suggestion thrown in as to the one third. Suggestion means that the Central Conferences may accept the one third or reject it. Possibly I heard it wrong, but I think the other two Brothers heard it wrong themselves. It was not an arbitrary proposal in any sense of the word.

I wonder if Dr. Blackburn could clarify this for us.

Clarification of Report—Henry W. Blackburn

Henry W. Blackburn (Florida—SE): I will be glad to. Listen again to the reading: "The final authority for fixing salaries for Bishops elected by Central Conferences rests with those Central Conferences and the Council on World Service and Finance. However, it suggests that the minimum salary for Bishops elected by the Central Conferences should not be less than the proportion set by the General Conference of 1960." You see, very clearly we are not trying to.

Statement Favoring Substitute for Substitute—R. Marvin Stuart

R. Marvin Stuart (California-Nevada—W): I rise to speak in favor of the substitute to the substitute. While it is just a suggestion, yet I am under the impression that even the suggestion comes very close to carrying with it a mandate so far as certain Central Conferences are concerned.

More than the money, the salary item itself, I think, as has already been pointed out, we are dealing with a principle here. At least in my contact last fall, I travelled around the world, and had the privi-

lege of talking with many Missionaries and Nationals and I discovered there was an increasing concern on the part of these wonderful Christians around the world that with our cooperation and our support and our prayers and our continued giving, that they be allowed more and more right to determine their own course of action and their own program, and in making even a suggestion, I believe that, by and large, in many if not most of the Central Conferences, we are doing them a disservice, and so I rise in support of the substitute and certainly say a hearty "Amen" to what our delegates from the Philippines and Dr. Stockwell have said.

Previous Question Moved—Ted Richardson

Ted Richardson (Southwest Texas—SC): I move the previous question.

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Middleton: The vote is on the previous question. If you are in favor, raise your hand. Down. Opposed? The previous question is called for. (DCA, page 665.)

Summary Statement for Committee

Henry W. Blackburn: I sincerely trust you will vote against the substitute. Your Council has given long and careful thought to this. We have discussed the matter with representatives of the Central Conference. They are not in agreement on the matter and we feel that this represents our very best judgment on the way to handle the problem. I sincerely trust that you will sustain the report of the Council of World Service.

Vote on Substitute

Bishop Middleton: You understand that you are voting now on the substitute proposed by the delegates who sit on my right from Malaya. Are you ready? If you will support this substitute, lift your hand. Down. Opposed, like sign. The substitute prevails.

No? Just a moment. Let's try it once again. Those who will support the substitute proposed by the delegates from Malaya will lift the hand. Down. Opposed, like sign. It is close. I think we had better have a count vote. Mr. Secretary.

Delegate: Mr. Chairman, will you please read the substitute.

Bishop Middleton: The call for the reading of the substitute. Mr. Secretary, do you have the substitute in hand? Give attention to Dr. Moore and you will hear the matter upon which you are going to vote by count.

Leon T. Moore: This is the substitute, Mr. Chairman and Members of the Conference for the first eight lines, *Daily Christian Advocate*, Page 68, Column 3, paragraph Number 5, "Salaries of Bishops elected by the Central Conferences shall be determined by the respective Central Conferences in accordance with the provisions of paragraph 559.1, *Discipline* of 1960."

Bishop Middleton: Will the tellers take their places quickly. We have much work to do this afternoon, tonight, and tomorrow. On some of these mechanical things we can make speed. Are the tellers in order? You have heard the substitute offered by the delegates from Malaya. If you will favor the substitute, will you stand? Have you

voted? For those who oppose, please stand. Has everyone voted?

The tellers will proceed to tally the votes. The Conference will be in order now to hear the vote.

Substitute Prevails

Leon T. Moore: Mr. Chairman and Members of the Conference, the Chairman had a pretty good eye: 377 for, 309 against. It carries.

Report No. 12, Including Substitute, Adopted

Bishop Middleton: This means that the Substitute now becomes the main motion. Are you ready. You are under previous question. If you will approve Report No. 12 found on page 510 with the inclusion of the substitute you have just adopted, will you lift the hand. Down. Opposed, like sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 665; see Appendix, page 2115.)

Report No. 13 Presented—Edwin L. Jones, Sr.

Edwin L. Jones: Mr. Chairman, we will come back to the report which I was presenting a little while ago before we conclude. There are a few in the house that I will ask to please be patient.

Turn please to the mimeographed Report No. 13 which is in your hands. This is more or less of an omnibus thing and I will go through it as hurriedly as I know how, and we will have to make some changes. If you will turn to page 65, in the *Daily Christian Advocate*, I believe you can follow me.

Bishop Middleton: Brother Jones, this has not been in the *Daily Christian Advocate*, has it?

Rules Suspended

Mr. Jones: No, and I move that we suspend the rules so it can be considered.

Bishop Middleton: It is before you. Those who would suspend the rules for this purpose, lift the hand. Down. Opposed, like sign. The rules are suspended. (DCA, page 665.)

Edwin L. Jones: On page 65, column 3, you will find four general notes. We need to make two more general notes. One will be numbered 5 and will read "The Pension Equalization Fund shall be applied on Pension equalization in the Central Jurisdiction and the Rio Grande Conference as ordered in Report No. 11, paragraph 2, item A, leaving $260,000 to be apportioned separately in the Temporary General Aid Fund."

Shall we take these separately or as a whole?

Bishop Middleton: It is up to the body. Shall we take them as a whole? As a whole. Proceed.

Edwin L. Jones: Then we will add paragraph 6 immediately following, "The Contingency Reserve shall be used as follows."

We find we have two items to add to the Board of Christian Social Concerns. The Council on World Service and Finance recommends as per their requests that they have $25,000 additional to use for an enlarged program at the Church Center at the United Nations, and so that would be—that would come out of the Contingency reserve.

Then to the Board of Christian Social Concerns was given the matter of this study of Church and State, and they have requested

just slightly—right around $8,000 per year, so we recommend $8,000, so that this No. 6 will read as follows: "The Contingency Reserve which is set up above shall be used as follows: To the Board of Christian Social Concerns for Program at the Church Center at the United Nations, $25,000.

"To the Board of Christian Social Concerns for Church and State Study, $8,000."

That would reduce the contingency reserve and we would be happy we had something to work with, to a figure of $16,485 to be used as determined by the Council on World Service and Finance or its executive committee.

Then on page 70, and these are all corrections that have to be made because of your actions and the changes which have been made, in the general administration fund, we recommend that the General Conference expense be changed from $135,000 to $160,000. This is an addition of $25,000 a year. The explanation for that is that it was supposed that we would have enough left for the next General Conference, but we will have a special session. We knew that we had some reserve that could be used on the next General Conference, but with your action on setting up a special session of General Conference, we think we ought to have a greater cushion. This Conference is costing perhaps $100,000 more than the Denver Conference. We need to be on the safe side, and we need to put in the middle of column 1, page 70, immediately following the 1,400 delegates, something that will be worded something like this: "This amount is intended to partly cover the contingency of a special called session of the General Conference and the Conference of 1960. That is the enlarged item that we are recommending for the General Conference.

Then, on item 11 of the General Administration Fund, you have enlarged the duties and size of the Commission on Ecumenical Consultation, so you will delete the amount of $3,900 and insert the amount of $40,000. They will have a secretary. They will have an office. They will have a great many meetings, and that is the minimum that we could figure it would require for that purpose.

Item 12 is shown there. That has been abolished. The Commission on Church Union, but you have ordered an ad hoc committee to work with the details of the merger with the Evangelical United Brethren Church, and they will be required to hold many meetings, many consultations, and do considerable printing, so we include an item for the ad hoc committee of that Commission of $8,000.

Then, we are adding two new items as per your direction. Item 19, for the Commission to Study the Ministry, $10,000.

Item No. 20, Commission on Structure of Methodism Overseas, $12,500.

Then, if you will adopt those suggestions, the total would read $1,025,993."

Then on report No. five, page 71, column 1, note two, third line—and this is simply by way of preventing any ambiguity—insert the word "triennial" so that it would read "the General Board, the Triennial General Assembly" and this would make it agree with the *Daily Christian Advocate*, page 523, calendar No. 350.

If you turn to your second page of this Mimeographed sheet, I have covered some of those items. There is also mention made on the second sheet of editorial changes that will have to be made in the *Discipline* to take, for instance, church union, out of authorization, and add in some other things that do come out of the General Administration Fund.

Mr. Chairman, that completes that portion of the report.

Report No. 13 Adopted

Bishop Middleton: It is before you. Are there questions? Are you ready? Those who will adopt will lift the hand. Down. Opposed, like sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 666; see Appendix, page 2115.)

Council on World Service and Finance Report Completed

Edwin L. Jones: Mr. Chairman, the Council on World Service and Finance has now completed all its reports and they have been adopted seriatim. I move adoption of the report as a whole.

Motion to Reconsider Report No. 11—Edwin E. Reeves

Edwin E. Reeves (Southern California-Arizona—W): I have a matter of personal privilege because on page 407, the Council on World Service and Finance Report No. 11, point C, is in contradiction to the action of a motion that was made on April 30, concerning minimum support. Therefore, I would like to move reconsideration.

Bishop Middleton: The motion is for reconsideration of Item 11, page 407, for the purpose of harmonizing these two actions. If you will so order, will you lift your hand. Down. Opposed, like sign. It is so ordered. (DCA, page 666.)

Request to Clarify Contradiction—Edwin E. Reeves

Edwin E. Reeves: I would like to suggest that Number C in Report No. 11 use the word on page 34 "minimum salaries." "An Annual Conference shall be eligible for assistance from the Temporary General Aid Fund for minimum cash salary purposes for Conference members and approved Supply Pastors serving a pastoral charge full time if the minister is receiving at least $1,000 annual cash salary from his pastoral charge, provided, however, that the support from the fund will be granted for minimum cash salary purposes only if such conferences maintain a minimum cash salary fund, and to the extent that it provides the proportional total salary cost as outlined in the following schedule."

Bishop Middleton: May I ask, Mr. Jones, do you have objection to harmonizing this language?

Edwin L. Jones: I would like to ask one question. This thing has caused considerable confusion. I believe the report of the Interjurisdictional Commission provided a figure thereof—was it $67,500?

Edwin E. Reeves: Yes, $67,500 per annum and $270,000 for the quadrennium.

Edwin L. Jones: Yes, and this figure of $160,000 which is considerably more came to us from the Board of Pensions, I believe, as needed to supplement salaries after transfer. We are talking about two different things.

Edwin E. Reeves: But the motion was before the transfer. It dealt with the transfer from the Central Jurisdiction and the Rio Grande Conference as such.

Committee Agrees to Change in Figure—Edwin L. Jones

Edwin L. Jones: We will accept the change as being the will of the Conference and the figure will go to $67,500 as was included

in the motion which has now been pointed out to us, I believe on page 166.

Bishop Middleton: Are you suggesting, Brother Jones, that without this motion, you will accept this?

Edwin L. Jones: Yes.

Bishop Middleton: Your motion has been accepted.

Motion to Keep Amount at $160,000—George H. Atkinson

George H. Atkinson (California-Nevada—W): Mr. Chairman, I would like to move an Amendment that we keep the same amount, $160,000, and if I can have a second, I will speak to it in just a minute.

The motion was seconded.

George H. Atkinson: This matter came up for considerable debate in the Committee of Thirty-Six and originally it would have read the way Mr. Jones' original Amendment read, which meant the Central Jurisdictional Conferences would get no help until after they had switched over to the Geographical Conferences. We thought that would put unfair pressure on them so the way the report actually came in, the final action of the Committee was that any Central Conference, or Rio Grande Conference got this help.

Now, then, if the $67,500 takes care of them only until the time they switch, we need the additional money back up to the $160,000 because there is another provision that says that this money follows them into the geographical Jurisdictions, and they will still need it there and I think the higher figure is the proper one. I think that the World Service Council is correct in their figure, but it should apply to all Conferences and not just to those who have transferred.

Comment by Committee Chairman

Edwin L. Jones: These figures were worked out carefully and the confusion comes from trying to do one thing rather than the other. The $67,500 which we understand is carried in the motion which starts on page 166 and is adopted over on a later page, the $67,500 would be used before transfer. Therefore, you would need the difference and you should make a separation between $67,500 and $160,000, one for one purpose and the balance for the other purpose, after transfer.

Inquiry for Clarification—Leonard Slutz

Leonard Slutz (Ohio—NC): I may be hoping for too much, but I wonder whether Mr. Jones and Brother Atkinson would both agree that we could accomplish their joint purpose if we would say $160,000 for minimum salaries in the Central Jurisdiction and the Rio Grande Conference and where transfers are made from Central Jurisdictional Conferences and to geographical Jurisdictions and where major differences in annuity rates exist, so that the $160,000 goes at least in part to help minimum salaries in the Central Jurisdiction as well as to help in Conferences to which transfers are made.

Would Mr. Jones and Mr. Atkinson accept that?

Bishop Middleton: He has nodded his assent.

Edwin L. Jones: Suppose it is all used up before transfer?

Leonard Slutz: It certainly would be within the power of the board to which we have referred this to divide as they think equitable.

Edwin L. Jones: I will accept Mr. Slutz's suggestion.

Report No. 11 Re-Adopted

Bishop Middleton: Then there is no Amendment before us. Are you ready on the adoption of the report? If you will so order, will you lift your hand? Down. Opposed, like sign. It is so ordered. (DCA, page 667; see Appendix, page 2113.)

Concluding Statement

Edwin L. Jones: Mr. Chairman, this completes the reports of the Council on World Service and Finance, and I call your attention to the fact that you have dealt in large sums. There is no particular virtue in large sums unless they are used wisely and well, and are given liberally and conceive the purpose for which they were given.

Last year through the central treasury there was passed $33,872,000. We have increased these sums by about $4,000,000 per year, so that there will, as your funds are given into the church coffers, there will go in during this quadrennium to the central treasurer at least $38,000,000 per year, or for the quadrennium a minimum of $152,000,000.

We are not talking about small amounts. We do thank you for the help you have given us on this and we would like to have Bishop Martin, our chairman, express our thanks in a better way than I would ever be able to do.

Personal Privileged Motion to Reconsider—Carl Sanders

Carl Sanders (Virginia—SE): Mr. Chairman, I move that we reconsider the Amendment to the report of the Commission on Church Union as found on page 319 of the *Daily Christian Advocate* for the purpose of adding a very important item. Page 319. If I can get a second, I would like to explain why.

Bishop Middleton: Is there a second? It is before you.

Carl Sanders: If this is reconsidered, I would like to move the addition of a sentence to Paragraph 3. We have found it demonstrated in this Conference that there have been those who have come to this Conference without material that would make it possible for them to know adequately what is happening.

In Section 3, it is proposed that the plan of union with the Evangelical United Brethren Church as presented, together with Amendments, and the record of General Conference discussion and debate, be referred to the proper Commission for further study and discussion with the Evangelical United Brethren Commission on Church Union and the bringing of a perfected plan of union to the 1966 special session for review and action.

I am asking for this to be reconsidered for the addition of a sentence, and that sentence to be: "this perfected plan of union shall be mailed to the delegates of the Methodist General Conference at least 90 days prior to the special session of the 1966 Conference.

Bishop Middleton: Just a moment. We must take—are you against consideration? Will you proceed to make Roman No. XII, Mr. Parlin?

Committee Accepts Proposal—Charles C. Parlin

Charles C. Parlin (Newark—NE): I assume, sir, you must take the vote on reconsideration. The Committee will accept this as soon as it is reconsidered.

Bishop Middleton: If the committee accepts it, will you withdraw your motion?

Carl Sanders: Yes.

Bishop Middleton: Thank you very much. The committee accepted it.

Report of Committee on Ministry Resumed

William R. Cannon (North Georgia—SE): Mr. Chairman, members of the Conference, before continuing this, may I be given one word of privilege? I want to apologize to this Council for the use of an intemperate special which I did not intend to use and the severe implications of which I would not have indulged in at all. You see, after you work half the night on these reports and have to speak off-the-cuff, you are apt to say things that you don't mean to say.

I come from a section of the country where we are eager to get on, now, with the business that this Conference has decided for us to perform. We want unity. We want progress. We want success. I was in hopes that we would always pass legislation that would further that.

Certainly, for myself, and I think for all of us in the South, we want to be thought of first as Christians, and secondly as Methodists, without sectional aims or concerns, but that is neither here nor there.

I desire the fellowship, the understanding, and the love of this entire body, and I would not for anything have offended any of my Negro brethren. They may not need me, but certainly I need them, and so if I did anything wrong, I ask not just your forgiveness, but the forgiveness of God.

There is a phrase in the *Prayer Book*, "spare us miserable offenders."

There is another, "there is no health in us," which I think we have left out of our *Book of Worship*. Maybe you don't need it, but I sure need it—and I think that what I did was less an error of the heart than just an off-cuff remark which showed that I had less sense than I thought I had, but I ask your forgiveness.

Bishop Middleton: Brother Cannon, may I interrupt a moment? The ballot for the alternate members of the Judicial Council are ready; do you want to receive it at this time and take another ballot?

Matter of Personal Privilege—William H. Alderson

William H. Alderson (New York East—NE): Mr. Chairman, at the close of the report of the Commission on World Service and Finance, the request was made that Bishop Paul Martin make a statement. You did not see him. You turned to other work. I hate to think of a Bishop with a speech bottled up in him and unable to give it. I think he should be recognized before the Bishops retire.

Bishop Middleton: Has Brother Martin gotten too far out? Looks like another apology is in order. Brother Paul, they tell me you have a speech bottled up. We are grateful for the work of the Council, and the delegates expect you to speak to them.

Statement of Appreciation—Bishop Paul Martin

Bishop Martin: I am afraid their enthusiasm was because they thought I was out of the room.

I would not take the time of this very great group without expressing a word of deep appreciation for the wonderful cooperation that has been shown us. We had to go to the Board of Pensions, to the National Division of the Board of Missions, and ask for figures to be provided for us in a very short period of time; but there, as on every hand, this Council has had your cooperation. We are simply eager to serve all the agencies of the Church in the very best way possible. Thank you very much.

Ballot for Judicial Council Alternates Announced

Bishop Middleton: I wonder, Dr. Moore, if we could—this may not be strictly according to procedure, but could we save a little time by having you tellers get ready to distribute?

Secretary Instructs Tellers

Leon T. Moore: Yes, those on page 94, right-hand side, marked reserve, take the places. Wait, we will give out the ballots and you can check them. Will the tellers stand and take their places, please.

Bishop Middleton: Are you ready to hear the report of our Secretary, Dr. Moore? Please be quiet so we can all hear.

Walter G. Williams Elected

Leon T. Moore: Mr. Chairman and members, 683 ballots were cast, 7 defective, leaving a total to be counted of 676. Necessary to elect, 339.

Walter G. Williams, ministerial, Western Jurisdiction, Rocky Mountain, 383 votes and he is elected. No other elections.

May I give you the rest of the count? Taking the ministerial Nominees: J. Henry Chitwood, 259; Cecil R. Culver, 62; G. Lemuel Fenn, 102; Albert Dale Hagler, 140; W. T. Handy, Jr., 81; John J. Hicks, 63; James G. Huggins, 85; Harold Hughes, 168; Nat G. Long, 175; Farris F. Moore, 62; Cleo C. Sessions, 72; Jack M. Tuell, 237; H. Hughes Wagner, 96; and John B. F. Yoak, Jr., 18. The Lay Nominees: Samuel Bautista, 195; R. Lee Blackwell, 179; Robert D. Blue, 277; John M. Cranston, 111; Francis Dale, 128; Frank Dominick, 135; Fremont C. Fletcher, 290; Donald E. Holbrook, 225; Walter L. Hunt, 205; Mrs. John O. Mabuce, 125; and Willard A. Zellmer, 103.

Mr. Chairman, that means we must elect two ministers, one of whom must be from the Southeastern Jurisdiction. We must still elect three laymen, and one must be from the North Central Jurisdiction.

Bishop Middleton: Will the delegates prepare their ballots?

Request for Names of All Who Have Been Elected

Edwin E. Reeves (Southern California-Arizona—W): Would it not be well now to read all who were elected?

Bishop Middleton: What do you want? The call is for all who have been read.

Leon T. Moore: On the ministerial, nominees: Charles Copher, Robert Floyd Curl, Francis E. Kearns, Harold S. Swales, and Walter

G. Williams. Lay Nominees: Vincent P. Clarke, Richard C. Erwin, J. Carlyle Holler, and Donald A. Odell.

Bishop Middleton: Will you, therefore, prepare your ballots for two ministers, one of whom must come from the Southeastern Jurisdiction, and three laymen, one of whom must come from the North Central Jurisdiction.

When you have prepared your ballot, will you pass it to the aisle?

Parliamentary Procedure Proposed—Arthur V. Long

Arthur V. Long (South Iowa—NC): Mr. Chairman, members of the Conference, we suspended the rules of the General Conference and our presiding officer said that we also amended the *Discipline.*

Bishop Middleton: May I ask you a moment, will this affect the ballot that is being taken now?

Arthur V. Long: No.

Bishop Middleton: Then we can let the tellers go, is that right?

Arthur V. Long: Yes.

Bishop Middleton: Proceed.

Motion to Restore Paragraph 901 to Original Wording—Arthur V. Long

Arthur V. Long: The question is whether we should not now proceed to restore the *Discipline* to its original wording that in Paragraph 901 in the 1960 *Discipline.* I would like to move that we restore Paragraph 901 to the wording of the 1960 *Discipline.*

Bishop Middleton: I am not sure that I was in the room this morning. Is this necessary? It is before you.

Point of Order—William F. Dunkle, Jr.

William F. Dunkle, Jr. (Peninsula—NE): I think the brother's point is pretty well taken. I just wondered if we had better not wait until everybody is elected.

Bishop Middleton: May I take just a moment and ask Dr. Leiffer to explain what happened?

Explanation Made by Murray Leiffer

Murray Leiffer: I hope it may help, Mr. Chairman. I believe that what occurred this morning was that the Chair agreed to a suspension of the action of the law, Paragraph 901, that the motion might be received.

No Amendment to 901 was placed. No words were changed. Therefore, they should not need to be restored.

Bishop Middleton: In other words, they were suspended. I will have to rule, then, that it is not necessary.

Arthur V. Long: The Bishop presiding this morning said to Mr. Slutz that he was indicating that it was an Amendment to the *Discipline,* and it was his reply to Mr. Slutz in a question.

Bishop Middleton: What does the body want to do? Do you want to vote to get it over with?

I think that the answer that has been given, with the understand-

ing that we feel that that provision was suspended for the one purpose is sufficient if you agree. We will make that agreement. All right?

Report No. 13, Committee on Ministry, Presented and Adopted—William R. Cannon

William R. Cannon (North Georgia—SE): Mr. Chairman, brethren, we left off on page 439 with the calendar item 232, report No. 13. That is "encourage candidates for the ministry to acquire their basic theological education in one of the official Methodist seminaries." I move adoption.

Bishop Middleton: It is before you. If you so order, lift your hand. Down. Opposed, like sign. It is so ordered. (DCA, page 669; see Appendix, page 1537.)

Report No. 15, Committee on Ministry, Presented—William R. Cannon

William R. Cannon: Now, over to page—It is No. 15 that is now before us. What page is that, somebody, I have lost the place?

Bishop Middleton: Page 439, Calendar 234, Report 15.

William R. Cannon: That is Jurisdictional Conferences shall set standard for admission on trial and in full connection for bilingual and provisional Annual Conferences.
I will ask Dr. Crutchfield to explain that.

Report Explained—Finis A. Crutchfield

Finis A. Crutchfield (Oklahoma—SC): Thank you, Mr. Chairman. The proposed legislation here was written by a group of persons in the Commission on the Ministry who were interested in protecting orders in the Oklahoma Indian Mission and in other mission conferences. Now that the report this morning was remanded for an additional quadrennium of study to a new study commission, 1964 to 1968, I am quite sure it would be the unanimous opinion of all of those on that committee, and I believe all of those on the Committee on Ministry, to remand this also for it should go with it. Therefore, someone from the floor, who has the right to the floor at this time, should make a motion that this be referred to the Study Commission, rather than to be passed here or to be turned down here.

Motion to Include Central Conferences—Eugene L. Smith

Eugene L. Smith (Newark—NE): I would be grateful for the opportunity to make that motion and include also the Central Conferences inasmuch as the Central Conferences in Germany will be very much affected by this same matter of church union and the question has been raised by some delegations from Germany. May they be included also in the referral? I so move.

Committee Accepts Smith Proposal

Finis A. Crutchfield: I accept it, Dr. Smith. May I add something, Bishop? There is another bit of Legislation which was to have been proposed as an Amendment. We will refer all of it to the new Study Commission.

Report Referred to New Study Commission

Bishop Middleton: Refer the entire matter. The motion is one of referral. If you will refer, will you lift your hand? Down. Opposed, like sign. It is referred. (DCA, page 669; see Appendix, page 1538.)

Report No. 12, Committee on Ministry, Adopted—William R. Cannon

William R. Cannon: Bishop and members of the Conference, Dr. White calls my attention to the fact that we did not dispose of Report No. 12, Calendar 231, page 439. "Cases of discontinuance on trial and termination of supply status. The Board of Ministerial Training shall file information with Bishop." As a part of the permanent record, we move adoption of that.

Bishop Middleton: It is before you. Are there questions? If you will so order, will you lift your hand? Down. Opposed, like sign. It is so ordered. (DCA, page 669; see Appendix, page 1536.)

Report No. 21, Committee on Ministry, Presented—William R. Cannon

William R. Cannon: Then Calendar item 264, Report 21, same page. Now, this is a Constitutional Amendment, Bishop. And it has two provisions: lists as members of Annual Conference, supply pastors and we as traveling preachers in full connection, if supply pastors have been under full-time appointment for four successive years immediately preceding that Annual Conference, and they are members together with the lay delegates.

It gives to the supply pastor the right to vote except on two issues, matters of ordination, character, and conference relation of the ministry; and also, on delegates to General and Jurisdictional Conferences. They cannot vote on those two issues. It is a Constitutional Amendment. It is being recommended as concurrence, and we will have to take that count vote.

Inquiry—W. D. Lester

W. D. Lester (Central West—C): I would like to ask Dr. Cannon a question in regards to the statement that he just made. If a Supply Pastor will be given a right to vote on certain things, others he will not, I would like to know what is his status when it is compared with that of a layman? A layman from his church, a lay delegate is a layman. Will this supply pastor be classed in the Annual Conference on voting as a layman?

Reply—William R. Cannon

William R. Cannon: Doctor, that is a very fine question and it may be over my head. I will just have to answer as it appears to me. Since he is excluded from voting on purely ministerial matters, I would think that so far as practical work is concerned, he would be voting with the laity rather than with the full clergy.

Would that be your understanding of it, because I am sure your judgment on the matter would be good as well?

W. D. Lester: Thank you. This is my understanding. If that is true, then you give a charge two lay delegates, instead of a lay delegate and a ministerial delegate, and the law is that you ought to have one of each.

If a supply pastor is to vote as a layman and you elect a layman from that same charge, that gives this charge two laymen and no minister.

Inquiry and Explanation—Charles Tyler

Charles Tyler (Indiana—NC): I should like to ask a question. I believe that it applies to the matter that is before us, page 670 of the *Discipline*. Judicial Council decision 112 reads "an approved supply pastor represents his charge as a pastor in every respect except the right to vote. Therefore, he cannot accept the charge and be a pastor a lay member at the same time. Is this not an answer to the question, he is not a lay member, he is a pastor?

Report Being Considered As a Constitutional Amendment

Bishop Middleton: Let me remind you that you are proposing an Amendment to the Constitution which would become law.

William R. Cannon: That is right. He has been excluded you see; heretofore if he was not elected a lay representative. This would make him automatically a member of the Conference and there would be the lay representative as well, just as the preceding speaker so wisely pointed out.

Dr. Clark is trying to get the microphone. If he could stand by it, this is the Amendment that he proposed and defended before our Committee, and I am sure he can answer the questions better than I.

Statement for Clarification—Alva H. Clark

Alva H. Clark (Nebraska—SC): The effect of this Amendment, sir, is to define a third type or category of membership in the Annual Conference. We have ministerial members, we have lay members, according to our Constitution. We propose that without affecting the voting qualities or powers of either the ministerial members or lay members who have, by Amendment 10, and also by a preceding article of the Constitution, certain responsibilities reserved to them; we propose a third category that would enable the approved supply pastor with four years of service immediately preceding, the privilege of voting on program matters in the Annual Conferences for which they carry such a tremendous responsibility and to them all of us are deeply indebted.

Motion to Refer Proposal to Study Committee—Dwight Loder

Dwight Loder (Rock River—NC): Bishop Middleton, this matter —let me make my motion first.

I move that this matter be remanded to the Study Committee on the Ministry which has been given the responsibility of defining the ministry at the forthcoming special session of the General Conference. If I have a second—

The motion was seconded.

I am not going to take the time of the General Conference to explain this, except to say this is a very serious matter; and for us to begin to change the present situation with regards to the ministry,

and to ignore the fact that we have a study on hand seems to me to be a rather thoughtless procedure.

I believe a responsible attitude in this matter would be to include it with all other matters relating to the ministry in order that we might properly consider it at a future time.

Committee Willing to Accept Loder Proposal

William R. Cannon: While I am on the platform, the officers of the committee are willing to accept this if Dr. Clark would indicate his reaction and what he thinks the committee would be willing to do. I do not want to go over his judgment on this.

Dr. Clark Unwilling to Have Matter Referred

Alva Clark: I do not believe, sir, that this is a thing which properly is to be referred to the committee established this morning. I would like to state the reasons for it.

Statement Opposing Referral

John Herr (Philadelphia—NE): Yes, I am speaking against the referral. There are many categories of approved supplies, that is a term which is used very widely in The Methodist Church, although I believe this committee is planning to change that term in other recommendations that they are going to bring to us. If they do, I think this should be brought in line with that, but in any event, an approved supply who is full-time, who has full annuity credit, a student is an approved supply. He may or may not have an annuity claim depending on the Conference of which he is a member, and then there are others who are approved supplies. Anyone who has a church is supposed to be approved. It is the thought of this that everyone be given a vote in the Annual Conference except on these ministerial items and other exceptions that were made. I think it would be very unwise to do this.

Point of Order—John Satterfield

John Satterfield (Mississippi—SE): Point of order. I believe Dr. Clark was in order when he started to state the reasons he did not want it referred. He is responsible for a matter before the house. He desired to state to the House the reasons he opposed the referral, and I believe he was in order, and I would like very much to hear his reasons. The point of order is that he was in order when he requested to state the reasons he did not want it referred.

Bishop Explains His Ruling

Bishop Middleton: I ruled simply that he was not in order for the simple reason that he was asked a specific question. I felt that he had answered the question and answered it satisfactorily.

If the body of the House feels they would like to have him state the question, it is up to you. If you will sustain the Chair in his decision—

John Satterfield: I do not appeal from the ruling of the Chair. I think you should always regard the ruling of the Chair unless it is something very unusual.

Statement Favoring Referral—Stanley Williams

Stanley Williams (Central Texas—SC): The Committee on the Ministry has met more hours this year, I think than the men in the last 16 years. This is one of the many things that was before us. There was no agreement that was anything like a majority so far as the committee was concerned. I am very happy that this morning these two plans were referred for study. I hope you will refer this one for study.

Statement Opposing Referral—Lee Moorehead

Lee Moorehead (Ohio—NC): I am speaking against referral. I am sorry the distinguished gentlemen on the stage accepted this because it seems to me that we have for a long time, far too long, kicked our approved supply brethren around from pillar to post, and just as I believe our Negro citizens in this country have a right to vote, I believe the approved supply should be given this dignity and be given it now because four years would be a kind of a postponement that I think really would be unacceptable.

Inquiry Relative to Consistency—Marion Brown

Marion Brown (Ohio—NC): Are we not inconsistent—this is my question—in not taking into consideration other areas of the church's ministry such as the consecrated deaconesses that I mentioned before, certified directors of Christian education?

Last night our legislation went into effect that the Conference Woman's Society president, the Conference Lay Leader have membership now in the Conference. There are other boards and agencies not represented by lay persons. Are we not very inconsistent in this whole thing?

Previous Question Moved—Ennis Hill

Ennis Hill (Southwest Texas—SC): I move the previous question on the matter before us.

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Middleton: If you will sustain the call for the previous question, lift your hand. Down. Opposed, like sign. (DCA, page 671.)

Motion to Take Vote on Referral

Delegate: I move that we take the vote on referral. That does not carry that we delay the vote until the tellers return on a constitutional amendment.

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Middleton: If you will so order this procedure, will you lift the hand? Down. Opposed, like sign. It is so ordered. (DCA, page 671.)

We will then take a vote on the referral. You are now voting under previous question on the motion to refer to the Committee on Ministry. Is that understood? If you so order, will you lift your hand? Down. Opposed, like sign. It is referred. (DCA, page 671; see Appendix, page 1541.)

Report No. 23, Committee on Ministry, Presented—William R. Cannon

William R. Cannon: There is just one more in this series, and I will relinquish the place to another.

No. 450, Calendar 265, Report 23. This is the Constitution of the Interboard Committee on the Ministry to Neglected Areas which is inside the Interboard Commission on the Local Church, and it recommends the adding of a member from the Board of Christian Social Concerns and that is recommended concurrence by the Committee on the Ministry.

Report No. 23 Adopted

Bishop Middleton: It is before you. Are you ready? If you will so order, will you lift your hand? Down. Opposed, like sign. It is so ordered. (DCA, page 671; see Appendix, page 1542.)

Motion to Suspend Rules—Willis M. Tate

Willis M. Tate (North Texas—SC): Bishop Middleton, this Conference has shown that it is willing and determined to work hard and hear the legislative reports. We are committed to finish them all before we go to bed tonight. The quicker, the sooner. The Judicial Council has indicated that there are four possible actions that these memorials may take, one for concurrence, one on nonconcurrence, one on referral, and one on withdrawal. Many have been acted on under other orders, and other calendars, and may be withdrawn. If you wish to reconsider nonconcurrence reports, please contact the chairman of that committee and this will save the house voting reconsideration.

I would like to move that the rules be suspended to allow us to consider all of the reports that are printed before you—that means all of them today, and I think this will save time individually.

Rules Suspended

Bishop Middleton: The motion is properly before you because it comes from a committee. If you will so order, will you lift your hand? Down. Opposed, the same sign. So ordered. (DCA, page 671.)

Report of Committee on Publishing Interests Called For

Willis M. Tate: You are still under the three minute rule. Thank you for your help.

We will hear from the Committee on Publishing Interests—Dr. Carl Sanders.

Motion of Privilege—Loyd F. Worley

Loyd F. Worley (New York East—NE): A motion of privilege, which I think, will be undebatable.

In view of the large number of constitutional amendments, we direct the editor of the *Daily Christian Advocate* to include a special supplement containing the text of the proposed amendments to be made available to all Conference members at least three weeks before the Conference vote is taken on ratification.

It was seconded.

If that is seconded, I wish to say two sentences.

The point is that on Amendment XII, I know from consulting a number of people in different Conferences, that many of them were not aware of the text of it until it was brought to their attention at the Annual Conference and they had very little time to think it through.

If this privileged motion is adopted, there will be no real excuse for anyone not being informed concerning the large number of proposed constitutional amendments.

Statement Opposing Motion

James Morgan (Southwest Texas—SC): There will not be three weeks before our Annual Conference meets. I would like to see such a supplement, but I would not like to see us prohibited from acting on some of these if we can because of such a motion. We will meet before three weeks passes.

Inquiry

M. J. Jones (East Tennessee—SE): I would like to ask a question. Maybe it should be directed to the Secretary whether or not this would be possible—when it would be possible to include such a text in the last issue of the *Daily Christian Advocate*, that would be mailed?

Answer by Secretary

Leon T. Moore: Mr. Chairman, members of the Conference, of course these amendments must be drawn up in correct legal procedure and form, and I was under the impression—of course I think you can do as you please, you are the Body—that the Secretary of the General Conference has to have these printed and sent down to the Secretaries and Bishops of the Annual Conferences. That's been the procedure which has been followed since I have been connected with the General Conference, going back a good many years. I don't see, Mr. Chairman, how within three weeks we can get them all drawn up, processed and mailed.

Bishop Middleton: Are you saying, Dr. Moore, that the Conferences that meet immediately upon the adjournment, or very shortly, will not be able to vote on Constitutional Amendments for the year 1964? Is that what you are saying?

Leon T. Moore: That would include my own Annual Conference of which Bishop Corson is the presiding Bishop; we meet next Wednesday.

Suggestion for Change in Motion—G. Alfred Brown

G. Alfred Brown (Central Texas—SC): May I suggest to the one who made the motion if he would alter the motion and make it a request that these be published as soon as practicable, and then leave it to the folks to work it out; they may be able to get in immediately and some may take a little longer. I don't know who made the motion.

Reply by Maker of Motion

Loyd Worley: Mr. Chairman, it is obvious from what the Secretary has said that Conferences that meet in a few weeks couldn't be able

to vote on the exact text anyway. Indeed, on Amendment XII, it was a year or 15 months after the adjournment that we had an opportunity of considering the text, and it would seem to me that there would be no particular point in accepting this because it will not solve anything since the exact constitutional text can't be before them, anyway, at such an early date.

Worley Motion Not Adopted

Bishop Middleton: I think the body is ready to vote. Are you? If you will so order, lift your hand. Down. Opposed, like sign. I do not think it is ordered. (DCA, page 672.)

Report No. 13, Committee on Publishing Interests—Carl Sanders

Carl Sanders: Mr. Chairman, reporting on your legislative Committee on Publishing Interests, I think we can finish this in a little while. Page 354, *Daily Christian Advocate*, Publishing Interests, Calendar 141, Report No. 13, has to do with the publishing of the new *Hymnal* and *Book of Worship*. We recommend concurrence because this has been ordered by the General Conference.

Report Adopted

Bishop Middleton: Are you ready? If you so order, lift the hand. Down. Opposed, like sign. It is ordered. (DCA, page 672; see Appendix, page 1651.)

Report No. 15, Committee on Publishing Interests, Adopted —Carl Sanders

Carl Sanders: Page 354, Column 2, Calendar 143, Report No. 15, this has to do with the *Hymnal*, the Braille Edition. We understand the Methodist Publishing House will produce this *Hymnal* as soon as possible, and therefore, we recommend concurrence.

Bishop Middleton: Are there any questions? If so order concurrence, will you lift your hand? Down. Opposed, like sign. So ordered. (DCA, page 672; see Appendix, page 1652.)

Report No. 16, Committee on Publishing Interests, Adopted —Carl Sanders

Carl Sanders: Page 354, Column 2, bottom of the page, Calendar 144, Report No. 16, has to do with the bishops, and we have two of them on the Board of Publications. The bishops to be on the Executive Committee. The change in the *Discipline* as indicated in the bold type where the two bishops selected by the Council of Bishops to serve on the Board, shall be ex officio with vote.

Heretofore, the two bishops have not been on the Executive Committee. They have been invited to each meeting of the Executive Committee, but they were not always able to get their calendars arranged because they did not know exactly when the Executive Committee was going to meet until the letter arrived.

We are simply requesting and we vote concurrence that they be allowed to be a part of the Executive Committee.

Bishop Middleton: Are there questions? Are you ready? If you

would so order, will you lift your hand? Down. Opposed, like sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 672; see Appendix, page 1652.)

Report No. 17, Committee on Publishing Interests, Adopted —Carl Sanders

Carl Sanders: Page 354, Column 3, Calendar 145, Report No. 17. The naming of the periodical as called for in Paragraph 753.3 of the *Discipline*, and this request has come from the Cultivation and Promotion Commission and we vote concurrence.

Inquiry

John Chittum (North-East Ohio—NC): I don't understand what the report is about. The name—it said something about a name, but I don't know what the name is.

Reply

Carl Sanders: The name is under the subject, the Commission on Promotion and Cultivation has asked that we name it in the *Discipline, The Methodist Story.*

Bishop Middleton: Is that a satisfactory answer?

Are you ready? If you will adopt Calendar 145, lift your hand. Down. Opposed, like sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 672; see Appendix, page 1653.)

Report No. 19, Committee on Publishing Interests, Adopted —Carl Sanders

Carl Sanders: The next one is on page 536 of the *Daily Christian Advocate*, Column No. 1, Calendar 430, Report No. 19. It has to do with the manner of the election of members of the Board of Publication. You will note Paragraph 1129 as indicated here. Let me go into this if you don't mind.

Paragraph 1129, Line 2, delete the words, "including two bishops selected by the Council of Bishops" and substitute there for "two of whom shall be bishops selected by the Council of Bishops, and five of whom shall be members-at-large, elected by the Board of Publication."

Bishop, this is an attempt to bring, or an effort to bring, the Board of Publication in line and in harmony with the other boards of the church. On page 188 of the *Daily Christian Advocate*, we gave to the Board of Pensions the privilege of electing six members-at-large. In the *Discipline*, Paragraph 1468, the Board of Evangelism has the privilege of electing 12 members-at-large; Paragraph 1491 the Board of Lay Activities has the privilege of electing 5 members-at-large; Paragraph 1553 of the *Discipline*, the Board of Hospitals and Homes has the privilege of electing 4 members-at-large; Paragraph 1326.3, the Board of Education has the privilege of electing members-at-large; and on page 189 of the *Daily Christian Advocate* in the new structure of the Board of Missions, there is the privilege of electing members-at-large, and we recommend concurrence on this memorial.

Bishop Middleton: It is before you. Are there questions? If you will adopt Calendar Item 430, will you lift your hand? Down. Opposed, like sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 672; see Appendix, page 1653.)

Reports 22 and 23, Committee on Publishing Interests, Adopted—Carl Sanders

Carl Sanders: Page 536, Calendar Items 433 and 434, Reports 22 and 23 have to do with the same subject, actually, the matter of combining periodicals.

We are sympathetic with it and vote concurrence. It is to be referred to the Coordinating Council under Report No. 3 that was adopted from the Coordinating Council of this Conference.

Bishop Middleton: Are you proposing that they be taken together?

Carl Sanders: To save time, yes.

Bishop Middleton: Is there any objection in the body to taking these together, 433 and 434? Are there questions? If you will so order, will you lift your hand? Down. Opposed, like sign. They are adopted. (DCA, page 672; see Appendix, page 1655.)

Report No. 24, Committee on Publishing Interests, Adopted —Carl Sanders

Carl Sanders: Bottom of page 536 and top of 537, Calendar Item No. 435, Report No. 24. Much progress has been made in this field of the policy in the Methodist Publishing House concerning its position, and its policy in the hiring of people without regard to race.

We recommend concurrence of Item No. 435.

Bishop Middleton: Are there questions? Are you ready? If you will so order, will you lift your hand?

Statement Concerning Report—J. E. Lowery

J. E. Lowery (Central Alabama—C): I do not have a question. I want to speak to the motion, if I may, sir. I have had the privilege of serving as a member of the Board of Publication in the past quadrennium and I am somewhat embarrassed that I find it necessary to disagree generally with the statement that the Methodist Publishing House has moved forward in providing equal opportunity in employment, and I therefore rise to raise—not to make an amendment, but simply to express for the record my hope that in the next quadrennium that this memorial represents a firm intention on the part of the Methodist Publishing House to eliminate from its employment policy, that is its policy in recruiting, utilizing personnel without regard to racial discrimination, to eliminate it and move forward on the basis of the spirit and letter contained in this memorial.

Bishop Middleton: I think we would almost have to assume in presenting and adopting memorials that we would take for granted the sincerity both of the memorial and the intention to carry it out.

Thank you very much.

I didn't actually announce the vote. It has not been taken. I assume this is a point of order?

Comments for Clarification—Byron Stroh

Byron Stroh (North Indiana—NC): I speak as the chairman of the sub-committee which presented this memorial. The wording is not the wording which came to the committee. I discovered that on page 539, top of the page, middle column, in the Report of the Committee on Christian Social Concerns, that the exact statement of the memorial which came to us and the Board of Christian Social

Concerns is here in a memorial, Report No. 16. This is exactly the statement of the original memorial to our committee.

Bishop Middleton: Are you pointing out that if this Report No. 16 of the Christian Social Concerns is adopted it will be in conflict with this present motion?

Byron Stroh: I am saying, sir, it says—better it says more inclusively because it includes all boards, all agencies, all institutions, and it will eliminate a paragraph in the *Discipline.*

A Series of Questions and Suggestions Were Made in an Effort to Work Out the Parliamentary Confusion

Committee Withdraws Report No. 24—Carl Sanders

Carl Sanders: Would it get us out of this parliamentary tangle, if your committee withdrew and Christian Social Concerns Memorial could be taken up later?

Bishop Middleton: I think so. Is there any objection to the chairman withdrawing this memorial at the present time? I hear none.

Report No. 29, Committee on Publishing Interests, Adopted —Carl Sanders

Carl Sanders: We will withdraw this memorial, Calendar 435, and the Christian Social Concerns will bring their memorial later.

There is only one more, Mr. Chairman, page 537, bottom of the second column, Calendar Item 440, Report 29, names of representatives to the National Council of Churches to be published in the *Christian Advocate,* your committee recommends concurrence.

Bishop Middleton: Are there questions: If you will so order, will you lift the hand? Down. Opposed. like sign. It is so ordered. (DCA, page 673; see Appendix, page 1658.)

Statement of Appreciation of Bishops—Carl Sanders

Carl Sanders: Mr. Chairman, let me get this in the record. I regret the Council of Bishops are not here.

Due to tenure of office, two valued members of the Board of Publication appointed by the Council of Bishops will no longer be with us. On behalf of the Board of Publication, I wish to express to the members of this General Conference and to the Council of Bishops our appreciation for their service, and to Bishops Phillips and Short our deep gratitude for their wise counsel and gracious presence in our meetings.

Motion As Matter of Personal Privilege—J. B. Nichols

J. B. Nichols (Alabama-West Florida—SE): Bishop Middleton and members of the Conference, I would like to offer a motion which involves a referral, and if it has a second, I would like to speak briefly about it.

I move that the General Conference of 1964 request the publishers of the *Methodist Hymnal* to explore the possibility of publishing an abridged edition of the new hymnal to serve our smaller churches which have part-time programs of preaching and worship, to report to the Committee on Publishing Interests at the 1968 General Conference.

The motion was seconded.

As a denomination we've made a grave mistake in the years past by directing too much of our program and publications toward larger congregations. Size is not synonymous with importance. Fifteen years ago we finally realized that we had been designing our church school literature for large church schools. When any complaint was made by a small church, we answered, "Take these materials and adapt them to your local needs."

Following that realization, we tailored a set of materials for a small church school.

On Wednesday past, a week ago yesterday, we approved a great new *Hymnal* which will serve a large part of our constituency but not our whole church.

It has been said that Charles Wesley, the bard of Methodism, set John's sermons to music and the people sang our doctrines. The hymn was a tool of evangelism. It was our educational material. In earlier years it was said the *Hymnal* was the *Prayer Book* of Methodist people. Just briefly, I say that in many Methodist Churches, people never say the Apostles' Creed, can't even say the Lord's Prayer unless they know it from memory because they use commercial or non-church song books that have none of our rituals and none of the aids to worship that we ought to have. I feel that we need to explore the possibility of publishing an abridged *Methodist Hymnal* so that we can push this. The hymnal we are publishing is too adequate for the small churches. It is not a matter of cost as much as of size. I think it would be a good thing if we explore the possibility of having two editions of the hymnal at some time.

Bishop Middleton: Your motion is one of referral, isn't it?

J. B. Nichols: Yes.

Suggestion for Change in Motion—Carl Sanders

Carl Sanders: Bishop, this referral ought to be to the Commission on Worship. They are responsible for editorial content and not the Board of Publication.

Bishop Middleton: Brother Nichols, would you be willing to change your motion to refer it to the Committee on Ritual?

J. B. Nichols: Yes.

Bishop Middleton: It is before you. If you so order, lift your hand. Down. Opposed, like sign. It is referred. (DCA, page 674.)

Question Raised—W. W. Reid

W. W. Reid (New York East—NE) raised a question relative to the disposal of Report No. 28 of the Committee on Publishing Interests. It was pointed out that since this report carried recommendation of non-concurrence no further action is required.

Answer by Chairman of Chairmen—Willis M. Tate

Willis M. Tate: I think those that are voted non-concurrence with referral, came under the rule that you voted all non-concurrences, they would be referred. Those who are carried with referral on it will be referred by your action last night. Does this do it?

Time Extended

Bishop Middleton: Will you extend the time to hear these two

reports? Those who will extend the time, lift the hand. Opposed, same sign. It is extended. (DCA, page 674.)

We extended the time only for these two reports.

Inquiry—Arthur Colley

Arthur Colley (Western Pennsylvania—NE): Bishop, you did not tell us whether the matter to refer this abridged *Hymnal* had carried, or had been referred or not. We are in the dark on that particular issue.

Bishop Middleton: What does the record show on the last motion for referral of the small *Hymnal?*

Willis M. Tate: My understanding is that it was referred to the Commission on Worship.

Report No. 2, Committee on Ritual and Orders of Worship, Referred—Amos Thornburg

Amos Thornburg (Rock River—NC): There are two reports on page 187. The first is to refer Report No. 2, Calendar No. 34 to the continuing Committee on Worship. I move that this be done.

Bishop Middleton: The motion is for referral. Are you ready? If you will so order, will you lift your hand? Down. Opposed, like sign. It is so ordered. (DCA, page 674; see Appendix, page 1716.)

Report No. 3, Committee on Ritual and Orders of Worship, Withdrawn—Amos Thornburg

Amos Thornburg: Calendar No. 35 is to be withdrawn because this has already been covered by Calendar No. 53.

Bishop Middleton: Is there any objection from the House? I hear none.

Report No. 17, Committee on Ritual and Orders of Worship, Referred—Amos Thornburg

Amos Thornburg: Page 356, Report No. 17, Calendar No. 154, to be referred to the Committee on—Continuing Committee on Worship.

Bishop Middleton: Motion is for referral. If you will refer, will you lift your hand? Down. Opposed, like sign. It is referred. (DCA, page 674; see Appendix, page 1722.)

Appreciation Expressed by Bishop Middleton

Bishop Middleton: We stand in adjournment after I simply state to you my deep appreciation for your patience. I think we have had a long afternoon, but a profitable one.

Announcements

Several announcements were made by the secretary.

Bishop Middleton: Will you rise for the benediction?

Benediction—Bishop Fred Pierce Corson

Bishop Fred P. Corson: May the grace, mercy from God the Father, the Son, and the Holy Spirit be with you all. Amen.

ELEVENTH DAY, THURSDAY, MAY 7, 1964
EVENING SESSION

Pursuant to Adjournment, the 1964 session of the General Conference of The Methodist Church convened in the evening session of the eleventh day, Thursday, May 7, 1964 at 7:30 p.m. with Bishop Gerald Kennedy presiding.

Bishop Kennedy: I am asking Bishop Golden to lead us in our evening prayer.

Prayer—Bishop Charles Golden

Bishop Golden: Eternal God, our Father, unto Whom we look and to Whom we turn for strength in our moments of weakness, for wisdom when we lose our way along the pathway that leads to Thee.

Our Father, we pray that we may be conscious of Thy presence with us at all times. Fill us with Thy Spirit and purify our hearts that all that we do and all that we say may be a reflection of Thy Spirit, and of the indwelling of Thy presence within us.

We pray for our Conference, and we pray, Father, that as we give ourselves to the several tasks at hand we may know that Thou art with us, and feeling Thy presence, we may lend ourselves to Thee completely; that as we attempt to represent our Church and its various interests, we may see the relationship of the interests we represent and Thy Kingdom.

We pray for the presiding officers tonight, and for these our friends who sit here as delegates in this great Conference of ours. Bless each of them and endow them with a special wisdom that they may truly represent Thee.

In Thy name we pray. Amen.

Bishop Kennedy: Allow me to say to the Conference, we have a great deal to do. You remember in the Second World War, the sign which said, "Is this trip necessary?"

Let's not make speeches that are not necessary, but tonight don't be afraid to do things that need to be done.

If you have a matter of special privilege, will you clear it with the chairman of the Special Privilege Committee, Dr. J. B. Jones.

I am going to be led by Dr. Willis Tate to move to the Legislative items as soon as he can, and take care of those which must be taken care of tonight.

At this time, I would like to recognize Bishop Short for some nominations.

Nominations From Council of Bishops—Bishop Roy Short

Bishop Short: Mr. Chairman, the following corrections in nominations which have already been before the body.

One, add Bishop Noah W. Moore to Commission on Camp Activities.

Two, put Juan Uranga (Rio Grande) on Council on World Service and Finance—in the place of Leon Hickman, who was elected to the Judicial Council.

Three, University Senate, Jack Wilkes for Chancellor Tolley.

Four, Clifford Crummey for Murray Leiffer on the Committee on

Correlation. Dr. Leiffer was elected to the Judicial Council.

Five, Paul Russell, New York, for Leon Hickman on the Washington Corporation.

Six, one to be named on Structure of Methodism Overseas for Gerald Schlessman.

Seven, Fidel P. Galang, Central Philippines, for Mrs. Arsenia A. Cabotaje on Structure of Methodism Overseas.

Commission on Ecumenical Relations: Bishop F. Gerald Ensley; Bishop Roy H. Short; Bishop Prince A. Taylor; Bishop Everett W. Palmer; Bishop John Wesley Lord; and Bishop W. Kenneth Pope. Ministers: Norman Trott, Baltimore; R. Edwin Kimbrough, North Alabama; S. M. Riley, Lexington; Alvin Lindgren, East Wisconsin; Don W. Holter, Kansas; and Lawrence E. Guderian, Oregon. Laymen: Robert E. Knupp, Central Pennsylvania; Charles Marsh, South Carolina; Ira McCullum, Mississippi (C); Melvin Hyde, Indiana; J. Clinton Hawkins, Missouri East; and C. Raymond Meyers, Southern California-Arizona. Lay Women: Dorothy McConnell, New York East; Mrs. E. L. Glossbrenner, Virginia; Teressa Hoover, Southwest; Mrs. Paul Spencer, North-East Ohio; Mrs. Maurice Faubion, Texas; and Mrs. Elizabeth Watson, Oregon.

From Central Committee World Council of Churches (Methodist Members): Bishop James K. Mathews; Mrs. J. Fount Tillman, Tennessee. For the Board of Missions: Eugene Smith, Newark; and Mrs. Porter Brown. For the Board of Education: Gerald O. McCulloh, Minnesota; and Thomas J. VanLoon, Genesee. From Central Conferences: Bishop Sante Uberto Barbieri; and Bishop Mangal Singh.

Two nominations from the World Federation of Methodist Women are yet to come in. From General Board of National Council of Churches: Bishop Richard C. Raines; and Bishop Gerald Kennedy. From Executive Committee World Methodist Council: Bishop Fred Pierce Corson; Lee Tuttle, Western North Carolina; and Edwin Jones, Western North Carolina. Youth: Lane C. McGaughy; John Sonnenday, Missouri East; and Diane Eck, Montana. From Theological Schools: Walter Muelder, New England; Charles W. Ranson; Albert Outler, North Texas; Robert E. Cushman, North Carolina; William R. Cannon, North Georgia; John W. Dickhaut, Ohio; Dwight Loder, Rock River; Joseph Quillian, North Texas; Lowell Swann; Matt J. Winn; and Ernest Colwell, Southern California-Arizona.

Ad Hoc Committee on Evangelical United Brethren Union: Bishop F. Gerald Ensley, Bishop Fred Pierce Corson, Bishop Lloyd C. Wicke, Bishop Roy H. Short, Bishop Friedrich Wunderlich, Charles Calkins, Myron Wicke, Mrs. Porter Brown, Walter Muelder, Norman Trott, Eugene Smith, Sumpter M. Riley, Lovick Pierce, Olin Oeschger, Teressa Hoover, Ernest Colwell, Charles C. Parlin, and Leon Hickman.

Commission on Interjurisdictional Relations: Bishop Everett Palmer; Cecil Ristow, Pacific Northwest; Mrs. Kenneth Sausaman, Rocky Mountain; George H. Atkinson, California-Nevada. Southeastern: Bishop J. O. Smith; D. Trigg James, Holston; Edwin L. Jones, Western North Carolina; and J. C. Satterfield, Mississippi. Central: A Bishop to be elected; Dennis R. Fletcher, Delaware; Mrs. Anita Fields, Lexington; and John T. King, West Texas. North Central: Bishop Edwin R. Garrison; Clarence Ferguson, Rock River; Leonard Slutz, Ohio; Mrs. E. E. Kinkel, Wisconsin. South Central: Bishop Paul V. Galloway; W. H. Dickinson, Jr., North Texas; Keith Mason, Louisiana; and Mrs. Ira Frederick, Nebraska. Northeastern: Bishop W. Vernon Middleton; Dean Richardson, Genesee; G. Wesley Lewis, Wyoming; and Daniel R. Pierce, New York.

Board of Pensions: (A group to be elected by the General Con-

ference, others elected otherwise.) Ministers: Adlai C. Holler, South Carolina; Henry R. High, West Virginia; R. W. O. Knowles, Central Kansas; and C. C. Brown, Central Illinois. Laymen: J. Wesley Hole, Southern California-Arizonia; Vincent M. Mouser, Louisiana; E. W. Hawkins, Tennessee, Central; and Bruce McCullough, Philadelphia.

Bishop Kennedy: If you will elect these nominees, lift the hand. Thank you. Opposed, the same sign. They are elected. (DCA, page 675.)

Courtesies and Privileges—John B. Jones

John B. Jones (Baltimore—NE): Mr. Chairman, members of the Conference, we would like the privilege of the floor for Dr. Paul Hann for a moment.

Paul Hann (South Iowa—NC): Mr. Chairman, members of the Conference, I just want to take a moment to recognize about 40 ministers and their wives and families from the Boone District of South Iowa Conference, standing, I hope, immediately in the section just back by the exit. We are glad to welcome you.

Judicial Council Ballot Announced

Bishop Kennedy: Thank you very much.

I recognize the Secretary, now. It will be necessary to take another ballot.

Leon T. Moore: Mr. Chairman, may I ask the tellers in Group A, regular, to please take their places and pass out ballots. The results of the last ballot, 771 ballots cast, 10 invalid, leaving 761. Needed to elect, 381. Ministerial, Henry Chitwood received 430 and was elected.

Laymen: Robert D. Blue received 491, Fremont C. Fletcher received 511, and elected. This takes care of all the required elections as far as Jurisdictions are concerned. We still have to elect one ministerial and one lay, regardless of Jurisdiction.

The following have been elected:

Ministerial: J. Henry Chitwood, Charles Copher, Robert Floyd Curl, Francis E. Kearns, Harold S. Swales, and Walter G. Williams.

Laymen: Robert D. Blue, Vincent P. Clarke, Richard C. Erwin, Fremond C. Fletcher, J. Carlisle Holler, and Donald A. Odell.

Suggestion to Suspend Rules—Alva I. Cox

Alva I. Cox (North-East Ohio—NC): Would it now be in order to move the suspension of the rules and declare the persons receiving the highest number of votes on the next ballot are elected?

Leon T. Moore: I think the *Discipline* says "elected by majority vote."

Bishop Kennedy: We had better stay with the *Discipline.*

You are voting for one.

Dr. Moore: One preacher and one layman, regardless of Jurisdiction.

Bishop Kennedy: When you have collected the ballots, please bring them forward so we will know that all have voted. Move it as fast as possible, please.

Has everyone voted? Apparently this is finished.

I recognize Brother Tate.

Motion to Modify Rules—Willis M. Tate

Willis M. Tate (North Texas—SC): Bishop Kennedy, the various committees, chairmen of the Legislative Committees are going to try their very best to lead you to adjournment. If we can finish these reports tonight, we are told we can adjourn the General Conference tomorrow noon. I use the word "lead" advisedly. The best story at this Conference was attributed to Judge Childers. They asked a man what he was doing pulling a chain and he said, "Did you ever try to push a chain?"

In that spirit, Bishop, I would like to make a motion if the House concurs that the previous question can be called after one speech from the floor, because we will have the speech from the chairman, and that the speeches from the floor tonight be limited to two minutes.

Bishop Kennedy: Is there a second?

It was seconded.

Bishop Kennedy: The motion is before you. Those in favor, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 676.)

Report No. 1, Committee on Education, Adopted—Charles T. Thrift, Jr.

Charles T. Thrift Jr. (Florida—SE): We have some 21 reports on higher education. Many of them were non-concurrences. The first is Report No. 1, Committee on Education, Calendar 66, page 313.

One of the most significant actions taken by the General Conference eight years ago was when it undergirded higher education by setting a goal of a dollar a member. This report asks and has already been adopted by you in connection with Report No. 1 of the Commission on World Service, but Item 4 urges the Annual Conference to set the minimum standards for support of higher education at an amount equal to $1.50 per member for schools, colleges, and universities, and $.50 per member for the support of Wesley Foundation.

I move adoption of this report.

Bishop Kennedy: Is it seconded? Are you ready. Those who are in favor, lift the hand. Any opposed? It is approved. (DCA, page 676; see Appendix, page 1372.)

Report No. 2, Committee on Education, Adopted

Charles T. Thrift, Jr.: Calendar 67, Report No. 2. The only change in this is to delete the word "Senior" and substitute the word "Junior."

Pastors are required to submit the list of Seniors because of the change in admission practices of students. It is now more advisable to ask Juniors. This is the only change and I recommend the adoption of the report.

Bishop Kennedy: Seconded. Before you. Are you ready? Those who have approved it, lift the hand. Opposed, same sign. It is approved. (DCA, page 676; see Appendix, page 1373.)

Reports No. 13, 14, 15. 16 and 17, Committee on Education, Adopted—Charles Thrift

Charles Thrift: Report No. 13. page 364, relates to the University Senate. I ask you to consider Reports No. 13, 14, 15, 16, and 17.

They all relate to the Senate. Consider these together. They are Calendar Items 172, 173, 174, 175, 176, and that is all. These are structural changes primarily, in regard to the Senate. The Senate is the oldest accrediting body of higher education in America and has done a significant work. This Report No. 14 merely changes the organization from a presiding officer to include a President, Vice President, and Recording Secretary.

Report No. 15 gives it permission to delete one or two words, and the words deleted are "as conditions may warrant or require" and substitutes, "when the Senate deems necessary."

Report No. 16 reclassifies duties of the Senate.

Report No. 17 also relates to the Senate, and specifies its duties.

I move adoption of these items.

Bishop Kennedy: They are before you. Are you ready? Those in favor lift the hand. Opposed, the same sign. They are adopted. (DCA, page 677; see Appendix, page 1397.)

Report No. 21, Committee on Education, Adopted—Charles Thrift.

Charles Thrift: Report No. 21, Calendar No. 180. There were a number of Memorials before the committee in regard to including matters of race, and we recommend the addition at three points in Paragraph 1351.1 of the Items without respect to race or national origin, at three points in the Paragraph 1351.1, 1351.5 and 1351.6. I move the adoption of the report.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt lift the hand. Opposed, the same sign. Adopted. (DCA, page 677; see Appendix, page 1404.)

Report No. 22, Committee on Education, Adopted—Charles Thrift

Charles Thrift: Item 181, Report No. 22 in regard to the Interconference Commission on Higher Education. This was in response to five Memorials asking that this structure be changed slightly so that regional Interconference Commissions might be set up, and placing certain memberships from the Board of Education which was not previously required.

I move adoption of the report.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready to vote? All in favor, lift the hand. Opposed, the same sign. Adopted. (DCA, page 677; see Appendix, page 1404.)

Report No. 23, Committee on Education, Adopted—Charles Thrift

Charles Thrift: Report 23, Item 182, asking for the continuation of race relations today. This was in response to a number of Memorials and particularly in response to a request of the President's Council of those colleges which were historically Negro colleges. I recommend adoption of the report.

Report No. 24, Committee on Education, Adopted—Charles Thrift

Charles Thrift: Calendar 183, Report 24 requests the Committee on Entertainment and Program to set a night in the 1968 General

Conference recognizing Negro Colleges. This comes from the Council of Presidents of those colleges that were historically Negro colleges; 1968 marks the centennial of the founding of many of these and the Presidents of these colleges have requested that we request the Committee on Entertainment to do this and we recommend adoption of this report.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? All in favor, lift the hand. Opposed? Adopted. (DCA, page 677; see Appendix, page 1406.)

Report No. 26, Committee on Education, Adopted—Charles Thrift

Charles Thrift: Calendar 185, Report Number 26, Appropriations to Segregated Educational Institutions. The Committee on Education requests the General Conference to refer all these Memorials to the Division of Higher Education of the General Board of Education with an urgent exhortation to work toward the goal of integrated colleges this quadrennium. I move adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready to vote? All those who will favor this raise your hand. Down. Opposed? It is so ordered. (DCA, page 677; see Appendix, page 1407.)

Report No. 39, Committee on Education, Adopted—Charles Thrift

Charles Thrift: Page 453, Calendar Item 277, Report No. 39, to study financial requirements of Theological Education. This request was in several Memorials particularly from the Association of Methodist Theological Schools pointing out that whereas these are Church wide institutions, that presently no more than 25 per cent of the operating cost of the Schools of Theology is provided as part of the general Benevolent giving of the Church, imposing on the Seminary severe financial limitations and requests that—we request the Division of Higher Education of the Board of Education, Council of World Service and Finance, Association of Methodist Theological Schools will study the financial requirements of Seminaries to determine the needs of and ways and means of providing for adequate support. Results of the study and necessary recommendations from it are to be reported to the 1968 session of the General Conference, through the standing Committee on Education and the Council on World Service and Finance.

We recommend adoption of the report.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those in favor lift the hand. Opposed? Adopted. (DCA, page 677; see Appendix, page 1412.)

Report No. 4, Committee on Education, Adopted—Russell E. Clay

Russell E. Clay (Southern California-Arizona—W): Let us turn to page 314, Report No. 4, Memorial 69. The Committee moves concurrence. It merely sets up a procedure of the approval of adult classes—teachers of adult education classes going first to the Commission on Education. I move its adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed? Adopted. (DCA, page 677; see Appendix, page 1374.)

Report No. 5, Committee on Education, Adopted—Russell E. Clay

Russell E. Clay: Memorial 70, Report No. 5, same page, a Memorial which deals with the subject of camps and the Conference Board of Education is authorized to cooperate with other agencies but still have control and operation of the camps. I move its adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready?
In favor, lift the hand. Opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 677; see Appendix, page 1374.)

Report No. 19, Committee on Education, Adopted—Russell E. Clay

Russell E. Clay: Page 366, Memorial 178, Report No. 19 deals with the question of the change of Church School Rally Day to the Christian Education Sunday, and the beginning of Christian Education Week. I move its adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt lift the hand. Opposed, the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 677; see Appendix, page 1402.)

Report No. 35, Committee on Education, Adopted—Russell E. Clay

Russell E. Clay: Page 452, Memorial 273, Report No. 35 refers the matter of the study of the duties and provisions for Directors of Christian Education, Educational Assistants and other employees.
It also includes a part of Paragraph 1401.4 on page 363, column three that was lifted from the report last time the Board of Education report was made. I move its adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 677; see Appendix, page 1411.)

Report No. 46, Committee on Education, Adopted—Russell E. Clay

Russell E. Clay: Several reports on page 541 and following. The first is Calendar Number 464, Report No. 46. This deals with the standards that are set up for the camps, and sets one of the standards in keeping with the policy of The Methodist Church of race, Paragraph 2026, such standard shall contain a Recommendation that all camps shall be available to all persons without regard to race or national origin.
I move its adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed, the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 677; see Appendix, page 1416.)

Report No. 47, Committee on Education, Adopted—Russell E. Clay

Russell E. Clay: Calendar 465, Report No. 47, same page, Methodist Youth Fund. It merely rewrites the legislation to bring it in harmony with the action taken by the Board of Missions in its

reorganization and bringing it into the same nomenclature. I move its adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed, the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 677; see Appendix, page 1416.)

Report No. 48, Committee on Education, Adopted—Russell E. Clay

Russell E. Clay: Calendar No. 466, Report No. 48, is one which deals with the policies of the Church School concerning the duties of the Commission on Education, and it changes that by adding "provided that the Educational Program of the Church shall be open to all persons without regard to race, color, nationality or class." I move adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed, the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 677; see Appendix, page 1419.)

Report No. 49, Committee on Education, Adopted—Russell E. Clay

Russell E. Clay: Calendar Item 467, Report 49, on the same page adds a representative of the Conference Board of Evangelism to the Conference Committee on Family Life. I move its adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed, the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 677; see Appendix, page 1419.)

Report No. 50, Committee on Education, Adopted—Russell E. Clay

Russell E. Clay: Calendar No. 468, Report No. 50, same page, deals with the name of the Director of Music. It is stated there "concurrence." The action of the Committee was to refer this to the study being made concerning the Director of Christian Education and other lay workers. Number 273, which we already have adopted, and I move this be referred likewise.

Bishop Kennedy: If you will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 677; see Appendix, page 1420.)

Report No. 51, Committee on Education, Adopted—Russell E. Clay

Russell E. Clay: Calendar 469, Report No. 51, is concerning the election of Sunday School teachers. This should have been included with the one on page 314 which we adopted of the election of teachers but came in too late. I move it be referred and the number put at the head of that particular Memorial so they are both the same.

Bishop Kennedy: We are not referring.

Dr. Clay: I don't mean referred, I mean recorded as being adopted.

Bishop Kennedy: As many as will adopt lift the hand. Opposed. It is approved. (DCA, page 677; see Appendix, page 1420.)

Report No. 52, Committee on Education, Adopted—Russell E. Clay

Russell E. Clay: Report No. 52, Calendar 470 is a mistake, for 470 was nonconcurrence according to the Secretary's record. Some way it got in as concurrence. I don't know. We had all the nonconcurrence voted on, but maybe we ought to have a nonconcurrence vote to be sure.

Bishop Kennedy: This is a vote for nonconcurrence. If you vote nonconcurrence lift the hand. If you oppose it, the same sign. It is carried. (DCA, page 678; see Appendix, page 1421.)

Report No. 54, Committee on Education, Adopted—Russell E. Clay

Russell E. Clay: Report No. 54, Calendar 475 is the Fellowship of Youth for full time Church related services. I move its referral to the Interboard Committee on Christian Vocations.

Bishop Kennedy: If you will refer this lift the hand. Opposed. It is carried. (DCA, page 678; see Appendix, page 1421.)

Reports No. 33 and 34, Committee on Education, Adopted—Francis Kearns

Francis Kearns (East Wisconsin—NC): Page 452, reports having to do with suggestions regarding the editing of teaching materials, No. 33 and 34, and we would like to add to No. 34 with common consent two Memorials which have been received after we had time for consideration but on the same theme. We recommend the reference of both of these to the Editorial Division.

Bishop Kennedy: Those in favor, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 678; see Appendix, page 1410.)

Report No. 32, Committee on Education, Presented—Francis Kearns

Francis Kearns: Same page, Report No. 32, for amending Paragraph 1418 in the *Discipline,* which has to do with the Joint Committee for the Preparation of Materials for Training and Church Membership.

Since there are two items in the Committee on Membership and Evangelism dealing with this subject, we would like to request a special privilege of having Dr. Potter present those items at this time.

Report No. 17, Committee on Evangelism, Adopted—Truman W. Potter

Truman W. Potter: (West Virginia—NE): Mr. Chairman, the Calendar Number to which Dr. Kearns refers is 253, page 442, bottom of the second column. This is the recommendation which requests of the Joint Committee that carries the responsibility for the preparation of these materials. "Materials shall be for training classes of various lengths of time and for extended courses where desired." The committee moves this recommendation.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready to vote? Those who approve it,

lift the hand. Opposed? It is approved. (DCA, page 678; see Appendix, page 1487.)

Report No. 32, Committee on Education, Adopted—Francis Kearns

Francis Kearns: The Committee on Education recommends the revised Paragraph 1418. There are two editorial corrections, one in the revised paragraph. Report No. 32, Calendar 270. In the third sentence of the revised paragraph, the editorial revision is "by the Board of Education," rather than "on," then after the darker section in the sentence, "the Committee shall be composed of three Bishops, three pastors"—omit the comma, that is very important—and three laymen." We are recommending the adding of this part, "these Memorials shall be coordinated with the Church School curriculum, shall be prepared in consultation with the Curriculum Committee." We feel this coordination and consultation is very important, and then we are suggesting the adding of an additional staff member of the Board of Evangelism. If you read that paragraph in the *Discipline*, you realize that the paragraph ends by saying that we are to have three qualified persons. "Others did not seem to be qualified. We are suggesting that in place of three, we have five other qualified persons on this committee.

I move adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed? Adopted. (DCA, page 678; see Appendix, page 1409.)

Report No. 55, Committee on Education, Adopted—Francis Kearns

Francis Kearns: Page 543, Calendar 473, Report No. 55, relative to the Quadrennial Report of the Editorial Division, General Board of Education, commending the members of the staff for the devotion and skill which they have brought to their task, lifting up the quality of the Curriculum materials produced by the Curriculum Committee and calling special attention to the new materials for the Children's Division that will be available this fall. We recommend adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed? Adopted. (DCA, page 678; see Appendix, page 1421.)

Inquiry—Kenneth E. Metcalf

Kenneth E. Metcalf (North Iowa—NC): There is a question here. I have both a Director of Music and Director of Education; now they have changed the name, Director of the Ministry of Music. I wonder if there is some place in this labyrinth of reports to change the name to the Director of the Ministry of Education.

Francis Kearns: That report was referred for the reason that you mentioned so that we may have a study and they all may come up together.

Committee on Education Reports Continue

Willis M. Tate (North Texas—SC): The last part of the Education Committee Report will be on the General Board of Education and the Annual Conference Boards of Education, and will be presented by Dr. Sterling Wheeler.

Report No. 18, Committee on Education, Adopted—Sterling F. Wheeler

Sterling F. Wheeler (Southwest Texas—SC): Mr. Chairman, the page number is 366, Calendar No. 177, Report No. 18.

Four years ago there was placed in the *Discipline* a paragraph giving the Annual Conferences directions for the mechanics of organizing the Annual Conference Board of Education. This has caused awkwardness in some Annual Conferences with the confidence that the Annual Conference is competent to organize its own boards; we propose removal of this paragraph, Mr. Chairman.

I move adoption of this report.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed? Adopted. (DCA, page 678; see Appendix, page 1402.)

Report No. 20, Committee on Education, Adopted—Sterling F. Wheeler

Sterling F. Wheeler: Calendar 179, Report 20. In regard to the recent decline in the attendance and enrollment of church schools, this resolution proposes that the General Board of Education and the Conference Board of Education give suggestions and material for this problem, and it also receive attention in the quadrennium emphasis.

I move adoption of the report.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed? It is carried. (DCA, page 678; see Appendix, page 1403.)

Report No. 25, Committee on Education, Adopted—Sterling F. Wheeler

Sterling F. Wheeler: Report No. 25, page 367, Calendar 184, several Memorials have been referred by this calendar number to the respective agencies that are concerned.

I move adoption of the report.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed, the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 678; see Appendix, page 1406.)

Report No. 37, Committee on Education, Adopted—Sterling F. Wheeler

Sterling F. Wheeler: Report No. 37, page 452, Calendar No. 275. This Memorial, 3229, referred to the same matter which you dealt with just a few moments ago in Calendar No. 464. Therefore, Mr. Chairman, we move that it be attached to that Memorial on page 541 and move its adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: If you approve, lift the hand. Opposed, the same sign. It is carried. (DCA, page 678; see Appendix, page 1411.)

Report No. 38, Committee on Education, Adopted—Sterling F. Wheeler

Sterling F. Wheeler: Report No. 38, Calendar No. 276. This deals with a self study which has been recommended by the General Board of Education in this quadrennium for the next quadrennium, and

it also includes the Recommendations that representatives from the Association of Methodist Theological Schools shall be included on the study committee.

I move adoption of the report.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 678; see Appendix, page 1412.)

Report No. 40, Committee on Education, Adopted—Sterling F. Wheeler

Sterling F. Wheeler: Calendar No. 278, Report No. 40. Here are miscellaneous Memorials which are referred to those Divisions or Departments which are concerned.

I move adoption of the report.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 678; see Appendix, page 1413.)

Report No. 41, Committee on Education, Adopted—Sterling F. Wheeler

Sterling F. Wheeler: Calendar No. 279, Report No. 41. Whereas the Commission on Worship of The Methodist Church has requested a unified study of worship and the fine arts, this resolution suggests that the Divisions of the Local Church and of Higher Education of the Board of Education participate as requested.

I move adoption of the report.

Bishop Kennedy: Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 679; see Appendix, page 1414.)

Report No. 45, Committee on Education, Adopted—Sterling F. Wheeler

Sterling F. Wheeler: Page 454, Calendar No. 283, Report No. 45. This is a report which expresses appreciation to the Division of Higher Education as other reports expressing appreciation to other Divisions. It also takes note of the historic contribution of Dr. John O. Gross who was recognized here late last night.

I move adoption of the report.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 679; see Appendix, page 1415.)

Reports of Committee on Education Concluded

Willis M. Tate: Bishop, this concludes the entire list of Memorials from the Committee on Education. I would like to call on Carl Sanders of the Committee on Publishing Interests to make a clarification on some of the Memorials of this afternoon.

Clarification of Reports No. 22 and 23, Committee on Publishing Interests—Carl J. Sanders

Carl J. Sanders (Virginia—SE): This is a word of clarification on Calendar Items 433 and 434 on page 536 of your *Daily Christian Advocate* in answer to a question that was asked me during the

intermission. These two Memorials were voted concurrent by your Publishing Interests Committee and were adopted this afternoon by this Conference, not as a mandate for immediate action, but the idea was to refer to the Coordinating Council in their overall study and review of the periodicals of The Methodist Church.

This is just a word of clarification.

Report of Committee on Interdenominational Relations, Continued—Dr. Wilson O. Weldon

Willis M. Tate: We will now turn to the Committee on Interdenominational Relations, Dr. Wilson O. Weldon.

Reports No. 27, 33 and 34, Committee on Interdenominational Relations, Withdrawn

Wilson O. Weldon (Western North Carolina—SE): Mr. Chairman, on page 454, Calendar No. 228, Report No. 27, Staff Position Ecumenical Relations, on the basis that the General Conference has already taken action, I move withdrawal of this report.

Bishop Kennedy: If you will withdraw this report, lift the hand. Any opposed? It is withdrawn. (DCA, page 679.)

Wilson O. Weldon: Calendar 294, Report No. 33, also on the same basis that the General Conference has taken action, we should like to withdraw. I move it.

Bishop Kennedy: Those who will withdraw, lift the hand. Any opposed? It is withdrawn. (DCA, page 679.)

Wilson O. Weldon: Report No. 34, on the same ground, I move withdrawal.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will withdraw, lift the hand. Any opposed? It is withdrawn. (DCA, page 679.)

Report No. 35, Committee on Interdenominational Relations, Adopted—Wilson O. Weldon

Wilson O. Weldon: Calendar 296, Report No. 35, Miscellaneous Memorials concerning Church Union in relation with other denominations.

I move concurrence that they be referred to the Commission on Ecumenical Affairs to study.

Bishop Kennedy: If you will concur and refer these, lift the hand. Any opposed? They are referred. (DCA, page 679; see Appendix, page 1688.)

Report No. 36, Committee on Interdenominational Relations, Adopted—Wilson O. Weldon

Wilson O. Weldon: The last one, 297, same page, Report No. 36 concerning the quadrennial emphasis in relationship to Ecumenical affairs. We move concurrence to refer to the Coordinating Council for study.

Bishop Kennedy: If you will concur and refer these, lift the hand. Any opposed? They are referred. (DCA, page 679; see Appendix, page 1688.)

Editorial Corrections Requested and Granted—Wilson O. Weldon

Wilson O. Weldon: I have a note from the Committee on Correlation suggesting that I ask the permission of the Conference, on page 358, Calendar No. 169, Report No. 22, which we have already acted upon the night before last, for the purpose only of clarification and correlation, to ask for permission wherever this Commission is referred to, to refer to it as a Commission "on" Ecumenical Affairs instead of "for" Ecumenical Affairs.

In Section 1, to include the total membership of the Commission by inserting the phrase "consisting of 54 members."

And by action which is not listed here but which was voted where we added two members from the World Federation of Methodist Women to add the phrase "an affiliate of the World Methodist Council."

These in no way change the action of the membership of this group and the Committee approves it. I ask for permission to include the changes.

Bishop Kennedy: If you consent to this, lift the hand. Any opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 679.)

Request for Change in Report No. 21, Committee on Ministry—Eugene L. Smith

Eugene L. Smith (Newark—NE): I hope this may be possible to be done by common consent. Item 264, Committee on Ministry, Report No. 21—Found on page 450. It was adopted, but since that time, several of the delegations of the Central Conferences have pointed out a problem in the heavy print at the very end of the report, "Approved Supply Pastor may not vote on the matters of ordination, character and conference relations of ministers, nor for delegates to . . ." and then it omits the word "Central" in the print above. There is a very clear reference to Central Conferences as a part of this problem. I think this is an editorial matter but it is of major importance to the Central Conferences. Can this be inserted as a matter of common consent?

William R. Cannon (North Georgia—SE): This was a Constitutional Amendment referred to the special Study Committee on Ministers for the next quadrennium. It is not before us.

Bishop Kennedy: This request should go along with the referral, Dr. Smith.

Reports of Committee on Local Church Presented

Willis M. Tate: Bishop, we will hear Dr. Merlyn Northfelt's report.

Report No. 12, Committee on Local Church Adopted—Merlyn Northfelt

Merlyn Northfelt (Rock River—NC): Page 443, Calendar No. 256, Report No. 12. There is a slight addition to the recommendation. It calls for election of the Chairmen of the Commissions and the Committees by the Quarterly Conference.

I move adoption of this report.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready?

The motion was put to a vote and carried. (DCA, page 679; see Appendix, page 1702.)

Report No. 13, Committee on Local Church Adopted—Merlyn Northfelt

Merlyn Northfelt: Calendar No. 257, Report No. 13 calls for the election of the Church Treasurer or Treasurers and the Financial Secretary, if any, by the Quarterly Conference. I move adoption of this report.

The motion was put to a vote and carried. (DCA, page 679; see Appendix, page 1702.)

Report No. 14, Committee on Local Church Adopted—Merlyn Northfelt

Merlyn Northfelt: The next number, 258, Report No. 14. This report is substantially in error and I move in place of the printed portion here the following: "The Board shall be organized—refers to the Official Board—the Board shall be organized annually by the election of a Chairman, preferably a lay member, a vice-chairman, and a recording secretary. These officers shall be elected by the members of the Board or the nomination of a Committee on Nominations.

I move adoption of this report.

The motion was put to a vote and carried. (DCA, page 679; see Appendix, page 1703.)

Report No. 16, Committee on Local Church Adopted—Merlyn Northfelt

Merlyn Northfelt: Page No. 534, Calendar No. 418, Report No. 16. This spells out the duties of the Secretary of Membership, identifies him or her as a member of the Official Board and asks for a report monthly through the Commission on Membership and Evangelism.

I move adoption of this report.

The motion was put to a vote and carried. (DCA, page 679; see Appendix, page 1703.)

Report No. 17, Committee on Local Church Adopted—Merlyn Northfelt

Merlyn Northfelt: Calendar No. 419, Report No. 17. This sets up the possibility in the *Discipline* for circuit or parish Commissions and Official Boards and defines a Methodist parish.

I move adoption of this report.

The motion was put to a vote and carried. (DCA, page 679; see Appendix, page 1704.)

Report No. 19, Committee on Local Church Adopted—Merlyn Northfelt

Merlyn Northfelt: Calendar No. 421, Report No. 19 did not belong

to this one, but it came in so late we worked on it anyhow. I hope it is all right. It deals with tenure of board secretaries.

We referred it to the Coordinating Council.

I move this.

The motion to refer was put to a vote and carried. (DCA, page 680; see Appendix, page 1705.)

Report No. 20, Committee on Local Church Adopted—Merlyn Northfelt

Merlyn Northfelt: Calendar No. 422, Report No. 20. We referred this to the Board of Christian Social Concerns. It was a request for the enforcement of segregation. That is the way it was. We referred it to the Board of Christian Social Concerns. I move adoption of this report.

The motion was put to a vote and carried. (DCA, page 680, see Appendix, page 1705.)

Report No. 21, Committee on Local Church Adopted—Merlyn Northfelt

Merlyn Northfelt: Calendar No. 423, Report No. 21. This is on the campus ministry and is referred to the Wesley Foundation Study Committee and study of the local church by the Inter-Board Commission on this matter which deals with the Secretary of Student Work or Campus Ministry and the Local Church.

I move adoption.

The motion was put to a vote and carried. (DCA, page 680, see Appendix, page 1705.)

Report No. 22, Committee on Local Church Adopted—Merlyn Northfelt

Merlyn Northfelt: Report No. 22. This was a Memorial dealing with the Wesleyan Service Guild and asks to unify the Woman's Society of Christian Service. We recommend referral to the Woman's Division for study.

Bishop Kennedy: If you consent to this, lift the hand. Any Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 680; see Appendix, page 1706.)

Report No. 23, Committee on Local Church Adopted—Merlyn Northfelt

Merlyn Northfelt: Calendar No. 425, Report No. 23 is a series of Memorials we are recommending for referral to a committee already established to study the structure of the local church. We move adoption of this report.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the hand.

Opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 680; see Appendix, page 1706.)

Report No. 24, Committee on Local Church Adopted—Merlyn Northfelt

Merlyn Northfelt: Calendar No. 426, Report No. 24. We refer this for study of the Local Church by the Inter-Board Commission with a special request that the General Commission on Worship be involved. We approve adoption of this report.

Bishop Kennedy: If you consent to this, lift the hand. Any opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 680; see Appendix, page 1706.)

Report No. 25, Committee on Local Church Adopted—Merlyn Northfelt

Merlyn Northfelt: I call your attention to Report No. 25, Calendar No. 511, page 553. This is a rewrite done by the Department of Evangelism, General Board of Evangelism, of Paragraph 222 of the *Discipline*. Nothing new has been added, nothing has been taken away. It has been put in better form.

We move adoption of this report.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed? Adopted. (DCA, page 680; see Appendix, page 1707.)

Report No. 26, Committee on Local Church Adopted—Merlyn Northfelt

Merlyn Northfelt: Calendar No. 512, Committee on Local Church, No. 26. This makes uniform the age at which a person is eligible to vote in Church Conferences, local church Conferences, or Quarterly Conferences or whatever the case might be. We have made this uniform at the age of 18 years and the result is the deletion of a good deal of verbiage from the *Discipline*.

We move adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed? Adopted. (DCA, page 680; see Appendix, page 1709.)

Report No. 27, Committee on Local Church Adopted—Merlyn Northfelt

Merlyn Northfelt: Calendar No. 513, Report No. 27. The Committee on Correlations informs us that this is poor construction of what was intended; and so I move the substitution of the following, "and further," this deals with the Nominating Committee of the Local Church, "and further, that the Committee may be elected for three years and divided into three classes, and elected in the manner prescribed for Trustees in Paragraph 160."

I move adoption of this report.

Bishop Kennedy: Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed? Adopted. (DCA, page 680; see Appendix, page 1710.)

Report No. 28, Committee on Local Church Adopted—Merlyn Northfelt

Merlyn Northfelt: Calendar 514, Report No. 28, inserts a sentence into the duties of the Pastoral Relations Committee to provide for a consultation on in-service training for the pastor of the church.

I move adoption of this report.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 680; see Appendix, page 1711.)

Report No. 29, Committee on Local Church Adopted—Merlyn Northfelt

Merlyn Northfelt: Calendar No. 515, Report No. 29 provides for an affiliate member of the local church to hold board membership in a church of a Central Conference, if the Central Conference authorizes it. This deals with a problem particularly unique to Sweden, where many of the members are associates or affiliates for various reasons involving the state church and they find themselves without adequate leadership. We think in consultation with proper committees, Jurisdictional Committee and all, this is an appropriate way to do it.

We recommend adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 680; see Appendix, page 1711.)

Report No. 30, Committee on Local Church Adopted—Merlyn Northfelt

Merlyn Northfelt: Calendar No. 516, Report No. 30. This has been adopted in another place under Calendar No. 170, Report No. 11, so I move withdrawal of this report.

Bishop Kennedy: If you consent to this, lift the hand. Any opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 680; see Appendix, page 1711.)

Report No. 31, Committee on Local Church Adopted—Merlyn Northfelt

Merlyn Northfelt: Calendar No. 517, Report No. 31, adds the sentence in the dark print to Paragraph 156 of the *Discipline* and I move the adoption of this report.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 680; see Appendix, page 1712.)

Report No. 32, Committee on Local Church Adopted—Merlyn Northfelt

Merlyn Northfelt: Calendar 518, Report No. 32 calls for the cooperation of local church Committees on Church History and Records with Annual Conference Committee.

I move adoption of this report.

The motion was put to a vote and carried. (DCA, page 680, see Appendix, page 1712.)

Report No. 33, Committee on Local Church Adopted—Merlyn Northfelt

Merlyn Northfelt: Report No. 33, Calendar 519 is a permissive legislation. We did not ask the Commission on Worship to become a mandatory commission. It is permissive, but these paragraphs spell out the duties of a Commission if the Church has one.

We move adoption of this report.

The motion was put to a vote and carried. (DCA, page 680, see Appendix, page 1713.)

Report No. 35, Committee on Local Church Adopted—Merlyn Northfelt

Merlyn Northfelt: Calendar No. 521, Report No. 35 sets out a policy which is a slight change saying that the consultation on a pastor's salary should begin with the Pastoral Relations Committee, become a recommendation to the Commission on Stewardship and Finance and then to the Quarterly Conference, through the Official Board.

I move adoption of this report.

Bishop Kennedy: Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 680; see Appendix, page 1714.)

Report No. 34, Committee on Local Church Adopted—Merlyn Northfelt

Merlyn Northfelt: Report No. 34, Calendar No. 520 deals with the quadrennial reports which were made to the General Conference from the Commission, Interboard Commission on the Local Church. We have read it and commend the Interboard Commission on its work during the past quadrennium.

I move adoption of this report.

Bishop Kennedy: Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 680; see Appendix, page 1714.)

Inquiry—John R. Van Sickle

John R. Van Sickle (Rock River—NC): I would like to ask the Chairman, Dr. Northfelt, a question. Page 535, Calendar 422, Report No. 20 which we referred. Did you say those Memorials asked for enforced segregation?

Merlyn Northfelt: Yes, they asked for the enforcement of segregation.

Motion to Reconsider Report No. 20, Committee on Local Church—John R. Van Sickle

John R. Van Sickle: Then I would like to move reconsideration of this referral and if it is seconded, I would like to speak to it.

Motion to Vote Nonconcurrence on Report No. 20—John R. Van Sickle

John R. Van Sickle: I do not believe we should refer this to any Committee. I think we should vote nonconcurrence and I so move.

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready to vote?

Motion to Reconsider Report No. 20—John R. Van Sickle

John R. Van Sickle: I should like to move for reconsideration first.

Bishop Kennedy: I thought we voted on that.
It has been moved and seconded. Did you vote with the majority?

Mr. Van Sickle: Yes.

Bishop Kennedy: Those in favor of reconsideration, lift the hand. Those opposed? It is awfully close. Does anyone want to make a point out of it? It is not reconsidered. (DCA, page 681.)

Report No. 15, Committee on Local Church Adopted—Merlyn Northfelt

Merlyn Northfelt: I have been told that I may have skipped a report on page 443, Calendar No. 259. The report here does not say nonconcurrence, but this was the case and so it was included in the motion that Dr. Tate made earlier today.
In case there is a mistake about it, I move nonconcurrence on all the Memorials listed on Report No. 15. I move adoption of this report.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will approve this—nonconcurrence, lift the hand. Opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 681; see Appendix, page 1703.)

Judicial Council Ballot Announced

Bishop Kennedy: We have the result of the ballot and there is no election. I think we had better take that now. I recognize the Secretary.

Leon T. Moore: Ministerial: Cecil R. Culver, 8; G. Lemuel Fenn, 34; Albert Dale Hagler, 26; W. R. Handy, Jr., 20; John J. Hicks, 20; James G. Huggins, Jr., 9; Harold H. Hughes, 128; Nat G. Long, 99; Farris F. Moore, 3; Cleo C. Sessions, 19; Jack M. Tuell, 321; and H. Hughes Wagner, 29.
Lay Members: Samuel Bautista, 221; R. Lee Blackwell, 42; John M. Cranston, 15; Francis Dale, 9; Frank Dominick, 72; Donald E. Holbrook, 227; Walter L. Hunt, 59; Mrs. John O. Mabuce, 32; and Willard A. Zellmer, 13.
Mr. Chairman, I failed to tell this. Necessary for election was 355. Elect one layman and one preacher regardless of Jurisdiction.

Hughes Wagner Withdraws

Hughes Wagner (New England—NE): Almost everybody would have to die anyway to fill out the term and in order to help this along, I would like to withdraw.
This is Hughes Wagner. I would like to withdraw. I am the third one from the bottom.

Bishop Kennedy: May we continue now?
Dr. Tate, will you help us to proceed.

Committee on Membership and Evangelism Reports Called For—Willis M. Tate

Willis M. Tate: The perfectly marvelous Local Arrangements Committee is going to provide us with refreshments at 9:30 for the recess.
They won't be ready until 9:30.
The Committee on Membership and Evangelism will now report, Dr. Truman Potter.

Suggestions for Clarifying Report No. 1—Truman Potter

Truman Potter (West Virginia—NE): Mr. Chairman, the Committee on Correlation has made a suggestion for the clarification of Report No. 1, Calendar No. 133, Page 352. As a committee we are willing to accept their suggestions and not open the report unless you desire to. We would like to have it received.

Bishop Kennedy: Is there any objection? I do not hear any.

Request for Reading of Changes—Harold A. Bosley

Harold Bosley (New York—NE): Mr. Chairman. I would like very much to have these read.

Bishop Kennedy: All right, we will have them read.

Changes Read—Truman Potter

Truman Potter: If you will notice the last line, the last two columns in the bold-faced type, following the words "to participate in its program," they make the suggestion of these words, "and when they take the appropriate vow of membership." Paragraph 107. "To be admitted in its membership in any church in the connection."

The purpose is clear. The language is better, the thought remains the same. We accept them as far as the Committee is concerned.

Report No. 3, Committee on Membership and Evangelism Adopted—Truman Potter

Truman Potter: Now if you will turn to Report No. 3, Calendar 219, Page 436. This report simply is a change in the name, bringing the MYF up to its new name to MSM instead of MYF. We move recommendation.

Editorial Correction Made—David Duck

David A. Duck (South Georgia—SE): The reference is to representation on the General Board of Evangelism and it is a representative from the MSM and has nothing to do with changing the name of the MYF.

Truman Potter: It is an editorial change. The MYF should be MSM, and it does deal with membership on the Board of Evangelism.

Bishop Kennedy: All right, are you ready to vote on this? All those approving, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 682; see Appendix, page 1475.)

Request for Clearer Procedure—Lemuel K. Lord

Lemuel K. Lord (New England—NE): Matter of procedure. Some of the men would appreciate it if you give the page first.

Report No. 4, Committee on Membership and Evangelism Adopted—Truman Potter

Truman Potter: Page 436, Report No. 4, Calendar 220, outlines the duty of the Vice-Chairman of the Conference Board of Evangelism, suggesting his responsibility as a director of The Twelve.

We move adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 682; see Appendix, page 1476.)

Report No. 5, Committee on Membership and Evangelism Adopted—Truman Potter

Truman Potter: Page 436, Calendar 221, Report No. 5. The report deals with the addition of a restriction on the Conference Director of Evangelism. He shall have no vote in Board or Executive Committee meetings.
We move adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed? Adopted. (DCA, page 682; see Appendix, page 1477.)

Report No. 6, Committee on Membership and Evangelism Adopted—Truman Potter

Truman Potter: Page 436, Calendar 222, Report No. 6. It deals with the duties of the Conference Board of Evangelism, organizing the duties into six standing committees. This makes for no area that is not related to the work of the committee.
We move adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 682; see Appendix, page 1478.)

Report No. 7, Committee on Membership and Evangelism Adopted—Truman Potter

Truman Potter: The page number is 436, Calendar 223, Report No. 7. This deals with the MYF representative on the General Board of Evangelism in the manner of the election. It updates the name of the National Conference.
We move adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed? Adopted. (DCA, page 682; see Appendix, page 1479.)

Editorial Correction Authorized

Ted Hightower (Louisville—SE): I was just going to ask Mr. Potter if he meant to do the same thing we did a few minutes ago in the other Memorial because we used the MYF.

Mr. Potter: This is MYF representation.

Mr. Hightower: Are you going to call it MYF instead of MSM?

Bishop Kennedy: This is the MYF.
Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed? It it adopted. (DCA, page 682.)

Report No. 8, Committee on Membership and Evangelism Adopted—Truman Potter

Truman Potter: Calendar 224, Page 437, first column, Report No. 8. It deals with the Preparatory Membership rolls, asking that they be balanced at the end of each year by adding and subtracting the

names received and removed during the year, and using a form that will be provided for that purpose.

The Committee recommends approval.

Bishop Kennedy: If you consent to this, lift the hand. Any opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 682; see Appendix, page 1479.)

Report No. 9, Committee on Membership and Evangelism Adopted—Truman Potter

Truman Potter: Calendar 225, Page 437, Report No. 9, deals with a matter already cleared and we should pass. It is the Joint Committee on preparation of materials for Membership.

We move adoption to be sure it is cleared.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed? Adopted. (DCA, page 682; see Appendix, page 1480.)

Report No. 10, Committee on Membership and Evangelism Adopted—Truman Potter

Truman Potter: Report No. 10, Calendar 226, Page 437. It spells out that the District Secretary shall be other than the Conference Secretary of Evangelism, or the Chairman of the Conference Board of Evangelism or District Superintendent.

We move adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 682; see Appendix, page 1480.)

Report No. 11, Committee on Membership and Evangelism Adopted—Truman Potter

Truman Potter: Now, if you will turn to page 455, third column, bottom of the page, Report No. 11, Calendar 298. This lifts up the responsibility of the church member and the obligation that he assumes in joining the church.

We move adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed? Adopted. (DCA, page 682; see Appendix, page 1482.)

Report No. 12, Committee on Membership and Evangelism Adopted—Truman Potter

Truman Potter: Calendar 299, Page 456, Report No. 12. It is a report setting up a way for developing a roll for the names of those removed by Quarterly Conference Action. It is a way of assisting our churches to face their responsibility and places their names on prospect lists if they are still resident in the community.

We move adoption.

Statement for Minority on Committee—George C. Lurwick

George C. Lurwick (Philadelphia—NE): You can see that this was adopted by an overwhelming majority. I would like to speak for the minority.

May I say that those who are removed by Quarterly Conference action have only been duly removed after a due process and for all

intents and purposes have been recognized as persons whose interest in Christ and the Church has been, first of all, declared by themselves and finally accepted by the Church.

They are not necessarily lost to the Church, they can be reinstated by their own declarations of desire or for the purpose of transfer. They are still open to evangelistic effort on the part of the Church.

Now, then, to insist that we keep a roll of these persons is not going to change the situation. It will only create one more statistical form for the pastor to fill out, one more report to be filed, one more roll to keep that in time can be exhaustive, yet meaningless.

Jesus would encourage us to let the dead bury the dead, and, for the life of me, I cannot see what can be gained by disintering their names again and again. I hope we vote it down.

Reply by Chairman of Committee

Truman Potter: The committee felt that though a person is removed by Quarterly Conference action, he still is a person for whom we share responsibility. We should not release him. We need to win him back in love, and the vote of the committee indicated overwhelmingly that they thought this would be another step that would help us to fulfill this Christian responsibility.

Bishop Kennedy: All right, we will try to vote. Those in favor, lift the hand. Those opposed to it, the same. It is carried. (DCA, page 682; see Appendix, page 1483.)

Report No. 13, Committee on Membership and Evangelism Adopted—Truman Potter

Truman Potter: Calendar 300, Report No. 13, it lists the duties of the District Committee on Evangelism with recommendations that they be formed in a similar manner as the General Board to cover the various areas.

We move adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 682; see Appendix, page 1484.)

Report No. 14, Committee on Membership and Evangelism Adopted—Truman Potter

Truman Potter: Now, if you will go back to page 442, Calendar 250, Report No. 14. This is a list as required on the membership of the District Committee on Evangelism, and places and updates and states that the District Lay Leader or representative elected by the District Board of Lay Activities be a member, and the Chairman of the Christian Witness of the District MYF.

We move adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 683; see Appendix, page 1485.)

Report No. 18, Committee on Membership and Evangelism Adopted—Truman Potter

Truman Potter: Report No. 18, Calendar 254, Page 442, third column, refresher course for youth. This is a recommendation that Senior High youths who are members of the Church have advanced courses of instruction in the meaning of the Christian life and

Church membership. It is further recommended that this course be taught by the pastor and emphasize the doctrine and the mission of the Church.

We recommend adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed? Adopted. (DCA, page 683; see Appendix, page 1487.)

Report No. 19, Committee on Membership and Evangelism Adopted—Truman Potter

Truman Potter: Calendar 255, Report No. 19 deals with a responsibility area for every church. This is an attempt to develop an Evangelistic responsibility area.

It gives this task to the District Committee to help define the area, but the purpose is to set every church so no one falls without someone shepherding their soul. This is the purpose. We move adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed? Adopted. (DCA, page 683; see Appendix, page 1488.)

Report No. 20, Committee on Membership and Evangelism Adopted—Truman Potter

Truman Potter: Now, go to page 457, Calendar 301. This deals with Report No. 20. It deals with the duty of the Vice-Chairman of the District Committee on Evangelism with the laymen carrying the responsibility for The Twelve. We move adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 683; see Appendix, page 1489.)

Report No. 21, Committee on Membership and Evangelism Adopted—Truman Potter

Truman Potter: Report No. 21, Calendar 302, same page—this is an action taken care of earlier, it was a Memorial that came in late and we will move concurrence.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will concur, lift the hands. Opposed? Adopted. (DCA, page 683; see Appendix, page 1490.)

Report No. 23, Committee on Membership and Evangelism Adopted—Truman Potter

Truman Potter: Calendar 304, Report No. 23. This is a resolution authorizing the General Board of Evangelism and the General Board of Evangelism of the Evangelical United Brethren Church to arrange a United Evangelistic Mission to be held during the coming quadrennium. It will give us a chance to learn to know each other at the grass roots level and we urge this adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 683; see Appendix, page 1490.)

Report No. 24, Committee on Membership and Evangelism Adopted—Truman Potter

Truman Potter: Report No. 24, Calendar 305, Page 457. These are

Memorials listed which are to be referred with concurrence to the General Board of Evangelism.

I move their reference.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will refer, lift the hand. Opposed? Referred. (DCA, page 683; see Appendix, page 1491.)

Report No. 25, Committee on Membership and Evangelism Adopted—Truman Potter

Truman Potter: Report No. 25, Calendar 306, same page, resolutions to the Secretary of the General Conference and Committee on Reference for Memorials. We are suggesting this go to the Rules Committee. It will help to expedite, we feel, the work of the next General Conference.

We move its reference.

Amendment to Report Proposed—Lewis H. Beckford

Lewis H. Beckford (Maine—NE): I would like to add a fifth item here—that adequate housing for the Legislative Committees be arranged. We were under great pressure because we were trying to do legislative work in a situation that was very uncharitable for the consideration of our work.

Truman Potter: We will accept.

Bishop Kennedy: That is accepted and becomes a part of the main motion. Are you ready? Those who will approve, lift the hand. Opposed? Done. (DCA, page 683; see Appendix, page 1491.)

Report No. 26, Committee on Membership and Evangelism Presented—Truman Potter

Truman Potter: Calendar 307, Report No. 26. We have a major problem which confronted the committee concerning the service men and their families. To face this problem, the Committee recommends a general roll of service personnel and their families. We feel that our Committee report, as you see, was almost unanimous, only one voting against. We think it is worthy of our doing. It will solve many, many problems. I can go into them if you want to take the time, but we recommend concurrence.

Statement for Minority on Committee—George C. Lurwick

George C. Lurwick (Philadelphia—NE): I am speaking for the minority. There is more than meets the eye here. I think it is basic to the church structure and I want to draw it to the attention of everyone. Every member of a local church is a member of The Methodist Church, but it is equally true that every member of The Methodist Church must first of all be a member of the local church. Every minister has a Quarterly Conference relation. Every minister in detached service has a Quarterly Conference relation.

This is fundamental and basic to our church structure, to our church support, World Service Support, area set up, number of Bishops elected per member and so forth and so on.

While it is true that there is a problem which I recognize and others do too, I do not feel that this is the type of legislation needed to deal with the problem and at the same time safeguard the basic structure of our Church.

While it is directed toward the personnel of our Armed Forces,

presumably career persons; it could easily include, since it doesn't exclude, any serviceman who should so choose to place his membership in the Nashville office. It could in time pave the way for future legislation whereby a person dissatisfied with his local church could seek refuge in an office membership file in Nashville.

If this is so acute as to warrant this type of legislation, then it stands to reason we might have thousands of Methodists without a church home, without pastoral oversight, without responsibility to the Church's support or program.

While Nashville would do an excellent job as Foster Parent, the fact still remains the persons would not be members of The Methodist Church according to the strict disciplinary definition of a church member.

Statement Supporting Report of Majority—Stewart Patterson

D. Stewart Patterson (Baltimore—NE): Mr. Chairman, I am sure that every man who has been in military service knows something of this problem. We are dealing with a very unique thing with reference to Methodist membership. There are thousands of our military who are in regular service. They have left their homes from which they started. They do not know where they will return when the service is over. Either something like this is done or we will lose to the church and life of the church great numbers of persons who are good church members, had been received by us in good faith. These people are migratory in effect. They do not know in many cases what church they shall return to. They may have had no church from which to start.

Mr. Chairman, I submit to you this is a vital problem. Another thing, one church alone in Washington has 1,400 names of military personnel who have been sent to that church. They are members of that Church, but their apportionments are based on that and frequently they never hear from the people. Therefore, it is an unfair imposition on the local church; but here is a central holding agency which may follow these people and conserve these people, and I think a larger interest of the church is not that they shall belong to a particular church, but they belong to The Methodist Church and have the opportunity of relationship to the Church when they return to a permanent home.

Previous Question Moved—Sterling F. Wheeler

Sterling F. Wheeler (Southwest Texas—SC): I move the previous question.

Bishop Kennedy: The previous question is moved. If you are in favor, lift the hand. Opposed? The previous question is called for. (DCA, page 684.)

We will have the statement from the Chairman and then vote.

Summary Statement from Committee

Truman Potter: We have had Memorials from personnel, even ministers in this body have spoken to us asking that we do something to relieve the pressure and congestion of the servicemen who are joining their church who move away; whom they personally, as a church, are not able to follow; yet for whom they feel responsibility. This idea, if approved by you, would become a working roll for servicemen and their families. Our General Board would attempt to

follow them and this is possible to do, if you put the time in to do it.

It will enable us to become in a way their spiritual pastors as they move into all areas of the world, and we have literally thousands of men who have no church home. They are taken in by the Chaplains. They send to the record of the local churches and even the local churches will say, "Why did you send us their names? They do not belong to us."

This is the reason why we are taking this step. We wish you would try it. We feel it is worthwhile and the Committee recommends concurrence.

Report No. 26 Adopted

Bishop Kennedy: All right. We will vote now. All who approve this Memorial, lift the hand. Those opposed, the same sign. I think it is approved. (DCA, page 684; see Appendix, page 1492.)

Report No. 28, Committee on Membership and Evangelism Adopted—Truman Potter

Truman Potter: Calendar 309, Report No. 28. This places the obligation or the requirement of membership training as preparation for those who are being received into the Church.

Our problem in the Board; our problem in the Church, as we view the responsibility of the Church, is to strengthen and encourage the understanding of our people at the moment they stand at the Altar to be received into the life of the Church. We say a reasonable period of training before reception of members. This is the purpose of the committee. We recommend concurrence.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who approve, lift the hand. Those who are opposed, the same sign. It is approved. (DCA, page 684; see Appendix, page 1494.)

Report No. 27, Committee on Membership and Evangelism Adopted—Truman Potter

Truman Potter: Page 458, Calendar 308, Report No. 27, authorizes the General Board to follow through our action which was adopted in Report No. 26.

I move concurrence.

Bishop Kennedy: Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 684; see Appendix, page 1493.)

Report No. 29, Committee on Membership and Evangelism Adopted—Truman Potter

Truman Potter: Mr. Chairman, and members of the Conference, turn to page 543, Calendar 474, Report No. 29. The subject of this report is "renewal for witnessing." It is a statement which we would like under special privilege to move that this statement, which was to have been printed but for some reason was left off and which you had placed on your desk this evening, "renewal for witnessing"; that this be included as the statement of Evangelism for inclusion in the appendix of the *Discipline.*

I so move.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? As many as are in favor lift

the hand. Opposed? The motion is carried. (DCA, page 684; see Appendix, page 1495.)

Appreciation to George Jones Expressed

Truman Potter: Now, I wish to express my appreciation to George Jones whose skill and experience has assisted the work of the committee and the appreciation to the staff of the General Board whose resources have been made available for the work and the furtherance of our Committee.

This completes our report.

Additional Pension Committee Reports

Willis M. Tate: We have just a few minutes until recess.

The Committee on Pensions tells me they have a couple. I think they can finish before the recess.

Report No. 7, Committee on Pensions Adopted—Roland Riddick

Roland Riddick (Virginia—SE): Bishop, the remaining reports from the Committee on Pensions refer to referrals and I think will occasion no discussion. If you will turn to Page 320, Calendar Report 101, Report of the Board of Pensions Number 7, you will find we acted on that with the understanding that the Chairman of the Committee could bring it up for further action if there was a need, and Lester L. Moore on the floor of the Conference last night asked that we reconsider this and, Mr. Chairman, we are moving that it be referred to the Committee on Pension Legislation for the next quadrennium with the idea of reporting it back to the Conference of 1968.

Bishop Kennedy: That is Calendar 111, Report No. 7, right. Are you ready? As many as are in favor, raise the hand. Opposed, the same sign. It is referred. (DCA, page 684; see Appendix, page 1641.)

Report No. 8, Committee on Pensions Adopted—Roland Riddick

Roland Riddick: Calendar Item No. 112, Page 320, Report No. 8, also relates to referral, and we move—the reference of Memorial 4056 to the Pension Legislative Committee for further study and report to the 1968 General Conference.

Memorial 558, the Council on World Service and Finance relates to the temporary aid fund which is already acted on by this Conference.

I move referral.

Bishop Kennedy: Those who will do this, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 684; see Appendix, page 1641.)

Report No. 10, Committee on Pensions Adopted—Roland Riddick

Roland Riddick: Turn to page 443, Calendar Report 261, Report No. 10. We move reference to the Pension Legislation Committee of this Memorial with the idea that they will report to the 1968 General Conference.

I move concurrence or adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? As many as will approve lift the hand. Opposed, the same sign. It is done. (DCA, page 684; see Appendix, page 1642.)

Motion to Send Greetings to Drs. McIlwain and Stafford—Roland Riddick

Roland Riddick: Then, Mr. Chairman, if you would give me this personal privilege of moving that this Conference send an appropriate and affectionate message to two of the loyal and devoted servants of our Church who have rendered such wonderful service in the field of pensions in other years, Dr. A. T. McIlwain and Dr. G. A. Stafford, I would like to move that this be done.

Bishop Kennedy: The motion is before you. If you approve this, lift the hand. Opposed, the same sign. It will be done. (DCA, page 684.)

Motion to Include Special Report in Journal—Truman Potter

Truman Potter: Mr. Chairman, if the body will turn to page 95, there is the report of the Joint Committee on Materials for Training for Church Membership. I would like to move that this report be placed in the *Journal* for a record.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready to vote? As many as are in favor, lift the hand. Opposed, the same sign. It is carried. (DCA, page 684; see Appendix, page 1495.)

Let me recognize Dr. Jones for a matter of privilege before recess.

Matter of Privilege—Dow Kirkpatrick Presented—John B. Jones

John B. Jones (Baltimore—NE): Mr. Chairman and members of the Conference, I think you would want to meet someone in the audience who will probably be here tonight.

I would like to have the floor for Dr. Dow Kirkpatrick.

Mrs. M. E. Tilly Presented to Conference

Dow Kirkpatrick (Rock River—NC): It is a very great privilege to have been called on by the Committee on Courtesy to introduce and express appreciation of this body to Mrs. M. E. Tilly of Atlanta, Georgia. In the early days when the Civil Rights Movement was unknown to most of us, we had a one-man Civil Rights Movement in the form of a lovely lady in Georgia, Dorothy Tilly. If you wonder why it took so long to get the Ku Klux Klan unrobed, it was because they were afraid to look Dorothy Tilly in the eye, and they kept their faces covered for fear they would come face to face with her.

She has been in the middle of every great fight for human rights for 25 years and has always been ahead of everybody else. She is a sweet and lovely lady with sternness in her righteousness. It is a great honor to have her here tonight with the widow of Bishop Bowen, over this way, Mrs. Tilly.

Bishop Kennedy: Thank you. We are in recess for ten minutes.

Short recess.

Conference reconvenes with the singing of Hymn No. 147—"Ask Ye What."

Reports of Committee on Lay Activities Called For—Willis M. Tate

Willis M. Tate: We are ready for the report of the Lay Activities Committee, Mr. Berg.

R. Howard Berg (Florida—SE): Mr. Chairman, members of the Conference, the reports of the Committee of Lay Activities and Temporal Economy are all published in today's *Advocate*. Our Committee gave careful consideration to about 300 Memorials. We bring to you tonight 83 reports, but of this number, 28 are of nonconcurrence covering 211 Memorials.

To expedite action, the reports have been divided into three groups, and representatives of three subcommittees will present our recommendations to the Conference. The first to appear will be Roy J. Grogan, Central Texas Conference.

The second will be John C. Soltman, Pacific Northwest Conference, and the third, Thomas B. Clegg of the Genesee Conference.

Report No. 8, Committee on Lay Activity and Temporal Economy—Roy Grogan

Roy J. Grogan (Central Texas—SC): Mr. Chairman, and members of the Conference, our first report is No. 8, Calendar 345, page 522. The proposed Memorial would amend Paragraph 1371 of the *Discipline* and would vest in the Interconference Commission on College and University Religious Work the authority to do with the purchase and sale of properties of any Wesley Foundation unit, financial requirements attendant to the same and proviso for an advisory member where advisable.

We recommend concurrence and move adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 685; see Appendix, page 1426.)

Report No. 9, Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy Adopted—Roy Grogan

Roy Grogan: Calendar 346, same page, Report 9 has to do with establishing a uniform method of reporting by Conference Treasurers, calling for Amendment to Paragraph 806, and 662 of the *Discipline*. Basically, the requirements would be that the reports would be made on forms provided by the Council of World Service and Finance. This would establish uniformity of reporting insofar as Conference Secretaries, Statisticians and Treasurers are concerned throughout the Church.

We recommend concurrence and move adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 685; see Appendix, page 1426.)

Report No. 17, Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy Adopted—Roy Grogan

Roy Grogan: Calendar 354, Report 17, page 523 has to do with Amending Paragraph 1609 which relates itself to the merger of Annual Conferences, and the creation of a joint distribution committee to deal with the problems involved when two Conferences merge. This proposed Amendment would add subsection 3 which would em-

power this Joint Distribution Committee in the absence of instructions and authority from the respective conferences involved to distribute equitably the assets and liabilities insofar as the merging Conferences are concerned. We think this is a good idea. We recommend concurrence and move adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those, who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 685; see Appendix, page 1430.)

Report No. 19, Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy Adopted—Roy Grogan

Roy Grogan: Report No. 19, Calendar 356, page 523, Editorial change in the reporting subject, financial report of the General Conference Commission on Chaplains. This should be stricken to read, "financial support of the General Commission on Chaplains," et cetera.

This proposed Amendment has to do with the financial support of the General Conference—I mean of the General Commission on Chaplains and Armed Forces personnel. The bold-faced type in the last paragraph of the report reading "The International General Commission on Chaplains" should also be stricken. This Memorial provides for the Amending of Paragraph 778 of the *Discipline* which has to do with the Interdenominational Cooperation Fund by inserting the words, "the General Commission on Chaplains and Armed Forces Personnel," so that the recipients of the Interdenominational Cooperation Fund would be the National Council of Churches, the World Council of Churches, and the General Commission on Chaplains and Armed Forces Personnel.

World Service would recommend the amount of monies to be allocated to each of these.

We recommend concurrence and move adoption.

Motion for Nonconcurrence on Report 19—G. Wesley Lewis

G. Wesley Lewis (Wyoming—NE): If I interpret correctly the instructions of the Chairman, in order to accomplish what I trust this body will support me in, I wish to move nonconcurrence. If I see a second, I would like to speak to it.

May I say it will not be necessary for you to turn to the page in the book because I am going to make reference to it. I do not want anyone to think that I am not for additional funds for our Chaplains. You all recognize that under the Commission on Fellowship and Suffering, they are not receiving enough funds at this time, but I am convinced that this will take funds from them instead of adding funds to their cause.

The page which I made reference to is on page 71, and you will notice there, or I will read for you the figures that we are now alloting to the National Council of Churches, $405,000.

To the World Council, $203,805.00, or a total of $608,805.

Now, in my judgment, this Commission should receive their funds from the National Council. We should not ask our General Council on World Service and Finance to dip into our funds further for this cause. I think they should come under the clause to which they are intended as a National Council and take their allotment from the $405,000. I am certain that we need to guard our finances at this point.

You will recognize from the Memorial that we would ask the Council on World Service and Finance to recommend a certain sum of money for this group. I think they are adequately taken care of and we are carrying our full load under the National Council. I trust you will sustain this motion by recommending nonconcurrence.

Statement Supporting Report of Committee—Dow Kirkpatrick

Dow Kirkpatrick (Rock River—NC): Let's be very clear, my friend, on several things. First, the General Commission on Chaplains and Armed Forces Personnel is not our Methodist Commission. This is the Interdenominational Commission through which 37 Denominations, including The Methodist Church, work with the government directly in liaison regarding Military Chaplain's matters.

The second thing to be clear about, this is in no way related to the National Council of Churches. This is an independent interdenominational agency which keeps itself unrelated to the National Council because many of the denominations not in the National Council, are in the General Commission.

This comes to you because of a Memorial which I have presented on behalf of those of us who are the Methodist representatives on the General Commission. The Commission, much older than our own; but The Methodist Church does not take, and it is the only denomination which does not, its full asking. There is a history that can't be decided in two minutes, but it hinges on the fact that this Commission has two phases in its name: Chaplains and Armed Forces Personnel.

The Armed Forces Personnel is the part of the budget that we neglect as Methodists. We pay the Chaplains part out of the General Methodist Chaplains' Budget, but nobody in Methodism will assume responsibility for these Armed Forces Personnel. We showed in our Evangelism Report our great interest in these people for more than a quadrennium, those of us who are representatives have tried to find somebody to take this interest on. The Board of Education says it is not theirs. The Camp Activities distributes their money in the United States and most of these people are overseas.

This is an interdenominational activity and we are talking about only $30,000. You have $608,000 in the Interdenominational Fund given to the National Council and World Council. I don't want to see anything happen to that. I am on the General Board of the National Council. We are asking $30,000 and there is an $80,000 surplus in the Interdenominational Fund now. I hope you will support this addition to the *Discipline* at this point.

Previous Question Moved—Sterling Wheeler

Sterling Wheeler (Southwest Texas—SC): I move the previous question.

Bishop Kennedy: The previous question is called for. If you vote the previous question, we will vote on both these matters immediately. If you favor, lift the hand. Opposed? The previous question is called for. (DCA, page 686.)

I shall ask for a statement first of all from the man who made the substitute and then the Chairman of the Committee.

Do you wish to speak again, Mr. Lewis?

G. Wesley Lewis (Wyoming—NE): Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I

don't think that would be necessary, but I would like to recognize Mr. Jones from the Council on World Service and Finance.

Bishop Kennedy: We are on the previous question. That is out of order. The Chairman will speak the closing words.

Closing Statement by Chairman of Committee—Roy Grogan

Roy Grogan: There is a need to be filled by the Amendment. We hope the Amendment will be defeated and our proposed change will be voted in.

Amendment Defeated—Report Adopted

Bishop Kennedy: The motion is nonconcurrence. If you are in favor of nonconcurrence, lift the hand. Opposed, the same sign. The Amendment is lost.

We are on the main motion. The motion is to adopt. Those in favor, lift the hand. Opposed, the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 686; see Appendix, page 1430.)

Report No. 35, Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy Adopted—Roy Grogan

Roy Grogan: Report 35, Calendar 372, page 525, this has to do with the purchase of District Parsonages that Amends the present wording of the *Discipline* deleting the 30-day notice to pastors and district stewards requiring further advice and consent of the District Board of Church Locations. Their decision is final. The appeal is only to the Annual Conference.

We recommend concurrence and move adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 686; see Appendix, page 1435.)

Report No. 37, Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy Withdrawn—Roy Grogan

Roy Grogan: Mr. Chairman, Calendar 374, has been voted on by this body. We recommend withdrawal of Report No. 37.

Bishop Kennedy: Without objection, it can be withdrawn. I hear no objection. (DCA, page 686.)

Report No. 41, Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy Adopted—Roy Grogan

Roy Grogan: Calendar 378, page 526, Report 41 amends Paragraph 1104 of the *Discipline* concerning tenure on Boards, Commissions and Divisions of the Church, twelve-year rule, excepting the Council of Bishops, and Judicial Council. This proposed change would further except staff representatives who serve on other boards and agencies of the Church as required by the *Discipline.*

We recommend concurrence and move adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 686; see Appendix, page 1438.)

Report No. 53, Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy Adopted—Roy Grogan

Roy Grogan: Page 529, Calendar 390, Report No. 53. This, again, deals with disciplinary provision 778, which you will recall creates the Interdenominational Cooperation Fund for support of National and World Council of Churches and now the General Commission of Chaplaincy in Armed Forces Personnel. This Amendment would require the Commission on Promotion and Cultivation to be responsible for the promotion of this fund. We recommend concurrence and move adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those in favor, lift the hand. Opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 686; see Appendix, page 1447.)

Report No. 54, Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy Adopted—Roy Grogan

Roy Grogan: Calendar 391, page 529, Report 54, amends Paragraph 762 of the *Discipline* which deals with the creation of a World Service Special Gifts Fund known as the Methodist Television and Radio Ministry Fund.

Section 2 makes it possible for Annual Conference Committees to work on this fund and this Amendment provides for the creation of a Committee from the Conference Commission on Promotion and Cultivation, sets out the structure of this Committee and the work of the Conference and District level to promote this fund.

We recommend concurrence and move adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 686; see Appendix, page 1448.)

Report No. 55, Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy Adopted—Roy Grogan

Roy Grogan: Calendar 392, page 529, report 55 again deals with the subject of Advance Specials. The proposed Amendment simply defines what a general Advance Special is, and then sets out the agencies authorized to receive such funds.

We recommend concurrence and move adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Those who will approve, lift the hand. Opposed? Adopted. (DCA, page 686; see Appendix, page 1449.)

Report No. 65, Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy Adopted—Roy Grogan

Roy Grogan: Page 531, Calendar 402, Report 65. This report has to do with Recommendations for special programs. We recommend referral of the matters contained in this report to TRAFCO. We move adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed? It is referred. (DCA, page 686; see Appendix, page 1455.)

Report No. 77, Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy Adopted—Roy Grogan

Roy Grogan: On page 533, Calendar 414, Report No. 77. This relates to Paragraph 1313 of the *Discipline* dealing with the Methodist

Committee for Overseas Relief. The proposed Amendment simply places responsibility for financial promotion in the Commission on Promotion and Cultivation in consultation with the General Secretary of MCOR and we move adoption of this report.

Bishop Kennedy: Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 686; see Appendix, page 1462.)

Report No. 78, Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy Adopted—Roy Grogan

Roy Grogan: Calendar 415, Report No. 78. This report proposes Amendment to Paragraph 288 of the *Discipline* and was really intended to be a restatement of the duties of the Church to make it more definitive and also to provide for the election of Associate Church Lay Leaders. Because a mistake in secretarial impression, this report was inaccurately written and we would like to take perhaps unusual editorial liberty at this point to correct the copy in order to reflect the true action of the committee which would be to the following: I will read this. "There shall be a Church Lay Leader in each Church elected by the Quarterly Conference who shall take leadership in promoting, in cooperation with the pastor"—insert these words,—"and Chairman of the Official" board, the program of lay work of The Methodist Church;—insert—"the Church Lay Leader" may also be elected chairman of the Official Board. He shall be responsible for"—and then we change B to A, C to B, D to C, and so forth, so that it winds up with subsection H which is now the present I, and then it follows with 288.2 which provides for the election of one or more Associate Church Lay Leaders.

We recommend adoption of this report.

Bishop Kennedy: If you will adopt it, lift the hand. Opposed, the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 687; see Appendix, page 1462.)

Report No. 81, Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy Adopted—Roy Grogan

Roy Grogan: Page 543, this has to do with TRAFCO, Calendar 475, our Report No. 81. The first section of this amending paragraph 235 of the *Discipline* we understand has previously been considered by this Conference and the changes therein recommended have been adopted, so we would recommend the withdrawal of that section of our report having to do with the Amendment of Section 235 of the *Discipline* and also Paragraph 266.2 of the *Discipline*.

Our recommendation and our proposed change would begin with Paragraph 762, which, I believe, is over on page 544 in the middle of the page.

The first change proposed is Paragraph 762, regarding the Television and Radio Ministry Fund and sets out the use to be made of this fund.

At this point, Mr. Chairman, I would like your feeling as to whether or not we should consider these individually or the report as a whole. Many recommendations are made to various proposed changes to the *Discipline*.

It might be well to get them all before the group at one time and if they want to lift one for consideration they can. 1583.1 Subsection D. This relates to a Conference Audio-Visual Library where it is considered necessary. This change would provide for the assistance and training of audio visual librarians.

The next proposed change is 1583.1-F. This has to do with Conference Television, Radio, and Film Commissions. It contains several

deletions and insertions as you will notice, as well as several new subparagraphs to 1583.1 to wit: H, I, and J. The summary of the Amendments to this particular paragraph would provide the assistance in training audio-visual librarians, training in use of audio visual methods and materials, organizational training of local church intercommissions on audio visual and training of local church and conference lay leaders in the use of radio and television in cooperation with the general Commission on TRAFCO.

The next proposed change is to 1581.2, relating to membership on the Television Radio and Film Commission of The Methodist Church, the Amendment provides for one minister and one layman from each Jurisdiction elected by the respective College of Bishops, 10 members of the Council of Secretaries elected by the Council, requiring a Secretary from Missions, Education, Christian Social Concerns, Lay Activities, Evangelism, and the Commission on Promotion and Cultivation to serve and 5 members at large elected by the Commission itself.

We recommend concurrence and move adoption of this report.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 687; see Appendix, page 1465.)

Report No. 83, Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy Adopted—Roy Grogan

Roy Grogan: Calendar 477, page 544, our report 83. This would amend Paragraph 510 of the *Discipline* which has to do with the submissions of Memorials to this General Conference. This proposed Amendment would change the name of "Memorials" to "Petitions" and it would require the submission of these petitions 30 days before the opening session of the General Conference which would provide the Secretary, or allow the Secretary adequate time to prepare these petitions in such a manner that they might be ready for the respective legislative committees at their first meeting in the convening General Conference.

We recommend concurrence and move adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: The motion is before you. Are you ready?

Amendment Proposed—Roy P. Moller

Roy P. Moller (Pacific Northwest—W): While I am in full accord with the purpose of this Amendment, I think it has a hole in it. I would move to amend the report by inserting a paragraph to be numbered 510.4. "Petitions from Annual Conferences and Central Conferences and members may be accepted by the Secretary not later than the opening date of the General Conference" and renumber sections 4 and 5.

May I have a second?

Bishop Kennedy: You have a second. Will you accept that?

Roy Grogan: No, sir.

Bishop Kennedy: Go ahead.

Roy Moller: I talked with several members of our Central Conference and they feel that they would lose a year because some of their Annual Conferences happen before the General Conference which would mean that any action of their Annual Conference, prior to the General Conference would be held over, then, for four full years.

Now, if we get these petitions in here 10 days or 30 days prior to the opening of the General Conference, a few more—the very small number that will come in late up to the time of the opening of the Conference will not create the bottleneck that we ran into this year. I think that whether it is 10 days or 30 days more or less it is immaterial. I am for this whole report with this one exception.

Roy Grogan: I have a point of information, Mr. Chairman. Did you say Central Conference?

Roy Moller: Yes, restricted to Central Conference, and Central Conference members.

Amendment Accepted by Committee

Roy Grogan: That is accepted.

Bishop Kennedy: It becomes a part of the record.
I recognize this brother over here.

Clarifying Information Given—John Herr

John Herr (Philadelphia—NE): If you turn to page 409, you will see report Number 4 from the Committee on Plan of Organization and Rules of Order, and, really, this should come from our committee. We have not been recognized yet because we have been dealing with the Legislative Committees, but we propose that the Memorials and Petitions shall be in 30 days prior to the opening day of the Conference, had we been able to have appeared before now, we would have made two exceptions, one, those Memorials that come from the Overseas Delegates, and two, those Memorials that come from Conferences that meet within the 30 day period.

I think if that were adopted, that would certainly do away with most of the bottlenecks.

Bishop Kennedy: Let me ask if the Committee would accept that?

Roy Grogan: We would accept it from Conferences, not from individual members of the Conferences.

Bishop Kennedy: You are asking for conferences, are you not?

Mr. Herr: Yes.

Roy Grogan: We accept.

Bishop Kennedy: It is accepted. Will you allow the Secretary to speak a word here, a matter of privilege?

Clarifying Information from Secretary

Leon T. Moore: Mr. Chairman and members of the Conference, I hope you will adopt this motion.

When I left Philadelphia to come to this General Conference, I had read the first 1800 Memorials and brought with me about 600 that we had not yet processed. The total number of Memorials received has passed the 5500 mark.

About 1000 of them came in too late to be considered under the rule of the first day of the Conference, or they were not used and processed because they were defective, some were not signed, some had only one copy, some did not identify themselves as Methodists. We had Memorials that we had to correspond with as many as four or five letters before they would admit they were not Methodists, yet trying to Amend our *Discipline*.

I had 100 Memorials from one man of an affiliated autonomous

Methodist Church which is not a part of our Church in the sense that you can receive the Memorials because they are under a different *Discipline.*

These things are factors we are up against. We had to process better than 3000 Memorials after we got here at the General Conference. I don't know if you fully appreciate what that means. I think the 30 days is a good thing. There would be very few Memorials, very few Memorials, that would come in from individuals or the Conferences, as such, such as Switzerland which meets within two or three weeks of the General Conference.

I hope you will adopt the report.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready on this? Just a moment, I want to ask Dr. Herr a question. Do you object to this Committee bringing in this? Is that the point?

Rules Committee Concurs—John D. Herr

John D. Herr: No, I don't object. If that is adopted as I hope it would be, I hope you would ask the Conference to turn to this report for the Committee on Plans of Organization and Rules of Order and adopt, too, and that will be out of the way.

Amendment to Report Offered—W. Davis Cotton

W. Davis Cotton (Louisiana—SC): Before the vote is taken I want to offer another Amendment on another subject. May I do it at this time? The word "Memorial" as I understand it, is a Methodist word of immemorial usage. I think to change the word to "petition" is going to cause great confusion among the grassroots of our people and we will go home and find out we have been accused of abolishing Memorials to the General Conference. I think the word should be retained. It is a better word in every respect. If you study them, it truly defines what we are trying to do back to the grassroots, we are Memorializing this Conference. We are not on our knees petitioning. I suggest the word "memorial" be retained.

Bishop Kennedy: We are on the Amendment keeping the word "Memorial" rather than "petition." We are ready to vote and as soon as the Chairman has an opportunity to speak we will do so.

Closing Statement for Committee

Roy Grogan: I fully respect the wishes of my brother from Louisiana, but may I say, sir, you say we are not on our knees petitioning this body, but by the same use of the word, neither are we Memorializing this Conference in the true sense of the word. We went to the oldest living authority in The Methodist Church, the Senior Bishop of this Church, Bishop Welch. He knows of no reason why this word is being used. If you think it is difficult to explain why we use petition, you should try to explain why we use the word Memorial. We strongly recommend the defeating of the substitution and the adoption of the report.

Amendment Not Adopted

Bishop Kennedy: We are now on the Amendment. If you vote for the Amendment you are in favor of keeping the word "memorial." Those in favor of the Amendment, lift the hand. Those opposed. It is lost. (DCA, page 688.)

We are now on the main motion.

Amendment to Report Offered—Margaret Donaldson

Margaret Donaldson (New York East—NE): There is some wording in the salutation of these Petitions or Memorials and I would like to offer an Amendment. I know it is a time-honored phrase, but the phrase "fathers and brethren"—I am neither a father nor a brethren and I don't feel qualified to vote on any of these Memorials. Also most of the women, if they thought about it, would feel like intruders when we address them as fathers and brethren. I am not sure how to phrase the Amendment, but I think I would suggest "members of the Conference."

Roy Grogan: Is that in here?

Bishop Kennedy: I don't think it applies here. I think Brother Corson is the only one that uses it here.

Margaret Donaldson: I am willing to be his brethren. I thought that the matter before us pertained to Memorials in general. It was the salutation to the Memorials or petitions that I was referring to.

Bishop Kennedy: We are not on Memorials in general. This is a particular Memorial, Petition, or whatever you want to call it.

Are you ready to vote on this? It is before us. We have decided to keep "Memorials."

Amendment Offered—Not Seconded—George Shindledecker

George Shindledecker (Western Pennsylvania—NE): I would like to suggest an Amendment which would put an additional section reading thusly: Following at the bottom there on page 477: "Petitions should be submitted through an Annual Conference Committee on Petitions where possible. Petitions submitted direct to the General Conference shall be accompanied by a service charge of $2 per petition."

Bishop Kennedy: Do I hear a second? I don't hear a second. Lost for want of a second.

The motion is before us. Are you ready?

Inquiry—John W. Chittum

John W. Chittum (North-East Ohio—NC): My bookkeeping does not seem to be adequate for the purpose, but it seems to me my memory tells me we have something else before the Conference dealing with something like 10 days or two weeks and that it was referred. Is it possible that Mr. Trigg James might help us, or I may be at sea about this.

Roy Grogan: This was a suggestion only. This was referred to the Committee on Rules. There was no affirmative proposition called for.

Bishop Kennedy: Does Dr. Trigg James wish to speak to this?

D. Trigg James, Sr.: This is correct. Nothing else need be said.

Bishop Kennedy: It seems to be in order. Are you ready to vote?

I recognize this gentleman back here. Do you want to speak on this matter here?

Amendment Proposed—Ben F. Lehmberg

Ben F. Lehmberg (Rocky Mountain—W): I am a member of the Rocky Mountain Conference. I like this lady's suggestion, and I think an Amendment is in order or an addition and that it be numbered

D, and change the present D to E. All Petitions to the General Conference shall be addressed to the members of the General Conference. I submit that as an addition.

Bishop Kennedy: I think you could do it if you want to. There is nothing that says you have to say "fathers and brethren," if a man wants to, he has a right to. It is not a form which has been adopted. Do you want to make this Amendment?

Ben Lehmberg: Yes.

Bishop Kennedy: Is there a second?

It was seconded.

Lehmberg Amendment Adopted

Bishop Kennedy: Do you want to vote on it? Are you ready? All in favor, lift the hand. Opposed? The Amendment carries. (DCA, page 688.)

Report As Amended Adopted

Bishop Kennedy: We are now on the amended Memorial or Petition. Are you ready to vote? Those who will approve the petition, lift the hand. Those opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 688; see Appendix, page 1469.)

Request to Adopt Report No. 4, Committee on Plan of Organization and Rules of Order—John D. Herr

John D. Herr (Philadelphia—NE): Would you adopt Report No. 4 from the Committee on Plan of Organization and Rules of Order as it is here, but add to it that exceptions will be made—Page 409—exceptions will be made for Conferences that meet within 30 days of the opening of the General Conference, and exceptions will be made for Memorials that come through overseas Conferences.

The motion was seconded.

Motion to Amend Report No. 4, Committee on Rules—Roy Nichols

Roy H. Nichols (California-Nevada—W): Under No. 1 in that report, I would like to try this for size, that all general and specific quadrennial reports, including the report of the Coordinating Council directed to the General Conference shall be submitted to the duly elected delegates at least 45 days prior to the opening of the General Conference and the content of said reports or sections thereof shall be submitted to the appropriate legislative committees of the General Conference prior to presentation on the Conference floor, thus giving the Legislative Committees an opportunity to consider the report in conjunction with related petitions. If I get a second, I will make four points.

I believe if we do this, we will give real integrity to these petitions and give the Legislative Committees also a real share in shaping the legislation of this Conference.

The motion was seconded.

Secondly, I think this will save us time in perfecting these reports on the floor including the minutiae that we deal with often here on the floor of the Conference.

Thirdly, it will bring support or dissent and understanding and comprehensive minority reports if necessary, and save the time of the plenary sessions.

Fourthly, it may require, in the case of the Coordinating Committee a new Legislative Committee, but I still think it should be done.

Nichols Amendment Adopted

Bishop Kennedy: All right. Are you ready on this? If you are ready to vote, if you approve it, lift the hand. Opposed to it, the same sign. It is carried. (DCA, page 689.)

Point of Order—B. I. Thornton

B. I. Thornton (South Georgia—SE): I believe we just adopted the Amendment to the Recommendation of the Conference and not the entire paper.

Report No. 4, Committee on Rules As Amended—Adopted

Bishop Kennedy: We didn't adopt the whole thing, is that correct? Your point is well taken. Groucho Marx said, "There is nothing I like better than a point well taken."

We are on the rule which was adopted. This is the matter that Dr. Herr presented to us a moment ago. Are you ready? Those who will approve it as Amended, lift the hand. Opposed? It is approved. (DCA, page 689; see Appendix, page 1054.)

Report No. 66, Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy Adopted—John Soltman

John Soltman (Pacific Northwest—W): Page 531, Calendar 403, Report No. 66; we have here additions to the Legislation governing the Association of the Methodist Historical Societies. No. 5. This provides for subscribing memberships so that individuals may relate themselves to the Association of Methodist Historical Societies. No. 6 lists the historic Shrines of Methodism approved to this date.

6-B gives specification for a Methodist Historical Shrine. C states that during each quadrennium, the Association of Methodist Historical Societies shall review data submitted on behalf of Churches, buildings and sites for Shrines, and make such recommendations as it deems appropriate to the ensuing General Conference.

Then follows a section on Methodist Landmarks which are the particular province of the Annual Conference.

My committee moves concurrence.

Comment on Report—Edwin Jones

Edwin Jones (Western North Carolina—SE): I would like to call particular attention to Subparagraph C which outlines how a Shrine can be designated. That would prevent any precipitate action at any Conference before enough study is given to such an important matter as this. A history has to be dug into, records have to be found, and unless considerable study is given to it, we could make mistakes.

For instance, we have two other Memorials, 341 and 343, asking this Conference to designate Shrines which the Historical Society has not studied.

I hope when we adopt this, we will keep this in mind.

Comment on Report—Harold Hughes

Harold H. Hughes, Sr. (Virginia—NE): I appreciate very much what Mr. Jones has said, knowing he is more sympathetic to what has been expressed than I. I am very much interested in a very careful survey being made of every Shrine, but since he has made reference to the fact that there are two matters to come before us in other Memorials, I do hope that we will take note of the fact that—I am speaking here for the Memorial that has to do, since he referred to it, with the Leesburg which is on page 522, and Report No. 6, Calendar Report 343.

Bishop Kennedy: Brother, let's stay on the one we are on. You are in order to bring that up later. We are on this one now.

Harold Hughes: Will I have an opportunity to speak when that comes up?

Bishop Kennedy: If I am in the Chair, yes, certainly. We are on this one now. Are you ready to vote on this? As many as are in favor, lift the hand. Opposed? Adopted. (DCA, page 689; see Appendix, page 1456.)

Reports No. 4 and 6, Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy Adopted—John Soltman

John Soltman: We will move to the Shrines which have been discussed in part. Start with Report No. 4, Calendar 341. This is the recommendation from our Committee that Acuffs Chapel be designated as a Shrine. There follows on page 522, Report No. 6, Calendar 343 which is exactly the same recommendation for Old Stone Church, Leesburg. They could be handled together, Bishop, if you wish.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you willing to handle them together. Is there objection? Are you ready? Do you wish to speak to this?

Statement Relative to Reports—Harold Hughes

Harold Hughes: I feel that this Conference should know that in the presentation of the site at Leesburg, Virginia, for the designation as a Shrine that all that has been required by the Association has been done.

We have this Memorial before us, sent in by the Baltimore Conference, by the Virginia Conference, by the Southeastern Jurisdictional Association of Historical Societies, by the Historical Society of Northern Virginia.

We have also the fact that the American—the new volumes on the history of American Methodism gives a very special place to this particular Shrine, and also lists the deed for the property there, and note this date, May 11, 1766.

Elmer Clark brought this matter before the Association of Historical Societies one year ago and I will read from the minutes of that Society in April, 1963.

Bishop Garber, who just retired as the president of the Council of Bishops, and who was former Professor of History at Duke and one of our noted historians, has given his wholehearted approval to this. The General Conference of 1960 set Leesburg as one of the bicentennial places and I want to call this fact to your attention that the man who started the Redstone Circuit out of which Pittsburgh Methodism grew is buried in the graveyard at Old Stone Church.

I hope that you will make it a Shrine.

Bishop Kennedy: We have two Memorials to make them Shrines. Are you ready to vote? If you consent to this, lift the hand. Any opposition? It is done. (DCA, page 689; see Appendix, page 1424.)

Report No. 7, Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy Adopted—John Soltman

John Soltman: Still on page 522, No. 344, Report No. 7. This is a resolution to the effect that the General Board of Lay Activities be asked to prepare a Stewardship Creed for the Church to be presented to the 1968 General Conference for its consideration and adoption.

We move concurrence.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 690; see Appendix, page 1425.)

Report No. 13, Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy Adopted—John Soltman

John Soltman: Now, on page 523, No. 350, Report No. 13. Simply note that this action has already been adopted. I think that this could be withdrawn, Bishop.

Bishop Kennedy: Without objection, it will be withdrawn. (DCA, page 690.)

Report No. 16, Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy Adopted—John Soltman

John Soltman: Page 523, No. 353, Report No. 16. This is the recommendation that there be developed and adopted a Methodist Symbol, a hallmark of our Church, and the action here is that the design shall be approved for this purpose by the Council of Secretaries.

We recommend adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 690; see Appendix, page 1429.)

Report No. 20, Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy Adopted—John Soltman

John Soltman: On page 523, No. 357, Report No. 20. "Trustees, Methodists Institutions." This paragraph referred to here, No. 728, contains specifications concerning Trustees of Methodist related Institutions. The number of Methodist Trustees which they shall have, manner of election, and so forth, is covered.

The action as to this paragraph "No General Board, Association or Agency of The Church shall henceforth list as a Methodist institution any new or projected institution which cannot qualify under the foregoing standards."

I simply point out to you that this refers only to new or projected institutions, and we move concurrence.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready?

Inquiry Relative to Vote in Committee on Report—Raoul Calkins

Raoul Calkins (Ohio—NC): Is it not correct that 42 persons voted against this? I think we should have a statement of the minority opinion before we vote on this.

Explanation—John Soltman

John Soltman: I will try to explain it briefly. I think the numbers are correct.

This Memorial grows out of the fact that there are numbers of our Institutions which are not as closely related to the Church as they once were, and which do not indeed, follow the specifications of this paragraph in the *Discipline* as to the number of Methodists among their Trustees, and so forth.

I think that the Memorial was offered as an attempt in the future to hold the Institutions related to the Church in a closer relationship.

Obviously, the objection came from those who recognized that there are many of our Institutions which do not now conform and so the question is raised why should we expect of future institutions better performance than those now related to the Church.

Point of Order—J. Earl Moreland

J. Earl Moreland (Virginia—SE): They have nothing to do with this. It belongs to the Board of Education. Point of order, Mr. Chairman. This should be ruled out at once. The Board of Education, Division of Higher Education has many rules and good ones, but the Board of Lay Activities and Temporal Economy has never before invaded that particular realm. I hope you will rule it out of order.

John Soltman: I beg your pardon, sir, this does not come from the Board of Lay Activities. This is a Memorial which was properly channeled to the General Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy. That is the reason we happen to have it.

Harold Swales (Central New York—NE): Would this apply to Educational Institutions?

John Soltman: The paragraph applies—it begins "Trustees of schools, colleges, universities, hospitals, homes, orphanages, institutions owned or controlled by The Methodist Church—" it is in a section in the *Discipline* on Temporal Economy and Church Finance.

Harold Swales: I think this would be very unfortunate in light of some institutions that are Methodist and to disassociate ourselves from them at this time, would be most unfortunate.

Bishop Kennedy: Well, we will vote on this very soon, I hope. Is there further word on this?

Donald Redmond (Southwest Texas—SC): I may show complete ignorance here. I want to be sure this doesn't apply to Institutions overseas related to The Methodist Church.

John Soltman: It applies to no existing institutions in a relationship to The Methodist Church. It applies to new or projected institutions.

Bishop Kennedy: Is that clear? Are you ready?

John Rixse (Virginia—SE): It seems to me that this needs more examination than we are able to give it tonight and I think we would be well advised to vote it down and consider it at another time.

Statement Opposing Report—W. Arthur Milne

W. Arthur Milne (Ohio—NC): I would like to speak in opposition to this. I happen to be the President of a Hospital and Deaconess Association. Some of the best members that we have in that Association as Trustees are former Methodists who have been trained well by The Methodist Church, who have been getting their training in that fashion, had moved into neighborhoods where they are now Presbyterian and belong to other denominations. This would rule them out, but their training and their whole Philosophy is Methodist. We would lose much of the leadership that we have trained. I am opposed to it.

Motion to Refer—Raymond E. Balcomb

Raymond E. Balcomb (Oregon—W): I move we refer this to the appropriate Standing Committee or Commission in the church.

The motion was seconded.

Report No. 20 Referred

Bishop Kennedy: The motion is before you to refer. Are you ready to vote on this? As many as will refer this to the appropriate Committee, lift the hand. Those opposed. Well, I am not sure. Try once more. Those in favor of referring, lift the hand, please. Thank you. Those opposed. I think it is referred. (DCA, page 690; see Appendix, page 1431.)

John Warman (Western Pennsylvania—NE): This is a motion which I think will help us in our business. I move to set the hour of adjournment at 11:45 p.m. with nonconcurrence to be recorded on all Legislative matters not considered at that time.

Bishop Kennedy: The motion to adjourn is before us.

The motion was seconded.

John Warman: Late hour and weary delegates are a perfect recipe for indigestible legislation. We do no harm by nonconcurrence. We lived with the present *Discipline* for four years and we can do it for four years more.

Bishop Kennedy: Yes, I will certainly ask Dr. Tate to speak to that. Are you ready to hear from him before we vote?

Willis M. Tate: This would be very difficult, to decide which of these Committees will make reports, you see, and I think that if you will be good sports and stay in your places and do as you said you would do the other night, stay late, if this took the time to do it, we would probably have—we have several important things and this hour might catch us. I think you can cooperate by keeping the two-minute rule and moving the previous question without delay. Now, after one talk, and maybe we can move along further. I would not like to be responsible for which of the Committees will report under that kind of a rule.

Warman Motion Defeated

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready to vote on the motion to adjourn. Those in favor of it, lift the hand. Those opposed. It is lost. (DCA, page 691.)

Report No. 36, Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy Presented—John Soltman

John Soltman: Page 525, No. 373, Report No. 36, entitled "Fiscal Year, Same as Calendar Year for All Methodist Churches."

There is strong support for the provision that by the year 1968, the fiscal and statistical year for all Methodist Churches be the calendar year. I shall simply state this. Quite a few Conferences are on this base. There seems to be a great potential advantage statistically and financially in operating on the same base.

I move its adoption.

Statement Opposing Report—James Morgan

James Morgan (Southwest Texas—SC): This is not considering our whole church. We have so many different rules on this, we will not hurt ourselves by voting nonconcurrence on this mischievous legislation.

Bishop Kennedy: This delegate is for it. I don't think—do you want to speak for it?

Statement for Information—D. Trigg James

D. Trigg James (Holston—SE): I am chairman of the Committee on Conferences. Our Committee rejected nonconcurrence on a similar petition which was before our Committee. I just say that for the information of the body.

Bishop Kennedy: Thank you. Are you ready to vote on this? I will allow the Chairman to say a word before we vote.

John Soltman: No word.

Report No. 36 Not Adopted

Bishop Kennedy: You don't want to say anything. You know what is before us. If you are in favor of this Memorial, lift the hand. Those opposed, the same sign. It is lost. (DCA, page 691.)

Report No. 40, Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy Adopted—John Soltman

John Soltman: Page 526, No. 377, Report No. 40. This is a carefully thought through provision which is in addition to Paragraph 156. I think it would save you time if I read that very brief paragraph, under Church Property "All provisions of the *Discipline* relating to property, both real and personal, in relating to the formation and operation of any corporations are conditioned on their being in conformity with the local laws and in the event they conflict therewith, the local law shall prevail" to which shall be added "provided that this requirement shall not be construed to give the consent of The Methodist Church to deprivation of its property without due process of law nor to the regulation of its affairs—state statutes where such regulations violate the guarantee of freedom of religion and separation of Church and State, or violates the right of the Church to maintain connectional structures."

I will not speak, but if there is debate, I will call on the lawyer behind me.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 691; see Appendix, page 1437.)

Report No. 45, Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy Adopted—John Soltman

John Soltman: On the same page, Calendar 382, Report No. 45. This is simply a recommendation that every Annual Conference seek to provide funds toward free or part paid care for older needy persons during the next quadrennium. We move concurrence.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? All those who favor the motion will lift the hand. Opposed? It is carried. (DCA, page 691; see Appendix, page 1440.)

Report No. 67, Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy Adopted—John Soltman

John Soltman: Please turn to Page 532, No. 404, Report No. 67. This is the recommendation that we recommend, the nomination of Francis Asbury to New York University Hall of Fame for Great Americans. We don't know much about it, but we are in favor of Francis Asbury and are glad to put his name up.

We move concurrence.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? All those who favor the motion will lift the hand. If you are opposed, the same sign. It is carried. (DCA, page 691; see Appendix, page 1457.)

Reports No. 68 and 69, Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy Adopted—John Soltman

John Soltman: Also on page 532, three items pertaining to the John Street Methodist Church. We have long since recognized a denominational responsibility to this historic church, and so we have these three, the first, Report No. 68, which gives to the trustees of John Street Methodist Church the right to solicit financial aid.

The second is the election of the Trustees for the ensuing quadrennium and they are here listed, No. 406, Report No. 69.

No. 407 is not necessary. We have already voted this in the budget, $4,000 to the church. (Report No. 70—Withdrawn.)

We move concurrence on No. 68 and 69.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready to vote? Those who will favor the motion will lift the hand. Opposed? It is carried. (DCA, page 691; see Appendix, page 1457.)

Report No. 71, Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy Adopted—John Soltman

John Soltman: We have a new proposal, page 532, No. 408, Report No. 71, having to do with Church Archives. We recommend concurrence here, that a general church agency archive policy be established and then there follows in point 2 the manner in which this Committee shall perform.

We move concurrence.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who favor the motion will lift the hand. Opposed? It is carried. (DCA, page 691; see Appendix, page 1459.)

Reports No. 72 and 74, Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy Adopted—John Soltman

John Soltman: The next is No. 409, Report No. 72, but the financial provision has already been made in the budget of the Council on World Service and Finance.

Also, on page 532, No. 411, Report No. 74, it was pointed out by the person making this Memorial that the windows in Wesley Chapel, England, are a disgrace to Methodism and we ought to do something about it. We concur with the thought and refer this to the Methodist Historical Society.

We move concurrence.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who favor the motion will lift the hand. Opposed, the same sign. It is carried. (DCA, page 691; see Appendix, page 1459.)

Report No. 76, Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy Adopted—John Soltman

John Soltman: Finally, will you look at No. 413, Report No. 76 on the same page? This is a rather radical revision of the formation of the Executive Committee of the Historical Society, the Executive Committee of the Methodist Historical Societies.

You will note other changes designating this as the Official Historical Agency of The Methodist Church. It was the opinion of the Committee that this is in order.

We move concurrence.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who favor the motion will lift the hand. Opposed, the same sign. It is carried. (DCA, page 691; see Appendix, page 1461.)

Report No. 3, Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy Withdrawn—Thomas Clay

Thomas Clay (Genesee—NE): Mr. Chairman, this is Tom Clay of the Genesee Conference. I say that because they had Russell Clay and Tom Clay all mixed up in the 1960 *Journal.* This is the Genesee Conference, Clay.

On Page 521, Calendar No. 340, Report No. 3. It has been dealt with and we ask it be withdrawn.

Bishop Kennedy: Without objections, it is withdrawn. Is there any objection? I hear none. (DCA, page 691.)

Report No. 42, Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy Adopted—Thomas Clay

Thomas Clay: Page 526, Calendar 379, Report 42 deals with the quadrennial report as it affects the Board of Lay Activities. We read it, approved it, and move concurrence.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? This is page 526, Calendar 379, Report No. 42. The motion is to approve. If you are ready to approve it, lift the hand. Those opposed, the same sign. It is carried. (DCA, page 691; see Appendix, page 1438.)

Report No. 43, Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy Adopted—Thomas Clay

Thomas Clay: Calendar 280, same page, Report No. 43; subject,

The Episcopal Address as it relates to the Laity. The Committee on Lay Activities got a big charge cut of the tremendous amount of space that the Bishops' Address gave to the lay movement and we were very delighted with it, and we recommend that in case the Bishop should run across a tenure sometime and get a bad vote, we will appoint him as a Lay leader.

We move concurrence.

Bishop Kennedy: The motion is before you. If you will approve, lift the hand. Those opposed? Carried. (DCA, page 692; see Appendix, page 1439.)

Report No. 44, Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy Adopted—Thomas Clay

Thomas Clay: Same page, Calendar 381, Report No. 44, there is something new here to make legal what we have been doing at many of our Conferences. In the bold type, halfway down, third column, we add a new line, its the election of the Annual Conference Lay Member, and we add this in bold type, "At the option of the Annual Conference, the Lay Member or members of the Annual Church Conference thus elected shall serve beginning with the next session of the Annual Conference following election."

The former legislation in ¶ 143 has specified that he begin with the ensuing—end of the ensuing Conference and many of our laymen have been sitting illegally and voting illegally in the Annual Conference in which they were elected and here we give the option so they can sit legally in the Annual Conference following their election.

I move adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Any opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 692; see Appendix, page 1439.)

Report No. 46, Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy Adopted—Thomas Clay

Thomas Clay: Page 527, Report No. 46. There is an addition here of the composition of the Board of Lay Activities. I will not read all of those, but beginning down about two thirds of the way, we have the six ministers and the 27 lay members shall be elected by the Jurisdictional Conferences on nomination of their Committees of Lay Activities provided the Lay Members shall be selected from the present or past Conference Lay Leaders of the several Annual Conferences in the Jurisdiction. There shall be five lay members at large from within the United States, elected by the Board on Nominations, of the Council of Bishops, one lay member at large outside the United States, nominated by the Council of Bishops, elected by the Board. This shall be an Annual election, and each man so elected shall not be eligible to succeed himself.

The following shall be ex-officio: Secretary of Stewardship or other National Officer of the National Conference of the Methodist Youth Fellowship, the President or other National Officer of the National Student Movement, and the President or other National officer of the Woman's Division of Christian Service. Vacancies that may arise during the quadrennium among the lay members of the Board shall be filled by the Board itself.

I point out there has been added an Overseas representative and these others that I read for you and also that members can be past or present Conference Lay Leaders.

I move adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: It is before you. Are you ready?
Those who favor the motion will lift the hand. Opposed, the same sign. It is carried. (DCA, page 692; see Appendix, page 1440.)

Report No. 47, Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy Adopted—Thomas Clay

Thomas Clay: Number 384, Report No. 47 same page merely spells out the purpose of the General Board of Lay Activities. I move adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready to vote? As many as are in favor lift the hand. Opposed. It is done. (DCA, page 692; see Appendix, page 1441.)

Report No. 48, Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy Adopted—Thomas Clay

Thomas Clay: Same page 358, Report No. 48, merely inserts the word "visitation" instead of the word "canvass" as one of the responsibilities of the Board of Lay Activities. I move its adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready to vote? As many as are in favor lift the hand. Down. Opposed. It is done. (DCA, page 692; see Appendix, page 1442.)

Report No. 49, Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy Adopted—Thomas Clay

Thomas Clay: On this page, there are three reports, Number 49, 50, and 51, which lists the various thrusts of the Board of Lay Activities under three different sections. There is practically nothing new here, only a little housekeeping, as we have assembled them together. There are three or four editorial deletions where we have corrected it.

In the first column, taking the number 386, beginning nearly three quarters down the page, "for every member visitation in local church," we strike a period there and delete the balance of the sentence.

Second column, near the top of the page, "the section shall take such action as shall be deemed necessary to encourage Methodists to provide continued interest in world service in one or more world service agencies through Wills, Legacies, and gifts" this is a new area in which we started to work. I read that because I wanted you to know it was in there.

I move adoption of Report No. 49.

Inquiry Relative to Fund Raising—Lance Webb

Lance Webb (Ohio—NC): I raise a question, Mr. Chairman, in section six, regarding the leadership and the raising of money. Are these to be Capital Fund Crusades and are directors to be secured similar to those in the Department of Finance and Field Service of the Division of National Missions?

Thomas Clay: It doesn't say anything about Capital Funds, does it?

Lance Webb: It says raising money—adequate funds for local needs and world-wide needs. Is this to be interpreted as fund raising in the local church?

Thomas Clay: That's on a local church basis, and that is within the preview of our work.

Bishop Kennedy: The answer, I think, is that it doesn't interfere with the National Division.

Lance Webb: It is to be different?

Bishop Kennedy: Yes.

Lance Webb: Than that presently carried on?

Bishop Kennedy: Yes. Are you ready now, do you wish to speak, Number 8?

Inquiry Relative to Activities of Board of Lay Activities—Arnold Herbst

Arnold Herbst (South Dakota—NC): It seems to me if we read this rather carefully, we find that the Board of Lay Activities is getting into practically every organization in the Church such as giving leadership, direction, and training to Commission on Stewardship and Finance of the local church in matters pertaining to budget, building, raising funds, and so on.

Is there any possibility here of getting in cross purposes with the responsibility of the Board of Stewards as spelled out in the *Discipline* and also promotion as spelled out in the *Discipline* as having to do with the Commission on Missions?

Answer Given by Robert Mayfield

Robert Mayfield (Missouri West—SC): We have been in consultation with the Board of Missions and with the Coordinating Council to be sure that there is not the duplication and overlapping of some of these that have given concern to their question here.

This has been worked out here at the General Conference and there is no overlapping here at this point.

This is merely a definition, a more clear statement of the Stewardship Responsibilities that our Board has traditionally carried since 1922 when it was organized.

Bishop Kennedy: All right, are you ready to vote? The Question is called for. Those approving this report lift the hand. Those opposed, the same sign. It is approved. (DCA, page 692; see Appendix, page 1442.)

Report No. 50, Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy Adopted—Thomas Clay

Thomas Clay: Page 387, Report 50 deals with men's work and brings those together in the structure under section of men's work. We are deleting Paragraph 5 in the third column from the report.

I move adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready to vote? Those approving this report lift the hand. Those opposed, the same sign. It is so ordered. (DCA, page 692; see Appendix, page 1444.)

Report No. 51, Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy Adopted—Thomas Clay

Thomas Clay: The following Report 388, Report No. 51, rather, deals with speaking and leadership development communication section. I move adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready to take a vote? As many as are in favor lift the hand. Opposed, the same sign. It is carried. (DCA, page 693; see Appendix, page 1445.)

Report No. 52, Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy Withdrawn—Thomas Clay

Thomas Clay: Report No. 52, Calendar 389 has already been passed, subject of Layman's Day. I move its withdrawal.

Bishop Kennedy: Without objection, it is withdrawn. (DCA, page 693.)

Editorial Correction Report No. 50, Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy—Robert Mayfield

Robert Mayfield: At the top of the third column on page 528, Report No. 50, we desire to delete the words in subparagraph No. 5, "program produced and distributed" and just merely have "promote and follow-up." It is a cooperative effort on our part with TRAFCO and we were to promote and follow-up, not to produce the program.

Bishop Kennedy: Is there any objection to allowing that deletion? I don't hear any. (DCA, page 693.)

Report No. 56, Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy Adopted—Thomas Clay

Thomas Clay: On page 350, Mr. Chairman, Report No. 56, top of the page, just renumbering existing paragraphs, and I move its adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready to vote? As many as are in favor, lift the hand. Opposed, the same sign. It is carried. (DCA, page 693; see Appendix, page 1450.)

Report No. 57, Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy Adopted—Thomas Clay

Thomas Clay: Calendar Report No. 394, Report No. 57. There is a change in the location of the word "preferably" which would relate to the training and education of lay speakers and their reporting.
I move adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: As many as are in favor, lift the hand. Opposed, the same sign. It is done. (DCA, page 693; see Appendix, page 1450.)

Report No. 58, Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy Adopted—Thomas Clay

Thomas Clay: Number 395, Report No. 58, is merely housekeeping for our Board in its organization.
I move adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: As many as are in favor, lift the hand. Opposed, the same sign. It is done. (DCA, page 693; see Appendix, page 1451.)

Report No. 60, Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy Adopted—Thomas Clay

Thomas Clay: Number 397, Report No. 60. There is a request here

to amend Paragraph 504 by adding at the end of the first sentence the following sentence, "The Annual Conference may prescribe rules and regulations for the disseminating of information about potential lay delegates."

Just a word relative to that. Many laymen have said that the Annual Conference, when delegates were being elected to General Conferences, "have them stand up. We don't know who they are."

This is an effort that we might have information on people who might be delegates to the General Conference.

Bishop Kennedy: As many as are in favor, lift the hand. Opposed, the same sign. It is carried. (DCA, page 693; see Appendix, page 1453.)

Report No. 61, Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy Adopted—Thomas Clay

Thomas Clay: Now, 398, bottom of page 550, Report No. 61. We are spelling out some of the legislation which we need to have in order that our Conferences might know their obligations with regard to the new designation of the receiving gifts and Wills and Bequests which is under our direction at this time.

I move adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: As many as are in favor, lift the hand. Opposed, the same sign. It is carried. (DCA, page 693; see Appendix, page 1453.)

Report No. 62, Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy Adopted—Thomas Clay

Thomas Clay: That brings it down to the local church and I move the adoption of 399, Report No. 62. That is the organization, Bishop.

Bishop Kennedy: All right. As many as are in favor, lift the hand. Opposed, by the same sign. It is carried. (DCA, page 693; see Appendix, page 1454.)

Report No. 63, Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy Adopted—Thomas Clay

Thomas Clay: Number 400, Report No. 63 spells out the General Board of Lay Activities and who is responsible for this activity.

I move adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready to vote? As many as are in favor, lift the hand. Opposed, the same sign. It is carried. (DCA, page 693; see Appendix, page 1454.)

Report No. 75, Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy Adopted—Thomas Clay

Thomas Clay: Now, on page 533, Calendar 412, Report No. 75, new matter, subject of staff members and general board.

Paragraph 1102.2, new item, "No staff member of a general board may hold membership on another general board unless specific legislation provides for such interboard representation." I move adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready to vote? As many as are in favor, lift the hand. Opposed, the same sign. It is so ordered. (DCA, page 693; see Appendix, page 1460.)

Report No. 79, Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy Withdrawn—Thomas Clay

Thomas Clay: The final one, No. 416, page 533, Report No. 79, is a duplication of 386, and I move it be withdrawn.

Bishop Kennedy: If you will withdraw the report, lift the hand. Opposed? It is withdrawn. (DCA, page 693.)

Reconsideration of Report No. 57 Moved—John D. Herr

John D. Herr (Philadelphia—NE): I would like to move reconsideration on 394, page 530.

Bishop Kennedy: Do you vote with the majority?

John D. Herr: I did.

Bishop Kennedy: Is there a second. You have a second.

Motion to Amend Report No. 57—John D. Herr

John D. Herr: I note this is a new paragraph A. If we check in the *Discipline*, Paragraph 293-1-A, as it now is, there is provision that the Lay Speaker is to work subject to the consent and direction of the pastor. We require when there are local preachers in a church they be under consent and direction of the pastor. I move we amend this paragraph which we have just approved by including that phrase, "subject to the consent and direction of the pastor."

Committee Accepts Amendment

Thomas Clay: That is accepted by the Committee.

Bishop Kennedy: Without objection of the body, it can be put in since it is accepted. I hear no objection. (DCA, page 693.)

Correction to Report No. 46—Clare Pettit

Willis M. Tate: We have one correction to the report and we are through.

Clare Pettit (California-Nevada—W): Thank you, Mr. Chairman. If you will turn to page 527, Report No. 46, I think that can be handled as an Editorial correction. It has to do with the election of the five lay members at large. You will find that about halfway down the main section in Calendar No. 383, Report No. 46 of our committee.

It reads as follows:—46, I am sorry, Report No. 46. "There shall be five lay members at large from within the United States elected by the Board of Nominations of the Council of Bishops." This is an anomalous statement which would not hold water with our Judicial system. It is supposed to read as follows as it was in the previous *Discipline*, "There shall be five lay members at large from within the United States nominated by the Council of Bishops and elected by the Board of Lay Activities."

Bishop Kennedy: Is there any objection to that from anybody? By common consent we will allow the editorial change. (DCA, page 693.)

Point of Order—Clare Pettit

Clare Pettit: There is another point of order. In last night's meet-

ing this Conference elected the five members at large to the Board of Lay Activities. Under the present *Discipline*, Paragraph 1491, there shall be five lay members at large elected by the Board on Nominations of the Council of Bishops. I believe the action taken in electing those five men was out of order and should be taken out of the record.

Bishop Kennedy: Well, it is all right with me. What about it—how do you want to do it? Is this all right? There is no objection.

Motion to Supplement Minority Report No. 18, Committee on Ministry—Edwin E. Reeves

Edwin E. Reeves (Southern California-Arizona—W): I would like to make a motion concerning the implementation of the minority Report No. 18 adopted this afternoon to raise the fund to assist laymen and ministers who are in economic distress by witnessing to an inclusive church. I move the Committee on Promotion and Cultivation be charged with the responsibility of making this fund known to Methodists.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you trying to amend something that has been done?

Edwin E. Reeves: A motion to implement that which was adopted.

Bishop Kennedy: That is in order. Is it seconded? It is.

Edwin E. Reeves: The report indicated Methodist people would welcome an opportunity to share the burdens of Methodist laymen and ministers who suffer financial distress in witnessing to an inclusive church. An opportunity should be provided to individuals, churches and others around the world, the last phrase requested by an overseas delegates, who wished to contribute to a fund to assist these laymen and ministers.

How can they give if they are not informed about the fund? How can they be informed if it is not publicized?

How can it be publicized especially in other countries of Methodist connection if we do not pass this motion?

Point of Order—John Persinger

John Persinger (Rocky Mountain—W): This man is on new material. We are on Order of the Day.

Reeves Motion Defeated

Bishop Kennedy: Strictly speaking, you are correct. I was going to grant this as a matter of privilege. The speech has been made, and is before us. Can we act on it? You don't want to vote on it?

Well, I get a mixed reaction, so I am going to have a vote. Those in favor of this, lift the hand, as Dr. Reeves just said. Those opposed.

I don't know. Let's try it again. Those in favor, lift the hand. Those opposed, the same sign. It is lost. (DCA, page 694.)

Reports From Committee on Hospitals and Homes Called For—Willis M. Tate

Willis M. Tate: We will hear from the Legislative Committee on Hospitals and Homes, Dr. Potthoff.

Report No. 1, Committee on Hospitals and Homes Adopted —Harvey Potthoff

Harvey Potthoff (Rocky Mountain—W): Friends, our report is brief. If you turn to page 184—Calendar 23, Report No. 1, in reference to the General Board of Hospitals and Homes, proposing a Board of Managers of 21 instead of 18, 7 members at large instead of 4, 3 of whom may be adminstrators, we move adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will approve, lift the hand. Opposed, the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 694; see Appendix, page 1660.)

Report No. 2, Committee on Hospitals and Homes Adopted —Harvey Potthoff

Harvey Potthoff: Calendar 24, Report 2. In reference to Officers of the General Board, 1 Vice-President instead of 4, the Committee on Correlation suggests a slight revision of the wording here but keeping the same meaning.

We move adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed, the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 694; see Appendix, page 1661.)

Report No. 3, Committee on Hospitals and Homes Adopted —Harvey Potthoff

Harvey Potthoff: No. 25, Report No. 3, changed the number of members of the Executive Committee of the Board, 9 instead of 12, 5 being a quorum.

We move adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed, the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 694; see Appendix, page 1661.)

Report No. 4, Committee on Hospitals and Homes Adopted —Harvey Potthoff

Harvey Potthoff: Calendar 26, Report No. 4, Form of recommendations, a strengthening of Church Relationship with Methodist Service Agencies and also recommending a survey of the Hospitals and Homes of the various conferences at least every eight years with appropriate reports.

We move adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed, the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 694; see Appendix, page 1662.)

Report No. 5, Committee on Hospitals and Homes Adopted —Harvey Potthoff

Harvey Potthoff: No. 27, Report No. 5, calling for Certification Council of the Board of Hospitals and Homes, this to develop criteria and to implement a program of certification and classification of Institutions and Agencies of the Church.

We move adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the

hand. Opposed, the same sign. It is carried. (DCA, page 694; see Appendix, page 1663.)

Inquiry—Mrs. Harold M. Baker

Mrs. Harold M. Baker (Ohio—NC): I want to ask a question, sir. Where it says, the Board is authorized to establish and so forth, does that include all agencies of the church or is this just for the institutions that are responsible to the Board of Hospitals?

Harvey Potthoff: That is correct—agencies and institutions and philanthropic service related to The Methodist Church.

Mrs. Harold M. Baker: Well, The Methodist Church, but there are others not related to the Board of Hospitals and Homes.

Harvey Potthoff: It is my understanding that this refers to those related to Hospitals and Homes.

Mrs. Harold M. Baker: I wanted to be sure.

Bishop Kennedy: We will vote. Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed, the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 694; see Appendix, page 1663.)

Report No. 6, Committee on Hospitals and Homes Adopted —Harvey Potthoff

Harvey Potthoff: Calendar No. 28, Report No. 6, establishment of Golden Cross Fellowship, open to all Methodists seeking to fulfill their Christian vocation through a life of service in one of our helping and healing occupations. In the last line in that column, instead of the Annual Conference, Interboard Committee on Christian Vocations, it should say the Annual Conference Commission on Christian Vocations.

We move adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed, the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 694; see Appendix, page 1663.)

Report No. 7, Committee on Hospitals and Homes Adopted —Harvey Potthoff

Harvey Potthoff: Turn please to page 316, Calendar 81, Report No. 7, in reference to duties of Annual Conference Boards of Hospitals and Homes, lifting of certain standards and this further "no new Methodist helping and healing agency shall be established nor shall an existing agency alter its major purpose or functions, nor add any new facility in another location without first receiving the approval of the Annual Conference on recommendation of the Conference Board of Hospitals and Homes."

We would like to add a further sentence to what is here, namely the restrictions set forth in this paragraph shall not apply to Agencies and Institutions of the Board of Missions.

We move adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed, the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 694; see Appendix, page 1664.)

Report No. 8, Committee on Hospitals and Homes Adopted —Harvey Potthoff

Harvey Potthoff: Calendar 82, Report 8, referring to the General Board, ¶ 1558.4 would be new and the present subparagraphs 4, 5, 6, would become 5, 6, 7, the same statement which we had in the preceding report. We also would like to add that the restrictions set forth in this paragraph shall not apply to Agencies and Institutions of the Board of Missions.

We move adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed, the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 694; see Appendix, page 1665.)

Report No. 9, Committee on Hospitals and Homes Adopted —Harvey Potthoff

Harvey Potthoff: If you will turn over now, please, to page 356, Calendar 160, Report No. 9, a clarification of the duties of the local church steward of Hospitals and Homes. The Committee on Correlation suggests the insertion of appropriate cross references in this paragraph, and with that, we move adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed, the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 695; see Appendix, page 1665.)

Report No. 10, Committee on Hospitals and Homes Adopted —Harvey Potthoff

Harvey Potthoff: Calendar 161, Report 10, making possible the designation of Christian Director of Hospitals and Homes. We move adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed, the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 695; see Appendix, page 1666.)

Report No. 11, Committee on Hospitals and Homes Adopted —Harvey Potthoff

Harvey Potthoff: Calendar 162, Report 11, clarifying the roles of the Board of Hospitals and Homes, and Board of Christian Social Concerns. This has been worked out in consultation. Both Boards carry joint responsibility for community health and welfare planning. The Board of Hospitals and Homes carries primary responsibility for direct service. The Board of Christian Social Concerns carries primary responsibility for affecting public policy. To that we should like to add this in line with Dr. Smith's comment a moment ago: "This clarification of responsibility shall not affect the Board of Missions."

We move adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed, the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 695; see Appendix, page 1667.)

Report No. 12, Committee on Hospitals and Homes Adopted —Harvey Potthoff

Harvey Potthoff: Turn please to page 450, Calendar 266, Report

No. 12, a number of Memorials came not asking for specific legislation but calling our attention to areas of great need in health and welfare about which the Church ought to be concerned. Instead of bringing these Memorials to you individually, we have gathered them together and drafted a statement which lifts up the heart of what the Memorials have to say, and in this statement your committee has tried to indicate the nature of the Church's concern, and indicates some of the matters to which special attention needs to be given: such as, increased facilities for the aged, for persons of modest income; the need for more clinically trained chaplains; institutions related to the Board; new facilities for chronically ill, for emotionally disturbed; and so on.

We strongly urge a reading of this report on the part of all delegates.

We move adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed, the same sign. It is adopted. (DCA, page 695; see Appendix, page 1668.)

Report No. 14, Committee on Hospitals and Homes Adopted —Harvey Potthoff

Harvey Potthoff: 268, Report 14. We call your particular attention to this because we feel that this does have very great importance.

The 1960 General Conference urged the Board of Hospitals and Homes to give further consideration to the possibility of the church providing more service for mentally retarded and physically handicapped children. During this past quadrennium a significant study has been carried on. It has been published in a booklet which has been made available to all of you. We encourage your reading it—"Methodism Faces the Need of Retarded Children."

A number of Memorials have come in this area, and out of this we recommend that the General Conference direct the General Board of Hospitals and Homes to appoint a Committee to seek a cooperative arrangement with one or more Conferences and for Jurisdictions to establish agencies for mentally retarded children and to promote services to mentally retarded children with a goal of one pilot project by 1968.

We regard this as being so important that we should like to have Bishop Holloway, President of the Board of Hospitals and Homes, say just a word to this if he might.

Statement by Bishop Fred Holloway

Bishop Holloway: Mr. Chairman, members of the Conference, the word chiefly is, I am for it. The Board of Hospitals and Homes is for it. There is no more beautiful thing in life than to have a beautiful baby born in a family, but to have a baby born who is mentally retarded, the Catholic Church does something about it, the Lutheran Church does something about it, to be sure, the state does something about it. I think The Methodist Church should do something about it. This is permissive to the Board of Hospitals and Homes. We hope you will endorse it enthusiastically so this Committee may set up in order that we may accomplish the goal which will help in one more way to make our church relevant to today's world.

Bishop Kennedy: If you will endorse this request, lift the hand. If you are opposed, the same sign. It is approved. (DCA, page 695; see Appendix, page 1672.)

Report No. 15, Committee on Hospitals and Homes Adopted —Harvey Potthoff

Harvey Potthoff: Calendar 269, Report No. 15. Legislation saying all hospitals and homes operated by or under the auspices of or related to any connectional unit of The Methodist Church are urged to make their program and services available to all persons regardless of race.

We move adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 695; see Appendix, page 1672.)

Motion to Request Editors to Harmonize Two Actions—D. Trigg James, Sr.

D. Trigg James, Sr. (Holston—SE): Mr. Chairman, as Chairman of the Committee on Conferences, I would like to call attention to the fact that on page 433, Calendar 205, Report 46, last night was adopted Paragraph 1104, which seems to the editors to be preferred to the item on page 533, Calendar 412, Report 75, of the Board of Lay Activities and Temporal Economy which seems to deal with the same subject. I would like to move the editors be requested to harmonize these two actions.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 695.)

Motion to Suspend Rules—D. Trigg James, Sr.

D. Trigg James, Sr.: I think the rules have been waived to take care of some certain things, but to be sure, I would like to move that the rules be set aside to consider a special resolution on a mimeograph sheet which has been placed in your hand. It is to do a very simple thing but a very needed thing. It is to harmonize or bring Paragraph 667 into conformity with Paragraph 666, and the last paragraph on this sheet indicates, "in the appointment or election of Annual Conference Boards, Commissions and Committees," the insertion is simply "or election, boards and commissions" to cover all these agencies that need to be enlarged in some instances by Annual Conferences, and then to drop the words "its committees" and put in "said agencies."

I move adoption.

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 695.)

Corrections in Actions Relative to Reports of Committee on Conferences—D. Trigg James, Sr.

D. Trigg James, Sr.: For the correction of the record, Mr. Chairman, we passed over last night without adequately covering page 433, Calendar 203, Report 44, which is really incorrectly given as a report. It was merely information. The motion which it was supporting was lost. It is withdrawn. The same is true of, on the same page, Calendar 204, Report 45, is withdrawn. It has been covered by another report.

On page 434, Calendar 210, Report No. 51 was misprinted. It should have been nonconcurrence, and therefore is included in a previous vote of nonconcurrence.

On page 435, Calendar 216, Report 57, there is a report that simply recommends the continuance of the Commission on Interjurisdictional Relations. It has been covered. It can be withdrawn, I think, because it is entirely handled.

Bishop Kennedy: All right.

Inquiry Relative to Report No. 45, Committee on Conferences—Russell H. Hoy

Russell H. Hoy (North-East Ohio—NC): What did the Chairman of the Committee say concerning Calendar No. 204, page 433, Report No. 45?

D. Trigg James, Sr.: It is withdrawn as having been covered in other legislation passed by the house.

Russell H. Hoy: Mr. Chairman, if you are referring to the Legislation on the Board of Missions, page 203, where the composition of the Commission on Town and Country, Annual Conference is, they do not cover a member of the Christian Social Concerns as you do in Calendar 204.

Motion to Adopt Report No. 45, Committee on Conferences —D. Trigg James, Sr.

D. Trigg James, Sr.: Then, to make it perfectly fair and authorize the editing committee to clarify any inconsistency, since it was merely withdrawn, I move adoption of this Paragraph 204. (Report No. 45.)

Report No. 45, Committee on Conferences Adopted

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready? Those who will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 695; see Appendix, page 1356.)

Report of Committee on Conferences Continues

D. Trigg James, Sr.: Dr. Wilkins will present report No. 60, page 458, Calendar 310, Dr. Wilkins.

Report No. 70, Committee on Conferences Withdrawn—John R. Wilkins

John R. Wilkins (California-Nevada—W): Will you please turn to page 535 instead of the page indicated? Calendar No. 427, Report No. 70. This is a Resolution. There is not any legislation in it. It was a draft in response to a Memorial requesting certain expressions of condemning action. There were certain persons who shared in the drafting of this.

However, I am asking in a moment for permission to withdraw it. This is my own personal request. Nobody has urged me to, but I feel that we have come to the time in this Conference where we have had enough expressions of admonishing. We have had enough expression of the urgency over this matter, beginning with the statement of the Council of Bishops some months ago, the Episcopal Address and many, many areas of Legislation already enacted.

I think perhaps it is more important for us now to try to understand the common ground that we have when we leave here, and I

think perhaps rather than regulations, we need some reconciliation.

Therefore, Mr. Chairman, I personally request the privilege of withdrawing this resolution.

Bishop Kennedy: If you will allow this to be withdrawn, lift the hand. Opposed? It is withdrawn. (DCA, page 695.)

Report No. 60, Committee on Conferences Withdrawn—John R. Wilkins

John R. Wilkins: If you turn to page 458, Calendar No. 210, Report No. 60, this would be a Legislative matter which would add to Paragraph 634 in the *Discipline* that deals with certain powers of the Annual Conference, the following statement: "Provided further that in the exercise of the powers granted by the General Conference, each Annual Conference shall act in all respects in harmony with the policy of The Methodist Church with respect to elimination of discrimination on the basis of race."

Mr. Chairman and friends, it seems to me that the previous action is that we have taken . . .

Bishop Kennedy: Excuse me for interrupting. I don't think this needs argument. Just state it and we will vote.

John R. Wilkins: I would like to withdraw it.

Bishop Kennedy: If you want it to be withdrawn, lift the hand. Opposed, the same sign. It is withdrawn. (DCA, page 695.)

Report No. 65, Committee on Conferences Presented—Francis H. Faber

Francis H. Faber (Minnesota—NC): Calendar No. 322, Report No. 65. This report deals with the determination of Episcopal Areas by the Jurisdictional Conference. It requires the Amendment of two paragraphs in the *Discipline*, Paragraph No. 440 which will delete "the Bishops of the Jurisdiction shall fix boundaries of the Episcopal Areas and will authorize the Jurisdictional Conference to fix the boundaries of the areas." The amended paragraph 440 will read, "Each Jurisdictional Conference may fix the boundaries of the Episcopal Areas within its Jurisdiction and assign the Bishops to their areas and residence."

The second paragraph which goes along with this is Paragraph No. 526 of your *Discipline*. You will delete "it may also make recommendations to the Bishops of the Jurisdiction concerning the reformation of the Episcopal Areas within the Jurisdiction," and the revised paragraph will read "the Jurisdictional Conference shall elect a standing committee on Episcopacy to consist of one ministerial and one lay delegate from each Annual Conference on nomination of the Annual Conference delegation." The committee shall review the work of the Bishops, pass on their character and official administration and report to the Jurisdictional Conference its findings for such action as the conference may deem appropriate within its constitutional warrant of power.

"The committee shall recommend the boundaries of the Episcopal Areas and the assignment of Bishops to their respective residences and areas for final action by the Jurisdictional Conference, provided that no Bishop shall be recommended for assignment to the same area for more than 12 consecutive years not counting years served before 1960."

I move adoption of this Disciplinary change.

Inquiry Relative to Change in Wording—Charles M. Earley

Charles M. Earley (Virginia—SE): In Paragraph 440, the word now is that the Bishops shall fix the boundaries. He is proposing to change this to the Jurisdiction "may." I wonder why we use "shall" in one place and "may" in the other.

Bishop Kennedy: It is brought to my attention that this is a Constitutional matter.

D. Trigg James, Sr.: Mr. Chairman, it was our intention to suggest this since it does require a two-thirds vote and it must have an Amendment to the Constitution, if it pleases the Chair, to suggest that we take a show of hands vote and if it appears that we have a two-thirds vote, then to take a count vote, to support it as an Amendment if that is in order.

Charles Earley: That does not answer the question, Mr. Chairman.

Bishop Kennedy: Can you answer the question?

D. Trigg James, Sr.: Our intention was to suggest that since this is a Constitution—will require Constitutional Amendment, that you first take a show of hands and if it seems there is a possibility of a three-fourths vote, we will have the count vote. If that is not in order, we will have a count vote, and the Constitutional Amendment is in order for that.

Charles Earley: Mr. Chairman, you heard the question. My question is, Mr. Chairman, why are we using the word "may" when the *Discipline* now provides "shall"? That is Paragraph 440 as it now reads, the word "shall" is being used and you propose to change that to "may."
I would like to know the reasoning back of it.

Bishop Kennedy: Can you answer that?

John R. Wilkins: I don't know why the word "may" was used instead of "shall."

Charles Earley: You do not? Somebody ought to know.

Bishop Kennedy: Wait a minute. You still have the floor. Did you get an answer?

Charles Earley: Not yet, no sir.

John R. Wilkins: "May" was used in the official Paragraph 440.

Amendment to Report Offered—Charles Earley

Charles Earley: Mr. Chairman, if this would help, I would offer an Amendment that we substitute the word "may" in the proposed Paragraph 440 and use the word "shall."

Bishop Kennedy: That is an Amendment to this report.

Charles Earley: Unless they want to accept this.

Bishop Kennedy: Do you want to accept this?

Delegate: I was in that committee and we intended it to be "shall." It doesn't make any sense as "may."

Committee Accepts Amendment

John R. Wilkins: The committee will accept the word "shall."

Bishop Kennedy: Does that satisfy you? They changed the word "may" to "shall." I recognize John Herr, No. 11.

Statement Opposing Report No. 65—John Herr

John Herr (Philadelphia—NE): I think it is a good thing to stay at our knitting and do our work but to bring up a matter that would involve a Constitutional Amendment at a quarter of twelve is in my estimation a very poor thing to do.

This thing has been working—I happened to be on the Episcopacy Committee and the Boundaries Committee of our Jurisdiction and we have had no trouble whatsoever in regard to this. I hope that we turn this down.

John Wilkins: We will put it to a vote of the house and leave it up to you what you wish to do with it.

Report No. 65—Not Adopted

Bishop Kennedy: Are you ready to vote? Those in favor of the Proposition before us, lift the hand. Those opposed. It is lost. (DCA, page 696.)

Motion to Adjourn—Robert Courtney

Robert Courtney (North-East Ohio—NC): I move we adjourn.

Bishop Kennedy: The motion is to adjourn. I am going to put the motion, it is not debatable. If you move to adjourn, we will have some announcements, I assume there is no objection to that. Those in favor of adjournment, please lift the hand. Those opposed. Try once more. Those who are in favor of adjournment, lift the hand. Those opposed. I would think we are adjourned. (DCA, page 696.)

Several Announcements Were Made by the Secretary

Bishop Kennedy: I recognize Dr. Tate for a word.

Statement From Agenda Committee—Willis M. Tate

Willis M. Tate: I am authorized to speak for the Agenda Committee. You have a few reports to hear from the Committee on Missions, and you have a few reports to hear from the Committee on the Ministry and you have to hear from the Committee on Christian Social Concerns.

Now, it is the Agenda Committee's opinion or suggestion that you make the Committee on Christian Social Concerns report the Order of the Day until we recess tomorrow morning and that the Committee on Judicial Administration Order of the Day immediately after recess, and then you will have to take up these Committees that you are not doing tonight and I am not sure we can adjourn at noon.

John Herr: Will the Chairman of the Committee on Agenda give a time to review the report of the Plan of Organization and Rules of Order.

Willis M. Tate: That is after the recess, after the orders that you have established.

John Herr: Thank you.

Bishop Kennedy: All right, are you ready to adjourn?

Tribute Paid to Bishop Kennedy—Willis M. Tate

Willis M. Tate: Just one word of personal privilege to the Chairmen of the Committees and the subcommittees and the secretaries who have worked so diligently on these reports.

Not many of them have had sleep in the last five or six days, but they have done a marvelous job. I think they deserve your thanks.

Also a matter of privilege, I would like to pay a tribute to this fine Bishop who presided with patience and grace at this very difficult session. He is not the best looking Bishop, but he is better looking than his picture on *Time* Magazine, and we are grateful.

Benediction

Bishop Kennedy: Stand for the Benediction, please.

Now may the grace of the Lord Jesus Christ, love of God and fellowship of the Holy Spirit rest and abide with us this night and for ever more. Amen.

TWELFTH DAY, FRIDAY, MAY 8, 1964
MORNING SESSION

Pursuant to adjournment the 1964 session of the General Conference of The Methodist Church convened in the morning session of the Twelfth Day, Friday, May 8, 1964 at 8:30 A.M., with Bishop Roy Short presiding.

Bishop Short: The Conference will be in order.

Our devotional service this morning will be conducted by Bishop Wunderlich.

Bishop Friedrich Wunderlich: Our hymn this morning is 162, "O For A Thousand Tongues To Sing My Great Redeemer's Praise." Will you stand and sing?

The Conference rose and joined in singing.

Bishop Wunderlich: Will you please be seated?

Let us pray.

Our Heavenly Father, we adore Thee Whose name is love, Whose nature is compassion, Whose presence is joy, Whose Word is truth, Whose spirit is goodness, Whose holiness is beauty, Whose will is peace, Whose service is perfect freedom, and in knowledge of Whom standeth our eternal life. Amen.

Please turn to page 588, the 22nd Sunday, First Reading.

Bishop Wunderlich delivered a devotional address. (See Appendix, page 2250.)

Bishop Wunderlich: Will you please turn to hymn Number 259, "O Master Let Me Walk With Thee."

The Conference rose and sang together.

Benediction

Bishop Wunderlich: May the grace of our Lord Jesus Christ and the love of God our Father, the fellowship and Communion of the Holy Spirit be with us all. Amen.

Bishop Short: In the name of the Conference, I want to thank Bishop Wunderlich for this devotional hour.

Report of Committee on Journal—William M. James

William M. James (New York—NE): Mr. Chairman, members of the Conference, the Committee on Journal has examined the Journal Record for the Afternoon Session May 5, the Morning, Afternoon, and Evening Sessions of May 6, and has found it correct except for minor editorial changes. The corrections are being made by the Journal Secretary.

I move adoption.

Bishop Short: If you will approve it, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 697.)

Motion Relative to Corrections in Journal Record for Thursday and Friday—William M. James

William M. James: Mr. Chairman, the Committee on Journal recommends that the Journal Secretary be empowered to make necessary corrections in the Journal Record for Thursday and Friday Sessions, and refer to the Committee named to edit the Conference Journal.

I move adoption.

Bishop Short: If you will so order, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 697.)

Appreciation Expressed to Leon T. Moore and Carleton Wilson

William M. James: We would also like to say that we appreciate the aid which has been given to us by our Secretary, Leon T. Moore, and the Journal Secretary, W. Carleton Wilson.

Bishop Short: Thank you, Dr. James, and we thank the Committee for its work.

Report of Committee on Credentials—Paul M. Hann

Paul M. Hann (South Iowa—NC): Mr. Chairman, members of the Conference, the Committee on Credentials has met and approved the changes made in the seating of the delegates reported by the chairmen of the Annual Conferences for May 7 and 8. The delegates' names will appear in the proper form in the *Journal.*

I move adoption of the report.

Bishop Short: If you will so order, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 697.)

Report of Committee on Agenda—J. Otis Young

J. Otis Young (Ohio—NC): Mr. Chairman and members of the Conference, I believe it is the feeling of this Conference and certainly the hope of the Agenda Committee that we would close this Conference not so much under the pressure of the gavel as under the direction and guidance of the Holy Spirit, therefore, for that reason, I should like to make this motion before we adopt the agenda. I might say that this motion has the approval of the chairman on Courtesies and I trust it has the support of the members of the Conference.

I should like to move that all courtesy matters and all matters of privilege be deferred until after we have completed our report from the Legislative Committee.

Bishop Short: This is the motion.

John Herr (Philadelphia—NE): Would you include in that, also, the Committee on the Plan of Organization and Rules of Order? We have been trying for three days to get on this program.

Bishop Short: This is included, so Dr. Young says, Dr. Herr. We haven't taken the motion. If you will so order, lift the hand. Opposed? It is so ordered. (DCA, page 698.)

Motion to Adopt Agenda—J. Otis Young

J. Otis Young: Mr. Chairman, I should like to move that the agenda for this morning session be as printed on the front cover

of the *Daily Christian Advocate*. I should like to move that the structure of Methodism Overseas be moved up to come after the Plan of Organization and Rules of Order Committee. I move that as the agenda for this morning.

Bishop Short: Are you ready? If you will so order, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 698.)

J. Otis Young: Now, Mr. Chairman, you have two orders of the day, Social Concerns at 9:15 and Judicial Administration following recess.

Statement Relative to Roll Call Sheets by Secretary

Leon T. Moore: Mr. Chairman, and members of the Body, it is very important that these last day green sheets be turned in by the chairmen of the delegations. Sometime during the morning, please see that they are properly filled out and that we receive them at the desk.

Bishop Short: We are hoping this morning that this closing business session of the Conference can be conducted with dispatch and with dignity and that we can come to the close of it in a mood that will send us back to our Conferences with our banners flying, and with a new song in all of our hearts, and we ask for the fullest cooperation of everyone. We are sure that we will have it. According to the printed report, the first item is the Plan of Organization and Rules of Order. Dr. Herr of Philadelphia. We have an order at 9:15.

Report of Committee on Plan of Organization and Rules of Order—John D. Herr

John D. Herr: Will Mr. Fletcher please come forward? He is the secretary of our Committee.

Mr. Chairman, ladies and gentlemen, we have kept our report as brief as possible for several reasons. For one thing, we think the Interim Committee did a splendid job. For the second reason, the bishops have given us wonderful leadership, and in the third place, we are asking that we should have been constituted an Interim Committee during this next quadrennium.

I take great pleasure in presenting to you the Secretary of our Committee, Mr. Robert L. Fletcher, a layman from the Southern California-Arizona Conference.

Motion to Create Interim Committee—Robert L. Fletcher

Robert L. Fletcher (Southern California-Arizona—W): I would like to move that the Committee on the Plan of Organization and Rules of Order of the General Conference be made the Interim Committee on Plan of Organization and Rules of Order upon the close of this General Conference. I so move.

Bishop Short: Are you ready? If you will approve, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 698.)

Report No. 1, Committee on Plan of Organization and Rules of Order Adopted—Robert Fletcher

Robert Fletcher: Please turn to 407 and we will run through these as rapidly as possible. Our report is found at the bottom of the page. Report No. 1 calls for appointing 2 alternates to the Com-

mittee on Correlation and Editorial Revision. The reason for this is that there are only three members of this committee. If some of them are gone, sick, or unable to attend, they need two alternates. There is no expense involved. I move adoption of Report No. 1.

Bishop Short: All right. If you are ready, in favor, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 698; see Appendix, page 1052.)

Report No. 2, Committee on Plan of Organization and Rules of Order Adopted—Robert Fletcher

Robert Fletcher: Report No. 2 is divided into two halves. The first half puts the chairman of the subcommittee of fraternal delegates of the Commission on Entertainment on the General Conference Committee on Fraternal Delegates. There has been a lack of communication between these two committees. That will raise the committee to eight. The second half is strictly for clarification which the Secretary of the Conference wishes. I move adoption of Report No. 2.

Bishop Short: Are you ready and will so order? Lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 698; see Appendix, page 1053.)

Report No. 3, Committee on Plan of Organization and Rules of Order Adopted—Robert Fletcher

Robert Fletcher: Report No. 3, bottom of page 407, to avoid confusion on the memorials, they will be routed to the Committee on Publishing Interests for their consideration. I move adoption.

Bishop Short: If you will so order, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 698; see Appendix, page 1054.)

Robert Fletcher: Turn to page 409, to a special report from the Committee on Conferences, recommendation No. 1, Standing Legislative Committee. The Rules of Order Committee has voted nonconcurrence on No. 1. Is there any question on this? If so, Dr. Herr will speak. I move nonconcurrence.

Inquiry—John R. Wilkins

John R. Wilkins (California-Nevada—W): This, then, includes all of the present legislative committees, the first group and the second group, uniformly all the way through, is that correct?

John Herr: No, Mr. Chairman, it does not. It continues the present practice.

Substitute Motion—John R. Wilkins

John R. Wilkins: Mr. Chairman, I would like to move a substitute for No. 1 and speak briefly to it. What does it mean, then, "Delegates should be able to choose any standing committee, one to fourteen?" The present policy is that delegates choose from one to nine, and the others are appointed, the smaller committees.

Reply—John Herr

John Herr: Mr. Chairman, if I could answer that, you will see we are dealing with the recommendation from the Committee on Conferences, and we voted nonconcurrence.

John Wilkins: I would like to move this substitute, then, for Section 1, "beginning with the General Conference of 1968, there

shall be one set of legislative committees including all numbered one through fourteen instead of the two existing sets."

Two, "that membership on all legislative committees be made through selection by the delegates."

Three, "that the Rules Committee be instructed to revise paragraphs in Section C, membership of committees, page 205 of the *Handbook* for Preparation of Rules for 1968."

If this is seconded, I would like to explain the reason for this motion.

The motion was seconded.

I think we will recall when the Interim Committee on Plan of Organization and Rules of Order submitted its report on the first day, one of the recommendations that was referred to the present rules of order here was that there be one set of legislative committees. The Interim Commitee would have brought in such a plan for organization of this Conference had it not been that there were some words in the Preamble that tied its action at this point to Amendment XII. You will also remember that one of the recommendations of the Committee on Conferences nearly unanimously was to this same effect.

For years we have seen the difficulty in trying to meet at General Conference when there is one set of large committees from one to nine that absorb most of the legislative time for committee meetings, and other committees from nine to fourteen, appointed committees, smaller, are composed of men and women who are also serving on the larger ones. All these people are on two committees; some of them even on three, and it seems to me since we have carried this policy, which is existing now over from years past, not only has the General Conference grown, the amount of business has grown, and the length of the Conference sessions have shortened. It would, therefore, seem to me, far more practical to operate by a single set of legislative committees to relieve the pressure of time and work. I hope that this substitute prevails.

Bishop Short: Does anyone wish to speak against the substitute?

Statement Opposing Substitute—Mrs. Russell O. Watson

Mrs. Russell O. Watson (Oregon—W): I have felt the pressure of time just as everyone else has and I recognize that this has been a very difficult thing to schedule, but it seems to me that such a change in the Rules of the Conference penalizes the Conferences with small delegations and I am sure that this is the reason that the present plan has been developed, in order that the Conferences with very small delegations may at least have an opportunity to put a word in now and then.

Recognizing that the pressure of time is one of the things we struggle against when it comes time to adopt the legislative reports, if the work was not done in the committee, I very seriously doubt we would change many people's minds on the floor of the Conference. It seems to me in this case, that the legislative committee has done a good job. I oppose the motion.

Statement Supporting Substitute—Harold S. Swales

Harold S. Swales (Central New York—NE): I would remind the body that the General Conference of 1960 approved this type of legislation that has been presented as a substitute. It was impossible for us to put it into effect, although it was the desire, I am sure, of the body.

I think you have heard some of the people who have been on this

second group of legislative committees call themselves part-timers, and particularly this one concerning the local church. I feel that we can expedite the work of the next regular session of the General Conference by having one class of committees, and I hope you will support this substitute.

Previous Question Moved—C. C. Sessions

C. C. Sessions (Central Texas—SC): I move the previous question.

Bishop Short: If you will order the previous question on the substitute, lift the hand. Opposed? Then it is ordered, now the chairman of the committee. (DCA, page 699.)

Closing Statement by Committee—John D. Herr

John D. Herr: In addition to what was said about this being a hardship to the small delegations, it is also the matter that with the exception of a local church which Dr. Swales spoke about, these particular committees have to do with matters about which we need men who have some knowledge, and our present method of handling this enables the General Conference to lift up men who perhaps are not at the top of the delegation, who would not have had a chance to choose this commission, but upon election by the General Conference, they are able to serve on it.

I hope that you will not support the substitute.

Substitute Motion Prevails

Bishop Short: If you will approve the substitute made by Dr. Wilkins, lift the hand. If you are opposed, lift the hand. The substitute is approved. (DCA, page 699; see Appendix, page 1055.)

Motion to Suspend Rules—John Herr

John Herr: As a member of the body, I move that we suspend the rules to complete this report which will not take too much time.

Bishop Short: All right. I didn't put the vote on that, did I? If you will suspend the rule to complete this matter, lift the hand. If you are opposed? The rules are suspended. (DCA, page 699.)

Amendment Moved—Thurman B. Morris

Thurman B. Morris (North Indiana—NC): I should like to move an amendment to the Standing Legislative Committees to separate the Lay Activities and Temporal Economy.

It was seconded.

Statement Opposing Amendment—J. Henry Ernst

J. Henry Ernst (Pacific Northwest—W): In the work we did on this group of rules, we discovered that if we had only one class of standing committees that there would be too many and in some of them there would be too little work. Consequently, it seemed wise that some of them might be joined together and make them more—as far as the work load is concerned—like the larger legislative committee.

You take a committee as small as this one and divide it it would

add to the total number and make some committees much too small to consume the delegate's time. I think it unwise.

Statement Favoring Amendment—George Atkinson

George Atkinson (California-Nevada—W): I think that the Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy is badly loaded. The fact that they had so many pages of things last night leads us to believe it should be split.

Substitute Motion Offered—Roy J. Grogan

Roy J. Grogan (Central Texas—SC): Bishop, as we understood the report of the Coordinating Council, they have been vested with the responsibility last quadrennium to study the entire operation of the General Conference and this same responsibility was placed on the Rules Committee and resultingly nobody did anything during the four year period, and the substitute motion that I would offer is that the Coordinating Council be directed to make a detailed study of the method of operations and procedures utilized in the conduct of the General Conference and that the Council report back to the General Conference of 1968 the results of such study, including suggested plans and procedures as will facilitate and expedite the business of this Conference, and that the report is to be furnished to the elected delegates to the Conference at least 90 days prior to the opening session of the Conference.

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Short: This motion is seconded. This is a substitute for the amendment on all that is before us.

Roy Grogan: A substitute for all that is before us on this related point.

John D. Herr: Mr. Chairman, before you take the vote, I think that it is highly unfair to the Interim Committee of which Dr. Swales was the chairman. The impression seems to be that that committee didn't do anything. Actually, they did a lot. I don't think it is necessary to revise the rules too much. You had to suspend the rules over and over again in this General Conference and I hope that this will be defeated. After all, this belongs to the Rules Committee.

Substitute Motion Does Not Prevail

Bishop Short: Are you ready to vote on this substitute? If you will approve it, lift the hand. If you are opposed, lift the hand. The substitute is not approved. (DCA, page 700.)

Now, we are back on the motion made by Dr. Morris, are we not, to separate Lay Activities and Temporal Economy.

Amendment Does Not Prevail

John D. Herr: We just heard about this five minutes ago. I will let the body decide.

Bishop Short: If you will approve the motion made by Dr. Morris to separate Lay Activities and Temporal Economy, lift the hand. If you are opposed, lift the hand. The Chair thinks it does not prevail. (DCA, page 700.)

Point of Order—John R. Van Sickle

John R. Van Sickle (Rock River—NC): We should vote on the substitute motion, then, as the main motion, because we had the amendment, we should go back and vote on the substitute and make it the main motion to adopt. I so move.

Bishop Short: Well, we will clear it then. Your vote was to make the substitution. If you will approve the substitution, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. Thank you. (DCA, page 700.)

Recommendation No. 2 of Special Report Presented—Robert Fletcher

Robert Fletcher: Let us take Item No. 2, then, on page 409.

If you notice the recommendation, the last paragraph of the center column, there are two sentences or a choice of which route might be suggested. We would strike the sentence, first sentence of the paragraph, which starts, "A two-thirds majority vote—" and on down to the period of that sentence at the end of "committee" drop the "or" and start in "after the committee makes its report, the General Conference by a two-thirds vote may authorize immediate consideration of this report."

I move adoption.

Point of Order—Roy H. Nichols

Roy H. Nichols (California-Nevada—W): We have made a rule on this. we voted last night, the General Conference could suspend the rules because we have already voted on a rule, we did last night, regarding this matter.

Robert Fletcher: We missed it if they did. We were here last night, but this basically is in essence the same thing, with a two-thirds vote, and you can take it to the body without going through the legislative committee.

Bishop Short: Let's hear this.

Roy Nichols: My point is simply, Bishop, that we have the rule and I want to regard the integrity of the rule so that this amendment which he is making is not really necessary because the General Conference can suspend the rule at any time.

Robert Fletcher: By the same token, it wouldn't hurt anything, is that correct?

Recommendation No. 2—Adopted

Bishop Short: Are you ready on number two, or do you want the record of last night? There seems to be no conflict.

All right, if you will adopt number two, lift the hand. Opposed? It is approved. (DCA, page 700; see Appendix, page 1055.)

Recommendation No. 3 of Special Report Withdrawn—Robert Fletcher

Robert Fletcher: The next one, number three, has been taken care of already. It is withdrawn.

Recommendation No. 4 of Special Committee Report—Nonconcurrence—Robert Fletcher

Robert Fletcher: Number four. The committee votes nonconcurrence. We feel that we should leave the system the way it is. The bishops, we feel, are doing all right, considering the people they have to deal with, we realize they have quite a problem. We vote nonconcurrence on that.

Bishop Short: Are you ready on number four? If you approve, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 700.)

Recommendation No. 5 Referred to Coordinating Council—Robert Fletcher

Robert Fletcher: Number five, we move to refer this to the General Conference Secretary. We have had some requests from overseas delegates that these reports not be mailed. We have had some requests from overseas delegates that the reports be mailed. We refer this to the Conference Secretary to work it out the best way he can, the best plan feasible.

John H. Rixse, Jr. (Virginia—SE): We have already voted to have the Coordinating Council take this matter under consideration and implement as appropriate.

Robert Fletcher: We accept that. (DCA, page 700.)

Reports of Committee on Christian Social Concerns Called For

Willis M. Tate (North Texas—SC): We have an order of the day to give this committee until recess. After recess, we have another order of the day for Judicial Administration. I would like the Christian Social Concerns to have an hour and a half before recess, and I propose that you not call recess until they have had a full hour and a half, and that we allow them to continue for that time.

Bishop Short: Is there common consent which will mean that we will recess at eleven o'clock?

Report No. 4, Committee on Christian Social Concerns—Adopted—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley (New York—NE): Mr. Chairman, I feel a little like addressing the Conference as "Dr. Livingston, I presume" after having waited a long time for the privilege of beginning this report on Christian Social Concerns.

May I say briefly what lies ahead of us in this report, and I importune your cooperation. The Commission of Christian Social Concerns has 47 reports, one with a minority report, one with 22 recommendations. All are in the area where heat and light are, unfortunately, interchangeable commodities.

I hope we will recall Thurber's definition of love as what you have been through with someone, and realize we are learning to love one another greatly here.

The first report is on page 322 of the *Advocate* docket number 126, report number four. This deals with an extensive document that we would like to have referred to the Board of Christian Social Concerns for study, and that is our motion.

Bishop Short: Are you ready. If you will so order, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 701; see Appendix, page 1275.)

Report No. 5, Committee on Christian Social Concerns Presented—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley: The next one is on page 350 of the *Advocate*, docket 132, report number five, the Social Creed of The Methodist Church. This is a rewriting of some sections of the Social Creed which has appeared in the *Discipline* of the church over the years past. If it is your desire, I can indicate the various sections of it which have been rewritten. It has been carefully worked through by the Board of Christian Social Concerns, by one of the subcommittees on our committee, and by the committee as a whole and it comes to you with a report of concurrence. I move adoption of this report with the understanding it will appear at the appropriate place in the *Discipline*.

Comment on Report—Chester A. Smith

Chester A. Smith (New York—NE): I want to state, Mr. Chairman, and members of the Conference, that in my opinion, we have an important matter here which we should consider. The capitalistic system in my opinion is not a Christian system. The time is coming, in my opinion, when the capitalistic system must be supplanted by a sharing society just as Feudalism was taken care of and succeeded by capitalism, and I want The Methodist Church to announce and make a provision that we should begin to create a sharing society by having all the preachers of The Methodist Church receive the same salary.

There is too much difference between what some preachers receive and what other preachers receive and I want us to set an example that as far as Methodist preachers are concerned, we shall become a sharing society.

Bishop Short: No motion has been made. The report is before you. If you will approve the report, lift the hand. If you are opposed, lift the hand. It is approved. (DCA, page 701; see Appendix, page 1276.)

Report No. 6, Committee on Christian Social Concerns Withdrawn—Harold A. Bosley

Harold Bosley: The next item from our Committee is on page 459 of the *Advocate*. I will call your attention to Docket No. 312, our Report No. 6, which has already been covered by an action of another committee which you have adopted. We ask, therefore, the privilege of withdrawing this.

Bishop Short: Without objection, it is withdrawn. (DCA, page 701.)

Report No. 7, Committee on Christian Social Concerns Presented—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley: The next report is No. 7, immediately following that, No. 313 on the same page, and this, Mr. Chairman and brethren, brings us to the one point in our report where there is a majority and minority opinion. Let me say first that the secretary of our Commission, Dr. Starkey, was signing the total document and not the minority report and his name is not to be associated with that.

The nature of the situation—and I am going to introduce a member of the Commission who is in favor of the majority report to state that, and then I will make a statement regarding the minority report.

I have asked Brother Joseph Albrecht who was Chairman of the subcommittee on Church and State Affairs to present the Majority Report.

After he has done that, I will present very quickly the Minority Report, and it will be up to you for decision.

Majority Committee Report Presented—Joseph H. Albrecht

Joseph H. Albrecht (Central Illinois—NC): I will make this very brief to save time. I merely say there were a number of memorials that came in to us on POAU. As chairman of the subcommittee that dealt with this particular matter, we did as a group vote non-concurrence on these memorials. However, a statement was adopted which gave approval to POAU and I withdrew from representing this as we came in to our committee because I was in opposition to the statement as drawn by the subcommittee.

Then there was another statement which was brought in which was approved by the Committee on Christian Social Concerns by a vote of 67 to 11. This is what you find as the Majority Report. I would simply want to say that the reason that I did not agree with the statement that was drawn up by the subcommittee was because it seemed to me inadvisable for the General Conference to endorse any particular organization outside the church.

If we endorsed this, we could endorse various other organizations of this type. It seemed an unwise procedure on the part of the General Conference.

As the result, I am in agreement with the Majority Report and not the Minority Report in this particular case.

Minority Committee Report Presented—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley: The Minority Report is before you. There are five reasons in my mind why I think the Minority Report should be substituted for the Majority Report.

Let me state them quickly. The first is that the action of the 1960 General Conference based on a study ordered by the 1956 General Conference and conducted by the Coordinating Council brought before the 1960 General Conference a long, careful document, which was accepted by that Conference and the recommendations of that study were the basis of the action of that Conference four years ago.

That was the original report that was brought before our committee. Our relationship with Protestants and other groups has been studied and studied carefully by a responsible group in the church. It is important for us to keep that in mind. We need also to keep in mind that we have identified ourselves with POAU at no point. We are simply recognizing the fact that in certain areas, religious liberty, relations of church and state, legal advice and help are needed. It was the report of the Coordinating Council that this could be furnished to the church helpfully through an organization like this.

There is need for continued vigilance as cases come to court, and as they are studied with care and presented with care. This organization is helpful in this field. I think it is important for us to realize—and I want to state this with utmost concern—that when we speak as we do in the Minority Report of commending the efforts of Protestants and Others in America for the Separation of Church and State, to preserve the principle of separation of Church and State as presented in the First Amendment to the Constitution, we are not endorsing in any way, shape, or form, any excursions into anti-ism of any kind. Any personal vendetta that may be expressed by the editor of

their paper, "Church and State," we are recognizing an overlapping of specific responsibility as we seek to determine, before the law, the meaning of religious liberty and the separation of church and state.

We accept gladly the guidance of the Board of Christian Social Concerns as it works with the problem of the theory of this and seeks to educate us in this regard, but they have no legal apparatus, they have no funds available for the pursuit of the more than 300 cases now in state and federal courts along this line.

We have and we do today, whether we adopt the Minority Report or not, rely on the intervention and the help of this organization and others related to it.

This organization was founded with men like G. Bromley Oxnam and Charles Clayton Morrison among the founders, not to become a new church, not to write a proposal of tolerance or intolerance, but specifically to help us; all of us, thirty-nine churches being in this, working in it in some shape or form, at this particular point defining before the law the meaning of the First Amendment to the Constitution.

Because of that, we think that the Minority Report is superior to the Majority Report and we hope you will adopt it.

Statement Favoring Minority Report—Roy Nichols

Roy Nichols: Mr. Chairman, I rise to support the Minority Report, and because of the brevity of the time, I want to state just briefly my reasons.

I recognize that in doing so, because of some of the atmosphere created in the Conference, one would think that this is a bigoted position, nor do I want to suggest any relationship of my comments to anything that happened on the Conference floor with relationship to statements made against POAU.

I think the statement made by Dr. Bosley indicating the study made by the General Conference beginning in 1956 which led to our resolution in 1960 is sufficient for us to recognize the importance of the work of this organization.

Church and State attention is more pertinent today than it ever has been in the history of this country, at the federal, state, and municipal levels. I do not condone bigotry. POAU is not bigoted, but it does publish information and speaks out on the subject where no one else will publish and no one else will speak. It is not anti-Catholic. On the contrary, it serves as a watch-dog over forgetful Protestants who have been reaching for public funds for sectarian purposes also. Eternal vigilance is the price of liberty as Dr. Bosley has said, and it is extremely important in this General Conference for Methodists to advertise the fact that we are still vigilant, along with our interests in the general life of ecumenicity and the embrace of concern for other denominations and other groups, we must not relax our interest in this particular area of concern relating to Church and State.

I strongly hope that we will do what we did in 1960. The statement before you in the Minority Report is almost identical to that in substance. I hope you will vote for the Minority Report.

Statement Favoring Majority Report—Albert C. Outler

Albert C. Outler (North Texas—SC): I call your attention to the division of this committee, 67 to 11. In this committee, that is most significant.

We ought, it seems to me, by all means reject this Minority Report and adopt the Majority Report for we cannot really disavow

that this is not a matter of prejudice. In one of the last remaining areas where prejudice is fashionable and safe, where people can disavow prejudice and still talk about vigilance.

The Majority Report provides a fully sound and adequate procedure for due and proper vigilance in maintaining the necessary separation of church and state. The Minority Report implies official endorsement. This is clearly the import of the verb "commend."

This is an endorsement of a particular organization by The Methodist Church over which we have no control, and though they may be helpful to us in some respects, it is clear that they have been unhelpful to our image in the ecumenical situation in many other respects. Even if it were innocuous, POAU would not be the proper auxiliary for enforcing our position of separation of church and state, but it is not innocuous. They are not merely concerned with vigilance, but vigilantes.

Thus, the Minority Report is needlessly provocative and will set back our recent advances in the new situation. One of the important things that is developing in the Vatican Council is a statement on religious liberty that reflects the Roman Catholic experience in America of separation of church and state. This is the wrong time, if there ever was a right time, to associate ourselves with this sort of thing.

Previous Question Moved—Cecil F. Ristow

Cecil F. Ristow (Pacific Northwest—W): I move the previous question.

Bishop Short: If you will call the previous question, lift the hand. If you are opposed? The previous question is before us. (DCA, page 702.)

Closing Statement for Minority Report—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley: Thank you, sir. The Minority Report is phrased very carefully as we phrased it four years ago. It is simply a statement commending an organization at a certain point and for a certain purpose and to read into it an over-all endorsement of this organization on all points is simply to stretch words beyond their meaning.

It is our conviction that The Methodist Church has properly understood its relationship with this organization, that it represents something that can help a point that we believe in and stand for, and it is the hope of those of us who are framing a Minority Report that you will look at the two paragraphs in it, one which gives us the guidance of our Board of Christian Social Concerns. We are in no sense turning over the guidance of The Methodist Church in this field to any other group. We are saying, at the very end of the paragraph—we are saying that they are to make use of such organizations as can be of help, and then we are simply indicating the organization to which we have turned steadily over the years. We disassociate ourselves completely from the anti-Catholicism that may be there, but I am sure, Dr. Outler must know, there are still differences of opinion before the Courts in the United States of the proper interpretation of the relationship between church and state particularly as it pertains to public schools. This is a fact which must continue to be explored by the bar, in the courts and this organization is peculiarly well fitted to help us.

Closing Statement for Majority Report—Robert W. Moon

Robert W. Moon (California-Nevada—W): Mr. Chairman, there are three things which are different now from the situation four

years ago when we adopted the original memorial endorsing this organization. The first of these different things is the fact that we now have a Board of Christian Social Concerns which is active in this area of separation of church and state. It is true that we do not have a legal arm, but it is also true that there are other organizations within the community with considerably more experience and skillfulness in the legal angles of this matter than POAU.

I refer to an organization such as the American Civil Liberties Union.

A second thing that is different from four years ago is the fact that we have had four years in which to evaluate what it was we were doing when we lifted up one organization to endorse, when there are so many other organizations which might appreciate endorsement by us.

Many of them would not approve—be approved unanimously by this organization any more than POAU was, but I am thinking, for example, of the organizations that would appreciate having The Methodist Church say that we endorse them, ask for their support, organizations with which we cooperate on many occasions.

Brother Albrecht mentioned the Federation for Social Action, National Association of Inter-Group Workers, Mental Health Societies, and many others, and we do not take time to endorse other organizations such as this.

It is also true, during these four years, we have discovered that this organization is not just a legal arm. It is an organization which does spread bigotry and anti-Catholic hatred.

An example was brought clearly before us yesterday in an attack that this organization made on a member of this body, a layman here in Pittsburgh.

Another example which many of us witnessed are the types of speakers which they put on their platforms when they come and visit in our communities, and these opportunities could be numbered for a long time here. They call speakers who have antagonized and spread bigotry and hatred. Another thing a member of the staff of this organization said cynically to a staff member of the Board of Christian Social Concerns that their primary reason for asking for endorsement from The Methodist Church was that it would make it easier for them to raise money, to gain financial support.

Of course, we are concerned about the separation of church and state. It is important to keep our witness as clear and as high as possible and we cannot do this as long as we are using an organization which is not a perfect example of integrity or honesty.

Minority Report Does Not Prevail

Bishop Short: If you will substitute the Minority Report for the Majority, lift the hand. If you are opposed, lift the hand. It is not substituted. (DCA, page 703.)

Majority Report Adopted

Bishop Short: We are now on the Majority Report. Are you ready? If you will approve, lift the hand. Opposed? It is approved. (DCA, page 703; see Appendix, page 1284.)

Report No. 8, Committee on Christian Social Concerns Adopted—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley: Mr. Chairman, on the same page, 459, we have Docket 314, Report No. 8, in which our committee reacted to a

request that we make a change in the name of the source of one of the members for one of our committees.

We move that, and we ask you to adopt this report.

Bishop Short: Are you ready? If you will approve, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 703; see Appendix, page 1285.)

Report No. 9, Committee on Christian Social Concerns Presented—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley: In the next column, 315, No. 9, if you will correct the addition to Paragraph 516 that we propose by deleting the word "both," and begin with "board members." You have a requested definition of two things, first, the right of boards of the Church to speak for themselves, and secondly, a specific indication of the fact that they do not speak for the whole Church.

It was asked if this was spelled out and our commission concurred in it. We ask you to adopt this.

Amendment to Report No. 9 Proposed—Douglas E. Jackson

Douglas E. Jackson (Louisiana—SC): I wish to offer an amendment. Following "Church," Line 11 of the bold-faced paragraph, insert the following: "any individual Methodist called to testify before any legislative body to represent The Methodist Church shall be allowed to represent The Methodist Church only by reading, without elaboration, the resolutions and positions adopted by the General Conference." (Paragraph 512.)

If I have a second, I would like to speak to it.

The motion was seconded.

Douglas E. Jackson: In 1960, the General Board of Christian Social Concerns met in St. Louis and adopted standing rules to cover procedures for the adoption of resolutions and pronouncements. These rules, in essence, are the equivalent of the rules and procedures for the adoption of resolutions and pronouncements as adopted by the General Board of the National Council of Churches.

The amendment offered proposes the adoption by The Methodist Church of the appropriate rules governing the practices and procedures of the National Council.

Past President Erwin Miller of the NCC has attested that the rules for legislative testimony have been quite beneficial.

The amendment does not seek to abridge the right of any Christian to bearing responsible witness to Christ. Any Christian is still free to declare his love of God and the resulting implications for social action. All he need do is to indicate when he speaks for himself and when he speaks for The Methodist Church.

Amendment Adopted

Bishop Short: All right, the amendment is before you. Are you ready? If you will approve the amendment, lift the hand. If you are opposed, lift the hand. The amendment is approved. (DCA, page 703.) The report is before you.

Amendment to Report No. 9 Proposed—John C. Satterfield

John C. Satterfield, Sr. (Mississippi—SE): I move to amend the matter before us by striking out the first two sentences thereof, and if I receive a second, I would like to discuss it briefly.

It was seconded.

John C. Satterfield, Sr.: I served as a member of the Board of Social and Economic Relations for about eight years. These two sentences, unless they have the meaning I will now mention, are simply statements of truisms. There is no objection to them, stated in the abstract, as such. However, in the context in which they now appear they are not necessary to effectuate the purpose stated by the chairman which is effectuated by the last two sentences, but in this context they probably will be construed in such a way that there will be statements made by board members, executives of boards, and so forth, which, when made by them and they having the capacity, will be considered to reflect the sentiment of the Church.

We would not restrict any person from speaking his Christian conviction at any time.

As I say, these are truisms, stated generally, but we do have the difficulties of members of the board and others speaking in a context where they appear to reflect the sentiment of the Church. It should be only in accordance with our *Discipline.*

I hope you will adopt the amendment.

Bishop Short: The amendment which is a deletion is before you. Are you ready?

Harold Bosley: We are reluctant to accept the judgment that these are dispensable truisms. We think they indicate a base of reference that makes our acceptance and differences of opinion clear to ourselves and to others. If in the actual implementation of them they should lead to differences of opinion, that is not a new thing, and I see no escape from it, but our committee wants to have them continued in here because we think they provide a proper setting.

We hope that the amendment will be defeated.

Satterfield Amendment Not Adopted

Bishop Short: If you will approve the amendment, lift the hand. If you are opposed, lift the hand. The amendment is not approved. (DCA, page 703.)

We are back on the report. Are you ready on the report? If you will approve the report, lift the hand. If you are opposed, lift the hand. The report is adopted. (DCA, page 703; see Appendix, page 1285.)

Report No. 10, Committee on Christian Social Concerns Presented—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley: At the bottom of that column, page 459, Docket 316, Report No. 10, we would like to have you add as the concluding sentence this sentence given to us by the Board of Missions: "This clarification of responsibility shall not affect the function and role of the Board of Missions."

The purpose of this new section in the paragraph which replaces one that is in there is to spell out in some detail the work we are giving to the Division of Temperance and General Welfare. It comes to us, having been processed by the Board itself, and they think that it sets up guidelines of responsibility that will be helpful as they allocate work. We recommend the adoption of this, together with the sentence that I gave a moment ago.

Comment on Report—James Wm. Morgan

James Wm. Morgan (Southwest Texas—SC): There is exact language which was approved in the Report of Hospitals and Homes last night. The same words were accepted by the Board of Hospitals and Homes last night. We can move adoption of this report, is all I am saying. It is the same wording.

Bishop Short: All right, this is information.

Report No. 10 Adopted

Bishop Short: All right, the report is before you. Are you ready? If you will approve, lift the hand. Opposed? It is approved. (DCA, page 704; see Appendix, page 1286.)

Report No. 11, Committee on Christian Social Concerns Adopted—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley: Turn to page 515 of the *Advocate*, please. Docket No. 317, Report No. 11, which is a requested change in the name of the Division of Temperance and General Welfare. We move adoption of this.

Bishop Short: Are you ready? If you will approve, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 704; see Appendix, page 1286.)

Report No. 12, Committee on Christian Social Concerns Presented—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley: Immediately below this, page 515, Docket 318, Report No. 12, we come to one of the very important position papers of the Church.

We have had a paper dealing with Peace and World Order before us for years in our *Discipline*. It has been used widely throughout the Church.

This one comes to us carefully worked and reworked through the committee processes with various boards of the Church sharing in it and our committee having given it careful attention for the better part of a whole day.

I will be glad to go through it in detail if the Conference should desire, but except for editorial changes that are inevitable in a document like this, I think that a simple glance at the main positions of it, Peace and World Order, message to the churches, message to the nations and the world, together with the final message to Christians in all lands, indicates the scope of this position paper.

We recommend its adoption and its printing in the *Discipline* of our Church.

Inquiry—Robert T. Mann

Robert T. Mann (Florida—SE): I would like to ask Dr. Bosley if there was not an additional paragraph which expressed our opposition to materialistic and atheistic ideologies, which was adapted from a prior *Discipline* and which should be added at this point?

Reply—Request to Include Report No. 52 With No. 12—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley: In reply to that, I would refer the Conference to page 617, Docket 522, Report No. 52, which mistakenly is listed as

not to be printed in the *Discipline*. Our actual intent was to have this inserted at the proper point. This is Report No. 52, Docket 522. This was adopted at the last meeting of our committee, and we felt that rather than let it float free as an unattached resolution, it would better appear in the major document which is before you, and if it is proper, Mr. Chairman, I should like to ask whether I may move to have both of them considered at the same time, since they are to appear together. It would take the indulgence of the body, I think, to do that. I request that.

Reports No. 12 and No. 52 Adopted

Bishop Short: Is there objection to this? The Chair hears none and it will take this course. Report 52 will be taken in connection with Report 12. All right, that is our understanding. The report is before you; are you ready? If you will approve, lift the hand. If you are opposed, lift the hand. The report is approved. (DCA, page 704; see Appendix, page 1287.)

Report Withdrawn—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley: You are going so fast I can't keep up here.

On page 538, I simply call your attention to one action that we were going to propose that has been covered by previous action of the Conference, Docket No. 446. That was covered by a previous action of the Conference, and we ask the privilege to withdraw that.

Bishop Short: Without objection, it takes that course. (DCA, page 704.)

Report No. 13, Committee on Christian Social Concerns Adopted—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley: The next one is on the same page, 538 of the *Advocate*, Docket No. 447, Report No. 13, a resolution on House Un-American Activities Committee. Do you desire that I read this? We move adoption.

Bishop Short: Are you ready? If you will approve, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 704; see Appendix, page 1298.)

Report No. 14, Committee on Christian Social Concerns Adopted—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley: Following along, page 539, Docket No. 448, Report 14. We received a memorial and our subcommittee thought well of developing it into this statement about the primacy of the individual as we seek to change society, and the committee voted concurrence and we present it to you for your adoption.

Bishop Short: Are you ready? If you will approve, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 704; see Appendix, page 1299.)

Report No. 15, Committee on Christian Social Concerns Adopted—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley: Docket 449, Report No. 15, the same page. The Board of Christian Social Concerns asks that the Commission on Education accept the Commission on Christian Social Concerns as the co-sponsor of Race Relations Sunday. We ask that the change be made in the *Discipline* and ask for your approval of this.

Bishop Short: Are you ready? If you will adopt the report, lift the

hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 704; see Appendix, page 1299.)

Report No. 16, Committee on Christian Social Concerns Presented—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley: Docket 450, Report No. 16, asks for the addition of a new paragraph to the *Discipline*, to be numbered 1109, dealing with the elimination of racial discrimination in church agencies. I should like to read it.

"It shall be the policy of The Methodist Church that all administrative agencies, boards, divisions and institutions, including hospitals, homes and educational institutions: A. Recruit, employ, utilize and promote their professional staff and other personnel without regard to race, color or sex, and B. Fulfill these duties and responsibilities in a manner which does not involve racial segregation or discrimination."

It was the feeling of our committee that this is in line with actions already taken by this General Conference, and we therefore present it to you for your adoption by the overwhelming vote of 74 for, 4 against and one abstention.

Inquiry—George Atkinson

George Atkinson (California-Nevada—W): I wish to ask a question of the chairman. Is this intended to cover The Methodist Publishing House?

Answer Given

Harold A. Bosley: It does because our understanding is that The Methodist Publishing House operates under the Board of Education. It is the understanding of the committee that this covers everything in section 7, and that includes the Publishing House.

Bishop Short: I think the correction that the body was trying to get, if you will pardon the Chair, the Publishing House is not under the Board of Education. It is one of the agencies of the Church just as is the Board of Missions, Education, and so on.

Request for Committee to Accept Amendment—Mrs. Margaret Donaldson

Mrs. Margaret Donaldson (New York East—NE): I wonder if the committee would consent to add the word "recompense" to the wording in paragraph A? If not, I will move to amend.

Committee Accepts Suggested Change

Harold A. Bosley: We will gladly accept.

Motion to Amend Report—John H. Rixse, Jr.

John H. Rixse, Jr.: I would like to make a motion and a short observation. I move in subparagraph B, third line, to delete three words "racial segregation or." If that is seconded, I would like to make a short observation.

It was seconded.

John H. Rixse, Jr.: I think this Conference has amply demonstrated

its view regarding race. We have throughout many parts of the *Discipline*, the results of this General Conference. It seems to me that the main point is discrimination of any kind, and I think the statement would be much better stated with the deletions.

Bishop Short: The motion is to delete the two words. Are you ready?

Statement Opposing Amendment—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley: We hope you will not sustain the move to delete. We feel that what we are doing here is in no sense adding one thing to another. It is simply spelling out in terms of responsible relationship the clear meaning of the action already taken. We hope you will defeat the motion.

Amendment Defeated—Report No. 16 Adopted

Bishop Short: The amendment is to delete. If you support the amendment lift the hand. If you are opposed, lift the hand. The amendment is not supported.

We are back on the report. Are you ready? If you will approve of the report, lift the hand. If you are opposed, lift the hand. The report is approved. (DCA, page 705; see Appendix, page 1299.)

Report No. 17, Committee on Christian Social Concerns Withdrawn—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley: I would like to direct the Conference's attention to Docket Number 451, Report Number 17. That has been covered by your action under the Commission on Education, Docket Number 464, and therefore we seek permission to withdraw it.

Bishop Short: Without objection, it takes that course. (DCA, page 705.)

Report No. 19, Committee on Christian Social Concerns Adopted—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley: The next one for our consideration is Docket 453, Number 19, a Charter of Racial Policies not to be printed in the *Discipline*, but to appear in the *Advocate*, and let it be known that it comes from the Woman's Society of Christian Service. We therefore move its immediate and wholehearted adoption. (Also includes Report No. 43.)

Bishop Short: Are you ready? If you will approve, lift the hand. Opposed? The report is approved. (DCA, page 705; see Appendix, page 1301.)

Report No. 20, Committee on Christian Social Concerns Presented—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley: Turn to page 545 of the *Advocate*, please. Docket number 478, report number 20. This should be listed as adopted with concurrence, and it is a reaffirmation of paragraph 207 of the *Discipline*.

I move its adoption, and I suggest Brother Smith have the floor, Mr. Chairman.

Amendment to Report No. 20 Proposed—Chester A. Smith

Chester A. Smith: Mr. Chairman and members of the General Con-

ference, I desire to amend Paragraph 206, section four of the *Discipline* as follows: After it states "all members of the Board" and that is the official board "shall be members of the local Methodist Church" and then strike out the rest of the section and insert in place thereof the following words "and the members of the official board of The Methodist Church shall be those who are total abstainers from the use of intoxicating liquors."

If I have a second, I will speak for my two minutes.

The motion was seconded.

Chester A. Smith: The great question before the people of the world is peace; the three questions before The Methodist Church are segregation, liquor traffic, and cigarettes.

We have wasted too much money on segregation by unnecessary reports when we could have ended it quite quickly by abolishing the sixth Jurisdiction. We have presented here no report setting forth the vast harm done to the American people by crime, death, injury, and economic loss due to the liquor traffic.

No statement was made—excuse me. No nation can win a war if its leaders are in league with the enemy. The Methodists who drink intoxicating liquor are friends of the distillers and the breweries. Smoking cigarettes is causing cancer, fast leading boys and girls into a lifetime of smoking cigarettes, costing more money than we spend on our schools and churches, and every year The Methodist Church receives millions of dollars from the manufacture and sale of cigarettes, due to what we receive from the James Buchanan Duke Estate. It is tainted money, and God will hold us to account for what we do.

Jesus, I think, is thinking about us in this matter as he spoke out against the Scribes and Pharisees. We are in danger of becoming a social creed.

We are dealing in platitudes. I ask you to provide that we are in danger of becoming a social church, rather than a Christian institution. I ask you to approve that the members of the official board shall be total abstainers. Let us fight the liquor traffic not by platitudes, but by the clenched fist.

Amendment Does Not Carry

Bishop Short: If you will approve the amendment, lift the hand. If you oppose, lift the hand. The amendment is lost. We are back on the report.

Tribute to Chester A. Smith Expressed—Roy L. Turnage

Roy L. Turnage (North Carolina—SE): I move we stand in respect to Chester A. Smith who has livened this Conference and really put it on a high level at a moment when we may have taken it a little too lightly. Could we honor him in that respect?

Standing ovation.

Report No. 20 Adopted

Bishop Short: If you will approve the report, lift the hand. Opposed? The report is adopted. (DCA, page 705; see Appendix, page 1302.)

Report No. 21, Committee on Christian Social Concerns Withdrawn—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley: The Docket Number 479, Report Number 21, has already been covered by the action of the Coordinating Council, and it has to do with a new date for Commitment Day. We ask the privilege of withdrawing.

Bishop Short: It is withdrawn. (DCA, page 705.)

Report No. 22, Committee on Christian Social Concerns Adopted—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley: Report Number 22, Number 480, is a request coming from several of the boards that an agency that they thought they would need and found out they did not need might be deleted from the *Discipline*. We ask that this be adopted.

Bishop Short: If you will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 705; see Appendix, page 1303.)

Report No. 24, Committee on Christian Social Concerns Adopted—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley: Docket 482, Report 24, gathers together a number of miscellaneous resolutions on alcohol problems and this report number 24 and the one on the next page, Report Number 25, they were brought to the *Advocate* office at somewhat different times and we considered them separately in our work in the committee, but actually, they belong together as position papers in terms of not alone alcohol problems, but also various other welfare problems.

I will not ask you to consider them together, I just want you to know that one follows the other. The report we bring you to now—the motion we bring is based on Report Number 24, namely, that of adoption.

Bishop Short: Are you ready? If you will approve Report Number 24, lift the hand. Opposed? It is approved. (DCA, page 706; see Appendix, page 1304.)

Report No. 25, Committee on Christian Social Concerns Adopted—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley: Docket 483, Report Number 25, I have already indicated that this represents a continuation and enlargement of the position paper of one of our boards and one of the essential undertakings of that board. I am sure I need not tell you it has been worked through with considerable care and no little discussion all along the line. I move adoption.

Bishop Short: Are you ready? If you will approve the report, lift the hand. Opposed the same sign. It is approved. (DCA, page 706; see Appendix, page 1306.)

Report No. 26, Committee on Christian Social Concerns Adopted—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley: On the next page, Docket 484, Report No. 26, are some revisions of Paragraph 2021 in the *Discipline* regarding the Christian family. Here, again, this represents a section of the

Discipline that gives us certain guidelines as we try to think about the Christian family, and it was the feeling of our commission that these were helpful additions, not only in terms of certain parts of the sentence and paragraph structure, but also in terms of ease of presentation in reading, in terms of paragraphs and that sort of thing.

We give this to you for your action and recommend adoption.

Bishop Short: Are you ready? If you will approve, lift the hand. Opposed? It is approved. (DCA, page 706; see Appendix, page 1311.)

Report No. 27, Committee on Christian Social Concerns Adopted—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley: On page 548, Docket No. 485, Report No. 27, this relates to the report that Bishop Lord called our attention to yesterday morning, a report that was printed and placed on our tables here in this room, and we are recommending that the existing Methodist Inter-Staff Committee on Aging be continued with some enlargements in staff structure and meeting, with recognition of the serious and significant work we are giving to it.

We recommend adoption.

Bishop Short: Are you ready? If you will approve, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 706; see Appendix, page 1315.)

Report No. 28, Committee on Christian Social Concerns Adopted—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley: Docket 486, Report No. 28, the TRAFCO Committee in our church and other similar groups in other churches and in the National Council have been seeking to discover guide lines in our thought on the social responsibilities of the use of the mass media and consequently a great deal of work is going on across the churches in this area. This represents a resolution given to us by our people and worked over carefully by the Board of Christian Social Concerns in our committee, which indicates certain general positions in this area, and we recommend its adoption.

Bishop Short: Are you ready? If you will approve, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 706; see Appendix, page 1316.)

Report No. 29, Committee on Christian Social Concerns Presented—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley: Now we come to a report. Our action is on page 549, Docket 487, Report No. 29, but it deals with the report that is printed on page 122 and I will have to ask you to turn to page 122 of the *Advocate* the report of the Study Commission on Church and State Relations.

This Commission was set up by the last General Conference for the purpose of investigating what it called the twilight zones that have come into being as new problems in a complex society have emerged. The Commission indicated because of limited funds and in the absence of a full-time staff, it was not possible to complete its investigation into the inquiry, but it gives us, in interpretation, an extremely helpful approach to various phases of this problem.

Our committee studying this with great care and at great length have a number of initial observations to make, and then an action, a proposed action, toward the recommendations.

We think the study is an excellent introduction to a very difficult

field. No member of our committee thought that it was a finished task. All recognized the need for further study in many of these areas, if not all of these areas, and those who have served on this Study Commission and who were present with us concurred in this feeling. We have here a very helpful introduction, a very significant introduction to a field of interest and concern that will be with us all the rest of our lives and probably our children and probably on through to the end of time.

With that introduction, I would like to call your attention to the fact that there are 22 recommendations that are scattered through this document. We have specific actions that we would like to commend to you for your consideration regarding each of these recommendations.

The recommendations begin at the bottom of page 123, in the last full paragraph on that page.

I suspect I had better read the recommendations, and then I will acquaint you with the action of our commission.

Recommendation 1, "that the General Conference urge all local churches, under the leadership of the Commission on Christian Social Concerns, with help from appropriate annual conference agencies, to study carefully issues of religious liberty in the local community. Wherever local churches find, as a result of such study, that the infringement of religious liberty exists in the community, they should take the appropriate action aimed at ending the infringements.

We recommend adoption of this recommendation."

Bishop Short: This is not printed anywhere, is that right?

Harold Bosley: Yes. It is on page 123. That is why I said, Mr. Chairman, our report is on page 549 with our action regarding each recommendation. We were told by the Secretary's office that this might be done, and I call your attention first to the recommendation and then to the proposal of our committee.

Our committee proposes that we adopt Recommendation No. 1.

Motion to Receive Report and Refer It—John D. Herr

John D. Herr (Philadelphia—NE): I move that this report be received and then referred to the committee that has been set up.

The motion was seconded.

Statement Supporting Motion to Refer—F. A. Flatt

F. A. Flatt (Memphis—SE): I have just a statement. Since the Board of Christian Social Concerns of which I am a member has already made plans for deeper and more thorough study of church and state relations, and the Council of World Service and Finance has already made available $32,000 for that study during the quadrennium, and since our Committee on Christian Social Concerns has had so many memorials, study papers, resolutions to consider that it did not—it was impossible for us to give careful consideration to all of them, and since it was about 1:00 o'clock at night when we dealt with this report, and, therefore, we were not able to give it careful consideration which it deserves, and since these particular recommendations are highly controversial questions on which we do not all agree, it seems to me that it would be the wise thing to refer them to the Board of Christian Social Concerns for more serious study and consideration.

Statement on Behalf of Committee—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley: I probably ought to make clear that our recommendations vary. We recommend adoption on some. We recommend referral on others. We recognize the right of this sovereign body to do what it desires, but we think it would be a mistake at this time to refer all these recommendations for further study because we think there are certain things here that ought to be adopted now and can be because we do not see them as being items of great controversy.

I would concur in the fact that if there seems to be a division of opinion on some, they are properly a matter of referral.

Previous Question Moved—Marshall Steel

Marshall T. Steel (Little Rock—SC): I move the previous question.

Bishop Short: On the motion to refer. Is it seconded?

The motion was seconded severally.

Point of Order—Erwin H. Schwiebert

Erwin H. Schwiebert (Idaho—W): I believe, Mr. Chairman, we have been under the understanding that one speech would be made for and one against the motion before the motion to call for the previous question would be in order. May I be recognized for a remark in opposition to the motion?

Bishop Short: Just a second. The motion to refer was made—I don't know who made it—by Dr. Herr.

A speech in favor of it was made here. The Chair asked if there was anyone who wished to speak against the motion?

Statement to Clarify Parliamentary Situation—Marshall Steel

Marshall Steel: Mr. Chairman, my position is when a paper is presented by a chairman, we have asked the privilege of having someone speak against it.

A man made a motion supporting a referral. Dr. Bosley spoke against it, so that both sides have been before the house. I think we would like to vote.

Bishop Short: Well, let the house decide that. If you—you have heard the statement and you can settle on your vote on the previous question. If you will order the previous question, lift the hand. If you are opposed, lift the hand. The previous question is ordered. (DCA, page 707.)

On the motion to refer, are you ready? Do you have a statement on that, Mr. Chairman?

Statement for Committee—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley: Mr. Chairman, I want to apologize to the house for having intruded at the wrong place. I just meant what I said. That is all.

Report No. 29, Committee on Christian Social Concerns Referred

Bishop Short: All right. The motion is to refer, to receive and refer. If you approve that motion, lift the hand. All right, now,

down. If you are opposed to the motion, lift the hand. I believe it is referred. (DCA, page 707.)

Report No. 30, Committee on Christian Social Concerns Withdrawn—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley: I direct the Conference's attention to Docket 489, Report No. 30, at the bottom of the page. This has been covered by a prior action of the Conference, Docket No. 20. We therefore beg the privilege of withdrawing.

Bishop Short: No. 30 is withdrawn. Thank you. (DCA, page 707.)

Report No. 31, Committee on Christian Social Concerns Withdrawn—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley: On the next page, 550, Docket No. 490, Report No. 31.

May I consult with my group? I had a memo here that—it has been covered by a prior action of the Conference. We therefore beg the privilege of withdrawing it.

Bishop Short: All right, it is withdrawn. (DCA, page 707.)

Report No. 33, Committee on Christian Social Concerns Presented—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley: Docket No. 492 on the same page, Report No. 33, the action asks for an insertion of a new paragraph in the *Discipline* at the proper place, Paragraph to read, "the Council on World Service and Finance shall report to the Council of Bishops in 1966 and to the General Conference in 1968 the results of surveys of services and employment policies with particular reference to racial discrimination in hiring and advancement of all institutions and agencies of this Church which receive financial support from the Council on World Service and Finance."

We move adoption.

Comments on Report—Chester A. Smith

Chester A. Smith: Mr. Chairman, and members of the Conference, it is my belief and hope that this Conference will remain and continue as a deliberative body. I think it is a very grave mistake to refer many of these important matters to a committee. We are here today to decide what we want to do ourselves.

Report No. 33 Adopted

Bishop Short: Are you ready? If you will approve the report, Report No. 33, lift the hand. Opposed? It is approved. (DCA, page 707; see Appendix, page 1321.)

The next one.

Report No. 34, Committee on Christian Social Concerns Adopted—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley: Docket 493, immediately below, Report No. 34, a paper dealing with remedial action on smoking. We recommend adoption.

Bishop Short: Are you ready? If you will approve, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 707; see Appendix, page 1321.)

Motion to Suspend Rules

Delegate: I am referring to No. 494, Report No. 35. There were more memorials on this item to us than any other single subject except the elimination of the Central Jurisdiction.

There is before the Congress of the United States a very grave and serious issue which faces the future of this nation and this church, and in moving nonconcurrence at this point and including 494 in the blanket, sweeping, acceptance of all nonconcurrence, this Conference is not facing an issue which The Methodist Church must face in 1964, and I would like to move, sir, that we suspend the rules and consider this item, and I would like to make a motion to it, if possible.

Harold A. Bosley: I did not mention this under the action taken by this Conference which was a blanket action covering those of nonconcurrence. We will welcome the Conference's taking such action as it desires to get this matter before it.

Delegate: Mr. Chairman, the chairman of a Legislative Committee was given authority to adopt all nonconcurrent resolutions, to bring before the Conference any resolution where there was either a minority report or where he felt the issue should be before the Conference. I do not think this motion is necessary. I think Dr. Bosley can present it.

Harold A. Bosley: I will be glad to present it if you desire to do so.

Bishop Short: Let's do it.

Chairman of Committee Requests Dr. Kirk to Speak

Harold A. Bosley: There is no question in my mind about the importance of this, and I would like to ask Dr. Kirk to acquaint us with what the Becker Amendment is, and then Brother Albrecht to acquaint us with the deliberations of the committee.

Statement Relative to Becker Amendment—Astor Kirk

Astor Kirk (West Texas—C): Mr. Chairman, members of the Conference, there have been about 160 resolutions of one kind or another introduced in the House of Representatives to amend the First Amendment to the Constitution to permit devotional exercises, prayers, Bible reading, et cetera, in the public schools. The most significant one in terms of the hearings that are now taking place is the so-called Becker Amendment. I shall simply read to you the three sections of that amendment, that proposed amendment.

"Section 1: Nothing in this Constitution shall be deemed to prohibit the offering, the reading from, or listening to, prayers or biblical Scriptures if participation therein is on a voluntary basis in any Governmental office or public school institution or place.

"Section 2: Nothing in this Constitution shall be deemed to prohibit making reference to, belief in, reliance upon, or invocating the aid of God or a Supreme Being, in any Governmental or public document, proceeding, activity, ceremony, school, institution or place or upon any coinage currency or obligation of the United States.

"Section 3: Nothing in this article shall constitute an establishment of religion."

Those of you who have access to the current *Christian Advocate*—

not the *Daily Christian Advocate*—for May 7 will find a copy of this amendment on the inside cover page.

Request for Chairman of Sub-Committee to Speak—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley: May I ask indulgence of the body to have the chairman of the subcommittee that brought in this recommendation acquaint you very briefly with the reasoning of that?

Statement Relative to Becker Amendment—Joseph H. Albrecht

Joseph H. Albrecht: You put me in a little embarrassing situation because a few moments ago you referred for future study the very document upon which his decision was based.

In the report of church and state which has been carried on in the last quadrennium at an expense of over $60,000 in preparation for the report, as was suggested, but we decided we do not want to face the issues of church and state, and this is one of the major issues of church and state and this is in the report, and if you look in there, you will see how we came to our decision.

Page 124, third column. "The General Conference urges members of The Methodist Church to refrain from encouraging or supporting in their local communities devotional exercises as a part of the educational program of the public schools."

Now, when this report was brought from the subcommittee to the Committee on Christian Social Concerns, if you will look over on the report that you had on page 549, the report which was referred, Calendar Item 487, you will find that recommendation No. 10. Committee on Christian Social Concerns, as they looked at it, they recommended the adoption of this particular recommendation.

This would mean that this would put the Committee on Christian Social Concerns of our General Conference on record as being opposed to the things that are in the Becker Amendment.

The memorials which we received were all in support of the Becker Amendment. Therefore, on the basis of this report of church-state, plus the action taken in the Christian Social Concerns Legislative Committee, the only action we could take as a subcommittee was nonconcurrence of these memorials. This is the reason for that report.

Bishop Short: All right, the report is before you for the committee with the recommendation of nonconcurrence.

Statement Opposing Becker Amendment—John Persinger

John Persinger (Rocky Mountain—W): Gentlemen, Chairman, I live in Utah, where the members of the Mormon Church constitute about 60 per cent of the population.

I have lived in Mexico, where the members of the Catholic Church constitute about 60 per cent of the population.

If you gray this area of separation of church and state, you are breeding for those of us who live as Protestants, minority; you are breeding a tremendous amount of trouble. I implore you, do not support the Becker Amendment.

Bishop Short: This is the speech in favor of the recommendation of the report. Does someone want to speak against the recommendation?

Substitute Motion Made—Charles Sayre

Charles A. Sayre (New Jersey—NE) : I would like to make a substitute motion and speak to it if I may.

"The Methodist Church endorses the amendment of the Constitution of the United States to permit voluntary prayer and Bible reading in the public schools of this nation."

If I have a second, I would like to speak to it.

It was seconded.

Charles A. Sayre: The Methodist Church faces a very great and far-reaching decision which will determine its future. We are joining an issue which is extremely basic in the theology and life of the church. Underlying this report that the committee has made is an endorsement of the theory of the cultural pluralism. The issue is hidden within what is called separation of church and state. The basic point of this report is that cultural plurality is a reality and the church must adjust to it.

The Church of Christ has never been able to adjust to cultural pluralism. This was the ancient issue of Israel in the encounter with Baal. This faced the Roman Empire when Paul said, "at the name of Jesus, every knee should bow."

Pluralism is the theory of society which has lost its central purpose. We must decide whether we are going to tear society apart in the name of separation of church and state or reaffirm that this world is the world of God, that the central idea of society is devotion to God. This is what makes civilization what it is, and for that reason it is basic and important to recover the ancient theological perspective of the Church. The enemy which has encountered the church for 3,000 years is encountering us this morning and the name of that enemy is cultural pluralism in the name of church and state.

Statement Opposing Substitute—Alva I. Cox

Alva I. Cox (North-East Ohio—NC) : I should like to speak against the substitute.

I submit to you that we do live in a pluralistic society, and I would also submit to you that if something like the Becker Amendment is adopted, we are likely to find ourselves in the throes of a kind of conflict that would really tear this country apart, in many communities, at least.

It seems to me that we would be taking, in the adoption of something like the Becker Amendment, the first steps toward establishment, in spite of the disclaimant to that effect in the latter part of the amendment, if not nationally, then locally; if not legally, then de-facto.

I doubt we honor God when we force a person to speak his name or to listen to others when they do even voluntary participation in prayers become a matter of embarrassment to a child who does not want to participate. Therefore, he is singled out and is marked apart from his fellows. There are many records and testimonies in the case of the court of what happens to children in this situation.

I think we should keep our stand alongside that of Roger Williams when he said, "crucibility and Christianity may both flourish in a state or kingdom notwithstanding different conscience, either Jew or Gentile," and I suspect if we are really going to be consistent we have to grant persons the right to be irreligious as well as the inestimable right to be religious.

Motion to Table Report No. 35—Tom Reavley

Tom Reavley (Southwest Texas—SC): I move to table the matter covered by Calendar 494, Report No. 35.

Bishop Short: We will put the motion to table. This is not debatable.

Delegate: Does the laying on the table include the amendment or the substitute?

Substitute Motion Not Tabled

Bishop Short: It lays everything on the table.

If you will lay on the table—this is not debatable, if you will lay on the table everything in this connection, lift the hand. If you are opposed, lift the hand. It is not tabled. (DCA, page 709.)

Motion to Refer Substitute and Committee Report—Raymond E. Balcomb

Raymond E. Balcomb (Oregon—W): I move that we refer the substitute and the Committee Report to the—whatever group is going to make the continuing study on church-state relations that we have previously authorized.

Bishop Short: This is a motion to refer both the substitute and the report. Is there a second?

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Short: If you will approve, lift the hand. If you are opposed, lift the hand. It is referred.

Well, let's take it again. Let the Chair say this is the first time I have sat in this seat. This is an exceedingly difficult room in which to measure a vote. It is different from a lengthy room.

Let's try it again. Somebody is asking for information.

Inquiry

Roy Nichols: Is it not true that the Becker Amendment is pertinent immediately, and that if deferred it is no longer relevant?

Bishop Short: The Chair is sorry. Let's take this vote on the motion to refer. Let me try it again, and you brethren help me look at this. If you will support the motion to refer, lift the hand. Now, if you are opposed, lift the hand.

The Chair would prefer you have a count vote. I want to be fair. You can't look in two directions at one time here, it is different from a lengthy room. This seems to be quite close and my brethren here feel the same way.

Standing Vote Suggested—Harold Swales

Harold Swales (Central New York—NE): Perhaps it would help you to take a standing vote and you could see without counting?

Bishop Short: Well, I don't know. Do you mind the count? I want to be fair to everybody, brother.

Instructions were given by Leon T. Moore, Secretary for a count vote.

Bishop Short: The tellers are in place and if you will support the motion, raise the hand. All right, if you support the motion, will you stand? All right, now, if you are opposed to the motion to refer, will you stand? All right, the tellers will report to the Secretary.

As soon as the vote is announced, we will be in recess, under your motion. All right, we will hear the Secretary on the motion to refer.

Motion to Refer Prevails

Leon T. Moore: Mr. Chairman and Members of the Body, if you ever get a vote any closer than this, we will write a book about it. 341 for referral, 339 against. (DCA, page 709.)

Bishop Short: I thought that was close. We will hear the Secretary on announcements before the recess.

Announcements were made by the Secretary.

Bishop Short: We are in recess.

Short recess.

Bishop Short: The Conference will be in order. We will sing a hymn as announced, page 40, yellow book.

Unison singing, "Go Make of All Disciples."

Bishop Herbert Welch Presented

Willis Tate (North Texas—SC): Bishop, I would like, admittedly, to be out of order.

Bishop Welch is leaving to conduct his very busy life and I want to give him a chance to make arrangements to see you all in 1968 when he will be 105 years old.

Bishop Welch, will you come up and make these arrangements, sir.

Standing ovation.

Bishop Welch: Bishop Short and members of the Conference, I have been asked to take a few moments at the close of the Conference to give my impression of the kind of Conference it is, or has been, simply because I had been a spectator and not a participant in so many of the General Conferences.

The first one I saw was in 1888, and with three or four exceptions, I have been present at all the rest. My recollections are not vivid enough to give much value to a comparison of this Conference with preceding Conferences, but two or three things stand up in my observation. One is that this Conference is unprecedented in the number and delicacy and difficulty of the major problems that it has been called upon to face.

Fortunately, with all the difficulties and sharp differences of opinion, there has been so far as I can see an almost boundless good will prevailing in the spirit of the Conference. We have almost reached the level of the Chinese maxim, "We agree to differ, but we resolve to love."

This Conference, beyond its predecessors, has been an internationally minded Conference. You have been looking not simply at The Methodist Church, not simply at the United States of America, but you have been looking at the world, and to have that international point of view, and with that interracial point of view, you have made

a mark of progress, one greatly needed, particularly, of course, in the intense and painful interest which you have shown in the events of the current decade in the matter of racial rights and racial wrongs, with the firm conclusion that we are one in blood, one in aspiration and one in Christ.

Another conspicuous happening has been the throngs of young people. Never has a Conference had such a visitation of youth as this Conference.

People ask, "Do you approve of what these youngsters do? Do you approve all their sittings-in, their marching, their vigils?"

That isn't the point. I approve them.

There are a good many jitterbugs among youth today, but our young Christians, it seems to me, are the finest young people we have ever had.

There has been and is current in our present day society a restlessness and impatience, wanting to do things quickly; eventually, why not now seems to be the motto.

We are serving for God's will. Why can't we have a pillar of fire and a pillar of cloud to guide us so that we can find God's will? Yet we find ourselves groping in a fog. To be sure, we have found God's will. It would be very disappointing to some people to find God's will, because God is so slow, maddeningly slow. We speak of God creating the heaven and earth as though it was a job of six days' work, and yet we know the millennium and eons that God was making the world, and what a time it took.

"And God created man." How long did it take him after the world was created before there came a man? Now God is creating a society. How long will it take to make it a perfect society? He moves so slowly, a God of infinite patience, and the God of infinite power who chooses, step by step, to complete his great creation, that perfect society of brotherhood which we call the kingdom of God.

A great thing about this Conference is that unitedly they are seeking to find and to build that kingdom according to God's will.

God bless you.

Standing ovation.

Response by Bishop Short

Bishop Short: I am sure that this is a moment that all of us can carry with us all along the way, and we do appreciate these inspiring words and challenging words from our senior leader.

One of the marvelous things about Bishop Welch to me is that he is always looking forward and talking about the things that are to be, and we all want to express to you, Bishop Welch, something that you already know, and that is how much we appreciate you and how much we love you, and how much we appreciate the inspiration that you are to us continually.

I got a note from Brother Chester Smith. He said that he was at the Conference in 1916 when Bishop Welch was elected and he would like to have his picture taken with him.

Come on, Brother Smith, and let's get the picture.

Let me say this while Brother Smith is coming. I have read the note very carefully. It doesn't say whether he voted for Bishop Welch. It just says he was there, and there were a lot of fellows there who didn't vote.

Go ahead, you photographers.

Chester A. Smith: I think I am the only layman in this General Conference who was present in 1916 when Bishop Welch was elected bishop. He was the first of seven bishops who were elected at that

time. A year or so ago when he had a birthday party, I said "I was there, Bishop, and I voted for you."

He said, "Would you still vote for me?"

I said, "Yes."

You now have before you two men who represent over 182 years of our life. Thank you.

Return to Order of the Day

Bishop Short: We return to the order of the day.

Willis M. Tate: Bishop Welch was President of Ohio Wesleyan the day I was born.

We have five legislative committees to finish their work this morning. Most of these committees have completed the majority of their work, and if you will stay with us and pay attention and keep your order and your obstruction to a minimum, I think we can move along.

The order of the day is for Judicial Administration, however, with complete concurrence of the two chairmen, we are yielding this time to the Committee on Conferences, and they will be followed by the Committee on Judicial Administration, so I present Mr. James.

Committee on Conferences Presents Report No. 63—D. Trigg James, Sr.

D. Trigg James, Sr. (Holston—SE): Mr. Chairman, ladies and gentlemen of the Conference, will you please turn to page 517, Calendar 320, third column, Report number 63, which provides that historical data concerning the closing of Conferences and other matters be filed first with the secretary of the Annual Conferences, and then if desired, presented to the Conference Historical Society for safekeeping.

I move adoption.

Bishop Short: If you will approve this, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 710; see Appendix page 1365.)

Report No. 66, Committee on Conferences Adopted—D. Trigg James, Sr.

D. Trigg James, Sr.: Turn to page 518, Item 323, Report No. 66. This was referred to by, I think, the Chairman of the Committee on Lay Activities, assuming that it had been adopted. It simply provides that the presidents of chartered Methodist Men's Clubs of each church will become members of a district conference.

I move adoption.

Bishop Short: Are you ready? If you will adopt, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 710; see Appendix, page 1367.)

Report No. 67, Committee on Conferences Adopted—D. Trigg James, Sr.

D. Trigg James, Sr.: Calendar 324, Report 67. This provides for more clear procedures for the operation of the Conference Board of Pensions. I have a deletion and a change. Are we on 518? Under A, down in parenthesis, and the fourth line, please delete the words "voluntary location, or involuntary location," initiated by the Committee under Paragraph 377, and substitute "voluntary or involuntary location Paragraphs 374 through 378."

The last line, please, replace the last sentence of the paragraph with the words "the committee shall report to the Annual Conference transfers into and out of the conference, withdrawals and other changes, in Conference relation."

I move adoption with these deletions and this addition.

Bishop Short: Are you ready? If you will approve, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 710; see Appendix, page 1368.)

Report No. 69, Committee on Conferences Adopted—D. Trigg James, Sr.

D. Trigg James, Sr.: The next number is page 519, Report No. 69, Calendar No. 326. This simply provides for the replacement of youth members on a board who have been—where there is a vacancy during the quadrennium, and it is in the same order. It needs approval. I move to approve.

Bishop Short: If you approve, lift the hand. Opposed? It is approved. (DCA, page 710; see Appendix, page 1369.)

Report No. 72, Committee on Conferences Adopted—D. Trigg James, Sr.

D. Trigg James, Sr.: The next is page 535, Calendar 429, Report No. 72, bottom of the third column and the action is on the top of the next page which provides that the full-time approved supply pastor serving a charge is eligible for appointment to boards and agencies, I would like to add one word for clarification in the last full sentence where it reads "with qualification orders and status of" and I would add "ministers and supply pastors" for clarification. Add the word "ministers" and following the position "of."

I move adoption.

Bishop Short: If you will approve it, lift the hand. Opposed? It is approved. (DCA, page 710; see Appendix, page 1371.)

Report No. 61, Committee on Conferences Adopted—D. Trigg James, Sr.

D. Trigg James, Sr.: Turn back to page 459, Calendar Item 311, Report No. 61, in the first column which deals with the operation of the Annual Conference in Harmony with the church's policy on race, etc. already accepted and approved at many places. I move adoption.

This is a Jurisdictional Conference matter.

Bishop Short: If you will approve, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 710; see Appendix, page 1364.)

D. Trigg James, Sr.: I yield to Dr. Edwin Reeves of the Southern California-Arizona Conference.

Matter of Personal Privilege—Edwin E. Reeves

Edwin E. Reeves (Southern California-Arizona—W): Mr. Chairman, members of the Conference, this is a matter of personal privilege. Under the pressure of time and due to the lateness of the hour, an action was taken last night which was most improper. This fact has been accepted by the presenter of the action.

Report No. 60, Calendar Action 310, page 458, and Report No. 70, Calendar 427 on page 535 of the *Daily Christian Advocate* were withdrawn without action being taken by the Committee on Con-

ferences. As a member of this committee, it is my contention that this action violated the rules of this Conference, particularly pertaining to Rule 35, page 34 as to procedure when there is a difference of opinion in a committee.

Report No. 60 was passed overwhelmingly by the Committee on Conferences by a 77 to 3 vote after lively debate.

Report No. 70 was passed by a vote of 42 to 9.

D. Trigg James, Sr.: I yield to Dr. John Wilkins. I think we are dealing only with Item 310, Report No. 60.

Motion to Reconsider Report No. 60—John R. Wilkins

John R. Wilkins (California-Nevada—W): Mr. Chairman and members of the General Conference, not only have I heard this statement from Dr. Reeves but there have been numerous complaints come to me from members of the committee that I represented last night here in making this report at the time I requested withdrawal.

I recognize the justification of Dr. Reeves's claim that this was improper handling of procedures and I am responsible for that. In the light of the numerous complaints at this point, I hereby move reconsideration of Calendar No. 310.

Point of Order—E. Wade Weldon

E. Wade Weldon (Louisville—SE): Bishop and members of the Conference, at the time that Dr. Wilkins presented this request, we were acting under the suspension of rules, as I recall it.

I also serve as a member of the Committee on Conferences, and I was under the impression last night when he withdrew these memorials that we were acting under the suspension of rules.

Bishop Short: I wasn't in the Chair last night. What does the Secretary say?

Dwight Loder (Rock River—NC): We have a proper motion to reconsider.

Bishop Short: This is properly before us. If you will reconsider, Calendar 310, lift the hand. If you are opposed, lift the hand. It is reconsidered. (DCA, page 710.)

Statement by Subcommittee Chairman—John R. Wilkins

John R. Wilkins: If I may make a statement: The vote reveals that responsible action was taken by the Committee on Conferences. It is in harmony with the Interjurisdictional Report. It clearly reveals that we want to implement in our Conferences that which we have stated in principle. It would be my hope that this might be acted upon without the debate.

Report No. 60, Committee on Conferences Adopted

Bishop Short: The report is before you. Are you ready? If you will approve the report, lift the hand. Opposed? It is approved. (DCA, page 710; see Appendix, page 1363.)

Statement Relative to Committee Report No. 70—D. Trigg James, Sr.

D. Trigg James, Sr.: Mr. Chairman, I must say this, that the other item referred to by Dr. Reeves as having been improperly withdrawn

was withdrawn under proper collaboration with members of the Committee and another Committee dealing with a similar matter.

This completes our report. Thank you and thank the Conference.

Bishop Short: We turn to the Committee on Judicial Administration. Judge Lyle Truax.

Report No. 9, Committee on Judicial Administration Adopted—Lyle Truax

Lyle H. Truax (Pacific Northwest—W): I want to refer you to page 520 of the *Journal*, Report No. 9, Calendar 334, we have in our Methodist Church a number of conferences which are very fine conferences, but once in a while they go below the required number of ministers, and this enabling act is to authorize these conferences to continue during the coming quadrennium.

I move you, Mr. Chairman, that you adopt Calendar No. 334, page 520.

Bishop Short: If you would approve the report, lift the hand. If you are opposed, lift the hand. It is approved. (DCA, page 711; see Appendix, page 1693.)

Report No. 10, Committee on Judicial Administration Adopted—Lyle Truax

Lyle Truax: Kindly turn the page of the *Journal* to page 540, Calendar 454. This is a memorial which deals with election to the Judicial Council, Report No. 10. As you recall, the present procedure is that there must be one member from each of the six Jurisdictions. We had a memorial requesting that this be extended to include one member from the overseas church, and inasmuch as in the near future we will be faced with five Jurisdictional probabilities, we move you that this permission be granted.

Question Raised by Chester A. Smith

Chester A. Smith: Mr. Chairman and members of the General Conference, I am rising to ask a question. On page 49 of the *Christian Advocate*, the middle of the column, I propose there, and ask permission to present to the General Conference an amendment to Paragraph 916, on page 263 of the *Discipline*, a question which I desire to present which relates to any member of The Methodist Church, whether any member of The Methodist Church, may appeal to the Judicial Council from the ruling of a district superintendent, and/or the bishop.

What I want to know, Mr. Chairman is this: when can I submit this matter in reference to this?

Bishop Short: Well, I suppose if you can get the floor after we complete the calendar, Brother Smith. This is simply on the composition of the Judicial Council, isn't that right?

Chester A. Smith: What I want to know, Mr. Chairman, is this: is it possible for me, in this General Conference, to present a question which concerns the Judicial Council, isn't that right?

Bishop Short: Well, I should say so, but I don't think it comes in at this point.

Chester A. Smith: That is all right, Bishop. You are a wiser man than I am, so I address myself and you to help me on this matter.

Bishop Short: I would like to do that. I want to help everybody. It is the understanding of the Chair, brethren and sisters—it is the understanding of the Chair, that at the beginning of the morning we adopted a motion that we would complete the calendar before we turned to matters that you may call matters of privilege where somebody wanted to introduce something that is not in the calendar, and I judge we will be coming to that by and by.

That is the best I know, Brother Smith.

Lyle Truax: When Brother Smith's memorial was in the committee, we voted nonconcurrence.

Bishop Short: It was before the committee and they voted nonconcurrence, Brother Smith.

Chester A. Smith: All right. It was not permitted for me to present this matter.

Bishop Short: Not at this point, I am afraid.

Chester A. Smith: Then I ask, Mr. Chairman, I ask unanimous consent to present this matter to the General Conference by the General Conference if they are willing to do so.

Bishop Short: They seem not to be willing to give unanimous consent. We continue with the report. Are you ready on it? If you will approve, lift the hand. Opposed? It is approved. (DCA, page 711; see Appendix, page 1693.)

Reports No. 11 and 12, Committee on Judicial Administration Adopted—Lyle Truax

Lyle Truax: Calendar No. 455 on the same page, and also Calendar 456 to be considered together. These are Reports No. 11 and 12.

Our committee received three memorials from the Hawaii Mission Conference. They requested three different possibilities, and we adopted two and nonconcurred on one. The two that we adopted are in the alternates. They are requesting to have the right to establish a provisional annual conference, provided they secure the proper authorization from other bodies, or, they are asking the right to continue to have a district status of their mission conference in Southern California-Arizona Annual Conference. This is more or less an alternate for them to choose from.

The committee's feeling is that they should have this right of choice.

Bishop Short: You want them considered together?

Lyle Truax: Yes.

Bishop Short: Are you ready? If you will approve Report No. 11 and Report No. 12 as a unit, lift the hand. Opposed? They are approved. (DCA, page 712; see Appendix, page 1694.)

Report No. 4, Committee on Judicial Administration Presented—Lyle Truax

Lyle Truax: If you will kindly turn to page 316, Calendar 80, Report No. 4. At the early part of this Conference, the committee considered Calendar 80 and passed it, and last Tuesday night, this Conference approved Paragraph 105 of the *Discipline* which was on "Open Door to The Methodist Church."

Since then, we approved this same law three or four times in other ways.

Calendar 80 would add to Paragraph 921 of our *Discipline* under the trial procedure an additional sentence, "Refusing admission to any service of worship by reason of race, color, or national origin, or aiding in such refusal."

If you will notice, in our *Journal* here, it shows Paragraph 921 and states, "A bishop or traveling preacher or local preacher shall be liable to accusation and trial for any of the following charges," and then follows a number of charges.

One of these I want you to pay particular attention to: B, disobedience to the order and *Discipline* of the church.

After this Conference passed paragraph 105, the committee considered this matter again and feels certain that by passing 105, you enacted substantive law which, in effect, places any act of refusing admission to a church on the ground of race under this paragraph, and the committee met on last Thursday evening and discussed this matter and for the reason that is already covered in the action of this Conference, they authorized me to request a withdrawal of it, and in addition to that, the reasoning was that there are some people who feel that this particular paragraph is of a punitive measure and we ask its withdrawal.

Bishop Short: This is on the request of the committee for withdrawal. Is that right?

Inquiry—Lester L. Moore

Lester L. Moore (South Iowa—NC): Mr. Chairman, I would like to ask a question relating to this. Would it be possible to ask the Judicial Council to rule as to the substance of what Judge Truax said concerning subsection B of Paragraph 921?

Lyle Truax: If I recall the rules of this church, by one-third vote, a matter can be referred by this body to the Judicial Council.

Lester Moore: Can this be referred to the Judicial Council to discover whether in substance this covers what is proposed in this memorial?

Lyle Truax: I see no reason why it could not be.

Bishop Short: Is that a motion?

Motion to Refer to Judicial Council—Lester Moore

Lester Moore: I move that this be referred to the Judicial Council.

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Short: Let the Chair be sure. You move that the report be referred to the Judicial Council?

Lester Moore: My motion is whether or not subsection B carries in substance the proposed subsection H.

Bishop Short: All right, that is the motion.

Inquiry—Floyd Coffman

Floyd Coffman (Kansas—SC): Does not subsection B, Paragraph 921, which is recited in the matter before us—are you not construing that along with the action in Calendar No. 133, page 352, Report No. 1 of Membership and Evangelism wherein Paragraph 5 was written in the *Discipline* by previous action?

Bishop Short: That is correct.

Floyd Coffman: Which does prescribe the policy of open worship and open church?

Lyle Truax: Yes, that is correct.

Inquiry—Wilson O. Weldon

Wilson O. Weldon (Western North Carolina—SE): Isn't the material which is found under H, refusing admission to any service of worship by reason of race, color or national origin, or aiding in such refusal—wouldn't this be covered in maladministration in office in the church, in light of the decisions which have already been made by this General Conference?

Answer—Lyle Truax

Lyle Truax: There is a possibility. I think this is covered in subsection B, which is disobedience to the order and discipline of the church and I think the sections we passed, Paragraph 105, is certainly an order of this church.

Motion to Lay Report No. 4 on Table—Leonard Slutz

Leonard Slutz (Ohio—NC): I believe we have before us a motion to withdraw and we have a motion to refer. I am moving a motion of higher order of precedence; I move to lay on the table the entire report that is now before us.

Bishop Short: All right, that is not debatable. If you will support the motion to table, lift the hand. If you are opposed, lift the hand. It is tabled. (DCA, page 712.)

Lyle Truax: Mr. Chairman, this completes the reports. I would like to have our fine Secretary, who served so well for us, to say just a few words; would you give me that special privilege, please?

Mrs. Beryl E. W. Williams (Washington—C): Mr. Chairman and members of the Conference, I was prepared to support withdrawal and I hope no one will call a point of order on me, but I would like to make one statement.

Recognizing the need for law and listening to the wisdom of lawyers in six Jurisdictions and overseas, I felt we were never quite satisfied that those who work in and with members of our church could willfully break violence of our Christian and Methodist doctrine.

In Paragraph 921, this is set out: "That sins against one's brother and sister by denying the right to enter and worship could cause the believer in Christ enough mental and spiritual anguish to destroy himself."

I believe I am expressing the deep hope of many that we of The Methodist Church, during the next quadrennium or ever afterward, should never even feel that we might have to resort to this punitive measure within our church structure.

God help our Church and our respective nations.

Request to Include Report No. 5 in Motion to Table—Lyle Truax

Lyle Truax: To correct the records, we had on page 519, Calendar 330, referring to this same action, I would like the motion to table

to include Report No. 5, Calendar 330 by consent.

Bishop Short: Without objection, it will take that course. (DCA, page 712.)

The Committee on Missions. I understand Mrs. Harold Baker will present this report.

Report No. 21, Committee on Missions Adopted—Mrs. Harold M. Baker

Mrs. Harold M. Baker (Ohio—NC): Mr. Chairman and members of the Conference, this is rather sudden and I hope I have things well in hand, but you are all of the delegates and friends.

If you will turn in your *Daily Christian Advocate* to page 440, Calendar Item 240, Report No. 21, you will note here that we are suggesting that we do make a change and that you substitute for the last sentence in Paragraph 723.1, line 7, the last words, "sketches two plans" and substitute "before finally approving the architectural plans, the Board shall ascertain whether the preliminary plans have been reviewed as provided in Paragraph 63.4."

We move concurrence.

Bishop Short: Are you ready? If you will approve, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 713; see Appendix, page 1615.)

Report No. 22, Committee on Missions Adopted—Mrs. Harold M. Baker

Mrs. Harold M. Baker: Report 22; we move concurrence. Delete "in the United States" because they were requesting the list of deaconesses and missionaries all over the world, rather than just the United States. The committee votes concurrence.

Bishop Short: If you will approve, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 713; see Appendix, page 1615.)

Report No. 23, Committee on Missions Adopted—Mrs. Harold M. Baker

Mrs. Harold M. Baker: Calendar Item 1, I mean Report No. 1, Board of Missions; when we accepted that report it meant that we must make some legal adjustments. Same page, Calendar Item 242, Report No. 23. In making it legally correct and adequate, it is necessary to add, directly under—I think it is Paragraph 4 in the Report No. 1, Board of Missions, this statement: "The World Division, the National Division, and the Woman's Division shall be the corporate successors respectively to the Division of World Missions, the Division of National Missions, and the Woman's Division of Christian Service." The committee recommends concurrence.

Bishop Short: Are you ready? If you will approve this, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 713; see Appendix, page 1616.)

Report No. 24, Committee on Missions Presented—Mrs. Harold M. Baker

Mrs. Harold M. Baker: Calendar Item 243, page 440, Report No. 24. This is in relation to a subject of Appalachian Development Commission. We voted against the adoption of this, but I would like to ask permission or privilege to have Dr. Edward Tullis speak to this.

Statement Concerning Appalachian Development—Edward L. Tullis

Edward L. Tullis (Kentucky—SE): Mr. Chairman, it is impossible for us in the closing moments of this Conference to deal adequately with a matter of such great importance to Methodism.

Just a very brief statement. We are one of the major denominations facing responsibility for more than eight million people in the Appalachian area of our country.

This memorial in its original form came as a result of a very careful study from many agencies and many areas of the church. It was requested that we set up an Appalachian Development General Conference Commission because this problem transcended the boundaries of any Annual Conference or any Jurisdictional Conference, and certainly migration from the Appalachian area affects many of the great urban areas of America.

The Committee on Missions, however, felt this was not essential and they have referred it to the Division of National Missions. The Division of National Missions is already working in this field in a very fine way. We have conferred with them. We have tremendous respect for their leadership and we have been assured that the division will give early consideration to a very active program in this area.

We recognize, Mr. Chairman, that we have tremendous responsibilities here. We are glad it has been referred to the Division of National Missions, and those of us who presented the memorial are glad to join in the motion for referral.

Report No. 24 Adopted

Bishop Short: All right, if you will refer Report No. 24 to the National Division, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 713; see Appendix, page 1616.)

Report No. 26, Committee on Missions Presented—Mrs. Harold M. Baker

Mrs. Harold M. Baker: Calendar Item 245, Report 26. This relates to the Council of Secretaries. You will note there the membership of the Council. We would like to call attention to the fact that we would like to delete one from the Board of Lay Activities, top of page 441, first column, because of action which has been taken prior to this time here at General Conference. We move concurrence.

Correction in Report Noted—Robert Mayfield

Robert Mayfield (Missouri West—SC): There is to be retained one representative from the General Board of Lay Activities, but not the additional representative because we voted that there would be a section last night in our report instead of division.

Mrs. Harold M. Baker: I note, too, according to the action of this General Conference, we have added, too, the secretary of the Committee on Ecumenical Affairs to this committee.

With those corrections, we vote concurrence.

Report No. 26, As Corrected, Adopted

Bishop Short: Are you ready? If you will approve, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 713; see Appendix, page 1617.)

Report No. 31, Committee on Missions Presented—Mrs. Harold M. Baker

Mrs. Harold M. Baker: Turn to page 520 of the *Daily Christian Advocate*, Report No. 31, Calendar Item 335. This is a rather lengthy statement in here, but we have added six persons as requested by the Committee on Overseas Relief to this particular item, and with these additions, we are concurring with this action.

Amendment Proposed—William R. Guffick

William R. Guffick (New Jersey—NE): Mr. Chairman, I am in favor of adoption but I would like to make an amendment and add at the end of the last sentence, Paragraphs 1314 and 1315 shall be retained.

If I can have a second, I would like to speak a moment.

The motion was seconded.

William R. Guffick: The Methodist Committee for Overseas Relief survives from General Conference to General Conference by the vote of the General Conference and the grace of God. We are sure of the grace of God, but we would like to be sure of the General Conference, and, therefore, we would like to include all the legislation with regard to MCOR in the next *Discipline*.

Bishop Short: All right, the motion is to amend. Do you have something to say?

Mrs. Harold M. Baker: No. I really don't. I am sorry that I did not bring my *Discipline*. Mr. Wilkes, the chairman, has just given them to me and having been lost at this point, I think we will have to stand by the Conference.

Amendment Adopted

Bishop Short: All right, the amendment is before you. Are you ready? If you will approve the amendment, lift the hand. If you are opposed, lift the hand. The Chair thinks it is approved, unless there is a call for division, it is approved. (DCA, page 713.) Not many of you voted on that.

Statement of Praise for Methodist Committee on Overseas Relief—Josef Szczepkowski

Josef Szczepkowski (Poland—OS): I want to stand before the General Conference as a living example of what MCOR has done for Poland from the very beginning of its activity. No country suffered so much as Poland. When I first came to America at Columbus, Ohio, about 15 years ago, coming right out of Warsaw, every article of clothing that I had upon me, every article of clothing that my wife and my children had belonged to some one of you. The suit that I have on me at this moment was given to me 16 years ago by Dr. Warfield through MCOR.

My wife in the most sympathetic way preserved this suit of clothes and said, "You must wear it on gala occasions." I have worn it and come to General Conferences as a living expression of what you have done through the MCOR.

What I say for myself, I could say for the dozens of pastors and workers in the Polish Methodist Church today. What we have in a

large measure, we have received through MCOR. We say, "God bless you. Inasmuch as you have done it unto Him."

I would ask and urge and pray that you help MCOR to continue in the wonderful work which it has been doing.

Report No. 31, Adopted As Amended

Bishop Short: The motion is on Report 31. If you will approve the report, lift the hand. Opposed? It is approved. (DCA, page 714; see Appendix, page 1620.)

Report No. 29, Committee on Missions Adopted—Mrs. Harold M. Baker

Mrs. Harold M. Baker: I have one more. I am sorry to go back to Calendar Item 248, Report No. 29. This is a statement concerning the Methodism and Town and Country Life, and we would move concurrence in this report.

Bishop Short: If you will approve, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 714; see Appendix, page 1618.)

Mrs. Harold M. Baker: This completes the report of the Committee on Missions and I would like to express appreciation for the chairman, Jack Wilkes.

Agenda Committee Reports

Bishop Short: Now a privilege for the house. We are near the time for adjournment. Perhaps you would like for Dr. Young of the Agenda Committee to tell you where we stand. We are getting along pretty well in the judgment of the Chair.

Will you tell them where we are so far, Brother Young?

Is this—if this is a privilege matter, we are putting aside the privileges until we finish the agenda.

Motion to Extend Time—Gordon Thompson

Gordon Thompson (North Georgia—SE): I wanted to move that we extend the time for one hour and finish the business while we are in session.

Bishop Short: That motion is in order. Would you, before I put the motion, like to hear Dr. Young?

J. Otis Young (Ohio—NC): Mr. Chairman and members of the Conference, I have checked with all committees yet to report and have checked with all items on the agenda. The best bookkeeping I can estimate, it will take two hours more for us to finish.

Bishop Short: Well, the motion is to continue in session for another hour. That is the present motion, isn't it?

Gordon Thompson: Amend it to two.

Bishop Short: All right. Let's hear the maker of the motion. It is his motion I want to put, not mine.

Gordon Thompson: If I may speak to the motion?

Bishop Short: Go ahead.

Gordon Thompson: I am aware that many people here expected to finish at noon. They have their schedule set up to leave. We will be

able to hold ourselves in session in a greater number, possibly, if we can continue for one hour and do our best to finish in an hour. Maybe with the cooperation of everybody, we can.

Bishop Short: All right, that is the motion. If you will support—yes, Brother Weldon. The motion is to continue in session for an hour, then you can decide what you will do after that.

Motion Made—Accepted As Substitute—Wilson O. Weldon

Wilson O. Weldon: I would like to move that we remain in session until we have concluded the business of this General Conference.

Bishop Short: Well, we have one motion, unless you make this as a substitute—is it a substitute?

Gordon Thompson: Bishop, I accept.

Bishop Short: It is accepted. We are together. The motion is that we continue in session until the business is completed. Are you ready?

He is in a good humor about it. He is smiling. If you would approve the motion, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done, and we ride it out. (DCA, page 714.)

All right, the Committee on Ministry is next. We have the report of the Committee on Ministry and the balance of the report on Christian Social Concerns and other matters, not by way of Legislative Committee.

Committee on Ministry Continues Report No. 24, Adopted —William R. Cannon

William R. Cannon (North Georgia—SE): Mr. Chairman and members of the General Conference, page 519 in the *Advocate*, Report No. 24, Calendar No. 328.

A man on trial in a special appointment shall relate himself to the district superintendent where he works, and the district superintendent shall report on him to his own Board of Ministerial Training. It is concurrent and we move adoption.

Bishop Short: If you will approve, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 714; see Appendix, page 1543.)

Report No. 10, Committee on Ministry, Adopted—William R. Cannon

William R. Cannon: The next is page 537, and that is Calendar Item 442 and it is Report No. 10, and that has to do with the adding a question for the Board of Ministerial Training to ask of those seeking admission on trial.

"Are you willing to minister to all persons without regard to race, color, or national origin and are you willing to receive them into the church?" There are really two distinct questions here, though they are grouped as one. The first question, asking the candidate seeking admission on trial into an Annual Conference to affirm his willingness to relate himself positively, constructively and more especially redemptively to all persons regardless of race, color, or national origin is a question, the affirmative answer to which is implicit in the ministry; for the minister is defined by Jesus Christ as the servant of all.

The second question, asking him to assert his willingness to admit such into the church membership really anticipates the successful

fulfillment of steps three and four of the Inter-jurisdictional Plan which we as a Conference adopted.

Perhaps we had not yet reached steps 1 and 2 over the church at large. There will be, no doubt, difficulty in implementing this immediately in some local churches and some ministers will be assigned to these churches after they have given their affirmation to the question.

While I was in the Chair and did not participate in the discussion, I am sure that the Committee offers this in the spirit of persuasion—

Point of Order—Dean Richardson

Dean E. Richardson (Genesee—NE): We can read. I think the Conference is under the pressure of time, we ought to be able to act on this resolution.

William R. Cannon: I am about through, and it is positive action. It is in the spirit of persuasion and brotherly love that we offer this and not in an effort to coerce any congregation against its will as it reaches its will under the spirit of God. I feel that was the spirit of the committee when it adopted this and speaking for the Committee, I move now adoption.

Bishop Short: Are you ready? If you will approve the report, lift the hand. If you are opposed, lift the hand. It is approved. (DCA, page 714; see Appendix, page 1535.)

Report No. 26, Committee on Ministry, Adopted—William R. Cannon

William R. Cannon: The next is page 538, Calendar Item 443, Report 26. The district superintendent shall consult with the pastor —with his pastors—about their specific appointments and that is recommended by the Committee for adoption, concurring.

Bishop Short: All right, are you ready? If you will approve, lift the hand. Opposed? It is approved. (DCA, page 715; see Appendix, page 1544.)

Report No. 27, Committee on Ministry, Adopted—William R. Cannon

William R. Cannon: Calendar Item 44, same page, Report No. 27.

Where a local church is not available, a director of the Wesley Foundation can take a person into church membership. He is listed with others who have this privilege, and the committee recommends concurrence. I move adoption.

Bishop Short: If you will approve it, lift the hand. Opposed? It is approved. (DCA, page 715; see Appendix, page 1545.)

Report No. 28, Committee on Ministry, Adopted—William R. Cannon

William R. Cannon: The next is page 538, Calendar No. 445, Report 28.

Council of Bishops, in cooperation with the Department of Ministerial Education, may plan regional seminars for new district superintendents. This is not mandatory, it is permissive. The Committee recommends concurrence. I move adoption.

Bishop Short: If you approve, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 715; see Appendix, page 1546.)

Report No. 32, Committee on Ministry, Adopted—William R. Cannon

William R. Cannon: Now, Calendar Item—page 541, Calendar Item 463, Report 32, I think has already been adopted, so just tie it on to what has been adopted.

Now, one other, I know you are impatient with me but I don't know how to do this any other way. There is one item, Paragraph 379 which has been called to my attention is not in this. It must be a disciplinary matter. It has been brought to my attention by Dr. Riddick of Pensions, and I just ask the Conference to give its consent to refer whatever needs to be referred on this. It is a financial matter. We refer it to the Standing Board of Pensions. Dr. Calkins can handle it. It is nothing of any moment for us.

Bishop Short: Without objection, it will take that course. (DCA, page 715.)

Appreciation Expressed—William R. Cannon

William R. Cannon: Let me thank you for your indulgence and let me express great appreciation to the members of our committee. We had several laymen on it and one layman especially, John Rixse of Virginia enabled us to get the money for this study of the Ministry in the next four years.

What is it, Doctor White? It is the same thing, continuing education for the ministry, No. 29, Calendar Item 460. That has been handled under another calendar item.

There is one other thing. There is a group, my secretary tells me, of memorials that have come in and relate to other memorials we have acted on, we ask permission to join them to those actions without presentation here.

Committee on Christian Social Concerns Continues

Bishop Short: One other Legislative Committee to complete and that is the one with which we began this morning.

Dr. Bosley, if you will come to the platform, we will turn now to the completion of the report of the work of the Christian Social Concerns Committee.

Report No. 37, Committee on Christian Social Concerns, Adopted—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley: We will turn in our *Journal* to page 551, Docket No. 496, Report No. 37, we have here a proposed change in the *Discipline* which will be put in at the appropriate place in order to suggest that new church buildings will be made more easily available and usable by people who have physical disabilities.

We recommend this for your adoption.

Bishop Short: If you will approve it, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 715; see Appendix, page 1324.)

Report No. 38, Committee on Christian Social Concerns, Adopted—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley: Report immediately below it, Docket 497, Report 38, was adopted by nonconcurrence and by the vote listed there, it is a resolution on poverty and unemployment and closes with commend-

ing to the churches the action programs of the Board of Christian Social Concerns in this area. We recommend adoption.

Bishop Short: If you will approve, lift the hand. Opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 715; see Appendix, page 1324.)

Report No. 41, Committee on Christian Social Concerns, Adopted—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley: The next one is Docket No. 500, Report 41, regarding the Appointment of Ministers.

This is an addition to Section 9 in the Paragraph 432 in the *Discipline*, the bishops bearing in mind the stated goals of an inclusive church shall seek the cooperation of cabinets and congregation in the appointment of pastors without regard to race or color. We recommend adoption.

Bishop Short: If you will approve it, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 715; see Appendix, page 1326.)

Report No. 42, Committee on Christian Social Concerns, Amended—Adopted—Harold Bosley

Harold A. Bosley: Docket 501, Report No. 42, Support of Civil Rights. Before I present this to you, I should like to have the privilege of withdrawing the last paragraph of this from consideration. It is not a part of the motion to adopt which I now make to you. Do I need to have the permission of the house to withdraw that, sir?

Bishop Short: We will, without objection. I hear none. It is withdrawn.

Harold A. Bosley: Then I would like to move that the remainder of this be adopted.

Bishop Short: If you will approve, lift the hand. Opposed? It is approved. (DCA, page 715; see Appendix, page 1326.)

Report No. 45, Committee on Christian Social Concerns, Adopted—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley: The next page 552, Calendar 504, Report 45, Subject of Anti-Semitism in the Soviet Union. This is a resolution and it has significance in that it calls attention to what is reported to be the unjust treatment of Jews in the Soviet Union.

We commend the United Nations for its effort to ascertain the facts. We expect the government of the Soviet Union to take steps to insure equal treatment in this matter.

Bishop Short: If you will approve, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 715; see Appendix, page 1327.)

Report No. 46, Committee on Christian Social Concerns, Presented—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley: When we come to Calendar No. 505, Report No. 46, we face a matter which requires some explanation and additional handling. We have here a resolution not for printing in the *Discipline* but for other uses as may be appropriate on the situation in South Africa. The first paragraph I will read because in regard to this, there is a matter of peculiar concern to some of the members of our General Conference.

"The tremendous surge toward self-government in Africa and Asia in recent year constitutes a development in harmony with the Charter of the United Nations with Christian ideals. It is with deep distress that we note the intransigence of Portugal in regards to Angola and Mozambique and of the Republic of South Africa in regards to South West Africa."

I would like to speak to this but before I do that, I put the motion for adoption.

Amendment Proposed—Samuel Tsopotsa

Samuel Tsopotsa (Rhodesia—OS): I would like to propose an amendment of this report, if I may. Amendment 552 middle column which we quote, "We extend our recognition to The Methodist Church in the Republic of South Africa for" and insert "and"—as its president.

Harold A. Bosley: Inserting the name, is that it?

Samuel Tsopotsa: Yes.

Amendment Accepted—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley: We accept that.

Second Amendment Proposed—Samuel Tsopotsa

Samuel Tsopotsa: The second amendment is in the second paragraph. Now after Rhodesia, if you cross out "and" the paragraph would then read "the practice of apartheid and operations in many areas of the world."

Harold A. Bosley: I didn't understand that. Would you read it again, sir?

Samuel Tsopotsa: Eliminate the word "and"—denial of rights of the people in Southern Rhodesia.

Mr. Chairman, I will leave that for the time.

The next amendment I want to propose is down below at the last paragraph which reads "Southern Rhodesia until there is a fully represented government." I want to include the word "fully."

Then in the last paragraph it will read "We urge the United Kingdom Government to convene a constitutional conference on Southern Rhodesia in which all sections of Rhodesians are adequately represented to provide a majority rule in Southern Rhodesia as soon as possible."

If this is seconded, I would like to explain a little bit what is implied.

Bishop Short: All right, it is seconded.

Samuel Tsopotsa: The first amendment makes it a little more personal than general, and in the second amendment there is not a fully representative government in Southern Rhodesia, there is a type of representative government, but not fully representative. This would make it clearer.

The last one, what is actually happening in the country of Southern Rhodesia is that there is a lot of pressure between the different sects of people there, and if Britain called a conference, this can be easily settled without a great deal of loss of life.

You will remember in December of 1963 and in the early months of this year, many people were killed in violence. This would help. This is a step, too, taken by many countries, so the situation in Southern Rhodesia could be resolved peaceably.

All Three Amendments Accepted—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley: Mr. Chairman, we view these as strengthening the resolution and we accept them.

Report No. 46 As Amended—Adopted

Bishop Short: All right. They are accepted. If you will approve the report, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 716; see Appendix, page 1328.)

Personal Privilege Presentations Requested

Harold A. Bosley: Mr. Chairman, may I ask for a presentation of two members of our General Conference who have a very important point of personal privilege that they would like to present?

Request of Delegate From Southeast Africa—Bento Naves

Bento Naves (Southeast Africa—OS): Mr. Chairman, for the good of our church in Southeast Africa, and for the good of my fellow delegates and myself, we kindly ask that it be recorded in the *Daily Christian Advocate* that we do not associate ourselves with the resolution in Calendar 505, Report No. 46, on page 552.

Bishop Short: All right, thank you. That will go into the record. (DCA, page 716.)

Report No. 47, Committee on Christian Social Concerns, Adopted—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley: No. 506, Report 47, page 552, we have here a statement of support of a strong civil rights bill in the Session of Congress. This was adopted, as you will note by our Committee by a report—by an overwhelming majority.

I therefore bring it to you with a motion to adopt.

Bishop Short: If you will approve, lift the hand. Opposed? It is approved. (DCA, page 716; see Appendix, page 1329.)

Motion to Send Copies of Resolution to U. S. Senators—Charles S. Scott

Charles S. Scott (Central West—C): I offer a motion that we authorize the Secretary of this Conference to forward a copy of this resolution to each member of the United States Senate with the request to spread the same upon the record of the United States Senate.

The motion was seconded.

Statement Opposing Motion

Delegate: Ladies and gentlemen of the Conference, I think we ought not to do this. Our entire operation is open to the press. We have picked up two things and sent to our Congress, both of which envelope this thing that we have just spent hours and hours and put in every place.

When we adopted a program of carrying out the amendment No.

9, and we adopted the report of the Commission of Thirty-six, I cannot see why we keep rubbing salt in the wounds, if you want to call it that.

We want to go home and try to put this program across. For goodness sake, and for the sake of God and the church, let's not keep doing this.

Motion to Adjourn—Robert Cushman

Robert E. Cushman (North Carolina—SE): Sir, I move that we adjourn and continue following lunch.

Bishop Short: That is hardly a point of order. There is a motion before us to send this communication. That is all it is.

Matter of Personal Privilege—Harry Gibson

Harry Gibson (Lexington—C): I rise to a matter of personal privilege. I think that the previous speaker and I are not too far apart really, and basically, I think this word of explanation is needed.

We are referring to two different things when we refer to the time we spent last week and this week on Amendment 9, and then the matters which concern us in Calendar 506.

Yes, let us address ourselves in a real way to the basic issues which are raised in Calendar 506. The matters of structural change are more superficial than these. We would not, for example, have needed to spend so much time in discussing agonizingly the questions of adjusting Pensions and Salaries if we would address ourselves more effectively to the basic issues of employment and the inequities in our social system, so that the things called for here do not refer to any particular part of the country, sir.

Now I come from Chicago, Illinois, and this can help us there.

Motion to Send Resolution to U. S. Senators Approved

Bishop Short: All right, we are going to put the motion, friend. You want to get the Conference adjourned. You have had one speech on each side.

If you favor the motion which was made by the brother over here, lift the hand. If you are opposed, lift the hand. The Chair thinks it is carried. It is carried. (DCA, page 717.)

Report No. 48, Committee on Christian Social Concerns, Presented—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley: Calendar 507, Report No. 48. This is a resolution growing out of a memorial that came from a conference and from the discussions of our committee, and comes to us with a vote of 59 for it and 7 against it.

The General Conference adopted the following resolution. There is one correction midway through it, I will read it and indicate the correction when I come to it.

"We call upon every bishop and the episcopal body as a whole to use their full powers to enforce the disciplinary provisions of the right of worship and membership in any Methodist Church for any person irrespective of race and color and give moral and spiritual and financial assistance to all engaged in protecting these rights within our church.

"We urge pastors to exercise their right and responsibility to accept any person regardless of race, color, or anyone who presents himself for membership in a Methodist Church and who can answer in the affirmative the questions"—and here is the change—we cross out "put to him" and insert "questions listed in the *Discipline*, paragraph 1914."

"We remind the official board"—continuing in this—"we remind the official board of the local churches that any action on its part that closes the service of worship to any person is in direct violation of the spirit as well as the letter of Paragraph 215.6 of the *Discipline* which calls upon it to discharge faithfully any and all duties and responsibilities committed to it by the quarterly conference or by the law of the church, provided that all such activities shall be in harmony with the provisions of the *Discipline*. We remind the congregations that the laity along with the clergy is responsible for what happens to the Body of Christ, that the ministry of the laity demands engagement in the problems of the world and the congregation alone can make real for any member the fellowship of the saints."

We move adoption of this resolution.

Statement Opposing Report—Kenneth Goodson

Kenneth Goodson (Western North Carolina—SE): I would like to move this report be tabled. If I have a second, I would like to speak—

Bishop Short: Well, no, you don't—I can put your motion and see where you come out.

Kenneth Goodson: I will withdraw the motion.

Bishop Short: You want to speak against the report?

Kenneth Goodson: Yes.

Bishop Short: All right.

Kenneth Goodson: Mr. Chairman and members of the Conference, it is most regrettable that this matter comes again to our attention at this hour in the last day of this General Conference. Dr. Morgan Edwards spoke rather eloquently yesterday afternoon when on a kindred subject he said, "It is a problem of pride which lies deep within the human heart."

I rise to say, "So it is now with me."

I come from that section of the church toward which this report is primarily directed. This General Conference has spoken on race. Its voice is unmistakably clear. I beg you: Do not now weaken it with repeated repetition. Much has been said in this General Conference about dialogue and communication. I submit to you quite sincerely that some of the most significant dialogue and negotiation going on at this moment is going on in the Southland.

This report will do great damage to that dialogue. Let me tell you of a few things to prove my point. In my own city—and it is not alone—our ministers' associations are now totally integrated fellowships, with good communication.

My last act before leaving home for this General Conference was to dedicate for our city a new 15 million hospital totally integrated.

We have just entertained in our church the Methodist Student Movement for the State of North Carolina with some 500 young people coming as our guests and we did it on an integrated basis.

I ask you in all honesty: Could this have been done in all of your places, even some out of the Southeastern Jurisdiction?

In my own church on any given Sunday, there are more Negro worshipers than I saw in either of the churches in which I worshiped

in this lovely and hospitable city on the two Sundays of the General Conference.

I can admit this is not going on in all the cities of our jurisdiction, but I wonder if it is going on in all the cities of yours?

This report will do considerable damage to the prospects we've come for and for which we pray. Many of us came to Pittsburgh in the sincere hope that something constructive could be said and done here, and it has, but enough has been said and enough has been done and any more will seriously jeopardize all but our personal satisfactions in saying that the Annual Conferences of the Central Jurisdiction ought to become a meaningful part of the Southeastern Jurisdiction for which many of us are willing and are now ready to move out. This kind of thing will only serve to antagonize and to delay.

Does anyone seriously believe that this additional word on a subject already adequately addressed will make us of the south more eager to move forward? I submit to you it will build against it.

Attitudes and actions here, I am sorry to say, have not made our task easier. Do not do damage, my dear friends, to that good will that will finally result in constructive action. If you do, we shall have to wait long and we have waited long enough for that good will which alone can solve our difficulties.

Significant dialogue this General Conference wants. So do I. We have it in increasing measure but I cannot believe now that this General Conference near its hour of adjournment, having said so much about good will and good faith, would now like to pass this report and do damage to that dialogue out of which good will and good faith is coming.

Motion to Lay Report on Table—John R. Van Sickle

John R. Van Sickle: I move that we lay this on the table.

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Short: All right, the motion is to table. If you will support the motion to table, lift the hand. If you are opposed, lift the hand. It is tabled. (DCA, page 717.)

Report No. 49, Committee on Christian Social Concerns, Adopted—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley: Calendar Number 508, Item 49, a peace crusade resolution calling upon the bishops to initiate a peace crusade outlining and suggesting the steps that we think might be useful in this area and toward this end.

It is done with the full realization that the implementation of this will rest with the episcopal body who alone can do it, but we feel it ought to be done. Therefore, it comes to you for your consideration and I move its adoption.

Bishop Short: If you will so order, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 717; see Appendix, page 1330.)

Report No. 50, Committee on Christian Social Concerns, Withdrawn—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley: Number 509, Report No. 50, has been taken care of by the action of the Conference yesterday and we ask permission to withdraw it.

Bishop Short: All right, and the next. (DCA, page 718.)

Report No. 53, Committee on Christian Social Concerns, Adopted—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley: We turn to page 617, now. You will recall that the first of the docket numbers 522, has already been taken care of by an association with an earlier action taken today.

Calendar Number 523, Report Number 53 on Sunday Observance is a resolution regarding the observance of the Sabbath. We recommend its adoption.

Bishop Short: If you will approve, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 718; see Appendix, page 1333.)

Statement of Appreciation—Harold A. Bosley

Harold A. Bosley: I think you will be interested to know that that finishes our report.

May I have an indulgence of the house for just a moment in this regard? We have been through troubled seas over these weeks. We have not yet reached quiet waters. We can never reach quiet waters in a deliberative body like this because we must go home, and as we go home, if we go home with the sense of unity and community, in terms of the direction we are taking, with a growing faith in each other being nurtured by daily prayer and action, by the grace of God, that which we dream of and that which we seek, an inclusive church, will be achieved if not in our lifetime, in that of our children.

Request for Statement From Agenda Committee

Bishop Short: Thank you, Dr. Bosley and we thank the committee.

Just a moment—well, I would like to recognize everybody, but people who are trying to guide us into the harbor are the Agenda Committee.

I have many notes up here asking for the privilege of speaking personally. We want to honor those if we can. The Agenda Committee must report to you on where we stand.

You have completed the calendar of the legislative reports, but there are one or two items of very far reaching significance that must be looked at.

Wouldn't you like to hear from the Agenda Committee to know where we are?

We are moving along. I can say that. How long it takes from here on is largely with us.

Brother Young, you tell them how much progress we have made.

J. Otis Young: Brother chairman and members of the Conference, you are nearer adjournment than you think. Let me tell you the items that are before you and I believe with the exception of one, there will be no real discussion from the floor of the Conference.

You will list them first as the Structure of Methodism Overseas. That will be the next item on the agenda today. That will be followed by a brief statement from the Council on World Service and Finance, and I have a brief statement to be read from Bishop Frank who is not in the Arena at this time, and then a statement from the Entertainment Commission which is highly important relative to the special session of the General Conference.

We go from there to the matter of courtesies and the closing statement.

Next item, Bishop Short, would be hearing Bishop W. Angie Smith.

Report No. 1, Committee on Structure of Methodism Overseas, Adopted—Bishop Angie Smith

Bishop Angie Smith: Mr. Chairman and brethren, I am well aware of the time and your patience. We will hurry this, but may I state that there is no more important part of our church than our overseas relations, and this is a matter on which definite action must be taken or no bishop could be elected, some of the Conferences could not be continued, or even Central Conferences, so I submit to you, sir, the first report which is on page 408—I cannot make a motion when we come to it. If someone will—408—the first part is a report on a study which you requested or demanded four years ago on the quadrennial study paper. It is before you. If you want me to read it, I will do so. I am sure you do not. There are recommendations under that for actions which are to be taken only upon the invitation of the College of Bishops of an Overseas Central Conference, and then the last under that is for a continuing quadrennial study at the last of three on page 409 in the second column, there is to be added these words: "provided that the commission shall have a meeting either immediately before or after the special session of the General Conference of 1966 and immediately before the General Conference of 1968 at which time all overseas delegates shall be invited to attend."

That is because some overseas groups felt that they should have a much larger representation and, of course, finances would not make it possible and they could not come during the time, but this is making it possible. We have made the arrangements with the World Service Commission which you have already passed.

The matter is before you unless you want to discuss or read it, that is the first report.

Bishop Short: Report No. 1. Are you ready? If you will approve, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 718; see Appendix, page 1876.)

Report No. 2, Committee on Structure of Methodism Overseas, Presented—Bishop Angie Smith

Bishop Angie Smith: The second report is on page 465 and Report No. 2 on the Commission on Structure of Methodism Overseas, largely legislative changes in Chapter 5, Part 4. You have read it, and with the exception of coming to No. 2 in the third column of 465 which has reference to the transfer of a number of the Methodist ministry to an affiliated autonomous Methodist Church, that is something that is new and also the number of delegates, and then on page 466, down in Paragraph 606, there should be added, "when the requirements of a nonaffiliated autonomous Methodist Church"—those words should be added there, and that is not the same as the other because this has reference to the British Methodists because they have some of the very finest missionaries we have working in some of our Central Conferences, and this makes it a provision for them to be brought into our work and our workers into theirs.

Then we have a paragraph there regarding the procedure if a country or a Central Conference or any other section of our church desires autonomy, we have spelled out the requirements which would be necessary there for them to meet under the Advisory Committee which we have.

Questions Asked About Report—Samuel Tsopotsa

Samuel Tsopotsa: Mr. Chairman and General Conference, I have two questions to ask about some of the proposals made in the report.

I suppose it is because of the abnormal situation obtaining in Southern Africa that two bishops are going to be added. May I know what will happen when conditions return to normal?

The second question is: I think a number of things have been proposed which will eventually lead the overseas church to complete autonomy and indigenous, but on the other hand, there is nothing which has been said about transfer of property.

We are not mainly concerned with the present ownership of land, but in an area where there is conflict, is the area of housing still controlled by bodies Overseas?

Report No. 2 Adopted

Bishop Short: The Chair is informed that the answers to the questions which the brother has just asked are in the report which follows this one.

Are you ready on No. 2? If so, will you lift the hand? Opposed? It is adopted. (DCA, page 718; see Appendix, page 1881.)

Report No. 3, Committee on Structure of Methodism Overseas, Adopted—Bishop Angie Smith

Bishop Angie Smith: Report No. 3 largely deals with the meeting place and the time of the meeting of our Commission on the Structure of Methodism Overseas, and is not a matter on which there is any controversy, I think, because it only provides for the number who are members.

Bishop Short: All right, are you ready? If you will approve Report No. 3, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 719; see Appendix, page 1886.)

Report No. 4, Committee on Structure of Methodism Overseas, Presented—Bishop Angie Smith

Bishop Angie Smith: I will give this and Mr. Slutz can introduce his amendment which I think I am familiar with and expect to accept.

In No. 4, this is a provision—page 467, I am sorry, Report No. 4, Paragraph 2004 in the first column, No. 1. The statement in your *Advocate* is, "The Africa Central Conference is authorized to elect not to exceed four bishops provided that this supplies"—and this goes on.

We desire with your consent to change that "not to exceed four bishops provided it provides episcopal supervision" rather than saying "residential and presidential," if you would permit us to do so.

You have the authority to carry it out as we have had in the past, as there are no changes. Coming down to "Conferences becoming autonomous churches" in Paragraph 2005, you have in that same column, Burma, Cuba, Liberia, Sumatra Conferences, and others, and they are authorized providing they meet the requirements and they desire to do so without any obligation on their part, and then there is—I will come to another matter in connection with that in a moment—then 2006, special provisions for episcopal supervision, and it is contained there where the bishops have made the recommendation or will make the appointments if this is passed for the supervision and presidential and residential supervision of this work, and down before—we had better take the amendment, now, Mr. Chairman, from Mr. Slutz.

Amendment Proposed to Report No. 4

Leonard Slutz: I move to add at the end of subparagraph 6, "if a Jurisdictional Conference is asked to provide such supervision for the quadrennium 1964-1968, that Jurisdictional Conference is hereby authorized according to the provisions of Paragraph 439 to have one bishop in addition to its membership quota in order to provide for this supervision."

Committee Accepts Amendment

Bishop Angie Smith: Mr. Chairman, we will accept that with the understanding that the one who is being appointed by the Council of Bishops will retire in 1968. Therefore, it would not add another bishop to that area or to that Jurisdiction, but would allow them, if they desire to do so, to elect this time rather than four years hence. With that understanding it is accepted.

Bishop Short: It is accepted and Bishop Smith's remarks are in the record.

Request for Statement From Delegate From Cuba

Daniel D. Lurá Villanueva (Argentina—OS): I don't need to apologize for my English because my English apologizes for itself. I am from the Argentine. This is a question about Cuba. We have a delegate here from Cuba and I think it is convenient for the Conference to hear from him on the autonomy of Cuba. I invite, if I can do it, this delegate to explain something about Cuba.

Delegate From Cuba—Carlos Perez—Speaks

Carlos Perez (Cuba—OS): I cannot tell you in two minutes, because I was waiting here 13 days, sitting back here until you gave me the opportunity to tell you about Cuba. You ask me for two minutes, I prefer to sit down.

Rules Suspended

Delegate: I move the rule be suspended.

Bishop Short: All right, that it will take. If you so order, lift the hand. Opposed? The rules are suspended. (DCA, page 719.)

Carlos Perez: My brothers, we are now talking about overseas. Overseas, you have too many languages. It is the time now, you hear Spanish, because during 13 days we were talking in English. I am going to ask you to have some attention to Latin America.

Bishop Short: If there is no objection from the house, you may come to the platform.

Carlos Perez: (This is through an interpreter)
I greatly appreciate this opportunity you are giving me. I am not opposed to autonomy of my country, but we have to understand the situation in which Cuba finds itself at this moment. At this moment, Cuba is asking many things. She is asking that the Bay of Guantanamo be given back to her.
It is asking that it may have possession of all industry and private property, and also it is asking for ruling all over Latin America, and it seems that this spirit has come into The Methodist Church, also, and for this reason it is asking for its autonomy. This

is a right that every church outside the United States has. Therefore, I want to say that I am not opposed to the autonomy, but I am opposed that it should be granted so quickly.

It is necessary that the commissions which will be appointed, study with much time and see if, really, it is wise to give this autonomy.

At this moment, the Church in Cuba is suffering a great division. Sixty per cent of the ministers of the Church in Cuba are outside the country. More than 2,000 members of our church are outside Cuba. All our schools and clinics have been closed. Many of our rural churches have been closed also. The work of our young people is suffering now with the compulsory military service. Cuba is suffering a complete social and political transformation.

For this reason, I want to request the committee in charge of this problem of autonomy to study it carefully and give it a lot of time for a very serious conclusion.

This is the first Methodist Church which is desiring to separate itself from the church in this country, and it is sad that we should separate ourselves in a situation like the one that exists in Cuba. I want to say once again that I am not opposed to the autonomy of the church in Cuba, but we should be working for at least two years so as to see what the future of Cuba will be.

Our church in the United States should have more faith in the future of our country. At the end of these remarks that I have made, I would like to request that we have a short prayer on behalf of the Cuban Church and all those who are inside or outside who are suffering on account of the present situation.

Bishop Short: All right. I don't know whether you want to go into this now or ask Bishop Wicke in a few minutes to include this prayer for Cuba.

All right, Brother Carlos. The Chair understands that no formal amendment has been made to the report.

Statement From Chairman of Committee—Bishop Angie Smith

Bishop Angie Smith: Brother Chairman, there is no disposition on the part of this Commission to force Cuba or any other country into autonomy. We have had a request unanimously from the Annual Conference in Cuba asking this be granted. We have had a telegram since we have been here, from the Annual Conference urging that this be done. Our proposal on this, if you read it, is not that it is something which is going to go into effect immediately, but is simply passing Enabling Act which we would have to wait for four years on the Burma, Cuba, Liberia and Sumatra Annual Conferences, and the Pakistan Provisional Central Conferences are hereby authorized to become autonomous churches when requirements as established by the General Conference are met. This is asking for an Enabling Act to enable it, during the quadrennium, if the commission of which I will not be a member in the new quadrennium, if all the requirements have been met.

The Bishop of Cuba is here, Bishop Henley. If you desire to hear him regarding the matter of those still in Cuba and the Annual Conference down there—he was there a matter of six or eight weeks ago. If you would care to hear him, I request that he be given that privilege, if you desire it.

Motion Requesting Bishop of Cuba to Speak—John R. Van Sickle

John R. Van Sickle: I hope we will hear from the Bishop of Cuba. I so move.

Bishop Henley Speaks on Cuba

Bishop Henley: Mr. Chairman, I am delighted to have the privilege of speaking and trying to interpret the situation in Cuba for just a few moments.

I thank Dr. Perez for the statement which he has made. I have been unable to get into Cuba more than twice during the quadrennium; once, approximately two years ago, and once, two and a half months ago.

The church in Cuba has suffered desperately, of course, because of the loss of much of its ministerial leadership and some of its finest lay leadership. It has shown towards those ministers who came out of Cuba, most of them during the early days of the revolution, a most generous and fraternally Christian spirit. These brethren are carried on the roll of the Cuba Conference as ministers who are absent with permission. As a matter of fact, this, in itself, is an exceedingly generous interpretation, and these brethren who are in Cuba feel a hearty sort of a unanimity and love to those who are outside.

As the days have come and gone, it has become increasingly difficult to have communication with the church in Cuba. Telephoning is inadequate as a means of communication for obvious reasons. Even letter writing is insufficient to carry on the administration of the church from a distance, and now we are complicated by the exceedingly difficult procedures of getting in and out of Cuba, partially because of regulations imposed by the Mexican Government and the difficulty of securing a visa to come out of Cuba through Mexico to the United States, which is the only manner by which one can return home to the United States from Cuba, other than going to Europe.

Now, the Cuba Conference set up a year ago a committee to continue discussion and study of the state of the church there. That committee reported early last fall to me by cable and by telephone that they, as a committee and representing the cabinet and the lay leadership of the conference, wanted to recommend to the Conference that they request autonomy and an affiliated relationship with The Methodist Church. They requested at that time the privilege of calling an Annual Conference for presenting the matter to the Conference, and that conference was held in December.

There was a unanimous vote on the part of the conference to request this status.

At that time Dr. Perez, who had been elected to the Conference, had already come to the States. This action was communicated to me and to Dr. Perez on the part of the Cuban Conference that they represent their wishes to the General Conference.

I do hope that the time will come when we can have greater representation and communication here from Cuba and that we ourselves can travel to that lovely island.

In the meanwhile, the situation is quite difficult for them. They do not hope that they are building up any sort of a barrier. They have tried to express to me their fraternal love and good wishes for the church in the United States and throughout the world. They want to feel a part of The Methodist Church. They do feel that for administrative purposes this action is wise on their part, and I recommend it to you.

Urias B. Freeman—Liberia, Recognized

Bishop Short: Now, the Brother from Liberia, I think I must recognize him.

Urias B. Freeman (Liberia—OS): On a point for clarification.

On page 467, Item 2, does it mean that there would be a bishop provided in the Council of Bishops for Episcopal supervision in Liberia on a permanent basis?

Bishop Short: The Chair has no motion except the motion to adopt. Let's hear the brother. He has the floor now.

Is this right? I have no motion except the motion to adopt.

All right, the brother from Liberia, and then we will hear you in time. There is a man who is on the floor at the moment. We will respect everybody as far as we can.

All right, what did you want? Do you want to ask something further?

Can you answer his question, Bishop Smith?

Chairman of Committee Answers Inquiry

Bishop Angie Smith: In the next column and under the next paragraph, which is different from the one we are now acting on, I will be happy to answer. The Council of Bishops have been requested to provide presidential supervision for the Annual Conference in Liberia, which they are prepared to do, and it will continue until the Liberia Annual Conference decides whether it attempts to meet the requirements for a Central Conference, or meet the requirements for autonomy which have been requested through your Annual Conference.

The bishops are providing you with presidential supervision which could continue throughout the entire quadrennium, if you, as an Annual Conference, do not decide what you want to do.

Urias B. Freeman: I think in our memorial we ask that during the interim a committee of three district superintendents in conjunction with some of the pastors would carry on locally the operation of the work, but with episcopal supervision so that at an Annual Conference sitting, a bishop would be there to represent us, but not for permanent residential operation.

Bishop Angie Smith: That is what we are doing.

Previous Question on Report No. 4 Moved—Sterling F. Wheeler

Sterling F. Wheeler (Southwest Texas—SC): I move the previous question on all of the Report No. 4.

Bishop Short: All right; is there a second? We have a motion for the previous question. Now all delegates have a right. We will have to try this out.

Point of Order—John H. Rixse

John H. Rixse: I believe the gentleman on my left had a feeling that you assured him he could speak when you are finished hearing from the gentleman from Liberia.

Bishop Short: My brethren, I am willing to do that if that is the will of the body, but for—. All right, for us to understand that, we have promised to the floor that—well, it is not quite in order.

We will hear this brother and see what it is.

Motion to Amend Report No. 4—Daniel Lurá Villanueva

Daniel Lurá Villanueva: I want to make a motion. The motion is that the commission, in order to study the possibility to constitute

another Central Conference with Cuba, Costa Rica, and Panama. The principal reason is that in that way Cuba will not be separated and isolated from the rest of the church, and that would provide for Episcopal Supervision for Latin America.

Bishop Short: All right. I am going to play this easily. Remember, we had a motion for the previous question.

Let Brother Smith—Bishop Smith—speak to that.

Chairman of Committee Speaks on Amendment

Bishop Angie Smith: Evidently there must be some misunderstanding about the isolation. We do not know when Cuba would get autonomy, but if Cuba should get autonomy they would be in the same identical position that Mexico, Korea, and Brazil are at the present time and I hardly think they are completely isolated from the American section of our great world-wide Methodism. We are not cutting them loose. They would be an affiliated autonomous church just exactly as Mexico, Brazil, and Korea are at the present time.

What you do is up to you.

Amendment Does Not Prevail

Bishop Short: Let's take the motion on this and then the motion on the previous question. I know the parliamentary situation, but you understand the situation the Chair and the Conference are in.

If you favor the motion over here, lift the hand. If you are opposed, lift the hand. It is not approved. (DCA, page 720.) Now, we are on the motion for the previous question.

Bishop Angie Smith: May I make one correction? Before you vote on that, Paragraph 2007, in the second column of page 467 where it says, "Central and provisional Central Conferences," there it gives Liberia Annual Conference to become organized into a Central Conference if they meet certain requirements and we reduced the requirements. We want to insert also Pakistan.

May we do that by common consent?

Bishop Short: All right. Add that.

Request for Information—Jozef Szczepkowski

Jozef Szczepkowski (Poland—OS): On Paragraph 2009, continuation of Annual and Provisional Annual Conferences, 1. Authority is hereby given to certain countries, Belgium, Czechoslovakia, Denmark, etc., to continue as Annual Conferences during the quadrennium ending in 1968.

At the last General Conference Poland, Czechoslovakia and Belgium were made Annual Conferences. Why is Poland omitted here? Does it connect itself with No. 3?

Northeast Germany Annual Conference may change its boundaries if necessary during the quadrennium ending in 1968. Inasmuch as Polish Annual Conference is contiguous to Northeast Germany Annual Conference, is there an element of a possibility of political or geographical change due to the question of territory, and for this reason Poland is not mentioned as a continuation of an Annual Conference?

Bishop Short: This is a request for information. Can you give it, Bishop Smith?

Answer Given by Bishop Smith

Bishop Angie Smith: Bishop Sigg is the Bishop in charge of that great area. Poland is large enough for its own Conference and does not require this boundary situation of which the Doctor is speaking, and we have followed in all of this 2009 the recommendation of the resident bishop of each of these Central Conferences.

Now, those of us on the structure of Methodism have simply permitted them to give to us the information so we do not overlook anything at all. So that is the reason Poland is not in here.

Previous Question on Report Voted—Report No. 4 Adopted

Bishop Short: I think the Chair—this answers the question. The Chair had better return to the strict parliamentary situation now.

If you order the previous question, lift the hand. If you are opposed, lift the hand. It is ordered. (DCA, page 721.)

If you will approve the report, lift the hand. If you are opposed to it, lift the hand. The report is approved. (DCA, page 721; see Appendix, page 1887.)

Report No. 5, Committee on Structure of Methodism Overseas, Adopted

Bishop Angie Smith: It is called to my attention in 2007, in order to reach that "twenty ministerial members," it is one out of four which has been granted to Latin America. By common consent, that will be inserted.

Report No. 5 is dealing with the change of the Andaman Islands from the Burma Annual Conference to India and making it possible for them to be carried there. It is with the consent of all those concerned and all of those in supervision.

Bishop Short: If you will approve, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 721; see Appendix, page 1891.)

Report No. 6, Committee on Structure of Methodism Overseas Presented—Bishop Angie Smith

Bishop Angie Smith: Report No. 6 is the matter of permitting the Sumatra Conference to reopen Methodist work in Java. That comes as a request of the Conference.

Bishop Short: If you will so order—is this on No. 5?

Amendment to Report No. 6 Offered—Benjamin Guansing

Benjamin Guansing (Philippines—OS): I would like to present an amendment on this portion. I will read it and if I am properly seconded, I will explain why I am presenting this amendment.

Every portion of the world is trying to be friendly to each other, and we want to be sure in any act which The Methodist Church does in any portion that we do that after friendly consultation, and hence we would like to bring in this amendment.

Amendment Accepted by Committee

Bishop Angie Smith: May I say this? We asked that question in the Committee on Structure of Methodism Overseas. This is simply going back into some work which The Methodist Church had left and with the approval of the group of which you speak.

Here it is, Bishop. That is correct. We gladly accept the amendment.

Report No. 6 Adopted

Bishop Short: It is accepted. If you will approve report No. 6, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 721; see Appendix, page 1891.)

Bishop Raines Presented and Speaks

Bishop Angie Smith: No. 7, voted nonconcurrence. They were included in others.

May I have the happy privilege of presenting Bishop Richard Raines who will be the President—or is the President of the Structure of Methodism Overseas. I have had this privilege now for 16 years, since it was organized in 1948, and I am happy to present Bishop Raines, the new President.

Bishop Raines: Mr. Chairman, members of the Conference, thank you for this privilege. May my only comment be one of appreciation for Bishop Smith. The Commission would want me to say that during these 16 years he has given remarkably effective leadership, and has given everyone an opportunity to speak with great courtesy. He has quickly sensed what was the main matter in each of the sessions and we owe him a very great debt as a church. We want, as a Commission, to express deep appreciation to him and give you an opportunity to join us in that expression.

Council of Bishops Plan of Supervision Outlined

Bishop Short: The Council of Bishops anticipated the probable passage of this report and I will ask Bishop James Henley to read the plans the Council has approved for following through on these matters that we have just approved.

Bishop James Henley: Mr. Chairman, the Council announces the following assignments:

Episcopal supervision of Hong-Kong and Taiwan; liaison relationship with Korea; and leadership of World Family Life Movement —Bishop Werner.

Visitorial responsibility for Sumatra, Burma, and Pakistan—Bishop Amstutz.

Visitorial responsibility for Liberia, pending organization of autonomous church or Central Conference—Bishop Taylor.

Visitorial responsibility for Cuba—Bishop Henley.

Committee on Family Life: Bishop Middleton, Chairman; Bishop Frank, Bishop Copeland, Bishop J. O. Smith, Mrs. Neill Hart, Mrs. Bernice Rohloff, Maurice McKean, Cynwood Parker, Paul Bumpers, and William Donally.

The following announcement for an overseas lay member of The Board of Lay Activities at large—Rudolph Shealey.

It has been called to my attention that on page 16, the names of the Committee on the Structure of Methodism Overseas does not include the Central Conference members. I am informed that these names have been read, these persons have been elected and that their names will appear in the *Journal.*

Bishop Short: Thank you, Bishop Henley. This is for the record.

As a matter of privilege for the body, let me tell you what we have to do in order to adjourn, not very much more.

There is one final word from the Council on World Service, a state-

ment from the Entertainment Committee, a statement by Bishop Frank, the report of the Committee on Correlation, and then the Courtesies Committee Report and the closing statement by Bishop Wicke. We are moving toward adjournment.

I do have, friends, a number of requests that have been sent to me with reference to the floor. Some of them are from wonderfully good friends of mine. I think we had better take these items and then we will do with this what you care to.

May I clear this one thing first from World Service? Just hold there, Brother.

I do not want to move into these matters until I know we have cared for the items that have to be cared for. Is that all right? I have the list here.

Request for Authority From Council on World Service and Finance Granted

G. Lemuel Fenn (New Mexico—SC): Item 356, Lay Activities and Temporal Economy.

Yesterday you required by your action the Council on World Service and Finance to recommend to the General Conference the sum which the church shall undertake to provide as its share of the budget of the National Council of Churches, and then, the black-faced type, the Interdenominational and General Commission on Chaplaincy and Armed Forces Personnel.

In compliance with that request, the Council on World Service met and submitted to the body the following report: "The Council on World Service and Finance has a procedure to determine the appropriation of the churches' money. There has been no opportunity to follow this procedure in this instance. The Council therefore requests that the General Conference grant it power to study the needs of the General Commission on Chaplain and Armed Service Personnel and to fix the allocation later."

I move adoption of this statement.

Bishop Short: Are you ready? If you will approve, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 722.)

Resolution Relative to 1966 Special Session, Adopted—J. Otis Young

J. Otis Young: Mr. Chairman, I am speaking now as the Chairman of the Entertainment Commission for the 1968 General Conference and I believe you have ordered a Special Session of the General Conference for 1966, therefore, I should like to read this resolution:

"Whereas the plan of organization and rules of order for the General Conference do not include provision for a special session of the General Conference, and whereas the Special Session of the Conference which has been set for October, 1966, is for one specific purpose only, and obviously will not require all of the legislative and administrative committees that are needed for the regular session, therefore, be it resolved that the Commission on Entertainment and program is hereby given full authority: First, to set the exact date, select the location, and make arrangements for said Special Session.

Two, determine the plan of organization of the Special Session.

Three, arrange after consultation with the Commission on Ecumenical Affairs for the agenda and program for the Special Session, and,

Four, advise the Council of Bishops which, if any, of the special administrative committees will be used for the nominations from the Council that they will be required to make."

Mr. Chairman, I move adoption of this resolution.

Comment on Resolution—Sumpter M. Riley, Jr.

Sumpter M. Riley, Jr. (Lexington—C): I thought there was an amendment offered the other day. It was accepted that there would be two things at this Special Session of the General Conference and that there was to be made a progress report from the adopted plan of action given by the Committee of Thirty-Six.

Bishop Short: All right. That seems to be the answer. If you will approve the report, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 722.)

Report of Committee on Correlation and Editorial Revision

Bishop Short: Now, the Editorial Correlations Committee, Dr. Leiffer.

Murray Leiffer: The Committee on Correlation and Editorial Revision has had an unusually busy time trying to correlate reports at this session of the General Conference.

We have dealt with 48 different calendars. The committee greatly appreciates the cooperation of the chairmen of the legislative committee. One problem remains, an action taken this morning in Report 4, page 409 states that the deadline for all memorials is 30 days in advance of the General Conference.

This action calls for editing of Paragraph 510-A in the *Discipline* in order that it be brought into conformity. If there is no objection, the editor of the *Discipline* will make this change, Mr. Chairman.

Bishop Short: All right. Without objection, that is it. (DCA; page 722.)

Statement Read—Motion Made to Print in Advocate—David Lindstrom

David Lindstrom (Central Illinois—NC): I think this matter is of great concern to all of us here and I will read this hoping that you will accept it and at least have it reported in the *Advocate.*

There is a seeming prevailing impression gained somewhat from recent news stories that the 1964 General Conference has been dragging its feet and has made little definitive progress in eliminating the Central Jurisdiction and taking action against discrimination.

This is of grave concern to all of us. We want the fact known that the 1964 General Conference has taken significant and positive action on both issues and has unanimously voted for the elimination of the Central Jurisdiction;

Has developed a concrete plan for the elimination of the Central Jurisdiction;

Has voted that no reference be made to the Central Jurisdiction in the Constitution for uniting with the Evangelical United Brethren Church;

Approved a plan for suggesting to bishops, district superintendents, lay leaders, and church officials for bringing about action for the dissolution of the Central Jurisdiction;

Has approved numerous memorials for eliminating discrimination in Methodist institutions and agencies so that education, employment and care—as in hospitals—may be without reference to race or color;

Has taken a clear and unequivocal stand in support of an open and inclusive church;

Has included in its Social Creed a charge to all Methodists and Methodist Churches to build a fellowship and social order without racial barriers in every area it may touch.

In view of these and other significant actions of the 1964 General Conference, it seems to me that we should place in the hands of our delegates, especially those coming from abroad, a statement calling attention to all actions of the 1964 General Conference for the elimination of the Central Jurisdiction and, in general, on the elimination of racial discrimination in The Methodist Church.

I hope this may be approved by the Conference.

Bishop Short: You are moving this be approved? Well, let's see.

David Lindstrom: I am moving that such a statement be made so that we may have it put in the hands of delegates either by mail or otherwise.

Bishop Short: All right, just a second, right here.

Motion Made to Table Proposal

Delegate: I move to table.

The motion was seconded.

Bishop Short: If you so order, lift the hand. Opposed? It is tabled. (DCA, page 723.)

Statement of Appreciation of Bishop Hazen G. Werner

Bishop Eugene Frank: This is a very important matter, but I will make it as brief as possible. The Committee on Family Life has been so extremely proud of the leadership of Bishop Hazen G. Werner that I would like to urge the Conference to move in some way that this tribute from the Family Life Committee be printed in the *Journal*, and I would like to call your attention to one paragraph.

The greatest expression of his dynamic leadership is the quadrennial Family Life Conference which has touched The Methodist Church from coast to coast, from crossroads to Madison Avenue. Bishop Werner has challenged the church with vital emphasis on the Christian family so that no part of the church can escape its implications.

He has traveled in five continents holding Family Life Conferences and encouraging the high ideals of the whole Christian Family. He has initiated a World Conference to be held in 1966 in London in response to world-wide enthusiasm. His twelve years as chairman of this committee have elapsed and with understandable reluctance the committee accepts the inevitability of the calendar. On behalf of thousands who recognize his unstinting sacrifice, we call upon the General Conference to give a standing ovation to this world-renowned leader in Christian family life, Bishop Hazen G. Werner.

Bishop Short: I recognize Dr. Young for one matter that was omitted in the program.

Statement of Appreciation of Willis M. Tate

J. Otis Young: Mr. Chairman, members of the Conference, I should like to express my appreciation to Dr. Tate, Chairman of the Committee of Chairmen, to the Legislative Chairmen Committee and to all delegates of the Conference for the patience you have exercised and the cooperation you have given to your Agenda Committee.

Bishop Short: Now, friends, all of the matters that have been put in the hands of the Chair from the Agenda Committee are now cared for except a final report from the Courtesies Committee and the

closing remarks of Bishop Wicke. This does not take care of everything that somebody has sent me a note about from the group, but other than that, shall we turn to the report of the Courtesies Committee?

Committee on Courtesies and Privileges Reports

John B. Jones: Mr. Chairman and members of the Conference, we appreciate the fact that you are giving us this time which was taken out earlier, and we will try to keep within the limits of that time.

I have been asked to give—ask for the floor for our delegates from Czechoslovakia that they may make a farewell statement, and Dr. Eugene Smith will be presented with them.

Dr. Eugene Smith Presents Delegates From Czechoslovakia

Eugene L. Smith: Bishop, members of the Conference, a number of the delegates seated here asked for an opportunity for the Conference to hear a word of farewell from our brethren from Czechoslovakia. In response to that, the Committee on Courtesies asked me to present them. I want to say this important and introductory word.

In these greetings Brother Schneeberger will speak of the peace movement. They feel the concern for peace is something which unites people all around the earth.

You ought to know that the Peace Conference to which he refers very significantly has on its advisory committee two Methodist Bishops from Western Europe. This is a matter of major significance and with great pride and gratitude I present Brother Schneeberger and Superintendent Hunaty of Czechoslovakia.

Vilem Schneeberger (Czechoslovakia—OS): Mr. Chairman, brothers and sisters, I will be very short.

We know The Methodist Church in America has done many things for peace and understanding in the world. I think you must know we are very grateful to you for everything you do for a better understanding. In this divided world, we started some years ago a Christian Peace Movement. It is a Christian Peace Movement, and even this year we expect a large meeting almost like your conference here. We expect about 900 or 1,000 delegates from different countries, from East and West. From the beginning we tried to discuss the things from both sides, not only from one side, and our Methodist Church has sent some delegates to this Conference.

I think that this is our task here and I have to say to you, that we have to do everything in this world for a better understanding. Our country suffered much in the last years of the war. Our nation has been almost destroyed. Hundreds of thousands of our people died in concentration camps during the war time, and so we try to do everything to find a new way for this divided world.

We are happy and proud to be members of The Methodist Church, because in this Church there is a social witness in the world, a social heritage, and I think we shall pray and ask our God to give us strength enough to do all we can for a better understanding in the world that people may live together for a better future.

Our Superintendent would like to say something. He will speak in Czech.

Vaclav Hunaty (Czechoslovakia—OS): (As interpreted by Mr. Schneeberger):

I would like to give my thanks to those who invited us to attend the Conference. After 16 years, this is the first time we are at a General Conference. What we have found here is for us a great ex-

perience. It has been a wonderful Conference, and I can say a historic Conference.

It is the work of God and our brothers here. It is a wonderful thing.

Now, I can say there is a new bond between us. We have found much love and open hearts, and we shall bring this love to our people as a gift from you. We have been in some churches in the States, and in all these places we have had the same experiences and what we would wish to do, we have many impressions, but one I will never forget. It was on Sunday when we sang the last hymn at the end of our service, and when the arena was opened, it was like the Holy Ghost came down, and after the Bishop gave the blessing over us, I said that the Holy Ghost should come and be in our hearts, that we can do great things, great work. God gave us all the things to do it, a wonderful church, all the means, and the Holy Spirit.

I wish you the fullness of the Holy Spirit. We have many enemies, but Jesus has said He is with us, and I say in His name that The Methodist Church has from the beginning a vicarious spirit. This is necessary also today, and I think you can do it. I hope this Conference will live in the whole church and that the obstacles can be overcome and that Jesus will be victorious in His glory.

God bless you.

Standing ovation.

Greetings Received From Czechoslovakia Church

John B. Jones: We have received a message from the Czechoslovakia Church: "To all the brothers in Conference, we are sending our heartiest greetings. In spirit we are with you and praying that God will shower his richest blessing on your works, the brothers and sisters of Czechoslovakia."

There is another item concerning our friends that should be given recognition here.

Dr. Lloyd Bertholf calls our attention to the fact that we should like to give a public expression of appreciation to Bishop Lee of Korea for the beautiful flowers, rose of sharon which was given out to the delegates as you registered when you came here.

We should make an expression, it seems to me, of appreciation to the Bishop, to the students and to the women.

Dr. Tate persuaded Dr. Brawley to wait for a time of recognition. I should like to give him an opportunity.

Dr. Brawley, a matter of privilege.

Dr. James P. Brawley Presents Mrs. J. W. E. Bowen

James P. Brawley (Georgia—C): Our attention has been called by Dr. Allen to the fact that the widow of the late Bishop J. W. E. Bowen was here. She was not here when the Bishop Ladies were presented. If she is here, we would like to recognize her.

John B. Jones: We are presenting a list of the names of the retired officers of the Council of Secretaries to whom I am sure you will want greetings to be sent. A few were mentioned by name, but we are turning in the whole list to the Secretary asking that he send this, if it is your pleasure.

Another word of expression of appreciation to Austin Lovelace, Director of Music, who has led us in our singing.

This resolution has come as a summary of several requests which have been made. It is sent by Erwin Ortman of South Dakota: "Whereas the General Conference previously expressed the apprecia-

tion of the responsible committee of Program, Entertainment and Arrangement; whereas the overall morale of the Conference was greatly enhanced by the ladies serving cookies and beverages and by their warm friendliness:

"Therefore we wish to express our thanks by directing the Secretary of the Conference to send floral bouquets to the Methodist Churches in the area responsible for refreshments as the expression of our appreciation."

Mr. Chairman, I think we would want to recognize Bishop Corson for the matter of high privilege regarding a man who has served us so well.

Tribute Paid to Leon T. Moore, Secretary

Bishop Fred Corson: Dear fathers and brethren unofficial, good secretaries are born. We have had a lot of opportunity to observe that fact. Your presiding officer this morning is a born secretary. Without him, the Council of Bishops would be greatly disorganized.

During the past number of years, we have had a born secretary of the General Conference, Dr. Leon Moore.

He is a man who likes to do things for other people, a man whose geniality will always be remembered as well as his devotion to his work, a man whom I can say from my personal observation, his office being next to mine, has gone far beyond the call of duty to do the work of this office for our General Conference and the Church. Good secretaries are made better secretaries by their wives, and Dr. and Mrs. Leon T. Moore have worked at this great responsibility which you have placed upon them through these years with unlimited devotion.

It is my happy privilege this morning to present both Dr. and Mrs. Moore to this Conference, and to say again some of the things that were said when his retirement was effected and you made him Secretary Emeritus.

One thing I wish to say, however, is this: By all the things that he has done, by enlarging the work of this office in the service of our Church and of all of you, Dr. Moore has really made this office into a full-time job for the Church.

I know that a kiss is a far more effective and quicker way to show affection than to write a hundred letters, and I know also that a gift is a far more effective and direct way to show appreciation than to say "I thank you very, very much."

It is my privilege this morning on behalf of all of you to present Dr. and Mrs. Moore to this Conference and then to present them this gift from you.

Standing ovation.

Mrs. Moore does not want to make a speech.

Response by Dr. Moore

Leon T. Moore: Be seated, brethren. I rather suspect that you would feel better if I don't make any speeches either, at this time.

All I can say is that Mrs. Moore and I had a glorious time. She has worked in my office since I became the Secretary and all the letters and all the thousands of communications, all the clerical work which has been done, being in touch with hundreds and thousands of folks throughout the whole world, has all been through our office, and every letter, every word that was in the *Journal* was all typed out by Mrs. Moore.

I have to say to you very frankly, if I had lost her, you would have lost me. I want to express on behalf of my wife as well as myself our sincere thanks and appreciation for your fellowship, your friendship, and for this gift, and may I say that for the next year before I do go fully out of office, if you are at 1701 Arch Street, Room 303-4 will be open to you as it has been to bishops and Methodists all these years. We would be happy to have you come in and visit at any time.

Thank you.

Bishop Short: Your applause has expressed your appreciation and love to Dr. and Mrs. Moore.

Now, friends, it was the suggestion of the Agenda Committee that we close the General Conference with a brief message from one of the Bishops and the Council of Bishops has chosen our President, Bishop Wicke to bring that parting message to us.

Motion to Adjourn the General Conference—Thomas B. Clay

Thomas B. Clay (Genesee—NE): Do I move adjournment?

Bishop Short: That is what I was reaching for. I was going to say if we may have the motion to adjourn following a message of Bishop Wicke, I take it that is the motion Mr. Clay is making, is that right?

Thomas Clay: Mr. Chairman, members of the Conference, I move you, sir, that following necessary announcements and the closing address and other necessary motions that we are adjourned.

Bishop Short: All right. Is there a second?

The motion was seconded.

Statement and Announcements by Secretary

Leon T. Moore: Mr. Chairman and members of the body, there are two items that I would like to offer, particularly the second one as a matter of personal privilege, a statement. The first one is, the other day you authorized your Secretary to appoint a committee of five to work with him in the preparation and the work of the *Journal.* May I ask that you grant me the privilege of putting those names into the *Journal* without giving their names at the present time?

Bishop Short: If you will so order, lift the hand. Opposed? It is done. (DCA, page 725.)

Leon T. Moore: The other item, Mr. Chairman and members of the General Conference, is this: I have had communications on it. There were some 200 memorials on the subject of spiritual healing. I believe they were all voted nonconcurrence because they were considered as covered in another area of our church life and a statement got in the paper that The Methodist Church did not sanction spiritual healing. As far as I know, the subject has not been voted on. It gives a false impression about The Methodist Church regarding such matters. I only want this to be a matter of record that I made this to cover the communications.

Bishop Short: It will be in the record. The motion before you is the motion of Mr. Clay to adjourn—

I thought that was it. Did we adopt the motion? Let's put the motion, so we are sure. If you will adjourn following announcements, and the message by Bishop Wicke, lift the hand. Opposed, lift the hand. (DCA, page 725.)

Statement of Appreciation for Presiding Officers—M. Buren Stewart

M. Buren Stewart (New Mexico—SC): I would like to have the opportunity to express on behalf of the members of the General Conference our appreciation to the bishops who have so ably and with such wonderful spirit presided over the sessions of this Conference.

Announcements by Secretary and Others

Leon T. Moore: Mr. Chairman, it is very important that the Secretary and chairmen of the several legislative and administrative committees see that the bookbinder is returned from their office to ours with all memorials. This time I have to do differently than before. Some things had to be sent to California for Dr. Hole, and I must make sure that everything is clear before I leave Pittsburgh. If you will see that that is done, it will be a big help.

Delegates will please pick up mail before leaving the Arena, and then I will call on Henry Whyman for an important announcement.

Henry C. Whyman (New York East—NE): Mr. Chairman, members of the Conference, Mr. Charles Parlin is the Chairman of the Commission on Inter-Jurisdictional Relations for the Northeastern Jurisdiction. He yesterday appointed an Ad Hoc subcommittee with representation from all the Conferences of the Northeastern Jurisdiction and asked that a date, an early date, be set. The purpose of this meeting is to study and formulate and report to the Jurisdictional Conference a plan for equalizing within the Jurisdiction financial problems that come from the transfer to us of Central Jurisdiction Annual Conferences.

The meeting is set for Wednesday, May 27, in New York. The members know who they are. They will be notified of both place and time.

That concludes the announcements.

Bishop Lloyd Wicke Presented for Closing Statement

Bishop Short: Now, friends, we come to this moment when Bishop Wicke will address us and will send us on our way. Bishop Wicke.

Bishop Lloyd Wicke: Fathers, brethren, and sisters, may we rise and sing one stanza of a hymn. I shall ask Dr. Lovelace to lead us.

Austin Lovelace: "God of Grace, God of Glory, grant us wisdom, grant us courage."

Unison singing.

Bishop Lloyd Wicke: Mr. Chairman, my courageous and hearty friends, there is a sign in a garage in this town which suggests that you ought to blow your horn before you back out. This is hardly a highly theological admonition, but it certainly bears prudential value. In this place, humility has not been the prime virtue of our gathering together so far as our own business has been concerned, but I trust that you will indulge these last few moments together.

Like its predecessors, this Conference has moved through a wide arc of varying emotion. We were saddened by the death of two of our members, one a minister from this Area, and the other, one of our colleagues on the Council of Bishops.

Of laughter, there has been an ample quantity, and it often measured the degree of our weariness, but in the main, we have been in the best of spirits.

Enthusiasm has been present though of a measured and restrained order. There have been moments of tension. These, likewise, restricted and restrained. Unlike some of its forebears, this Conference was seldom swept away by any grave and definitive waves of emotion, but it never, so far as I could discover, compromised a fundamental good for sheer sake of compromise. There was more sparring than usual.

Oftener than not, we were on our good behavior with manners in abundant supply. One of our number likened our conduct to the building of a picket fence of semantics behind whose ambivalence we hid, but with all, one could feel a quivering nervous sense of home, hope that softened and well-honed answers would satisfy rough and hard questions. In part, we succeeded.

The report of the Committee of Thirty-Six was a prime example, a report that was pregnant with earnest labor, substantial compromise, and a heavy dependence upon Christian voluntarism, a quality which demands an abundance of God's grace, and I know you share with me a prayer that we shall all be hospitable to that grace.

The report on merger with our Evangelical United Brethren friends, these long separated cousins, suggest to us all, and all those who would really listen, that we are determined to be partners in the ecumenical dialogues and ventures which beckon us. However, in all of these ventures, the key word should be partnership. From the beginning, we have been committed to this hope, and our conduct here provides renewed assurance of our intention.

Another evidence in this fashion could be discovered in the fine hymnals and the *Book of Worship* which we accepted, for in these are broad evidences of our historic catholic and evangelical loyalties.

During this session, the Coordinating Council gave evidence, ample evidence, of coming of age in provocative and imaginative fashion. It opened avenues of possibility, which, during the coming days, we must explore.

This Council is attempting to provide more adequate instruments for the meeting of our changing tasks in a revolving revolutionary world of change.

The quadrennial program, a cooperative venture, wooingly bids us take a new look, find new ways along old roads whereby we may be faithful to His will.

We are being asked to accept wider financial responsibilities. The sums mentioned appear lodged in a financial stratosphere to a garden variety preacher like myself. Seen in the perspective of our economic ability, however, they should be readily met.

Stewardship and commitment are keys in our hands, to be employed with vigor so that His name may be glorified. A host of legislation will have to be found upon calm study, to be substantial and creative if we possess the will and the willingness to use it, rather than merely repeat it.

Steinbeck's collection of parables of our time under the title of "The Red Pony" has one that may be applicable to us here. It is the tale of three generations, a grandfather who led a large caravan, a wagontrain, across our prairies, our mountains, and finally down into the Panhandle of California. In this same household is a growing grandson. He has heard the story and tales of his grandfather countless times, and been equally wearied by them. One day, he explodes in his weariness and literally insults his grandfather.

After things had subsided a bit, the boy's mother takes him aside and she says to him, "Son, let me tell you about my father," and she details with touching ability the hardships which he endured.

Then, coming to the end of his journey, she says to him, "You see, my boy, my father reached the blue Pacific and then there was no

place to go. Something died in him. Westering had found a barrier it could not overleap."

That parable says something to us. There are those who are maintaining the spirit of Westering has disappeared from our body. I do not believe it.

John Wesley warned against this possible temptation as did our Lord in sparkling tale and parable. This Conference provided some evidences as we were reminded a few moments ago that it has not disappeared, but only our conduct over the coming days will provide the reassurances that something is not dying within us, and that Westering is not a conquered frontier.

For instance, the appearance of that silent orderly army of young people assured us that there are those in the oncoming generation that will not allow that spirit to die. Whatever our stance or mind, let us be grateful for such a host, a host that was willing to sacrifice genuinely, to speak a silent word and dramatically bid us listen.

Some of our legislation looks toward that Westering, more often in spirit than in word, evidencing an assurance that some things must be done without wasting time, or hiding behind sectional rationalism.

Each in his own vineyard will find cares in grave abundance. Each has victories that, by God's grace, must be won.

During these days, we have taken a new look at Methodism around the world, a world that is old and yet so new, new in demand, and new in opportunity, and new in response. Join with me in prayer for wisdom and grace to both hear and heed. Here, too, is Westering on a scale as wide as the world into which He sent us.

Rather than call the roll on many of our goings on, possibly a neighborhood tale could gather it together. In a certain city there are two brothers, one the owner of an automobile agency, the other a doctor.

Whenever a new model of automobile appeared, the one brother would always provide the other with one of these slick new vehicles. It so happened that almost immediately upon receiving this gift, the doctor was making neighborhood calls. As he emerged from one of these calls, he saw an urchin clad in shorts and sneakers and nothing more, carefully examining this striking model of our status, and he watched the boy, unwilling to break his reverie, and when he could wait no longer, he went down and laid his hand on the boy's shoulder, and said, "Son, what do you think of her?"

The little boy looked up rather abashed and a bit frightened and said, "Sir, she is wonderful, wonderful."

They talked in a cursory fashion for a few moments, and finally the boy turned to him and he said, "Mister, can you tell me how much it costs?"

That is an inevitable American question. The doctor looked at him and he said, "Son, it was a gift from my brother."

Finally, the doctor looked at him again and said, "Would you like to take a ride?"

"Certainly, I would," he said, and so the doctor took him around and finally delivered him back in the neighborhood, and as the youngster got out, he said, "Look, Mister, could you wait just a minute?"

The doctor agreed. The boy disappeared down a little lane and in about two minutes appeared again carrying a cripple in his arms, about half his age, and he faced the boy about so he could see this wonder and he said to him, "Tim, Tim, look at it. Isn't she wonderful, wonderful? Tim, his brother gave it to him. Tim, when I get to be a man, I am going to be that kind of a brother."

In some ways, the qualities that gather themselves together in the

simple word brother and the simple word sister are the characteristics which continue as Westering will require lest that spirit die.

It has often been said that the church is possessed of no military to enforce its laws, and this is true, but, my friends, we are possessed of the mind of Christ like unto no other possession known to human kind.

We are Methodists, traditionally one people. By the grace of God, let that which has been done in this place become for each of us the commandment of His mind as we dedicate our wills.

We who are a company of saints in the making, let it be that the process shall continue by the working of the miracle of His grace in love and charity.

And so, Our Father, for these days that Thou has given us together, we are Thy debtor, days that shall cling to memory and days we trust that you will find our wills that Thy will may be done among us.

In these moments, we remember our brethren gathered from about the world, and those who, for the time, they are separated. Grant unto them all, not only the assurance of our hope and prayer for their deliverance, but as well, grant unto them the continuing courage to be faithful to Thy name and way.

Now, O Lord, as our way shall part, never to meet again precisely as we are in membership and fellowship until beyond a widening horizon, let Thy grace, Thy mercy, and Thy power there be the continuing companions of all our days. Amen.

The Conference stood and sang together the *Doxology.*

Closing Benediction

Bishop James C. Baker: God be merciful unto us and bless us and cause Thy face to shine upon us that Thy way be known upon the earth, Thy saving help among all nations. Let the people praise Thee, O God. Let all the peoples praise Thee. O may the nations be glad and sing for joy, for Thy government is in all the earth.

Grant us Thy grace as we go, and Thy blessing upon all peoples.

Glory be to the Father and to the Son and to the Holy Spirit, as it was in the beginning, is now and ever shall be, world without end. Amen.

PRESIDING OFFICERS
THE GENERAL CONFERENCE
THE METHODIST CHURCH
1964

First Day, Sunday, April 26 Evening Session	Paul N. Garber
Second Day, Monday, April 27 Morning Session	Paul N. Garber
Third Day, Tuesday, April 28 Morning Session	Ralph E. Dodge
Fourth Day, Wednesday, April 29 Morning Session	Lloyd C. Wicks
Fifth Day, Thursday, April 30 Morning Session	Fred Pierce Corson
Fifth Day, Thursday, April 30 Afternoon Session	Donald Harvey Tippett
Fifth Day, Thursday, April 30 Evening Session	Wm C. Martin
Sixth Day, Friday, May 1 Morning Session	Gerald Ensley
Sixth Day, Friday, May 1 Afternoon Session	Glenn R. Phillips
Seventh Day, Saturday, May 2 Morning Session	Jesse Nesley Coed
Eighth Day, Monday, May 4 Morning Session	Paul E. Martin
Eighth Day, Monday, May 4 Evening Session	Newell S. Booth
Ninth Day, Tuesday, May 5 Morning Session	Nolan B. Harmon
Ninth Day, Tuesday, May 5 Afternoon Session	W. Angie Smith
Ninth Day, Tuesday, May 5 Evening Session	Walter C. Glum
Tenth Day, Wednesday, May 6 Morning Session—Before Recess	Edgar A. Love
Tenth Day, Wednesday, May 6 Morning Session—After Recess	James K Mathews

Tenth Day, Wednesday, May 6 Afternoon Session	Hazen G. Werner
Tenth Day, Wednesday, May 6 Evening Session—Before Recess	Santa Uberto Barbieri
Tenth Day, Wednesday, May 6 Evening Session—After Recess	Edwin R. Garrison
Eleventh Day, Thursday, May 7 Morning Session—Before Recess	Grimes A. Taylor, Jr.
Eleventh Day, Thursday, May 7 Morning Session—After Recess	Richard C. Raines
Eleventh Day, Thursday, May 7 Afternoon Session	W. Vernon Middleton
Eleventh Day, Thursday, May 7 Evening Session	Gerald Kennedy
Twelfth Day, Friday, May 8 Morning Session	Roy H. Short

Leon J. Moore
Secretary

Appendix

CONTENTS

Page

I. Communion Service—Order of Worship 933

II. Constitutional Amendments 943

III. Decisions of the Judicial Council 945

IV. Reports 995

Standing Administrative Committees 996

V. Commission on Entertainment and Program 997

1. Agenda 1002
2. Chairmen 1004
3. Correlation and Editorial Revision 1006
4. Courtesies and Privileges 1007
5. Credentials 1009
6. Fraternal Delegates 1041
7. Interim Committee on Rules 1042
8. Journal 1051
9. Plan of Organization and Rules of Order 1052
10. Presiding Officers 1082
11. Reference 1083
12. Tellers 1264

Standing Legislative Committees (Volume II)

1. Christian Social Concerns 1268
2. Conferences 1336
3. Education 1372
4. Lay Activities and Temporal Economy 1423
5. Membership and Evangelism 1471
6. Ministry 1496
7. Missions 1547
8. Pensions 1624
9. Publishing Interests 1643
10. Hospitals and Homes 1660
11. Interdenominational Relations 1674

12. Judicial Administration, Enabling Acts and Legal Forms 1689
13. Local Church 1696
14. Ritual and Orders of Worship 1716

V. Special Commissions, Committee Reports 1725

1. Church and State Relations, Commission on 1727
2. Church Union, Commission on 1751
3. Coordinating Council 1768
4. Family Life, General Committee on ... 1816
5. Interjurisdictional Relations, Commission on 1818
6. Methodist Corporation 1865
7. Methodist Historical Societies, Ass'n. of 1870
8. Structure of Methodism Overseas, Commission on 1876
9. Study of The Ministry, Committee on .. 1893
10. Trustees of The Methodist Church 1917
11. World Service and Finance, Council on 1920
12. Worship, Commission on 2116

VI. Resolutions of Appreciation—Deceased Judicial Council Members 2117

VII. Commissions, Committees, Etc., Authorized by the General Conference of 1964 2122

VIII. The General Conference, Sessions of 2144

IX. Conferences in The Methodist Church .. 2147

X. Assignment of Bishops to Jurisdictions and Areas 2150

XI. Sunday Preaching Assignments 2156

XII. Methodism—A World Wide Church 2159

XIII. Greetings 2166

XIV. Devotional Messages 2197

XV. Index 2255

I. COMMUNION SERVICE

Holy Communion

The Service "First of All" at the
GENERAL CONFERENCE
THE METHODIST CHURCH

TRINITY CATHEDRAL
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

Sunday, April 26, 1964 at 2:30 P.M.

Delegates to the General Conference meet "first of all" at the Lord's Table, in the spirit of St. Paul who wrote to the Corinthians, "I delivered unto you, first of all, that which I also received, how that Christ died for our sins according to the scriptures." This tradition expresses the supreme meaning of our coming together: we are a family, and One is our Lord and Master. . . . Here we bow the knee to the Name that is above every name—and omit every other name from the order of service . . . This act of worship is vitally related to everything that follows in the session of the General Conference—every act and attitude, all votes and legislation . . . Let all this be emphasized by remembering that when we leave the Lord's Table we are not merely dismissed: the "Dismissal" is really our Commission. We *go from* in order to *go forth*: from the Lord's Table, forth into all the world—commissioned!

Arise and go into all the world—
and go in remembrance of Him . . .

PARTICIPANTS IN THE SERVICE

Leader in the Service . . . . . Bishop W. Earl Ledden
Assisted by
The President of the Council of Bishops
Bishop Paul N. Garber

The President Designate of the Council of Bishops
BISHOP LLOYD C. WICKE
The Secretary of the Council of Bishops
BISHOP ROY H. SHORT
Bishops of the Jurisdictional Colleges:
Central Jurisdiction:
BISHOP CHARLES F. GOLDEN
BISHOP MARQUIS L. HARRIS
North Central Jurisdiction:
BISHOP EDWIN E. VOIGT
BISHOP RALPH TAYLOR ALTON
Northeastern Jurisdiction:
BISHOP FRED G. HOLLOWAY
BISHOP W. VERNON MIDDLETON
South Central Jurisdiction:
BISHOP PAUL MARTIN
BISHOP KENNETH W. COPELAND
Southeastern Jurisdiction:
BISHOP PAUL HARDIN, JR.
BISHOP JOHN OWEN SMITH
Western Jurisdiction:
BISHOP EVERETT W. PALMER
BISHOP A. RAYMOND GRANT
Representing Methodism Overseas:
BISHOPS ALEJANDRO, DODGE, SIGG, MONDOL, BARBIERI, AND AMSTUTZ

THE SERVICE

The Prelude—Eucharistic Suite ..... Arthur Mills

The Processional Hymn

The Church's One Foundation

(The congregation will stand at the first notes of the hymn and remain standing until after the singing of the Gloria Patri.)

The Church's one foundation Is Jesus Christ her Lord;
She is His new creation By water and the word:
From heaven He came and sought her To be His holy bride;
With His own blood He bought her, And for her life he died.

Elect from every nation, Yet one o'er all the earth,
Her charter of salvation, One Lord, one faith, one birth;
One holy Name she blesses, Partakes one holy food,
And to one hope she presses, With every grace endued.

'Mid toil and tribulation, And tumult of her war,
She waits the consummation Of peace for evermore;

Till, with the vision glorious, Her longing eyes are blest,
And the great Church victorious Shall be the Church at rest.

Yet she on earth hath union With God the Three in One,
And mystic sweet communion With those whose rest is won:
O happy ones and holy! Lord, give us grace that we,
Like them, the meek and lowly, On high may dwell with Thee. Amen.

The Call to Worship

(The Responses will be sung)

God is a Spirit. They that worship him must worship him in spirit and in truth.

Glory be to God on high.

God is Light. If we walk in the light, as he is in the light, we have fellowship one with another; and truly our fellowship is with the Father, and with his Son Jesus Christ.

Glory be to God on high.

God is Power. They that wait upon the Lord shall renew their strength; they shall mount up with wings as eagles; they shall run, and not be weary; and they shall walk, and not faint.

Glory be to God on high.

God is love. Behold, what manner of love the Father hath bestowed upon us, that we should be called the sons of God. Hereby perceive we the love of God, because he laid down his life for us.

Glory be to God on high.

(Then the Gloria Patri shall be sung)

Glory be to the Father and to the Son, and to the Holy Ghost; as it was in the beginning, is now, and ever shall be, world without end. Amen.

The Collect and The Lord's Prayer

(The congregation in the attitude of prayer will join the minister in these prayers)

Let us pray.

Almighty God, unto whom all hearts are open, all desires known, and from whom no secrets are hid; cleanse the thoughts of our hearts by the inspiration of thy Holy Spirit, that we may perfectly love thee, and worthily

magnify thy holy name; through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen.

Our Father who art in heaven, hallowed be Thy name; Thy kingdom come; Thy will be done on earth as it is in heaven. Give us this day our daily bread, and forgive us our trespasses, as we forgive those who trespass against us. And lead us not into temptation, but deliver us from evil. For Thine is the kingdom, and the power and the glory, forever. Amen.

The Summary of Divine Law

(The congregation in the attitude of prayer, and the response sung)

Hear what our Lord Jesus Christ saith:
Thou shalt love the Lord thy God with all thy heart, and with all thy soul, and with all thy mind. This is the first and great commandment. And the second is like unto it, Thou shalt love thy neighbor as thyself.

Lord, have mercy upon us, and write these Thy laws in our hearts, we beseech Thee.

The Beatitudes

(The congregation in the attitude of prayer, and the responses sung)

Hear the Beatitudes of our Lord Jesus Christ:
Blessed are the poor in spirit: for theirs is the kingdom of heaven.
Blessed are they that mourn: for they shall be comforted.
Blessed are the meek: for they shall inherit the earth.

Lord, be gracious unto us, and help us to obtain these blessings.

Blessed are they which do hunger and thirst after righteousness: for they shall be filled.
Blessed are the merciful: for they shall obtain mercy.
Blessed are the pure in heart: for they shall see God.
Blessed are the peacemakers: for they shall be called the children of God.

Lord, be gracious unto us, and help us to obtain these blessings.

Blessed are they which are persecuted for righteousness' sake: for theirs is the kingdom of heaven.
Blessed are ye, when men shall revile you, and persecute you, and shall say all manner of evil against you falsely, for My sake.
Rejoice, and be exceeding glad: for great is your reward in heaven: for so persecuted they the prophets who were before you.

Grant unto us Thy holy Spirit, O God, and enable us to obtain all these blessings, through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen.

The Anthem

"He Comes to Us" . . . . . *Jane M. Marshall*
Transcribed by J. Edward Moyer

"He comes to us as One unknown, without a name, as of old, by the lakeside He came to those men who knew Him not. He speaks to us the same word: 'Follow thou me!' and sets us to tasks which He has to fulfill for our time. He commands and to those who obey Him, whether they be wise or simple, He will reveal Himself in the toils, the conflicts, the sufferings which they shall pass through in His fellowship, and, as an ineffable mystery, they shall learn in their own experience who He is." Albert Schweitzer.

The Reading of the Epistle—I Cor. 15:1-10

The Reading of the Gospel—John 17:1-11

The Apostles' Creed

(The congregation standing and uniting)

I believe in God, the Father Almighty, Maker of heaven and earth; and in Jesus Christ, His only Son our Lord; who was conceived by the Holy Spirit, born of the Virgin Mary, suffered under Pontius Pilate, was crucified, dead and buried; the third day He rose from the dead; He ascended into heaven, and sitteth at the right hand of God the Father Almighty; from thence He shall come to judge the quick and the dead. I believe in the Holy Spirit; the holy catholic Church; the communion of saints; the forgiveness of sins; the resurrection of the body, and the life everlasting. Amen.

The Sermon . . The Senior Bishop of The Methodist Church (see Appendix, page 2160.)

The Offering

The Offertory Anthem—"Beautiful Savior"
Christiansen

(As the bishop receives the offering the people shall stand and sing, and remain standing through the Invitation)

All things come of thee, O Lord, and of thine own have we given thee. Amen.

The Communion Hymn—

Bread of the world in mercy broken,

Wine of the soul in mercy shed,
By whom the words of life were spoken,
And in whose death our sins are dead;

Look on the heart by sorrow broken,
Look on the tears by sinners shed;
And be Thy feast to us the token
That by Thy grace our souls are fed. Amen.

The Invitation

(The people shall remain standing while the bishop reads the invitation)

Hear now the Invitation to the Lord's Table
Ye that do truly and earnestly repent of your sins, and are in love and charity with your neighbors, and intend to lead a new life, following the commandments of God, and walking from henceforth in his holy ways; draw near with faith, and take this holy Sacrament to your comfort; and devoutly kneeling make your humble confession to Almighty God.

The General Confession

Almighty God, Father of our Lord Jesus Christ, maker of all things, judge of all men, we acknowledge and bewail our manifold sins and wickedness, which we from time to time most grievously have committed, by thought, word and deed, against Thy divine majesty. We do earnestly repent, and are heartily sorry for these our misdoings; the remembrance of them is grievous unto us. Have mercy upon us, have mercy upon us, most merciful Father, forgive us all that is past; and grant that we may ever hereafter serve and please Thee in newness of life, to the honor and glory of Thy name, through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen.

The Prayer for Pardon

Almighty God, our Heavenly Father, who of Thy great mercy hast promised forgiveness of sins to all them that with hearty repentance and true faith turn unto Thee, have mercy upon us; pardon and deliver us from all our sins; confirm and strengthen us in all goodness; and bring us to everlasting life, through Jesus Christ our Lord. **Amen.**

The Comfortable Words

Hear what the Scripture saith to those of a humble and contrite heart:
If any man sin, we have an advocate with the Father,

Jesus Christ the righteous: and he is the propitiation for our sins: and not for ours only, but also for the sins of the whole world.

This is a faithful saying, and worthy of all acceptation, that Christ Jesus came into the world to save sinners.

God so loved the world, that He gave His only begotten Son, that whosoever believeth in Him should not perish, but have everlasting life.

Come unto Me, all ye that labor and are heavy laden, and I will give you rest.

The Sursum Corda

(The bishop and congregation shall say:)

Lift up your hearts.

We lift them up unto the Lord.

Let us give thanks unto the Lord.

It is meet and right so to do.

The Sanctus

(Then the bishop, kneeling and facing the Lord's Table shall say:)

It is very meet, right and our bounden duty that we should at all times and in all places give thanks unto Thee, O Lord, holy Father, almighty, everlasting God.

(Then shall be sung:)

Therefore with Angels and Archangels, and with all the company of heaven, we laud and magnify Thy glorious name, evermore praising Thee, and saying: Holy, holy, holy, Lord God of hosts, heaven and earth are full of Thy glory. Glory be to Thee, O Lord most high!

The Prayer of Consecration—

(Then shall the bishop offer the prayer of consecration:)

Almighty God, our heavenly Father, who of thy tender mercy didst give thine only Son Jesus Christ to suffer death upon the cross for our redemption; who made there, by the one offering of himself, a full, perfect and sufficient sacrifice for the sins of the whole world; and did institute, and in His holy gospel command us to continue, this memorial of His precious death: hear us, O Merciful Father, we most humbly beseech Thee, and grant that we, receiving this bread and wine, according to Thy Son our Saviour Jesus Christ's holy institution, in remembrance of His death and passion, may also be partakers of the divine nature through Him, who in the

same night that He was betrayed took bread; and when He had given thanks, He brake it, and gave it to His disciples, saying, Take, eat; this is My body, which is given for you; do this in remembrance of Me. Likewise after supper He took the cup; and when He had given thanks, He gave it to them, saying, Drink ye all of this; for this is My blood of the new covenant which is shed for you, and for many, for the remission of sins; do this, as oft as ye shall drink it, in remembrance of Me. **Amen.**

The Prayer of Humble Access—

(Then shall the bishop, still kneeling before the Lord's Table, unite with the people in this prayer:)

We do not presume to come to this, Thy table, O merciful Lord, trusting in our own righteousness, but in Thy manifold and great mercies. We are not worthy so much as to gather up the crumbs under Thy table, but Thou art the same Lord, whose mercy is unfailing. Grant us, therefore gracious Lord, so to partake of these memorials of Thy Son Jesus Christ, that we may be filled with the fullness of His life, may grow into His likeness and may evermore dwell in Him, and He in us. Amen.

(Then shall the bishop first receive the Holy Communion in both kinds himself, after which he shall proceed to deliver the same to other celebrants in like manner. After this, the bishops shall administer the Holy Communion to the people, while they are devoutly kneeling)

The Communion—

(Before giving the bread, the bishop shall say:)

Jesus said, "This is My body which is given for you." Take and eat this in remembrance that Christ died for you, and feed on Him in your heart by faith, with thanksgiving.

(Likewise, before giving the cup he shall say:)

Jesus said, "This cup is the new covenant in My blood, which is shed for you." Drink this in remembrance that Christ died for you, and be thankful.

(When all have communed, the bishop shall place upon the Lord's Table what remains of the consecrated elements, covering the same with the linen cloth)

The Prayer of Oblation—

(Then shall the bishop and the people say:)

O Lord, our heavenly Father, we Thy humble servants, desire Thy fatherly goodness mercifully to accept this our sacrifice of praise and thanksgiving; most humbly beseeching Thee to grant, that, by the merits and death of Thy Son Jesus Christ and through faith in His blood, we and Thy whole church may obtain forgiveness of our sins,

and all other benefits of His passion. And here we offer and present unto Thee, O Lord, ourselves, our souls and bodies, to be a reasonable, holy, and living sacrifice unto Thee; humbly beseeching Thee that all we who are partakers of this Holy Communion may be filled with Thy grace and heavenly benediction, and although we be unworthy, through our manifold sins, to offer Thee any sacrifice, yet we beseech Thee to accept this our bounden duty and service; not weighing our merits, but pardoning our offenses, through Jesus Christ our Lord: by whom, and with whom, in the unity of the Holy Spirit, all honor and glory be unto Thee, O Father Almighty, world without end. Amen.

(Then shall be sung the Gloria in Excelsis, the people standing:)

Glory be to God on high, and on earth peace, good will toward men. We praise Thee, we bless Thee, we worship Thee, we glorify Thee, we give thanks to Thee for Thy great glory, O Lord God, Heavenly King, God the Father Almighty!
O Lord, the only-begotten Son Jesus Christ, O Lord God, Lamb of God, Son of the Father, that takest away the sins of the world, have mercy upon us. Thou that takest away the sins of the world, have mercy upon us. Thou that takest away the sins of the world, receive our prayer. Thou that sittest at the right hand of God the Father, have mercy upon us. For Thou only art holy; Thou only art the Lord; Thou only, O Christ, with the Holy Ghost, art most high in the glory of God the Father. Amen.

(Then shall the bishop let the people depart with this blessing:)

The peace of God, which passeth all understanding, keep your hearts and minds in the knowledge and love of God, and of His Son Jesus Christ our Lord; and the blessing of God Almighty, the Father, the Son, and the Holy Spirit, be among you, and remain with you always. **Amen.**

(The congregation will be seated with bowed heads for silent prayer and will retire as the ministers leave the Chancel and the organist plays the Postlude)

The Postlude—

The Sacrament of Holy Communion is administered by the Council of Bishops with the active participation of officers of the Council and of the several Jurisdictional Colleges of Bishops, and representative Bishops of the Central Conferences and the Autonomous Conferences related to The Methodist Church. . . . The Judicial Council joins the Council of Bishops in the processional . . . Bishop Herbert Welch, beloved senior bishop of The Methodist Church, is preaching the sermon . . . The ministry of music is provided by the Wesley Singers of Wesley Theological Seminary, Washington, D. C., Prof. J. Edward Moyer, director. Mr. V. Earle Copes is organist . . . The ushering is in charge of Mr. Arthur Barnes, assisted by clergy of the Western Pennsylvania Area . . . The Communion vessels and furnishings are provided by the Mount Lebanon Methodist Church . . . The Communion Offering is in response to the Alaska Earthquake Appeal . . . Deep appreciation is expressed to the Bishop, Dean and Vestry of Trinity Cathedral for providing the significant setting for this first meeting of the General Conference.

II

CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT

VOTE OF THE ANNUAL CONFERENCES ON

CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT

XII

VOTE

OF

THE

ANNUAL CONFERENCES

ON

CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT

NUMBER XII

VOTE OF THE ANNUAL CONFERENCES ON CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT 12

(From the records in the office of the Secretary of the General Conference, Leon T. Moore. The vote was taken on order of the General Conference of 1960.)

ANNUAL CONFERENCE	Present	Aye	Nay
Agra	76	0	74
Alabama-West Florida	518	166	352
Angola			
Argentina	95	93	2
Baltimore	367	362	5
Belgium	25	25	0
Bengal	47	0	43
Bolivia	34	29	5
Bombay	66	66	0
Burma	32	28	4
California-Nevada	506	501	5
Central Alabama	103	103	0
Central Congo	95	41	54
Central Germany	161	160	1
Central Illinois	239	238	1
Central Kansas	256	169	87
Central New York	137	135	2
Central Pennsylvania	231	150	81
Central Texas	279	6	273
Central West	76	72	0
Chile	44	44	0
Cuba		No Report	
Czechoslovakia		No Report	
Delaware	226	97	129
Delhi	77	1	76
Denmark	39	5	28
Detroit	226	208	18
East China		No Report	
East Tennessee	77	77	0
East Wisconsin	182	149	33
Erie	220	94	126
Florida (C)	101	47	42
Florida (SE)	520	201	319
Foochow		No Report	
Genesee	153	153	0
Georgia	115	114	1
Gujarat	77	77	0
Hinghwa		No Report	
Holston	409	34	375
Hyderabad	72	67	5
Idaho	74	69	5
Indiana	221	221	0
Indus River	43	43	0
Kansas	178	174	4
Kentucky	191	176	15
Kiangsi		No Report	
Lexington	162	161	1
Liberia	80	80	0
Little Rock	159	103	53
Louisiana (C)	120	119	1
Louisiana (SC)	435	16	419
Louisville	198	91	107
Lucknow	56	0	56
Madya Pradesh	40	35	5
Maine	86	86	0
Malaya	53	6	47
Malaysia Chinese	55	3	52
Memphis	208	132	76
Michigan	174	151	23
Mid-China		No Report	
Middle Philippines	82	82	0
Minnesota	370	360	10
Mississippi (C)	94	88	6
Mississippi (SE)	333	14	319
Missouri East	190	160	30
Missouri West	272	257	15
Montana	101	90	11
Moradabad	51	0	51
Nebraska	196	54	142
New England	231	225	6
New England Southern	161	136	25
New Hampshire	68	68	0
New Jersey	273	76	197
New Mexico	173	0	173
New York	205	203	2
New York East	141	80	61
Newark	315	315	0
North Alabama	440	100	340
North Arkansas	196	178	18
North Carolina (C)	77	73	4
North Carolina (SE)	375	33	342
North China		No Report	
North Dakota	51	50	1
North Georgia	471	217	254
North India	79	0	79
North Indiana	221	221	0
North Iowa	285	284	1
North Mississippi	231	66	165
North Texas	246	68	178
Northeast Germany	35	35	0
North-East Ohio	475	475	0
Northern New York	78	78	0
Northern Philippines	108	108	0
Northwest Germany	53	53	0
Northwest Indiana	245	211	34
Northwest Philippines	78	78	0
Northwest Texas	256	45	211
Norway	96	90	2
Ohio	798	787	11
Oklahoma	370	13	357
Oregon	149	149	0
Pacific Northwest	323	320	3
Peninsula	146	142	4
Philadelphia	203	8	195
Philippines	62	62	0
Pittsburgh	296	94	202
Poland	64	64	0
Rhodesia	76	76	0
Rio Grande	99	93	6
Rock River	380	373	7
Rocky Mountain	244	224	20
Sarawak	66	66	0
Shantung		No Report	
South Carolina (C)	121	121	0
South Carolina (SE)	414	151	263
South Dakota	132	95	37
South Georgia	381	317	63
South Germany	111	110	0
South India	68	66	2
South Iowa	281	268	13
Southeast Africa	72	60	10
So. California-Arizona	503	465	38
Southern Congo	54	23	31
Southern Illinois	210	210	0
Southwest	65	65	0
Southwest Germany	68	68	0
Southwest Texas	388	2	386
Sweden	157	0	157
Switzerland	145	145	0
Tennessee (C)	66	66	0
Tennessee (SE)	255	32	223
Texas (C)	130	113	6
Texas (SE)	428	297	131
Troy	161	161	0
Upper Mississippi	98	98	0
Virginia	660	226	434
Washington	252	252	0
West Texas	146	146	0
West Virginia	225	28	197
West Wisconsin	140	140	0
Western North Carolina	748	70	678
Wyoming	122	49	73
Yenping		No Report	

Number not voting, by conference: Agra, 2; Bengal, 4; Central West, 4; Denmark, 6; Florida (C), 12; Little Rock, 3; Norway, 4; South Georgia, 1; South Germany, 1; Southeast Africa, 2; Texas (C), 11.

I hereby certify that of this date, April 26, 1964, the following TOTAL VOTE on Constitutional Amendment No. 12, as shown by the records in my office, is as follows:

Total Votes Cast	25,593
Voting Aye	16,364
Voting Nay	9,229

LEON T. MOORE, *Secretary*
The General Conference
The Methodist Church

III

DECISIONS

OF THE

JUDICIAL COUNCIL

OF

THE METHODIST CHURCH

Numbers 176-216

1960-1964

THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

Elected by the Uniting Conference of the Methodist Episcopal Church, the Methodist Episcopal Church, South, and the Methodist Protestant Church held at Kansas City, Missouri, April 26-May 10, 1939.

Abbreviations:—NE, Northeastern Jurisdiction; SE, Southeastern Jurisdiction; C, Central Jurisdiction; NC, North Central Jurisdiction; SC, South Central Jurisdiction; W, Western Jurisdiction; OS, Overseas.

A list of the members of the Judicial Council from 1939 to 1956 may be found in the Journal of the 1956 General Conference of The Methodist Church and those of 1956-1960 in the Journal of the 1960 General Conference.

Quadrenniums of 1960-1964 and 1964-1968

Elected by the 1960 General Conference, The Methodist Church

Denver, Colorado, April 27-May 7

President: *Lester A. Welliver,* 1311 Vernon Street, Harrisburg, Pa.
Vice-President: Paul R. Ervin, Attorneys Bldg., 806 E. Trade Street, Charlotte, N. C.
Secretary: *A. Wesley Pugh,* 401 W. Bougainvillea, Lehigh Acres, Florida.

Terms expiring 1964

A. Wesley Pugh ..... North Indiana—NC
Lester A. Welliver ..... Central Pennsylvania—NE
R. F. Curl ..... Southwest Texas—SC
Vincent P. Clarke ..... New England—NE
Donald A. Odell ..... Southern California-Arizona—W

Terms Expiring 1968

**John D. Humphrey* ..... North Mississippi—SE

* Replaced W. Lemuel Clegg, deceased.

J. Russell Throckmorton ..... Central Kansas—SC
Theodore M. Berry ..... Lexington—C
Paul R. Ervin ..... Western North Carolina—SE

RESERVES

Terms expiring 1964

H. Hughes Wagner ..... New England—NE
Ben H. Christner ..... Rocky Mountain—W
Walter G. Williams ..... Rocky Mountain—W
Robert D. Blue ..... North Iowa—NC
Leon E. Hickman ..... Western Pennsylvania—NE

Terms Expiring 1968

Ralph M. Houston ..... New York—NE
Ivan Lee Holt, Jr. ..... Missouri East—SC
Lester L. Cecil ..... Ohio—NC
Samuel W. Witwer ..... Rock River—NC

Quadrenniums of 1964-1968 and 1968-1972

Elected by the 1964 General Conference, The Methodist Church

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, April 27-May 8

President: Paul R. Ervin, Attorneys Bldg., 806 E. Trade Street, Charlotte, N. C.
Vice-President: *Murray H. Leiffer,* 721 Foster Street, Evanston, Illinois, 60201.
Secretary: *John D. Humphrey,* Drawer U, Grenada, Miss., 38901.

Terms expiring 1968

Theodore M. Berry ..... Lexington—C
Paul R. Ervin ..... Western North Carolina—SE
John D. Humphrey ..... North Mississippi—SE
J. Russell Throckmorton ..... Central Kansas—SC

Terms expiring 1972

Leon E. Hickman ..... Western Pennsylvania—NE
Murray H. Leiffer ..... Southern California-Arizona—W
A. Wesley Pugh ..... North Indiana—NC
Lester A. Welliver ..... Central Pennsylvania—NE
Samuel W. Witwer ..... Rock River—NC

RESERVES

Terms expiring 1968

Robert Blue ..... North Iowa—NC
Lester L. Cecil ..... Ohio—NC
J. H. Chitwood ..... North Alabama—SE

Donald E. Holbrook ..... Michigan—NC
Ivan Lee Holt, Jr. ..... Missouri East—SC
Ralph M. Houston ..... New York—NE
Jack M. Tuell ..... Pacific Northwest—W

Terms expiring 1972

Vincent P. Clarke ..... New England—NE
Charles B. Copher ..... Lexington—C
R. F. Curl ..... Southwest Texas—SC
Richard C. Erwin ..... North Carolina—C
Fremont C. Fletcher ..... Minnesota—NC
J. Carlyle Holler ..... South Carolina—SE
Donald A. Odell ..... Southern California-Arizona—W
Harold S. Swales ..... Central New York—NE
Walter G. Williams ..... Rocky Mountain—W

LIST OF DECISIONS
1960-1964

The figures at the right refer to the special page number of this section of the Journal.

Page

Personnel of Judicial Council 1960-1964 952
Preface 952
Rules of Practice and Procedure 954

Decision No.

176. Constitutionality of Resolution of General Conference Relating to the So-Called Sit-in Demonstrations .....
177. Request of General Conference for Opinion Concerning Procedure Regarding Consecration and Induction of Bishops .....
178. The Right of a Conference Board of Missions (and Church Extension) to Purchase and Hold Property .....
179. Ruling of Bishop Hazen G. Werner in the North-East Ohio Conference as to the Right of the Annual Conference to Enact a Compulsory Apportionment to the Ministerial Members for the Support of the Minimum Salary Plan .....
180. Ruling of Bishop Nolan B. Harmon Relative to the Authority of the Western North Carolina Conference to Grant Annuities on an Adjusted Basis to Conference Members Related to Approved Institutions That Have Pension Plans .....
181. The Request of the Texas Conference for a Declaratory Decision Whether a Retired Minister Serving a Charge as a Supply Pastor May Be Lawfully Required to Pay a Portion of His Salary to a Fund for Pensions .....
182. Action of the Northeastern Jurisdictional Conference with Respect to the Election of a Bishop by the Africa Central Conference and Reassignment of Bishop Newell S. Booth .....
183. Constitutionality of Legislation Adopted by the 1960 General Conference Providing for the Election by the General Conference of the Required Number of Members From Each Jurisdiction to the General Board of Pensions .....
184. Request of the West Virginia Annual Conference for an Opinion as to the Legality or Legal Effect of the Amendment of One of Its Standing Rules to Permit the Election of Supply Pastors, Who Have Been Ordained Elders and Are Serving Under Episcopal Appointment, to Membership on Conference Boards and Agencies ..
185. Petition from the Northwest Philippines Annual Conference on Eligibility of Candidates for Conference Treasurer .....
186. Ruling of Bishop Alejandro on Whether St. Paul's Church Is Entitled to Two Lay Members to the Philippines Annual Conference When One of the Ministers Appointed to It Belongs to Another Conference .....

187. Ruling of Bishop D. D. Alejandro in the Philippines Annual Conference As to the Legality of the Readmission on Trial of Miguel Fernandez .....
188. Whether Rally Day Funds May Legally Be Absorbed Into the Total Annual Conference Council Budget, Which Includes the Budget Askings of the Various Agencies, Boards and Commissions of the Conference and Also the Administrative Expenses of the Conference Council.
189. Request of the Virginia Annual Conference for Declaratory Decision as to the Right of Conference Trustees to Lend Money.
190. The Right of the North Carolina Annual Conference to Direct Its Trustees Concerning the Investment of Trust Funds.
191. Request of the North Carolina Annual Conference (SEJ) for a Declaratory Decision Interpreting the Powers of the Conference Board of Education and the Conference Commission on Higher Education as Set Forth in Paragraphs 1450 and 1452 of the 1960 Discipline.
192. Ruling of Bishop Richard C. Raines Concerning the Readmission of R. W. Parsley, a Voluntarily Located Person to the Indiana Annual Conference.
193. Request of the Central Conference of Southern Asia for a Declaratory Decision as to the Powers of Said Conference to Act Under a Possible Future Enabling Act of the General Conference Not Yet Passed or Granted.
194. Equity of Central Pennsylvania Annual Conference in Washington Area Episcopal Residence Fund.
195. The Ruling of Bishop Jose L. Valencia Regarding Eligibility of Lay Delegates to a Provisional Annual Conference.
196. The Eligibility of a Member of the Judicial Council for Administrative Service on the Annual Conference or District Level.
197. Ruling of Bishop James W. Henley Made During the District Conference of the Tampa District of the Florida Conference Concerning the Status of An Elder on Voluntary Location.
198. Request of the South Central Jurisdictional Council for a Declaratory Decision as to Whether It or the General Board of Education Has Authority to Fill Vacancies in the General Board of Education Between Sessions of the South Central Jurisdictional Conference.
199. Compulsory Retirement of a Bishop of a Central Conference.
200. Request of the Commission on Entertainment and Program of the 1964 General Conference for An Opinion as to Whether or Not the Tenure Rule in Paragraph 1104 Is Applicable to This Commission.
201. Request of the Central Kansas Annual Conference for a Declaratory Decision Concerning the Eligibility of Mrs. Margaret Rickard for a Pension Under Paragraph 1620.5.
202. Request of the New England Annual Conference for a Declaratory Decision as to the Legality of a Conference Action Concerning Pension Payments of a Federated Church Served by a Conference Member.
203. Ruling of Bishop D. D. Alejandro in the Philippines Annual Conference Regarding Annual Conference Responsibility for Annuity Claim of a Minister.
204. Request of the Southern Illinois Conference for a Declaratory Decision on the Constitutionality of Paragraphs

304.2, 392, and 402, Limiting the Ministerial Functions of Approved Supply Pastors.

205. Tenure of Members of the General Committee on Family Life.
206. The Effective Years of Service of Ad-Interim Local Preachers.
207. Request of the Lexington Annual Conference for a Declaratory Decision Involving Paragraph 127 of the 1960 Discipline.
208. Ruling of Bishop Nolan B. Harmon in North Alabama Annual Conference Session of 1962.
209. Tenure of Members of the Methodist Committee for Overseas Relief.
210. Petition of the Boundaries Committee of the Northeastern Jurisdiction of The Methodist Church for a Declaratory Decision as to the Status of Bishop Newell Booth with Respect to An Assignment to An Area in the Northeastern Jurisdiction.
211. Constitutionality of Paragraph 680 of the Discipline Implementing Amendment IX of the Constitution of The Methodist Church.
212. Request of General Board of Christian Social Concerns for Answers to Certain Questions Without Reference to Any Act or Legislation of the General Conference or Paragraph or Paragraphs of the Discipline.
213. Request of the Nebraska Annual Conference for a Declaratory Decision as to the Legality of a Resolution of Its Board of Pensions.
214. Constitutionality of Legislation Adopted by the 1964 General Conference Providing for the Composition of the General Board of Pensions.
215. Request of the Commission on the Structure of Methodism Overseas for a Declaratory Decision Concerning the Necessity for a Constitutional Amendment to Remove the Word CUBA From Paragraph 26, Article I, of the Constitution of The Methodist Church and Regarding the Source of Authority for Granting Autonomy to a Church Requesting the Same.
216. Request of the Council of Bishops for a Reconsideration of Decision No. 163 Regarding the Constitutionality of Paragraph 431.7 of the Discipline.

Decisions Nos. 1-87 inclusive will be found in the 1952 Journal of the General Conference, pages 831-1023 inclusive, or in Volume 1 of the Published Decisions. Decisions 88-130 inclusive will be found in the 1956 Journal of the General Conference, pages 1059-1061 inclusive. Decisions 164-175 inclusive will be found in the 1960 Journal of the General Conference, pages 983-1009 inclusive. Decisions 1-216 inclusive will be found in "Decisions of The Judicial Council of The Methodist Church, Numbers 1-216, 1940-1964" published by The Methodist Publishing House, 201 Eighth Avenue, South, Nashville 3, Tennessee.

PREFACE

In framing the Plan of Union for the three Methodist organizations, existing prior to 1939, which, when adopted by The Uniting Conference, became the Constitution of The Methodist Church, provision was made for a Judicial Council with powers and duties therein set forth.

For ready reference there is included in this volume the Rules of Practice and Procedure adopted by The Judicial Council. The Constitutional Provisions as to The Council and legislation of the General Conference relating thereto are not repeated herein, but reference is made to *Discipline* 1956, Paragraphs 901 to 918 inclusive.

The personnel of The Judicial Council as of May 8, 1964 is as follows:

President ..... Paul R. Ervin
Attorneys Bldg., 806 E. Trade Street, Charlotte, N. C.
Vice-President ..... *Murray H. Leiffer*
721 Foster Street, Evanston, Illinois 60201
Secretary ..... *John D. Humphrey*
Drawer U, Grenada, Miss. 38901

Ministerial	*Term Expires*
John D. Humphrey (North Mississippi—SE)	1968
J. Russell Throckmorton (Central Kansas—SC)	1968
Murray H. Leiffer (Southern California-Arizona—W)	1972
A. Wesley Pugh (North Indiana—NC)	1972
Lester A. Welliver (Central Pennsylvania)	1972

Lay	
Theodore M. Berry (Lexington—C)	1968
Paul R. Ervin (Western North Carolina—SE)	1968
Leon E. Hickman (Western Pennsylvania—NE)	1972
Samuel W. Witwer (Rock River—NC)	1972

RESERVES

Ministerial	
J. H. Chitwood (North Alabama—SE)	1968
Ralph M. Houston (New York—NE)	1968
Jack M. Tuell (Pacific Northwest—W)	1968
Charles B. Copher (Lexington—C)	1972
R. F. Curl (Southwest Texas—SC)	1972
Richard C. Erwin (North Carolina—C)	1972

Harold S. Swales (Central New York—NE) . . . 1972
Walter G. Williams (Rocky Mountain—W) 1972

Lay

Robert Blue (North Iowa—NC) 1968
Lester L. Cecil (Ohio—NC) 1968
Donald E. Holbrook (Michigan—NC) 1968
Ivan Lee Holt, Jr. (Missouri East—SC) 1968
Vincent P. Clarke (New England—NE) 1972
Fremont C. Fletcher (Minnesota—NC) 1972
J. Carlyle Holler (South Carolina—SE) 1972
Donald A. Odell
(Southern California-Arizona—W) 1972

RULES OF PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

1. *Officers*—The Officers of The Judicial Council shall be a President, a Vice-President, a Secretary and an Assistant Secretary, to be elected quadrennially by a majority vote of the Council, provided that no officer shall be elected to succeed himself in any particular office.

2. *Duties of President*—The President shall perform all the duties incident to the office of a Presiding Officer of a Judicial Body, including the right to call The Judicial Council into session, as provided by the *Discipline.*

3. *Duties of the Vice-President*—In case of absence or inability of the President, or at the request of the President, the Vice-President shall preside over part or all of any session of The Judicial Council and perform all duties devolving upon the Presiding Officer while so presiding at such session.

4. *Duties of the Secretary*—The Secretary shall perform all duties incident to the position of Secretary or Clerk of a Judicial Body, and such other duties as shall be requested of him by The Judicial Council, among which shall be:

a) To keep a correct and complete record of all proceedings of The Judicial Council, including discussions, opinions, and all other actions taken by The Council.

b) To keep the docket and perform the duties incident thereto, as hereinafter provided.

c) To furnish certified copy or copies of the record of the action of The Judicial Council, or any matter determined by it, to the party or parties interested and to such others as may have a right thereto.

d) To send to the Secretary of The Council of Bishops certified copies of all Decisions of The Judicial Council on questions of law, as provided in Paragraph 909.

e) To notify the President of The Judicial Council immediately upon the filing of any matter submitted to The Judicial Council for determination, giving him a full and complete statement of the matter involved, together with such additional data as he may deem necessary.

5. *Duties of the Assistant Secretary*—He shall assist the Secretary in the performance of his duties as requested and in the absence or inability of the Secretary his duties shall include the power to act in the capacity of the Secretary.

6. *Docket*—All matters of whatsoever kind and character which may be brought before The Judicial Council for determination shall be filed in consecutive order by the Secretary and shall be reported fully to The Council. At the conclusion of any annual session, or General Conference session, of The Judicial Council, all papers, documents,

and exhibits in all matters finally disposed of shall be sent by the Secretary for safekeeping to the library of Drew University.

7. *Proceedings Preparatory for Hearing.*—*a*) When any matter is appealed to The Judicial Council for determination the document or documents and exhibits setting forth the same shall be filed with the Secretary of The Judicial Council, and entered by him upon the docket of The Council.

b) When a cause has been placed on the docket of The Judicial Council, the Secretary thereof shall, within thirty (30) days from said date, furnish to each member of The Council a copy of the document or documents and exhibits setting forth such appeal, or a careful and accurate digest thereof.

8. *Arguments*—Interested parties may be heard in person, or by others appearing for them, or both, but not more than two on the same side shall be heard except by consent of The Council. Arguments shall be limited to one hour for each side; but upon request before the argument is begun, The Council may allow such additional time as it may deem necessary for an adequate presentation of the issues involved.

9. *Interested Persons Not Parties May Be Heard.*—Any person or persons not parties to the record, but interested in a question of law pending before The Judicial Council may, with the consent of The Council, be heard thereon before The Council in session.

10. *Decisions.*—All Decisions by The Council shall be in writing and shall be accompanied by an opinion in which the reasons upon which it is based shall be stated with a citation of the pertinent authorities, and shall show whether or not all members of The Council concur in the Decision, giving the names of such members as do not concur. Any member of The Council who dissents may give in writing the reasons for his dissent, which shall be entered of record.

11. *Approval and Signing of the Record.*—The record of all sessions of The Judicial Council shall be approved by The Council in session and signed by the President and attested by the Secretary.

12. *No Discussions Outside Council Meetings.*—The members of The Judicial Council will not permit discussion with them on matters pending before them, or that may be referred to them for determination, save and except before The Judicial Council in session.

13. *Rules May Be Amended.*—These Rules may be amended, repealed, or extended, at any session of The Judicial Council by a majority vote thereof.

Decision No. 204

In Re: Request of the Southern Illinois Conference for a Declaratory Decision On the Constitutionality of Paragraphs 304.2, 392 and 402, Limiting the Ministerial Functions of Approved Supply Pastors.

DIGEST OF DECISION

Paragraphs 304.2, 392, and 402 of the 1960 *Discipline* which limit the authority of an unordained or ordained local preacher in the exercise of his ministerial functions to the charge to which he is appointed or in which he resides are constitutional.

The alleged inconsistency which is implied between paragraphs 353 and 363 which mention no limitations on local preachers serving as evangelists and paragraphs 304.2, 392 and 402, which indicate limitations as noted above, does not render Paragraphs 304.2, 392 and 402 unconstitutional.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

At the 1962 session of the Southern Illinois Conference, the following resolution was adopted:

"*Whereas,*
the 1960 General Conference of our church passed some rather strict legislation on the ministry of approved supply pastors,
"*And Whereas,*
the approved supply pastors and the executive session of the Annual Conference have requested the Board of Ministerial Training and Qualifications to seek clarification on the meaning of and legality of the said legislation,
"*Therefore,*
be it resolved that the Southern Illinois Annual Conference request The Judicial Council of The Methodist Church to give a declaratory decision on the constitutionality of Paragraphs 304.2, 392 and 402 insofar as they limit the ministerial function of the approved supply pastor, and insofar as they appear inconsistent with Paragraphs 353 and 363."

JURISDICTION

The Judicial Council has jurisdiction in this matter under the provisions of Paragraph 914.8 of the 1960 *Discipline.*

ANALYSIS

Each of the three paragraphs in question, 304.2, 392 and 402, restricts the authority of a local preacher, whether ordained or not, in the exercise of his ministerial functions to the charge to which he is appointed or in which he resides. The first question raised is whether or not this restriction makes the paragraphs cited unconstitutional.

Article IV of Division Two, Section 1, of the Constitution, which is Paragraph 8.2 of the 1960 *Discipline,* reads as follows:

"The General Conference shall have full legislative power over all matters distinctively connectional and in the exercise of said powers shall have authority as follows: . . .
"2. To define and fix the qualifications and duties of elders, deacons, supply pastors, local preachers, exhorters, and deaconesses."

The above quoted provision of the Constitution clearly gives the General Conference power to define and fix the qualifications and duties of both ordained and unordained ministers. The dictionary definition of "to define" is "to mark the limits or boundaries of." In the exercise of this power granted by the Constitution, the General Conference in Paragraphs 304.2, 392 and 402 saw fit to fix certain limits or boundaries to the authority of local preachers, both ordained and unordained, in the exercise of their ministerial functions, namely, the charge to which they are appointed or in which they reside. The question raised is whether this legislation placing limitations, especially on local preachers who have been ordained deacons or elders, is constitutional.

Ordination occupies an important place in Methodist polity. Its meaning has been interpreted historically by Methodism, as the public recognition of the call of God to a person to become a minister. Through it, the church gives authority to the ordained to preach the Word and administer the Sacraments, but within the framework of the rules and regulations of the church conferring ordination, as from time to time enacted by the duly constituted authority of that church. In Methodism, this is the General Conference. Ordination, therefore, in The Methodist Church, does not confer authority on the one ordained to exercise ministerial functions beyond the limits defined and fixed by the General Conference. In other words, the act of ordination confers authority to perform certain ministerial functions, but the General Conference has constitutional authority to define when, where, and under what circumstances these ministerial functions may be performed while the one performing them remains in good standing in The Methodist Church.

This principle finds expression in several paragraphs which have been part of the *Discipline* for a number of years. For example, in Paragraphs 493 and 693 of the 1960 *Discipline*, a minister, either traveling or local, whether ordained or unordained, is prohibited from exercising his ministerial functions within the bounds of a charge not his own, when requested by the preacher in charge or the District Superintendent not to do so.

Likewise, in Paragraph 374, an ordained member of an Annual Conference, who is voluntarily located, is restricted in the exercise of his ministerial functions to the charge where he resides or to which he is appointed. Paragraph 377 provides that an ordained member of an Annual Conference who is involuntarily located, shall have his right to exercise the ministerial functions suspended. It is to be noted that these restrictions are placed on certain ministers even though they have received the same ordination as all others who have been ordained.

It is alleged in briefs presented to the Council that the restrictions placed on ordained approved supply pastors work a hardship on them as well as on the work of the church in general. This may be the case. It should be noted, however, that the Judicial Council does not have the responsibility to determine the wisdom of legislation passed by the General Conference, nor the effects of such legislation, salutary or otherwise. The Council passes solely its legality and constitutionality.

The other question raised in the request is that of the constitutionality of Paragraphs 304.2, 392 and 402, insofar as they appear inconsistent with Paragraphs 353 and 363.

The Judicial Council holds that any apparent inconsistency of Paragraphs 304.2, 392 and 402 enacted in 1960 with Paragraphs 353 and 363 enacted prior to that date does not render the later legislation invalid or unconstitutional. On the contrary, so long as the legislation is within the constitutional authority of the General Confer-

ence, as we hold above, the latest enactment of the General Conference, to the extent that it appears inconsistent with prior legislation, constitutes a limitation or modification of the earlier law. The last expression of the legislative authority controls. Thus the apparent unrestricted area of service of a local preacher serving as an evangelist as provided in Paragraphs 353 and 363 has been modified by the later enactment limiting the area of service of all local preachers.

Decision

It is therefore the decision of the Judicial Council that:

1. Paragraphs 304.2, 392 and 402 of the 1960 *Discipline*, which limit the authority of an unordained or ordained local preacher in the exercise of his ministerial functions to the charge to which he is appointed or in which he resides are constitutional.

2. The alleged inconsistency between Paragraphs 304.2, 392 and 402, and 353 and 363 implied by the lack of any references in Paragraphs 353 and 363 to limitations on the exercise of his ministerial functions by a local preacher serving as an evangelist, is not sufficient grounds for declaring Paragraphs 304.2, 392 and 402 unconstitutional.

LESTER A. WELLIVER, President
A. WESLEY PUGH, Secretary

May 1, 1964.

Dissenting Opinion

R. F. Curl, John D. Humphrey, A. Wesley Pugh, and J. Russell Throckmorton dissent on the grounds that:

(1) The Methodist Church has historically recognized ordination as a permanent authorization, so long as life and conduct becometh the gospel, to a full ministry in the "Church of God," subject only to abuse of such authorization which abuse is amply guarded against by the provisions of Paragraphs 943 and 963;

(2) the distinction between "local preachers" and "travelling preachers" is a distinction based on relationship to the Annual Conference and not on differences in ordination and the rights and responsibilities attendant upon ordination;

(3) local and travelling preachers ordained either as deacons or elders receive the same ordination, are given the same parchments, and have identical authorizations;

(4) if the General Conference may by legislation limit the authority of one group or class of deacons or elders it may likewise limit the authorization of any other group, such as, district superintendents, bishops, board secretaries,

retired elders or deacons, members of theological faculties, et al.;

(5) the authority given by and recognized in ordination is protected by Restrictive Rule No. 3 (Par. 9.3, 1960 *Discipline*) since the ultimate penalty the Church can assess against an ordained minister is to deprive him of the authority given and recognized in an ordination which can be lost only by death, surrender of credentials, or through due trial.

Decision No. 205

In Re: Tenure of Members of the General Committee on Family Life.

DIGEST OF DECISION

The provisions of Paragraph 1104 of the 1960 *Discipline* limiting the tenure of members of any division, board, commission or council to twelve consecutive years do not apply to or limit the tenure of members of the General Committee on Family Life.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

The General Committee on Family Life presents its petition requesting a declaratory decision interpreting the provisions of Paragraph 1104 of the 1960 *Discipline* as the same relates to the tenure in office of members of that Committee. The Committee asks, "Does the twelve year rule (provided in that Paragraph) apply to members of this Committee?"

JURISDICTION

The Judicial Council has jurisdiction in this matter under the provisions of Paragraph 914.2 of the 1960 *Discipline*.

ANALYSIS

Part VII of the 1960 *Discipline* consisting of 16 chapters is entitled and relates to the administrative agencies of the Church.

Chapter VIII of this Part is entitled "Board of Education" and contains the law of the Church relating to the organization and administration of the educational agencies of the Church. Paragraph 1417, which is a part of this chapter, provides that "There shall be a General Committee on Family Life which shall be related administratively to the Division of the Local Church and shall cooperate with its Department of the Christian Family to promote activities of a creative nature."

Chapter I of Part VII consisting of Paragraphs 1101 to 1108, inclusive, contains general provisions relating to the administrative agencies of the Church. Paragraph 1104 provides that "Tenure on any division, board, commission or council except the Council of Bishops and The Judicial Council shall be limited to twelve consecutive years." It is noted that the limiting provisions of this Paragraph make no reference to any "committee."

The legislative history of this section is as follows: Prior to the

General Conference of 1952 there was no such or similar provision in the law of the Church.

At the General Conference held in April, 1952, in San Francisco, California, certain recommendations were made to the Conference by the Survey Commission which had been established by the preceding General Conference. Among other things the Survey Commission proposed certain legislation, the major portion of which dealt with the administrative agencies of the Church. Various additions to and revisions of the law of the Church were suggested. The first of these suggestions was that there be added to the law of the Church a definitive statement with respect to administrative agencies as follows: "The Administrative Agencies of The Methodist Church, hereinafter called the General Agencies, shall include all *committees*, commissions, bureaus or sections, divisions, boards, departments and councils (except the Council of Bishops and The Judicial Council) created by the General Conference for the purpose of performing a specific function or functions." The Commission also suggested and recommended the adoption of legislation as follows: "Tenure on any division, board, commission or council shall hereafter be limited to eight consecutive years."

The General Conference of 1952 having this report and recommendations before it adopted legislation codified as Paragraph 1104 of the *Discipline* as follows, "Tenure on any division, board, commission or council except the Council of Bishops and the Judicial Council shall be limited to eight consecutive years, provided however that this limitation shall take effect from the General Conference of 1952 and shall not be retroactive."

The General Conference did not define the administrative agencies to include committees as suggested by the Survey Commission and it did not include the word "committee" in Paragraph 1104. If the General Conference had intended to limit tenure on committees and other groups or bodies in addition to those specifically mentioned, it could and should have included the same in the law of the church at the time of the adoption of Paragraph 1104. This it did not do.

The General Conference of 1956 amended the paragraph to provide that tenure be limited to twelve years. It did not modify or change the list of bodies upon which tenure was limited.

Because of this legislative history and the further fact that Paragraph 1417, establishing the General Committee on Family Life, and Paragraph 1104, imposing limitations upon tenure without including the word "*committees*" in its limiting provisions, are included in the same Part of the *Discipline*, it is clear that the General Conference, as the legislative body of the Church, by its specific reference to certain designated categories of bodies of the Church and its omission to mention others therein intended that those bodies of the Church not designated as divisions, boards, commissions or councils be excluded and excepted from the provisions of Paragraph 1104 limiting tenure of members to twelve consecutive years.

Decision

It is, therefore, the decision of the Judicial Council that since Paragraph 1104 of the 1960 *Discipline* does not include committees in its limiting provisions it is not applicable to the membership of the General Committee on Family Life.

April 29, 1964.

Decision No. 206

In Re: The Effective Years of Service of Ad-Interim Local Preachers.

DIGEST OF DECISION

Local Preachers may count their years of approved effective service only as defined by the provisions of the *Discipline*.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

The Judicial Council received the following letter from the Secretary of the Northern Philippines Annual Conference:

March 10, 1963

"The Judicial Council
Through the President
Sirs:

"By action of the Northern Philippines Annual Conference now in session today, Ilagan, Isabela, Philippines, the undersigned, Eduardo B. Garcia, as Conference Secretary is authorized to elevate to your eminent court a motion to wit:

"'A motion to elevate to the Judicial Council for Clarification as to whether the years of service for ad-interim Local Preachers appointed this Conference Year and the Conference years succeeding thereto be counted as their effective years of service.' Approved."

"Waiting for your favorable action on the above motion elevated to your eminent court for clarification.

Sincerely yours,
(s) Eduardo B. Garcia
Conference Secretary"

JURISDICTION

The Judicial Council has jurisdiction under the provision contained in Paragraph 914.8 of the 1960 *Discipline*.

ANALYSIS

In the absence of any mention of specific paragraphs of the *Discipline*, subject to more than one interpretation, or of doubtful meaning, or application, and there likewise being no reference in the data received to administrative paragraphs of a Central Conference Discipline, as provided in Paragraph 19, Article Four, Section 5, 1960 *Discipline*, the analysis and decision contained herein are based upon the paragraphs of the *Discipline* pertinent to the question presented in the foregoing appeal.

Inasmuch as Paragraph 315.2 provides for the appointment of a local preacher in the interim between conference sessions, the Judicial Council assumes that this paragraph along with related paragraphs, and paragraphs pertaining to pension legislation, constitute the basis for the appeal.

"Between conference sessions a local preacher not on the approved supply pastors list may be appointed as a supply pastor. If he fails to be approved at the following conference session, he cannot thereafter serve as a supply pastor until he is approved."

If he is so approved at the following session of the Annual Conference he is eligible for appointment the following year and succeed-

ing years thereto so long as he continues to be approved each year.

Par. 315.1 states the requirements for an approved supply pastor: "A local preacher desiring to become, or to continue, as an approved supply pastor must have his character, fitness, training, and effectiveness passed by a three-fourth majority of the District Committee on Ministerial Qualifications, and by the Annual Conference after reference to and recommendation by the Board of Ministerial Training and Qualifications."

The question raised by the Northern Philippines Annual Conference is whether an ad-interim local preacher appointed this conference year, and conference years succeeding thereto, may count these years as effective years of service. It is assumed this means years of approved service for pension purposes.

It is obvious that he cannot count the first year of his appointment if he is not on the approved supply list. Likewise it is clear that he cannot be reappointed following his first year except he be an approved supply pastor.

Par. 1631.1 clearly defines the basis upon which an approved supply pastor may compute his years of approved service:

"An approved supply pastor who shall have qualified under Pars. 314-17, and who shall have rendered not less than four consecutive years of full-time approved service in one Annual Conference as pastor of a charge may upon retirement make an annuity claim as a special conference claimant; provided, however, that any period of less than one full year of service in any conference may not be counted; . . . Full time approved service for which pension credit may be given shall mean service under appointment as pastor of a charge for which the pastor's cash support per annum from all church sources shall be not less than the minimum salary established by the conference for full time approved supply pastors."

Decision

It is therefore the decision of the Judicial Council that a local preacher appointed in the interim between sessions of Annual Conferences may not count said year of his appointment as an approved service year and that he may count his subsequent years only after he has rendered not less than four consecutive years of service in one Annual Conference as pastor of a charge for which the pastor's cash support per annum from all church sources shall be not less than the minimum salary established by the conference for full time approved supply pastors.

Decision No. 207

In Re: Request of the Lexington Annual Conference for a Declaratory Decision Involving Paragraph 127 of The 1960 Discipline.

DIGEST OF DECISION

1. The Quarterly Conference has sole authority to determine whether or not members may be dropped from the membership roll of a local Methodist Church.

2. The "five year clause" in Paragraph 127 begins with the date the pastor and special committee initiates the process outlined, but in no case can it begin prior to May 7, 1960.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

The Secretary of the Judicial Council received the following letter and transcript of proceedings of the Lexington Annual Conference, regarding Paragraph 127 of the 1960 *Discipline*, from Bishop M. W. Clair, Jr.:

January 24, 1964

Dr. A. Wesley Pugh
401 West Bougainvillea
Lehigh Acres, Florida

"Dear Dr. Pugh:

"The Journal of the 1963 session of the Lexington Annual Conference has just come off the press.

"I have been requested by the Conference to seek a Judicial Council decision on a matter which arose at that session. Journal reference to this matter can be found on pages 27-28, under the 'Report of the Conference Statistician.' I am enclosing a transcript of the proceedings in this matter.

"With every good wish to you, I am.

Cordially yours,

(s) M. W. CLAIR, JR."

MWC jr:sc
enclosure

"LEXINGTON ANNUAL CONFERENCE in session
Gorham Methodist Church
Indianapolis, Indiana
"Saturday afternoon, May 18, 1963
Presiding, Bishop M. W. Clair, Jr.

"REPORT OF THE CONFERENCE STATISTICIAN; Report read by C. M. Harris.

"Questions arose regarding the termination of names on the membership roll. Does the Annual Conference or the Quarterly Conference have the final authority on whether or not persons can be dropped after the church has followed the procedures as outlined in the *Discipline?* And, an interpretation of the 'five year clause' as found in the *Discipline* was requested.

"The Chair felt that 1960 began the five year period.

"St. Mark Methodist Church (Chicago, Illinois) in its Fourth Quarterly Conference, April 29, 1963, recommended, after complying with paragraph 127 of the 1960 *Discipline*, that 875 members be dropped from the membership roll.

"Since it was the interpretation of the Chair and the Conference that the legislation of paragraph 127 only became effective with the adjournment of the 1960 General Conference, and a five year period has not elapsed, the members were not dropped from the statistical record of the Conference.

"Upon motion of D. P. Young, properly seconded, the Conference voted to have the Bishop seek a Judicial Council opinion on this action since St. Mark Church questioned the authority of the Conference. Motion carried."

JURISDICTION

The Judicial Council has jurisdiction in this matter under the provisions of Paragraph 914.8 of the 1960 *Discipline.*

ANALYSIS

This request for a declaratory decision coming from the Lexington Annual Conference presents for our consideration two questions, namely:

(1) Is it the Annual Conference or the Quarterly Conference which has the final authority to remove names from the membership roll of a local church under the procedures set forth in Paragraph 127 of the 1960 *Discipline?*

(2) What is the proper interpretation of the "five year clause" as contained in Paragraph 127 of the 1960 *Discipline?*

The record reveals the Bishop ruled that Paragraph 127 became effective at the adjournment of the 1960 General Conference. Therefore, he concluded the action of Saint Mark Methodist Church on April 29, 1963, in its Fourth Quarterly Conference, dropping 875 members from the membership roll, was in violation of Paragraph 127 rather than in compliance with it. Thus, since the required period of time had not elapsed, the 875 members were not dropped from the statistical record of the Lexington Annual Conference.

Part II of the 1960 *Discipline* deals with "The Local Church" and Chapter II specifically with church membership. Paragraph 105 defines church membership and indicates that "A member of a local Methodist Church is a member of The Methodist Church." Conditions for admission, transfer or withdrawal of a member and actions of the Quarterly Conference regarding membership are set forth in this context.

The 1960 General Conference made significant changes in the law of The Methodist Church with regard to the authority of the Quarterly Conference in removing the names of members from a local church membership roll. In fact, a new section of law entitled the "Care of Church Members" was enacted which clearly outlines the duty and responsibility of the pastor and of the Commission on Membership and Evangelism. Also, the Commission on Membership and Evangelism, in Paragraph 222.9, was given the specific duty "To create a special committee whose duty shall be to do all in its power to reenlist in the active fellowship of the church all members who are negligent in attending worship or in participating in the church's life and work."

Paragraph 127, contained in the section on "Care of Church Members," describes the conditions which must be met by the pastor, the special committee, referred to above, and the Commission on Membership and Evangelism before the Quarterly Conference may remove the name of a member from the local church membership roll.

Pertinent sections of Paragraph 127 are quoted:

"127.1 . . . if after five years of serious cultivation by the committee he continues to absent himself from the worship of the church and refuses to support the church, his name may be removed . . ."

"127.3 . . . if after two years of such efforts his address is still unknown, his name may be removed from the membership roll . . ."

"127.5. If the directives of 127.1, 127.2, or 127.3 above have been followed for the specified number of years without success, the member's name may be removed from the membership roll by vote of the Quarterly Conference on recommendation of the pastor and

the Commission on Membership and Evangelism, each name being considered individually. On the roll there shall be entered after his name: 'Removed by order of the Quarterly Conference'; and if the action is on the basis of 127.3, there shall be added: 'Reason: address unknown.' The membership of the person shall thereby be terminated, and the record thereof shall be retained; provided that at his request he may be restored to membership by recommendation of the pastor; and provided, further, that should a transfer of his membership be requested, the pastor may restore him to membership for this purpose and issue the certificate of transfer."

Chapter VIII, Part IV, 1960 *Discipline* contains sections dealing with the Composition and Character, Organization, Powers and Duties, The Business of the Conference, Records and Archives, and Conference Agencies of an Annual Conference in The Methodist Church. Membership in a local church is not dealt with in any of these sections. However, the statistical report of the Annual Conference includes the membership reported by all local churches of the conference.

From the above it is evident that the Quarterly Conference has final authority in determining whether or not persons can be dropped from the membership roll of a local church.

According to Rule 38 of the General Conference "all actions of the General Conference of The Methodist Church shall become effective upon the final adjournment of the session of the General Conference at which they were enacted, except as provided in Paragraph 575 of the *Discipline*."

Since the provisions of Paragraph 127 became effective at the close of the 1960 General Conference, May 7, 1960, the five year period set forth in Paragraph 127.1 and 127.2 had not elapsed by April 29, 1963. Therefore, the president of the Lexington Annual Conference was correct in ruling "that 1960 began the five year period."

It should be observed, however, that the record in this case does not indicate which of the provisions in Paragraph 127 were applied by the Saint Mark Methodist Quarterly Conference in dropping 875 members from the membership roll. In the event some of the members were removed in accord with Paragraph 127.3 the action was legal as to the time element, but any action taken under the provisions of 127.1 and 127.2 was in conflict with specific authority delegated to the Quarterly Conference and thus illegal.

Decision

It is therefore the decision of the Judicial Council:

1. That the Quarterly Conference is given the specific and final authority of determining whether or not members may be dropped from the membership roll of a local church in accord with the provisions of Paragraph 127, 1960 *Discipline.*

2. That the "five year clause" specified in Paragraph 127 begins with the date the pastor and the special committee of the Commission on Membership and Evangelism initiate the process outlined in Paragraph 127, but in no case can it begin prior to May 7, 1960.

May 1, 1964.

Decision No. 208

In Re: Ruling of Bishop Nolan B. Harmon in North Alabama Annual Conference Session of 1962.

DIGEST OF DECISION

An Annual Conference has the authority under Paragraph 822, 1960 *Discipline*, to determine the plan and method to be used in distributing apportionments to its several districts and charges for the minimum salary fund required by Paragraph 826, provided the plan and method is in substantial compliance with the purpose and intent of Paragraph 826, 1960 *Discipline*.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

On February 26, 1964, the District Superintendent for the Birmingham District, North Alabama Conference, Southeastern Jurisdiction of The Methodist Church, forwarded to the Judicial Council, with authorization of the bishop, a question raised on June 15, 1962, at a regular session of the Annual Conference by a member of the North Alabama Conference on the ruling of the presiding bishop, as to the authority of the conference, under Paragraphs 822 and 826, 1960 *Discipline*.

The written ruling of the presiding bishop, reported in the 1962 Journal of the North Alabama Conference, pages 77 and 78, is as follows:

"A query as to the legality of the method the North Alabama Conference uses to apportion the minimum salary fund, and whether the action of the Conference in adopting Report 18 (a revision of the numbers of the World Service and Finance Commission's reports actually made this report No. 14 rather than No. 18), of the Commission on World Service and Finance on June 14 fully complies with the provisions of Paragraph 826.3 of the *Discipline* I answer as follows:

"Paragraph 822 of the *Discipline* reads: 'Each Annual Conference shall determine what plan and method shall be used in distributing the apportionments to its several districts and charges for the Episcopal Fund (p. 771) for the support of district superintendents and conference claimants, and for the minimum salary fund (p. 826), whether by percentages based on the current cash salary paid to the ministers serving pastoral charges under episcopal appointment and to supply pastors, or by some other method.'

"Since each Annual Conference is allowed and directed to determine what method and plan shall be used in apportioning to the several charges and districts the minimum salary fund, 'whether by percentages based on the current cash salary paid ministers serving pastoral charges,' or by 'some other method,' the North Alabama Conference is well within its rights, and in the full exercise of its sovereignty, as a basic constitutional body of the Church, in adopting the plan it did adopt on June 14, 1962, in seeing that this matter will be properly looked after for the coming year and the mandative provisions of Paragraph 826.3 of the *Discipline* properly carried out."

Signed: Nolan B. Harmon, *Presiding Bishop*
The North Alabama Conference
The Methodist Church

JURISDICTION

The jurisdiction of the Judicial Council to review and rule upon a decision of a bishop is prescribed by the Constitution (Paragraphs 40 and 43.2, 1960 *Discipline*) and acts of the General Conference (Paragraphs 908 and 909, 1960 *Discipline*).

Therefore, the Judicial Council accepts jurisdiction in this matter under Paragraph 909 of the 1960 *Discipline*.

ANALYSIS

In the instant matter, a member of the Annual Conference questions the legality of the method approved by the Annual Conference to apportion the minimum salary fund, and whether the action of the conference in adopting the report of the Conference Commission on World Service and Finance complied with Paragraph 826.3 of 1960 *Discipline*.

Paragraph 826 of the 1960 *Discipline* requires that "Each Annual Conference shall adopt a schedule of minimum salaries for pastors and shall create a Commission on Minimum Salaries. . . ." The commission after study of the needs for additional ministerial support, with the aproval of the Commission on World Service and Finance, shall present to the conference for adoption a schedule of minimum salaries.

Paragraph 826.3 of the 1960 *Discipline* provides: "The Commission on Minimum Salaries shall present its estimate of the amount required to comply with the schedule of minimum salaries for the pastors, as adopted by the conference, to the Conference Commission on World Service and Finance, which shall apportion the amount as an item of ministerial support to the districts or the charges as the conference may direct."

The Judicial Council has previously held in Decision 90, that similar provisions in the 1952 *Discipline* as are now provided in Paragraph 826 of the 1960 *Discipline* were mandatory.

In the instant matter, the Conference Commission on World Service and Finance submitted a joint report with the Commission on Minimum Salaries to the conference recommending:

1. The establishment of a minimum salary and sustentation commission and nomination of members thereof;
2. Minimum salary levels for the following year;
3. A distribution policy for the sustentation fund;
4. That the Minimum Salary and Sustentation Commission determine a salary schedule;
5. That the amount of $60,000 be raised, of which one-fourth would be allocated to minimum salary fund and three-fourths for the sustentation fund;
6. ". . . that the amounts of Minimum Salary be distributed to the various districts by the District Superintendents to the District Stewards on the basis of 1.5% of an amount equal to the preachers' salaries for 1962-1963. The apportionment for Minimum Salary to be distributed according to their good judgment."

The entire report, with recommendations of the Conference Commission on World Service and Finance and Minimum Salary and Sustentation Commission was adopted by the Annual Conference (1962 *Journal*, page 73). The Judicial Council deduces that the propounder of the question of legality was disturbed by recommendation 6, quoted above, as to whether it complied with Paragraph 826.3 in the 1960 *Discipline*.

While the precise wording of recommendation 6 above is ambiguous, we interpret the recommendation of the Conference Commission on World Service and Finance and Minimum Salary and

Sustentation Commission to mean that the amount to be raised for the minimum salary fund would be determined and distributed on the basis of 1.5% of the aggregate ministerial salaries paid in a particular district, and the apportionment to individual churches of the amount to be raised within the district for the minimum salary fund would be determined by the knowledge of circumstances and judgment of the District Superintendent and/or the District Stewards. We hold that the report containing this recommendation and adopted by the Annual Conference was in substantial compliance with the purpose and intent of Paragraph 826.

The ruling of the bishop held that Paragraph 822, 1960 *Discipline*, granted to each Annual Conference, as the basic administrative body of the church, the broad authority to determine the plan and the method to be used in distributing the apportionment to its several districts for the minimum salary fund, whether by percentages of current cash salary paid to ministers, or by some other method; and that the adoption by the conference of the joint report of the Commission on World Service and Finance and Minimum Salary and Sustentation Commission had determined the method to be followed by the conference.

Decision

The ruling of Bishop Nolan B. Harmon that an Annual Conference has the authority under Paragraph 822 of the 1960 *Discipline* to determine the plan and method to be used in distributing apportionments to its several districts and charges for the minimum salary fund required by Paragraph 826, 1960 *Discipline*, is hereby affirmed.

LESTER A. WELLIVER, President
A. WESLEY PUGH, Secretary

Decision No. 209

In Re: Tenure of Members of the Methodist Committee for Overseas Relief.

DIGEST OF DECISION

The provisions of Paragraph 1104 of the 1960 *Discipline* limiting the tenure of members of any division, board, commission or council of 12 consecutive years do not apply to or limit the tenure of members of the Methodist Committee for Overseas Relief.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

The Methodist Committee for Overseas Relief presents its petition for a declaratory decision interpreting the provisions of Paragraph 1104 of the 1960 *Discipline* as the same relates to the tenure in office of members of the Committee who are bishops. The committee asks, "Can a Bishop be exempted from the limitations of tenure prescribed by Paragraph 1104 of the *Discipline*—as it applies to the Methodist Committee for Overseas Relief?"

JURISDICTION

The Judicial Council has jurisdiction in this matter under the provisions of Paragraph 914.2 of the 1960 *Discipline*.

ANALYSIS

Part VII of the 1960 *Discipline* consisting of 16 chapters is entitled and relates to the administrative agencies of the Church.

Chapter VII of this part is entitled "Methodist Committee for Overseas Relief" and contains the laws of the Church relating to the organization and administration of this committee. Paragraph 1311, a part of this chapter, provides that there shall be a "Methodist Committee for Overseas Relief composed of 12 members."

It has been held by the Judicial Council (Decision No. 205, April 29, 1964) that the General Conference, as the legislative body of the Church, by its specific reference to certain designated categories of bodies of the Church in Paragraph 1104 and its omission to mention others therein, intended that those bodies of the Church not designated as divisions, boards, commissions or councils be excluded and excepted from the provisions of that paragraph limiting tenure of members to twelve consecutive years. In that decision it was held that the provisions of Paragraph 1104 of the 1960 *Discipline* limiting the tenure of members of any division, board, commission or council to twelve consecutive years did not apply to or limit the tenure of members of bodies designated as "Committees."

Decision

It is therefore the decision of the Judicial Council that since Paragraph 1104 of the 1960 *Discipline* does not include the word "Committees" in its limiting provisions, it is not applicable to members of the Methodist Committee for Overseas Relief whether they be bishops, ministers or laymen.

Decision No. 210

In Re: Petition of the Boundaries Committee of the Northeastern Jurisdiction of The Methodist Church for a Declaratory Decision as to the Status of Bishop Newell Booth With Respect to An Assignment to An Area in the Northeastern Jurisdiction.

DIGEST OF DECISION

1. Bishop Newell Booth is available for assignment by the Northeastern Jurisdictional Conference;

2. Said Jurisdiction has the same responsibility to provide for his assignment as for any other effective bishop elected by and in connection with that jurisdiction.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

On April 20, 1964, the Committee on Boundaries of the Northeastern Jurisdictional Conference requested a declaratory decision as to whether Bishop Newell Booth is available for assignment to an area in the Northeastern Jurisdiction and, if so, whether it is the responsibility of the said jurisdiction to so structure its territory that an area is available for assignment to Bishop Booth.

JURISDICTION

The Judicial Council has jurisdiction to render such a Declaratory Decision under the provision of subsection 5 of Paragraph 914 of the 1960 *Discipline.*

ANALYSIS

The General Conference of 1944 enacted an Enabling Act authorizing the Northeastern Jurisdictional Conference to provide residential and presidential episcopal supervision for the African Provisional Central Conference by the election of an additional bishop beyond its quota.

In discharging this responsibility the Northeastern Jurisdictional Conference in its 1944 session requested its bishops to rule on the question: "Under the law of the Church has the Jurisdictional Conference a right to elect a Missionary Bishop?"

The College of Bishops answered through Bishop G. Bromley Oxnam as follows:

"The question submitted was: Does this Jurisdictional Conference have the legal right to elect a Missionary Bishop?

"Answer (a) It has, if authorized by the General Conference.

"(b) Authorization was given 'to provide residential supervision for the work in Angola, Belgian Congo, Portuguese East Africa, Southern Rhodesia and the Union of South Africa of the Africa Provisional Central Conference and is hereby authorized according to the provisions of Par. 8, Section 4 and Par. 339 as amended to elect one bishop in addition to its membership quota in order to provide for this supervision.'

"We believe that when the General Conference passed this legislation it had in mind a General Superintendent.

"The above decision was unanimous."

There appears never to have been further question that the additional bishop to be elected would be a General Superintendent, nor does it appear from all information presented to the Judicial Council on this matter that there is presently any doubt in the minds of those presenting such information that Bishop Booth is a General Superintendent and not a missionary bishop.

Nevertheless the Northeastern Jurisdictional Conference of 1944 adopted the following provisions in regard to the election of the additional bishop:

"That the Jurisdictional Conference elect four Bishops, and that the first ballot be taken for three Bishops for the Northeastern Jurisdictional Conference, and that balloting be held until all have been elected; . . . that a separate ballot be used for electing the Bishop for service in the Provisional Central Conference of Africa, and that this election be made with the understanding that any minister accepting said election does so with the expectation of continuing his service in office in this field during the remainder of the period of his effective ministry."

After three bishops had been elected, nominations were made for the fourth bishop on the basis of seeking men especially prepared for service in Africa. Five nominations were made and on the first ballot Newell S. Booth was elected.

He was consecrated with the other three bishops elected at the 1944 session of the Northeastern Jurisdictional Conference and was assigned for residential and presidential supervision in the Africa Provisional Central Conference.

Succeeding Quadrennial Sessions of the General Conference of The Methodist Church have continued the authorization for the Northeastern Jurisdictional Conference to provide residential and

presidential episcopal supervision in the Africa Central Conference, and Bishop Booth has been returned each quadrennium to the Africa Central Conference. By 1960 however, conditions had so changed in Africa as to raise serious question as to the advisability of episcopal supervision on that continent provided from America. In an attempt to meet possible contingencies during this present quadrennium the 1960 General Conference authorized a plan by which an additional bishop might be elected, if necessary, in the Africa Central Conference during the quadrennium. (Par. 2005.2 of the 1960 *Discipline.*) This plan, however, was declared unconstitutional by Judicial Council Decision No. 182, and no attempt was made to elect this additional bishop in the Africa Central Conference.

The 1960 session of the Northeastern Jurisdictional Conference was also aware of the new climate in Africa and there was extended debate on the advisability of returning Bishop Booth to the Africa Central Conference. The conference, however, saw fit to return him and he is now completing the quadrennium in the Africa Central Conference.

These facts indicate that Bishop Booth was elected as a General Superintendent by the Northeastern Jurisdiction, that he has been continuously recognized as such, has received his assignments as such, and is now, as he has been since election, such a General Superintendent in connection with the Northeastern Jurisdiction and subject to that jurisdiction.

Decision

It is, therefore, the decision of the Judicial Council that:

1. Bishop Booth is available for assignment by the Northeastern Jurisdictional Conference;

2. Said Jurisdiction has the same responsibility to provide for his assignment as for any other effective bishop elected by and in connection with that jurisdiction.

Decision No. 211

In Re: Constitutionality of Paragraph 680 of the Discipline Implementing Amendment IX of the Constitution of The Methodist Church.

DIGEST OF DECISION

Paragraph 680 of the *Discipline* as adopted on May 1, 1964, by the General Conference of The Methodist Church is constitutional.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

On May 1, 1964, the General Conference of The Methodist Church in regular session in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, adopted the report of the Commission on Interjurisdictional Relations as perfected by the General Conference (Daily Christian Advocate, May 2, 1964, page 242). Thereupon, the General Conference referred to the Judicial Council for its decision the question of the constitutionality of Recommendation 3 appearing on page 25 of said report. (Daily Christian Advocate, May 2, 1964, page 242). Recommendation 3 of

said report (which was adopted by the General Conference as set forth above) reads as follows:

"P. 680. To clarify procedures under the Constitutional Provisions of Division Two, Article V, Section VII (Amendment IX) for the transfer of a local church from one Annual Conference to another and for the transfer of an Annual Conference from one jurisdiction to another, the following rules are adopted:

"1. The votes required for transfer of a local church may originate in the local church or either of the Annual Conferences involved and the votes shall be effective regardless of the order in which taken. The votes required for transfer of an Annual Conference may originate in the Annual Conference or among the Annual Conferences of either Jurisdiction involved and shall be effective regardless of the order in which taken.

"2. In each case, a vote of two-thirds (2/3) majority of those present and voting shall remain effective unless and until rescinded prior to the completion of the transfer by a vote of a majority of those present and voting."

Thus, we are now called upon to determine the constitutionality of the legislation which is now known as paragraph 680 of the *Discipline*.

JURISDICTION

The Judicial Council has jurisdiction of this request under paragraph 904.1 of the 1960 *Discipline*.

ANALYSIS

Amendment IX of The Constitution of The Methodist Church (paragraph 45 of the 1960 *Discipline*) provides in pertinent part as follows:

"Art. V—1. A local church may be transferred from one Annual Conference to another in which it is geographically located upon approval by a two-thirds vote of those present and voting in each of the following:

a) The Quarterly Conference of the local church.
b) A Church Conference of the local church.
c) Each of the two Annual Conferences involved . . .

2. An Annual Conference may be transferred from one jurisdiction to another upon approval by:
a) The Annual Conference desiring transfer . . .
b) The remainder of the jurisdiction from which the transfer is to be made . . .
c) The jurisdiction to which the transfer is to be made . . ."

Amendment IX does not specify the order in which these votes must be taken. The legislation referred to in the request for a decision as to its constitutionality is designed to eliminate any uncertainty on this point.

A careful reading of Amendment IX and the new legislation referred to above (paragraph 680 of the *Discipline*) and a careful study of the legislative history of both the constitutional and legislative provisions referred to herein reveals that paragraph 680 of the *Discipline* is not in conflict with any of the provisions of Amendment IX of the Constitution but rather is in clarification and implementation thereof. Nor do we find paragraph 680 of the *Discipline* to be unconstitutional upon any other ground.

Decision

It is, therefore, the decision of the Judicial Council that:

The legislation enacted by the 1964 General Conference adding a new paragraph to the *Discipline* to be numbered 680 clarifying and implementing the procedures which may be followed under Amendment IX of the Constitution is constitutional.

Decision No. 212

In Re: Request of General Board of Christian Social Concerns for Answers to Certain Questions Without Reference to Any Act or Legislation of the General Conference or Paragraph or Paragraphs of the Discipline.

DIGEST OF DECISION

Under the Constitution and the existing legislative provisions for declaratory decisions the authority and jurisdiction of the Judicial Council is limited to the making of rulings as to the constitutionality, meaning, application and effect of an act or legislation passed by the General Conference or of a paragraph or paragraphs of the *Discipline*.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

On or about April 13, 1964, the General Board of Christian Social Concerns filed and submitted to the Judicial Council the following paper:

"A REQUEST FOR A DECLARATORY DECISION

"To be presented to the Judicial Council from the General Board of Christian Social Concerns:

"**Question No. 1**

Has any authority other than the preacher in charge of a local church, the District Superintendent or the Bishop the right to forbid any person who is properly dressed or properly behaved from attending a service of worship in a Methodist Church?

"**Question No. 2**

Have the Trustees or the Official Board of any local Methodist Church the right to close the church property to any person or persons who say that they wish to attend such a church for public worship or Christian instruction in regularly scheduled classes?

"**Question No. 3**

Have the Trustees and/or the Official Board of a local Methodist Church the right to refuse the use of the church building for purposes other than that of worship and/or Christian instruction; or for meetings not in accordance with the purposes for which said church buildings have been set apart?"

JURISDICTION

For the reasons hereinafter stated in the Analysis the Judicial Council does not have jurisdiction to render a declaratory decision in this matter.

ANALYSIS

Paragraphs 914 of the 1960 *Discipline* provides that "When the General Conference shall have passed any act or legislation that appears to be unconstitutional or subject to more than one interpretation, or when any paragraph or paragraphs of the *Discipline* seem to be of doubtful meaning or application, the Judicial Council on petition . . . shall have jurisdiction to make a ruling in the nature of a declaratory decision, as to the constitutionality, meaning, application, and effect of such act, legislation, or paragraph or paragraphs of the *Discipline*."

It is implicit in the language of paragraph 914 of the 1960 *Discipline* that a petition for a declaratory decision should designate and set forth the act, legislation, or paragraph or paragraphs of the *Discipline* in question and it is clear that the Judicial Council in its ruling is restricted to a consideration of the "constitutionality, meaning, application, and effect of such act, legislation, or paragraph or paragraphs of the *Discipline*" set forth in the petition.

The paper before us does not allege that any act or legislation of the General Conference appears to be or is unconstitutional or subject to more than one interpretation nor does it allege that there is a paragraph or that there are paragraphs of the *Discipline* which seem to be or are of doubtful meaning or application. The questions propounded are abstract and based upon assumptions of fact which are ambiguous and uncertain. In fact there are no allegations of fact upon which to base a decision.

It thus appears that the request of the General Board of Christian Social Concerns now before us, lacking essential allegations does not state facts sufficient to constitute a petition for a declaratory decision and that the Judicial Council is without authority to render such a decision.

Decision

It is therefore the decision of the Judicial Council that said request or petition of the General Board of Christian Social Concerns be and the same is hereby dismissed.

Decision No. 213

In Re: Request of the Nebraska Annual Conference for a Declaratory Decision as to the Legality of a Resolution of Its Board of Pensions.

DIGEST OF DECISION

The action of an Annual Conference designating a percentage of the total support of its pastors as "travel and expense fund" is illegal.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

The following letter, dated March 16, 1964, was received by the Secretary of the Judicial Council:

"Gentlemen:

"At the last session of the Nebraska Annual Conference in June, 1963, the Conference Board of Pensions submitted a recommendation which was adopted by a majority vote of the Conference (page 965, 1963 Nebraska Conference Journal). A question was later asked as to its validity and it was suggested that we seek a declaratory decision from the Judical Council. It is planned that the matter be reviewed at our 1964 Annual Conference.

"The Conference Board of Pensions has reviewed this matter and have instructed me to request such a decision from your Council. The recommendation which was presented to the Conference is as follows:

"That the Annual Conference adopt a resolution, instructing the Conference Statistician to designate, in the statistical table of the Conference Minutes, an amount equal to 20% of the total pastor's support as 'travel and expense fund.' The 20% of the total support to be put in column 50c 'paid pastor's travel and expense fund.' The other 80% of the total pastor's support to be put in column 49a 'paid pastor and associates.'

"The intended purpose of this recommendation is to establish a more accurate and realistic *statistical* record for the Conference in the area of ministerial support. All local pastors are required to spend considerable portions of their time in visitation and other duties outside of their church building. Yet many churches do not report in the Conference records that their ministers are allowed remuneration for travel expenses. The comparison of salary support of a minister whose travel expenses are not paid with that of a minister whose travel expenses are paid, is very much out of proportion.

"The inequities of the present system of reporting statistics is a burden to the Conference in the area of the pension program. The average salary, as computed by the General Board of Pensions for all Conferences, is based on the *salaries* as published in the statistical report of the Conference, not taking into consideration any travel allowances.

"The Conference Board of Pensions contents that the motion as stated above which was adopted by the Nebraska Annual Conference on its presentation, is permissible and desirable. Referring to Judicial Council decision No. 51 on page 660 of the 1960 *Discipline*, it is determined '*An allowance for 'travel expense' to pastors is not to be regarded as supplementary compensation tending to defeat proportional payment under the terms of 1624, provided always that such item represents an actual expense for the purpose stated and is not a cover up for additional salary paid to the pastor. May 4, 1948.*' Considerable study revealed that in Nebraska the identity of 20% of the total pastor's support for travel purposes is realistic.

"Question: Can the Annual Conference adopt the resolution as presented?

"Your consideration and acknowledgment will be appreciated.

Yours very truly,
s/ John H. Frey

Conference Business Manager"

Appended thereto was the following certification of the Secretary of the Nebraska Annual Conference:

"CERTIFICATION OF THE OFFICIAL RECORD OF THE NEBRASKA ANNUAL CONFERENCE SESSION—1963

"I, Homer L. Dickerson, Secretary of the Nebraska Annual Conference of The Methodist Church, a corporation, hereby certify that the following resolution is a true and accurate copy of the resolution adopted by the 1963 session of the Annual Conference of the Nebraska Annual Conference of The Methodist Church held in Lincoln, Nebraska on June 6, 1963. This resolution was adopted on Thursday afternoon, June 6, 1963 during that session and is recorded in the Official Minutes of the said corporation on page 878. I hereby further certify that all Official Minutes and records of Conference action are held in my possession as Conference Secretary.

'Report of Conference Board of Pensions. John H. Frey (Lincoln) moved to reconsider section 11. Motion carried.'

'John H. Frey (Lincoln) moved that the recommendation regarding the statistical records for recording pastor's salary and expense allowances be set aside for one year and that judicial decision be requested on the question, with a report and further recommendation to be presented to the 1964 session of the Annual Conference. Seconded by Walter L. Jewett and carried.'

March 31, 1964

s/ Homer L. Dickerson, Secretary
The Nebraska Annual Conference
of The Methodist Church,
a Corporation"

ANALYSIS

It appears from the facts as presented that the Nebraska Annual Conference in its session on June 6, 1963, adopted a recommendation of its Board of Pensions that the conference designate for statistical purposes 20% of the total support of every pastor as "travel and expense fund" and that the conference statistician be instructed to record the support of pastors in the statistical tables of the conference according to this formula.

While the language of the petition of the Nebraska Annual Conference makes no reference to any paragraph or paragraphs of the *Discipline* which are alleged to be in conflict with the above quoted resolution of its Board of Pensions, the accompanying statement of the Conference Business Manager does make reference to Judicial Council Decision No. 51, which is concerned with the application of paragraph 1624, Article 11, Section 4, of the 1944 *Discipline*, the language of which is identical with the provision of paragraph 1624.6 of the 1960 *Discipline*.

The Judicial Council believes that, on the basis of the statement of the Conference Business Manager quoted above, the concern of the Nebraska Annual Conference is with the possibility that the resolution in question may be in conflict with the provision of paragraph 1624.6 of the 1960 *Discipline*. The more specific question propounded in the letter of the Conference Business Manager which the Judicial Council presumes to be a part of the petition of the Annual Conference is "Can the Annual Conference adopt the resolution as presented?"

Paragraph 634 of the 1960 *Discipline* states: "The Annual Conference may make rules to govern its own procedure; provided that

no Annual Conference shall make any rule contrary to the Constitution or to the powers granted it by the General Conference . . ."

Paragraph 148 of the 1960 *Discipline* provides: "The Quarterly Conference shall fix the salary and other remuneration of the pastor, or pastors, after the following procedure: At the session of the Quarterly Conference next preceding the regular session of the Annual Conference, on recommendation of the Official Board or Boards and after consultation with the pastor, the conference shall set the minimum salary of the pastor for the ensuing conference year . . ."

Under paragraph 352.28 of the 1960 *Discipline* the pastor is required "to make report to the Annual Conference of all items required for the statistics of the conference . . ."

Paragraph 829 of the 1960 *Discipline* states: "The total of all travel, automobile and other expenses allowed and paid to a pastor in addition to his salary shall be reported for insertion in the *Journal* of the Annual Conference in a separate column from that of the pastors' salary and adjacent thereto. . . ."

Paragraph 662 of the 1960 *Discipline* requires that "all records of secretaries, statisticians, and treasurers shall be kept according to the forms prescribed by the laws of the church."

The Judicial Council holds that under the legislation cited above the authority to fix the salary and other compensation of the pastor rests solely with the Quarterly Conference. It is the duty of the pastor to report this and other information required by the Annual Conference "for insertion in the *Journal* of the Annual Conference." It is the duty of the statistician of the Annual Conference to record and tabulate those items as submitted to him "according to the forms prescribed by the laws of the Church."

It seems clear that the Annual Conference has authority to make its own procedural rules but in doing so may not invade the authority granted to other bodies by the Constitution or by the legislation of the General Conference. The Judicial Council holds that the adoption by the Nebraska Annual Conference of the resolution in question would have the effect of nullifying the right of the Quarterly Conference to "fix the salary and other remuneration of the pastor or pastors" by substituting an arbitrary division of the total support of the pastors on a percentage basis. Further we believe that the resolution in question is in violation of the implied directive to the statistician that it is his duty to record the information provided by the pastor for insertion in the *Journal* of the Annual Conference.

We therefore hold that the Nebraska Annual Conference acted beyond its disciplinary authority in adopting the resolution of its Board of Pensions which is under question.

In view of this decision that the action of the conference is in conflict with the *Discipline*, it is not necessary to consider whether or not the action is in any way related to or in conflict with paragraph 1624.6 of the 1960 *Discipline*.

Decision

It is the decision of the Judicial Council that the adoption by the Nebraska Annual Conference of the report of its Board of Pensions designating 20% of the total support of the pastors of the conference as "travel and expense fund" is illegal and therefore void.

Decision No. 214

In Re: Constitutionality of Legislation Adopted by The 1964 General Conference Providing for the Composition of the General Board of Pensions.

DIGEST OF DECISION

The legislation adopted by the 1964 General Conference as set forth in paragraph 1602.1 providing for the composition of the General Board of Pensions is constitutional.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

The 1964 General Conference adopted an amendment to paragraph 1602.1 of the 1960 *Discipline* whereby it deleted the entire section and substituted therefor a new section which reads:

"Paragraph 1602.1. The Board shall be composed of one bishop elected by the Council of Bishops, one minister and one layman from each Jurisdiction elected by the Jurisdictional Conference, upon nomination by the Jurisdictional Conference Committee on Nominations.

"In addition there shall be four ministers and four laymen, elected by the General Conference upon nomination of the Council of Bishops, of whom no more than two shall be ministerial members of churches within the same Jurisdiction.

"There shall be six additional members at large, not more than two from the same Jurisdiction, nominated and elected by the Board in such manner as it shall provide in its by-laws.

"The ministerial membership of the Board shall be limited to ministers in the effective relation.

"The General Secretary of the Board shall be an ex-officio member thereof without vote.

"The terms of all members so elected shall be four years, to take effect at the annual meeting of the Board following the General Conference. Members shall serve during the terms for which they are elected, or until their successor shall have been elected and qualified."

Immediately thereafter a motion was adopted which appears on pages 398 and 399 of the **Daily Christian Advocate** which has the effect of referring to the Judicial Council for a determination as to the constitutionality of that portion of the above paragraph which provides for eight members to be elected by the General Conference upon nomination of the Council of Bishops.

JURISDICTION

The Judicial Council has jurisdiction in this matter under the provisions of paragraph 904.1 of the 1960 *Discipline.*

ANALYSIS

The Judicial Council had earlier passed upon the constitutionality of a portion of paragraph 1602.1 of the 1960 *Discipline* and had declared some of its provisions unconstitutional. See Judicial Council Decision 183.

Reference is hereby made to the constitutional and other requirements set forth in that decision.

The Constitution provides in paragraph 8, Article IV, Section 8: "The General Conference shall have full legislative power over all matters distinctly connectional, and in the exercise of said powers shall have authority as follows: . . . to initiate and to direct all connectional enterprises of the church, such as publishing, evangelistic, educational, missionary, and benevolent, and to provide boards for their promotion and cultivation."

This power granted to the General Conference to "provide boards" is to be construed necessarily in connection with all other provisions of the Constitution.

The present legislation has eliminated the unconstitutional portions of the prior legislation and conforms with the limitations referred to in our earlier decision.

Decision

It is the decision of the Judicial Council that the legislation adopted by the General Conference (Paragraph 1602.1, 1964 *Discipline*) in connection with the composition of the General Board of Pensions wherein it provides for eight members to be elected by the General Conference upon nomination of the Council of Bishops is constitutional.

Decision No. 215

In Re: Request of the Commission on the Structure of Methodism Overseas for a Declaratory Decision Concerning the Necessity for a Constitutional Amendment to Remove the Word "Cuba" From Paragraph 26, Article I, of the Constitution of The Methodist Church and Regarding the Source of Authority for Granting Autonomy to a Church Requesting the Same.

DIGEST OF DECISION

1. While the Cuba Annual Conference may be removed from the Southeastern Jurisdiction by processes other than a constitutional amendment, the word CUBA could be removed from the Constitution only by constitutional amendment.

2. Only the General Conference has the power to grant autonomy to an Annual Conference, Provisional Annual Conference, Central Conference or a Provisional Central Conference.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

On May 4, 1964, the Secretary of the Judicial Council received the following communication:

"To The Judicial Council of The Methodist Church:

"This is to certify that the Commission on the Structure of Methodism Overseas requests a declaratory opinion: if it is necessary for a constitutional amendment to remove the word, Cuba, from Paragraph 26, Article 1, of the Constitution of The Meth-

odist Church, as found in the listing of those conferences in the Southeastern Jurisdiction and further who can authorize autonomy for a church requesting the same?

Respectfully yours,
s/ Leon T. Moore, Secretary"

JURISDICTION

The Judicial Council has jurisdiction in this matter under the provisions of Paragraph 914.2 of the 1960 *Discipline.*

ANALYSIS

The request for a declaratory decision coming from the Commission on the Structure of Methodism Overseas presents for our consideration two questions, namely:

(1) Is a constitutional amendment required to remove the word CUBA from Division Two, Section VIII, Boundaries, Article I, of the Constitution of The Methodist Church, Paragraph 26, 1960 *Discipline,* which is included within the boundary of the Southeastern Jurisdiction?

(2) Who can authorize autonomy for a church requesting the same?

An examination of the section in the Constitution, referred to in question (1) above, reveals clearly that the Republic of Cuba was made an integral part of the Southeastern Jurisdiction when the Jurisdictional system was adopted by the Uniting Conference in 1939. This fact is verified in Paragraph 2037, 1960 *Discipline,* which lists the geographic area comprising the Jurisdiction. However, Cuba is recognized as one of the Annual Conferences which is related to the Division of World Missions, General Board of Missions, The Methodist Church.

Paragraph 8, 1960 *Discipline,* says: "The General Conference shall have full legislative power over all matters distinctively connectional, and in the exercise of said powers shall have authority as follows: . . ." Then follows fourteen subparagraphs, of which Paragraph 8.12 states: "To change the number and the boundaries of Jurisdictional Conferences upon the consent of a majority of the Annual Conferences in each Jurisdictional Conference involved."

Paragraph 28, 1960 *Discipline,* also a part of the Constitution of The Methodist Church, reads as follows:

"Changes in the number, names, and boundaries of the Jurisdictional Conferences may be effected by the General Conference upon the consent of a majority of the Annual Conferences of each of the Jurisdictional Conferences involved."

Amendment IX to the Constitution of The Methodist Church provides specific procedures for the transfer of an Annual Conference from one Jurisdiction to another. In the instant case, however, the provisions of Amendment IX are not applicable since there is no desire on the part of petitioner to transfer Cuba from the Southeastern Jurisdiction to another Jurisdiction. It is the understanding of the Judicial Council that petitioner desires to assist the Cuba Annual Conference in becoming an autonomous Methodist Church.

From the first question before the Judicial Council, it appears that the intent of petitioner is to determine the legal process by which the Cuba Annual Conference may be disassociated from the Southeastern Jurisdiction in order that the Cuba Annual Conference may be free to move toward autonomy. It should be noted, however, that this disassociation would not thereby make the Cuba Annual Conference an autonomous church but it would remain an Annual Conference associated with the mission field of The Methodist Church until

such time as the General Conference grants autonomy.

It has been held by the Judicial Council, in Decision Number 56, that "the General Conference may change the boundaries of Jurisdictional Conferences only by consent of a majority of the Annual Conferences in each jurisdiction" as prescribed in the Constitution. "Accordingly any legislation attempted by General Conference without complying with these provisions is invalid."

In its Decision Number 55, the Judicial Council held that action of the General Conference in changing the boundary of a Jurisdiction, following the specific approval of the proposed action by a majority of the Annual Conferences involved, was valid.

The Judicial Council, after careful consideration of the foregoing and all other relevant matters, is of the opinion that a constitutional amendment is not required to remove the Cuba Annual Conference from the Southeastern Jurisdiction. However, the Judicial Council holds that legislative action by the General Conference for the purpose of removing the Cuba Annual Conference can legally take effect only after a majority of the Annual Conferences of the Southeastern Jurisdiction have voted approval. Further, the Judicial Council holds that the word Cuba may be removed from the Constitution of The Methodist Church only by constitutional amendment.

The second question before the Judicial Council for consideration asks "Who can authorize autonomy for a church requesting the same?"

From additional information received with regard to the petition, the Judicial Council is of the opinion that the information desired in this case is related to overseas conferences. Specifically, it appears that the actual question is concerned with a determination as to what body may grant autonomy to an Annual Conference, a Provisional Annual Conference, a Central Conference, or a Provisional Central Conference outside of the United States of America, which has from its inception been a part of The Methodist Church and related directly through the General Board of Missions.

An examination of the Constitution of The Methodist Church and the law contained in the *Discipline* fails to reveal any reference to the question at hand. This matter is without precedent as no action has been taken by The Methodist Church, since unification in 1939, granting autonomy to any of the aforementioned bodies. It should be observed, however, that broad legislative powers are granted to the General Conference in the Constitution, with Paragraph 8.14 indicating that the said conference shall have authority "To enact such other legislation as may be necessary, subject to the limitations and restrictions of the Constitution of the church," and Paragraph 8.4 confers power "To provide for the organization, promotion, and administration of the work of the church outside the United States of America."

The broad terms of the Constitution quoted above, as interpreted in Judicial Council Decision Number 147, "clearly indicate that the General Conference of The Methodist Church is the legislative body of the church. There is no other legislative body in the church . . . The General Conference cannot delegate its legislative powers or transfer to others the essential legislative functions with which it has been vested under the Constitution of The Methodist Church. This principle is well established in the civil law. Undoubtedly, legislation must often be adapted to complex conditions involving a host of details with which the legislative body cannot deal directly."

Therefore, it appears to the Judicial Council that the General Conference of The Methodist Church is the only body of The Methodist Church that has the power to grant autonomy to an overseas Annual Conference, Provisional Annual Conference, Central Confer-

ence or Provisional Central Conference that has been historically an integral part of The Methodist Church. Further, it is the opinion of the Judicial Council that such autonomy can be granted only through enabling legislation enacted by the General Conference of The Methodist Church setting forth such terms and conditions as the General Conference deems to be appropriate, so long as such legislation is in harmony with the constitutional power vested in the General Conference.

Decision

It is, therefore, the decision of the Judicial Council that:

1. The Cuba Annual Conference may be removed from the Southeastern Jurisdiction through the processes specifically set forth in the Constitution of The Methodist Church without a constitutional amendment. However, the word CUBA may be removed from the Constitution only by constitutional amendment.

2. Only the General Conference of The Methodist Church is vested with power to grant autonomy to an overseas Annual Conference, Provisional Annual Conference, Central Conference or Provisional Central Conference which has been historically an integral part of The Methodist Church.

Decision No. 216

Re: Request of the Council of Bishops for a Reconsideration of Decision No. 163 Regarding the Constitutionality of Paragraph 431.7 of the Discipline.

DIGEST OF DECISION

The Judicial Council declines to reconsider its decision No. 163 relating to the constitutionality of Paragraph 431.7 of the *Discipline*.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

During the 1964 session of The General Conference of The Methodist Church meeting in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, the Council of Bishops requested the Judicial Council to reconsider its decision No. 163 relating to the constitutionality of Paragraph 431.7 of the *Discipline* which, inter alia, provides that a ministerial member of an Annual Conference may not be transferred to another Annual Conference without his consent.

In the Petition now before us the petitioner avers that the Judicial Council should reconsider its decision in No. 163 (and possibly also No. 114) "in light of numerous pastoral appointments posed by the planned transfer of churches from one conference to another, the realignment of Annual Conference boundaries, and the transfer and merger of Annual Conferences in part or in their entirety into one or several existing geographic Annual Conferences."

ANALYSIS

This question first came before the Judicial Council in 1955, at which time the constitutionality of the questioned paragraph of the *Discipline* was upheld by Decision No. 114. Thereafter, in 1959, the Council of Bishops requested a rehearing on the question answered by Decision No. 114, and the Judicial Council after hearing extensive argument and considering carefully prepared briefs on behalf of the Council of Bishops declined the Petition to Rehear by its Decision 163.

Despite new problems which may be presented in prospective or possible changes in conference boundaries, there has been no change in the Constitution or the legislation of The Methodist Church affecting the fundamental question at issue here, which question is: "May a ministerial member of an Annual Conference be transferred to another Annual Conference without his consent?"

We have given careful consideration to the Petition to Rehear which is now before us and we see no reason to grant a rehearing on this question at this time.

We would further point out that if current or future needs of the church dictate an amendment of paragraph 437.1 of the *Discipline* providing that a ministerial member of an Annual Conference may be moved from one Annual Conference to another Annual Conference without his consent, then this is a matter for the attention of the legislative body of the Church, The General Conference.

Decision

For the reasons stated in the analysis of this decision, we decline the Petition to Rehear.

INDEX

The decisions of the Judicial Council of The Methodist Church are published quadrennially in a permanent clothbound book titled "Decisions of The Judicial Council of The Methodist Church." Each volume contains all Decisions from 1940 with a complete index.

In the interim between these complete quadrennial issues "The General Minutes" which is published annually contains all decisions rendered since the preceding quadrennial volume.

The Secretary of the Judicial Council reports the Decisions of each session of the Council directly to members of the Council of Bishops and to others as the Judicial Council may direct.

The following Index is taken from the "Decisions of The Judicial Council of The Methodist Church 1960-64" and includes decisions No. 1 to No. 216.

There are two numbers used for each item in the Index. The first figure is the number of the Decision; the second figure is the page number in the volume on which the decision appears.

The volume titled "Decisions of The Judicial Council of The Methodist Church 1940-1964" is available from The Methodist Publishing House, 201 Eighth Avenue, South, Nashville, Tennessee.

Abandoned church property, 11:24, 119:226, 143-332

Abstinence from tobacco, 111:247, 157:379

Administration Fund.
See General Administration Fund

Administrative agencies, 139:319, 148:348

Admission of preachers:
Educational requirements, 72: 150
From other churches, 16:36, 31:60
Into full connection, 49:105, 122:278, 192:481
On trial, 72:150, 162:392, 187: 461
Ritual, 134:302

Affirmations of Faith, 59:118

Age, retirement, 7:15, 15:35, 83:180, 165:400

Agencies, administrative, 139:319, 148:348

Amendments to the Constitution, 154:363, 211:533

Annual Conference:
Admission into ministerial membership. *See* Admission of preachers
Apportionments by, 13:30, 50: 106, 90:201, 208:526
Appropriations from conference funds, 45:98
Approved supply pastor. *See* Approved supply pastor
Auditing, 77:169
Basic salary plan, 65:137, 70: 147
Benevolences, 45:98
Board of:
Lay Activities, 10:23, 77:169
Ministerial Training and Qualifications, 157:379
Pensions, 73:156, 81:178, 149:352, 165:400, 213:536
Boundaries, 12:27, 28:54, 55: 113, 56:114
Budget, 188:465
Business, 123:280, 141:326
Children's home, 139:319
Claimants. *See* Pension regulations
Commission on Higher Education, 191:476
Commission on World Service and Finance, 10:23, 13:30, 44:96, 70:147, 90:201, 148: 348
Committee of Investigation, 89: 198
Committee on Conference Relations, 16:36, 82:179, 105: 237
Composition, 22:47, 24:49, 68: 143, 159:386
Conference year, 115:254

Corporate sessions, 38:74
Corporation trustees, election of, 75:159
Council, 98:216, 148:348, 188:465, 196:492
Credentials Committee, 170:411
Delegates to General and Jurisdictional or Central Conferences, 1:1, 76:167, 124:282, 125:283, 162:392, 174:420
Distributing Committee, 12:27
District superintendents' support, 44:96
Elections, 76:167, 77:169, 174:420
Equity of, 194:487
Executive session of ministerial members, 37:72, 42:85
Finance, 92:204
General Conference authority over, 78:173, 79:175
Hospitals, 92:204
Incorporation, 38:74, 108:242, 143:332
Interboard Council, 98:216, 148:348, 188:465, 196:492
Joint Distributing Committee, 12:27
Lay leader, 77:169
Legal session, 36:69
Location of minister. *See* Location of minister
Membership:
  Lay, 37:72, 42:85, 74:157, 76:167, 109:244, 112:249, 113:251, 158:384, 159:386, 170:411, 186:460
  Ministerial, 65:137, 72:150, 76:167, 104:233, 112:249, 114:252, 131:295, 162:392, 168:408, 187:461, 192:481, 197:495, 216:546. See *also* Admission of preachers; Minister
Minimum salaries for pastors, 90:201, 179:435, 208:526
Ministers, sister church, 129:289
Missionaries, 1:1, 131:295
Outside the U.S.A., 24:49
Pensions. *See* Pension regulations
Permanent Fund, 160:388
Powers and duties, 38:74, 43:92, 45:98, 64:129, 72:150, 73:156, 78:173, 79:175, 92:204, 98:216, 105:237, 115:254, 119:266, 123:280, 141:326, 170:411
Privilege of the floor, 129:289
Property, 135:306, 139:319, 143:332
Regular session (definition), 65:137
Reports from conference boards, 123:280
Retired ministers. *See* Minister, retired
Rules of procedure, 141:326
Service records, 165:400
Standing rules, 184:455
Student religious work, 175:421
Supernumerary relation, 105:237
Termination of ministerial membership, 110:245
Transfer of ministerial member, 114:252, 163:395
Transfer to another jurisdiction, 32:61, 169:409
Treasurer, 185:458
Trustees, 108:242, 135:306, 138:317, 189:469, 190:471
Vote on ministerial relations reserved to ministers, 134:302
Wesley Foundation, 175:421
Woman's Society of Christian Service, local-church, 138:317

Annuity:
Claim, 9:21, 27:52, 95:209, 140:352, 165:400, 171:412, 192:481
Credits, service, 73:156
Responsibility of conference, agency, or institution, 14:34, 95:209, 180:437
See also Pension regulations

Appointment of preachers:
Limitations on, 101:224, 216:546
Pastors, 101:224
Special, 166:403, 167:406
To attend school, 152:359

Apportionments:
Annual Conference authority and procedure, 50:106, 90:201, 208:526
Conference claimants, 13:30, 50:106
District superintendents' support, 44:96
Minimum salary fund, 90:201, 179:435, 208:526
Ministerial support, 90:201

Proportional payment, 51:109
To districts, 90:201, 208:526
To pastoral charges, 90:201, 208:526

Approved service (for pension credit).
See Service

Approved supply pastor:
Appointment, 97:215
Conference relation, 112:249, 136:308
Courses of study, 91:202
Educational requirements, 91: 202, 97:215
Full-time, 97:215, 156:376
Membership on conference boards, 184:455
Ministerial functions of, 91: 202, 204:514
Part-time, 156:376
Retired, 165:400
Student, 91:202
See also Local preacher; Pastor

Articles of Religion:
Article XIII, 86:189
Article XXIII (footnote), 41: 81, 176:429

Assignment of bishops,
84:183, 210:530

Auditing,
77:169

Autonomy,
215:542

Ballot, vote by,
34:65

Baptism,
91:202, 142:329

Basic salary plan,
65:137, 70:147

Benevolences,
45:98, 63:126

Bishop:
Annual Conference relation, 22:47
Appointments by, 101:224
Assignment of, 84:183, 182: 446, 210:530
Central Conference, 4:10, 21: 46, 53:112, 61:120, 80:176, 83:180, 117:262, 126:285, 164:396, 177:432
Duties, 155:365
Expenses, 164:396
Jurisdictional Conference, 57: 115, 84:183, 182:446
Missionary, 21:46, 127:287
Official travel, 164:396
Pensions, 68:143, 106:239
Provisional Annual Conferences, 48:103
Retired, 35:67, 40:80, 106:239, 117:262, 199:500
Term episcopacy, 4:10, 61:120, 80:176
Transfer of woman ministerial member of Annual Conference, 155:365
Widow, 106:239
See also Council of Bishops; Episcopacy; Episcopal administration

Board of Christian Social Concerns,
212:535

Board of Education,
137:313, 175:421, 188:465, 191: 476

Board of Ministerial Training and Qualifications, Conference,
157:379

Board of Missions,
99:219, 178:433

Board of Pensions,
183:451, 214:540

Board of Publication,
26:51

Bonus (as ministerial compensation),
51:109

Boundaries:
Annual Conferences within jurisdictions, 12:27, 28:54, 211:533
Episcopal areas, 48:103, 57: 115, 194:487
Jurisdictions, 32:61, 55:113, 56:114, 169:409, 211:533, 215:542

Camping,
67:141

Central Conference:
Administrative Committee, 69: 145
Bishop, 53:112, 61:120, 80:176, 83:180, 126:285, 164:396

Bishop, retired, 117:262, 199: 500
Clergy rights for women, 155: 365
Delegates, 6:13, 88:195, 124: 282, 162:392, 174:420
Discipline, 172:415
Election of bishops, 4:10, 21:46
General Conference authority over, 69:145, 155:365
Powers and duties, 69:145, 142: 329, 147:342, 193:484

Central Jurisdiction,
85:186, 128:288

Children:
Baptism, 142:329
Homes for, 139:319

Charges (against accused person).
See Trial

"Church member" (meaning),
26:51

Christian Social Concerns, Board of,
212:535

College:
Disposal of Methodist-owned, 64:129
Educational requirements for ministry, 72:150, 91:202, 97: 215
Methodist Student Movement, 175:421
Wesley Foundation, 175:421
See also Schools of theology

College of Bishops,
198:498

Commission on Entertainment and Program, General Conference,
200:503

Commission on Higher Education, Annual Conference,
191:476

Commission on World Service and Finance, Conference,
10:23, 13:30, 44:96, 70:147, 90: 201, 148:348, 185:458

Committee of Investigation, Conference,
89:198

Committee on Conference Relations,
82:179, 105:237

Committee on Family Life, General,
205:518

Conference Board of Education,
67:141, 175:421, 188:465, 191: 476

Conference Board of Lay Activities,
10:23, 77:169

Conference Board of Ministerial Training and Qualifications,
157:379

Conference Board of Pensions,
13:30, 73:156, 81:178, 149:352, 165:400, 180:437, 183:451

Conference claimants.
See Pension regulations

Conference Claimants, Board of.
See Conference Board of Pensions

Conference Commission on World Service and Finance,
10:23, 13:30, 44:96, 70:147, 90: 201, 148:348, 185:458

Conference Committee of Investigation,
89:198

Conference Council,
98:216, 148:348, 188:465, 196: 492

Conference lay leader,
66:139, 77:169

Conference Relations, Committee on,
82:179, 105:237

Conference treasurer,
185:458

Conference year,
115:254

Conscientious objectors,
25:50

Constitutional amendments,
132:296, 154:363, 211:533, 215: 542

Council, Annual Conference,
98:216, 148:348, 188:465, 196:492

Council of Bishops:
Attendance on meetings, 164:396
Central Conference bishop:
Retired, 117:262
Voting rights of, 53:112
Membership, 164:396
Retired bishops, 35:67, 40:80.
See also Bishop; Episcopacy; Episcopal administration

Counsel, legal,
116:257, 168:408

Courses of study:
Admission on trial, 91:202
Approved supply pastors, 97:215
Introductory studies for the ministry, 91:202
Time limits, 97:215

Credentials Committee,
170:411

Credentials, surrender and restoration,
18:39, 104:233

Deacon,
18:39, 58:117, 204:514

Deeds (property)
107:241, 135:306

Delegates:
Annual Conference. *See* Annual Conference: Membership
Central Conference, 6:13, 88:195, 124:282, 162:392, 174:420
General Conference, 74:157, 76:167, 125:283, 162:392, 174:420, 175:421
Jurisdictional Conference, 5:12, 60:119, 74:157, 76:167, 125:283

Demonstrations, sit-in,
176:429

Discontinuance, local church,
138:317

Discipline,
96:212, 142:329, 172:415

Distributing Committee,
12:27, 140:322

District, apportionments to,
90:201, 208:526

District Committee on Ministerial Qualifications,
100:221

District Conference:
Licensing local preachers, 100:221
Powers and duties, 34:65
Renewal of license to preach, 100:221

District superintendent:
Appointment of preachers, 101:224
Duties, 89:198, 101:224, 146:338
Support, 44:96, 70:147

Division of Educational Institutions,
137:313

Division of National Missions,
99:219

Education, Board of,
137:313, 175:421, 188:465, 191:476, 198:498

Education, Conference Board of,
67:141, 175:421, 188:465; 191:476

Educational institutions,
175:421
See also College; Schools of theology

Educational Institutions, Division of,
137:313

Elder,
18:39, 58:117, 197:495, 204:514

Enabling Acts,
54:112, 71:149

Episcopacy:
Central Conference, 4:10
General Conference relationship to, 35:67, 40:80
Jurisdictional Committee on, 57:115
See also Bishop: Council of Bishops; Episcopal administration

Episcopal administration:
Assignment of bishops, 84:183
Boundaries of Episcopal areas, 57:115
Mission fields, 84:183
See also Bishop; Council of Bishops; Episcopacy

Episcopal area,
48:103, 57:115, 194:487

Executive session of ministerial members,
37:72, 42:85

Expenses:
Bishop, 117:262, 164:396
Jurisdictional Committee on Appeals, 17:38
Pastor's, 151:356, 213:536

Faith, Affirmations of,
59:118

Fund:
Episcopal Residence, 194:487
General Administration, 17:38
Ministers Reserve Pension, 68:143
Permanent, 160:388

General Administration Fund,
17:38

General agencies,
See Agencies, administrative

General Conference:
Authority over Jurisdictional Conference, 127:287
Commission on Entertainment and Program, 200:503
Constitutional amendments, 132:296
Delegates, 1:1, 125:283, 162:392, 174:420
Elections by, 62:121, 183:451, 214:540
Jurisdiction boundaries, 55:113, 56:114, 215:542
Powers and duties, 7:15, 23:48, 26:51, 30:59, 32:61, 35:67, 40:80, 55:113, 56:114, 58:117, 83:180, 99:219, 127:287, 137:313, 169:409, 215:542
Presiding officers, 126:285
Representation, 131:295
Transfer of local churches to other jurisdictions, 85:186

General Endowment Fund,
19:41

Germany Central Conference,
58:117, 69:145

Hospital,
92:204

Illinois Corporation,
19:41

Incorporation,
11:24, 38:74, 108:242, 143:332

Institutions, educational,
175:421
See also College; Schools of theology

Interboard Council,
98:216, 148:348, 188:465, 196:492

Interdenominational relations,
31:60

Investigation.
See Committee of Investigation

Itinerants,
65:137

Joint Distributing Committee,
12:27, 140:322

Journal, conference:
Lay leaders' names and addresses in, 146:338
Special appointments, listing, 95:209

Judicial Council:
Authority of, 177:432, 193:484, 212:535
Election of, 62:121
Membership, 94:207, 196:492
Restriction on members, 120:273, 196:492
Vacancies, 94:207, 196:492

Jurisdiction:
Boundaries, 32:61, 55:113, 56:114, 169:409, 211:533, 215:542
Representation on Judicial Council, 62:121
Transfer of local churches, 85:186, 211:533

Jurisdictional Committee on Appeals,
17:38, 47:101

Jurisdictional Committee on Episcopacy,
57:115

Jurisdictional Conference:
Bishops:
Assignments, 48:103, 84:183, 182:446, 210:530
Missionary, 21:46, 127:287
Retired, 35:67, 40:80
Delegates, 5:12, 74:157, 125:283
Limited to United States, 128:288
Powers and duties, 28:54, 48:103, 67:141, 182:446, 183:451, 198:498

Kentucky Wesleyan College,
161:391

Lander College,
64:129

Lander Foundation,
64:129

Laws, local
93:206

Lay Activities, Conference Board of,
10:23, 77:169

Lay delegates:
Central Conference, 124:282
General Conference, 74:157
Jurisdictional Conference, 5:12, 74:157, 125:283

Lay leader, church,
146:338

Lay leader, conference,
66:139, 77:169

Lay member of Annual Conference,
37:72, 42:85, 74:157, 76:167, 109:244, 112:249, 113:251, 158:384, 159:386, 170:411, 186:460, 195:490

Lay missionary,
1:1, 24:49

Liberia Annual Conference,
128:288

License to preach,
34:65, 100:221, 110:245

Local church:
Lay leader, 146:338
Membership rolls, 207:522
Official Board, 103:229, 109:244, 147:342
Quarterly Conference. *See* Quarterly Conference
Transfer to another jurisdiction, 85:186, 211:533
Trustees, 29:56, 93:206
See also Pastoral charge

Local preacher:
Appointment, 206:520
License to preach, 100:221
Ministerial functions, 91:202, 204:514
Quarterly Conference membership, 110:245
Renewal of license, 100:221

Location of minister,
3:4, 8:19, 20:45, 27:52, 110:245, 173:418, 174:420, 197:495

Lord's Supper,
91:202

Marriage,
91:202

Membership:
Annual Conference. *See* Annual Conference: Membership
General agency, 200:503, 205:518, 209:529
Local church, 207:522

Methodist Church, The:
Date of origin, 39:77
Discipline, a book of law, 96:212

Methodist Committee for Overseas Relief,
209:529

Methodist Episcopal Church,
2:1

Methodist Episcopal Church, South, 99:219

Methodist Protestant Church,
8:19

Methodist Student Movement,
175:401

Minimum salaries for pastors,
90:201, 179:435, 208:526

Minister.
See Annual Conference; Membership; Location of minister; Pastor; Retired minister; Special appointment; Traveling preacher

Ministerial education:
Approved supply pastor, 97:215
Examinations and credits, 97:215
Ministers from other churches, 31:60
Standards, 72:150
Time limits, 72:150, 97:215

Ministerial orders,
58:117, 204:514

Ministerial Qualifications, District Committee on,
100:221

Ministerial support:
Conference claimants, 13:30, 50:106. *See also* Pension regulations
District superintendent, 44:96
Expenses, 51:109, 151:356, 213:536
Minimum salaries for pastors, 90:201, 179:435, 208:526

Ministerial Training and Qualifications, Conference Board of,
157:379

Ministers Reserve Pension Fund,
68:143, 78:173, 79:175, 118:264

Ministry, "full-time,"
82:179

Mission,
84:183

Mission Conferences.
See Provisional Annual Conferences

Missionary bishop,
21:46, 127:287

Missionary, General Conference representation,
131:295

Missionary, lay,
1:1, 24:49

Missions, Board of,
99:219, 178:433

Missouri Annual Conference,
11:24

Missouri Corporation,
19:41

National Missions, Division of,
99:219

Notice, judicial procedure,
116:257, 168:408

Official Board,
103:229, 109:244, 147:342

Ohio Annual Conference,
75:159

Orders, ministerial,
58:117, 204:514

Parsonage utilities,
151:356

Pastor:
Appointment, 101:224
Expenses, 51:109, 151:356, 213:536
Proportional payments, 51:109
Salary, 65:137, 213:536
See also Approved supply pastor

Pastoral charge,
90:201, 113:251, 159:386, 208:526

Peace and War, Statement on,
25:50

Pension code.
See Pension regulations

Pension funds:
Financial policy, 145:336
General Endowment Fund for Conference Claimants, 19:41
Ministers Reserve, 68:143, 118:264
Retired supply pastor, 181:444

Pension laws, Methodist Episcopal Church,
2:1

Pension regulations:
Apportionments, 13:30, 50:106, 51:109
Approved supply pastor, 73:156, 150:354, 165:400
Bishops, 106:239
Board of Conference Claimants. *See* Conference Board of Pensions
Conference claimants, 13:30, 50:106, 119:266, 140:322
Disability, 149:352, 171:412

Disallowance of claim, 9:21, 201:506
Distributing Committee, 12:27, 140:322
Divided annuity responsibility, 14:34, 19:41, 140:322, 202:509, 203:513
Federated church, 202:509
Financial policy, 145:336
Fractional years of service, 46:99
Joint Distributing Committee, 12:27, 140:322
Loans from pension funds, 145:336
Ministers Reserve Pension Fund, 118:264
Proportional payment, 51:109
Reduced payments, 81:178
Retirement, 27:52, 149:352, 165:400, 181:444
Stabilization fund, 50:106
Widow, 20:45, 106:239
Wife of minister, 201:506

Pensions, Conference Board of, 13:30, 73:156, 81:178, 149:352, 165:400, 183:451

Perkins School of Theology, 166:403

Permanent Fund, 160:388

Preacher:
Local, 91:202, 100:221, 110:245, 204:514, 206:520
On trial, 49:105, 72:150, 124:282, 162:392, 187:461

Property:
Abandoned, 11:24, 143:332
Board of Missions, 178:433
Deeds, 107:241, 135:306
Disposal of college, 64:129
Sale, 143:332
Trust clause, 135:306

Proportional payment, 51:109

Provisional Annual Conference:
Bishop's supervision, 48:103
Delegates to General and Jurisdictional or Central Conferences, 5:12, 6:13, 60:119
Lay membership, 195:490
Pensions, 14:34
Voting privileges, 132:296

Publication, Board of, 26:51

Quarterly Conference:
Business of, 102:226
Decisions on questions of law in, 29:56
Elections, 109:244, 130:291
Meetings of, 102:226
Membership in, 110:245
Official Board, relation to, 103:229
Powers and duties, 102:226, 103:229, 109:244, 130:291, 207:522
Quorum unnecessary, 93:206
Stewards and trustees, authority over, 103:229
Trustees, 102:226, 130:291

Quorum, 36:69, 93:206, 141:326

Rally Day, 188:465

Ratio distribution of funds, 63:126

Real estate.
See Property

Records, local-church 102:226, 103:229

Religion, Articles of, 41:81, 86:189, 176:429

Reports to Annual Conference, 123:280, 148:348

Reserve delegates.
See Delegates *under appropriate organization*

Reserve Pension Fund.
See Ministers Reserve Pension Fund

Restrictive Rules, 86:189, 176:429

Retired bishop.
See Bishop: Retired

Retired minister, 7:15, 9:21, 14:34, 15:35, 19:41, 27:52, 43:92, 46:99, 81:178, 87:193, 88:195, 133:300, 149:352, 150:354, 165:400, 171:412, 181:444

Ritual,
(Admission to Membership in Annual Conference), 134:302

Sacraments, authority to administer,
91:202, 204:514

Salary:
Basic plan, 65:137, 70:147
Minimum, 90:201, 208:526
Pastor's, 65:137, 213:536
Withholding from, 118:264

School, appointment to attend,
152:359

Schools of theology:
Appointment to (faculty), 166:403, 167:406
Student approved supply pastors in, 91:202
See also College

Service (approved for pension credit),
27:52, 46:99, 73:156, 150:354, 165:400, 206:520

Sit-in demonstrations,
176:429

Social Creed,
25:50

Southern Methodist University,
166:403

Special appointment, minister in:
Annuity claim of, 95:209
Appointment of, 166:403, 167:406
Conference journal listing, 95:209

Special gifts,
63:126

Stabilization fund (pensions),
50:106

Standards for Wesley Foundations, Commission on,
137:313

Steward,
103:229

Student:
Approved supply pastor, 91:202
College, 91:202, 175:421
Religious activities, 175:421

Student Religious Work, Interconference Commission on,
175:421

Supernumerary minister,
105:237

Supply pastor,
9:21, 181:444, 206:520. *See also* Approved supply pastor; Pastor

Support.
See Ministerial support

Tenure in office:
Bishop, Central Conference, 4:10, 61:120, 80:176
General agency member, 200:503, 205:518, 209:529

Time limits, ministerial studies,
72:150

Tobacco,
111:247, 157:379

Transfer:
Annual Conference member, 114:252, 163:395, 216:546
Annual Conference to another jurisdiction, 169:409, 211:533
Local church to another Annual Conference, 211:533
Local church to another jurisdiction, 85:186, 211:533

Travel expenses:
Bishop's, 164:396
Pastor's, 51:109, 213:536

Traveling preacher,
47:101, 116:257, 162:392, 168:408

Treasurer:
Annual Conference, 185:458
Local church, 103:229, 118:264

Trial,
47:101, 89:198, 116:257, 168:408

Trial, preacher on.
See Preacher: On trial

Trust clause in deeds,
107:241, 135:306

Trustees:
Abandoned church property, 11:24
Annual Conference, 135:306, 138:317, 189:469, 190:471
Children's home, 139:319
Election of corporation trustees, 75:159
Incorporation, 108:242

Institution, 175:421
Local-church, 29:56, 93:206, 102:236, 103:229, 130:291
Missouri Annual Conference, 11:24
Trust clause in deeds, 135:306

Utilities, parsonage, 151:356

Vote:
By ballot, 34:65
Central Conference bishop, 53:112
Council of Bishops, 35:67
Reserved to ministers, 1:1, 134:302
Retired minister in Annual Conference, 87:193

War and peace, resolutions on, 25:50

Wesley Foundation, 137:313, 175:421

White Cross Hospital Association of Ohio, 75:159

Widow:
Bishop's, 106:239
Minister's, 20:45

Woman's Society of Christian Service, local-church, 138:317

Women:
Central Conference, 155:365
Clergy rights, 121:276, 155:365

World service, 30:59, 63:126

World Service and Finance, Conference Commission on, 10:23, 13:30, 44:96, 70:147, 90:201, 148:348, 185:458

World Service and Finance, General Commission on, 17:38

IV

REPORTS

STANDING

ADMINISTRATIVE

AND

LEGISLATIVE

COMMITTEES

REPORTS

STANDING ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEES

PAGE

V. Commission on Entertainment and Program 997

1. Agenda 1002
2. Chairmen 1004
3. Correlation and Editorial Revision 1006
4. Courtesies and Privileges 1007
5. Credentials 1009
6. Fraternal Delegates 1041
7. Interim Committee 1042
8. Journal 1051
9. Plan of Organization and Rules of Order 1052
10. Presiding Officers 1082
11. Reference 1083
12. Tellers 1264

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEES

1. Christian Social Concerns 1268
2. Conferences 1336
3. Education 1372
4. Lay Activities and Temporal Economy 1423
5. Membership and Evangelism 1471
6. Ministry 1496
7. Missions 1547
8. Pensions 1624
9. Publishing Interests 1643
10. Hospitals and Homes 1660
11. Interdenominational Relations and Activities ... 1674
12. Judicial Administration, Enabling Acts and Legal Forms 1689
13. Local Church 1696
14. Ritual and Orders of Worship 1716

V. GENERAL CONFERENCE ENTERTAINMENT AND PROGRAM

Chairman: Frank E. Baker (Philadelphia—NE)
Vice-Chairman: *Norman L. Conard* (Oregon—W)
Vice-Chairman: *J. Otis Young* (Ohio—NC)
Secretary: J. Wesley Hole (Southern California-Arizona —W)

There shall be a Commission on Entertainment and Program of the General Conference composed of one minister and one layman from each Jurisdiction who shall be nominated by the Council of Bishops and elected by the General Conference for a term of eight years; provided that at the 1960 General Conference one-half of the members shall be elected for a term of four years so that thereafter the General Conference shall elect one-half of the members each quadrennium for a term of eight years. The Secretary of the General Conference and the Treasurer of the Council on World Service and Finance shall also be members ex-officio but without vote. This Commission shall determine the place and time (within such limits as may be set up by the General Conference) of the next General Conference and shall make all necessary arrangements in connection therewith, including arrangements for the publication of a book of quadrennial reports of the general boards and other general agencies of the church, the same to be published by The Methodist Publishing House. *The Commission shall plan the schedule for the opening day of the Conference.* The Commission is authorized, if it deems it advisable, to select the site of the General Conference two quadrenniums in advance. The Council of Bishops shall designate one of its number to convene and organize the Commission before the adjournment of the General Conference. The Commission shall recommend to the General Conference the per diem allowance to be paid to the elected delegates. *The Commission shall issue invitations to fraternal delegates, after consultation with the Council of Bishops, and shall arrange for their local entertainment for the specific period of time required for their presentation to the General Conference.*

(See Plan of Organization and Rules of Order (V), page 165, Journal. Editor)

Commission Personnel

Central Jurisdiction—
L. Scott Allen (Georgia)
Thurman L. Dodson (Washington)
North Central Jurisdiction—
J. Otis Young (Ohio)
John R. Van Sickle (Rock River)
Northeastern Jurisdiction—
William H. Alderson (New York East)
Frank E. Baker (Philadelphia)
South Central Jurisdiction—
Ira E. Williams (Oklahoma)
Carl C. Hall (Little Rock)

Southeastern Jurisdiction—
Robert C. Holmes (Florida)
A. G. Jefferson (Virginia)
Western Jurisdiction—
Norman L. Conard (Oregon)
J. Wesley Hole (Southern California-Arizona—W)
Ex Officio—Don A. Cooke (Florida—SE), Treasurer General Conference
Leon T. Moore (Philadelphia—NE), Secretary General Conference

REPORT NO. 1. ENTERTAINMENT AND PROGRAM

Adopted Monday, April 27, 1964. See Journal, page 243.

The Commission on Entertainment and Program for the 1964 General Conference presents the following report for consideration and action:

1. Pursuant to the authority given to the Commission under the Rules of the 1960 General Conference, five cities were seriously considered as possible sites for the 1964 General Conference. Pittsburgh was chosen because auditorium, hotel, and restaurant facilities met our requirements more satisfactorily than any other location. A most capable local Committee on Entertainment was organized under the general direction of Bishops W. Vernon Middleton and Edgar Love. The Chairman of the local committee is Robert B. Pease. The Executive Secretary is Dr. David Wynne. Although many of the meetings of the committees of the General Conference will be held in churches or hotels, the Civic Auditorium has been designated as the seat of the Conference. It is recommended that your committee's action in this respect be approved.

2. The Commission has assigned seats to elected delegates, members of the Council of Secretaries (Par. 502) and to representatives of Provisional Annual Conferences and Missions outside of the United States (Par. 503). The assignment of seats to delegates by lot was done by the officers of the Commission. We recommend that the seating plan as printed in the **Daily Christian Advocate** of this date be approved as the Official Seating Plan of the Conference. We further recommend that our Commission be authorized to make from time to time such changes and adjustments as are found necessary.

3. Identification badges for the many different groups of people who attend the General Conference have been furnished. Different colored ribbons are used to identify the

status of the persons wearing the badges. These colors and the groups using them are:

Delegates	White & Red
Bishops	Maroon
Bishops' Wives	Maroon & White
Judicial Council	Purple
Judicial Council Wives	Purple & White
Entertainment Commission	Royal Blue
General Secretaries	Nile Green & White
Fraternal Delegates	White
Staff Members & Spouses	Nile Green

In addition to the badges for delegates and officials of the Conference, the local Committee provides badges for its personnel, ushers, pages and visitors.

4. As required under the Plan of Organization and Rules of Order of the 1964 General Conference, our Commission has arranged with the Publishing House for the publication of a Book of Quadrennial Reports of Boards, Commissions and Committees of The Methodist Church. Mr. Lovick Pierce, President and Publisher, nominated Mr. Charles A. Britton, Jr. as editor. We commend Mr. Britton very highly for a job well done. We trust that the contents of this compendium of vital information about the work of the Methodist Church around the world will have widespread use.

5. In co-operation with the local Committee, your Commission has arranged for suitable meeting places for the Legislative Committees of the Conference. The correct list of these meeting places is published in the Handbook and we recommend that the list be approved.

6. Offices for the general officers of the Conference and a place of meeting for the Judicial Council have been provided. These are also listed in the Handbook and approval is requested.

7. Your Commission recommends that the official area of the Conference be fixed to include that portion of the floor of the Civic Auditorium which has been assigned for seating of the elected delegates to this Conference and representatives of Boards including the platform as shown on the Printed Diagram of the floor as it appears in the **Daily Christian Advocate.**

8. We have provided press tables conveniently located, and we recommend that the representatives of the press as designated from time to time by the Commission on Public Information be given seats at these tables.

9. Your Commission recommends that the active and retired members of the Judicial Council of The Methodist

Church be seated upon the platform during all business sessions of the Conference.

10. Your Commission recommends that four offerings be taken during the Conference. The purposes for which these offerings are to be taken are as follows:

a. The Communion Service offering to go to the Fellowship of Suffering and Service.

b. Saturday evening, May 2nd, offering to go to the Pittsburgh Local Committee toward the expenses of their program.

c. Sunday evening, May 3rd, offering for the General Administration fund.

d. An offering to be taken at some business session for the benefit of ushers and pages, the exact time to be determined by the Committee on Agenda.

11. A contract has been signed by your Commission with Hammock and Pace for stenotype reporting of the sessions. A verbatim record of all business sessions will be made.

12. Your Commission has in accordance with the instructions of the Rules of Order. and after consultation with the Council of Bishops, officially invited fraternal delegates to attend the Conference. Arrangements have been made to present these distinguished visitors and to have one of them address the Conference on behalf of the whole group. Each of them is requested to prepare a written message of not to exceed 300 words to be included in the **Daily Christian Advocate** and the official Journal of the General Conference upon approval of the Committee on Journal of the General Conference. Your Commission recommends that the fraternal delegates and distinguished guests be seated on the platform from time to time as occasion requires.

13. In order to provide for the convenience of certain persons who will not be present during the entire session of the General Conference but who have been invited as a matter of privilege for the Conference, and in order to facilitate their presentation to the Conference, your Commission recommends the following Orders of the Day:

Wednesday, April 29—9 a.m.: That part of the Report of the Commission on Worship dealing with the proposed new Hymnal

Wednesday, April 29—10:50 a.m.: Presentation of Chaplains

Thursday, April 30—10:50 a.m.: Presentation of Fraternal Delegates

Friday, May 1—10:50 a.m.: Presentation of Deaconesses

Saturday, May 2—9:00 a.m.: Presentation of Crusade Scholars

In accordance with the Rules of Order, all other requests for Orders of the Day have been referred to the Committee on Agenda.

14. Your Commission recommends a per diem allowance of $16.00 for each delegate for the days he or she is in attendance at the sessions of the General Conference. We further recommend that the allowance of expenses of each accredited fraternal delegate be approved for a period not to exceed three days.

15. Under the chairmanship of Dr. J. Otis Young, a program of interest and importance has been arranged for delegates and visitors. The following features which will be announced in detail from time to time are called to your attention:

a. A preaching service will be held each afternoon of the first week of the Conference in the First Presbyterian Church at 4:00 p.m. Outstanding preachers from various Jurisdictions have been chosen for these services. Also, outstanding choirs have been selected.

b. On Wednesday night, April 29, a program titled "Fifty Years of Methodism" will be presented in the Civic Auditorium under the direction of the Council of Secretaries.

c. On Saturday night, May 2, a great program titled "Pittsburgh Night" is to be presented by the Pittsburgh Local Committee.

d. "Methodism—A World-Wide Church" is the theme for a program in the Auditorium on Sunday night, May 3.

16. Sometime during our stay in Pittsburgh, we will present the persons who have comprised the local committees and who have labored long and faithfully to the end that our visit might be pleasant and profitable. As a Commission we would record our gratitude to a large number of men and women without whose help this Conference would not be possible. We have indeed been fortunate that such local leadership has been available to assist us in the myriad of details which are involved in arranging for such a Conference.

FRANK E. BAKER, *Chairman*
J. WESLEY HOLE, *Secretary*

1. AGENDA

Chairman: *J. Otis Young* (Ohio—NC)
Vice-Chairman: Walter L. Hunt (Wyoming—NE)
Secretary: Mrs. Louis H. Fields (Lexington—C)

Committee on Agenda. There shall be a Committee on Agenda.

(a) It shall be composed of nine members, at least four of whom shall be laymen, to be constituted as follows: One from each Jurisdiction, one from overseas, the chairman of the Committee of Chairmen when elected, and the chairman or substitute for the Program Chairman of the Program Committee of the Commission on Entertainment and Program. It shall be appointed by the Council of Bishops at its winter meeting next preceding the meeting of the General Conference from the elected delegates to the General Conference.

(b) The Committee shall be convened by the Secretary of the General Conference at least the day before the opening of the General Conference and shall consult with the Council of Bishops, the Commission on Entertainment and Program and the Secretary of the General Conference concerning pending business.

(c) Following the presentation and adoption of the report of the Commission on Entertainment and Program at the opening session of the General Conference, the Committee on Agenda shall immediately become responsible for helping guide the order of business of the Conference.

(d) To this committee shall be referred all requests for special orders of the day, except those requested in the report of the Commission on Entertainment and Program on the first day of the Conference.

(e) Proposals, questions, communications, resolutions, and other matters not included in the regular business of the General Conference shall be referred to the Committee on Agenda without motion or debate. This committee shall determine whether or not the matter presented shall be considered by the General Conference. Appeal from the decision of this committee may be presented to the Conference upon the written signature of twenty members of the Conference, and the item shall be presented to the Conference if the appeal is supported by a one-third vote.

(f) The Committee on Agenda shall report to each session of the General Conference its recommendations concerning business agenda and time allocations for the various reports and business items, except that at all times the Committee of Chairmen shall determine the order in which legislative committee reports shall be presented. (See Plan of Organization and Rules of Order (VI, A), page 165, Journal. Editor)

Committee Personnel

Mrs. Louis H. Fields (Lexington—C)
Walter L. Hunt (Wyoming—NE)
Hubert G. Hurley (Southern Illinois—NC)
Nat G. Long (North Georgia—SE)
Mrs. Charles Mead (Nebraska—SC)
Meher Singh (Agra—OS)

Willis M. Tate (North Texas—SC)
Frank S. Williams (Southern California-Arizona—W)
J. Otis Young (Ohio—NC)

REPORTS

		Page
Report No.	1. Wednesday morning, April 29, 1964	250
	2. Thursday morning, April 30, 1964	278
	3. Friday morning, May 1, 1964	354
	4. Friday afternoon, May 1, 1964	379
	5. Saturday morning, May 2, 1964	408
	6. Monday morning, May 4, 1964	429
	7. Monday evening, May 4, 1964	457
	8. Tuesday morning, May 5, 1964	493
	9. Wednesday morning, May 6, 1964	602
	10. Wednesday evening, May 6, 1964	670
	11. Thursday morning, May 7, 1964	714
	12. Friday morning, May 8, 1964	855

2. CHAIRMEN

Chairman: Willis M. Tate (North Texas—SC)

Committee of Chairmen. The chairmen of the several standing legislative committees, the chairman of the Committee on Plan of Organization and Rules of Order, the chairman of the Commission on Entertainment and Program and the chairman of the Committee on Agenda shall be constituted a Committee of Chairmen, whose function it shall be to arrange for the presentation of committee reports to the conference in such order as to expedite the business. (See Plan of Organization and Rules of Order (VI, A), page 165, Journal. Editor.)

Committee Personnel

Agenda—*J. Otis Young* (Ohio—NC)
Christian Social Concerns—*Harold A. Bosley* (New York—NE)
Conferences—*D. Trigg James, Sr.* (Holston—SE)
Education—Willis M. Tate (North Texas—SC)
General Conference Entertainment and Program—Frank E. Baker (Philadelphia—NE)
Hospitals and Homes—*Harvey H. Potthoff* (Rocky Mountain—W)
Interdenominational Relations and Activities—*Wilson O. Weldon* (Western North Carolina—SE)
Judicial Administration, Enabling Acts and Legal Forms—Lyle H. Truax (Pacific Northwest—W)
Lay Activities and Temporal Economy—R. Howard Berg (Florida—SE)
Local Church—*Merlyn W. Northfelt* (Rock River—NE)
Membership and Evangelism—*Truman W. Potter* (West Virginia—NE)
Ministry—*William R. Cannon* (North Georgia—SE)
Missions—*Jack S. Wilkes* (Oklahoma—SC)
Pensions—*Roland P. Riddick* (Virginia—SE)
Plan of Organization and Rules of Order—*Harold S. Swales* (Central New York—NE)
Publishing Interests—*Carl J. Sanders* (Virginia—SE)
Ritual and Orders of Worship—*Amos A. Thornburg* (Rock River—NC)

REPORTS

		PAGE
Report No.	1. Saturday morning, May 2, 1964	408
	2. Saturday morning, May 2, 1964	422
	3. Monday evening, May 4, 1964	470

PAGE

4. Monday evening, May 4, 1964 481
5. Monday evening, May 4, 1964 492
6. Tuesday evening, May 5, 1964 569
7. Tuesday evening, May 5, 1964 528
8. Tuesday evening, May 5, 1964 581
9. Tuesday evening, May 5, 1964 591
10. Tuesday evening, May 5, 1964 597
11. Wednesday evening, May 6, 1964 . 685
12. Wednesday evening, May 6, 1964 ... 688
13. Wednesday evening, May 6, 1964 ... 688
14. Wednesday evening, May 6, 1964 ... 708
15. Wednesday evening, May 6, 1964 ... 713
16. Thursday afternoon, May 7, 1964 ... 780
17. Thursday afternoon, May 7, 1964 ... 780
18. Thursday evening, May 7, 1964 791
19. Thursday evening, May 7, 1964 797
20. Thursday evening, May 7, 1964 799
21. Thursday evening, May 7, 1964 800
22. Thursday evening, May 7, 1964 801
23. Thursday evening, May 7, 1964 807
24. Thursday evening, May 7, 1964 816
25. Thursday evening, May 7, 1964 818
26. Thursday evening, May 7, 1964 833
27. Thursday evening, May 7, 1964 843
28. Thursday evening, May 7, 1964 852
29. Friday morning, May 8, 1964 862
30. Friday morning, May 8, 1964 884
31. Friday morning, May 8, 1964 886

3. CORRELATION AND EDITORIAL REVISION

Chairman: *Murray H. Leiffer* (Southern California-Arizona—W)
Secretary: *Alexander K. Smith* (Philadelphia—NE)

Correlation and Editorial Revision. There shall be a committee of three known as the Committee on Correlation and Editorial Revision. This committee shall be composed of three persons not members of the General Conference, appointed by the Council of Bishops. They shall be entitled to travel expense and per diem allowance, the same as the delegates. The functions of this committee shall be:

(a) To review all *Proposed Legislation Reported in the Daily Christian Advocate and that Presented in Special Reports to the General Conference and* all legislation enacted by the General Conference. The committee shall report promptly to the standing committees concerned, or to the General Conference as the situation may warrant, any and all contradictions, duplications, and inconsistencies discovered therein.

(b) To report to the chairmen of the several standing legislative committees all changes it has made in the language of the reports adopted by the General Conference.

(See Plan of Organization and Rules of Order (VI, A), page 165, Journal. Editor)

Committee Personnel

Emory S. Bucke (New England—NE)
Murray H. Leiffer (Southern California-Arizona—W)
Leon T. Moore (Philadelphia—NE)
Walter I. Munday (Louisville—SE)
Alexander K. Smith (Philadelphia—NE)

REPORT NO. 1, CORRELATION AND EDITORIAL REVISION

Adopted May 8, 1964. See Journal, page 917.

The Committee on Correlation and Editorial Revision has had an unusually busy time trying to correlate reports at this session of the General Conference.

We have dealt with 48 different calendars. The committee greatly appreciates the co-operation of the chairmen of the legislative committees. One problem remains, an action taken this morning in Report 4, page 409, states that the deadline for all memorials is 30 days in advance of the General Conference.

This action calls for editing of Paragraph 510-A in the *Discipline* in order that it be brought into conformity. If there is no objection, the editor of the *Discipline* will make this change, Mr. Chairman.

MURRAY H. LEIFFER, *Chairman*
ALEXANDER K. SMITH, *Secretary*

4. COURTESIES AND PRIVILEGES

Chairman: *John B. Jones* (Baltimore—NE)
Vice-Chairman: *Leonard H. Cochran* (South Georgia—SE)
Secretary: William C. Patten (New Mexico—SC)

Courtesies and Privileges. There shall be a committee of fourteen, composed of one minister and one layman from each jurisdiction and one minister and one layman from among the delegates representing Annual Conferences outside the United States, known as the Committee on Courtesies and Privileges, having the following duties and responsibilities:

(a) To consider, as presented to it by members of the Conference, what said members regard as questions or matters of privilege, to decide whether they are such or not, and if they are regarded as being such, to recommend to the Conference that they be heard. (Note: Only questions or matters of privilege which are so urgent that they cannot wait for consideration by this committee may be presented immediately to the Conference, as provided in Rule 9.)

(b) To prepare, with due regard for brevity, and present to the Conference for its action such complimentary resolutions as occasion may demand.

(c) To arrange for extending courtesies of the Conference to any to whom they may be due, fraternal delegates and official visitors excepted.

(d) To arrange for a memorial service, at some time agreeable to the Conference, for deceased delegates-elect, and for bishops and general officers of the church who have died since the adjournment of the last preceding General Conference.

(e) To limit its report, including the statement of the chairman and the hearing of such persons as may be presented, to a maximum of twenty minutes in any one business session. No person or persons shall be presented after the sixth day unless approved by a two-thirds vote of the Conference.

(f) To prepare and publish in the *Daily Christian Advocate*, contemporaneously with the list of the nominees for election to the Judicial Council, biographical sketches not to exceed 100 words in length for each nominee.

(See Plan of Organization and Rules of Order (VI, A), page 165, Journal. Editor)

Committee Personnel

J. Carlton Babbs (Rocky Mountain—W)
Alsie H. Carleton (North Texas—SC)
Leonard H. Cochran (South Georgia—SE)
Arthur Fleming (Oregon—W)
Harry B. Gibson, Jr. (Lexington—C)
George Ivey (Western North Carolina—SE)
John Bailey Jones (Baltimore—NE)
Alvin Lindgren (West Wisconsin—NC)
Richard V. Moore (Florida—C)
William C. Patten (New Mexico—SC)
H. Paul Shaffer (West Virginia—NE)
Clay Steel (Rock River—NC)

Samuel Tsopotsa (Rhodesia—OS)
Raymond Valenzuela (Chile—OS)

REPORTS

		Page
Report No.	1. Wednesday morning, April 29, 1964	250
	2. Thursday morning, April 30, 1964	278
	3. Saturday morning, May 2, 1964	408
	4. Monday morning, May 4, 1964	430
	5. Tuesday morning, May 5, 1964	496
	6. Wednesday morning, May 6, 1964	602
	7. Thursday morning, May 7, 1964	714
	8. Thursday evening, May 7, 1964	790

5. CREDENTIALS

Chairman: *Paul M. Hann* (South Iowa—NC)
Vice-Chairman: *Ernest T. Dixon, Jr.* (West Texas—C)
Secretary: Margaret Currie (Maine—NE)

Credentials. There shall be a Committee on Credentials of seven members. To this committee the chairmen of the respective Annual Conference delegations shall report, on a form provided for that purpose, the names of absent delegates and of available reserve delegates to be substituted for said absentees. This committee shall in turn report all such cases of absence to the Conference with recommendations as to the seating of reserves if such are available. No reserve delegates shall be seated except by action of the Conference and after a report has been made upon the case by this committee; provided, that the reserve delegates reported by the chairmen of the respective Annual Conference delegations as substituted for absentees at the opening session shall be seated tentatively without the action of this committee; and provided, further, that such substitutions shall be reported to this committee for review and report to the General Conference for its action. All changes in the personnel of the seated delegates of the Annual Conference delegations shall be reported to this committee on blanks provided by the Secretary of the Conference. Per diem allowances shall be paid on the basis of the report of this committee.

NOTE: Abbreviations: (M) Ministerial Delegate; (MR) Ministerial Reserve Delegate; (L) Lay Delegate; (LR) Lay Reserve Delegate. The substitutions are listed by Annual Conferences. The number of the Report, taken with the numerical listing in each report (1-5) refers to the Alphabetical list of Reserve Delegates, Journal, page 145.)

(See Plan of Organization and Rules of Order (VI), page 165, Journal. Editor)

Committee Personnel

Margaret Currie (Maine—NE)
Ernest T. Dixon, Jr. (West Texas—C)
Paul M. Hann (South Iowa—NC)
Offie L. Hathaway (North Carolina—SE)
Lowell D. Jones (Nebraska—SC)
Ram Datt Joshi (North India—OS)
Stanley McKee (Southern California-Arizona—W)

REPORT NO. 1. CREDENTIALS

(MR) Ministerial Reserve; (LR) Lay Reserve

Adopted Wednesday, April 29, 1964. See Journal, page 249.

Your Standing Administrative Committee on Credentials approves the changes made in the seating of Delegates reported by the Chairmen of the Annual Conference Delegations at Roll Call, Sunday, April 26, 1964.

1. ALABAMA-WEST FLORIDA (SE)

Mrs. J. T. Allen (LR) for J. H. Orr, April 29th, for remainder of Conference.

2. CENTRAL NEW YORK (NE)
   Floyd E. Guiles (MR) for *Harold S. Swales,* morning, April 29.
   Harold S. Swales (M) resumes seat, afternoon, April 29.
   Warren G. Odom (MR) for *Lester Schaff,* morning, April 29.
   Lester Schaff (M) resumes seat, afternoon, April 29.
3. DELAWARE (C)
   Joseph Hardcastle (L) previously absent, seated, morning, April 28.
4. FLORIDA (SE)
   Robert C. Holmes (MR) for *J. Wallace Hamilton,* morning, April 27.
   J. Wallace Hamilton (M) resumes seat, morning, April 28.
5. HOLSTON (SE)
   Earl Blazer (L) previously absent, seated morning, April 27.
6. INDIANA (NC)
   Ralph G. Hastings (LR) for Glenn Dougherty, morning, afternoon, night, April 29.
7. MISSISSIPPI (SE)
   L. Shaw Gaddy (MR) for *J. Willard Leggett, Jr.* morning, April 29.
   J. Willard Leggett, Jr. (M) resumes seat, morning, April 29.
8. NORTH ALABAMA (SE)
   Eugene E. Bridges (MR) for *R. Edwin Kimbrough,* morning, April 29.
   Denson N. Franklin (MR) for *W. Nelson Guthrie, Sr.,* morning, April 29.
9. NORTH ARKANSAS (SC)
   Alvin C. Murray (MR) for *Ethan W. Dodgen,* morning and afternoon, April 29.
10. NORTH TEXAS (SC)
    T. Herbert Minga (MR) for *Alsie H. Carleton,* morning, April 29.
11. NORTH EAST OHIO (NC)
    Paul O. Mayer (MR) for *Paul M. Ward,* morning, afternoon, and night, April 29.
12. NORTHWEST TEXAS (SC)
    Ray H. Nichols (LR) for Eldon Mahon, morning, April 29.

13. OKLAHOMA (SC)
    J. Howard Bush (MR) for *Ira E. Williams*, morning, April 29.
    Ira E. Williams (M) resumes seat, afternoon, April 29.
    Charles Simpson (MR) for *W. McFerrin Stowe*, morning, April 29.
    W. McFerrin Stowe (M) resumes seat, afternoon, April 29.
14. OREGON (W)
    Marshall Hjelte (LR) for Arthur Fleming, April 28 and 29.
    Burton O. Bastuscheck (MR) for *Raymond E. Balcomb*, morning, April 29.
    Raymond E. Balcomb (M) resumes seat, afternoon, April 29.
15. SOUTH IOWA (NC)
    William F. Overhulser (MR) for *Arthur V. Long*, morning, April 29.
16. SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA-ARIZONA (N)
    Marion R. Walker (LR) for J. Wesley Hole, from April 27th through Conference.
    F. Thomas Trotter (MR) for *K. Morgan Edwards*, morning, afternoon, and night, April 29; morning, April 30.
    K. Morgan Edwards (M) resumes seat, afternoon, April 30.
    Charles S. Kendall (MR) for *Ray W. Ragsdale*, morning, April 29.
17. SOUTHWEST (C)
    Mrs. C. G. Washington (LR) for John S. Cullins, morning, April 29.
18. TENNESSEE (SE)
    Mrs. J. Fount Tillman (LR) for George H. Cate, Jr., afternoon and night, April 27.
    George H. Cate, Jr. (L) resumes seat, morning, April 28.
19. WESTERN NORTH CAROLINA (SE)
    Carl B. Hyatt, Jr. (L) previously absent, is seated, morning, April 27.
20. WYOMING (NE)
    Ralph L. Newing (LR) for G. Wesley Lewis, April 26, April 27, and April 28.
    G. Wesley Lewis (L) seated, morning, April 29.
    Mrs. Roger B. Glazier (LR) for Harry M. Gordon, morning, April 29.

Harry M. Gordon (L) resumes seat, afternoon, April 29.

(Signed) PAUL M. HANN, *Chairman*
MARGARET CURRIE, *Secretary*

REPORT NO. 2. CREDENTIALS

Adopted April 30, 1964. See Journal, page 278.

1. CENTRAL NEW YORK (NE)
   Warren G. Odom (MR) for *Lester Schaff*, morning, April 30.
   Lester Schaff (M) resumes seat, afternoon, April 30.
   Floyd E. Guiles (MR) for *Harold S. Swales*, morning, April 30.
   Harold S. Swales (M) resumes seat, afternoon, April 30.
   Fred R. Sears (LR) for Philip Stump, morning, afternoon, night, April 30.
2. CENTRAL TEXAS (SC)
   Walter B. Rider (LR) for Law Sone, morning, April 30.
   Law Sone (L) resumes seat, afternoon, April 30.
   Maggart B. Howell (MR) for *L. Stanley Williams*, morning, April 30.
   L. Stanley Williams (M) resumes seat, afternoon, April 30.
   Jarrard Secrest (L) previously absent, seated, morning, April 27.
3. FLORIDA (SE)
   William B. Smith (LR) for Glenn W. Gold, night, April 30.
   Glenn W. Gold (L) resumes seat, morning, May 1.
4. INDIANA (NC)
   Glenn E. Dougherty (L) resumes seat, morning, April 30.
5. KENTUCKY (SE)
   William F. Pettus (MR) for *Russell R. Patton*, night, April 29.
   Russell R. Patton (M) resumes seat, morning, April 30.
   John W. Holbrook (LR) for William E. Savage, night, April 30.
   William E. Savage (L) resumes seat, morning, May 1.
6. LOUISVILLE (SE)
   Rual T. Perkins (MR) for *E. Wade Weldon* for night, April 30.

Henry R. Chandler (MR) for *James S. Curry* for night, April 30.
L. R. McDonald (MR) for *James W. Averitt*, night, April 30.

7. NORTH ALABAMA (SE)
W. Nelson Guthrie, Sr. (M) resumes seat, morning, April 30.
R. Edwin Kimbrough (M) resumes seat, morning, April 30.
Paul L. Clem (MR) for *J. Henry Chitwood*, morning, April 30.
J. Henry Chitwood (M) resumes seat, afternoon, April 30.
Mrs. Thad Cottingham (LR) for William C. Brannon, morning, April 30.
William C. Brannon (L) resumes seat, afternoon, April 30.

8. NORTH ARKANSAS (SC)
J. Ralph Hillis (MR) for *Ethan W. Dodgen*, morning, April 30.
Elmo A. Thomason (MR) for *Ethan W. Dodgen*, afternoon, April 30.
Virgil D. Keeley (MR) for *Ethan W. Dodgen*, night, April 30.

9. NORTH TEXAS (SC)
T. Lee Miller (MR) for *Robert E. Goodrich, Jr.*, morning. April 30.
Robert E. Goodrich, Jr. (M) resumes seat, afternoon, April 30.
Alsie H. Carleton (M) resumes seat, morning, April 30.

10. NORTHWEST TEXAS (SC)
Woodrow W. Adcock (MR) for J. Weldon Butler, morning, April 30.

11. OHIO (NC)
Albert L. Slack (MR) for *J. Otis Young*, night, April 30.

12. OKLAHOMA (SC)
D. Wesley Doak (MR) for *L. Lee Bowles*, morning, April 30.
L. Lee Bowles (M) resumes seat, afternoon, April 30.
Howard Davis (MR) for *Nuell C. Crain*, morning, April 30.
Nuell C. Crain (M) resumes seat, afternoon, April 30.

13. PACIFIC NORTHWEST (W)
R. Franklin Thompson (MR) for *Cecil F. Ristow*, night, April 30.

14. ROCK RIVER (NC)
    Donald A. Tripp (LR) for Henry V. Loeppert, afternoon and night, April 30.
15. SOUTH GEORGIA (SE)
    Joe H. Bridges (MR) for *David A. Duck,* morning, April 30.
    David A. Duck (M) resumes seat, afternoon, April 30.
    C. W. Hancock (MR) for *J. F. Wilson,* morning, April 30.
    J. F. Wilson (M) resumes seat, night, April 30.
    Bruce M. Wilson (MR) for *Frank L. Robertson,* morning, April 30.
    Frank L. Robertson (M) resumes seat, night, April 30.
16. SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA-ARIZONA (W)
    Kenneth P. Miller (MR) for *Russell E. Clay,* night, April 30.
    Russell E. Clay (M) resumes seat, morning, May 1.
    Charles S. Kendall (MR) for *Ray W. Ragsdale,* night, April 30.
    Ray W. Ragsdale (M) resumes seat, morning, May 1.
    Frank M. Gray (MR) for *Frank S. Williams,* night, April 30.
    Frank S. Williams (M) resumes seat, morning, May 1.
    John M. Cranston (LR) for Ernest Colwell, morning, April 30.
    Ernest Colwell (L) resumes seat, afternoon, April 30.
    John M. Cranston (LR) for Donald Buhler (LR), night, April 30.
    Donald Buhler (LR) resumes seat, morning, May 1.
17. SOUTHERN ILLINOIS (NC)
    Vernie T. Barnett (MR) for *Clyde R. Funkhouser,* morning, April 30.
    Clyde R. Funkhouser (M) resumes seat, afternoon, April 30.
18. SOUTHWEST TEXAS (SC)
    Donald E. Redmond (MR) for *Elmer J. Hierholzer,* night, April 30.
19. TEXAS (SC)
    Mrs. W. W. Fondren (LR) for M. G. Mell, afternoon and night, April 30.
    M. G. Mell (L) has left the seat of the Conference, April 30.
20. VIRGINIA (SE)
    William R. Phelps (LR) for William T. Robey, Jr., night, April 30.

William T. Robey, Jr. resumes seat, afternoon, May 2.
James W. Turner (MR) for *Harold H. Hughes, Sr.*, morning, April 30.
Harold H. Hughes, Sr. (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 1.

21. WESTERN NORTH CAROLINA (SE)
Hugh Massie (LR) for J. Braxton Craven who has left the seat of the Conference, April 30.
Cecil L. Heckard (MR) for *John H. Carper*, morning, April 30.

22. WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA (NE)
H. Clair Heitzenrater (MR) for *Adolph P. Weaver*, afternoon, April 30.
Adolph P. Weaver (M) resumes seat, night, April 30.

(Signed) PAUL M. HANN, *Chairman*
MARGARET CURRIE, *Secretary*

REPORT NO. 3. CREDENTIALS

Adopted May 1, 1964. See Journal, page 353.

1. BALTIMORE (NE)
Flemme Kittrell (LR) for W. Carroll Beatty, after recess, morning, May 1.
W. Carroll Beatty (L) resumes seat, afternoon, May 1.

2. CENTRAL KANSAS (SC)
Mrs. Kenneth L. McGill (LR) for Mrs. H. L. Georg, following morning recess, May 1.
Mrs. H. L. Georg (L) resumes seat, afternoon, May 1.

3. CENTRAL PENNSYLVANIA (NE)
Leland W. Keemer (MR) for *Earl N. Rowe*, afternoon, May 1.
Victor B. Hann (MR) for *Edgar A. Henry*, before recess, morning, May 1.
Edgar A. Henry (M) resumes seat, after recess, morning, May 1.
F. LaMont Henninger (MR) for *D. Frederick Wertz*, morning, May 1.
D. Frederick Wertz (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 1.

4. CENTRAL TEXAS (SC)
Leighton Farrell (MR) for *G. Alfred Brown*, morning, May 1.
G. Alfred Brown (MR) resumes seat, afternoon, May 1.

Hubert Johnson (LR) for Mrs. R. S. High, morning, May 1.

S. Wayne Reynolds (MR) for *Gaston Foote,* morning, May 1.

Gaston Foote (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 1.

Allen A. Peacock (MR) for *L. Stanley Williams,* morning, May 1.

L. Stanley Williams (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 1.

Cleo C. Sessions (MR) for *Wilford V. Bane,* morning, May 1.

Wilford V. Bane (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 1.

Bruce Weaver (MR) for *H. Brown Loyd,* morning, May 1.

H. Brown Loyd (M) resumes seat, morning, May 2.

5. DELAWARE (C)

Charles L. Carrington (MR) for *Walter R. Hazzard, Sr.,* afternoon, May 1.

6. FLORIDA (SE)

Gaylon L. Howe (MR) for *John J. Rooks,* morning, May 1.

W. S. Bozeman (MR) for *Millard C. Cleveland,* afternoon, May 1.

J. Milburn McLeod (MR) for *Edward J. Pendergrass,* afternoon, May 1.

Edward J. Pendergrass (M) resumes seat, morning, May 2.

7. KENTUCKY (SE)

Donald Durham (MR) for *Ralph G. Wesley,* night, May 1.

Ralph G. Wesley (MR) resumes seat, night, May 2.

8. LITTLE ROCK (SC)

J. Ralph Clayton (MR) for *Cecil R. Culver,* morning, May 1.

Cecil R. Culver (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 1.

C. Ray Hozendorf (MR) for *Marshall T. Steel,* morning, May 1.

Marshall T. Steel (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 1.

Raymond Hilles (LR) for Luther L. Miller, morning, May 1.

Luther L. Miller (L) resumes seat, afternoon, May 1.

S. H. Allman (LR) for Roland M. Shelton, morning, May 1.

Roland M. Shelton (L) resumes seat, afternoon, May 1.

9. LOUISIANA (C)
   Carl T. Wethers (MR) for *William T. Handy, Jr.*, afternoon, May 1.
10. LOUISVILLE (SE)
   James W. Averitt (M) resumes seat, morning, May 1.
   James S. Curry (M) resumes seat, morning, May 1.
   E. Wade Weldon (M) resumes seat, morning, May 1.
11. MISSOURI WEST (SC)
   Z. Glen Jones (MR) for *Forrest L. Standard*, morning, May 1.
   Forrest L. Standard (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 1.
   Perry A. Rowland (MR) for *Hauser Winter*, morning, May 1.
   Hauser Winter (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 1.
   Kenneth C. Johnston (MR) for *Robert N. Arbaugh*, morning, May 1.
   Robert N. Arbaugh (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 1.
12. NEW JERSEY (NE)
   Robert L. Jenks (MR) for *Ernest W. Lee*, morning, May 1.
13. NEW MEXICO (SC)
   Ralph H. Seiler (MR) for *Earl M. Nowlin*, night, May 1.
   Earl M. Nowlin (M) resumes seat, morning, May 2.
14. NEW YORK EAST (NE)
   William H. Veale (LR) for Robert W. Preusch, night, May 1; morning, afternoon, night, May 2.
   Robert W. Preusch (L) resumes seat, morning, May 4.
15. NORTH ALABAMA (SE)
   Allen D. Montgomery (MR) for *Calvin M. Pinkard*, afternoon, May 1.
16. NORTH ARKANSAS (C)
   Alvin C. Murray (MR) for *Ethan W. Dodgen*, morning, May 1.
   Elmo A. Thomason (MR) for *Ethan W. Dodgen*, afternoon, May 1.
   Ethan W. Dodgen (M) resumes seat, night, May 1.
17. NORTH TEXAS (SC)
   William H. Dickinson (MR) for *Albert C. Outler*, afternoon, May 1.
   Albert C. Outler (M) resumes seat, night, May 1.
18. NORTH-EAST OHIO (NC)
   Charles P. Dailey (MR) for *Carl C. Bracy*, night, May 1.

Paul M. Ward (M) resumes seat, morning, May 1.

19. NORTHWEST INDIANA (NC)
    Lyle Loomis (MR) for *Richard C. Thistle,* afternoon, May 1.
20. NORTHWEST TEXAS (SC)
    J. Weldon Butler (M) resumes seat, morning, May 1.
    Woodrow W. Adcock (MR) has left the seat of the Conference, May 1.
21. OHIO (NC)
    Louis R. Wolter (MR) for *Raoul C. Calkins,* morning, May 1.
    Raoul C. Calkins (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 1.
    Louis R. Wolter (MR) for *J. Otis Young,* afternoon, May 1.
22. ROCK RIVER (NC)
    Wesley M. Westerberg (MR) for *Carleton C. Rogers,* morning, May 1.
    Carleton C. Rogers (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 1.
    Blanche Rutledge (LR) for Henry V. Loeppert, morning, before recess, May 1.
    Henry V. Loeppert (L) resumes seat, after recess, morning, May 1.
23. ROCKY MOUNTAIN (W)
    Fred C. Clark (MR) for *Ben F. Lehmberg,* afternoon, May 1.
    Ben F. Lehmberg (M) resumes seat, night, May 1.
24. SOUTH GEORGIA (SE)
    Dan H. Williams (MR) for *David A. Duck,* night session, May 1.
    David A. Duck (M) resumes seat, morning, May 2.
    Thomas A. Whiting (MR) for *J. F. Wilson,* night, May 1.
    J. F. Wilson (M) resumes seat, morning, May 2.
    F. J. Beverly, Jr. (MR) for *Leonard H. Cochran,* night session, May 2.
    Leonard H. Cochran (M) resumes seat, morning, May 2.
25. SOUTH IOWA (NC)
    Everett H. Staats (MR) for *Lester L. Moore,* afternoon, May 1.
26. SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA-ARIZONA (W)
    Kenneth P. Miller (MR) for *Russell E. Clay,* afternoon, May 1.
    Russell E. Clay (M) resumes seat, morning, May 2.
    Frank M. Gray (MR) for *K. Morgan Edwards,* afternoon, May 1.

K. Morgan Edwards (M) resumes seat, morning, May 2.
Donald R. O'Connor (MR) for *Melvin E. Wheatley, Jr.*, afternoon, May 1.
Melvin E. Wheatley, Jr. (M) resumes seat, morning, May 2.
John M. Cranston (LR) for Melvin Gammage, morning, May 1.
Melvin Gammage (L) resumes seat, afternoon, May 1.
John M. Cranston (LR) for Mrs. John Paul Stone, afternoon, May 1.
Mrs. John Paul Stone (L) resumes seat, morning, May 2.

27. SOUTHWEST TEXAS (SC)
Lawrence K. Brown (MR) for *J. Barcus Moore,* night, May 1.

28. TEXAS (C)
Robert E. Hayes (MR) for *Allen M. Mayes,* afternoon and night, May 1,
Allen M. Mayes (MR) resumes seat, morning, May 2.

29. TEXAS (SC)
Mrs. W. W. Fondren (LR) for M. G. Mell, morning and afternoon, May 1.
M. G. Mell (L) has left the seat of the conference, May 1.

30. VIRGINIA (SE)
Robert P. Parker (MR) for *Joseph S. Johnston,* morning, May 1.
Joseph S. Johnston (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 1.
Charles O. Kidd (MR) for *A. Purnell Bailey,* night, May 1.
A. Purnell Bailey (M) resumes seat, morning, May 2.

31. WEST VIRGINIA (NE)
J. Clair Jarvis (MR) for *Truman W. Potter,* morning, after recess, May 1.
Truman W. Potter (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 1.
Lacy H. Burns (M) resumes seat, night, May 1.

32. WESTERN NORTH CAROLINA (SE)
John H. Carper (M) resumes seat, morning, May 1.
R. Herman Nicholson (MR) for *Charles D. White.* afternoon, May 1.

33. WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA (NE)
David J. Wynne (MR) for *Adolph P. Weaver,* afternoon, May 1.
H. C. Heitzenrater (MR) for *Arthur M. Crawford,* afternoon, May 1.

(Signed) PAUL M. HANN, *Chairman*
MARGARET CURRIE, *Secretary*

REPORT NO. 4. CREDENTIALS

Adopted May 2, 1964. See Journal, page 407.

1. ALABAMA-WEST FLORIDA (SE)
W. Earl DuBois (MR) for *Joel D. McDavid,* morning, May 2.
Charles H. Hildreth (MR) for *Marion C. Mathison,* morning, May 2.
Marion C. Mathison (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 2.
J. Carlisle Miller (MR) for *Edwin L. Hardin,* morning, May 2.
2. BALTIMORE (NE)
William E. Firth (MR) for *C. Asbury Smith,* morning, May 2.
C. Asbury Smith (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 2.
3. CALIFORNIA-NEVADA (W)
Arthur V. Thurman (MR) for *Kenneth W. Adams,* morning and afternoon, May 2.
Kenneth W. Adams (M) resumes seat, night, May 2.
4. CENTRAL NEW YORK (NE)
Joe W. Bailey, Jr. (L) resumes seat, morning, May 3.
Philip D. Stump (L) resumes seat, morning, May 3.
5. CENTRAL PENNSYLVANIA (NE)
F. LaMont Henninger (MR) for *John F. Stamm,* morning, May 2.
John F. Stamm (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 2.
6. FLORIDA (SE)
Millard C. Cleveland (M) resumes seat, morning, May 2.
C. Durward McDonell (MR) for *Charles T. Thrift, Jr.,* morning, May 2.
Charles T. Thrift, Jr. (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 2.
John M. Sikes (MR) for *Dale Hagler,* May 2.
7. GEORGIA (C)
Anderson C. Epps (MR) for *L. Scott Allen,* morning, afternoon, night, May 2.

8. HOLSTON (SE)
   Cecil P. Hardin (MR) for *Mark M. Moore,* morning, May 2.
   Mark M. Moore (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 2.
9. KANSAS (SC)
   Mrs. Harold L. Soulen (LR) for Floyd H. Coffman, before recess, morning, May 2.
   Floyd H. Coffman (L) resumes seat, after recess, morning, May 2.
10. KENTUCKY (SE)
   Donald W. Durham (MR) for *Ralph G. Wesley,* morning, May 2.
   Edward L. Tullis (M) resumes seat, morning, May 2.
   J. W. Holbrook (LR) for Mrs. J. W. Holbrook, morning, afternoon, night, May 1.
   Mrs. J. W. Holbrook (LR) resumes seat, morning, May 2.
11. LEXINGTON (C)
   Thomas V. Bryant (LR) for Mrs. Louis V. Fields, morning, May 2.
12. LOUISIANA (C)
   William T. Handy, Jr. (M) resumes seat, morning, May 2.
13. LOUISIANA (SC)
   Luman E. Douglas (MR) for *William E. Trice,* morning, May 2.
   William E. Trice (M) resumes seat, night, May 2.
14. MEMPHIS (SE)
   H. Wallace Tanner (LR) for Red H. Bond, May 2 for remainder of Conference.
15. MICHIGAN (NC)
   Dwight S. Large (MR) for *John W. Tennant,* morning, May 2.
16. MISSOURI WEST (SC)
   Robert Mayfield (LR) for Hugh DuBois, after recess, morning, May 2.
   Kenneth A. McCall (LR) for Hugh DuBois, night, May 2.
17. NEW ENGLAND SOUTHERN (NE)
   Morrill O. Martin (MR) for *James V. Claypool,* morning, May 2.
   James V. Claypool (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 2.
18. NEW MEXICO (SC)
   Ralph H. Seiler (MR) for *Earl M. Nowlin,* morning, May 2.
   Earl M. Nowlin (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 2.

19. NORTH ALABAMA (SE)
Paul L. Clem (MR) for *R. Edwin Kimbrough,* May 2.
Mrs. Thad J. Cottingham (LR) for Joseph H. Owens, morning, May 2.
Clifford H. Winefordner (MR) for *W. Nelson Guthrie, Sr.,* morning, May 2.
Calvin M. Pinkard (M) resumes seat, morning, May 2.
20. NORTH ARKANSAS (SC)
I. Nels Barnett (LR) for Ralph Woodruff, morning May 3 and remainder of the Conference.
Ralph Woodruff (L) has left the seat of the Conference, May 3.
21. NORTH CAROLINA (SE)
Jack W. Page (MR) for *Thomas A. Collins,* morning, afternoon, night, May 2.
22. NORTH IOWA (NC)
Jackson Burns (MR) for *J. Richard Palmer,* morning, May 2.
J. Richard Palmer (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 2.
23. NORTH MISSISSIPPI (SE)
Ola H. Swango (LR) for Roy Black, May 3 and remainder of the Conference.
24. NORTHWEST TEXAS (SC)
James M. Willson, Jr. (LR) for James M. Willson, Sr., morning, May 2.
Eldon Mahon (L) resumes seat, afternoon, May 2.
25. OHIO (NC)
Vaughn P. Lewis (LR) for George H. Day, May 2 and remainder of the conference.
26. ROCK RIVER (NC)
D. Robert Rief (LR) for Arthur L. Myers, morning, May 2.
William J. Laskey (LR) for Samuel W. Witwer, morning, May 2.
27. SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA-ARIZONA (W)
John M. Cranston (LR) for Marion R. Walker, morning, afternoon, night, May 2.
Marion R. Walker (LR) resumes seat, morning, May 3.
Frank M. Gray (MR) for *Edwin E. Reeves,* morning, May 2.
Edwin E. Reeves (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 2.
28. TEXAS (SC)
Mrs. W. W. Fondren (LR) for W. A. Pounds, Jr.,

May 2, left the seat of the conference.
Nace B. Crawford (MR) for *Stewart Clendenin,* morning, May 2.
W. Compton Riley (MR) for *Joe Z. Tower,* morning, May 2.
Stewart Clendenin (M) resumes seat, night, May 2.

29. TROY (NE)
Charles F. Lyman (LR) for Don S. Robb, all sessions, May 4, 5, 6.

30. VIRGINIA (SE)
L. Oakey Wilburn (MR) for *Carl J. Sanders,* morning, May 2.
Carl J. Sanders (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 2.
Mrs. T. A. Jackson (LR) for William C. Vaughn, all sessions, May 2.
William C. Vaughn (L) resumes seat, morning, May 3.

31. WEST TEXAS (C)
James G. Owens (MR) for *Ernest T. Dixon, Jr.,* morning, before recess, May 2.
Ernest T. Dixon, Jr. (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 2.

32. WESTERN NORTH CAROLINA (SE)
James C. Stokes (MR) for *Cecil G. Hefner,* morning, May 2.
Charles D. White (M) resumes seat, morning, May 2.
Cecil G. Hefner (M) resumes seat, morning, May 4.

33. WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA (NE)
Lois R. Anthony (LR) for Fred E. Murdock, morning, May 2.
Fred E. Murdock (L) resumes seat, afternoon, May 2.

(Signed) PAUL M. HANN, *Chairman*
MARGARET CURRIE, *Secretary*

REPORT NO 5. CREDENTIALS

Adopted May 4, 1964. See Journal, page 429.

1. METHODIST CHURCH OF BRAZIL (AFFILIATED AUTONOMOUS)
William J. Hinson (Miss.) for *Robert S. Davis,* May 4 and remainder of Conference.

2. BALTIMORE (NE)
Flemme Kittrell (LR) for Hurst R. Anderson, morning, May 4.
Hurst R. Anderson (L) resumes seat, night, May 4.

3. CALIFORNIA-NEVADA (W)
   Robert A. Panzer (MR) for *C. Douglas Hayward,* morning, May 4.
   C. Douglas Hayward (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 4.
4. CENTRAL ALABAMA (C)
   John F. Norwood (MR) for *Joseph E. Lowery,* morning, May 4.
   Joseph E. Lowery (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 4.
5. CENTRAL ILLINOIS (NC)
   J. Henry Cox (MR) for William W. Bennett, before recess, morning, May 4.
   William W. Bennett (M) resumes seat, after recess, morning, May 4.
6. CENTRAL PENNSYLVANIA (NE)
   Leland W. Keemer (MR) for *Earl N. Rowe,* morning, May 4.
   Earl N. Rowe (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 4.
7. CENTRAL TEXAS (SC)
   Hubert Johnson (LR) for Law Sone, morning, May 4.
   Law Sone (L) resumes seat, night, May 4.
8. EAST TENNESSEE (C)
   John R. Washington (MR) for *Major J. Jones,* morning and afternoon, May 4.
9. FLORIDA (SE)
   Robert M. Blackburn (MR) for *Henry W. Blackburn,* morning, May 4.
   Henry W. Blackburn (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 4.
10. GEORGIA (C)
    L. Scott Allen (M) resumes seat, morning, May 4.
11. HOLSTON (SE)
    Robert H. Duncan (MR) for *Earl G. Hunt, Jr.,* morning, May 4.
    Earl G. Hunt, Jr. (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 4.
12. INDIANA (NC)
    Ralph G. Hastings (LR) for Glenn Dougherty, May 4 and remainder of the Conference.
13. KANSAS (SC)
    C. A. Wisler (LR) for Ronald Quellhorst, May 4 and remainder of the Conference.
    Ewart G. Watts (MR) for *Clare J. Hayes,* morning, May 4.
    Clare J. Hayes (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 4.

14. KENTUCKY (SE)
    J. W. Holbrook (LR) for Mrs. J. W. Holbrook, morning and afternoon, May 4.
    Mrs. J. W. Holbrook (LR) resumes seat, night, May 4.
15. LEXINGTON (C)
    Damon P. Young (MR) for *Harry B. Gilson, Jr.* for morning, May 4.
    Charles P. Kellogg (LR) for Mrs. Louis H. Fields, May 4 and remainder of the Conference.
16. LOUISIANA (C)
    William S. P. Norris (MR) for *William T. Handy, Jr.*, night, May 4.
17. LOUISIANA (SC)
    Mrs. Glenn E. Laskey (LR) for R. J. O'Neal, morning, May 4.
    R. J. O'Neal (LR) resumes seat, night, May 4.
    R. Leonard Cooke (MR) for *Carl F. Lueg, Sr.*, morning, May 4.
    Carl F. Lueg, Sr. resumes seat, night, May 4.
18. LOUISVILLE (SE)
    Lyon B. Hutcherson, Sr. (LR) for Smith Broadbent, Jr., afternoon, May 4.
19. MISSISSIPPI (C)
    H. C. Clay, Jr. (MR) for *Charlemagne P. Payne, Sr.*, morning, May 4.
    Charlemagne P. Payne, Sr. (M) resumes seat, night, May 4.
20. MISSOURI EAST (SC)
    Oscar G. Schupp (LR) for Wade Littrell, May 4 and remainder of the Conference.
    W. Cannon Kinnard (MR) for *Wesley H. Hager*, morning, May 4.
    Wesley H. Hager (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 4.
21. NEW JERSEY (NE)
    William F. Egan, Jr. (LR) for Herbert Schoellkopf, morning, May 4.
22. NEW MEXICO (SC)
    Frank Greathouse (LR) for William C. Patten, morning, May 4.
23. NEW YORK EAST (NE)
    Robert W. Preusch (L) resumes seat, May 4.
24. NEWARK (NE)
    W. Carl Walton (LR) for Robert F. Oxnam, May 3 and 4.
    Robert F. Oxnam (L) resumes seat, morning, May 5.

25. NORTH ALABAMA (SE)
    Paul L. Clem (MR) for *J. Duncan Hunter, Jr.,* morning, May 4.
    J. Duncan Hunter, Jr. (M) resumes seat, night, May 4.
    R. Edwin Kimbrough (M) resumes seat, morning, May 4.
    Allen D. Montgomery (MR) for *J. Henry Chitwood,* morning, May 4.
    J. Henry Chitwood (M) resumes seat, night, May 4.
    W. Nelson Guthrie (M) resumes seat, morning, May 4.
    Joseph H. Owens (L) resumes seat, morning, May 4.
26. NORTH CAROLINA (C)
    Richard C. Erwin (LR) for Clarence M. Winchester, morning, May 4.
    Clarence M. Winchester (L) resumes seat, afternoon, May 4.
27. NORTH CAROLINA (SE)
    Mrs. L. C. Vereen (LR) for J. Nelson Gibson, Jr., morning, May 4.
    Thomas A. Collins (M) resumes seat, morning, May 4.
    Jack W. Page (MR) for *J. Ezell Garlington,* morning, May 4.
    R. Grady Dawson (MR) for *J. Ezell Garlington,* night, May 4.
    J. Ezell Garlington (M) resumes seat, morning, May 5.
28. NORTH INDIA (OS)
    Basanti Singh (LR) for Murad Massey, afternoon and night, May 4.
    Murad Massey (L) resumes seat, morning, May 5.
29. NORTH TEXAS (SC)
    M. E. Lee (LR) for Jack V. Folsom, May 4 and remainder of the Conference.
    William H. Dickinson (MR) for *Alsie H. Carleton,* night, May 4.
    Alsie H. Carleton (M) resumes seat, morning, May 5.
30. NORTH-EAST OHIO (NC)
    Paul Mayer (MR) for *Charles W. Hamilton,* night, May 4.
    Carl C. Bracy (M) resumes seat, morning, May 4.
31. NORTHWEST INDIANA (NC)
    Mrs. Kyle B. Fouts (LR) for Frank Innis, May 4 and remainder of the Conference.
    Frank Innis (L) has left the seat of the Conference, May 4.

32. NORTHWEST TEXAS (SC)
    Eldon Mahon (L) resumes seat, noon, May 2.
33. OHIO (NC)
    Sydney C. G. Everson (MR) for *Lance Webb,* night, May 4.
34. OKLAHOMA (SC)
    T. Poe Williams (MR) for *Jack S. Wilkes,* morning, May 4.
    Jack S. Wilkes (M) resumes seat, night, May 4.
    W. Jene Miller (MR) for *Finis A. Crutchfield,* night, May 4.
    Finis A. Crutchfield (M) resumes seat, morning, May 5.
    R. I. West (LR) for William Doenges, morning, May 4.
    L. C. Clark (LR) for Robert S. Kerr, Jr., morning, May 4.
    Manly Moore (L) previously absent, seated morning, May 4.
35. PHILADELPHIA (NE)
    Mrs. Henry A. Frye (LR) for J. Lee Bausher, morning and afternoon, May 4.
    J. Lee Bausher (L) resumes seat, night, May 4.
    Price M. Collins (MR) for *Wallace F. Stettler,* night, May 4.
    Wallace F. Stettler (M) resumes seat, morning, May 5.
36. ROCK RIVER (NC)
    William C. Rasche (MR) for *Charles S. Jarvis,* afternoon, May 4.
    A. L. Myers (L) resumes seat, morning, May 4.
    Samuel W. Witwer (L) resumes seat, morning, May 4.
37. ROCKY MOUNTAIN (W)
    Mrs. E. K. Brown (LR) for Chester M. Alter, May 4 and remainder of the conference.
38. SOUTH CAROLINA (C)
    Hubert V. Manning (MR) for *James S. Thomas,* night, May 4.
    James S. Thomas (M) resumes seat, morning, May 5.
39. SOUTH CAROLINA (SE)
    J. Carlisle Holler (L) left the conference, night, April 30.
    Arthur M. Taylor (LR) for J. Carlisle Holler, morning, May 4 and remainder of the conference.
40. SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA-ARIZONA (W)
    John Cranston (LR) for Mrs. Charles Bacon, morning, noon, and night, May 4.

Mrs. Charles Bacon (L) resumes seat, morning, May 5.

Kenneth P. Miller (MR) for *L. L. White,* morning, May 4.

L. L. White (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 4.

Donald R. O'Connor (MR) for *Melvin E. Wheatley, Jr.,* morning, May 4.

Melvin E. Wheatley, Jr. (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 4.

41. TAIWAN PROVISIONAL (OS)

Ruth Shih (Nat'l) for *Edward K. Knettler* (Miss.) May 4 and remainder of the conference.

42. TEXAS (SC)

Leon Matthis (MR) for *Durwood Fleming,* morning and afternoon, May 4.

Durwood Fleming (M) has left the seat of the conference, May 4.

Durwood Fleming (M) resumes seat, night, May 4.

M. G. Mell (L) resumes seat, morning, May 4.

R. E. Smith (L) has left the seat of the conference, May 4.

43. VIRGINIA (SE)

J. Manning Potts (MR) for *Edgar A. Potts,* morning, afternoon, and night, May 4.

Edgar A. Potts (M) resumes seat, morning, May 5.

Charles O. Kidd (MR) for *A. Purnell Bailey,* night, May 4.

A. Purnell Bailey (M) resumes seat, morning, May 5.

Robert P. Parker (MR) for *R. Kern Eutsler,* morning, May 4.

R. Kern Eutsler (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 4.

44. WASHINGTON (C)

Edward G. Carroll (MR) for *John B. A. Dyson,* afternoon, May 4.

John B. A. Dyson (M) resumes seat, night, May 4.

45. WESTERN NORTH CAROLINA (SE)

A. Glenn Lackey (MR) for *John H. Carper,* night, May 4.

Cecil L. Heckard (MR) for *J. Clay Madison,* morning, May 4.

46. WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA (NE)

Mrs. Hillora R. Lewis (LR) for George W. Shindledecker, night, May 4.

George W. Shindledecker (L) resumes seat, morning, May 5.

(Signed) PAUL M. HANN, *Chairman*
MARGARET CURRIE, *Secretary*

REPORT NO. 6. CREDENTIALS

Adopted May 5, 1964. See Journal, page 493.

1. ALABAMA-WEST FLORIDA (SE)
   T. Albert West, Jr. (L) has left the seat of the conference, May 5.
   Charles H. Hildreth (MR) for *Marion C. Mathison,* morning, May 5.
   Marion C. Mathison (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 5.
2. CALIFORNIA-NEVADA (W)
   Wilbur Jacoby (LR) for Frank Webber, afternoon, May 5.
   Frank Webber (L) resumes seat, night, May 5.
3. CENTRAL ILLINOIS (NC)
   Clifford C. Brown (MR) for *Dale E. Pitcher,* afternoon, May 5.
   Dale E. Pitcher (M) resumes seat, night, May 5.
4. CENTRAL PENNSYLVANIA (NE)
   F. LaMont Henninger (MR) for *Edgar A. Henry,* all sessions, May 5 and 6.
   Edgar A. Henry (M) resumes seat, morning, May 7.
5. DELAWARE (C)
   William L. D. Lyght (MR) for *Dennis R. Fletcher,* morning and afternoon, May 5.
   Dennis R. Fletcher (M) resumes seat, night, May 5.
6. CENTRAL TEXAS (SC)
   Bruce Weaver (MR) for *H. Brown Loyd,* morning and afternoon, May 5.
   Cleo C. Sessions (MR) for *H. Brown Loyd,* night, May 5.
   H. Brown Loyd (M) resumes seat, morning, May 6.
7. EAST TENNESSEE (C)
   J. R. Washington (MR) for *Major J. Jones,* afternoon, May 5.
8. EAST WISCONSIN (NC)
   M. Stanford Strosahl (MR) for *Marvin A. Schilling,* morning, May 5.
   M. Stanford Strosahl (MR) for *Francis E. Kearns,* afternoon, May 5.
   Marvin A. Schilling (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 5.
   Francis E. Kearns (M) resumes seat, night, May 5.
9. GENESEE (NE)
   William H. Horner (MR) for *Charles S. Aldrich,* afternoon and night, May 5 and 6.
   Charles S. Aldrich (M) resumes seat, morning, May 7.

10. GEORGIA (C)
    Joe D. Grier (MR) for *L. Scott Allen,* morning, noon, and night, May 5.
    L. Scott Allen (M) resumes seat, morning, May 6.
    A. M. Carter (LR) for James P. Brawley, morning, afternoon, and night, May 5.
11. HONGKONG PROVISIONAL
    Robert L. Turnipseed (Miss.) for C. H. Woo, afternoon, May 5.
    C. H. Woo (Nat'l) resumes seat, morning, May 6.
12. IDAHO (W)
    Earl W. Riddle (MR) for *V. Leon Bolen,* morning and afternoon, May 5.
    V. Leon Bolen (M) resumes seat, night, May 5.
13. INDIANA (NC)
    James W. Keith (MR) for *William N. Burton,* night, May 5 and remainder of the conference.
14. KANSAS (SC)
    Alvin W. Murray (MR) for *Albert F. Bramble,* afternoon, May 5.
    Albert F. Bramble (M) resumes seat, 2:30 p.m., May 5.
15. KENTUCKY (SE)
    J. W. Holbrook (LR) for William E. Savage, afternoon, May 5.
    W. E. Savage (L) has left the seat of the conference.
    Ralph Wesley (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 5.
    Frank C. King (MR) for *Ralph G. Wesley,* night, May 5.
16. LOUISIANA (C)
    Mrs. E. J. Badgett (LR) for George L. Netterville, morning, May 5.
    George L. Netterville (L) resumes seat, afternoon, May 5.
    William T. Handy, Jr. (M) resumes seat, May 5.
17. MICHIGAN (NC)
    Keith T. Avery (MR) for *Heath T. Goodwin,* May 5.
    Heath T. Goodwin (M) resumes seat, morning, May 6.
18. NEW HAMPSHIRE (NE)
    Paul A. Stauffer (MR) for *Lewis H. Moulton,* morning, May 5.
    Lewis H. Moulton (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 5.
19. NEW JERSEY (NE)
    Robert L. Jenks (MR) for *Charles Sayre,* morning, May 5.
    Charles Sayre (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 5.

20. NEW YORK EAST (NE)
    Lloyd A. Duren (MR) for *Arthur T. Tedcastle,* afternoon, May 5.
    Arthur T. Tedcastle (M) resumes seat, night, May 5.
21. NEWARK (NE)
    Wallace G. Sorenson (MR) for *William F. B. Rodda,* afternoon, May 5.
    William F. B. Rodda (M) resumes seat, night, May 5.
    W. Carl Walton (LR) for Robert W. Carson, morning, May 5 and remainder of conference.
22. NORTH ALABAMA (SE)
    Paul L. Clem (MR) for *W. Nelson Guthrie,* afternoon, May 5.
    W. Nelson Guthrie (M) resumes seat, night, May 5.
    Allen D. Montgomery (MR) for *Thomas A. Edgar,* afternoon, May 5.
    Thomas A. Edgar (M) resumes seat, night, May 5.
23. NORTH ARKANSAS (SC)
    Elmo A. Thomason (MR) for *John A. Bayliss,* afternoon and night, May 5.
24. NORTH CAROLINA (SE)
    R. Grady Dawson (MR) for *Marquis W. Lawrence,* afternoon, May 5.
    Marquis W. Lawrence (M) resumes seat, night, May 5.
25. NORTH CAROLINA (C)
    Richard C. Erwin (LR) for Clarence M. Winchester, afternoon, May 5.
    Clarence M. Winchester (L) resumes seat, night, May 5.
26. NORTH INDIA (OS)
    Basanti Singh (LR) for Murad Massey, morning, May 5.
    Murad Massey (L) resumes seat, afternoon, May 5.
27. NORTH INDIANA (NC)
    Robert W. Fribley (MR) for *Thurman B. Morris,* afternoon, May 5.
    Thurman B. Morris (M) resumes seat, morning, May 6.
    Mrs. Henry Rehm (LR) for H. Owen DeWeese, May 5 and for remainder of the Conference.
28. NORTHERN NEW YORK (NE)
    Charles S. Williams (MR) for *Arthur B. Oot, Jr.,* afternoon, May 5.
    Arthur B. Oot, Jr. (M) resumes seat, night, May 5.

29. NORTHWEST INDIANA (NC)
John A. Rawlings (MR) for *Otis L. Collier,* afternoon, May 5.
Otis L. Collier (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 5.
30. NORTHWEST TEXAS (SC)
Marshall E. Rhew (MR) for *J. Weldon Butler,* morning and afternoon, May 5.
J. Weldon Butler (M) resumes seat, night, May 5.
31. OHIO (NC)
Harry F. Baker (MR) for *J. Otis Young,* night, before recess, May 5.
George S. Lackland (MR) for *J. Otis Young,* night, after recess, May 5.
32. PACIFIC NORTHWEST (W)
Robert A. Uphoff (LR) for John C. Soltman for afternoon, May 5.
33. ROCK RIVER (NC)
Charles J. Jarvis (M) resumes seat, morning, May 5.
W. Harold McElvany (MR) for *Merlyn W. Northfelt,* morning, May 5.
Merlyn W. Northfelt (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 5.
34. SOUTH CAROLINA (C)
Warren M. Jenkins (MR) for *James S. Thomas,* morning, afternoon, night, May 5.
James S. Thomas (M) resumes seat, morning, May 6.
35. SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA-ARIZONA (W)
Kenneth P. Miller (MR) for *K. Morgan Edwards,* afternoon, May 5.
K. Morgan Edwards (M) resumes seat, night, May 5.
John Cranston (LR) for Verne Orr, Sr., morning, afternoon, night, May 5.
Verne Orr, Sr. (L) resumes seat, morning, May 6.
Mrs. Martha Bacon (L) resumes seat, morning, May 5.
Charles S. Kendall (MR) for *Ray W. Ragsdale,* morning, May 5.
Ray W. Ragsdale (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 5.
36. VIRGINIA (SE)
Hampden H. Smith (MR) for *Carl J. Sanders,* night, May 5.
Carl J. Sanders (M) resumes seat, morning, May 6.
Robert P. Parker (MR) for *Theodore E. Landis,* afternoon, May 5.
Hampden H. Smith (MR) for *Joseph S. Johnston,* morning and afternoon, May 5.
Joseph S. Johnston (M) resumes seat, night, May 5.

J. Manning Potts (MR) for *R. Orman Bryant,* afternoon, May 5.
R. Orman Bryant (M) resumes seat, night, May 5.

37. WASHINGTON (C)
Roscoe C. Williams (MR) for *Kelly L. Jackson,* morning, May 5.
Kelly L. Jackson (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 5.

38. WEST TEXAS (C)
William Astor Kirk (LR) for John T. King, May 5.

39. WESTERN NORTH CAROLINA (SE)
J. Clay Madison (M) resumes seat, morning, May 5.
Mark Q. Tuttle (MR) for *Cecil G. Hefner,* night, May 5.
Cecil G. Hefner (M) resumes seat, morning, May 6.
Carl H. King (MR) for *W. Kenneth Goodson,* afternoon, May 5.
W. Kenneth Goodson (M) resumes seat, night, May 5.
John H. Carper (M) resumes seat, morning, May 5.

40. WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA (NE)
Russell E. Headlee (LR) for William M. Beatty, afternoon, May 5.
William M. Beatty (L) resumes seat, night, May 5.
David J. Wynne (MR) for *Adolph P. Weaver,* afternoon and night, May 5.
Adolph P. Weaver (M) resumes seat, morning, May 6.
H. Clair Heitzenrater (MR) for *Arthur B. R. Colley,* morning and afternoon, May 5.
Arthur B. R. Colley (M) resumes seat, night, May 5.
H. Clair Heitzenrater (MR) for *Warren A. Bugbee,* night, May 5.
Warren A. Bugbee (M) resumes seat, morning, May 6.

(Signed) PAUL M. HANN, *Chairman*
MARGARET CURRIE, *Secretary*

REPORT NO. 7. CREDENTIALS

Adopted May 6, 1964. See Journal, page 601.

1. BALTIMORE (NE)
Edward B. Lewis (MR) for *C. Asbury Smith,* morning, May 6.
C. Asbury Smith (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 6.

2. CALIFORNIA-NEVADA (W)
Wilbur Jacoby (LR) for George H. Atkinson, morning, May 6.

George H. Atkinson (L) resumes seat, afternoon, May 6.

James McGiffin (LR) for Frank Webber, afternoon, May 6.

3. CENTRAL WEST (C)

John J. Hicks, Sr. (M) for *Woodie D. Lester,* afternoon, May 6.

Woodie D. Lester (M) resumes seat, night, May 6.

4. DELAWARE (C)

Helen C. Waters (LR) for James C. Hardcastle, morning and afternoon, May 6.

5. DETROIT (NC)

Hugh S. Townley (MR) for *Orville H. McKay,* night, May 6.

Jewell M. Smoot (MR) for *Hoover Rupert,* afternoon, May 6.

6. EAST TENNESSEE (C)

John R. Washington (MR) for *Major J. Jones,* afternoon, May 6.

7. EAST WISCONSIN (NC)

L. Clarence Kelley (MR) for *Francis E. Kearns,* morning, May 6.

Francis E. Kearns (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 6.

M. Stanford Strosahl (MR) for *Alvin J. Lindgren,* morning, May 6.

Alvin J. Lindgren (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 6.

8. FLORIDA (SE)

Robert M. Blackburn (MR) for *Henry W. Blackburn,* afternoon, May 6.

Henry W. Blackburn (M) resumes seat, night, May 6.

9. HOLSTON (SE)

Mrs. Robert H. Powers (LR) for Earl W. Blazer, morning, May 6.

Earl W. Blazer (L) has left the seat of the Conference, May 6.

Carroll Long (LR) for Mrs. Robert H. Powers (LR), afternoon, May 6.

Thomas F. Chilcote (MR) for *Edgar A. Eldridge,* afternoon, May 6.

Edgar A. Eldridge (M) resumes seat, night, May 6.

10. KANSAS (SC)

Ewart G. Watts (MR) for *Don W. Holter,* morning, May 6.

Don W. Holter (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 6.

11. KENTUCKY (SE)
    Frank C. King (MR) for *Edward L. Tullis,* morning, before recess, May 6.
    Edward L. Tullis (M) resumes seat, after recess, morning, May 6.
12. LEXINGTON (C)
    Damon P. Young (MR) for *Harry B. Gibson, Jr.,* morning, May 6.
13. MICHIGAN (NC)
    William H. Helrigel, Jr. (LR) for Alvin A. Neller, May 6.
14. MINNESOTA (NC)
    Edward S. Martin (MR) for *Charles E. Pieh,* morning, May 6.
    Charles E. Pieh (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 6.
15. NEW ENGLAND SOUTHERN (NE)
    Morrill O. Martin (MR) for *James V. Claypool,* morning, May 6.
    James V. Claypool (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 6.
16. NEW JERSEY (NE)
    William F. Egan, Jr. (LR) for Herbert Schoellkopf, afternoon, May 6.
    Herbert Schoellkopf (L) resumes seat, night, May 6.
17. NEW YORK (NE)
    George P. Werner (MR) for *William M. James,* afternoon, May 6.
    William M. James (M) resumes seat, night, May 6.
18. NEW YORK EAST (NE)
    Mrs. L. H. Donaldson (LR) for Louis C. Hauser, afternoon, May 6 and remainder of the Conference.
19. NORTH ALABAMA (SE)
    Virgil B. McCain (L) has left the seat of the Conference, May 6.
    Allen D. Montgomery (MR) for *W. Nelson Guthrie, Sr.,* morning, May 6.
20. NORTH ARKANSAS (SC)
    John A. Bayliss (M) resumes seat, morning, May 6.
21. NORTH CAROLINA (SE)
    Mrs. L. C. Vereen (LR) for James F. Rogers, May 6 and remainder of the conference.
22. NORTH GEORGIA (SE)
    Frank E. Moorhead (MR) for *Nat G. Long,* afternoon, May 6.
    Nat G. Long (M) resumes seat, night, May 6.
23. NORTH INDIANA (NC)
    Harold D. Neel (MR) for *Gerald H. Jones,* afternoon, May 6.

Thurman B. Morris (M) resumes seat, morning, May 6.

24. NORTH-EAST OHIO (NC)
    Mrs. Paul Spencer (LR) for Alfred B. Bonds, Jr., night, May 6.
    Alfred B. Bonds, Jr. (L) has left the seat of the Conference, May 6.
25. NORTHWEST INDIANA (NC)
    Forest W. Howell (MR) for *John D. Wolf*, afternoon, May 6.
    John D. Wolf (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 6.
26. OHIO (NC)
    Harold F. Kellogg (MR) for *J. Otis Young*, morning, before recess, May 6.
    Kenneth W. Hunt (LR) for Vaughn P. Lewis, night, May 6 and remainder of the Conference.
    Vaughn P. Lewis (LR) has left the seat of the conference, May 6.
27. OKLAHOMA (SC)
    L. C. Clark (LR) for Robert S. Kerr, Jr., morning and afternoon, May 6.
    Victor Casas (LR) for Manly Moore, morning, May 6.
    R. I. West (LR) for William C. Doenges, morning, May 6.
28. OREGON (W)
    Marshall Hjelte (LR) has left the seat of the Conference, May 6.
29. ROCK RIVER (NC)
    Frank L. Countryman (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 6.
    Arthur L. Myers (L) resumes seat, afternoon, May 6.
    Gilbert Weisshaar (MR) for *Amos A. Thornburg*, morning, May 6.
    Amos A. Thornburg (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 6.
    Charles F. Davis (LR) for Arthur L. Myers, morning, before recess, May 6.
30. SOUTH CAROLINA (SE)
    Spencer M. Rice (LR) for John A. White, May 6 and remainder of the Conference.
    John A. White (L) has left the seat of the Conference, May 6.
31. SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA-ARIZONA (W)
    Donald O'Connor (MR) for *Edwin E. Reeves*, morning, May 6.
    Edwin E. Reeves (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 6.

Frank M. Gray (MR) for *Frank S. Williams,* morning, May 6.
Frank S. Williams (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 6.
Frank M. Gray (MR) for *L. L. White,* afternoon, May 6.
L. L. White (M) resumes seat, night, May 6.

32. TROY (NE)
Don S. Robb (L) resumes seat, night, May 6.

33. VIRGINIA (SE)
Archie E. Acey (MR) for *Harry W. Backhus III,* afternoon, May 6.
Harry W. Backhus III (M) resumes seat, night, May 6.

34. WESTERN NORTH CAROLINA (SE)
Cecil G. Hefner (M) resumes seat, morning, May 6.

35. WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA (NE)
H. Clair Heitzenrater (MR) for *Adolph P. Weaver,* night, May 6.
Adolph P. Weaver (M) resumes seat, morning, May 7.
Paul Reynolds (LR) for Robert Pease, night, May 6.

36. WEST TEXAS (C)
John T. King (L) resumes seat, morning, May 6.

(Signed) PAUL M. HANN, *Chairman*
MARGARET CURRIE, *Secretary*

REPORT NO. 8. CREDENTIALS

Adopted May 7, 1964. See Journal, page 714.

1. ALABAMA-WEST FLORIDA (SE)
L. S. Moore (L) has left the seat of the Conference, May 7.

2. CENTRAL NEW YORK (NE)
Fred R. Sears (LR) for Phil D. Stump, night, May 7.
Phil D. Stump (L) has left the seat of the Conference, May 7.

3. CENTRAL PENNSYLVANIA (NE)
Leland W. Keemer (MR) for *D. Frederick Wertz,* May 7 .
D. Frederick Wertz (M) has left the seat of the Conference, May 7.

4. CENTRAL TEXAS (SC)
Cleo C. Sessions (MR) for *G. Alfred Brown,* before recess morning, May 7.
G. Alfred Brown (M) resumes seat, after recess, morning, May 7.

5. GENESEE (NE)
   William H. Horner (MR) for *Charles S. Aldrich,* May 7 and remainder of the Conference.
   Charles S. Aldrich (M) has left the seat of the Conference, May 7.
6. HOLSTON (SE)
   Thomas F. Chilcote (MR) for *Clyde F. Watkins,* morning, May 7.
   Clyde F. Watkins (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 7.
7. LEXINGTON (C)
   Charles B. Copher (MR) for *Sumpter M. Riley,* morning, afternoon, and night, May 7.
   Sumpter M. Riley (M) resumes seat, morning, May 8.
8. MINNESOTA (NC)
   Wilbur D. Grose (MR) for *George A. Butters,* morning, May 7.
   George A. Butters (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 7.
9. MISSOURI WEST (NC)
   Robert G. Mayfield (LR) for George Seiberling, night, May 7 and remainder of the Conference.
   Thomas D. Hall (MR) for *Hauser Winter,* afternoon, May 7.
   Hauser Winter (M) resumes seat, night, May 7.
10. NEBRASKA (NC)
    Mrs. Kenneth Dryden (LR) for Kenneth Dryden, afternoon and night, May 7.
11. NEW ENGLAND SOUTHERN (NE)
    Morrill O. Martin (MR) for *Lawrence F. Almond,* night, May 7.
    Lawrence F. Almond (M) resumes seat, morning, May 8.
12. NEW JERSEY (NE)
    William F. Egan, Jr. (LR) for Herbert Schoellkoph, morning, May 7.
13. NEW YORK EAST (NE)
    Lloyd A. Duren (MR) for *Loyd F. Worley,* night, May 7.
    Loyd F. Worley (M) resumes seat, morning, May 8.
14. NORTH ALABAMA (SE)
    W. Nelson Guthrie, Sr. (M) resumes seat, morning, May 7.
15. NORTH ARKANSAS (SC)
    Mildred Ozment (LR) for E. Clay Bumpers, morning, May 7.

E. Clay Bumpers (L) has left the seat of the Conference, May 7.

16. NORTH INDIANA (NC)
Gerald H. Jones (M) resumes seat, morning, May 7.

17. PHILADELPHIA (NE)
Thomas A. Buttimer (MR) for *Wallace F. Stettler,* afternoon, May 7.
Wallace F. Stettler (M) resumes seat, night, May 7.

18. ROCK RIVER (NC)
Blanche Rutledge (LR) for Samuel W. Witwer, morning, afternoon, night, May 7.
Dow Kirkpatrick (MR) for *Amos A. Thornburg,* afternoon, May 7.

19. SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA-ARIZONA (W)
Donald O'Connor (MR) for *K. Morgan Edwards,* night, May 7.
John Cranston (LR) for Hubert E. Orton, afternoon, May 7.
Hubert E. Orton (L) resumes seat, night, May 7.
Charles Kendall (MR) for *Ray W. Ragsdale,* morning, May 7.
Ray W. Ragsdale (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 7.
Frank M. Gray (MR) for *Melvin E. Wheatley, Jr.,* morning, May 7.
Donald R. O'Connor (MR) for *Melvin E. Wheatley, Jr.,* afternoon, May 7.
Melvin E. Wheatley, Jr. (M) resumes seat, night, May 7.

20. VIRGINIA (SE)
Hampden H. Smith, Jr. (MR) for *A. Purnell Bailey,* morning, May 7.
A. Purnell Bailey (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 7.
William R. Phelps (LR) for W. Roland Walker, May 7 and remainder of the conference.

21. WESTERN NORTH CAROLINA (SE)
Carl H. King (MR) for *Philip L. Shore, Jr.,* morning, May 7.
Philip L. Shore, Jr. (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 7.
Hugh Massie (LR) has left the seat of the Conference, May 7.

22. WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA (NE)
Harold F. Porter (MR) for *John B. Warman,* morning, May 7.
John B. Warman (M) resumes seat, afternoon, May 7.

H. Clair Heitzenrater (MR) for *W. Sproule Boyd,* afternoon, May 7.
W. Sproule Boyd (M) resumes seat, night, May 7.
H. Clair Heitzenrater (MR) for *Warren A. Bugbee,* night, May 7.
Warren A. Bugbee (M) resumes seat, morning, May 8.
Robert Pease (L) resumes seat, morning, May 7.
David Spahr (LR) for Mrs. Jacob Rentz, afternoon, May 7.
Mrs. Jacob Rentz (L) resumes seat, night, May 7.

(Signed) PAUL M. HANN, *Chairman*
MARGARET CURRIE, *Secretary*

REPORT NO. 9. CREDENTIALS

Adopted May 8, 1964. See Journal, page 855.

1. NEW ENGLAND SOUTHERN (NE)
   Philip Susag (LR) for Thomas Bell, morning and afternoon, May 8.
2. NORTH-EAST OHIO (NC)
   Charles P. Dailey (MR) for *Carl C. Bracy,* May 8.
   Carl C. Bracy (M) has left the seat of the Conference, May 8.
   Paul O. Mayer (MR) for *Thomas L. Cromwell,* May 8.
   Thomas L. Cromwell (M) has left the seat of the Conference, May 8.
3. SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA-ARIZONA (W)
   Grover C. Bagby, Jr. (MR) for *K. Morgan Edwards,* morning and until end of Conference, May 8.
4. WESTERN NORTH CAROLINA (SE)
   Carl B. Hyatt, Jr. (L) has left the seat of the Conference, May 8.

(Signed) PAUL M. HANN, *Chairman*
MARGARET CURRIE, *Secretary*

6. FRATERNAL DELEGATES

Chairman: *H. Hughes Wagner* (New England—NE)
Secretary: Lawrence Whiteley (Minnesota—NC)

Fraternal Delegates. There shall be a Committee on Fraternal Delegates of seven members appointed by the Council of Bishops from the regularly elected delegates to the ensuing General Conference at the winter meeting of the Council of Bishops immediately preceding the General Conference. The Council of Bishops shall designate a temporary chairman of the committee, who shall call the committee to meet at the seat of the General Conference at least one full day prior to the opening of the Conference. To this committee shall be referred all communications, credentials, and information in the hands of the Secretary of the Council of Bishops relating to fraternal delegates and official visitors.

The function of the committee shall be to extend the courtesies of the Conference to the fraternal delegates and official visitors and present them to the General Conference. The terms "fraternal delegates" and "official visitors" shall be interpreted to include only persons who have been duly elected by the Christian communions of which they are respectively members, to represent the same before the General Conference, who present the appropriate credentials of such elections, and who have been invited through the Commission on Entertainment and Program, after consultation with the Council of Bishops.

In so far as possible the committee shall plan the presentation of such fraternal delegates and official visitors during the first two days of the General Conference.

(See Plan of Organization and Rules of Order (VI, A), page 165, Journal. Editor)

Committee Personnel

Roy Black (North Mississippi—SE)

Alsie H. Carleton (North Texas—SC)

John W. Curry (South Carolina—C)

Arthur Fleming (Oregon—W)

Pierre Shaumba (Central Congo—OS)

H. Hughes Wagner (New England—NE)

Lawrence Whiteley (Minnesota—NC)

REPORT

PAGE

Report No. 1. Thursday morning, April 30, 1964 293
(DCA, 148, 149, 150)

7. INTERIM COMMITTEE

Chairman: *Harold S. Swales* (Central New York—NE)
Secretary: *John R. Wilkins* (California-Nevada—W)

Interim Committee. There shall be an Interim Committee on Plan of Organization and Rules of Order of seven, made up of representatives of each of the Jurisdictions, appointed by the Council of Bishops, plus an overseas representative, if available at the time of the meetings, through the Board of Missions. The Secretary of the General Conference shall be an additional member of the Committee and shall act as the Convener.
(See Plan of Organization and Rules of Order (VI, A), page 165, Journal. Editor)

Committee Personnel

Northeastern Jurisdiction: *Harold S. Swales* (Central New York)
Southeastern Jurisdiction: L. Stacy Weaver (North Carolina)
Central Jurisdiction: *George W. Carter, Jr.* (Louisiana)
North Central Jurisdiction: *Francis V. Tannehill* (North Dakota)
South Central Jurisdiction: John A. Dixon, Jr. (Louisiana)
Western Jurisdiction: *John R. Wilkins* (California-Nevada)
Overseas: A. R. Samuel (Indus River)
Secretary of the General Conference: *Leon T. Moore* (Philadelphia—NE)

REPORT

Adopted as amended and referred, April 27, 1964.
See Journal, page 242.

I. Opening Session and Organization

The General Conference shall assemble on the day fixed and at the place **designated** in accordance with the action taken by the preceding General Conference. The Holy Communion shall be celebrated by the Conference, the Council of Bishops being in charge. **The Opening Business Session of the Conference shall be on the day and at the hour fixed by the Commission on Entertainment and Program and** shall be called to order by the bishop designated, as provided in P. 8 No. 11 of the *Discipline.*

The following order of business shall be observed:
A. Roll Call (No change recommended)
B. Election of Secretary (No change recommended)
C. Committee Nominations and Election (No change recommended)
D. Miscellaneous Business (No change recommended)
E. Adjournment (No change recommended)

II. Episcopal Address

The Quadrennial Address of the Council of Bishops shall be delivered early in the Conference, at such hour as determined by the Commission on Entertainment and Program in consultation with the Council of Bishops.

III. Presiding Officers

The presiding officers for the several sessions of the Conference, the opening session excepted (see Div. I above), shall be chosen from among the effective bishops in the following manner: The Council of Bishops shall at the opening session nominate for election by the Conference a Committee on Presiding Officers composed of one minister and one layman from each jurisdiction, and one minister and one layman from among the delegates representing the Annual Conferences outside the United States. **The Committee on Presiding Officers shall establish a continuing pool of five names of Bishops from which Group the presiding officer shall be selected by the committee. Each Bishop shall be given reasonable notice of his selection for this pool, but subsequently he shall be available for assignment as presiding officer at any session. The committee shall be free to continue a bishop for more than one session, if in its judgment the parliamentary situation requires this continuity of chairmanship.**

IV. Secretarial Staff

(No change recommended)

V. Entertainment and Program

There shall be a Commission on Entertainment and Program of the General Conference composed of one minister and one layman from each Jurisdiction who shall be nominated by the Council of Bishops and elected by the General Conference for a term of eight years; **provision having been made** that at the 1960 General Conference one-half of the members shall be elected for a term of four years so that thereafter the General Conference shall elect one-half of the members each quadrennium for a term of eight years. The Secretary of the General Conference and the Treasurer of the Council on World Service and Finance shall also be members ex-officio but without vote. This Commission shall determine the place and time (within such limits as may be set up by the General Conference) of the next General Conference and shall make all necessary arrangements in connection therewith, including arrangements for the publication of a book of quadrennial reports

of the general boards and other general agencies of the church, the same to be published by The Methodist Publishing House. **The Commission shall plan the schedule for the opening day of the Conference.** The Commission is authorized, if it deems it advisable, to select the site of the General Conference two quadrenniums in advance. The Council of Bishops shall designate one of its number to convene and organize the Commission before the adjournment of the General Conference. The Commission shall recommend to the General Conference the per diem allowance to be paid to the elected delegates. **The Commission shall issue invitations to fraternal delegates after consultation with the Council of Bishops, and shall arrange for their local entertainment for the specific period of time required for their presentation to the General Conference.**

VI. Committees

Introductory statement. (No change recommended)

A. Standing Administrative Committees

(1) *Committee on Agenda.* (No change recommended)

(2) *Committee on Chairmen.* (No change recommended)

(3) *Committee on Correlation and Editorial Revision.* (No change recommended)

(4) *Committee on Courtesies and Privileges.* (No change recommended)

(5) *Committee on Credentials.* (No change recommended)

(6) *Committee on Fraternal delegates.* (First Paragraph —no change recommended)

The function of the committee shall be to extend the courtesies of the conference to the fraternal delegates and official visitors and present them to the General Conference. The terms "fraternal delegates" and "official visitors" shall be interpreted to include only persons who have been duly elected by the Christian communions of which they are respectively members, to represent the same before the General Conference, who present the **Appropriate Credentials of such elections, and who have been invited through the Commission on Entertainment and Program, after consultation with the Council of Bishops.**

In so far as possible the committee shall plan the presentation of such fraternal delegates and official visitors during the first two days of the General Conference.

(7) *Interim Committee.* (No change recommended)

(8) *Journal Committee.* (No change recommended)

(9) *Committee on Plan of Organization and Rules of*

Order. (No change recommended)

(10) *Committee on Presiding Officers.* (See Div. III above)

(11) *Committee on Reference.* There shall be a Committee on Reference composed of one ministerial and one lay representative from each jurisdiction, at least two of whom shall be lawyers, and one minister and one layman from Annual Conferences outside the United States, to be appointed by the Council of Bishops from the regularly elected delegates to the ensuing General Conference at the winter meeting of the said Council of Bishops preceding the session of the General Conference. **This Committee shall be convened by the Secretary of the General Conference prior to and at the seat of the General Conference.**

(The balance of the full paragraph of the Committee on Reference remains intact, no change recommended)

(12) *Tellers.* There shall be a committee of sixty, to act as tellers for the purpose of reporting on count votes and ballots ordered by the General Conference. The tellers shall be divided into two groups of thirty each. Each group shall have reserve tellers. **The Secretary of the General Conference shall appoint the tellers and reserve tellers whose names shall be printed in the Daily Christian Advocate.**

B. Standing Legislative Committees

(1964 numbers and names)

Introductory paragraph. (No change recommended)

(1) **Christian Social Concerns. To this committee shall be referred all memorials, petitions, resolutions, etc., relating to social service, labor relations, Sabbath observance, divorce, amusements, world peace, temperance, prohibition of the liquor traffic, all matters relating to the Board of Christian Social Concerns, and aspects of the social and spiritual welfare of the church not specifically referred by the General Conference to another committee.**

(2) *Conferences.* To this committee shall be referred all memorials, petitions, resolutions, etc., relating to the General, Jurisdictional, Annual, Provisional Annual and District Conferences, and to Missions. **All Memorials, Petitions, Resolutions, etc., relating to Central Conferences shall be referred to the Commission on the Structure of Methodism Overseas.** (See *Discipline,* Par. 2012 (1).)

(3) *Education.* (No change recommended)

(4) *Lay Activities.* (No change recommended)

(5) *Membership and Evangelism.* (No change recommended)

(6) *Ministry.* (No change recommended)

(7) *Missions.* (No change recommended)
(8) *Pensions.* (No change recommended)
(9) *Publishing Interests.* (No change recommended)
(10) *Hospitals and Homes.* (No change recommended)
(11) *Interdenominational Relations and Activities.* (No change recommended)

(12) *Judicial Administration,* **Enabling Acts and Legal Forms.** To this committee shall be referred all memorials, petitions, resolutions, etc., relating to the formal disciplining, trial, and appeal of members and ministers of The Methodist Church, and relating to the Judicial Council and the judicial procedure within the church. **This Committee shall also be charged with the responsibility of preparing and presenting for adoption by the Conference, such Enabling Acts and Legal Forms as may be needed in the continuing process of unifying the interests and agencies of the church.**

(13) *Local Church.* **To this committee shall be referred all Memorials, Petitions, Resolutions, etc., relating to the organization and duties of the various bodies within the local church, including the Quarterly Conference, Official Board, Commissions, and Committees. Proposed Legislation emanating from other General Conference committees concerning local church organization shall be referred to this committee on local church organization for consideration, action and reporting to the General Conference.**

(14) *Ritual and Orders of Worship.* (No change recommended)

C. Membership of Committees

(1) Except as otherwise provided in the Plan of Organization, or ordered by the General Conference, the membership of all committees shall be constituted by election of the conference, on nomination of the Council of Bishops. In making nominations the Council of Bishops shall maintain as nearly as practicable and advisable an equal ratio of representation between ministerial and lay delegates on all committees, **giving fair consideration to all Annual Conferences throughout the world.**

(2) Standing legislative committees numbered 10 to 14 inclusive (Hospitals and Homes; Interdenominational Relations and Activities; Judicial Administration, **Enabling Acts and Legal Forms: Local Church:** and Ritual and Orders of Worship) shall each consist of two ministers and two laymen from each jurisdiction, and **two ministers and two laymen** from the delegates representing Annual Conferences outside the United States.

(3) (Selection of committees—no change recommended)

D. Meetings of Committees

(1) For Organization. **All Standing Legislative and Administrative Committees shall meet for organization at such time as the Commission on Entertainment and Program shall determine. A Bishop appointed by the Council of Bishops and an assistant Secretary appointed by the secretary of the General Conference shall serve, respectively, as Chairman and Secretary to effect an Organization in each of the several standing committees.**

(a) The Secretary of the General Conference shall convene the Committee of the Chairmen.

(b) (Election of officers—no change recommended)

(c) (Secretaries report—no change recommended)

(d) (Coaching committee officers—no change recommended)

(2) Regular Meetings. **The Standing Legislative Committees 1 to 9 inclusive shall meet on the first two full days at 9:00 a.m. and thereafter shall meet each weekday at 2:30 p.m. unless otherwise ordered by the Conference, until their work is completed, and at such other times as the committees may themselves determine.**

Standing Legislative Committees 10 to 14 inclusive shall meet each weekday beginning with the second full business day at 7:30 p.m. or such other times as they may themselves respectively determine, until their work is completed.

(3) (Committee quorum—no change recommended)

E. Function and Authority of Committees

(No change recommended)

VII. Proposal Involving Expenditure of Unbudgeted Funds

(No change recommended)

VIII. Delegates' Expense Accounts

(1) (Credentials report—no change recommended)

(2) (Expense payments—no change recommended)

(3) Travel expense for delegates to the Conference shall be on the basis of railroad fare by the most direct route (clergy fare for ministers), lower berth or roomette (Pullman) and meals en route, or by air coach. When two or more delegates come in one automobile, the owner shall be allowed 7 cents per mile for his car plus the cost of room and meals en route. Guest passengers who are members of the General Conference shall submit only the cost of rooms and meals en route. In all cases delegates shall report only the actual cost of travel.

Overseas delegates shall be allowed travel expenses on the basis of round-trip tourist air fare, directly to and from the seat of the Conference. Additional expenses may be allowed such delegates for arrival and departure not to exceed five days in either case. Unavoidable exceptions to this limitation of five days before and five days after General Conference, due to transportation schedules, must be approved by the Council on World Service and Finance. The per diem expense allowance for all such days before and after General Conference shall be at the same rate as granted delegates during General Conference.

IX. Material to Be Included in the Discipline

(No change recommended)

Rule 1. Hours of Meetings

The following shall be the daily order for the General Conference, Sundays excepted:

(1) 8:30 A.M. to 9:00 A.M.—Devotional service under direction of the Council of Bishops.
(2) 9:00 A.M. to 12:30 P.M.—Conference business, with recess for ten minutes at 10:30, **except that this period during the first two full business days of the Conference shall be devoted to meetings of Legislative Committees 1 to 9 inclusive.**
(3) 2:30 P.M. to 5:00 P.M.—Committee meetings.
(4) 7:30 P.M.—**Evening Programs as planned by the Commission on Entertainment and Program or** committee meetings.

Rules 2 through 10

(No change recommended)

Rule 11. Voting Procedure

Voting shall be by a show of hands unless otherwise ordered by the Conference. An *"Aye"* or *"Nay"* vote, if taken, shall be by signed ballot. An *"aye"* or *"nay"* vote by secret ballot may be ordered on call of any member, supported by *one-third* of the members present and voting. A count vote may be ordered on call of any member supported by one-third of the members present and voting, in which case the delegates shall rise from their seats and stand until counted.

No other business shall be in order when a vote is being taken or when the previous question has been called, until the process is completed, except such as relates to the vote itself, or that which can be appropriately fitted into the time

while waiting for the report of the secretaries on the count vote, or an *"aye"* or *"nay"* vote. The count shall be made by the **Committee of Tellers as appointed and assigned by the secretary of General Conference. (VI A, 11)**

Rules 12 through 27

(No change recommended)

Rule 28. Calendars, Regular and Non-Concurrence

The Secretary shall keep a chronological record of orders of the day and of reports of committees (see Rule 32), which record shall be called the calendar; and the matters of business placed on it shall be considered in order, unless by a two-thirds vote of the Conference an item is taken up out of its order.

When a committee presents a report on a given subject, as a part of its report, it must also list the numbers of all memorials relating to this subject on which the committee voted non-concurrence.

Rules 29 through 36

(No change recommended)

Rule 37. Speakers For and Against

(First paragraph remains intact as printed.)

(Second paragraph, as amended, will read as follows)

Except for undebatable motions, no report shall be adopted or question relating to the same decided without opportunity having been given for at least **two speeches** for and **two** against the said proposal, provided that right is claimed before the chairman or duly authorized member representing the committee's report or the minority report, if there be such, is presented to close the debate.

Rules 38 through 41

(No change recommended)

MISCELLANEOUS NOTATIONS

1. RESOLUTION CONCERNING A PARLIAMENTARIAN

Dr. Asbury Smith presented the following resolution to General Conference: "A request that the Judicial Council provide a properly qualified parliamentarian to advise and guide the General Conference in their deliberations, this action to become effective in the 1964 General Conference." (See page 350 of the 1960 General Conference Journal.)

This resolution was referred to the Interim Committee, which body gave considerable time to the merits of this proposal and has submitted its recommendation under the title of "Presiding Officer" of this report.

2. METHOD OF SECURING THE FLOOR TO SPEAK
The Commission on Entertainment and Program and our Interim Committee gave considerable attention to the question of improving the procedure by which members of the General Conference would be recognized by the chair for the privilege of speaking. Various possibilities were considered, such as electronic devices, a switchboard for the chairmen, rotation of turns by microphones, holding of numbers at microphones, etc. We could not agree upon any method that would guarantee more orderly procedures and at the same time protect the democratic rights of individuals in a body such as the General Conference. We decided to leave the rule as it had existed. However, we do recommend to the new Committee on Plan of Organization and Rules of Order that it give continued attention to this problem.
3. SINGLE SET OF LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEES
The action of 1960 General Conference gave authority to the Interim Committee to create one set of Legislative Committees, all to be selected by delegations, and all to meet simultaneously at General Conference. However, since the authority was directly related to the possibility of the enlarged General Conference under Amendment XII if ratified, we felt that we did not have such authority in the light of the failure of ratification. However, we do strongly recommend that the new Committee on Plan of Organization and Rules of Order consider the policy of a single set of Committees even with the present size of General Conference. There is much confusion and overlapping when we consider that many members are on two legislative committees, some hold offices on both, the demand for meeting times, the divided attention, and the short time of General Conference.
4. We recommend that this Interim Committee on Plan of Organization and Rules of Order be discharged following the action on its report at the 1964 General Conference. The new official committee of General Conference will then assume all such responsibilities.
5. The Agenda Committee in consultation with the Committee of Chairmen shall be authorized to recommend the lifting out of their place upon the calendar reports involving major or extensive legislation changes so as to insure their consideration prior to the last two business days of the General Conference.

HAROLD S. SWALES, *Chairman*
JOHN R. WILKINS, *Secretary*

8. JOURNAL

Chairman: *William M. James* (New York—NE)
Secretary: *Clyde F. Watkins* (Holston—SE)

Journal. There shall be a committee of seven which shall daily examine the record of the Secretary, comparing it with the stenographic record, and report its findings to the Conference, recommending appropriate action. Any error subsequently discovered in a section of the Journal which has been approved shall be reported to the Conference for correction.
(See Plan of Organization and Rules of Order (VI, A), page 165, Journal. Editor)

Committee Personnel

Robert W. Burtner (Oregon—W)
Mrs. William Cansfield (Detroit—NC)
Mrs. H. L. Georg (Central Kansas—SC)
Kelly L. Jackson (Washington—C)
William M. James (New York—NE)
Jozef Szczepkowski (Poland—OS)
F. Clyde Watkins (Holston—SE)

REPORTS

		PAGE
Report No. 1.	Wednesday morning, April 29, 1964	249
2.	Thursday morning, April 30, 1964	277
3.	Friday morning, May 1, 1964	353
4.	Saturday morning, May 2, 1964	407
5.	Monday morning, May 4, 1964	429
6.	Tuesday morning, May 5, 1964	493
7.	Wednesday morning, May 6, 1964	601
8.	Thursday morning, May 7, 1964	714
9.	Friday morning, May 8, 1964	854

9. PLAN OF ORGANIZATION AND RULES OF ORDER

Chairman: *John D. Herr* (Philadelphia—NE)
Secretary: Robert Fletcher (Southern California-Arizona —W)

Plan of Organization and Rules of Order. There shall be a Committee on Plan of Organization and Rules of Order of EIGHT members. The Secretary of the Conference shall be an ex-officio member and one of the EIGHT. To this committee shall be referred any proposed amendments to the Plan of Organization and Rules of Order. (See Rule 40.) To it may be referred any other matters relating to parliamentary order or procedure in the business of the General Conference.

This Committee shall restudy the Plan of Organization and Rules of Order and, after making such needed changes and adaptations therein as in its judgment are necessary, shall present them to the General Conference for consideration and final action, same to be published in the Journal of the General Conference.

The Plan of Organization and Rules of Order of the General Conference shall be the Plan of Organization and Rules of Order as published in the Journal of the preceding General Conference until they have been altered or modified by the action of The General Conference. (See *Discipline*, Para. 508.)

(See Plan of Organization and Rules of Order (VI, A), page 165, Journal. Editor)

Committee Personnel

D. W. Brooks (North Georgia—SE)
Robert Fletcher (Southern California-Arizona—W)
John D. Herr (Philadelphia—NE)
Dale E. Pitcher (Central Illinois—NC)
George W. Richards (Central Kansas—SC)
Ernst Scholz (Northeast Germany—OS)
Ex-officio: *Leon T. Moore* (Philadelphia—NE), Secretary, General Conference

REPORT NO. 1. PLAN OF ORGANIZATION AND RULES OF ORDER

Adopted May 8, 1964. See Journal, page 857.

At its meeting on Saturday, April 25, the Committee on Correlation and Editorial Revision requested that the Committee on Plan of Organization and Rules of Order recommend for General Conference approval the appointment of two additional persons to serve as alternates on the Committee on Correlation and Editorial Revision, this to be effective in the Plan of Organization for the 1968 General Conference.

This recommendation would be made effective by adding the sentence, **"Two alternates shall also be appointed,"**

after the words, "the same as the delegates," in line 7 of item 3 on page 199 of the 1964 *Handbook*. The reason for this request is that after the Council of Bishops has made its appointments it is possible that one of the appointees might be incapacitated or, having been elected an alternate delegate to the General Conference, might become a full delegate. In either case, the person in question would be disqualified for membership on the Committee on Correlation and Editorial Revision.

The revised paragraph, which is the first paragraph under the heading *3 Correlation and Editorial Revision* would, when amended, read as follows: "There shall be a committee of three known as the Committee on Correlation and Editorial Revision. This committee shall be composed of three persons not members of the General Conference, appointed by the Council of Bishops. They shall be entitled to travel expense and per diem allowance, the same as the delegates. **Two alternates shall also be appointed** by the Council of Bishops. The functions of this committee shall be:"

The above resolution has been approved unanimously by the 1964 Committee on Correlation and Editorial Revision.

JOHN D. HERR, *Chairman*
ROBERT FLETCHER, *Secretary*

REPORT NO. 2. PLAN OF ORGANIZATION AND RULES OF ORDER

Adopted May 8, 1964. See Journal, page 857.

The Commission on Entertainment and Program wishes to suggest and request a change in Rule 6 on page 200 of the *Handbook* dealing with Fraternal Delegates. The Commission has a subcommittee on Fraternal Delegates (see page 4) which corresponds with Fraternal Delegates (see last sentence on page 197). There needs to be a smooth transition from our Committee to the General Conference Committee. We feel this could be accomplished if the Chairman of our Committee could be a member of the General Conference Committee. Therefore, we propose that the General Conference Committee be composed of eight members rather than seven. The Chairman of the Fraternal Delegate Committee can be designated in the same manner as is used in the composition of the Agenda Committee (Page 198).

The second suggestion is for the sake of clarification. There is ambiguity between Par. (1) under D, Page 206 and Par. (2) at the top of page 207. It would clarify the situation if the words **"from 1 to 14"** could be inserted after

the word "legislative" in line 1 Par. (1) under D, page 206. Also insert **"for business"** after the word "meet" in the second line of (2), page 207 and again after "meet" in the second line of the second paragraph of (2), page 207.

Thanks for your co-operation.

JOHN D. HERR, *Chairman*
ROBERT FLETCHER, *Secretary*

REPORT NO. 3. PLAN OF ORGANIZATION AND RULES OF ORDER

Adopted May 8, 1964. See Journal, page 857.

Amend: Paragraph 9, Page 204, *Handbook* (1964)

Publishing Interest—To this committee shall be referred all memorials, petitions, resolutions, etc., relating to **all** Publications of The Methodist Church and to the interests and activities made by the law of the Church the concern of the Board of Publication.

JOHN D. HERR, *Chairman*
ROBERT FLETCHER, *Secretary*

REPORT NO. 4. PLAN OF ORGANIZATION AND RULES OF ORDER

Adopted as amended, May 7, 1964. See Journal, page 829.

A tremendous bottle-neck develops every General Conference because it is impossible to get all the Memorials processed promptly to the legislative committees. This year about 2400 out of 4500 Memorials were received early enough to process before the Conference convened. The rest had to be processed after the Committees started working. Anyone who intends to put a Memorial in knows it ahead of time. There is no reason why the Memorials couldn't be sent in 30 days ahead of Conference. If the Secretary could have them two (2) weeks ahead, they could all be ready to deliver to the legislative committees at the beginning of the Conference session.

For the reasons stated, we propose an amendment to Rule 11, page 202 of the Handbook to change the last sentence in the first paragraph to read:

The deadline for the introduction of memorials and petitions shall be thirty (30) days prior to the opening day of the Conference. **Exceptions will be made for conferences that meet within thirty (30) days of the opening of the General Conference and for memorials that come through overseas conferences.**

All general and specific quadrennial reports, including

the report of the Coordinating Council, directed to the General Conference, shall be submitted to the duly elected delegates at least 45 days prior to the opening of the General Conference and the content of said reports or sections thereof shall be submitted to the appropriate legislative committees of the General Conference prior to the presentation on the Conference floor.

JOHN D. HERR, *Chairman*
ROBERT FLETCHER, *Secretary*

REPORT NO. 5. PLAN OF ORGANIZATION AND RULES OF ORDER

Adopted as amended, May 8, 1964. See Journal, page 859.

Recommendations From Committee on Conferences

No. 1. *Standing Legislative Committees.* Delegates should be able to choose any Standing Committee from 1 to 14. A heavy extra burden is now placed on those chosen to serve on the committees numbered from 10 to 14. While the number of memorials referred to these committees is relatively small, their value is not. Since this group is chosen from those who already have responsibilities on regular legislative committees, they must work long, extra hours. Nonconcurrence.

On motion of *John R. Wilkins* (California-Nevada—W) the following was substituted for the above and adopted by the Conference:

Beginning with the General Conference of 1968, there shall be one set of legislative committees including all numbered one through fourteen instead of the two existing sets.

Membership on all legislative committees be made through selection by the delegates.

The Rules Committee shall be instructed to revise paragraphs in Section C, Membership Committees, page 205 of the Handbook for Preparation of Rules for 1968. (DCA, 699 (2))

No. 2. *Special Study Committees Authorized by the General Conference.* Too extensive use of this procedure tends to limit the work and importance of our legislative committees. Under ordinary circumstances Special Study Committees should report briefly to the General Conference, after which their reports should be referred automatically to the appropriate Legislative Committees. Their material, however, should be in the hands of the Legislative Committees for study at the beginning of the General Conference sessions. After the Study Committee makes its report, the General Conference by a two-thirds vote **may authorize immediate consideration of this report.** (DCA, 700 (2))

No. 3. *Deadline for Memorials.* The opening day of General Conference as the deadline for receiving memorials does not give the Committee on Reference adequate time to properly refer the memorials. Closer study of memorials before reference would greatly facilitate the work of the committees.

Not more than ten nor fewer than five days before the opening of the General Conference should mark the closing date for receiving memorials. Trained personnel could do the work of reference, for the task is primarily a clerical one. Then, all memorials and the committees to which they have been referred could be listed in the first issue of the *Daily Christian Advocate.*

Withdrawn (DCA, 700 (2)).

No. 4. *Parliamentarian.* Uniformity on rulings would be more easily achieved if the same parliamentarian aided the presiding officers for the whole of the Conference.

The parliamentarian could well be selected by the Council of Bishops itself.

Nonconcurrence (DCA, 700 (3)).

No. 5. *Material for Overseas Delegates.* Lateness of elections in some overseas conferences makes it difficult to get material to these delegates. This is embarrassing and causes irritation.

A packet could be prepared with all materials so far distributed to delegates and be ready for the overseas delegates one week before the Conference convenes. Since most overseas delegates arrive at the seat of the General Conference early, this would insure them an opportunity for study.

Refer to Coordinating Council (DCA, 700 (3)).

JOHN D. HERR, *Chairman*
ROBERT FLETCHER, *Secretary*

PLAN OF ORGANIZATION AND RULES OF ORDER, 1968

Adopted as amended. See Journal, page 859.

Your Committee on Plan of Organization and Rules of Order following the Order of the General Conference presents for the consideration of the General Conference the following:

I. Opening Session and Organization

The General Conference shall assemble on the day fixed and at the place *designated* in accordance with the action taken by the preceding General Conference. The Holy Communion shall be celebrated by the Conference, the

Council of Bishops being in charge. *The opening business session of the Conference shall be on the day and at the hour fixed by the Commission on Entertainment and Program and* shall be called to order by the bishop designated, as provided in ¶ 8 § 11 of the *Discipline.*

The following order of business shall be observed:

A. *Roll Call.* The roll shall be called by the Secretary of the preceding General Conference in the following manner:

(1) There shall be called the names of the bishops who have died since the adjournment of the preceding General Conference, and likewise the names of delegates-elect who have died.

(2) The record of attendance shall be made in writing to the Secretary of the General Conference by

(*a*) The Secretary of the Council of Bishops for the bishops,

(b) The Secretary of the Judicial Council for that body, and

(*c*) The chairman of each delegation for its membership. The chairman shall be provided with a blank form on which to report in writing the attendance of its members, noting absentees and substitutions, which reports shall be tabulated by the Secretary and published in the *Daily Christian Advocate.* Any reserve seated in the place of a regular delegate shall have been duly elected as a reserve delegate by his Annual Conference, and shall meet the requirements set forth in the *Discipline,* ¶¶ 23-25. Delegates, including reserves, when the latter are substituted for a delegate or delegates, shall be seated in the order of their election, except when a reserve is seated temporarily, in which case he shall occupy the seat of the delegate for whom he is substituted. All delegates arriving after the opening roll call shall be reported by the chairman of the delegation to the Committee on Credentials, in order to be properly enrolled.

(3) A majority of the whole number of delegates to the General Conference shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of business. (*Discipline,* ¶ 506.)

B. *Election of Secretary.* If a quorum be present, the Conference shall elect from the ministry or lay membership of The Methodist Church, on nomination from the floor, a Secretary, which election shall be by ballot if there be two or more nominees. (See div. IV below.) Should the Secretary not be a delegate, he shall have the privilege of the floor, but without vote.

C. *Committee Nominations and Elections.* The Council of Bishops shall present nominations for the standing administrative committees, and such other nominations as

are hereinafter committed to it, for election by the General Conference.

D. *Miscellaneous Business.*

E. *Adjournment.*

II. Episcopal Address

The Quadrennial Address of the Council of Bishops shall be delivered early in the conference, at such hour as determined by the Commission on Entertainment and Program in consultation with the Council of Bishops.

III. Presiding Officers

The presiding officers for the several sessions of the Conference, the opening session excepted (see div. I above), shall be chosen from among the effective bishops in the following manner: The Council of Bishops shall at the opening session nominate for election by the Conference a Committee on Presiding Officers composed of one minister and one layman from each jurisdiction, and one minister and one layman from among the delegates representing the Annual Conferences outside the United States. The Committee on Presiding Officers shall establish a continuing pool of five names of bishops from which group the presiding officer shall be selected *by the Committee.* Each bishop shall be given reasonable notice of his selection for this pool, but subsequently he shall be available for assignment as presiding officer at any session. The committee shall be free to continue a bishop for more than one session, if in its judgment the parliamentary situation requires this continuity of chairmanship.

IV. Secretarial Staff

(1) The Secretary of the General Conference elected as hereinbefore provided shall serve until the next General Conference is organized and a successor elected.

(2) The Conference shall elect, upon the nomination of the Secretary, such assistant secretaries from the ministry or lay membership of The Methodist Church as it may deem wise.

(3) If in the interim of the quadrennial sessions of the General Conference the office of secretary shall for any reason be vacated, the Council of Bishops shall elect a successor to serve until the next session.

V. Entertainment and Program

There shall be a Commission on Entertainment and Program of the General Conference composed of one minister

and one layman from each Jurisdiction who shall be nominated by the Council of Bishops and elected by the General Conference for a term of eight years; provided that at the 1960 General Conference one-half of the members shall be elected for a term of four years so that thereafter the General Conference shall elect one-half of the members each quadrennium for a term of eight years. The Secretary of the General Conference and the Treasurer of the Council on World Service and Finance shall also be members ex-officio but without vote. This Commission shall determine the place and time (within such limits as may be set up by the General Conference) of the next General Conference and shall make all necessary arrangements in connection therewith, including arrangements for the publication of a book of quadrennial reports of the general boards and other general agencies of the church, the same to be published by The Methodist Publishing House. The Commission shall plan the schedule for the opening day of the conference. The Commission is authorized, if it deems it advisable, to select the site of the General Conference two quadrenniums in advance. The Council of Bishops shall designate one of its number to convene and organize the Commission before the adjournment of the General Conference. The Commission shall recommend to the General Conference the per diem allowance to be paid to the elected delegates. The Commission shall issue invitations to fraternal delegates after consultation with the Council of Bishops, and shall arrange for their local entertainment for the specific period of time required for their presentation to the General Conference.

VI. Committees

The General Conference shall have the standing committes hereinafter indicated, with such functions, responsibilities, and limitations respectively as are hereinafter prescribed, and such special committees as it may order.

A. Standing Administrative Committees

(1) *Committee on Agenda.* There shall be a Committee on Agenda.

(a) It shall be composed of nine members, at least four of whom shall be laymen, to be constituted as follows: One from each jurisdiction, one from overseas, the chairman of the Committee of Chairmen when elected, and the chairman or substitute for the Program Chairman of the Program Committee of the Commission on Entertainment and Program. It shall be appointed by the Council of Bishops and its winter meeting next preceding the meeting of the

General Conference from the elected delegates to the General Conference.

(b) The Committee shall be convened by the Secretary of the General Conference at least the day before the opening of the General Conference and shall consult with the Council of Bishops, the Commission on Entertainment and Program and the Secretary of the General Conference concerning pending business.

(c) Following the presentation and adoption of the report of the Commission on Entertainment and Program at the opening session of the General Conference, the Committee on Agenda shall immediately become responsible for helping guide the order of business of the Conference.

(d) To this committee shall be referred all requests for special orders of the day, except those requested in the report of the Commission on Entertainment and Program on the first day of the Conference.

(e) Proposals, questions, communications, resolutions, and other matters not included in the regular business of the General Conference shall be referred to the Committee on Agenda without motion or debate. This committee shall determine whether or not the matter presented shall be considered by the General Conference. Appeal from the decision of this committee may be presented to the Conference upon the written signature of twenty members of the Conference, and the item shall be presented to the Conference if the appeal is supported by a one-third vote.

(f) The Committee on Agenda shall report to each session of the General Conference its recommendations concerning business agenda and time allocations for the various reports and business items, except that at all times the Committee of Chairmen shall determine the order in which legislative committee reports shall be presented.

(2) *Committee of Chairmen.* The chairmen of the several standing legislative committees, the chairman of the Committee on Plan of Organization and Rules of Order, the chairman of the Commission on Entertainment and Program **and the Chairman of the Committee on Agenda** shall be constituted a Committee of Chairmen, whose function it shall be to arrange for the presentation of committee reports to the conference in such order as to expedite the business.

(3) *Correlation and Editorial Revision.* There shall be a committee of three known as the Committee on Correlation and Editorial Revision. This committee shall be composed of three persons not members of the General Conference, appointed by the Council of Bishops. They shall be entitled to travel expense and per diem allowance, the

same as the delegates. **Two alternates shall also be appointed by the Council of Bishops.** The functions of this committee shall be:

(*a*) To review all **proposed legislation reported in the** *Daily Christian Advocate* **and that presented in special reports to the General Conference and all** legislation enacted by the General Conference. *The Committee shall* report promptly to the standing committees concerned, or to the General Conference as the situation may warrant, any and all contradictions, duplications, and inconsistencies discovered therein.

(*b*) To report to the chairmen of the several standing legislative committees all changes it has made in the language of the reports adopted by the General Conference.

(4) *Courtesies and Privileges.* There shall be a committee of fourteen, composed of one minister and one layman from each jurisdiction and one minister and one layman from among the delegates representing Annual Conferences outside the United States, known as the Committee on Courtesies and Privileges, having the following duties and responsibilities:

(*a*) To consider, as presented to it by members of the Conference, what said members regard as questions or matters of privilege, to decide whether they are such or not, and if they are regarded as being such, to recommend to the Conference that they be heard. (NOTE: Only questions or matters of privilege which are so urgent that they cannot wait for consideration by this committee may be presented immediately to the Conference, as provided in Rule 9.)

(*b*) To prepare, with due regard for brevity, and present to the Conference for its action such complimentary resolutions as occasion may demand.

(*c*) To arrange for extending courtesies of the conference to any to whom they may be due, fraternal delegates and official visitors excepted.

(*d*) To arrange for a memorial service, at some time agreeable to the Conference, for deceased delegates-elect, and for bishops and general officers of the church who have died since the adjournment of the last preceding General Conference.

(*e*) To limit its report, including the statement of the chairman and the hearing of such persons as may be presented, to a maximum of twenty minutes in any one business session. No person or persons shall be presented after the sixth day unless approved by a two-thirds vote of the Conference.

(*f*) To prepare and publish in the *Daily Christian Advocate,* contemporaneously with the list of the nominees for election to the Judicial Council, biographical sketches not to exceed 100 words in length for each nominee.

(5) *Credentials.* There shall be a Committee on Credentials of seven members. To this committee the chairmen of the respective Annual Conference delegations shall report, on a form provided for that purpose, the names of absent delegates and of available reserve delegates to be substituted for said absentees. This committee shall in turn report all such cases of absence to the Conference with recommendations as to the seating of reserves if such are available. No reserve delegates shall be seated except by action of the Conference and after a report has been made upon the case by this committee; *provided,* that the reserve delegates reported by the chairmen of the respective Annual Conference delegations as substituted for absentees at the opening session shall be seated tentatively without the action of this committee; and *provided,* further, that such substitutions shall be reported to this committee for review and report to the General Conference for its action. All changes in the personnel of the seated delegates of the Annual Conference delegations shall be reported to this committee on blanks provided by the Secretary of the conference. Per diem allowances shall be paid on the basis of the report of this committee.

(6) *Fraternal Delegates.* There shall be a Committee on Fraternal Delegates of **eight** members, **seven of whom shall be** appointed by the Council of Bishops from the regularly elected delegates to the ensuing General Conference at the winter meeting of the Council of Bishops immediately preceding the General Conference **and the chairman of the sub-committee on Fraternal Delegates of the Commission on Entertainment and Program.** The Council of Bishops shall designate a temporary chairman of the committee, who shall call the committee to meet at the seat of the General Conference at least one full day prior to the opening of the Conference. To this committee shall be referred all communications, credentials, and information in the hands of the Secretary or the Council of Bishops relating to fraternal delegates and official visitors.

The function of the committee shall be to extend the courtesies of the Conference to the fraternal delegates and official visitors and present them to the General Conference. The terms "fraternal delegates" and "official visitors" shall be interpreted to include only persons who have been duly elected by the Christian communions of which they are respectively members, to represent the same before the

General Conference, who present the appropriate credentials of such elections, *and who have been invited through the Commission on Entertainment and Program, after consultation with the Council of Bishops.*

In so far as possible the committee shall plan the presentation of such fraternal delegates and official visitors during the first two days of the General Conference.

(7) Interim Committee. There shall be an Interim Committee on Plan of Organization and Rules of Order of seven, made up of representatives of each of the Jurisdictions, appointed by the Council of Bishops, plus an overseas representative, if available at the time of the meetings, through the Board of Missions. The Secretary of the General Conference shall be an additional member of the Committee and shall act as the Convener.

(8) *Journal.* There shall be a committee of seven which shall daily examine the record of the Secretary, comparing it with the stenographic record, and report its findings to the Conference, recommending appropriate action. Any error subsequently discovered in a section of the Journal which has been approved shall be reported to the Conference for correction.

(9) *Plan of Organization and Rules of Order.* There shall be a Committee on Plan of Organization and Rules of Order of **eight** members. The Secretary of the Conference shall be an ex-officio member and one of the **eight.** To this committee shall be referred any proposed amendments to the Plan of Organization and Rules of Order. (See Rule 40.) To it may be referred any other matters relating to parliamentary order or procedure in the business of the General Conference.

This committee shall restudy the Plan of Organization and Rules of Order and, after making such needed changes and adaptations therein as in its judgment are necessary, shall present them to the General Conference for consideration and final action, same to be published in the Journal of the General Conference.

The Plan of Organization and Rules of Order of the General Conference shall be the Plan of Organization and Rules of Order as published in the Journal of the preceding General Conference until they have been altered or modified by the action of The General Conference. (See *Discipline,* ¶ 508.)

(10) *Presiding Officers.* See div. III above.

(11) *Reference.* There shall be a Committee on Reference composed of one ministerial and one lay representative from each jurisdiction, at least two of whom shall be lawyers, and one minister and one layman from Annual

Conferences outside the United States, to be appointed by the Council of Bishops from the regularly elected delegates to the ensuing General Conference at the winter meeting of the said Council of Bishops preceding the session of the General Conference. This committee shall be convened by the Secretary of the General Conference *prior to and at the seat of the General Conference.* After ascertaining that the memorials, resolutions, and similar communications dealing with the regular business of the Conference meet the requirements herein specified, this committee shall refer the same to the appropriate standing administrative or legislative committees. This committee shall be responsible for such assignment of all memorials which may be in the hands of the Secretary prior to the opening session, including the Episcopal Address, the book of printed Quadrennial Reports, and all other communications which shall come into his hands after the convening of the General Conference. The deadline for the introduction of Memorials and Petitions shall be thirty (30) days prior to the opening day of the Conference—*Exceptions will be made for Conferences that meet within thirty (30) days of the opening of the General Conference and for Memorials that come through overseas Conferences.*

All General and Specific Quadrennial Reports, including the Report of the Coordinating Council, directed to the General Conference shall be submitted to the duly elected delegates at least forty-five (45) days prior to the opening of the General Conference and the content of said Reports or sections thereof shall be submitted to the appropriate legislative committees of the General Conference prior to presentation on the Conference floor.

The Committee on Reference may withdraw a paper after having assigned it to a committee, either upon a request or upon its own motion. It may also withhold from reference or publication any document it shall deem improper, promptly advising the author, or authors, thereof of its action in order that an appeal may be made to the Conference if desired. The committee shall publish as promptly as possible in the *Daily Christian Advocate* lists of the communications it has referred to the several standing committees, identifying each by number, title, origin, and destination. No memorial or petition shall be assigned by the Committee on Reference to any General Conference committee unless it comes from some organization, minister, or member of The Methodist Church, and also contains information essential to the verification of the alleged facts concerning its origin.

All memorials should be presented in triplicate. Each

should deal with only one general subject, and, so far as possible, only one chapter in the *Discipline*. Not more than one memorial should be presented on a single sheet of paper.

(12) *Tellers.* There shall be a committee of sixty, to act as tellers for the purpose of reporting on count votes and ballots ordered by the General Conference. The tellers shall be divided into two groups of thirty each. Each group shall have reserve tellers. *The Secretary of the General Conference shall appoint tellers and reserve tellers whose names shall be printed in the* Daily Christian Advocate.

B. Standing Legislative Committees

The General Conference shall have the following standing legislative committees, which shall consider all proposals looking toward new legislation or changes in the present legislation of the church and report recommendations relating thereto to the Conference; *provided,* however, that reports and proposals from the regular councils and special commissions of the General Conference may be made directly to the Conference. The term "legislative" as descriptive of the functions of these committees is not to be interpreted with absolute strictness, inasmuch as they may consider matters calculated to eventuate in the form of advices, resolutions, appeals, etc., as well as in legislation.

Beginning with the General Conference of 1968, there shall be one set of legislative committees including all numbered one through fourteen instead of the two existing sets.

Special study committees authorized by the General Conference. Too extensive use of this procedure tends to limit the work and importance of our legislative committees. Under ordinary circumstances special study committees should report briefly to the General Conference, after which their reports should be referred automatically to the appropriate legislative committees for study at the beginning of the General Conference sessions. After the Study Committee makes its report, the General Conference by a two-thirds vote *may authorize immediately consideration of this report.*

(1) *Christian Social Concerns. To this committee shall be referred all memorials, petitions, resolutions, etc., relating to social service, labor relations, Sabbath observance, divorce, amusements, world peace, temperance, prohibition of the liquor traffic, all matters relating to the Board of Christian Social Concerns, and aspects of the social and spiritual welfare of the church not specifically referred by the General Conference to another committee.*

(2) *Conferences.* To this committee shall be referred all memorials, petitions, resolutions, etc., relating to the General, Jurisdictional, Annual, Provisional Annual and District *Conferences, and to Missions. All memorials, petitions, resolutions, etc., relating to Central Conferences shall be referred to the Commission on the Structure of Methodism Overseas.* (*See* Discipline, ¶ *2012 (1).*)

(3) *Education.* To this committee shall be referred all memorials, petitions, resolutions, etc., relating to the Board of Education and to the interests and activities which by the law of the church are made the concern of this board.

(4) *Lay Activities and Temporal Economy.* To this committee shall be referred all memorials, petitions, resolutions, etc., relating distinctly to lay activities and the Board of Lay Activities; and relating to trustees, properties, church finance (general and local), and such activities of the church at large in and through its institutions and boards as are not made the specific responsibility of any other committee.

(5) *Membership and Evangelism.* To this committee shall be referred all memorials, petitions, resolutions, etc., relating to church membership, including conditions, duties, and transfer thereof; and all matters pertaining to evangelism and to the devotional life and literature of the church, made by the law of the church the concern of the Board of Evangelism.

(6) *Ministry.* To this committee shall be referred all memorials, petitions, resolutions, etc., relating to the ministry of the church in all its forms, grades, and orders.

(7) *Missions.* To this committee shall be referred all memorials, petitions, resolutions, etc., relating to the Board of Missions and to the interests and activities made by the law of the church the concern of this board.

(8) *Pensions.* To this committee shall be referred all memorials, petitions, resolutions, etc., relating to the support of retired and supernumerary ministers, and of widows and dependent children of deceased ministers, not including bishops, and all matters relating to pensions of lay employees of church organizations, boards, agencies, or institutions.

(9) *Publishing Interests.* To this committee shall be referred all memorials, petitions, resolutions, etc., relating to the Board of Publication and to the interests and activities made by the law of the church the concern of the board.

(10) *Hospitals and Homes.* To this committee shall be referred all memorials, petitions, resolutions, etc., relating to the Board of Hospitals and Homes, to the interests and activities which by the law of the church are made the

concern of this board, and to all the eleemosynary work and responsibility of the church not comprehended in the responsibility of any other legislative committee.

(11) *Interdenominational Relations and Activities.* To this committee shall be referred all memorials, petitions, resolutions, etc., relating to interdenominational activities, relations, interests, and responsibilities of The Methodist Church, including the American Bible Society, the National Council of the Churches of Christ in the United States of America, and the World Council of Churches.

(12) *Judicial Administration Enabling Acts and Legal Forms.* To this committee shall be referred all memorials, petitions, resolutions, etc., relating to the formal disciplining, trial, and appeal of members and ministers of The Methodist Church, and relating to the Judicial Council and the judicial procedure within the church. This committee shall also be charged with the responsibility of preparing and presenting for adoption by the Conference, such enabling acts and legal forms as may be needed in the continuing process of unifying the interests and agencies of the church.

(13) *Local Church.* To this committee shall be referred all memorials, petitions, resolutions, etc., relating to the organization and duties of the various bodies within the local church, including the quarterly conference, official board, commissions, and committees. Proposed legislation emanating from other General Conference committees concerning local church organization shall be referred to this committee on Local Church Organization for consideration, action and reporting to General Conference.

(14) *Ritual and Orders of Worship.* To this committee shall be referred all memorials, petitions, resolutions, etc., relating to ritual and orders of worship.

C. Membership of Committees

Membership on all legislative committees shall be made through selection by the delegates.

Each delegate shall serve as a member of one of the standing legislative committees numbered 1 to 9. Within the Annual Conference delegation each member shall choose from the legislative committees 1 to 9 the committee on which he shall serve, the choice being made in order of election. The layman* first elected shall be entitled to the first choice, the minister first elected to the second choice, and thus the right of choice shall continue to alternate between lay and ministerial delegates in the order of their election; *provided,* that two members of a delegation may

not serve on any one of the above-designated standing legislative committees 1 to 9 unless the said delegation is represented on each of them. All delegations composed of ten or more members shall assign all members in excess of nine according to this same principle of distribution. (For example, a conference with sixteen delegates shall have two members on any two of these 14 committees, and one on each of the remaining 12. Each delegate may, in the order of his turn to choose, select any one of these 14 committees, provided that the foregoing division of delegates among the committees is maintained.)

If a matter is under consideration in any standing legislative committee which in the judgment of any Annual Conference delegation vitally affects the interests of its constituency, and if the said Annual Conference is not represented in the membership of said committee, then the said delegation may choose one of its number to represent its Annual Conference in the committee when the matter judged to be vital to the interests of this constituency is under consideration. Such a person shall be entitled to sit with the committee while this particular matter is being considered. He shall be entitled to the floor, subject to such limitations as are imposed on the regular members of said committee, but he shall not be entitled to vote.

D. Meetings of Committees

(1) *For Organization.* All standing legislative committees from 1 to 14 and administrative committees shall meet for organization at such time as the Commission on Entertainment and Program shall determine. A Bishop appointed by the Council of Bishops and an assistant secretary appointed by the Secretary of the General Conference shall serve, respectively, as chairman and secretary to effect an organization in each of the several standing committees.

(*a*) The Secretary of the General Conference shall convene the Committee of the Chairmen.

(*b*) The election of chairman, vice-chairman, and secretary of each standing committee, both administrative and legislative, shall be by ballot.

(*c*) The assistant secretary appointed for the organization of each standing legislative committee shall report

---

* The following action was taken by the 1940 General Conference (Journal, page 698):

"Your committee recommends that the first choice on committees should alternate from quadrennium to quadrennium between the ministerial and lay delegates." In 1964 the minister first elected will have first choice of the standing legislative committees.

in writing to the Secretary of the Conference at the earliest possible date the officers elected. In the case of all other committees the secretary elected shall make such report immediately to the Secretary of the Conference.

(*d*) The Secretary of the General Conference shall be responsible for arranging for a coaching meeting for all chairmen and secretaries of legislative committees, as soon as possible after their elections. This coaching shall include instruction in their duties, all procedures in the handling of memorials and other information to expedite the work of chairmen and secretaries. The Commission on Entertainment and Program is requested to arrange for a time and place for such a meeting.

(2) *Regular Meetings.* The standing legislative committees 1 to 14 inclusive shall meet for business on the first two full days at 9:00 a.m. and thereafter shall meet each weekday at 2:30 p.m. unless otherwise ordered by the Conference, until their work is completed, and at such other times as the committees may themselves determine.

(3) *Quorum for Committee Meetings.* A majority of the members shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of business in all committees.

E. Function and Authority of Committees

(1) The standing administrative committees, without specific instruction or direction from the Conference, shall assume responsibility for considering and reporting to the Conference upon all matters which would logically fall within their respective purviews, if it seems wise to do so, as these are indicated hereinbefore.

(2) The standing legislative committees may not originate business, but shall consider and report only upon that which is referred to them directly by the Conference or through the Committee on Reference, the *Agenda Committee,* or the Committee of Chairmen. (See Rule 31.)

VII. Proposal Involving Expenditure of Unbudgeted Funds

When any proposal is submitted to the General Conference to establish an interim or continuing board, commission, or committee, before final action is taken by the General Conference establishing such board, commission, or committee, said proposal shall be referred to the Council on World Service and Finance, or its executive committee, with the request that it bring to the General Conference an estimated budget of the expense of operation of the proposed board, commission, or committee for the next quadrennium and a statement of how the adoption of such proposal will affect the budget or budgets for the existing

boards, commissions, or committees as already presented by the Council on World Service and Finance.

When any proposal is submitted to the General Conference which involves the expenditure of funds not included in an established budget, such proposal shall be referred for advice and recommendation to the Council on World Service and Finance before final action is taken by the General Conference.

VIII. Delegates' Expense Accounts

(1) The report of the Committee on Credentials shall be the basis for settlement with principal and reserve delegates for their per diem allowance.

(2) The total traveling expense shall be payable to the principal delegate if he be present and seated. If during the session of the Conference a reserve delegate is seated in his place, he shall adjust the travel expense with such reserve on the basis of the time served by each.

(3) Travel expense for delegates to the Conference shall be on the basis of railroad fare by the most direct route (clergy fare for ministers), lower berth or roomette (Pullman) and meals en route, or by air coach. Overseas delegates shall be allowed travel expenses on the basis of round-trip tourist air fare, directly to and from the seat of the Conference. Additional expenses may be allowed such delegates for arrival and departure not to exceed five days in either case. Unavoidable exceptions to this limitation of five days before and five days after General Conference, due to transportation schedules, must be approved by the Council on World Service and Finance. The per diem expense allowance for all such days before and after General Conference shall be at the same rate as granted delegates during General Conference. When two or more delegates come in one automobile, the owner shall be allowed 7 cents per mile for his car plus the cost of room and meals en route. Guest passengers who are members of the General Conference shall submit only the cost of room and meals en route. In all cases delegates shall report only the actual cost of travel.

IX. Material to Be Included in the Discipline

(1) No non-legislative material shall be ordered printed in the *Discipline* without first referring it to the Committee on Correlation and Editorial Revision for consideration and report to the General Conference for further consideration and final action.

RULES OF ORDER

I. Daily Schedule

Rule 1. Hours of Meetings

The following shall be the daily order for the General Conference, Sundays excepted:

(1) 8:30 A.M. to 9:00 A.M.—Devotional service under direction of the Council of Bishops.
(2) 9:00 A.M. to 12:30 P.M.—Conference business with recess for ten minutes at 10:30, *except that this period during the first two full business days of the Conference shall be devoted to meetings of legislative committees 1 to 14 inclusive.*
(3) 2:30 P.M. to 5:00 P.M.—Committee meetings.
(4) 7:30 P.M.—*Evening programs as planned by the Commission on Entertainment and Program* or committee meetings.

Rule 2. Order of Business

After devotional service the daily sessions of the Conference shall be conducted as follows:

(1) Report of Committee on Journal of the previous meeting or meetings.
(2) Report of Committee on Courtesies and Privileges.
(3) Reports of other standing administrative and special committees.
(4) Calendar.
(5) Miscellaneous business.

II. Presiding Officers

Rule 3. Authority of the Chairman

The bishop presiding shall be the legal chairman of the General Conference. He shall decide points of order raised by the members, and shall rule on points of order not raised by the members, as he deems necessary to conform to these rules of order; subject, in both cases, to an appeal to the Conference by any member without debate; except that the chairman and the appellant, in the order here named, shall each have five minutes for a statement in support of their respective positions. A tie vote in the case of appeal shall sustain the chair. When any member raises a point of order he shall cite, by number, the rule he adjudges to have been violated.

Rule 4. Calling the Conference to Order

When the chairman stands in his place and calls the Conference to order, no member shall speak, address the chair, or stand, while the chairman stands.

III. Rights and Duties of Members

Rule 5. Attendance and Seating Reserves

No member, unless hindered by sickness or otherwise from being present, shall absent himself from the sessions of the Conference without permission of the Conference; and all absentees shall be reported by the chairmen of the several Annual Conference delegations to the Committee on Credentials on a form provided for this purpose. No reserve delegate shall have the privilege of membership until the substitution has been approved by the Conference, except at the first session of the Conference, as provided in the Plan of Organization, div. I, sec. A, subs. 2c.

Rule 6. Directions for Securing the Floor

When a delegate desires to speak to the Conference, he shall arise at his designated seat, respectfully address the presiding officer, using the term, "Mr. Chairman," and, after recognition, proceed to the speaker's platform, where, before speaking, he shall give the chairman, in writing, his name and that of the Annual Conference which he represents; which, in turn, the chairman shall then announce to the Conference.

If by reason of the intended brevity of his remarks he speaks from one of the microphones in the aisles of the assembly hall, he shall first announce his name and the name of the Annual Conference which he represents; which, in turn, the chairman shall then announce to the Conference.

Rule 7. Interrupting the Speaker

No member who has the floor may be interrupted except for a breach of order, or a misrepresentation, or to direct the attention of the Conference to the fact that the time has arrived for a special order, or to raise a very urgent question of high privilege.

Rule 8. Speaking More Than Once; Length of Speech

No member shall speak a second time on the same question if any member who has not spoken desires the floor, no more than twice on the same subject under the same motion, no longer than ten minutes unless his time shall be extended by the Conference, except as provided in Rule 37. This ten-minute limit may be reduced by a majority vote of the Conference at any time, and for any period of duration.

Rule 9. Question of High Privilege

A member claiming the floor at any time for what he believes is a very urgent question of high privilege shall be allowed to indicate briefly the nature of the question, and if it be adjudged by the chair to be such, he may proceed at his pleasure or until the chairman judges that he has exhausted his privilege. (See Plan of Organization, div. VI, sec. A, subs. 4*a*.)

Rule 10. Voting Area of Conference

Only delegates within the area of the conference when the vote is taken shall be entitled to vote. All delegates within the area at the time a question is put shall vote, except such as shall have been excused for special reasons by the conference.

Rule 11. Voting Procedure

Voting shall be by a show of hands unless otherwise ordered by the Conference. An "aye" and "nay" vote, if taken, shall be by signed ballot. **An "aye" or "nay" vote by secret ballot may be ordered on call of any member supported by one-third of the members present and voting.** A count vote may be ordered on call of any member supported by one-third of the members present and voting, in which case the delegates shall rise from their seats and stand until counted.

No other business shall be in order when a vote is being taken or when the previous question has been called, until the process is completed, except such as relates to the vote itself, or that which can be appropriately fitted into the time while waiting for the report of the secretaries on a count vote, or an "aye" or "nay" vote. The count shall be made by the Committee of Tellers as appointed and assigned by the Secretary of General Conference.

Rule 12. Division of Question

Before a vote is taken any delegate shall have the right to call for a division of any question, if it is subject to such division as he indicates. If no delegate objects, the division shall be made; but if there is objection, the chair shall put the question of division to vote, not waiting for a second.

Rule 13. Vote by Orders

The ministerial and lay delegates shall deliberate as one body; but upon a call, by motion of any delegate, seconded

by another delegate of the same order and supported by one-third of the members of that order voting, the ministerial and lay delegates shall vote separately. But when the Conference votes by orders, no measure shall be passed without the concurrence of a majority of both classes of delegates. In cases of such a vote the order calling for it shall vote first; *provided,* however, that the Conference may not vote by orders on a proposed amendment to the Constitution. (*Discipline,* ¶ 10.)

IV. Business Procedure

Rule 14. Motion for Adoption of Reports and Resolution Unnecessary

Whenever a report of a committee signed by the chairman and secretary thereof, or a resolution signed by two or more members of the Conference, shall be presented to the Conference for its action, it shall be deemed in proper order for consideration by the Conference without the formality of a motion to adopt, and a second thereto.

Rule 15. Required Forms for Reports, Resolutions, Motions, Amendments

All resolutions and committee reports shall be presented in triplicate; and, when requested by the Secretary, motions, including amendments, shall be presented in writing.

Rule 16. Reading of Reports and Resolutions

All resolutions, committee reports, and communications to the Conference shall be read by the Secretary except as otherwise provided in Rule 34.

Rule 17. Alterations of Motions, etc.

When a motion is made and seconded, or a resolution is introduced and seconded, or a committee report is read, or is published in the *Daily Christian Advocate,* it shall be deemed to be in possession of the Conference, and may not be altered except by action of the Conference. (See Rule 33.)

Rule 18. Undebatable Motions

The following motions shall be acted upon without debate:

(1) To adjourn, when unqualified, except to adjourn the Conference finally.
(2) To suspend the rules.

(3) To lay on the table, except as provided in Rule 37.
(4) To take from the table.
(5) To call for the previous question.
(6) To reconsider a non-debatable motion.
(7) To limit or extend the limits of debate.
(8) To call for the orders of the day.

Rule 19. Rights of the Main Question

The main question may be opened to debate under the following motions: to adopt, to commit or refer, to substitute, to postpone, and to reconsider. No new motion, resolution, or subject shall be entertained until the one under consideration shall have been disposed of except as provided in Rule 11. The foregoing does not apply to secondary motions if otherwise allowable in the existing parliamentary situation.

Rule 20. Precedence of Secondary Motions

If any one or more of the following motions shall be made when one or more other motions are pending, the order of their precedence in relation to one another shall be the same as the order of their listing below:

(1) To fix the time to which the conference shall adjourn. (This motion is subject to amendment, or it may be laid on the table.)
(2) To adjourn.
(3) To take recess.
(4) To order the previous question.
(5) To lay on the table.
(6) To limit or extend the limits of debate.
(7) To postpone to a given time.
(8) To commit or refer.
(9) To amend or to substitute (one amendment being allowed to an amendment).
(10) To postpone indefinitely.

Rule 21. Tabling Related Motions

No motion which adheres to another motion, or has another motion adhering to it, can be laid on the table by itself. Such motions, if laid on the table, carry with them the motions to which they respectively adhere, or which adhere to them.

Rule 22. Referring Reports, etc.

It shall be in order for the Conference to refer to a committee a section or part of a report or resolution which

is before the Conference for consideration, or any amendment offered thereto.

Rule 23. Procedure for Consideration of Substitutes

When a resolution or committee report is properly before the Conference for consideration and action, even if amendments thereto are pending, a substitute therefor may be offered by any member moving that the same be substituted for the report or resolution under consideration. The Conference shall then proceed first to perfect the original report or resolution, including consideration and action upon any amendments which may be offered to it. The same perfecting process shall then be followed with respect to the substitute. The question shall then be put first on the motion to substitute, followed by the motion to adopt the report or resolution; *provided,* however, that the motion for the previous question shall not be in order on the adoption of the report or recommendation or on making the proposed substitution until opportunity has been given for at least two members to speak on each side of the question of substitution or adoption.

Rule 24. Previous Question

When any member moves the previous question (that is, that the vote be now taken on the motion or motions pending), he shall indicate to what he intends it to apply, if any secondary motion or motions are also pending. If he does not so indicate, it shall be regarded as applying only to the immediately pending question. This motion shall be taken without debate, and shall require a two-thirds vote of those present and voting for its adoption; and if it is adopted, the vote shall be taken on the motion or motions to which it applies without further debate except as provided in Rule 37. (See also Rules 18, 23, 25.)

Rule 25. Unlawful Motion After Speech

It shall not be in order for a member immediately after discussing a pending question, and before relinquishing the floor, to make a motion whose adoption would limit or stop debate.

Rule 26. Exceptions to Majority Vote

A majority of those voting, a quorum being present, shall decide all questions with the following exceptions:

(1) One-third of those present and voting shall suffice to sustain a called for count vote in case the decision of

the chair is doubted. (See Rule 11.) A tie vote sustains the chair (Rule 3).

(2) A call for a vote by orders shall prevail if sustained by one-third of the members of the order from which the call came, present and voting. (See Rule 13.)

(3) A two-thirds vote shall be required to sustain a motion to suspend (Rule 39) or amend (Rule 40) the rules; to sustain a motion for the previous question (Rule 24), or any motion whose adoption would limit debate; to set aside a special order; to consider a special order before the time set therefor; to sustain the request of the Committee on Courtesies and Privileges for the presentation of any person after the sixth day of the General Conference.

(4) A two-thirds vote shall be required to approve a proposal for a constitutional amendment. (*Discipline*, ¶ 10.)

Rule 27. Reconsideration

A motion to reconsider an action of the Conference shall be in order at any time if offered by a member who voted with the prevailing side. If the motion it is proposed to reconsider is non-debatable, the motion to reconsider may not be debated.

Rule 28. Calendars, Regular and Non-Concurrence

The Secretary shall keep a chronological record of orders of the day and of reports of committees (see Rule 32), which record shall be called the calendar; and the matters of business placed on it shall be considered in order, unless by a two-thirds vote of the Conference an item is taken up out of its order.

When a committee presents a report on a given subject, as a part of its report, it must also list the numbers of all memorials relating to this subject on which the committee voted non-concurrence.

Rule 29. Motion to Adjourn in Order Except—

The motion to adjourn, when unqualified, shall be taken without debate, and shall always be in order, except:

(1) When a delegate has the floor.

(2) When a question is actually put, or a vote is being taken, and before it is finally decided.

(3) When the previous question has been ordered and action thereunder is pending.

(4) When a motion to adjourn has been lost, and no business or debate has intervened.

(5) When the motion to fix the time to which the Conference shall adjourn is pending.

The foregoing does not apply to a motion for final adjournment of the Conference.

V. Committees

Rule 30. Rules of Order of Legislative Committees

The rules of order of the General Conference shall be observed in meetings of standing legislative committees in so far as they apply.

Rule 31. Duties and Prerogatives of Committees

When a memorial or resolution or any similar item is referred to one of the several standing legislative committees, it shall be understood that the whole question with which the paper has to do is referred to that committee for such action as it may deem wise. Committees shall report to the Conference upon all matters referred to them by the Conference, directly or through the Committee on Reference. Committee reports on resolutions, memorials, petitions, etc., shall cite the same, identifying them by numbers they bear respectively in the published reports of the Committee on Reference, or in some other suitable manner.

When a committee ascertains that another committee is or, in its judgment, should be considering a subject which the former is considering, it shall report the matter to the Committee on Reference for such adjustment as the situation may require.

Rule 32. Legislative Committees Report to Secretary

At the close of each day's meetings the chairmen and secretaries of the several standing legislative committees shall provide the Secretary of the Conference with copies in triplicate of all reports adopted by their respective committees for presentation to the Conference. The Secretary shall enter said reports on the calendar in the order in which they reach him, and shall furnish the editor of the *Daily Christian Advocate* with one copy of each of the said reports for publication in the next day's issue.

Reports from committees (and minority reports) recommending proposed changes in the *Discipline* shall give chapter, section, and paragraph to be affected. They shall quote the language of the part it is proposed to change, underscoring it, and then state the language as it will appear if adopted, with the new part only in CAPITALS.

The *Daily Christian Advocate* shall follow the same rule in printing such reports, substituting *italics* for underscoring and **boldface** type for capitals.

Rule 33. Published Reports in Possession of Conference

A report of any committee signed by the chairman and secretary thereof and printed in the *Daily Christian Advocate* shall be regarded as in the possession of the Conference, and on the day following its first appearance in the *Advocate,* or any time thereafter, in order for consideration at the pleasure of the Conference. The same rule shall apply to a report of a minority of any committee signed by one-tenth of the members of the committee or by ten members thereof. (See Rule 17.)

Rule 34. Preparation and Printing of Reports

All committee reports shall be presented to the Secretary of the Conference in triplicate on paper provided therefor, and shall bear at the top the name of the committee, its total membership, the number present at the time the report was adopted, the number voting for and the number voting against the report respectively, and the number not voting. Reports of the standing legislative committees shall be printed in the *Daily Christian Advocate* at least one day before being presented for consideration by the Conference, and they shall not be read unless by its order.

Reports of minorities of committees adopted by them with a view to their being offered as substitutes for committee reports (see Rule 33) shall likewise conform to this rule so far as it is applicable, indicating clearly to what committee the respective minorities belong and for what reports by serial number, etc., they propose the respective substitutes.

Rule 35. Committee Chairman Not in Harmony With Report

When the chairman of a committee is not in harmony with a report adopted by the committee, it shall be his duty to state the fact to the committee, which shall elect one of its members to represent it in the presentation and discussion of the report in the Conference; but if, in such a case, the committee shall fail to select a representative, the chairman shall designate a member to represent the committee, and said representatives shall have all the rights and privileges of the chairman in relation to such report.

Rule 36. Presentation of Report of Minority

A member selected by the signers of a report of a minority of a committee (see Rule 33) to present the same shall have the same rights and privileges in relation thereto which belong to the chairman in the presentation of the regular (majority) report of the committee, except that he may not present said minority report until the majority report has been presented, and shall then offer it as a substitute therefor, and except, further, that in closing the debate on the question of making the substitution the member presenting the minority report shall speak first and the chairman last. (See Rule 23.)

Rule 37. Speakers For and Against

When the report of a committee is under consideration, it shall be the duty of the presiding officer to ascertain, when he recognizes a member of the Conference, on which side he proposes to speak; and he shall not assign the floor to any member proposing to speak on the same side of the pending question as the speaker immediately preceding if any member desires to speak on the other side thereof.

Except for undebatable motions, no report shall be adopted or question relating to the same decided without opportunity having been given for at least *two* speeches for and *two* against the said proposal, provided that right is claimed before the chairman or duly authorized member representing the committee's report or the minority report, if there be such, is presented to close the debate.

When all have spoken who desire to do so, or when (and after) the previous question has been ordered, the chairman and/or duly authorized member or members presenting the committee's report (and the minority report if there be one) shall be entitled to speak before the vote is taken.

This right of the chairman and/or other member or members to close the debate shall prevail in like manner to a limit of five minutes when a vote is about to be taken on a motion to amend, to substitute, to postpone, to refer, or to lay on the table or any other motion whose adoption would vitally affect the report under consideration; *provided,* that this five-minute limit shall not apply to a motion to substitute a minority report (see Rule 33) for a regular (majority) report of a committee.

Rule 38. Effective Date

All actions of the General Conference of The Methodist Church shall become effective upon the final adjournment

of the session of the General Conference at which they are enacted, unless otherwise specified, except as provided in ¶ 575 of the *Discipline*.

VI. Suspending, Amending, and Supplementing

Rule 39. Suspension of Rules

The operation of any of the provisions of the Plan of Organization or of these Rules of Order may be suspended at any time by a two-thirds vote of the Conference. (See Rule 26, subs. 3.)

Rule 40. Amending Rules

The Plan of Organization and these Rules of Order may be amended or changed by a two-thirds vote of the Conference; provided the proposed change or amendment has originated in the Committee on Plan of Organization and Rules of Order, or has been presented to the Conference in writing and referred to this committee, which committee shall report thereon not later than the following day. (See Rule 26, subs. 3.)

Rule 41. Robert's Rules of Order, Supplemental Authority

In any parliamentary situation not clearly covered by the Plan of Organization or these Rules of Order, the General Conference shall be governed in its action by the current edition of Robert's Rules of Order.

JOHN D. HERR, *Chairman*
ROBERT FLETCHER, *Secretary*

10. PRESIDING OFFICERS

Chairman: *R. Marvin Stuart* (California-Nevada—W)
Vice-Chairman: *Dennis R. Fletcher* (Delaware—C)
Secretary: *Thurman B. Morris* (North Indiana—NC)

Presiding Officers. The presiding officers for the several sessions of the Conference, the opening session excepted (see div. I above), shall be chosen from among the effective bishops in the following manner: The Council of Bishops shall at the opening session nominate for election by the Conference a Committee on Presiding Officers composed of one minister and one layman from each jurisdiction, and one minister and one layman from among the delegates representing the Annual Conferences outside the United States. The Committee on Presiding Officers shall establish a continuing pool of five names of bishops from which group the presiding officer shall be selected. Each bishop shall be given reasonable notice of his selection for this pool, but subsequently he shall be available for assignment as presiding officer at any session. The committee shall be free to continue a bishop for more than one session, if in its judgment the parliamentary situation requires this continuity of chairmanship.

Committee Personnel

R. H. Berg (Florida—SE)
Harold C. Buckingham (Wyoming—NE)
Ernest C. Colwell (Southern California-Arizona—W)
Francis H. Faber (Minnesota—NC)
Dennis R. Fletcher (Delaware—C)
Leon E. Hickman (Western Pennsylvania—NE)
John T. King (West Texas—C)
Sverre Knudsen (Norway—OS)
Wayne McCleskey (Texas—SC)
Thurman B. Morris (North Indiana—NC)
Mrs. W. L. Perryman (Missouri—SC)
R. Marvin Stuart (California-Nevada—W)
E. Wade Weldon (Louisville—SE)
Kim-Hao Yap (Malaya—OS)

REPORT NO. 1. PRESIDING OFFICERS

Adopted April 28, 1964 (*See Journal, Page 247.*)

The Committee on Presiding Officers is pleased to announce that Bishop Lloyd C. Wicke of the New York Area, Northeastern Jurisdiction, will preside at the session for Wednesday morning, April 29, 1964.

R. Marvin Stuart, *Chairman*
Thurman B. Morris, *Secretary*

REPORT NO. 2. PRESIDING OFFICERS

Adopted April 29, 1964. (*See Journal, Page 275.*)

The Committee on Presiding Officers is pleased to announce that Bishop Glenn R. Phillips of the Denver Area, Western Jurisdiction, will preside at the session for Thursday morning, April 30, 1964.

R. MARVIN STUART, *Chairman*
THURMAN B. MORRIS, *Secretary*

REPORT NO. 5. PRESIDING OFFICERS

Adopted May 7, 1964. (*See Journal, Page 719.*)

We cannot give you, as a Committee on Presiding Officers, a full line-up for today's triple header, but we can give you the names of the presiding officers. As you are aware, Bishop Prince A. Taylor, Jr., President Designate of the Council of Bishops, is in the Chair at the present time.

Following the recess and for the remainder of the morning, Bishop Richard C. Raines will be in the Chair. For the afternoon session, Bishop W. Vernon Middleton will be in the Chair.

This evening, beginning at 7:30 for this evening session, Bishop Gerald Kennedy will be in the Chair.

Tomorrow for the closing session of the General Conference, Bishop Roy H. Short will be in the Chair.

The Committee on Presiding Officers is pleased to make this report.

R. MARVIN STUART, *Chairman*
THURMAN B. MORRIS, *Secretary*

11. REFERENCE

Chairman: W. Carroll Beatty (Baltimore—NE)
Secretary: Paul V. Shearer (South Iowa—NC)

Reference. There shall be a Committee on Reference composed of one ministerial and one lay representative from each jurisdiction, at least two of whom shall be lawyers, and one minister and one layman from Annual Conferences outside the United States, to be appointed by the Council of Bishops from the regularly elected delegates to the ensuing General Conference at the winter meeting of the said Council of Bishops preceding the session of the General Conference. This committee shall be convened by the Secretary of the General Conference *prior to and at the seat of the General Conference.* After ascertaining that the memorials, resolutions, and similar communications dealing with the regular business of the Conference meet the requirements herein specified, this committee shall refer the same to the appropriate standing administrative or legislative committees. This committee shall be responsible for such assignment of all memorials which may be in the hands of the Secretary prior to the opening session, including the Episcopal Address, the book of printed Quadrennial Reports, and all other communications which shall come into his hands after the convening

of the General Conference. The OPENING DAY of the Conference shall be fixed as the deadline for the introduction of memorials and petitions.

The Committee on Reference may withdraw a paper after having assigned it to a committee, either upon a request or upon its own motion. It may also withhold from reference or publication any document it shall deem improper, promptly advising the author, or authors, thereof of its action in order that an appeal may be made to the Conference if desired. The committee shall publish as promptly as possible in the *Daily Christian Advocate* lists of the communications it has referred to the several standing committees, identifying each by number, title, origin, and destination. No memorial or petition shall be assigned by the Committee on Reference to any General Conference committee unless it comes from some organization, minister, or member of The Methodist Church, and also contains information essential to the verification of the alleged facts concerning its origin.

All memorials shall be presented in triplicate. Each should deal with only one general subject, and, so far as possible, only one chapter in the *Discipline*. Not more than one memorial should be presented on a single sheet of paper.

(See Plan of Organization and Rules of Order (11), page 165, Journal. Editor)

Committee Personnel

Curt Amark (Sweden—OS)
W. Carroll Beatty (Baltimore—NE)
Thurman L. Dodson (Washington—C)
H. Thornton Fowler (Tennessee—SE)
John H. Graham (Upper Mississippi—C)
Edgar A. Henry (Central Pennsylvania—NE)
Robert T. Mann (Florida—SE)
Robert J. O'Neal (Louisiana—SC)
Erwin H. Schwiebert (Idaho—W)
Paul V. Shearer (South Iowa—NC)
F. O. Stockwell (Malaysia Chinese—OS)
L. L. White (Southern California-Arizona—W)
Austin R. Whitmore (Ohio—NC)
Ira E. Williams (Oklahoma—SC)

REPORT NO. 1. REFERENCE

Adopted by the Committee April 23, 1964—May 5, 1964
M, 14; P, 14; F, 14; A, 0; N, 0

The following memorials have been received by the Committee on Reference and have been referred to the proper General Conference legislative committees.

Except where there are several memorials dealing with the same subject the number is recorded first, the subject is recorded next, and the author or source is listed last.

MEMORIALS 1 TO 37A, INCLUSIVE, BY ACTION OF THE 1960 GENERAL CONFERENCE ARE REFERRED TO THE COMMISSION ON THE STRUCTURE OF METHODISM OVERSEAS

1. Episcopal Supervision—Visitational in Africa, Central Congo Annual Conf., Joseph M. Davis, Secy.

2. Number of Bishops for Africa Central Conference, Central Congo Annual Conf., Joseph M. Davis, Secy.
3. Africa Central Conference and Episcopal Supervision, Africa Central Conference, Maurice E. Culver, Secy.
4. Africa Central Conference to elect Bishops, Africa Central Conference, Maurice E. Culver, Secy.
5. Eliminate Field Committee, Bolivia Annual Conference, Paul McCleary, Exec. Secy.
6. Church Membership Requirement for Delegate to Annual Conf., Bolivia Annual Conf., Paul McCleary, Exec. Secy.
7. Andaman Islands and Southeast Asia Central Conference, Burma Annual Conf., U Kyaw Nyein, Secy.
8. Episcopal Supervision in Burma, Burma Annual Conf., U Kyaw Nyein, Secy.
9. Burma Annual Conference—Independent Autonomous, Burma Annual Conf., U Kyaw Nyein, Secy.
10. Questions for Admission into Full Membership, Central and Southern Europe Central Conf. (Geneva Area), Hans L. Aurbakken, Secy.
11. Central Congo Annual Conference—Translation at General Conference, Central Congo Annual Conf., Joseph M. Davis, Secy.
12. Cuba Annual Conference—An Affiliated Autonomous Church, Cuba Annual Conf. Alfonso Reyes, Secy.
13. Indus River Annual Conference and Church Union, Indus River Annual Conf., Edgar Hoyt Smith, Secy.
14. Aid for Rural Pastors in India, V. W. Prabhaker, Nampally, Hyderabad, A.P., India.
15. Liberia Annual Conference—Autonomous, Liberia Annual Conf., Bishop Prince A. Taylor, Jr.
16. Liberia: Episcopal Supervision, Liberia Annual Conf., S. T. Roberts, Secy.
17. Liberia an Autonomous Church, etc., Liberia Annual Conf., Samuel T. Roberts, Secy.
18. Statement by President Tubman of Liberia.
19. Election of Bishop for Pakistan, Indus River Annual Conference, Edgar Hoyt Smith, Secy.
20. Pakistan and Church Union—Bishop Clement D. Rockey, Multan Cantonment, West Pakistan.
21. Andaman Islands District—Transfer of, Southeastern Asia Central Conf., Bishop Hobart B. Amstutz.
22. Residential Bishop for Pakistan, etc., Karachi Provisional Annual Conf., David A. Garrigus, Secy.
23. Pakistan and Church Union, Karachi Provisional Annual Conf., David A. Garrigus, Secy.
24. Relation of Supply Pastors to Sarawak Prov. Annual Conf., Sarawak Prov. Annual Conf., Joshua Bunsu and Vinson H. Sutlive, Jr., Secys.
25. Committee on Coordination, Philippines Central Conf., Joint Committees on Coordination, Baguio Area, Byron W. Clark, Secy.
26. Bishops' Secretaries—Pension, Delfin L. Lardizabal, The Methodist Church, Baguio City, Philippines.
27. Lay Employees in Bishop's Office—Pension, Delfin L. Lardizabal, The Methodist Church, Baguio City, Philippines.
28. Administrative Board (Para. 1202) re Central Conference, Rhodesia Annual Conf., Kenneth Choto, Secy.
29. Permit Sumatra Annual Conference to expand work, Sumatra Annual Conf., P. P. Sitorus, Secy.
30. Sumatra Become an Affiliated Autonomous Methodist Church, Sumatra Annual Conf., P. P. Sitorus, Secy.

31. Episcopal Supervision for Sumatra, Sumatra Annual Conf., Wismar Panggabean, Vice Chmn.
32. Sumatra Annual Conference—Autonomous, Sumatra Annual Conf., Bishop H. B. Amstutz.
33. Board of Missions and the Woman's Division of Christian Service, Lee N. Scheueman.
34. Salaries of Central Conference Bishops, Com. Structure of Methodism Overseas, Tracey K. Jones, Jr.
35. Assignment of Bishop to Service Outside the United States, Philadelphia Area Delegation, William R. Guffick, Secy.
36. Continue Northeast Germany Annual Conference, 1964-68, Northeast Germany Annual Conference, Ernst Scholz, Secy.
37. Autonomy—India, South India Annual Conference, Rev. A. M. Bhaskar, Secy.

37A. Episcopal Relations Committee, J. L. Eisenberg, 24 Jameson St., Umtali, Southern Rhodesia.

MEMORIALS 38 TO 88, INCLUSIVE, DEAL WITH "ABOLISH CENTRAL JURISDICTION" AND ARE REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

38. Milton Burkhalter, et al., First Methodist Church, Pennington, N. J.
39. Com. Christian Social Concerns, Collegiate Methodist Church, Ames, Iowa.
40. Official Board, United Methodist Church, Peekskill, N. Y.
41. Exec. Com., Troy Conf. W.S.C.S.
42. Raymond E. Thompson, First Methodist Church, Pennington, N. J.
43. 4th Quarterly Conf., McKnownville Methodist Church, Albany, N. Y.
44. Rev. James E. Beskin, Troy Conference.
45. W.S.C.S., Aldersgate Methodist Church, Mifflintown, Pa.
46. Com. Christian Social Concerns, McGraw Methodist Church, McGraw, N. Y.
47. Official Board, Apple River Methodist Church, Apple River, Ill.
48. Mrs. Jesse Rutledge, Ada Methodist Church, Ada, Ohio.
49. Com. Christian Social Concerns, Burnt Hills Methodist Church, Burnt Hills, N. Y.
50. Official Board, Burnt Hills Methodist Church, Burnt Hills, N. Y.
51. W.S.C.S., Central Methodist Church, Springfield, Ohio.
52. Com. Christian Social Concerns and Official Board, Lower Berkshire Valley Methodist Church, Wharton, N. J.
53. Com. Christian Social Concerns, Union Methodist Church, Alplaus, N. Y.
54. Official Board, Trinity Methodist Church, Windsor, Conn.
55. Com. Christian Social Concerns, Trinity Methodist Church, Schenectady, N. Y.
56. W.S.C.S., Northfield Methodist Church, Northfield, Minn.
57. Official Board, First Methodist Church, Ballston Spa, New York.
58. Wesleyan Service Guild Committee, Baltimore Conference.
59. Arthur F. Hagy, Jr., Troy Conference.
60. Wesley Foundation of Purdue University.
61. Wesley Foundation of Purdue University.
62. J.O.Y. Sunday School Class, First Methodist Church, Downers Grove, Ill.
63. W.S.C.S. First Methodist Church, Mansfield, Ohio.

64. Mrs. William O. Walker, Spencer Methodist Church, Pittsburgh, Pa.
65. Joseph H. Mills, Ohio Annual Conf.
66. Official Board, First Methodist Church, Delmar, N. Y.
67. Board of Christian Social Concerns, Detroit Annual Conference.
68. Board of Christian Social Concerns, Detroit Annual Conference.
69. Southern Illinois Annual Conference.
70. Official Board, Wauwatosa Methodist Church, Wauwatosa, Wis.
71. Com. Christian Social Concerns, Wauwatosa Methodist Church, Wauwatosa, Wis.
72. W.S.C.S., Hoosick Methodist Church, Hoosick, N. Y.
73. East Tennessee Annual Conference.
74. Exec. Com., W.S.C.S., New York Conference.
75. Young Adult Fellowship, Christ Church, New York, N. Y.
76. W.S.C.S., Park Methodist Church, Bloomfield, N. J.
77. Executive Committee, Council of National Conference of M.Y.F.
78. W.S.C.S., Grant Avenue Methodist Church, Denver, Colo.
79. Official Board, St. Mark's Methodist Church, Florissant, Mo.
80. W.S.C.S., First Methodist Church, Ada, Ohio.
81. Embury Methodist Church, Cambridge, N. Y.
82. New York East Annual Conference.
83. Burnside Methodist Church, Burnside, Ill.
84. Official Board, Rosendale Methodist Church, Atwater, Minn.
85. Official Board, The Methodist Church, Winfield, Iowa.
86. Official Board, Kilohana Methodist Church, Honolulu, Hawaii.
87. Paul M. Dietterich, South Iowa Annual Conference.
88. New York East Annual Conference.

MEMORIAL 89, "U. S. ACTION AND RELATION TO CUBA," REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

89. New York East Annual Conference.

MEMORIALS 90-114, INCLUSIVE, DEAL WITH "ABOLISH CENTRAL JURISDICTION," REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

90. Methodist Church, Rupert, Vt.
91. W.S.C.S., Methodist Church, Wardsboro, Vermont.
92. Official Board, Eastern Parkway Methodist Church, Schenectady, N. Y.
93. W.S.C.S., Methodist Church, Dexter, Maine.
94. Official Board, First Methodist Church, Warrensburg, N. Y.
95. Official Board, Park Methodist Church, Hamilton, N. Y.
96. Mrs. R. Stoebe, Spencer Methodist Church, Corwick, Pittsburgh, Pa.
97. W.S.C.S., Methodist Church, Glen Burnie, Md.
98. Official Board, Oak Park Church, Atwater, Minn.
99. W.S.C.S., Toledo District, Ohio Conference.
100. Methodist Youth Fellowship, Toledo District, Ohio Conference.
101. W.S.C.S., First Methodist Church, Brattleboro, Vt.
102. Mr. and Mrs. Roger H. Wells, Methodist Church, Ardmore, Pa.
103. Board of Christian Social Concerns, Pittsburgh East Dist., Western Penna. Conference.
104. Official Board, Methodist Church, Shell Lake, Wisconsin.
105. W.S.C.S., Jermain Methodist Church, White Creek, N. Y.

106. Board of Christian Social Relations, Erie District, Western Pennsylvania Conf.
107. Mrs. Harry C. Byers, First Methodist Church, Aliquippa, Pa.
108. Kane District, W.S.C.S., Board of Christian Social Concerns, Western Pennsylvania Conf.
109. W.S.C.S., Community Church, Riviera Beach, Md.
110. Official Board, Methodist Church, Kinnelon, N. J.
111. Official Board, Gethsemane Methodist Church, Pewaukee, Wis.
112. Official Board, First Methodist Church, Ellensburg, Wash.
113. Commission on Missions, First Methodist Church, Greensburg, Kan.
114. W.S.C.S., Blairsville Dist., Western Pennsylvania Conf.

MEMORIAL 115—"ABOLISH CENTRAL JURISDICTION, ETC." REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

115. Conference for New and Furloughed Missionaries.

MEMORIALS 116 AND 117—"MEMBERSHIP IN METHODIST CHURCH OPEN TO ALL PERSONS." REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MEMBERSHIP AND EVANGELISM

116. Official Board, Methodist Church, Kinnelon, N. J.
117. Official Board, Methodist Church, Kinnelon, N. J.

MEMORIALS 118 AND 119—"END SEGREGATION IN METHODIST INSTITUTIONS." REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

118. Official Board, Methodist Church, Kinnelon, N. J.
119. W.S.C.S. of North Dakota Conference.

MEMORIAL 120—"MINISTERIAL ASSIGNMENT REGARDLESS OF RACE, ETC." REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY

120. Official Board, Methodist Church, Kinnelon, N. J.

MEMORIALS 121-141, INCLUSIVE—"ABOLISH CENTRAL JURISDICTION BY 1968." REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

121. Wesleyan Service Guilds, Newark Conference.
122. W.S.C.S., New York East Conference.
123. Executive Committee, W.S.C.S., Springfield Dist., Ohio.
124. Western Pennsylvania Annual Conference.
125. Northern New York Conference.
126. California-Nevada Conference.
127. W.S.C.S., St. Paul's Methodist Church, Baltimore, Maryland.
128. Official Board, Salem Methodist Church, Salem, N. Y.
129. Christian Social Relations Com., First Methodist Church, Mechanicsville, N. Y.
130. Schools of Missions, Rocky Mountain Conference, W.S.C.S.
131. Com. Christian Social Concerns, First Methodist Church, Springfield, Vt.
132. Syracuse Dist., W.S.C.S., Syracuse, N. Y.
133. Seminar, W.S.C.S., Springfield District, Ohio Conference.
134. Seminar, W.S.C.S., Springfield Dist., Ohio Conference.
135. Seminar, W.S.C.S., Springfield Dist., Ohio Conference.
136. Almonesson Methodist Church, Almonesson, N. J.
137. W.S.C.S., First Methodist Church, Baldwinsville, N. Y.
138. W.S.C.S., Geneva District, New York.
139. Western Jurisdiction School of Missions.

140. W.S.C.S., Union Village Church, Union Village, Vermont.
141. W.S.C.S., Wesley Chapel, Jessup, Md.

MEMORIAL 142—"ABOLISH CENTRAL JURISDICTION BY 1968." REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

142. W.S.C.S., Brentwood Methodist Church, Denver, Colo.

MEMORIALS 143-145, INCLUSIVE—"ABOLISH CENTRAL JURISDICTION BY 1968 AND ALL FORMS OF SEGREGATION." REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

143. Michigan Annual Conference.
144. W.S.C.S., Pennsylvania Avenue Methodist Church, Elmira, N.Y.
145. Official Board, First Methodist Church, Brattleboro, Vt.

MEMORIAL 146—"ELIMINATE SEGREGATION IN ALL METHODIST INSTITUTIONS." REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

146. Central Texas Annual Conference.

MEMORIALS 147-165, INCLUSIVE—"ABOLISH CENTRAL JURISDICTION AND ALL SEGREGATION." REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

147. School of Missions, Central Pennsylvania Conference, W.S.C.S.
148. School of Missions, Western Pennsylvania W.S.C.S.
149. Western Pennsylvania Annual Conference W.S.C.S.
150. W.S.C.S., Wyoming Annual Conference.
151. W.S.C.S., School of Missions, Southern California-Arizona Conference.
152. W.S.C.S., Denver, District.
153. San Diego Dist., Wesleyan Service Guild Coaching Conference.
154. W.S.C.S., Central New York Conf.
155. Executive Committee, W.S.C.S., Baltimore Conference.
156. Geneva District W.S.C.S., Central New York Annual Conference.
157. W.S.C.S., Glen Burnie Methodist Church.
158. West Genoa W.S.C.S., Geneva Dist., Central New York Annual Conference.
159. Geneva District, W.S.C.S., Central New York Annual Conference.
160. Geneva District, W.S.C.S., Central New York Annual Conference.
161. Executive Committee, W.S.C.S., Baltimore South District, Baltimore Annual Conference.
162. W.S.C.S., Lyons, N. Y. Methodist Church.
163. W.S.C.S., First Methodist Church, Penn Yan, N. Y.
164. Elmira District W.S.C.S., Central New York Annual Conference.
165. W.S.C.S., Grace Methodist Church, Corning, N. Y.

MEMORIAL 166—"ABOLISH CENTRAL JURISDICTION AND ALL FORMS OF SEGREGATION." REFERRED TO COMMITTEES ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

166. W.S.C.S., Executive Committee, North-East Ohio Conference.

MEMORIALS 167-193, INCLUSIVE—"ABOLISH CENTRAL JURISDICTION." REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

167. Pacific Northwest Annual Conference.
168. California-Nevada Annual Conference.
169. Detroit Annual Conference.
170. W.S.C.S., White River Jct., Vermont.
171. Northwest Indiana Annual Conference.
172. School of Missions, Northeastern Jurisdiction, W.S.C.S.
173. Central New York Annual Conference.
174. Missouri West Annual Conference.
175. Pacific Northwest Annual Conference.
176. Alice Henry, et al., Centenary Methodist Church, Mankato, Minn.
177. Charles T. Wright, President Wesley Foundation, Carbondale, Ill.
178. Methodist Student Movement of Illinois.
179. South Iowa Annual Conference.
180. Newark Annual Conference.
181. Ohio Annual Conference.
182. Executive Committee, W.S.C.S., Minnesota Conference.
183. Central New York Annual Conference.
184. Troy Annual Conference.
185. North Arkansas Annual Conference.
186. Rocky Mountain Annual Conference.
187. Oregon Annual Conference.
188. School of Missions, W.S.C.S., South Dakota Annual Conference.
189. School of Missions, W.S.C.S., New England Conference.
190. Executive Committee, W.S.C.S., Ohio Annual Conference.
191. Executive Committee, School of Missions, North Central Jurisdiction, W.S.C.S.
192. Southern California-Arizona Annual Conference.
193. Rock River Conference W.S.C.S., School of Missions.

MEMORIAL 194—"SEGREGATION." REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

194. Fairborn Methodist Church, W.S.C.S., Fairborn, Ohio.

MEMORIALS 195-199, INCLUSIVE—"ABOLISH CENTRAL JURISDICTION." REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

195. Executive Committee and School of Missions, W.S.C.S., Central Illinois.
196. Mrs. Robert H. Williams, First Methodist Church, Dallas City, Ill.
197. Christian Social Concerns Com., First Methodist Church, Stillwater, Okla.
198. Oregon Methodist Student Movement.
199. Northwest Indiana Annual Conference.

MEMORIALS 200-205, INCLUSIVE—"ABOLISH CENTRAL JURISDICTION." REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

200. Mrs. Luch H. Cutler, First Methodist Church, Fort Morgan, Colo.
201. Mrs. J. A. Nicks, First Methodist Church, Pierce, Colo.
202. W.S.C.S., First Methodist Church, Loveland, Colo.
203. Oregon City First Methodist Church, Senior M. Y. F.

204. Com. Christian Social Concerns, Methodist Church, Platteville, Colo.
205. W.S.C.S., First Methodist Church, Boulder, Colo.

MEMORIALS 206-211, INCLUSIVE—"ABOLISH CENTRAL JURISDICTION." REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

206. Wesley Foundation of the University of Oregon.
207. W.S.C.S., Methodist Church, Haxtun, Colo.
208. Official Board, Honolua Methodist Church, Hawaii.
209. Mrs. Elmo Dyer, Ridgeway Methodist Church, Ridgeway, Ohio.
210. Mrs. Darrel Milliser, Methodist Church, Belle Center, Ohio.
211. South Dakota Annual Conference.

MEMORIAL 212—"ELIMINATE ALL FORMS OF SEGREGATION." REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

212. Committee on Christian Social Relations, S.E. Jurisdiction, W.S.C.S.

MEMORIALS 213 AND 214—"ABOLISH CENTRAL JURISDICTION." REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

213. Lester L. Moore, South Iowa Annual Conference.
214. Robert C. Pattison, South Iowa Annual Conference.

MEMORIAL 215—"ABOLISH CENTRAL JURISDICTION." REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LOCAL CHURCH

215. St. James Methodist Church, Evansville, Indiana.

MEMORIALS 216-221, INCLUSIVE—"ABOLISH CENTRAL JURISDICTION." REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

216. W.S.C.S., Methodist Church, Guilford, Maine.
217. School of Missions, W.S.C.S., Northeastern Jurisdiction.
218. Commission on Missions, Hamline Methodist Church, St. Paul, Minn.
219. Tulane-Newcomb Wesley Foundation.
220. James M. Boyd, Jr., Troy Annual Conference.
221. Official Board, First Methodist Church, Schenectady, N. Y.

MEMORIALS 222-278, INCLUSIVE—"RETAIN PRESENT JURISDICTIONAL SYSTEM." REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

222. James L. Bedwell, Briggs Chapel, Porterville, Miss.
223. Mr. and Mrs. Ray Harry, Briggs Chapel, Porterville, Miss.
224. Fred W. Phelps, Methodist Church, Porterville, Mississippi.
225. Mr. and Mrs. Ben Huduall, Methodist Church, Porterville, Miss.
226. Dan P. Yeager, Jr., Methodist Church, Porterville, Miss.
227. Miss Myrtle Seale, Methodist Church, Porterville, Miss.
228. Mrs. R. W. Krummenacher, New City Methodist Church, New City, N. Y.
229. Noah J. Dollar, Briggs Chapel, Porterville, Miss.
230. R. L. Grantham, Methodist Church, Porterville, Miss.
231. J. C. Hall, Chapel Hill Methodist Church, Porterville, Miss.
232. Official Board, Galloway Memorial Methodist Church, Jackson, Miss.
233. Official Board, Deerford Methodist Church, Deerford, La.
234. Official Board, Minor Memorial Methodist Church, Walls, Miss.
235. North Mississippi Annual Conference.

236. Mississippi Annual Conference.
237. Official Board, Sumner Methodist Church, Sumner, Miss.
238. Official Board, Methodist Church, Webb, Miss.
239. Official Board, Wesley Chapel Methodist Church, Sylacauga, Ala.
240. Official Board, Methodist Church, Rayville, La.
241. Edward E. Wyers, Aldersgate Methodist Church, Moline, Ill.
242. First Quarterly Conference, Clover-St. Paul Churches, Clover, S. C.
243. Official Board, First Methodist Church, Greensboro, Ala.
244. Mrs. Lamar Pigford, Central Methodist Church, Meridian, Miss.
245. Lamar Pigford, Central Methodist Church, Meridian, Miss.
246. Miss Alma Harrington, Central Methodist Church, Meridian, Miss.
247. Mrs. Grady May, Central Methodist Church, Meridian, Miss.
248. Grady May, Central Methodist Church, Meridian, Miss.
249. Joyce May, Central Methodist Church, Meridian, Miss.
250. Mrs. Lee Priester, Central Methodist Church, Meridian, Miss.
251. Mrs. Wallace O'Neal, Central Methodist Church, Meridian, Miss.
252. Wallace O'Neal, Central Methodist Church, Meridian, Miss.
253. Mrs. Kenneth Allen, Central Methodist Church, Meridian, Miss.
254. DeKalb Methodist Church, DeKalb, Miss.
255. Official Board of Lewis Memorial Church, Calhoun, City, Miss.
256. Victor Harbor, Jr., Central Park Methodist Church, Birmingham, Ala.
257. Mrs. W. J. Hall, Marion Methodist Church, Marion, Miss.
258. Mrs. Robert Walt, Marion Methodist Church, Marion, Miss.
259. Mrs. W. E. Ainsworth, Methodist Church, Marion, Miss.
260. Mrs. J. F. Wagner, Sr., Methodist Church, Marion, Miss.
261. W.S.C.S., Collinsville, Miss.
262. W.S.C.S., Methodist Church, Marion, Miss.
263. Mrs. E. C. Talbert, Methodist Church, Marion, Miss.
264. W.S.C.S., Central Methodist Church, Meridian, Miss.
265. Official Board, First Methodist Church, Eufaula, Ala.
266. James L. Woods, Sr., First Methodist Church, Columbia, Miss.
267. Mrs. William J. Hunt, Jr., Central Methodist Church, Meridian, Miss.
268. Keithville Methodist Church, Louisiana Annual Conference.
269. Official Board, First Methodist Church, Greenville, Ala.
270. Ruby L. Carsen, Central Methodist Church, Meridian. Miss.
271. Mrs. Smith Henley, First Methodist Church, Macon, Miss.
272. Oscar B. Persons, Methodist Church, Shuqualak, Miss.
273. Mrs. D. W. Holmes, Main Street Methodist Church, Hattiesburg, Miss.
274. Mrs. G. W. Lewis, Main Street Methodist Church, Hattiesburg, Miss.
275. Mrs. A. W. Lamkin, Main Street Methodist Church, Hattiesburg, Miss.
276. Mrs. Robert W. Saul, Main Street Methodist Church, Hattiesburg, Miss.
277. Mrs. Gladys D. Persons, Methodist Church, Shuqualak, Miss.
278. Mrs. Harry Boddaur, Whitehaven Methodist Church, Memphis, Tenn.

MEMORIAL 279—"OPPOSED TO INTEGRATION." REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

279. Official Board, Curtis Park Methodist Church, Bossier City, La.

MEMORIALS 280 AND 281—"RETAIN CENTRAL JURISDICTION." REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

280. Mrs. Martin Miller, Central Methodist Church, Meridian, Miss.
281. Official Board, East Lake Methodist Church, Birmingham 6, Ala.

MEMORIALS 282 AND 283—"OPPOSED TO INTEGRATION." REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

282. Mrs. C. E. Crawford, et al., Clairborne Methodist Church, West Monroe, La.
283. Mrs. E. M. Austin, Woodlawn Methodist Church, Clarksville, Tenn.

MEMORIALS 284-294, INCLUSIVE—"RETAIN PRESENT JURISDICTIONAL SYSTEM." REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

284. Louisiana Annual Conference.
285. Official Board, Forest Avenue Methodist Church, Montgomery, Ala.
286. Official Board, Bethel Methodist Church, Jackson, S. C.
287. Official Board, St. John's Methodist Church, Greenwood, Miss.
288. Sylacauga District Conference, Sylacauga, Ala.
289. W.S.C.S., Methodist Church, Lake, Miss.
290. Chemin-a-Haut Retreat, Monroe District Laymen.
291. Official Board, Tulip Street Methodist Church, Nashville, Tenn.
292. Mrs. Kelly Hobbs, Central Methodist Church, Meridian, Miss.
293. W.S.C.S., Druid Hills Methodist Church, Meridian, Miss.
294. Mrs. George Edwards, Main Street Methodist Church, Hattiesburg, Miss.

MEMORIAL 295—"RETAIN CENTRAL JURISDICTION." REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

295. W.S.C.S., First Methodist Church, Pine Bluff, Arkansas.

MEMORIALS 296-301, INCLUSIVE—"RETAIN CENTRAL JURISDICTION." REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

296. Official Board, Methodist Charge, Lake Cormorant, Miss.
297. W.S.C.S., Central Methodist Church, Meridian, Miss.
298. Mr. and Mrs. Ben Ferguson, Main Street Methodist Church, Hattiesburg, Miss.
299. F. Wilson Ray, North Mississippi Annual Conference.
300. Mrs. Robert H. Myrick, Main Street Methodist Church, Hattiesburg, Miss.
301. Mrs. Milton W. Edwards, Main Street Methodist Church, Hattiesburg, Miss.

MEMORIALS 302-342, INCLUSIVE—"RETAIN NO TOBACCO CLAUSE—PARA. 306.5 AND PARA. 322.5 (5)." REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY

302. Kenneth A. White, First Methodist Church, Rutland, Vt.
303. George V. Corson, Sr., St. Paul's Methodist Church, Castleton, N. Y.
304. William R. Williams, Calvary Methodist Church, Latham, N. Y.
305. Mrs. Raymond Pike, Methodist Church, East Concord, Troy Conf.

306. Alden G. Owen, Barton Methodist Church, Barton, Vt.
307. Mrs. James W. Quinn, Jr., Pownal Methodist Church, Troy Conf.
308. Mrs. Haroland Stearns, Orleans Federated Church, Orleans, Vt.
309. Reginald Stancliff, Methodist Church, Morrisville, Vt.
310. C. F. Hefflon, St. Paul's Methodist Church, St. Albans, Vt.
311. Ernest W. Relyea, et al., McKnownville Methodist Church, Albany, New York.
312. Mary Jane Myers, et al., Mineral Springs Methodist Church, Mineral Springs, N. Y.
313. LeRoy Warner, et al., Methodist Church, Dorloo, N. Y.
314. Leon Scott, et al., Methodist Church, Fair Haven, Vt.
315. Mrs. Clarence Proper, et al., Methodist Church, North Granville, N. Y.
316. Official Board, Methodist Church, Esperance, N. Y.
317. Marion F. Oliver, et al., Methodist Church. Mooers, N. Y.
318. Mrs. Arthur Flint, et al., Ames Methodist Church, Ames, N. Y.
319. Leslie B. Haks, Methodist Church, Eagle Mills, N. Y.
320. Marion R. Heks, Methodist Church, Eagle Mills, N. Y.
321. Harold W. Betts, Methodist Church, Eagle Mills, N. Y.
322. D. C. Gutbrodt, Methodist Church, Eagle Mills, N. Y.
323. Clarence Freckleton, Methodist Church, Eagle Mills, N. Y.
324. Grace L. Betts, Methodist Church, Eagle Mills, N. Y.
325. Mrs. Helen M. Gutbrodt, Methodist Church, Eagle Mills, N. Y.
326. Mrs. Edith Ott. Methodist Church, Eagle Mills, N. Y.
327. Mr. Valentine Ott, Methodist Church, Eagle Mills, N. Y.
328. Roy A. Patrie, Methodist Church, Eagle Mills, N. Y.
329. Lois M. Patrie, Methodist Church, Eagle Mills, N. Y.
330. E. E. Morrison, Methodist Church, Eagle Mills, N. Y.
331. Ethel Trumble, Methodist Church, Eagle Mills, N. Y.
332. Mrs. Jordan D. Cole, Methodist Church, Eagle Mills, N. Y.
333. Mrs. Elva Redlick, Methodist Church, Eagle Mills, N. Y.
334. Rudolph Rederstorff, Methodist Church, Eagle Mills, N. Y.
335. Felicie Rederstorff, Methodist Church, Eagle Mills, N. Y.
336. Florence L. Lee, Methodist Church, Eagle Mills, N. Y.
337. Charles E. Vogt, Methodist Church, Eagle Mills, N. Y.
338. Mrs. Clarence Freckleton, Methodist Church, Eagle Mills, N. Y.
339. Mrs. Dorothy D. Reilly, Methodist Church, Eagle Mills, N. Y.
340. Almon Felling, Methodist Church, Eagle Mills, N. Y.
341. May Felling, Methodist Church, Eagle Mills, N. Y.
342. Alma Morrison, Methodist Church, Eagle Mills, N. Y.

MEMORIALS 343-517, INCLUSIVE—"RETAIN NO TOBACCO CLAUSE—PARA. 306.5 AND PARA. 322.5 (5)." REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY

343. Lauriston P. Winsor, Methodist Church, Eagle Mills, N. Y.
344. J. Newton Berrin. Hedding Methodist Church, Barre, Vt.
345. Mrs. Harold E. Pray, Methodist Church, Whitehall, N. Y.
346. Mrs. Harry W. Beals, Methodist Church, Pownall, Vt.
347. Ernest Crapser, et al., Methodist Church, Hyndsville, N. Y.
348. Joseph Weidenbacker, Methodist Church, Eagle Mills, N. Y.
349. Marion B. Weidenbackus, Methodist Church, Eagle Mills, N. Y.
350. Paul V. Hydon, Troy Conference.
351. Herbert W. Lorenz, Troy Conference.
352. Ernest F. Tripp, Troy Conference.

353. James R. Osborne, Troy Conference.
354. George Miller, Jr., Troy Conference.
355. E. Arthur Hannay, Troy Conference.
356. Arthur W. Hewitt, Troy Conference.
357. Louis D. Keeler (retired), Troy Conference.
358. Eric Roy King, Troy Conference.
359. William H. Kroeger, Troy Conference.
360. Percy Lambert, Troy Conference.
361. Arthur H. Landmesser, Troy Conference.
362. Charles O. Latimer, Troy Conference.
363. Lawrence Larrowe, Troy Conference.
364. Melvin D. Lavender, Troy Conference.
365. Donald R. Lewis, Troy Conference.
366. David J. Livingstone, Troy Conference.
367. William Malpass, Troy Conference.
368. Arthur R. Melius, Troy Conference.
369. Cassius J. Miller (retired), Troy Conference.
370. Official Board, First Methodist Church, Collingswood, N. J.
371. Mary E. Turner, Methodist Church, West Sandlake, N. Y.
372. Edith H. Stockwell, First Methodist Church, Shaftsbury, Vt.
373. Carl D. Taylor, First Methodist Church. Albany, N. Y.
374. Leslie S. Uphoff, McKnownville Methodist Church, Albany, N. Y.
375. Mrs. Charles E. Vogt, Methodist Church, Eagle Mills, N. Y.
376. Lawrence Wightman, First Methodist Church, Brattleboro, Vt.
377. Owen L. Williams, Methodist Church, Wells, Vt.
378. William A. Wright, First Methodist Church, Johnstown, N. Y.
379. Winnie M. Wood, Rachel Harlon Methodist Church, Windsor, Vt.
380. Leigh R. Gates, Old Parish Church, Troy Conference.
381. Mrs. William Williams, North Granville Methodist Church, Fair Haven, Vt.
382. Clarence A. Jordan, Morgan United Church, Derby, Vt. (Troy Conference).
383. Mrs. Mabel Green, Methodist Church, Pittstown, N. Y.
384. G. Edward Heger, Pawling Avenue Methodist Church, Troy, N. Y.
385. Mrs. Raymond J. Gifford, Christ Methodist Church, Johnsville, N. Y.
386. Mrs. Ashton H. Greenwood, People's Methodist Church, Lyndonville, Vt.
387. Victor Kenyon, Methodist Church, Saranac Lake, N. Y.
388. Mrs. Carl Hurd, Methodist Church, Springfield, Vt.
389. Marian Johnson, Sloansville, Methodist Church, Esperance, N. Y.
390. Wilbur Kidder, 5th Ave.-State Street Methodist Church, Troy, Vt.
391. Mrs. P. J. Lambert, Methodist Church, Valley Falls, N. Y.
392. Esther T. Lasell, Centenary Methodist Church, Williamstown, Vt.
393. Mrs. Earl M. Machan, Methodist Church, Worcester, Vt.
394. Edward C. Melby, Methodist Church, North Ferrisburg, Vt.
395. Mrs. Mary Magoon, First Methodist Church, Ellenburg Depot, N. Y.
396. John S. MacIntyre, First Methodist Church, Canajoharie, N. Y.
397. Raeburn J. Myers, Grace Methodist Church, Waterford, N. Y.
398. Clarence B. Marclay, Broadway Methodist Church, Schenectady, N. Y.

399. Mrs. William H. Montgomery, Community Methodist Church, Chestertown, N. Y.
400. Miss Grace J. Noxon, Methodist Church, North Creek, N. Y.
401. Mrs. P. A. Nelson, Federated Methodist Church, Bristol, Vt.
402. Elizabeth G. Reynolds, Methodist Church, Berlin, N. Y.
403. Mrs. W. Clifford Rhodes, Community Methodist Church, Dannemora, N. Y.
404. Frank M. Reed, Methodist Church, Johnsburg, N. Y.
405. Nellie Stephenson, Methodist Church, Rock City Falls, N. Y.
406. Fayette O. Spring, Methodist Church, Ticonderoga, N. Y.
407. Orson Schermerhorn, First Methodist Church, Warrensburg, N. Y.
408. Grace E. Swatling, Methodist Church, Waterford, N. Y.
409. Roy A. Sullivan, First Methodist Church, Bennington, Vt.
410. Lola A. White (retired), Troy Conference.
411. Aram Zelveian, Troy Conference.
412. Chaplain Kenneth E. Dearstyne, Troy Conference.
413. C. W. Kessler, Troy Conference.
414. Frederick F. Adams, Troy Conference.
415. James H. Bagley, Troy Conference.
416. Merle Stone, Troy Conference.
417. Charles F. Baker, Troy Conference.
418. Maurice Baker, Troy Conference.
419. James E. Bayley (retired), Troy Conference.
420. Anton Beza (retired), Troy Conference.
421. William Boehne, Troy Conference.
422. Albert M. Brockway, Troy Conference.
423. Edwin Burke (retired), Troy Conference.
424. J. Norman Bennett (retired), Troy Conference.
425. Frank W. Terrell (retired), Troy Conference.
426. Frederich W. Vogell, Troy Conference.
427. Victor H. Wachs (retired), Troy Conference.
428. Richard A. Wallace, Troy Conference.
429. Oscar B. Wells (retired), Troy Conference.
430. Elmer R. West (retired), Troy Conference.
431. Mabel Wheaton, Troy Conference.
432. Franklyn Wright, Troy Conference.
433. Arthur P. White (retired), Troy Conference.
434. Frederick Zigan, Troy Conference.
435. Nelson W. Burns, Troy Conference.
436. A. Johnson Cambridge, Troy Conference.
437. William A. Campbell, Troy Conference.
438. Clinton H. Carter, Troy Conference.
439. George L. Corson, Troy Conference.
440. Roger U. Day, Troy Conference.
441. Lionel R. Driscoll, Troy Conference.
442. Raymond V. Ebbett (retired), Troy Conference.
443. F. W. Engel, Troy Conference.
444. George M. Folsom (retired), Troy Conference.
445. Robert W. FitzGerald, Troy Conference.
446. J. Robert Griffin, Troy Conference.
447. Myron L. Cheney, Troy Conference.
448. Rowland Conklin, Troy Conference.
449. George C. Cornell (retired), Troy Conference.
450. Fred M. Hagadorn (retired), Troy Conference.
451. Robert A. Hammond, Troy Conference.
452. Official Board, First Methodist Church, Hoopeston, Ill.
453. Mrs. Grady L. Wall, First Methodist Church, Springfield, Tenn.
454. Mrs. A. B. Campbell, United Protestant Church, Fort Ann, N. Y.

455. William H. Coleman, Methodist Church, Delanson, N. Y.
456. Mrs. Wendell Cummings, Trinity Methodist Church, Montpelier, Vt.
457. Wilbur Curtis, First Methodist Church, Mechanicsville, N. Y.
458. Harold W. Bennett, First Methodist Church, Cohoes, N. Y.
459. Mrs. Harold R. Bundy, Miller Memorial Methodist Church, Bethel, Vt.
460. George P. Boyce, Poultney Methodist Church, Poultney, Vt.
461. Mrs. H. C. Bellows, Fairfax United, Fairfax, Vt. (Troy Conference).
462. Stanley Baker, Methodist Church, Mooers, N. Y.
463. Victor H. Barker, Methodist Church, Schuylerville, N. Y.
464. Floyd A. Burgeson, Community Church, Schroon Lake, N. Y. (Troy Conference).
465. Adeline Van Antwerp, Third Avenue Methodist Church, Watervliet, N. Y.
466. George A. Baker, Sr., Methodist Church, Port Henry, N. Y.
467. Gladys R. Kaufman, Perry's Mills Methodist Church, Champlain, N. Y.
468. Mrs. Catherine B. Foster, North Bush Methodist Church, Broadalbin, N. Y. (Troy Conf.).
469. Mrs. H. W. Lorenz, Methodist Church, Raceville, N. Y.
470. M. Doreen Pierce, United Church, Lincoln, Vt. (Troy Conf.).
471. Leslie Parker, Methodist Church, Waterbury Center, Vt.
472. Kenneth Adsit, Methodist Church, Clifton Park, N. Y.
473. Frank Jay Reid, Troy Conference.
474. Thomas R. Riston, Jr., Troy Conference.
475. David W. Reid (Emeritus), Troy Conference.
476. Walter S. Reasoner (retired), Troy Conference.
477. John J. Prudom (retired), Troy Conference.
478. Francis A. Potter, Troy Conference.
479. Harry G. Pettingill, Troy Conference.
480. James A. Perry, Troy Conference.
481. William J. Otis, Troy Conference.
482. Arthur Northrup, Jr., Troy Conference.
483. Angelo Mongiore, Troy Conference.
484. James A. Green, Troy Conference.
485. Lewis B. Taylor, Troy Conference.
486. Hector R. Sullivan, Troy Conference.
487. H. Lawrence Snow, Troy Conference.
488. James D. Sorley, Troy Conference.
489. Leland D. Smith, Troy Conference.
490. Chester A. Simmons, Troy Conference.
491. Frederick A. Shippey, Troy Conference.
492. Fred M. Sawyer (retired), Troy Conference.
493. Ronald T. Sabine, Troy Conference.
494. Dale D. Russell, Troy Conference.
495. John G. Robinson (retired), Troy Conference.
496. Ernst Guenther, Pine Grove Methodist Church, Albany, N. Y.
497. Helena H. Fenton, Methodist Church, Tinmouth, Vt.
498. Rollo Dimick, Methodist Church, White River Jct., Vt.
499. Mrs. Walter D. Emerson, Methodist Church, Northfield, Vt.
500. George H. Carpenter, Methodist Church, Cabot, Vt.
501. Elinor Sanders, Stanford Methodist Church, Schenectady, N. Y.
502. Floyd F. Taylor, Trinity Methodist Church, Albany, N. Y.
503. Jeremiah Saltsman, Methodist Church, Fort Plain, N. Y.
504. Herman Serfis, Methodist Church, Northville, N. Y.
505. Mrs. Frank R. Tarling, Methodist Church, Saranac, N. Y.
506. A. W. Stannard, Methodist Church, Keeseville, N. Y.
507. Ben Wassell, Grace Methodist Church, Schenectady, N. Y.

508. Mrs. Guy Wilkins, Carman Methodist Church, Schenectady, N. Y.
509. H. J. Wusterbarth, First Methodist Church, Schenectady, N. Y.
510. Ward L. Welin, Eastern Parkway Methodist Church, Schenectady, N. Y.
511. Berton F. Tillotson, Centenary Methodist Church, Greenwich, N. Y.
512. Mrs. Holden Boane, Bakersfield Methodist Church, Sheldon, Vt.
513. Gertrude J. Russell, Methodist Church, Hinesburg, Vt.
514. Margaret Hubbard, Centenary Methodist Church, Franklin, Vt.
515. Adelaide Lyon, Methodist Church, Groton, Vt.
516. Mrs. Hubert Arnold, Methodist Church, North Chatham, N. Y.
517. Mrs. George Sheffield, Methodist Church, Whitehall, N. Y.

MEMORIALS 518-525, INCLUSIVE—"REMOVE PROHIBITION ON USE OF TOBACCO." REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY

518. Board of Christian Social Concerns, Maine Annual Conference.
519. Virginia Annual Conference.
520. Official Board, Methodist Church, New Providence, N. J.
521. New York Annual Conference.
522. Troy Annual Conference.
523. David M. Campbell, New York East Conference.
524. Rev. William Dawson Hartman, Central Pennsylvania Conference.
525. New York East Conference.

MEMORIAL 526 DEALS WITH "QUALIFICATIONS FOR ADMISSION INTO FULL CONNECTION." REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY

526. Central New York Annual Conference.

MEMORIAL 527 DEALS WITH "MINISTERS TO BE ADDRESSED AS 'PASTOR.'" REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY

527. D. Mouzon Mann. Little Rock Conference.

MEMORIAL 528 DEALS WITH "CANDIDATE FOR THE MINISTRY AND DISTRICT COMMITTEE ON MINISTERIAL TRAINING" PARA. 306.3. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY

528. Board of Ministerial Training, Central Kansas Conference.

MEMORIAL 529 DEALS WITH "ADMISSION OF MINISTERS FROM OTHER CHURCHES—PARA. 411.3." REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY

529. Board of Ministerial Training and Qualifications, North Carolina Conference.

MEMORIAL 530 DEALS WITH "RETIREMENT OF MINISTERS. PARA. 368." REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY

530. Southern California-Arizona Conference.

MEMORIAL 531 DEALS WITH "AUTHORITY OF AN ELDER—PARA. 402." REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY

531. Western Pennsylvania Conference.

MEMORIAL 532 DEALS WITH "ADMISSION ON TRIAL—PARA. 325.3." REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY

532. North Carolina Annual Conference.

MEMORIAL 533 DEALS WITH "ADMISSION INTO METHODIST MINISTRY, ETC. PARAS. 411-415." REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY

533. Official Board, St. Paul's Methodist Church, Socorro, N. M.

MEMORIAL 534 DEALS WITH "RESTORATION OF ORDERS—PARA. 376." REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY

534. Florida Annual Conference.

MEMORIAL 535 DEALS WITH "LOCATION OF A MINISTER—PARAS. 377 AND 378." REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY

535. Florida Annual Conference.

MEMORIAL 536 DEALS WITH "SEMINAR TRAINING DISTRICT SUPERINTENDENTS." REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY

536. M. M. Finkbeiner, Pacific Northwest Conference.

MEMORIAL 537 DEALS WITH "TENURE OF OFFICE OF DISTRICT SUPERINTENDENT." REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY.

537. M. M. Finkbeiner, Pacific Northwest Conference.

MEMORIALS 538-544, INCLUSIVE, DEAL WITH "LOCAL PREACHER—AUTHORITY AND DUTIES." REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY

538. Central New York Annual Conference.
539. Supply Pastors' Association, Southern Illinois Conference.
540. Supply Pastors' Association, Southern Illinois Conference.
541. Northern New York Annual Conference.
542. Charles S. Aldrich, Genesee Conference.
543. Northwest Indiana Annual Conference.
544. Supply Pastors' Association, Southern Illinois Conference.

MEMORIAL 545 DEALS WITH "APPOINTMENT OF MINISTERS, ELECTION OF BISHOPS AND THEIR ASSIGNMENT REGARDLESS OF RACE." REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY

545. Michigan Annual Conference.

MEMORIALS 546 AND 547 DEAL WITH "AGE OF RETIREMENT—62." REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY

546. Official Board, Grove Methodist Church, Johnstown, Pa.
547. Herston R. Holland, Little Rock Conference.

MEMORIAL 548 DEALS WITH "AUTHORIZE COUNCIL OF BISHOPS TO SPEAK ON BEHALF OF THE METHODIST CHURCH, ETC." REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY

548. Louisville Annual Conference.

MEMORIAL 549 DEALS WITH "AGE OF RETIREMENT—63 NOT 65." REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY

549. Frank I. Snavely, Western Pennsylvania Annual Conference.

MEMORIALS 550-555, INCLUSIVE, DEAL WITH "DUTIES, ETC. OF THE DISTRICT SUPERINTENDENT." REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY

550. South Iowa Annual Conference.
551. Mrs. F. Robert Hand, Trinity Methodist Church, Poughkeepsie, N. Y.
552. Baltimore Annual Conference.
553. Charles R. Britt, Alabama-West Florida Annual Conference.
554. William E. Callahan, Pacific Northwest Conference.
555. William E. Callahan, Pacific Northwest Conference.

MEMORIALS 556-599, INCLUSIVE, HAVE BEEN REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY

556. Full Membership Requirements, Para. 341, Baltimore Annual Conference.
557. District Chaplain for Nursing, Convalescent, and Old Folks Homes. Roger and LaVerna Arnett, Methodist Church, Belleville, Michigan.
558. General Fund to Equalize Salaries and Pensions. Central New York Conference.
559. Methodist Ministers and Race Demonstrations, Official Board, First Methodist Church, Greenwood, Miss.
560. Ministerial Assignment without regard to Race. Detroit Conference.
561. Minister's Age of Retirement—70 years. Rockwell C. Smith, et al., West Wisconsin Conference.
562. Seal of The Methodist Ministry. New York East Conference.
563. Reconsecration or reordination of Ministers. New York East Conference.
564. Ministerial Orders. Board of Ministerial Training, Missouri West Conference.
565. Commission on Chaplains, Support of, Para. 1572 (3) Para. 763. Winston H. Taylor, Woodside Methodist Church, Silver Springs, Md.
566. Materials and Courses of Study for Ministers—Repeal Para. 1418. Mollie F. Stahley, Central Methodist Church, Springfield, Ohio.
567. Local Dean Orders. Ohio Conference.
568. License to Preach. Para. 306, sec. 4 and Para. 307, sec. 2. Northern New York Conference.
569. Sabbatical Leave and Appointment Status. Mansfield Dist., Education Staff, Northeast Ohio Conference.
570. Wesley's Sermons Required Reading. Alius M. Colman, Texas Conference.
571. Central Personnel File of Methodist Ministers and Approved Supply Pastors. Para. 1374.4, 5. Virginia Conference.
572. Director of Methodist Ministers. Board of Evangelism, East Wisconsin Conference.
573. Conference Counselor for Expelled Members. Bennett Wm. Palmer, Florida Conference.
574. Annual Conference Book of Obituaries. Bennett Wm. Palmer, Florida Conference.
575. Assignment of Pastors—The Morning Glory Plan. Bennett Wm. Palmer, Florida Conference.
576. Biographies of Methodist Bishops. Bennett Wm. Palmer, Florida Conference.
577. Hand Book of Methodist Bishops. Bennett Wm. Palmer, Florida Conference.
578. Special Assignment of a Bishop. Robert W. Moon, California-Nevada Conference.

579. Committee on Conference Relations. Paras. 374, 376, 379, 380, and 382. Florida Conference.
580. Interpret Phrase "Who Exhibits Exceptional Promise for the Ministry," Para. 325. North Carolina Conference.
581. Unordained Local Preacher—Privileges of Exercising Office of. Western North Carolina Conference.
582. Amendment—Affiliated Supply Pastors. Paras. 21, 22, and 321. West Wisconsin Conference.
583. Supply Pastors Obituary Privileges. Bennett Wm. Palmer, Florida Conference.
584. Voting in Annual Conference—Approved Supply Pastors and Laymen. Mr. and Mrs. J. D. Young, Shiloh Methodist Church, Spencer, Indiana.
585. Approved Supply Pastors—Voting Privileges. Frank L. Davies, The Methodist Church, Box 297, Everly, Iowa.
586. Military Chaplaincy Become a Civilian Chaplaincy. John S. Jury, Detroit Conference.
587. Trial of Minister, etc. and Doctrine of Segregation. Lester L. Moore, South Iowa Conference.
588. Restrict Use of Term "Minister." Edward B. Hollenbeck, Little Rock Conference.
589. Retired Supply Pastors. Mississippi Conference.
590. Annuity Credit for Approved Supplies serving as Associate, Para. 1631.1. Southern California-Arizona Conference.
591. Retirement Eligibility. Para. 1617. Abilene District Conference, Northwest Texas Conference.
592. Retain the Words "Including Tobacco"—Paras. 306.5 and 322.5 (5). Clyde E. Ward, Methodist Church, Upper Jay, N. Y.
593. Retain the Words "Including Tobacco" Paras. 306.5 and 322.5 (5). Mrs. L. P. Winsor, Methodist Church, Eagle Falls, N. Y.

MEMORIALS 594-599, INCLUSIVE, DEAL WITH "RETAIN THE WORDS 'INCLUDING TOBACCO'" PARAS. 306.5 AND 322.5(5). REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY

594. Wesleyan Class, Pownal Methodist Church, Troy Conference.
595. Charles H. Hull, St. Paul's Methodist Church, St. Albans, Vt.
596. Robert L. Barker, Sr., St. Paul's Methodist Church, St. Albans, Vt.
597. Francis P. Bundy, Union Methodist Church, Alplaus, N. Y.
598. Mrs. Elizabeth C. Doty, Keene Methodist Church, Upper Jay, N. Y.
599. Fred B. Royce, Troy Conference.

MEMORIALS 600 THROUGH 672, INCLUSIVE, HAVE BEEN REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

600. "Retain the Words 'Including Tobacco,' Paras. 306.5 and 322.5(5)." Benjamin C. Jones, Troy Conference.
601. "Retain the Words 'Including Tobacco,' Paras. 306.5; and 322.5(5)." Howard L. Stimmel, Troy Conference.
602. "Retain the Words 'Including Tobacco,' Paras. 306.5 and 322.5(5)." Warren E. Steinkraus, Troy Conference.
603. "Retain the Words 'Including Tobacco,' Paras. 306.5; and 322.5(5)." Leonard H. Bass, Troy Conference.
604. "Retain the Words 'Including Tobacco,' Paras. 306.5 and 322.5(5)." Jesse Wesley James, Troy Conference.
605. "Retain the Words 'Including Tobacco,' Paras. 306.5 and 322.5(5)." Clyde R. Summer, Troy Conference.

606. "Retain the Words 'Including Tobacco,' Paras. 306.5 and 322.5(5)." Rutherford Moore, Troy Conference.
607. "Retain the Words 'Including Tobacco,' Paras. 306.5 and 322.5(5)." Donald H. Brandt, Troy Conference.
608. "Retain the Words 'Including Tobacco,' Paras. 306.5 and 322.5(5)." Howard M. Hills, Troy Conference.
609. "Retain Restriction on Use of Tobacco." W.S.C.S., Walnut Grove, Minn.
610. "Remove Requirement for Total Astinence." John K. Fockler, 357 Canterbury Road, Bay Village, Ohio.
611. Remove Reference to Alcohol. New York East Conference, E. S. Gault, Jr., Secy.
612. Remove Reference to Tobacco. South Carolina Conference, Allan R. Broome, Secy.
613. Oppose Use of Beverage Alcohol. Opal Loy, Millers Chapel Meth. Church, Maynardsville, Tenn.
614. Retain Position on Abstinence, W.S.C.S., Central Meth. Church, Meridian, Miss.
615. Methodism's Stand on Alcoholic Beverages. W.S.C.S., T. L. Jones Memorial Meth. Church, Wilderville, Oregon.
616. Retain Total Abstinence on Use of Beverage Alcohol. Clarence Verner, Monroe Chapel Meth. Church, Sligo, Pa.
617. Retain Total Abstinence on Use of Beverage Alcohol. Official Board, Monroe Chapel Meth. Church, Sligo, Pa.
618. Retain Position on Total Abstinence. Mrs. William J. Hunt, Jr., Central Meth. Church, Meridian, Miss.
619. Retain Stand for Total Abstinence. James L. Woods, Sr., First Methodist Church, Columbia, Miss.
620. Reaffirm Stand for Total Abstinence. Official Board, Lancaster Methodist Church, Lancaster, Tenn.
621. Reaffirm Stand for Total Abstinence. Official Board, Stonewall Meth. Church, Tennessee.
622. Reaffirm Stand for Total Abstinence. Official Board, Cedar Point Meth. Church, Tennessee.
623. Reaffirm Stand for Total Abstinence. Official Board, New Middleton Meth. Church, Tennessee.
624. Retain Position on Total Abstinence. Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Belle Center Meth. Church, Belle Center, Ohio.
625. Reaffirm Stand on Use of Alcohol Beverages. Florence Pennock, Zanesfield Meth. Church, Zanesfield, Ohio.
626. Reaffirm Stand on Total Abstinence. Wesleyan Service Guild, First Meth. Church, Pulaski, Tenn.
627. Retain Stand on Total Abstinence. Mrs. Kelly Hobbs, Central Meth. Church, Meridian, Miss.
628. Retain Total Abstinence. Official Board, First Meth. Church, Wauchula, Fla.
629. Reaffirm Stand for Total Abstinence. W.S.C.S., Zanesfield, Ohio.
630. Retain Total Abstinence. Mrs. Oscar Persons, Shuqualak Meth. Church, Shuqualak, Miss.
631. Retain Stand on Total Abstinence. Oscar B. Persons, Shuqualak Meth. Church, Shuqualak, Miss.
632. Retain Stand on Use of Alcoholic Beverages. Mrs. Smith Henley, First Meth. Church, Macon, Miss.
633. Reaffirm Position on Total Abstinence. Sigma Fidelis Church School Class, Adel Meth. Church, Adel, Iowa.
634. Reaffirm Position on Use of Alcoholic Beverages. Mr. and Mrs. Wm. Fraser, Zanesfield Methodist Church, Zanesfield, Ohio.

635. Retain Position on Total Abstinence. Mrs. Harry Boddour, Whitehaven Meth. Church, Memphis, Tenn.
636. Reaffirm Stand on Use of Alcoholic Beverages. Mrs. G. W. Shriner, Zanesfield Meth. Church, Zanesfield, Ohio.
637. Reaffirm Position on Total Abstinence. Sidesinger Class, Zanesfield Methodist Church, Zanesfield, Ohio.
638. Retain Stand on Total Abstinence. Mrs. Martin Miller, Central Methodist Church, Meridian, Miss.
639. Retain Position on Total Abstinence. Official Board, Branch Methodist Church, Coshocton County, Ohio.
640. Reaffirm Stand on Use of Alcoholic Beverages. Mrs. Dwight Hostetler, Zanesfield Meth. Church, Zanesfield, Ohio.
641. Retain Stand on Total Abstinence. Executive Committee, W.S.C.S., Tennessee Conference.
642. Continue Position on Alcoholic Beverages. Southern Illinois Conference, Eugene M. Leckrone, Secretary.
643. Retain Position on Total Abstinence. Mrs. Grady May, Central Methodist Church, Meridian, Miss.
644. Reaffirm Position on Beverage Alcohol. Rock River Annual Conference, Gilbert A. Weisshaar, Secretary.
645. Retain Stand on Total Abstinence. Columbia District Guild Committee, Wesleyan Service Guild, Tennessee Conference.
646. Retain Position on Total Abstinence. Joyce May, Central Methodist Church, Meridian, Miss.
647. Retain Position on Total Abstinence. Official Board, Zanesfield Methodist Church, Zanesfield, Ohio.
648. Retain Position on Total Abstinence. Board of Christian Social Concerns, St. George's Methodist Church, Mt. Pleasant Charge, Laurel, Del.
649. Retain Position of Total Abstinence. Mrs. Lamar Pigford, Central Methodist Church, Meridian, Miss.
650. Retain Position of Total Abstinence. Nashville Dist. Executive Comm., W.S.C.S., Tennessee Conference.
651. Retain Position of Total Abstinence. Columbia District Executive Comm., W.S.C.S., Tennessee Conference.
652. Retain Position of Total Abstinence. Murfreesboro District Executive Commitee, W.S.C.S., Tennessee Conference.
653. Retain Position of Total Abstinence. Mount Pleasant Dist. Executive Comm., W.S.C.S., Tennessee Conference.
654. Retain Position of Total Abstinence. Clarksville District Exexcutive Committee, W.S.C.S., Tennessee Conference.
655. Retain Position of Total Abstinence. Cumberland Dist. Executive Comm., W.S.C.S., Tennessee Conference.
656. Strengthen Position on Total Abstinence of Alcoholic Beverages. Official Board, Clintonville Meth. Church, Clintonville, Pa.
657. Strengthen Stand Against Alcohol. Official Board, St. Andrew's Meth. Church, Erlton, N. J.
658. Reaffirm Stand for Total Abstinence. Members of W.S.C.S., Burlington Meth. Church, Knoxville, Tenn.
659. Retain Stand on Consumption of Alcoholic Beverages. Official Board, Mt. Zion Meth. Church, DuBois, Pa.
660. Retain Stand on Alcohol. Georgia Mae Hopper, Ruth Ensor Memorial Methodist Church, Old Hickory, Tenn.
661. Total Abstinence. A. Culmer Schultz, Western Pennsylvania Conference.
662. Total Abstinence. W.S.C.S., Ohio Annual Conference.
663. Total Abstinence. Holston Annual Conf., R. Kyle Tomlinson, Secy.

664. Encourage Total Abstinence. Official Board, First Meth. Church, Hoopeston, Ill.
665. Oppose Socialized Drinking. Official Board, First Meth. Church, Hoopeston, Ill.
666. Reaffirm Stand for Total Abstinence. Members of Young Adult Sunday School Class, Ruth Ensor Memorial Meth. Church, Old Hickory, Tenn.
667. Retain Position on Total Abstinence. Dix Methodist Church Members, Dix, Ill.
668. Reaffirm Stand on Total Abstinence. Mrs. Elmo Dyer, Ridgeway Meth. Church, Ridgeway, Ohio.
669. Reaffirm Stand on Total Abstinence. Mrs. Darrel Milliser, Belle Center Meth. Church, Belle Center, Ohio.
670. Retain Present Stand on Intoxicating Liquors. Mr. and Mrs. Arthur J. Hickman, Trinity Meth. Church, Milwaukee, Wis.
671. Maintain Stand on Total Abstinence of Alcoholic Beverages. Percy Lyell Sunday School Class, Ruth Ensor Methodist Church, Old Hickory, Tenn.
672. Maintain Stand on Total Abstinence of Alcoholic Beverages. Wesley Sunday School Class, Ruth Ensor Meth. Church, Old Hickory, Tenn.

MEMORIALS 673 TO 680, INCLUSIVE ARE ENTITLED, "COMMISSION TO STUDY SPIRITUAL HEALING" AND HAVE BEEN REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON THE MINISTRY

673. Curtis L. Wagner, Tennessee Conference.
674. Howard Farrer, Tennessee Conference.
675. Mrs. L. R. Wadley, First Meth. Church, Sarasota, Fla.
676. William D. Bray, Ohio Conference.
677. Thomas C. Burdette, St. James Meth. Church, Spartanburg, S. C.
678. Doris Runyan, First Meth. Church, Bushnell, Ill.
679. William B. Morgan, Casa Linda Meth. Church, Dallas, Tex.
680. Mrs. William B. Morgan, Casa Linda Meth. Church, Dallas, Tex.

MEMORIALS 681 TO 715, INCLUSIVE, ARE ENTITLED, "MINISTRY OF DIVINE HEALING" AND HAVE BEEN REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON THE MINISTRY

681. Mrs. J. L. Cantwell, State St. Meth. Church, Bristol, Va.
682. Mary Ann Prayer Group, Cambrian Park Meth. Church, San Jose, Calif.
683. Mrs. Arthur T. Sanborn, Wesley Meth. Church, Vienna, Va.
684. Mrs. Sylvia Guernsey, Meth. Church, Chariton, Iowa.
685. Mrs. L. M. Tipton Reed, First Meth. Church, Mayfield, Ky.
686. Mrs. J. A. Speights, Sardis Meth. Church, Sardis, Miss.
687. Mrs. F. H. Lynn, First Meth. Church, Deming, N. Mex.
688. Donald E. Steeb, Western Pennsylvania Conference.
689. Mrs. W. V. Scott, Central Meth. Church, Ft. Worth, Tex.
690. John E. Davis, Jr., Virginia Conference.
691. Mrs. R. K. Cunningham, Eleventh Ave. Meth. Church, Corsicana, Tex.
692. Mrs. A. C. Lockwood, First Meth. Church, Umatilla, Fla.
693. Murray A. Figg, Ohio Annual Conference.
694. Ethel G. Ward, et al., Lakewood Meth. Church, Cleveland, Ohio.
695. W. J. Briggs, North Indiana Conference.
696. Edwin S. Shoppe, et al., Virginia Conference.
697. D. Trigg James, Jr., Knoxville, Tenn.

698. Official Board, Lee's Landing Meth. Church, Ponchatoula, La.
699. Mrs. Vernon Bigler, University Meth. Church, Syracuse, N. Y.
700. Dorothy Leech, First Meth. Church, Sarasota, Fla.
701. Mrs. Rex Ziegler, Trinity Meth. Church, Chattanooga, Tenn.
702. Official Board, Wesley Chapel Meth. Church, Ponchatoula, La.
703. Frank Gibson, Centenary Meth. Church, Chattanooga, Tenn.
704. Harry L. Veatch, First Meth. Church, Ft. Wayne, Ind.
705. Roscoe C. Word, Church St. Meth. Church, Knoxville, Tenn.
706. Robert L. Clark, Baltimore Conference.
707. Mrs. Wesley Robinson, Groesbeck Meth. Church, Cincinnati, Ohio.
708. Thomas W. Rivers, First Meth. Church, West Palm Beach, Fla.
709. Mrs. Paul Plank, Wooster Meth. Church, Wooster, Ohio.
710. Mrs. Roy Batie, First Meth. Church, Lexington, Nebr.
711. Mrs. R. O. Cowan, Travis Pk. Meth. Church, San Antonio, Tex.
712. Brady B. Forman, Louisiana Conference.
713. Rev. Roy and Rev. Gertrude West, Nebraska Conference.
714. Homer M. Martin, Jr., Oklahoma Conference.
715. G. L. Evans, Nebraska Annual Conference.

MEMORIALS 716 TO 724, INCLUSIVE, HAVE BEEN REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS AND HOMES

716. Financial Support for General Board of Hospitals and Homes. National Assn. of Methodist Hospitals and Homes, G. H. Palmer, Pres.
717. Adequate Care of the Aged. Official Board, Christy Memorial Meth. Church, St. Louis, Mo.
718. More Homes for the Aged. C. J. Farderhose, Christy Memorial Meth. Church, St. Louis, Mo.
719. Duty of General Board of Hospitals and Homes (Par. 1558 .2). National Assn. of Methodist Hospitals and Homes, Larry M. Stokes, Chmn.
720. Clarify Relations between Boards of Hospitals and Homes and Christian Social Concerns. North Texas Annual Conference, Henry Mood, Secy.
721. Duties of Steward of Hospitals and Homes, Central New York Conference, Stanley C. Robinson, Secy.
722. Boards: Hospitals and Homes—Christian Social Concerns. Central Texas Annual Conference, J. D. F. Williams, Secy.
723. Duties of General Conference Board of Hospitals and Homes. West Wisconsin Annual Conference, Virgil W. Nulton, Secy.
724. Service and Welfare Areas, Hospitals and Homes. Kentucky Conference, Leslie M. Rogers, Secy.

MEMORIAL 725 HAS BEEN REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

725. Delete Words in Par. 1535—Mental Health. North Arkansas Conference, James R. Chandler, Secy.

MEMORIAL 726 HAS BEEN REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS AND HOMES

726. Care of Retarded and Handicapped Children. Mrs. H. E. Burgess, Nickerson Meth. Church, Nickerson, Kans.

MEMORIALS 727 AND 728 HAVE BEEN REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

727. Retarded and Handicapped Children. Mrs. Raymond Stewart, Meth. Church, Nickerson, Kans.
728. Retarded and Handicapped Children. Mrs. Morris Detter, Meth. Church, Nickerson, Kans.

MEMORIALS 729 AND 730 HAVE BEEN ENTITLED "RETARDED AND HANDICAPPED CHILDREN" AND HAVE BEEN REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS AND HOMES

729. Elizabeth Darnell, Meth. Church, Nickerson, Kans.
730. Mrs. L. H. Justus, Meth. Church, Nickerson, Kans.

MEMORIALS 731 TO 739, INCLUSIVE, ARE ENTITLED "CARE OF RETARDED AND HANDICAPPED CHILDREN" AND HAVE BEEN REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

731. Mrs. Ernest Bozeman, Meth. Church, Nickerson, Kans.
732. Robert S. Stuart, Meth. Church, Nickerson, Kans.
733. Mrs. Rufus Detter, Meth. Church, Nickerson, Kans.
734. Ruth Cain, Meth. Church, Nickerson, Kans.
735. Mr. and Mrs. Don Hollingsworth, Meth. Church, Nickerson, Kans.
736. Mrs. John Hamilton, Meth. Church, Nickerson, Kans.
737. Mrs. Russell Calcote, Meth. Church, Nickerson, Kans.
738. Miss Katie Bookless, Meth. Church, Nickerson, Kans.
739. Mr. and Mrs. Lewis Campbell, Meth. Church, Nickerson, Kans.

MEMORIAL 740 HAS BEEN REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

740. Service to the Handicapped. Mr. and Mrs. Victor Hopeman, First Meth. Church, Ann Arbor, Mich.

MEMORIALS 741 TO 743 INCLUSIVE, HAVE BEEN REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS AND HOMES

741. Clarify Relationship between Board of Hospitals and Homes and Board of Christian Social Concerns. Kenneth J. Harden, North Iowa Conference.
742. Membership of General Board of Hospitals and Homes. Executive Comm. Board of Directors, Home for Retired, Asbury Acres, Maryville Tenn. Fred C. Robinson, Chmn.
743. Clarify Areas of Responsibility—Board of Hospitals and Homes and Board of Christian Social Concerns. Rock River Conference, Gilbert A. Weisshaar, Secy.

MEMORIALS 744 TO 755, INCLUSIVE, ARE ENTITLED, "VOTING PRIVILEGES—APPROVED SUPPLY PASTORS" AND HAVE BEEN REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

744. Ohio Conference, W. Arthur Milne, Secy.
745. New York Conference, C. Wesley Christman, Secy.
746. Odie Gregg, North Alabama Conference.
747. Detroit Conference, R. S. Hocking, Secy.
748. Official Board, North Brewer-Eddington Meth. Church, Edington, Maine.
749. Central New York Conference, Stanley C. Robinson, Secy.
750. Troy Conference, William A. Groshans, Secy.
751. New England Southern Conference, M. Philip Susag, Chmn., Committee on Memorials.

752. Bangor District Conference, Maine Conference, Lewis Beckford, Dist. Supt.
753. North Alabama Conference, Calvin M. Pinkard, Secy.
754. Official Board, Meth. Church, Argyle, Wis., Mrs. Robert Hawky, Secy.
755. Official Board, First Meth. Church, Atwater, Minn. Mrs. Reinhardt Olson, Secy.

MEMORIALS 756 TO 790, INCLUSIVE, HAVE BEEN REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

756. Secret Vote Expressing View on Assignment of a Bishop. Bennett W. Palmer, Florida Conference (ret.).
757. Number of Episcopal Areas. Harold B. Williams, et al., North-east Ohio Conference.
758. Conference President W.S.C.S. Voting Member of Annual Conference. Robert E. Knupp, Lay Leader, Central Pennsylvania Conference.
759. Number of Members Required to Constitute an Annual Conference. Louisville Conference, L. W. Woodward, Secy.
760. Annual Conference Membership Conference Lay Leader with Vote. Robert E. Knupp, Lay Leader, Central Pennsylvania Conference.
761. Annual Conference Membership—Par. 21, Constitutional Amendment. Detroit Conference, R. S. Hocking, Secy.
762. Conference and District Lay Leaders—Tenure of Office. Virginia Annual Conference, C. A. Tucker, Secy.
763. Annual Conference and District Commission System Same as in Local Church, Louisville Annual Conference, L. W. Woodward, Secy.
764. Amendment—Voting Rights in the Annual Conference. Town and Country Commission, Central New York Conference, Stanley C. Robinson, Secy.
765. Conference and District Lay Leaders Voting Members of Annual Conference. Western North Carolina Conference, Charles D. White, Secy.
766. Ministerial Trustees of Annual Conference. California-Nevada Annual Conference, Robert A. Panzer, Chmn., Committee on Memorials.
767. Constitutional Amendment—Lay Member Delegate to General Conference be Ex-officio Member of Annual Conference. Robert A. Panzer, California-Nevada Conference.
768. Personnel Committee of Annual Conference. California-Nevada Conference, Robert A. Panzer, Chmn., Committee on Memorials.
769. Size of Annual Conference Commissions, Boards, and Committees. Southern California-Arizona Conference, Frank M. Gray, Secy.
770. Membership of District Conference. North Iowa Conference, Harvey Walker, Secy.
771. Basis of Membership in General and Jurisdictional Conferences in Annual Conference. North Alabama Conference, Calvin M. Pinkard, Secy.
772. Effective Date of New Legislation. Alva I. Cox and Howard J. Brown, Northeast Ohio Conference.
773. General Conference Legislation—Effective Date. Northeast Ohio Conference, J. M. Letts, Secy.
774. Actions of General Conference Available Immediately after Adjournment of General Conference. Louisville Conference, L. W. Woodward, Secy.

775. General Conference Legislative Committees Meet Two Days Before Opening Day of General Conference. Louisville Conference, L. W. Woodward, Secy.
776. Amendment—General and Jurisdictional Conferences Meet Every Two Years. Louisville Conference, L. W. Woodward, Secy.
777. Constitutional Amendment, Par. 6. G. Clifford Pettygrove, Epworth Meth. Church, Berkeley, Calif.
778. Date for Holding General Conference. Southeastern Jurisdictional Conference. Charles D. White, Secy.
779. Place of 1968 General Conference. North Iowa Conference, Harvey A. Walker, Secy.
780. Commission on Inter-Jurisdictional Affairs. Central New York Conference, Stanley C. Robinson, Secy.
781. Jurisdictional Conferences, Special Sessions. North Alabama Conference, Calvin M. Pinkard, Secy.
782. Jurisdictional Conference Meet Before General Conference. Louisville Conference, L. W. Woodward, Secy.
783. Abolish Central Jurisdiction by 1968 and All Forms of Segregation. W.S.C.S., Meth. Church, Arbutus, Md.
784. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Official Board, Parker Memorial Meth. Church, Kaneohe, Oahu, Hawaii.
785. Abolish Central Jurisdiction, Eugene Tate, Minnesota Conference.
786. Abolish Central Jurisdiction by 1968. Western Jurisdiction Wesleyan Service Guild, Mrs. Clarence A. Munger, Chmn.
787. Abolish Central Jurisdiction, Official Board, Centenary Meth. Church, Skowhegan, Maine.
788. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Harry Miles, et al., North Bethesda Meth. Church, Bethesda, Md.
789. Adopt Central Jurisdiction Study Committee's Report. National Conf. of the Methodist Student Movement, Charles W. Rinker, Jr., Secy.
790. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. New York Conference, C. Wesley Christman, Jr., Secy.

MEMORIALS 791 TO 799, INCLUSIVE, HAVE BEEN REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON THE MINISTRY

791. Full-time Approved Supply and Conference Membership. Southern Illinois Annual Conference, Eugene M. Leckrone, Secy.
792. Voting Privileges of Approved Supply Pastors. Official Board, Rosendale Meth. Church, Atwater, Minn.
793. Voting Privilege for Approved Supply Pastors. Lewis H. Beckford, Maine Conference.
794. Approved Supply Pastor and Conference Membership. Thomas A. Gotschall, Long Prairie Meth. Church, Long Prairie, Minn.
795. Voting Privileges of Approved Supply Pastors. Official Board, People's Meth. Church, Oregon, Wisconsin.
796. Additional Lay Delegate and Approved Supply Pastors. Charles Vance, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.
797. Additional Lay Delegates and Approved Supply Pastors. Lawrence Ems, New Salisbury, Ind.
798. Additional Lay Delegate and Approved Supply Pastors. Jacob I. Ems, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.
799. Additional Lay Delegates and Approved Supply Pastors. Ronald Zink, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.

MEMORIALS 800 THROUGH 848, INCLUSIVE, HAVE BEEN ENTITLED "ADDITIONAL LAY DELEGATE AND APPROVED SUPPLY PASTORS" AND HAVE BEEN REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

800. Harold C. Reichenbach, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.
801. Mrs. Harold Reichenbach, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.
802. Mrs. Nelson Hendrich, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.
803. Calvin Pierson, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.
804. Frieda I. Lamb, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.
805. Ralph G. Lamb, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.
806. Lewis A. Baylor, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.
807. Jackie Herthel, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.
808. Clarence Hartman, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.
809. Granville Herthel, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.
810. Sandra Hartman, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.
811. Howard Herthel, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.
812. Edith Hendrich, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.
813. Junior Heintz, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.
814. Mrs. Earl Fouts, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.
815. George Diedrick, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.
816. Howard Herthel, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.
817. Vicki Fouts, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.
818. Alma Byrn, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.
819. Mrs. Dorothy Krush, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.
820. Mrs. Nora Vance, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.
821. Mrs. Melba Fouts, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.
822. Lillian Ems, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.
823. Anna M. Wenning, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.
824. Mrs. Clara Hendrick, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.
825. L. O. Kohl, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.
826. Ward Herthel, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.
827. Steven Baylor, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.

828. Buddy McAfe, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.
829. Walter Gehm, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.
830. True Haub, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.
831. Phyllis Baylor, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.
832. Phyllis Wolfe, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.
833. Maurice Fouts, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.
834. Mike Heintz, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.
835. Fannie Heintz, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.
836. Ruth Hartman, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.
837. Jean Hartman, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.
838. Rita Heintz, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.
839. Glenus Jones, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.
840. Leona Baylor, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.
841. Naomi Jones, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.
842. George Krush, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.
843. Wilma L. Herthel, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.
844. Robert L. Kost, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.
845. Logan E. Scharrer, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.
846. Eleanor Suebbeman, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.
847. Catherine Suebbeman, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.
848. Wilma Pierson, Central Barren Meth. Church, New Salisbury, Ind.

MEMORIALS 849 THROUGH 879, INCLUSIVE, HAVE BEEN ENTITLED "VOTING IN ANNUAL CONFERENCE, APPROVED SUPPLY PASTORS AND LAYMAN," AND HAVE BEEN REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

849. Mary Reis, Emmanuel Meth. Church, Marysville, Ind.
850. Mr. and Mrs. William Dayhuff, Shiloh Meth. Church, Spencer, Ind.
851. Martha Goben, Emmanuel Meth. Church, Marysville, Ind.
852. Mrs. Bessie Fellows, Emmanuel Meth. Church, Marysville, Ind.
853. Mrs. Louise M. Allen, Emmanuel Meth. Church, Marysville, Ind.
854. Pauline Cash, Emmanuel Meth. Church, Marysville, Ind.
855. Oliver Dieterlen, Emmanuel Meth. Church, Marysville, Ind.
856. Mrs. June Newby, Emmanuel Meth. Church, Marysville, Ind.
857. Marvin E. Helzer, Emmanuel Meth. Church, Marysville, Ind.
858. Carrie E. Goben, Emmanuel Meth. Church, Marysville, Ind.

859. Evelyn Holle, Emmanuel Meth. Church, Marysville, Ind.
860. Nancy C. Goben, Emmanuel Meth. Church, Marysville, Ind.
861. Maurice W. Cash, Emmanuel Meth. Church, Marysville, Ind.
862. Beatrice McClure, Emmanuel Meth. Church, Marysville, Ind.
863. Mildred Parrish, Emmanuel Meth. Church, Marysville, Ind.
864. Kenneth Newby, Emmanuel Meth. Church, Marysville, Ind.
865. Dolores E. Cash, Emmanuel Meth. Church, Marysville, Ind.
866. Lester F. Reis, Emmanuel Meth. Church, Marysville, Ind.
867. Pauline Dieterlen, Emmanuel Meth. Church, Marysville, Ind.
868. Betty Newby, Emmanuel Meth. Church, Marysville, Ind.
869. Edward Fellows, Emmanuel Meth. Church, Marysville, Ind.
870. Clarence Daes, Emmanuel Meth. Church, Marysville, Ind.
871. Ethel Helser, Emmanuel Meth. Church, Marysville, Ind.
872. George D. Goben, Emmanuel Meth. Church, Marysville, Ind.
873. Mrs. Robin A. Goodwin, Emmanuel Meth. Church, Marysville, Ind.
874. Robin Goodwin, Emmanuel Meth. Church, Marysville, Ind.
875. Ivan Newby, Emmanuel Meth. Church, Marysville, Ind.
876. Mabel Koernner, Emmanuel Meth. Church, Marysville, Ind.
877. Allie Newby, Emmanuel Meth. Church, Marysville, Ind.
878. Ruth Newby, Emmanuel Meth. Church, Marysville, Ind.
879. Clara M. Dieterlen, Emmanuel Meth. Church, Marysville, Ind.

MEMORIALS 880 THROUGH 885, INCLUSIVE, HAVE BEEN ENTITLED, "RETAIN PRESENT JURISDICTIONS" AND HAVE BEEN REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

880. W.S.C.S., Lost Gap Meth. Church, Meridian, Miss., Mrs. Goldie Brewer, Pres.
881. Selma District Conference, Alabama-West Florida, Conf., Clyde F. Presley, Jr., Secy.
882. Mrs. J. F. Brownlee, Main St. Meth. Church, Hattiesburg, Miss.
883. Official Board, First Meth. Church, Winnsboro, La., S. E. Lee, Jr., Chmn.
884. Mrs. George T. Kimbrough, Main St. Meth. Church, Hattiesburg, Miss.
885. Official Board, First Meth. Church, Natchitoches, La., W. A. Bradley, Chmn.

MEMORIALS 886 TO 906, INCLUSIVE, HAVE BEEN ENTITLED "ABOLISH CENTRAL JURISDICTION" AND HAVE BEEN REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

886. W.S.C.S., Batavia District, Genesee Conf., Dorothy M. Kolbow, Secy.
887. Wesley Foundation, Ohio Univ., Athens, Ohio, Nancy Scott, Secy.
888. Suzanna Wesley Guild and Wesleyan Service Guild, First Meth. Church, Everett, Wash., Mrs. Roy Danby, Pres.
889. Kappa Phi Club, Nu Chapter, Univ. of Michigan, Patricia Gayles, Secy.
890. Methodist Men, Country Club Meth. Church, Kansas City, Mo., W. E. Clark, Pres.
891. Official Board, Metropolitan-Duane Meth. Church, New York, N. Y., Ralph F. Miller, Chmn.
892. W.S.C.S., Westville Meth. Church, New Haven, Conn. Marion L. Campbell, Pres.
893. Fourth Quarterly Conf., Meth. Church, Granville, N. Y., Wm. H. Wright, Secy.
894. Commission on Christian Social Concerns Epworth-Euclid Meth. Church, Cleveland, Ohio, Ethel H. Dudley, Chmn.

895. Commission on Christian Social Concerns, McKownville Meth. Church, Albany, N. Y., H. E. Hemmett, Secy.
896. Official Board, Damnemora Comm. Church, Damnemora, N. Y., W. C. Rhodes, Chmn.
897. Official Board, Grace Meth. Church, Fort Johnson, N. Y., Mrs. Theron Geesler, Secy.
898. Sigma Chapter, Kappa Phi, Trinity Meth. Church, Berkeley, Calif., Cheska Jo Callow, Secy.
899. Official Board, Trinity Meth. Church, Lynn, Mass., Anna M. Hunt, Secy.
900. Official Board, First Meth. Church, Warsaw, N. Y., Donald L. Weaver, Chmn.
901. Official Board, Epworth Meth. Church, Council Bluffs, Iowa, Paul Acord, Chmn.
902. W.S.C.S., First Meth. Church, Corning, N. Y., Carolyn H. Britton, Pres.
903. W.S.C.S., Trinity Meth. Church, Denver, Colo., Mrs. Leonard Roberts, Pres.
904. Official Board, Yellow Springs Meth. Church, Yellow Springs, Ohio, Charles D. Granger, Chmn.
905. Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Greene St. Meth. Church, Piqua, Ohio, Miss Helen M. Kopf, Chmn.
906. Commission on Missions, Epworth Meth. Church, Kalispell, Montana, Mrs. Ray Chrisinger, Chmn.

MEMORIALS 907 THROUGH 912, ON MISCELLANEOUS SUBJECTS HAVE BEEN REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

907. Change in Apostles' Creed, Paul H. Campbell, et al., Tenafly Meth. Church, Tenafly, N. J.
908. Study of Relation of the Arts of Life to the Church, National Fellowship of Methodist Musicians, Mrs. Norma Lowder, Secy.
909. Unified Study of Worship and the Fine Arts, Commission on Worship of the Methodist Church, Paul Burt, Secy.
910. Ritual for World Redemption, William B. Palmer, Florida Conference (ret.).
911. Protestant Rosary, William B. Palmer, Florida Conference (retired).
912. Holy Communion and Children, F. S. Schweinfurth, Western Pennsylvania Conference (ret.).

MEMORIALS 913 THROUGH 965, INCLUSIVE, HAVE BEEN REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON RITUAL AND ORDERS OF WORSHIP

913. Holy Communion Ritual. William Schueler, East Village Meth. Church, Monroe, Conn.
914. Order for Sacrament of Baptism—Infants and Children. North Iowa Annual Conf., Harvey A. Walker, Secy.
915. Ritual for "The Christian Funeral." Charleston Dist. Conf., West Virginia Annual Conf., J. Elbert Parkins, Secy.
916. Children and the Sacrament of Holy Communion. Mrs. J. A. Richardson, et al., Columbia Meth. Church, West Columbia, Tex.
917. Church Sacraments. Bennett W. Palmer, Florida Conf. (ret.).
918. Ritual for Sacrament of the Lord's Supper. Official Board, Olivet Meth. Church, Coatesville, Pa., James M. Haney, pastor.
919. Ritual for "Deconsecration of Churches." Ohio Annual Conference, W. A. Milne, Secy.

920. Commission on Nature and Place of the Sacraments. Ohio Annual Conf., W. A. Milne, Secy.
921. Sacrament of Lord's Supper—Only to Full Members of Christian Church. Charles R. Britt, Alabama-West Florida Conference.
922. Responsive Readings, etc. Western Pennsylvania Conference, Arthur Colley, Secy., Committee on Memorials.
923. Marriage Ceremony. Wm. B. Palmer, Florida Conference (ret.).
924. Adoption of Children—Ritual for. Wm. B. Palmer, Florida Conference (ret.).
925. Methodist Hymnal and Book of Worship in a Single Volume. Ralph E. Uth, Ohio Conf.
926. Publish New Hymnal and Book of Worship. Edward B. Hollenbeck, Little Rock Conf.
927. Common Hymnal. Newark Conference, Joseph M. Blessing, Secy.
928. Methodist Hymnal—Two Editions. District Superintendents, Lake Junaluska Mtg., July 18, 1963, J. C. Gilbert, Secy.
929. Methodist Hymnal and Biblical Reference. Detroit Conference, R. S. Hocking, Secy.
930. The Methodist Hymnal—Two Editions. Alabama-West Florida Conf., James E. Tate, Secy.
931. Hymnal, Braille Edition. Commission on Worship, Louisiana Conf., James E. Christie, Secy.
932. Hymnal and Apostles' Creed. Wm. B. Palmer, Florida Conference (ret.).
933. Apostles' Creed. Dulaney Barrett, New Mexico Conf.
934. Apostles' Creed—Change Wording. Paul B. Carr, Munsey Meth. Church, Johnson City, Tenn.
935. Apostles' Creed—Change "Catholic" to "Christian." Mrs. Stanley Shoultes and Mrs. Robert M. Meredith, First Meth-Church, Everett, Wash.
936. Apostles' Creed—Change Word. Dan Atterby, First Meth. Church, Lincoln, Ill.
937. Apostles' Creed, Change Word. Richard R. Guise, et al., New York Conference.
938. Simplify Methodist Ritual. Watford Reed, Lincoln St. Meth. Church, Portland, Ore.
939. Articles of Religion—Change Word in Par. 68. Richard Rowe, South Iowa Conference (ret.).
940. Postpone New Ritual and Orders of Worship. Philadelphia Conference, J. G. Mamourian, et al.
941. Place of the Invitation in Order for the Administration of the Sacrament of the Lord's Supper. Philadelphia Conference, William A. MacLachlan.
942. Ritual for Baptism of Infant or Child. Philadelphia Conference, William A. MacLachlan, Secy.
943. Retain Confirmation Blessing of 1960 Discipline. Philadelphia Conference, William A. MacLachlan, Secy.
944. Retain Word Methodist in Membership Obligation. Philadelphia Conference, William A. MacLachlan, Secy.
945. Report Commission on Worship Delete "or congregations," Page 34, Line 13. Philadelphia Conference, William A. MacLachlan, Secy.
946. Postpone Revision of Hymnal and Book of Worship. Philadelphia Conference, William A. MacLachlan, Secy.
947. Publish Articles of Religion in the Hymnal. Richard Bowyer, West Virginia Conference.
948. New Methodist Hymnal in Two Editions. Raymond E. Cardwell, Virginia Conference.

949. Report of the Commission on Worship: "Our Lord's Summary of the Law." Raymond E. Balcomb, Oregon Conference.
950. Report of Commission on Worship—Page 52—Cremation. Raymond E. Balcomb, Oregon Conference.
951. Report of the Commission on Worship, Page 25. Raymond E. Balcomb, Oregon Conference.
952. Report of Commission on Worship—Reception of Members, Page 21. Raymond E. Balcomb, Oregon Conference.
953. Report of Commission on Worship, Page 14. Raymond E. Balcomb, Oregon Conference.
954. Report of the Commission on Worship, Pages 15, 29. Raymond E. Balcomb, Oregon Conference.
955. Ritual Included in Part IX of the *1964 Discipline*. Commission on Worship of The Methodist Church, Paul Burt, Secy.
956. The Publishing of Occasional Officers and Ritual of the Methodist Church. Commission on Worship of The Methodist Church. Paul Burt, Secy.
957. Affirmation of Faith—Change Wording. Jimmy E. Howard, North Alabama Conference.
958. Secret Christ Worship. William B. Palmer, Florida Conference (ret.).
959. Communism and Christ Worship. William B. Palmer, Florida Conference (ret.).
960. Protestant Prayers for the Dead. William B. Palmer, Florida Conference (ret.).
961. Director of the Ministry of Music. Commission on Worship of The Methodist Church. Paul Burt. Secy.
962. Director of Music. Southern California-Arizona Conference, Frank M. Gray, Secy.
963. Director of the Ministry of Music. The National Fellowship of Methodist Musicians, Norma Lowder, Secy.
964. Official Church Year. Southern California-Arizona Conference, Frank M. Gray, Secy.
965. Service for the Adoption of a Child. A. E. Purviance, Florida Conference.

MEMORIALS 966 THROUGH 985, INCLUSIVE, HAVE BEEN REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON INTERDENOMINATIONAL RELATIONS AND ACTIVITIES

966. Church Union—EUB and Methodist. Newark Conference, Joseph M. Blessing, Secy.
967. Proposed Merger of Methodist Church and the EUB Church and the Jurisdictions. Lloyd F. Worley, New York East Conference.
968. Support Proposed Merger of Methodist Church and EUB Church. David B. Joslin, Troy Conference.
969. Support Proposed Merger of Methodist Church and Evangelical United Brethren Church. John Waggy, Jr., Troy Conference.
970. Support Proposed Merger of Methodist Church and Evangelical United Brethren Church. Harry W. Baker, First Methodist Church, Schenectady, N. Y.
971. Support the Merger with the EUB Church. James M. Boyd, Jr., Troy Conference.
972. Support Merger with EUB Church. Official Board of First Methodist Church, Schenectady, N. Y., James M. Boyd, Jr., Pastor.
973. Union of Christian Methodist Episcopal Church and The Methodist Church. Commission on Cooperation and Counsel, E. Clayton Calhoun, Secy.

974. Union of the EUB with The Methodist Church. Memphis Conference, V. M. Burnette, Secy.
975. Propose Union with Christian Methodist Episcopal Church, The African Methodist Episcopal Church and the African Methodist Episcopal Zion Church. Rock River Annual Conference.
976. Committee for Church Union for all Methodists. Committee on Church Union of New York East Conference.
977. A Methodist Ecumenical Conference. Carl Quinluin Baker, Central Illinois Annual Conference.
978. Church Union. Tracey K. Jones, Jr., et al., Malaya Conference.
979. Staff Position on Ecumenical Relations. J. Robert Nelson, et al., North-East Ohio Conference.
980. Ecumenical Relations Staff of Methodist Participants in Fourth World Conference on Faith and Order, William R. Cannon, et al.
981. Church Union and Ecumenical Consultations. Centralized Secretariat. New England Conference.
982. Commission on Church Union, Youth Members, Para. 1575. National Conference of the Methodist Student Movement.
983. Ecumenical Department or Secretariat. Ohio Annual Conference.
984. Church Union Open Communion, etc. Newark Annual Conference.
985. Pension Legislation, Para. 1631. Holston Conference.

MEMORIAL 986 DEALS WITH "AGE OF RETIREMENT, PARA. 369." REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY

986. South Georgia Annual Conference.

MEMORIALS 987 THROUGH 991, INCLUSIVE, WERE REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON PENSIONS

987. Pensions to Divorced Wife of Conference Member. Newark Conference.
988. Minimum Pension Benefits Widow of Minister. Ohio Conference.
989. Pension Privileges. Chester E. Wilcox, Peninsula Conference.
990. Pension. Re A Located Person, Paras. 379 and 1630.15a. Committee on Pension Legislation, General Board of Pensions.
991. Liens on Minister's Annuity. West Virginia Annual Conference.

MEMORIALS 992 THROUGH 1050 WERE REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

992. Improve Finances for Peace. Board of Christian Social Concerns, Detroit Conference.
993. Support of United Nations and International Court of Justice. Newark Conference.
994. Support United Nations and International Court of Justice. Michigan Conference.
995. Crusade for World Order. Central New York Conference.
996. World Order Study and Action, 1964-1968. Southern California-Arizona Conference.
997. World Program for Peace. Central Texas Conference.
998. Bishops Assemblies re World Order. North Iowa Annual Conference.
999. World Peace Program. North Arkansas Conference.

1000. Crusade for World Order 1964-1968. East Wisconsin Conference.
1001. General Board of Christian Social Concerns and A Major Peace Emphasis. Lester L. Moore, South Iowa Conference.
1002. Plans for Peace. Frank L. Perry, South Georgia Conference.
1003. Peace and World Order 1964-1968. Board of Christian Social Concerns. Official Board, Epworth Methodist Church, Council Bluffs, Iowa.
1004. Churchwide Crusade for Peace and Disarmament. Western Pennsylvania Conference Committee on Memorials.
1005. Council of Bishops and Peace Program. Genesee Annual Conference.
1006. Crusade for Peace. Missouri East Conference.
1007. Methodist Peace Effort. Board of Christian Social Concerns, Western North Carolina Annual Conference.
1008. Increase Financial Support for Peace. Detroit Conference.
1009. Increase Financial Support for Division of Peace and World Order. Michigan Conference.
1010. Crusade for Peace. Rocky Mountain Conference.
1011. World Crusade for Peace. Missouri West Conference.
1012. World Program for Peace. Kentucky Conference.
1013. Peace and World Order Program. Montana Annual Conference.
1014. World Order Crusade. Troy Conference.
1015. Peace Movement Under the Bishops, etc. New England Conference.
1016. One Year in Next Quadrennium-Program for Peace. Pacific Northwest Conference.
1017. Program for Freedom from War. Idaho Conference, V. Leon Bolen, Chmn., Committee on Memorials.
1018. Program—Peace and World Order, California-Nevada Conference, Robert A. Panzer, Chmn., Committee on Memorials.
1019. Program—World Peace. Kansas Conference, Hobart R. Hildyard, Secy.
1020. Churchwide Program for Peace. North Mississippi Conference, Walter D. Smith, Secy.
1021. Program for "World Order," J. K. Helms, St. Paul's Methodist Church, Trenton, N. J.
1022. Peace and World Order—Quadrennial Program. Alabama-West Florida Conference, James E. Tate, Secy.
1023. Quadrennial Program for Peace. Board of Christian Social Concerns, Central Pennsylvania Conference.
1024. Peace Emphasis, 1964-1968. South Iowa Conference, W. W. Steinmetz, Secy.
1025. Churchwide Peace Movement, 1964-1968. Western Pennsylvania Conference, Arthur Colley, Chmn., Committee on Memorials.
1026. Plan for World Order. South Georgia Annual Conference, Alvis A. Waite, Jr., Secy.
1027. Peace and World Order. Board of Christian Social Concerns, Virginia Conference.
1028. Peace and World Order Crusade. North-East Ohio Conference, J. Meade Letts, Secy.
1029. Race for Peace—Financial Support for Same. Pastors School, Anchorage, Alaska.
1030. Disarmament and Peace. North Dakota Conference, Ray McClure, Secy.
1031. Program 1964-68, World Peace. Fourth Quarterly Conference, Onset Community Methodist Church, Onset, Mass.
1032. Program 1964-68, World Peace. Montana Conference, Wilbur Whanger, Secy.

1033. Program for World Peace, 1964-1968. Andrew Leigh Gunn, Baltimore Conference.
1034. Methodist Peace Effort, World Peace. Ohio Conference, W. Arthur Milne, Secy.
1035. Program for Peace, 1964-1968. Oregon Conference, H. James Jenkins, Secy.
1036. Whiskey and Beer Commercials and TV Programs, etc. Para. 2020. R. W. Hurley, et al., Western North Carolina Conference.
1037. Reaffirm Position re Alcoholic Beverage, Para. 207, etc. Louvene Tallman, Zanesville Methodist Church, Zanesville, Ohio.
1038. Retain Stand on Total Abstinence. Cookeville Dist. Exec. Com., W.S.C.S., Tennessee Conference.
1039. Reaffirm Stand on Use of Alcoholic Beverages, Para. 207, etc. Mrs. Edna K. Davis, Methodist Church, Zanesville, Ohio.
1040. Promote Total Abstinence, Para. 246(5). Committee on Social Concerns, Methodist Church, Brookfield, Missouri.
1041. Retain Position on Total Abstinence. Mrs. A. D. Ottinger, Epworth Methodist Church, Valley City, N. Dak.
1042. Retain Present Position on Total Abstinence. Paras. 95, 307, 2020. III.C, 2022, 2023. Official Board, First Methodist Church, Collingswood, N. J.
1043. Alcoholism—Rehabilitative Program. Virginia Conference, C. A. Tucker, Secy.
1044. "The Liquor Industry"—Program 1964-1968 of Board of Christian Social Concerns. Western North Carolina Conference, Charles D. White, Secy.
1045. Alcohol and Cigarettes. Bennett Wm. Palmer, Florida Conference.
1046. Advertising of Tobacco and Alcoholic Beverages. West Virginia Conference, Virgil H. Ware, Secy.
1047. Mature Use of Alcoholic Beverages, Para. 207. John J. Shaffer, Central Illinois Conference.
1048. Alcoholic Beverage, Delete Para. 207. Official Board, Methodist Church, New Providence, N. J.
1049. Loan Funds and Alcoholic Beverages. John W. Eyster, North Methodist Church, Columbus, Ohio.
1050. Commitment, Change Date. Reid W. Digges, Jr., Manor Memorial Methodist Church, New Market, Va.

MEMORIALS 1051 THROUGH 1085, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY

1051. Care of Birthplace of Francis Asbury. Lucille T. Hamilton, Kings Highway Methodist Church, Brooklyn, N. Y.
1052. Care of Birthplace of Francis Asbury. M. Lawrence Snow, New York East Conference.
1053. Care of Birthplace of Francis Asbury. Emily N. Dipple, Kings Highway Methodist Church, Brooklyn, N. Y.
1054. Reaffirm Stand on Use of Alcoholic Beverages, Para. 207, etc. Wm. P. Gill, Methodist Church, Zanesville, Ohio.

MEMORIALS 1055 THROUGH 1077 DEAL WITH "CARE OF BIRTHPLACE OF FRANCIS ASBURY"

1055. Winifred J. Brown, Kings Highway Methodist Church, Brooklyn, N. Y.
1056. Dr. John Sibelding, Kings Highway Methodist Church, Brooklyn, N. Y.
1057. Arnold Sealander, Kings Highway Methodist Church, Brooklyn, N. Y.

1058. Henry E. Hodgson, Kings Highway Methodist Church, Brooklyn, N. Y.
1059. Steve Toma, et al., Kings Highway Methodist Church, Brooklyn, N. Y.
1060. John H. Scudder, et al., Kings Highway Methodist Church, Brooklyn, N. Y.
1061. Herbert B. Boschen, et al., Kings Highway Methodist Church, Brooklyn, N. Y.
1062. James P. Teatch, Jr., New York East Conference.
1063. Official Board, Prospect Methodist Church, Brooklyn, N. Y.
1064. Paul C. Eklof, Kings Highway Methodist Church, Brooklyn, N. Y.
1065. Louis D. Leone, New York East Conference.
1066. C. Richard Cox, New York East Conference.
1067. Lynn Harold Hough, New York East Conference.
1068. Loyd F. Worley, New York East Conference.
1069. Homer Rhinesmith, New York East Conference.
1070. Vernon Charles Nichols, New York East Conference.
1071. C. Newman Hogle, New York East Conference.
1072. Robert L. Peterson, North-East Ohio Conference.
1073. William J. Boone, New York East Conference.
1074. James R. McGraw, New York East Conference.
1075. Eric Cramer, Kings Highway Methodist Church, Brooklyn, N. Y.
1076. Arch Tremayne, Pastor Emeritus, Kings Highway Methodist Church, Brooklyn, N. Y.
1077. John W. Bardsley, New York East Conference.

MEMORIALS 1078 THROUGH 1084 ENTITLED "TRIBUTE TO HARRY F. WARD"

1078. Virginia F. Hood, Kings Highway Methodist Church, Brooklyn, N. Y.
1079. Nelda Scudder, et al., Kings Highway Methodist Church, Brooklyn, N. Y.
1080. David W. Briddell, Delaware Conference.
1081. Chester E. Hodgson, New York East Conference.
1082. Leon V. Kofod, New York East Conference.
1083. Bradford Gray Webster, Genesee Conference.
1084. Official Board, Penataquit Methodist Church, Bay Shore, N. Y.
1085. The Methodist Federation for Social Action (Unofficial) and the *Discipline*. Bradford Gray Webster, Genesee Conference.

MEMORIALS 1086 THROUGH 1103, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS (MEMORIALS 1086 THROUGH 1093, INCLUSIVE, DEAL WITH METHODIST FEDERATION FOR SOCIAL ACTION (UNOFFICIAL) AND THE *DISCIPLINE*.)

1086. David H. Weaver, Ohio Conference.
1087. Thoburn T. Brumbaugh, Ohio Conference.
1088. Commission on Social Concerns, Greenwood Avenue Methodist Church, Trenton, N. J.
1089. Leon V. Kofod, New York East Conference.
1090. Official Board, Penataquit Methodist Church, Bay Shore, N. Y.
1091. David M. Campbell, New York East Conference.
1092. Edwin S. Gault, New York East Conference.
1093. John W. Harris, retired, West Wisconsin Conference.
1094. California-Nevada Conference, Robert A. Panzer, Chmn., Committee on Memorials.

1095. Planned Parenthood. Southern California-Arizona Conference, Frank M. Gray, Secy.
1096. General Conference Study Commission on Church State Relations. Study Commission on Church and State Relations, W. Astor Kirk, Exec. Secy.
1097. Separation of Church and State—Endorse POAU. Official Board, Methodist Church, Kinnelon, N. J.
1098. Approve and Support POAU. Conference Board of Christian Social Concerns, Virginia Conference.
1099. Reaffirm Faith in POAU. Roanoke District Conference, Virginia Conference.
1100. Amend U. S. Constitution re Prayer and Bible-Reading, etc. Huntingdon Valley Methodist Church, Huntingdon Valley, Pa.
1101. Endorse Decision of Supreme Court re Prayer. New York East Conference, Edwin S. Gault, Jr., Secy.
1102. Bible-Reading and Prayers—U. S. Constitution Amendment. L. Thomas Moore, Jr., Philadelphia Conference.
1103. Support Prayer and Bible-Reading in Public Schools, etc., House Joint Resolution No. 698. Official Board, St. James Methodist Church, Philadelphia, Pa.

MEMORIAL 1104 "STAND FOR ALL PRAYERS" REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON RITUAL AND ORDERS OF WORSHIP

1104. Henry M. Folmer, Leola Methodist Church, Leola, Pa.

MEMORIALS 1105 THROUGH 1114, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

1105. Support Prayer and Bible-Reading in Public Schools, etc. W.S.C.S., Hymera, Indiana.
1106. Support Becker Amendment. W.S.C.S., Patoke Grove Methodist Church, Indiana.
1107. Prayer and Bible-Reading. Western Pennsylvania Conference, Arthur Colley, Chmn. Committee on Memorials.
1108. No Discrimination in Methodist Hospital. Christian Social Concerns Commission, First Methodist Church, Stillwater, Okla.
1109. Supreme Court of the United States and Education. Official Board, Shiloh Methodist Church, R. F. D. 2, Pelahatchie, Miss.
1110. Condemn U. S. Supreme Court re Decision in New York Prayer Case. Official Board, Shiloh Methodist Church, R.F.D. 2, Pelahatchie, Miss.
1111. Supreme Court Decision re Prayer in Public Schools. Official Board, Pleasant Ridge Methodist Church, DeKalb, Miss.
1112. Supreme Court Decision re Prayer in Public Schools. Official Board, Baylis Chapel Methodist Church, Columbia, Miss.
1113. Condemn Supreme Court Decision, New York Prayer Case. Official Board, Bonita Methodist Church, Bonita, Miss.
1114. Opposed to Residential Segregation. New York East Conference, Edwin S. Gault, Jr., Secy.

MEMORIAL 1115 DEALS WITH NO METHODIST FUNDS TO FOMENT RACIAL DISORDER, ETC. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LAY ACTIVITIES AND TEMPORAL ECONOMY

1115. Official Board, Piney First Methodist Church, Virginia Conference.

MEMORIAL 1116 DEALS WITH EMPLOYMENT REGARDLESS OF RACE. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

1116. Southern Illinois Conference, Eugene M. Leckrone, Secy.

MEMORIAL 1117 DEALS WITH METHODIST INSTITUTIONS AND RACE. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LAY ACTIVITIES AND TEMPORAL ECONOMY

1117. Board of Christian Social Concerns, Detroit Conference.

MEMORIAL 1118 DEALS WITH REJECTION OF METHODIST CONFERENCE ON HUMAN RELATIONS RECOMMENDATIONS. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

1118. Official Board, Gardendale Methodist Church, Gardendale, Ala.

MEMORIAL 1119 DEALS WITH ALL BOARDS, ETC. OF THE METHODIST CHURCH TO OBSERVE OFFICIAL PRONOUNCEMENTS IN RACE, PARA. 1109. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LAY ACTIVITIES AND TEMPORAL ECONOMY

1119. Rock River Conference, Gilbert A. Weisshaar, Secy.

MEMORIALS 1120 THROUGH 1123, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

1120. Legislation Against All Racial Discrimination. Official Board, First Methodist Church, Meriden, Conn.
1121. Racial Segregation in Public Schools. Official Board, Baylis Chapel Methodist Church, Columbia, Miss.
1122. Racial Segregation in Public Schools. Official Board, Pleasant Ridge Methodist Church, DeKalb, Miss.
1123. Segregation re Public Schools. Official Board, Bonita Methodist Church, Bonita, Miss.

MEMORIALS 1124 AND 1125 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LAY ACTIVITIES AND TEMPORAL ECONOMY

1124. Financial Support and Segregation. Lester L. Moore, South Iowa Conference.
1125. Allocation of Funds and Discrimination. Robert C. Pattison, South Iowa Conference.

MEMORIAL 1126 DEALS WITH METHODIST STUDENT DAY OFFERING AND RACE DISCRIMINATION. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

1126. Methodist Student Day Offering and Race Discrimination. Rock River Conference, Gilbert A. Weisshaar.

MEMORIALS 1127 AND 1128 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LAY ACTIVITIES AND TEMPORAL ECONOMY

1127. Withhold Financial Support—Racial Discrimination. Official Board, Methodist Church, Winfield, Iowa.
1128. Employment Regardless of Race—Grants to Churches and Segregation. Michigan Conference, J. Marion DeVinney, Secy.

MEMORIALS 1129 THROUGH 1131, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

1129. Methodist Institutions and Race. Detroit Conference, Reginald S. Hocking, Secy.

1130. Remove Discrimination from Church Related Institutions. Mrs. Robert H. Williams, Methodist Church, Dallas City, Ill.
1131. Remove Segregation in All Methodist Institutions. Central New York Conference, Stanley C. Robinson, Secy.

MEMORIAL 1132 DEALS WITH CHURCH MEMBERSHIP AND SEGREGATION, PARA. 106; REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MEMBERSHIP AND EVANGELISM

1132. Central Jurisdictional Study Committee, W. Astor Kirk, Secy.

MEMORIALS 1133 AND 1134 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

1133. Powers of Jurisdictional Conference and Segregation, Para. 527. Central Jurisdictional Study Committee, W. Astor Kirk, Secy.
1134. Transfer of Annual Conference and Local Church, etc. Para. 680. Central Jurisdictional Study Committee, W. Astor Kirk, Secy.

MEMORIAL 1135 DEALS WITH MINISTERS MEMBERSHIP IN CONFERENCE AFTER CONFERENCE TRANSFER, PARA. 681. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY

1135. Central Jurisdictional Study Committee, W. Astor Kirk, Secy.

MEMORIAL 1136 DEALS WITH ANNUAL CONFERENCE AND SEGREGATION. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

1136. Central Jurisdictional Study Committee, W. Astor Kirk, Secy.

MEMORIALS 1137 AND 1138 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LAY ACTIVITIES AND TEMPORAL ECONOMY

1137. Administrative Agencies and Segregation, Para. 1109. Central Jurisdictional Study Committee, W. Astor Kirk, Secy.
1138. Administrative Agencies and Board of Education and Segregation, Par. 1401.4. Central Jurisdictional Study Committee, W. Astor Kirk, Secy.

MEMORIAL 1139 DEALS WITH BOARD OF HOSPITALS AND HOMES AND SEGREGATION, PARA. 1558.2. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS AND HOMES

1139. Central Jurisdictional Study Committee, W. Astor Kirk, Secy.

MEMORIAL 1140 DEALS WITH APPROPRIATION TO EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS AND SEGREGATION, PARA. 1354.1, 4 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

1140. Central Jurisdictional Study Committee, W. Astor Kirk, Secy.

MEMORIAL 1141 DEALS WITH THE METHODIST PUBLISHING HOUSE AND SEGREGATION, PARA. 1132. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON PUBLISHING INTERESTS

1141. Central Jurisdictional Study Committe, W. Astor Kirk, Secy.

MEMORIAL 1142 DEALS WITH METHODIST EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS AND SEGREGATION, PARA. 1351.1. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

1142. Central Jurisdictional Study Committee, W. Astor Kirk, Secy.

MEMORIAL 1143 DEALS WITH BOARDS AND AGENCIES—NO RACE DISCRIMINATION. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

1143. Pacific Northwest Conference, Fred A. Rarden, Secy.

MEMORIALS 1144 THROUGH 1147, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

1144. Strengthen General Board of Christian Social Concerns. Official Board, Methodist Church, Winfield, Iowa.
1145. Change in the Methodist Social Creed, Para. 2020.III.E. Harry R. Mays, South Carolina Conference.
1146. Methodist Social Creed—An Addition to. Pat McCreal Armstrong, Southwest Texas Conference.
1147. The Methodist Social Creed—Change to 1908 Wording. Commission on Christian Social Concerns, First Methodist Church, Sedalia, Mo.

MEMORIAL 1148 DEALS WITH CHAIRMAN COMMISSION ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS—AN EX-OFFICIO STEWARD, PARA. 209. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LOCAL CHURCH

1148. Irwin Raut, First Methodist Church, Sedalia, Mo.

MEMORIAL 1149 DEALS WITH RESPONSIBILITIES, BOARD OF CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

1149. North Texas Conference, Henry Mood, Secy.

MEMORIALS 1150 THROUGH 1152, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

1150. Membership in Annual Conference Board of Christian Social Concerns, Para. 1545. Southern California-Arizona Conference, Frank M. Gray, Secy.
1151. District Director of Christian Social Concerns. Irwin Raut, First Methodist Church, Sedalia, Mo.
1152. Board of Christian Social Concerns and Board of Hospitals and Homes—Legislation. Holston Annual Conference, R. Kyle Tomlinson.

MEMORIALS 1153 THROUGH 1157, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

1153. Position on Social Concerns. Official Board, First Methodist Church, Augusta, Kansas.
1154. Strengthen Board of Christian Social Concerns. Robert C. Pattison, South Iowa Conference.
1155. Abolish the Commission on Christian Social Concerns. Official Board, First Methodist Church, Brookhaven, Miss.
1156. Abolish the Commission on Christian Social Concerns. Official Board, Piney Forest Methodist Church, Virginia Conference.
1157. Delete Paragraph re Statement Board of Christian Social Concerns, Official Board, Methodist Church, Waseca, Minn.

MEMORIAL 1158 DEALS WITH REPRESENTATIVES OF CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS ON DISTRICT CONFERENCE. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

1158. Commission on Christian Social Concerns, First Methodist Church, Sedalia, Mo.

MEMORIALS 1159 THROUGH 1191, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

1159. Abolish House Committee on Un-American Activities. Harold A. Otis, First Methodist Church, Kalamazoo, Mich.
1160. Display Christian Flag on Christmas Day. Methodist Ministers and Wives of Arlington and Alexandria Districts, Virginia Conference.
1161. Eliminate Sunday Drills for National Guard Units, etc. Dulaney Barrett, New Mexico Conference.
1162. Deaths and Injuries by Automobile. Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Methodist Church, Eagle Grove, Iowa.
1163. Protect All Life and Earth's Resources. F. William Schueler, East Village Methodist Church, Monroe, Conn.
1164. A Christian's Obedience to Governing Officials. Jack L. South, St. James Methodist Church, Newport News, Va.
1165. Methodist Church and Communism. Official Board, Shiloh Methodist Church, R.F.D. 2, Pelatachie, Miss.
1166. Oppose International Communism. Official Board, Bonita Methodist Church, Bonita, Miss.
1167. Re Educational Program as to Dangers of Communism. Official Board, Baylis Chapel Methodist Church, Columbia, Miss.
1168. Educational Program as to Dangers of Communism. Official Board, Pleasant Ridge Methodist Church, DeKalb, Miss.
1169. Opposed to Red China in U.N., etc. Official Board, First Methodist Church, Dunedin, Fla.
1170. Censure Annual Conference If Recognizing Red China, etc. William T. Wright, First Methodist Church, Rensselaer, N. Y.
1171. Opposed to Recognition of Red China and Cuba. Official Board and Men's Bible Class, Trinity Methodist Church, Charlotte Harbor, Fla.
1172. Favor People's Republic of China in the United Nations. Mount Hope Methodist Church, John S. Jury, Pastor.
1173. Support United Nations—Recognize People's Republic of China and Support It for United Nations. Michigan Conference, J. Marion DeVinney, Secy.
1174. Recognize People's Republic of China re U.N. Board of Christian Social Concerns, Detroit Conference.
1175. Favor People's Republic of China in the U.N. New York East Conference, Edwin S. Gault, Jr., Secy.

MEMORIALS 1176 THROUGH 1183 DEAL WITH METHODIST FEDERATION FOR SOCIAL ACTION (UNOFFICIAL) AND THE *DISCIPLINE* REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

1176. David H. Lindberg, Western Pennsylvania Conference.
1177. Virginia F. Hood, Kings Highway Methodist Church, Brooklyn, N. Y.
1178. New York East Conference, Edwin S. Gault, Jr., Secy.
1179. Wendell B. Kramer, Bolivia Conference.
1180. Chester E. Hodgson, New York East Conference.
1181. Lucille Hamilton, et al., Kings Highway Methodist Church, Brooklyn, N. Y.
1182. Mrs. Leon F. Wood, et al., First Methodist Church, Penn Yan, N. Y.
1183. Edward L. Peet, et al., California-Nevada Conference.

MEMORIAL 1184 DEALS WITH "METHODISM AND WORLD DEMOCRACY." REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

1184. Bennett Wm. Palmer, Florida Conference.

MEMORIALS 1185 THROUGH 1191, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

1185. Universal Language. Bennett W. Palmer, Florida Conference.
1186. World Government. Official Board, Collegiate Methodist Church, Ames, Iowa.
1187. Use of Weapons of Mass Annihilation. Board of Christian Social Concerns, Detroit Conference.
1188. Nuclear War and the Christian Gospel. Southern California-Arizona Conference, Frank M. Gray, Secy.
1189. Disarmament. Idaho Conference, Ralph A. Lawrence, Secy.
1190. Disarmament and Mass Annihilation. Detroit Conference, Reginald S. Hocking, Secy.
1191. Against Manufacture of Nuclear War Weapons—Support Disarmament. Michigan Conference, J. Marion DeVinney.

MEMORIAL 1192 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

1192. Boy Scout Award by Methodists. L. Thomas Moore, Jr., Philadelphia Conference.

MEMORIAL 1193 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY

1193. Record Death of Rev. Kermit E. Clayton, et al., Mr. and Mrs. Earl H. Wilding, Howe Memorial Methodist Church, Crescent City, Florida.

MEMORIAL 1194 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LAY ACTIVITIES AND TEMPORAL ECONOMY

1194. Shrines to Propagate the Christian Religion. Bennett Wm. Palmer, Florida Conference.

MEMORIAL 1195 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

1195. Morality and Motion Pictures. Southern California-Arizona Conference, Frank M. Gray, Secy.

MEMORIAL 1196 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MEMBERSHIP AND EVANGELISM

1196. Proselyting Sects. Bennett Wm. Palmer, Florida Conference.

MEMORIAL 1197 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON INTERDENOMINATIONAL RELATIONS AND ACTIVITIES

1197. Ecumenical Prayer Vigils. Bennett Wm. Palmer, Florida Conference.

MEMORIAL 1198 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LAY ACTIVITIES AND TEMPORAL ECONOMY

1198. World Martyrological Center. Bennett Wm. Palmer, Florida Conference.

MEMORIAL 1199 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LOCAL CHURCH

1199. Remembrance of the Methodist Dead. Bennett Wm. Palmer, Florida Conference.

MEMORIAL 1200 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON PUBLISHING INTERESTS

1200. A Methodist Colportage System." Bennett Wm. Palmer, Florida Conference.

MEMORIAL 1201 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MEMBERSHIP AND EVANGELISM

1201. Death Compacts. Bennett Wm. Palmer, Florida Conference.

MEMORIALS 1202 AND 1203 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LAY ACTIVITIES AND TEMPORAL ECONOMY

1202. Family Memorials and Local Church Benevolences. Bennett Wm. Palmer, Florida Conference.
1203. Tokens for Gifts. Bennett Wm. Palmer, Florida Conference.

MEMORIAL 1204 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON RITUAL AND ORDERS OF WORSHIP

1204. Religious Parades. Bennett Wm. Palmer, Florida Conference.

MEMORIAL 1205 DEALS WITH METHODISTS BECOMING ROMAN CATHOLICS, REFERRED TO COMMISSION ON MEMBERSHIP AND EVANGELISM

1205. Bennett Wm. Palmer, Florida Conference.

MEMORIALS 1206 AND 1207 WERE REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON INTERDENOMINATIONAL RELATIONS AND ACTIVITIES

1206. Protestant-Catholic Marriage—Premarital Contracts. Virginia Conference, Board of Lay Activities.
1207. Catholic-Protestant Relations. Detroit Annual Conference, Reginald S. Hocking, Secy.

MEMORIALS 1208-1211, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

1208. Methodist Support of POAU. Carlsbad District, New Mexico Conference.
1209. Study United Nations, etc. Men's Bible Class of Lakewood Methodist Church, Lakewood, Ohio.
1210. International Remedies, etc. Men's Bible Class of Lakewood Methodist Church, Lakewood, Ohio.
1211. Distribution of Race Relations Day Offering. Rock River Conference, Gilbert A. Weisshaar.

MEMORIALS 1212-1240, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

1212. Junior (Not Senior) Names to Church Colleges, Para. 149. Virginia Conference, C. A. Tucker, Secy.
1213. Legislation re Christian Higher Education. University Senate of The Methodist Church, John O. Gross, Exec. Secy.
1214. Financial Support for Colleges and Universities. Kentucky Conference, Leslie M. Rogers, Secy.
1215. Financial Goal for Christian Higher Education and Wesley Foundation. South Georgia Conference, Alvis A. Waite, Jr., Secy.
1216. Goal for Christian Higher Education and Wesley Foundation. Kentucky Conference, Leslie M. Rogers, Secy.
1217. Support of Methodist Colleges and Universities and Wesley Foundation. Oregon Conference, H. James Jenkins, Secy.

1218. Support Christian Higher Education and Wesley Foundation. National Association of Schools and Colleges of the Methodist Church, Ralph W. Decker, Secy.
1219. Director of the Ministry of Music, Para. 209. Commission on Worship, Paul Burt, Secy.
1220. Church Membership Training Materials, Para. 1418. Martha Jane Frary, Immanuel Methodist Church, Des Moines, Iowa.
1221. Material re Training for Church Membership. Official Board, Loudonville Methodist Church, Loudonville, Ohio.
1222. Church School Curriculum Materials and Seminary Courses, etc. Methodist Conference on Christian Education, Walter N. Vernon, Secy.
1223. Medical School—Washington, D. C. Virginia Conference, C. A. Tucker, Secy.
1224. Financial Support of The Methodist Seminaries of the General Church. South Dakota Conference, John De Vries, Secy.
1225. A Theological Seminary in Florida. Bennett Wm. Palmer, Florida Conference.
1226. A Methodist Theological Seminary in Memphis, Tennessee. Memphis Conference, V. H. Burnette, Secy.
1227. Schools of Theology and Admission of Students for the Ministry, Para. 1380. Florida Conference, Robert C. Boggs.
1228. Place of Christian Education in Curriculum of Methodist Theological Schools. Newark Conference, Joseph M. Blessing, Secy.
1229. Methodist Church School Curriculum Material and Schools of Theology, etc. Martha Jane Frary, Immanuel Methodist Church, Des Moines, Iowa.
1230. Camp Commission, Paras. 1442 and 1434. Southern California-Arizona Conference, Frank M. Gray, Secy.
1231. Camps, etc. and Board of Education, Paras. 1442.3, 1454, and 1461. Mississippi Conference, George H. Jones, Secy.
1232. Conference Board of Education, Organization of—Para. 1445, North-East Ohio Conference, J. Meade Letts, Secy.
1233. Conference Board of Education Officers—Para. 1445. Executive Committee, Board of Education, North-East Ohio Conference.
1234. Conference Board of Education—Membership and Organization. Methodist Conference on Christian Education, Walter N. Vernon, Secy.
1235. Conference Board of Education—Treasurer—Checks. New Hampshire Conference, William J. Davis, Secy.
1236. District Commission on Education, Para. 1460. North-East Ohio Conference, J. Meade Letts, Secy.
1237. District Commission on Christian Education. Executive Committee, Board of Education, North-East Ohio Conference.
1238. Inter-Conference Commission on Christian Higher Education, Para. 1452. Methodist Conference on Christian Education, Walter N. Vernon, Secy.
1239. Inter-Conference Commission on Higher Education, Para. 1452. North-East Ohio Conference, J. Meade Letts, Secy.
1240. Constitute an Inter-Conference Commission on Christian Higher Education. Executive Committee, Board of Education, North-East Ohio Conference.
1241. Program 1964-1968 re Church School Attendance, etc. Newark Conference, Joseph M. Blessing, Secy.
1242. Qualified Educational Assistants, Para. 247 and Para. 1451. California-Nevada Conference, Robert A. Panzer, Chmn., Committee on Memorials.

1243. Registered Educational Assistants. Board of Education, California-Nevada Conference.
1244. Conference Relation of Certified Directors of Christian Education. Oregon Conference, H. James Jenkins, Secy.
1245. Annual Conference Preachers' Book Exchange. Bennett Wm. Palmer, Florida Conference.
1246. Church Schools and Greek Letter Social Societies. Bennett Wm. Palmer, Florida Conference.

MEMORIALS 1247 AND 1248 WERE REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON THE LOCAL CHURCH

1247. Church School Treasurer and Commission on Education. Donald Mann, Central Illinois Conference.
1248. Advance Specials and Church School, Paras. 215.3 and 250.1. Southern California-Arizona Conference, Frank M. Gray, Secy.

MEMORIALS 1249-1265, INCLUSIVE WERE REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

1249. Educational Standards for Church School Teachers. Harold C. LaMotte, South Iowa Conference.
1250. General Board of Education—re Standards for Teachers in Church School, Para. 233.7(5) and 246.3. Newark Annual Conference, Joseph M. Blessing, Secy.
1251. Teachers of Adult Classes, Election of—Para. 246.2(c). Holston Conference, R. Kyle Tomlinson, Secy.
1252. Education of Adults—Program 1964-1968. Newark Conference, Joseph M. Blessing, Secy.
1253. Election of Adult Class Teachers and Leaders, Para. 246.2 and 246.3. Newark Conference, Joseph M. Blessing, Secy.
1254. Methodist Student Loan Fund—Increased Aid to Methodist Students to Attend Methodist Schools. Arthur R. Kirk, North-East Ohio Conference.
1255. Student Loan Fund—Study Outside the United States. Oregon Conference, H. James Jenkins, Secy.
1256. Student Loans. Mrs. J. J. Ballinger, Trinity Methodist Church, San Diego, Calif.
1257. Race Relations Sunday—Change Date. Virginia Conference, C. A. Tucker, Secy.
1258. Race Relations Day—Under Board of Christian Social Concerns—Offering Separate. Reid W. Digges, Jr., Manor Memorial Methodist Church, New Market, Va.
1259. Change Church School Rally Day to Christian Education Sunday. Newark Conference, Joseph M. Blessing, Secy.
1260. Church School Rally Day—Change Name, Paras. 250.2 and 296.1.f. Virginia Conference, C. A. Tucker, Secy.
1261. Church School Rally Day, Para. 250.2. Pacific Northwest Conference, Fred A. Rarden, Secy.
1262. Church School Literature, Use of—Mandatory. Mrs. Joan H. Myers, St. John's Methodist Church, Philadelphia, Pa.
1263. Use of Methodist Church School Teaching Materials. Newark Conference, Joseph M. Blessing, Secy.
1264. Church School Literature and Methodist Schools of Theology, also Para. 1418. National Conference of Christian Education —Section on Children's Work.
1265. Church School Literature and Race. Official Board, Shiloh Methodist Church, R.F.D. 2, Pelahatchie, Miss.

MEMORIALS 1266-1288, INCLUSIVE, WERE REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON INTERDENOMINATIONAL RELATIONS AND ACTIVITIES

1266. American Bible Society—159th Anniversary. American Bible Society, Laton E. Holmgren, General Secy.
1267. American Bible Society—Anniversary. New York East Conference, Edwin S. Gault, Jr., Secy.
1268. Creation of a Commission for Ecumenical Affairs. The Commission on Church Union, Charles C. Parlin.
1269. Merge with British Methodists, Official Board, Lincoln Street Methodist Church, Portland, Oreg.
1270. Union with African Methodist Churches. New York East Conference, Edwin S. Gault, Jr., Secy.
1271. Methodist Church withdraw from National Council of Churches. Official Board, Webb Methodist Church, Webb, Miss.
1272. Methodist Church withdraw from National Council of Churches, Official Board, The Sumner Methodist Church, Sumner, Miss.
1273. National Council of Churches—Withdraw Support. Phineas S. Boyer, Virginia Conference.
1274. Withdraw Support and Association with the National Council of Churches and World Council of Churches. Official Board, Piney Forest Methodist Church, Virginia Conference.
1275. Support of National Council of Churches. Official Board, St. Luke's Methodist Church, Jackson, Miss.
1276. National Council of Churches and the Methodist Church. Official Board, East Lake Methodist Church, Birmingham, Ala.
1277. Methodist Church Withdraw from National Council of Churches. Official Board, Hobson's Methodist Church, Powhatan, Va.
1278. Abolish Ties and Financial Support of National and World Council of Churches. Official Board, Lake Cormorant Methodist Charge, Miss.
1279. Abolish Ties and Financial Support of National and World Council of Churches. F. Wilson Ray, North Mississippi Conference.
1280. Methodist Church Withdraw Membership and Financial Support of National Council of Churches. Joyce May, Central Methodist Church, Meridian, Miss.
1281. Membership in and Financial Support of National Council of Churches. Official Board, Calvary Methodist Church, Nashville, Tenn.
1282. National Council of Churches Membership, etc. Official Board, Calvary Methodist Church, Nashville, Tenn.
1283. Censure National Council of Churches for Encouraging Disregard of Law. Otho D. Riley, et al. Centenary Methodist Church, Lynchburg, Va.
1284. Censure The National Council of Churches. Lynchburg Dist. Conference, Virginia Conference.
1285. Methodist Church withdraw from The National Council of Churches. Margaret M. Orrill, North Hollywood First Methodist Church, N. Hollywood, Calif.
1286. Support of National Council of Churches Direct to Annual Conferences. Official Board, West End Methodist Church, Nashville, Tenn.
1287. Continue Support of National Council of Churches. Donald H. Traylor, et al., Virginia Conference.

1288. Archival Building and Agency. Baltimore Annual Conference, Paul H. Groseclose, Secy.

MEMORIALS 1289-1299, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LAY ACTIVITIES AND TEMPORAL ECONOMY

1289. Archival Building at American University, Washington, D. C. Historical Society of Virginia Conference, Raymond F. Wrenn, Secy.
1290. Methodist Historical Archives, Methodist Historical Society of Northern Virginia, Mrs. Patti B. Rankin, Secy.
1291. Historic Building—Iowa Wesleyan College. South Iowa Conference, W. W. Steinmetz, Secy.
1292. Acuff's Chapel—A Shrine. Southeastern Jurisdictional Methodist Historical Society, E. E. Wiley, Jr., Secy.
1293. Old Stone Church Leesburg, Va.—An Official Shrine. Methodist Historical Society of Northern Virginia, Mrs. Patti B. Rankin, Secy.

MEMORIALS 1294-1298 ENTITLED OLD STONE CHURCH, LEESBURG, VA.—A METHODIST SHRINE

1294. Baltimore Conference, Paul H. Groseclose, Secy.
1295. Virginia Conference, C. A. Tucker, Secy.
1296. Virginia Annual Conference, C. A. Tucker, Secy.
1297. Historical Society of the Virginia Conference, Raymond F. Wrenn, Secy.
1298. Southeastern Jurisdictional Methodist Historical Society, E. E. Wiley, Jr.
1299. St. George's Methodist Church—support for Frederick E. Maser, Philadelphia Conference.

MEMORIAL 1300 DEALS WITH ANNUAL CONFERENCE HISTORICAL SOCIETY, PAR. 663. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

1300. Kansas Annual Conference, Hobart R. Hildyard, secretary.

MEMORIALS 1301 AND 1302 DEAL WITH ASSOCIATION OF METHODIST HISTORICAL SOCIETIES. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LAY ACTIVITIES AND TEMPORAL ECONOMY

1301. Association of Methodist Historical Societies, Elmer T. Clark, secretary.
1302. Association of Methodist Historical Societies, Elmer T. Clark, secretary.

MEMORIALS 1303 THROUGH 1305 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LAY ACTIVITIES AND TEMPORAL ECONOMY

1303. Methodist History. Bennett William Palmer.
1304. Create General Methodist Historical Society. South Iowa Conference, W. W. Steinmetz, secretary.
1305. Church Archives. Southeastern Jurisdictional Methodist Historical Society, E. E. Wiley, secretary.

MEMORIAL 1306 DEALS WITH DISCONTINUED OR ABANDONED CHURCHES. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

1306. Western Pennsylvania Conference, Arthur Colley.

MEMORIALS 1307 AND 1308 WERE REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LAY ACTIVITIES AND TEMPORAL ECONOMY

1307. Conference Board of Lay Activities, Quadrennial. South Central Jurisdictional Board of Lay Activities, Virgil Morris.
1308. Financial Support of TRAFCO. Dulaney Barrett, et al.

MEMORIAL 1309 DEALS WITH TV SERIES, TRAFCO AND BOARD OF MISSIONS. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MISSIONS

1309. Idaho Conference, Ralph A. Lawrence, secretary.

MEMORIALS 1310 THROUGH 1313 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE OF LAY ACTIVITIES AND TEMPORAL ECONOMY

1310. Materials for Training Lay Delegates to Annual Conference. Woman's Society of Christian Service Executive Committee, South Central Jurisdiction.
1311. Institutes for Training in Lay Leadership. Official Board, St. James Meth. Church, Stoneham, Mass.
1312. Election of District Lay Leaders. Oscar L. Atteberry.
1313. Election of Conference Lay Leader. Oscar L. Atteberry.

MEMORIAL 1314 DEALS WITH LAY PERSON AUTHORIZED TO ASSIST IN ADMINISTERING HOLY COMMUNION. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON RITUAL AND ORDERS OF WORSHIP

1314. Bernard A. Moses, Rexford R. Strickland.

MEMORIALS 1315 THROUGH 1331 WERE REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LAY ACTIVITIES AND TEMPORAL ECONOMY

1315. Episcopal Fund, Apportionment. L. C. Summers, E. A. Polk.
1316. Church Membership and Apportionments. John B. Olds.
1317. Church Membership and Apportionments. John B. Olds.
1318. Apportionment of Various Budgets. L. D. Summers, E. A. Polk.
1319. Trustees, Methodist Institutions. M. M. Finkbeiner.
1320. Minimum Salary Fund, apportionment, North-East Ohio Conference, J. Meade Letts, secretary.
1321. All Benevolence Money through Conference Treasurer. Memphis Annual Conference, V. H. Burnette, secretary.
1322. Monies through Conference Treasurer. Kentucky Annual Conference, Leslie M. Rogers, secretary.
1323. Fiscal Year Same as Calendar Year for All Methodist Churches. Alva I. Cox.
1324. Conference Commission on World Service and Finance, Membership on. Ted Richardson.
1325. Investment Ownership, Ground Rents and Real Property. Baltimore Conference, Paul H. Groseclose, secretary.
1326. Purchase of District Parsonage. New England Conference, Daniel A. Thurston, secretary.
1327. Episcopal Fund, Rate of Apportionment. Odie Gregg.
1328. Committee of 24 to Study Church Organization. South Iowa Conference, W. W. Steinmetz, secretary.
1329. World Service Apportionments, Minimum Increase. Western North Carolina Conference, Charles D. White, secretary.
1330. Dates of World Order Sunday and Laymen's Day. Oregon Conference, H. James Jenkins.
1331. Dates of World Order Sunday and Laymen's Day. Southern Illinois Conference, Eugene M. Leckrone, secretary.

MEMORIALS 1332 AND 1333 WERE REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON RITUAL AND ORDERS OF WORSHIP

1332. Commitment Sunday Observance. Southern Illinois Conference, Eugene M. Leckrone, secretary.
1333. Change Date of Commitment Sunday. Rock River Conference, Gilbert A. Weisshaar, secretary.

MEMORIALS 1334 THROUGH 1341 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LAY ACTIVITIES AND TEMPORAL ECONOMY

1334. Official Methodist Emblem. Oregon Conference, J. James Jenkins, secretary.
1335. Annual Conference Poetry Club. Bennett William Palmer.
1336. Appoint Committee Empowered to Develop Emblem. A. Ray Neptune.
1337. Official Symbol for Methodism. Commission on Promotion and Cultivation of The Methodist Church, Elliott L. Fisher, secretary.
1338. Windows in Wesley's Chapel, London, England. Irwin Raut.
1339. Number of Associate District Lay Leaders. W. H. Ruff.
1340. Number of Associate Conference Lay Leaders. W. H. Ruff.
1341. Training Lay Delegates to Annual Conference. Woman's Society of Christian Service Executive Committee, South Central Jurisdiction.

MEMORIAL 1342 DEALS WITH MULTI-CHURCH COMMISSION AND OFFICIAL BOARD AND IS REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

1342. Missouri East Conference, John W. Ward, Jr.

MEMORIAL 1343 DEALS WITH FEWER MEETINGS IN LOCAL CHURCH. REFERRED TO LOCAL CHURCH COMMITTEE

1343. Commission on Membership and Evangelism, Spencer Methodist Church, Pittsburgh, Pa.

MEMORIAL 1344 DEALS WITH OFFICIAL BOARD MEMBERSHIP. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

1344. Clifford Zirkel, Jr.

MEMORIALS 1345 THROUGH 1353 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LOCAL CHURCH

1345. Official Board Members and Committees. Charles S. Aldrich.
1346. Election of Committee Chairmen. Charles S. Aldrich.
1347. Youth Representative on Official Board. South Iowa Conferference, W. W. Steinmetz, chairman.
1348. Structure of Official Board, study of. North-East Ohio Conference, J. Meade Letts.
1349. Fourth Quarterly Conference. Only One Required. Charles S. Aldrich.
1350. Charge, Not Quarterly, Conference. Charles S. Aldrich.
1351. Charge Conference, Election of Church Officers. Charles S. Aldrich.
1352. Relationship of Quarterly Conference and Official Board. Southern California-Arizona Conference, Frank M. Gray, secretary.
1353. Study of Local Church Structure, New York Conference, C. Wesley Christman, Jr.

MEMORIALS 1354 THROUGH 1356 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MEMBERSHIP AND EVANGELISM

1354. Restore Inactive Membership Rolls. Official Board, Bustleton Meth. Church, Philadelphia Conf.
1355. Membership Records and Reports. William C. Harpold.
1356. Record of Names Removed by Quarterly Conference. New England Conference, Daniel A. Thurston, secretary.

MEMORIALS 1357-1370 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LOCAL CHURCH

1357. Effective Date for Quarterly Conference Elections. New England Conference, Daniel A. Thurston, secretary.
1358. Pastor's Name and Quarterly Conference Minutes. New Mexico Conference, Bervin Caswell.
1359. Elections by Quarterly Conference. Pacific Northwest Conference, Fred A. Rarden, secretary.
1360. Church Treasurer and Financial Secretary. Rock River Conference, Gilbert A. Weisshaar, secretary.
1361. Elective Term of Local Church Treasurer and Financial Secretary. Troy Conference, William A. Groshans, secretary.
1362. Local Church Committee on Nominations. Methodist Rural Fellowship, Clyde N. Rogers.
1363. Election of Officers of Official Board. Interboard Commission on the Local Church, Harold H. Hughes, secretary.
1364. Elected Stewards, Age Requirements. C. Wesley Christman, Jr.
1365. Rotation of Elective Stewards. Ellis H. Dugger.
1366. Ex-Officio Stewards in the Local Church. Interboard Commission on the Local Church, Harold H. Hughes, secretary.
1367. Ex-Officio Stewards. Commission on Christian Social Concerns, First Meth. Church, La Porte City, Iowa.
1368. Each Steward Serves on Commission. Mrs. A. B. Carroll.
1369. Church Conferences. Mrs. Harold C. Gould.
1370. Church Conference Membership. Training Class of Mooreland Methodist Church, Oklahoma.

MEMORIAL 1371 DEALS WITH INACTIVE MEMBERSHIP ROLL IN LOCAL CHURCH. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MEMBERSHIP AND EVANGELISM

1371. G. R. McKenzie, Jr.

MEMORIAL 1372 DEALS WITH CHURCH ATTENDANCE. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY

1372. Charles S. Aldrich.

MEMORIALS 1372-1384 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LOCAL CHURCH

1373. Policy and Program Committee in Local Church. Methodist Rural Fellowship.
1374. Change Name of Policy Committee. Robert W. Moon.
1375. Tenure of Local Church Officers. Jack McNabb.
1376. Ex-Officio Stewards. Commission on Christian Social Concerns, St. John's Methodist Church, Memphis Conference.
1377. Ex-Officio Stewards. Pacific Northwest Conference, Fred A. Rarden.
1378. Interboard Commission on Local Church. Interboard Commission on Local Church, Harold H. Hughes, secretary.
1379. Interboard Commission on Local Church. Interboard Commission on Local Church, Harold H. Hughes, secretary.

1380. Secretarial Council of Interboard Commission on Local Church. Interboard Commission on Local Church, Harold H. Hughes, secretary.
1381. Abolish Interboard Commission on Local Church. Richard G. Milk.
1382. Circuit Commission Plan. East Wisconsin Conference.
1383. Nominating Committee. Southern California-Arizona Conference, Frank M. Gray, secretary.
1384. Commissions of Small Churches. Baltimore Conference, Paul H. Groseclose, secretary.

MEMORIAL 1385 DEALS WITH STUDY OF SMALL RURAL CHURCHES. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MISSIONS

1385. North-East Ohio Conference, J. Meade Letts, secretary.

MEMORIAL 1386 DEALS WITH LOCAL CHARGE REPRESENTATION IN ANNUAL CONFERENCE. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

1386. Mrs. Arnold Atkins.

MEMORIAL 1387 DEALS WITH SMALL CHURCHES AND ASSESSMENTS. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LAY ACTIVITIES AND TEMPORAL ECONOMY

1387. Clyde B. Garner.

MEMORIALS 1388 THROUGH 1432, INCLUSIVE, HAVE BEEN REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON THE LOCAL CHURCH

1388. Accounting Manual for Local Church. Schuyler Eves, Methodist Church, Jenkintown, Pa.
1389. Trustees—Local Church—All Members of the Methodist Church. Charles S. Aldrich, Genesee Conference.
1390. Local Church—Board of Trustees. Ben F. Lehmberg, Rocky Mountain Conference.
1391. Local Church Trustees, Election. Warren E. Glancy, Immanuel Methodist Church, Waltham, Mass.
1392. Local Church Board of Trustees, Tenure of Office. Coleman R. Hamel, St. Paul's Methodist Church, Danville, Pa.
1393. Local Church to Determine Race Problem, Laymen's Club of Nashville Dist., W. B. Brodie, Secy.
1394. Study Local Church Being Continued as an Organized Church. Methodist Rural Fellowship, Committee on Legislation, Clyde N. Rogers, N.E. Ohio Conference.
1395. Local Church Committee on Records and History. Central Kansas Conference, O. F. Evans, Secy.
1396. Committee on Records and History—Local Church. Kansas Conference, Hobart R. Hildyard, Secy.
1397. Annual Conference Historical Society and Local Church Committee on Church Records. Association of Methodist Historical Societies, Elmer T. Clark, Secy.
1398. Church Lay Leader Ex-Officio Member of All Commissions. Rock River Conference, Gilbert A. Weisshaar, Secy.
1399. Lay Leader—Member of the Pastoral Relations Committee. New York East Conference, Edwin S. Gault, Jr., Secy.
1400. Chairman Official Board and Church Lay Leader. Earl R. Hoggard, North Texas Conference.
1401. Local Church Lay Leader. Pacific Northwest Conference, Fred A. Rarden, Secy.
1402. Chairmen of Commissions, Tenure of. Ellis H. Dugger, Southern Illinois Conference.

1403. Members of Local Church to Serve All Positions in Local Church. Donald G. Emler, Missouri West Conference.
1404. Members Elected to Charge or Circuit Commissions. Methodist Rural Fellowship, Committee on Legislation. Clyde N. Rogers, N.E. Ohio Conference.
1405. Function and Duty of Committee on Pastoral Relations. Murray A. Carson, Virginia Conference.
1406. Committee on Pastoral Relations. Murray A. Carson, Virginia Conference.
1407. Reword Functions of Committee on Pastoral Relations. Murray A. Carson, Virginia Conference.
1408. Status of Committee on Pastoral Relations. Murray A. Carson, Virginia Conference.
1409. Committee on Pastoral Recall. Murray A. Carson, Virginia Conference.
1410. Responsibility for Fixing Pastor's Salary. Central New York Conference, Stanley C. Robinson, Secy.
1411. Chairman Local Church Commission on Christian Social Concerns an Ex-officio Steward. Fourth Quarterly Conference, Cokesbury Meth. Church, Woodbridge, Va.
1412. Chairman Local Church Committee on Christian Social Concerns an Ex-officio Member of Official Board. North Alabama Conference, Calvin M. Pinkard, Secy.
1413. Commission on Christian Social Concerns of Local Church—Membership. North Arkansas Conference, James R. Chandler, Secy.
1414. Chairman, Commission on Christian Social Concerns Ex-officio Member of Official Board. Virginia Conference, C. A. Tucker, Secy.
1415. Chairman, Commission on Christian Social Concerns. North Carolina Conference Board of Christian Social Concerns, W. Carlson Wilson, Secy.
1416. Committee on Christian Vocations—Local Church—Required. Executive Committee, Arlington District WSCS, Virginia Conference, Mrs. LaReau Keagle, Secy.
1417. Commission on Christian Vocations Mandatory in Local Church. Peninsula Conference, Walter L. Beckwith, Secy.
1418. Committee on Christian Vocations—Local Church—Required. Woman's Guild of Wesley Theological Seminary, Anne M. Jackson, 4400 Massachusetts Ave., Washington, D. C.
1419. Elections by Commission on Education Par. 233.7b. Robert E. Davis, First Methodist Church, Marion, Iowa.
1420. Relation of Committee on Vocations and Commission on Christian Education in Local Church. John H. Yungclas, Aldersgate Meth. Church, Urbandale, Ia.
1421. Local Church Committee on Christian Higher Education. Kentucky Conference, Leslie M. Rogers, Secy.
1422. Committee on Christian Higher Education in Commission on Education in Local Church. South Georgia Conference, Alvis A. Waite, Jr., Secy.
1423. Duties of Commission on Education—Local Church. Newark Conference, Joseph Blessing, Secy.
1424. Commission on Education Membership include Local Church School Treasurer. Commission on Education, York Methodist Church, York, Nebr. Victor L. Michel, Chmn.
1425. Commission on Worship Mandatory in Local Church—Par. 219, 144, 219. National Conference of the Methodist Student Movement. Charles W. Rinker, Jr.
1426. Local Church Commission on Worship—Membership. New England Conference, Daniel A. Thurston, Secy.

1427. Commission on Worship in Local Church, Required. Commission on Worship, Louisiana Conference, James E. Christie, Secy.
1428. Commission on Worship, Local Church. Commission on Worship of The Methodist Church, Paul Burt, Secy.
1429. Commission on Worship in Local Church Par. 276. Methodist Rural Fellowship, Committee on Legislation. Clyde N. Rogers, Secy.
1430. Commission on Worship—Required in Local Church Par. 219.1. Methodist Rural Fellowship, Committee on Legislation. Clyde N. Rogers, Secy.
1431. Commission on Worship—Local Church Par. 276. Methodist Rural Fellowship, Committee on Legislation. Clyde N. Rogers, Secy.
1432. Commission on Worship, Local Church. Commission on Worship of The Methodist Church, Paul Burt, Secy.

MEMORIAL 1433 COMMISSION ON STEWARDSHIP AND FINANCE, REPRESENTATION ON BY W.S.C.S. IN LOCAL CHURCH, HAS BEEN REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON LAY ACTIVITIES AND TEMPORAL ECONOMY

1433. Rocky Mountain Conference, Donald J. Grooters, Secy.

MEMORIAL 1434 WOMAN'S SOCIETY OF CHRISTIAN SERVICE AND LOCAL CHURCH, FINANCIAL SUPPORT HAS BEEN REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON MISSIONS

1434. David M. Campbell, New York East Conference.

MEMORIALS 1435 TO 1440, INCLUSIVE, HAVE BEEN REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON THE LOCAL CHURCH

1435. Local Church Sponsoring New Churches. Interboard Commission on the Local Church, Harold H. Hughes, Secy.
1436. No Smoking in Church Buildings. William E. Timms, Michigan Conference.
1437. Change Name of Commission on Christian Vocations. Commission on Christian Vocations of the Northern New York Conference, Charles H. Marks, Secy.
1438. Opposed to Local Church Commission or Committee on Christian Vocations Being Required. Commission on Christian Vocations of the Northern New York Conference, Charles H. Marks, Secy.
1439. Change Quarterly Conferences to Church Conferences. Official Board, First Methodist Church, Uhrichsville, Ohio. Mrs. Catherine Reiners, Uhrichsville, Ohio.
1440. Change Quarterly Conferences to Church Conferences. Arthur Kirk, North-East Ohio Conference.

MEMORIAL 1441 MORE DIRECT LEADERSHIP BY BISHOPS AND OTHER OFFICERS OF THE METHODIST CHURCH RE AREA OF RACE RELATIONS HAS BEEN REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

1441. Committee on Christian Social Concerns, First Methodist Church, Hitchcock, Texas, Larry W. Cantwell, Chmn.

MEMORIAL 1442 ELECT NO BISHOPS THIS YEAR HAS BEEN REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON THE MINISTRY

1442. A. C. McClenney, St. Paul Methodist Church, Birmingham, Alabama.

MEMORIALS 1443 TO 1446, INCLUSIVE, ARE ENTITLED ELECTION OF BISHOPS BY GENERAL CONFERENCE AND HAVE BEEN REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

1443. Woman's Society of Christian Service, Methodist Church, Dexter, Maine. Mrs. Rockmay Wing, Secy. of Christian Social Relations.
1444. Genesee Conference, W. E. Slocum, Secy.
1445. W.S.C.S., Methodist Church, Guilford, Maine. Esther L. Woolsey, Pres.
1446. W.S.C.S. Executive Committee, New York Conference, Mrs. Earl L. Engle, Pres.

MEMORIALS 1447 TO 1449, INCLUSIVE, HAVE BEEN REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON THE MINISTRY

1447. Duties of the Bishop Par. 432. Joe W. Pinson, McKenzie Memorial Methodist Church, Clarksville, Texas.
1448. Duties of a Bishop Par. 431. Negail R. Riley, Southwest Conference.
1449. Bishop Report to Committee on Episcopacy Par. 526. Negail R. Riley, Southwest Conference.

MEMORIALS 1450 TO 1454, INCLUSIVE, TRANSFER BISHOPS ACROSS JURISDICTIONAL LINES HAVE BEEN REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

1450. Official Board, First Methodist Church, Schenectady, N. Y., James Boyd, Jr., Pastor.
1451. John J. Waggy, Jr., Troy Conference.
1452. Harry W. Baker, First Methodist Church, Schenectady, N. Y.
1453. David B. Joslin, Troy Conference.
1454. James H. Boyd, Jr., Troy Conference.

MEMORIALS 1455 TO 1457, INCLUSIVE, HAVE BEEN REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON THE MINISTRY

1455. Bishops and Pastoral Aspects of the Ministry. James M. Boyd, Jr., Troy Conference.
1456. Bishops and Pastoral Aspects of the Ministry. Official Board, First Methodist Church, Schenectady, New York, James M. Boyd, Jr., Pastor.
1457. Status of Approval Supply Pastors Par. 314. Supply Pastors' School Alumni Assn., Baltimore Conference, Wm. C. Harpold, Pres.

MEMORIAL 1458 ANNUAL CONFERENCE MEMBERSHIP AND FULL TIME APPROVED SUPPLY PASTORS HAS BEEN ASSIGNED TO THE COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

1458. Minnesota Annual Conference, Willard S. Allin, Secy.

MEMORIALS 1459 TO 1464, INCLUSIVE, HAVE BEEN REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON THE MINISTRY

1459. Guidance and Fellowship for Supply Pastors, Etc. Par. 321. Methodist Rural Fellowship, Committee on Legislation. Clyde N. Rogers, N.E. Ohio Conference.
1460. Study Requirements for Approved Supply Pastors. Sam A. Ivey, North Alabama Conference.
1461. Clarification of Ministerial Status. Southern Illinois Conference, Eugene M. Leckrone, Secy.

1462. Unordained Persons and the Sacraments. The Midwest Section of the Order of Saint Luke. Charles E. Napier.
1463. Sabbatical Leave and Appointment Status. Alva I. Cox, Northeast Ohio Conference.
1464. Change of Appointment between Sessions of the Annual Conference Par. 432.2 Methodist Rural Fellowship, Committee on Legislation. Clyde N. Rogers, North-East Ohio Conference.

MEMORIAL 1465 ESTABLISH AN INSTITUTIONAL MINISTRY HAS BEEN REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS AND HOMES

1465. Philadelphia Missionary and Church Extension Society, Paul W. Foley, Ex. Secy.

MEMORIAL 1466 UNORDAINED PART-TIME SUPPLY PASTORS AND COURSES OF STUDY, HAS BEEN REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON THE MINISTRY

1466. Board of Ministerial Training and Qualifications of the North-East Ohio Conference, Avery Butler, Registrar.

MEMORIAL 1467 APPROVED SUPPLY PASTORS AND CONFERENCE MEMBERSHIP HAS BEEN REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

1467. Official Board, Clotho Methodist Church, Long Prairie, Minn. Harold K. Garoutte, Chmn.

MEMORIAL 1468 REPORTING NAMES OF PERSONS GRANTED LICENSE TO PREACH HAS BEEN REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON THE MINISTRY

1468. Representatives of Committees on the One Per Cent Fund for Ministerial Education of the Conference Boards of Ministerial Training and Qualifications, Southeastern Jurisdiction. D. Trigg James, Secy.

MEMORIALS 1469 TO 1473, INCLUSIVE, HAVE BEEN REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON THE MINISTRY

1469. Accepted Supply Pastors and Conference Membership. James E. Boyd, Colfax Methodist Church, Colfax, Ind.
1470. Tenure of District Superintendents. James V. Claypool, New England Southern Conference.
1471. Appointment of District Superintendents. James V. Claypool, New England Southern Conference.
1472. Duties of the District Superintendents Par. 362. Negail R. Riley, Southwest Conference.
1473. Duties of the Pastor. Par. 352. Negail R. Riley, Southwest Conference.

MEMORIAL 1474 DEALS WITH VOTING RIGHTS FOR LOCAL ORDAINED ELDER. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

1474. Thomas T. Thompson.

MEMORIAL 1475 DEALS WITH DIPLOMA FOR LOCAL PREACHERS. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY

1475. Bertie Calvin Underwood.

MEMORIAL 1476 DEALS WITH APPROVED SUPPLY PASTORS VOTING RIGHTS. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

1476. Eugene Tate.

MEMORIAL 1477 DEALS WITH APPROVED SUPPLY PASTORS VOTING RIGHTS. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY

1477. Official Board of Brooklyn Methodist Church, Wisconsin.

MEMORIAL 1478 DEALS WITH APPROVED SUPPLY PASTORS' RIGHT TO VOTE. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

1478. Official Board of Grace Methodist Church, Belleville, Wis.

MEMORIAL 1479 DEALS WITH APPOINTMENTS ON BASIS OF ABILITY AND FITNESS. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

1479. New York East Conference, Edwin S. Gault, Jr., secretary.

MEMORIALS 1480 AND 1481 DEAL WITH AN AREA THEOLOGIAN. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY

1480. James M. Boyd, Jr.
1481. Official Board of First Methodist Church, Schenectady, N. Y.

MEMORIAL 1482 DEALS WITH INTERNSHIP FOR SEMINARY STUDENTS. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

1482. Interboard Commission on Christian Vocations, Iowa Area, Gerald C. LaMotte, chairman.

MEMORIAL 1483 DEALS WITH NO DISCRIMINATION IN APPOINTMENTS. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MINSTRY

1483. Rock River Conference, Gilbert A. Weisshaar, secretary.

MEMORIAL 1484 DEALS WITH INTERBOARD COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LOCAL CHURCH

1484. Interboard Commission on the Local Church, Harold H. Hughes, secretary.

MEMORIAL 1485 DEALS WITH MEMBERSHIP MANUAL; AND REMUNERATION TO MINISTERS FOR VARIOUS SERVICES. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MEMBERSHIP AND EVANGELISM

1485. Commission on Membership and Evangelism, Spencer Methodist Church, Pittsburgh, Pa.

MEMORIALS 1486 AND 1487 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LAY ACTIVITIES AND TEMPORAL ECONOMY

1486. Pastor's Income and Apportionments. District Superintendents of Wyoming Conference.
1487. Utility Allowance and Internal Revenue Department. Kentucky Conference, Leslie M. Rogers, secretary.

MEMORIALS 1488 AND 1489 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

1488. Travel Allowance to Annual Conference for Military and Institutional Chaplains. Peninsula Conference, Walter L. Beckwith, secretary.

1489. Reserve Members of Committee on Investigation of Preacher. Western North Carolina Conference, Charles D. White, secretary.

MEMORIAL 1490 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON PUBLISHING INTERESTS

1490. Clerical Discount for Negro Ministers. Oregon Conference, H. James Jenkins, secretary.

MEMORIAL 1491 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY

1491. Local Preachers, Course of Study. Board of Ministerial Training and Qualifications, Minnesota Conference, Lawrence Rule, chairman.

MEMORIAL 1492 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON PENSIONS

1492. Minister's Retirement at 62. Lee P. Barnett.

MEMORIALS 1493 AND 1494 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY

1493. Change Legislation, Ministry. Committee on Legislation, of Chairman and Registrars of Conference Boards of Ministerial Training, Orville H. McKay, secretary.
1494. Service Required for Full Membership. Rock River Conference, Gilbert A. Weisshaar, secretary.

MEMORIALS 1495-1512 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MEMBERSHIP AND EVANGELISM

1495. General Board of Evangelism: Basis for Elected Members. Pacific Northwest Conference, Fred A. Rarden, secretary.
1496. District Secretary of Evangelism, Council of Evangelism, Wayne A. Lamb, secretary.
1497. District Committee on Evangelism Membership. Council of Evangelism, Wayne A. Lamb, secretary.
1498. Visitation Evangelism. Bennett William Palmer.
1499. Church Members and Membership. Pacific Northwest Conference, Fred A. Rarden, secretary.
1500. Joint Committee for Membership Training; and Full Year Course. Board of Education Executive Committee, North-East Ohio Conference, Mrs. Ralph Weaver, secretary.
1501. Membership Training Requirements. Southern California-Arizona Conference, Frank M. Gray, secretary.
1502. Training for Church Membership. Rupert E. Hughes.
1503. Membership Training Materials. North-East Ohio Conference, J. Meade Letts, secretary.
1504. Children and Church Membership. Bennett William Palmer.
1505. Church Membership Perpetual. Bennett William Palmer.
1506. Church Membership Vow. James H. Arthur.
1507. Financial Obligation of Church Members. Harlow B. George.
1508. Membership Manuals and Curriculum Committee. Ohio Conference, W. Arthur Milne, secretary.
1509. Periodic Renewal of Membership Vows. Official Board of Uniontown Methodist Church, Uniontown, Ohio.
1510. Renewal of Membership Vows. William C. Harpold.
1511. Care of Church Members. New Providence Methodist Church, New Providence, N. J.
1512. Revise Discipline Concerning Church Membership Care. Mrs. Harvey M. Coldfelter.

MEMORIAL 1513 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MISSIONS

1513. A Fellowship of Youth for Full-Time Church Service. Mrs. Darryl S. Pruner.

MEMORIAL 1514 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

1514. Prayer for World Redemption. Bennett William Palmer.

MEMORIAL 1515 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY

1515. Bishops Assist Pastors in Evangelistic Programs. Bennett William Palmer.

MEMORIAL 1516 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON RITUAL AND ORDERS OF WORSHIP

1516. Commitment and Rededication. Miss Lucille Miller.

MEMORIALS 1517-1531 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MEMBERSHIP AND EVANGELISM

1517. Active and Non-Active Rolls. Methodist Church of Tarrytown, N. Y.
1518. Termination of Church Membership. E. Russell Tanner.
1519. Termination of Church Membership. Rock River Conference. Gilbert A. Weisshaar.
1520. Termination of Church Membership. Aldersgate Methodist Church, Grand Rapids, Mich.
1521. Membership. New Mexico Conference, Bervin Caswell, secretary.
1522. Termination of Church Membership. Florida Conference, Robert C. Boggs, secretary.
1523. Termination of Non-Resident Members. Southern California-Arizona Conference, Frank M. Gray, secretary.
1524. Removal of Inactive Members. Detroit Conference, Reginald S. Hocking, secretary.
1525. Removal of Inactive Members. Trinity Methodist Church, Kearns, Utah.
1526. Non-Resident Members Dismissal. Paul M. Dietterich.
1527. Remove Inactive Members. Epworth Methodist Church, Fallon, Nev.
1528. Terminate Church Membership. Commission on Membership and Evangelism, Methodist Church of Lexington, Nebr.
1529. Remove Members. Methodist Church of Austin, Colo.
1530. Termination of Membership. Midvale Methodist Church, Utah.
1531. Termination of Membership. St. Andrew's Methodist Church, Detroit, Mich.

MEMORIALS 1532-1549 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MEMBERSHIP AND EVANGELISM

1532. Termination of Church Membership. Hutchinson District Conference of Central Kansas Conference, Portteus Latimer, secretary.
1533. Termination of Membership. Michigan Conference, J. Marion DeVinney, secretary.
1534. Termination of Membership. Michigan Conference, J. Marion DeVinney, secretary.
1535. Termination of Church Membership. Central Kansas Conference, Orson F. Evans, secretary.

1536. Removal of Church Members. Ohio Conference, W. Arthur Milne, secretary.
1537. Terminate Inactive Members. C. Arthur Wahlquist.
1538. Removal of Members. Newark Conference, Joseph M. Blessing, secretary.
1539. Termination of Church Membership. West Wisconsin Conference, Virgil W. Nulton, secretary.
1540. Termination of Church Membership. Commission on Membership and Evangelism of First Methodist Church, Redlands, Calif.
1541. Termination of Church Membership. Baltimore Conference, Paul H. Groseclose, secretary.
1542. Termination of Church Membership. New England Conference, Daniel A. Thurston, secretary.
1543. Termination of Church Membership. John J. Shaffer.
1544. Termination of Church Membership. Francis W. Wheale.
1545. Termination of Church Membership. Douglas N. Bale, Gordon R. Breiner.
1546. Termination of Church Membership. Grant Memorial Methodist Church, Presque Isle, Maine.
1547. Termination of Church Membership. South Iowa Conference, W. W. Steinmetz, secretary.
1548. Termination of Church Membership. California-Nevada Conference.
1549. Inactive Roll. North-East Ohio Conference, J. Meade Letts, secretary.

MEMORIALS 1550 AND 1551 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS AND HOMES

1550. Home for Elderly in St. Louis. Mrs. Helen W. Carpenter.
1551. Methodist Retirement Homes. Miss Clara K. Bushman.

MEMORIALS 1552-1556 DEAL WITH MINISTRY OF SPIRITUAL HEALING. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY

1552. Mrs. John L. White.
1553. Robert H. Gamble.
1554. Carey A. Martin.
1555. Mrs. Marguerite Holt.
1556. Mrs. C. R. Yow.

MEMORIALS 1557-1559 DEAL WITH HANDICAPPED PERSONS. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LOCAL CHURCH

1557. Roger and LaVerna Arnett.
1558. Roger and LaVerna Arnett.
1559. Donald C. Viets.

MEMORIAL 1560 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY

1560. Ministerial Service to the Handicapped. Victor and Phyllis M. Hopeman.

MEMORIALS 1561-1579 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON PUBLISHING INTERESTS

1561. Simplify the *Discipline*. Lee P. Barnett.
1562. Ritual in Part IX, Discipline. General Commission on Worship, Paul Burt, secretary.
1563. Publication of Methodist Church Constitution. Southern California-Arizona, Frank M. Gray, secretary.

1564. Centralize Listing of Methodist Material. William H. Hunter.
1565. Methodist Literature and Controversial Subjects. Selma District Conference, Alabama-West Florida Conference.
1566. Publishing Sermons. Bennett William Palmer.
1567. Combine Publications. Virginia Alexander.
1568. Combine *Advocate* and *Central Advocate*. Woodrow Methodist Church, Staten Island, N. Y.
1569. Combine *Together* and *Christian Home*. Study Class of Roanoke, Va., W. Carroll Freeman.
1570. Discontinue *Motive*. G. Kenneth Vaughn.
1571. Status and Publication of *Concern*. Virginia Alexander.
1572. Publication of Inexpensive Books. Bennett William Palmer.
1573. Fiction Writing in the Church. Bennett William Palmer.
1574. Printing of Occasional Orders. General Commission on Worship, Paul Burt, secretary.
1575. Free Expression in Literature. Mississippi Conference, George H. Jones, secretary.
1576. Methodist Literature and Segregation. Methodist Church of Bonita, Miss.
1577. Desegregation and Methodist Literature. Pleasant Ridge Methodist Church, DeKalb, Miss.
1578. Danger of Socialism and Methodist Literature. Forest Hill Methodist Church, Jackson, Miss.
1579. Church Literature and Socialism. Baylis Chapel Methodist Church, Columbia, Miss.

MEMORIALS 1580-1582 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MISSIONS

1580. Church Extension Changes in *Discipline*. Division of National Missions, H. Conwell Snoke, General Secretary.
1581. Relationship of Deaconesses to Church. Nebraska Deaconesses, Mrs. Suzanne Gell.
1582. Methodist Missions and Support. Western Pennsylvania Conference.

MEMORIAL 1583 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LAY ACTIVITIES AND TEMPORAL ECONOMY

1583. Construction Plans for Small Churches. District Superintendents of Southeastern Jurisdiction, Wayne A. Lamb, secretary.

MEMORIALS 1584-1589 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MISSIONS

1584. Continue Schools of Missions. Idaho Conference.
1585. United Board of Missions. South Dakota Conference, John DeVries, secretary.
1586. Merger of Divisions of General Board of Missions. M. M. Finkbeiner.
1587. Unify Board of Missions. Oregon Conference, H. James Jenkins, secretary.
1588. Ratio of Missionaries to Members. Board of Lay Activities, Northwest Texas Conference, J. C. McCollough, secretary.
1589. Report on Memorial 2670 of 1960. Division of National Missions, H. Conwell Snokes, General Secretary.

MEMORIAL 1590 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

1590. Marriage of Divorced Persons. Fay Charling Mills.

MEMORIAL 1591 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY

1591. Approved Supply and Conference Membership. Fay Charling Mills.

MEMORIALS 1592 AND 1593 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

1592. District Advisory of Committee. Methodist Rural Fellowship Committee on Legislation, Clyde N. Rogers, secretary.
1593. List of District Agencies. Methodist Rural Fellowship Committee on Legislation, Clyde N. Rogers, secretary.

MEMORIALS 1594-1599 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MISSIONS

1594. Conference Board of Missions and City Society. Western Pennsylvania Conference.
1595. Annual Conference Board of Missions and City Society. Rock River Conference, Gilbert A. Weisshaar, secretary.
1596. City or District Missionary Society. East Wisconsin Conference.
1597. City Society and District Mission Boards. Board of Missions and Church Extension, Mississippi Conference.
1598. Conference Board of Missions Executive Committee Membership. Executive Committee of Board of Missions of North Iowa Conference.
1599. Conference Board of Missions and Conference Woman's Society. Detroit Annual Conference, Reginald S. Hocking, secretary.

MEMORIAL 1600 DEALS WITH THE SERVICE OF COMMISSIONING. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON RITUAL AND ORDERS OF WORSHIP

1600. The Service of Commissioning. The Joint Committee on Missionary Personnel, Board of Missions, L. M. McCoy, Executive Secretary.

MEMORIALS 1601-1604, INCLUSIVE, WERE REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

1601. Commission on Rural and Urban Work and Conference Board of Missions. Town and Country Commission of Pacific Northwest Conference, Thomas F. Ludwig, Chairman.
1602. Commission on Rural and Urban Work Report at Official Board, Para. 651.4h. Town and Country Commission, Pacific Northwest Conference, Thomas F. Ludwig, Chairman.
1603. District Committee on Town and Country Work. Ohio Conference, W. Arthur Milne, Secretary.
1604. Alternate Committee for Town and Country Work in Annual Conference. C. Edwin Murphy, Program Director, Nebraska Conference.

MEMORIAL 1605 DEALS WITH TOWN AND COUNTRY COMMISSION AND INTERDENOMINATION COOPERATION AND WAS REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON INTERDENOMINATIONAL RELATIONS AND ACTIVITIES

1605. Missouri East Conference, John W. Ward, Jr., Secretary.

MEMORIALS 1606-1610, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MISSIONS

1606. Board of Missions, Membership of Board of Managers—

Para. 1172.5. National Conference of Methodist Student Movement, Charles W. Rinker, Jr.

1607. Department of Ethnic and Language Work, Para. 1215. Pacific Japanese Provisional Conference, Waichi Oyanagi, Secretary.

1608. Department of Ethnic Work. California-Nevada Conference, Robert A. Panzer, Chairman, Committee on Memorials.

1609. District Missionary Societies, Para. 1217. Methodist Rural Fellowship, Committee on Legislation, Clyde N. Rogers.

1610. City or District Missionary Society, etc. Para. 1225. Missouri East Conference, John W. Ward, Jr., Secretary.

MEMORIAL 1611 DEALS WITH COOPERATION, THROUGH DEPARTMENT OF TOWN AND COUNTRY WORK, WITH OTHER DENOMINATIONS, PARA. 1229.1. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

1611. Methodist Rural Fellowship, Committee on Legislation, Clyde N. Rogers.

MEMORIAL 1612 DEALS WITH TOWN AND COUNTRY WORK—DEPARTMENT OF—DUTIES, PARA. 1229.1. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MISSIONS

1612. Baltimore Conference, Paul H. Groseclose, Secretary.

MEMORIALS 1613-1615, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

1613. Commission on Rural and Urban Work and Board of Missions, Para. 1229.2. Town and Country Commission, Pacific Northwest Conference, Thomas F. Ludwig, Chairman.

1614. Interdenominational Cooperative Parish, Para. 1229.4. Methodist Rural Fellowship, Committee on Legislation, Clyde N. Rogers.

1615. Commission on Rural and Urban Work and Department of Town and Country Work, Para. 1229.4. Town and Country Commission, Pacific Northwest Conference, Thomas F. Ludwig, Chairman.

MEMORIAL 1616 DEALS WITH REGIONAL CONFERENCE ON TOWN AND COUNTRY WORK, PARA. 1229.7. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MISSIONS

1616. Methodist Rural Fellowship, Committee on Legislation, Clyde N. Rogers.

MEMORIALS 1617-1633, INCLUSIVE, WERE REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

1617. District Secretary of Town and Country Work, Para. 1231. Methodist Rural Fellowship, Committee on Legislation, Clyde N. Rogers.

1618. Annual Conference Commission on Town and Country Work —Membership of, Para. 1231. Troy Annual Conference, William A. Groshans, Secretary.

1619. Election of District Directors of Town and Country Work, Para. 1231.1. Methodist Rural Fellowship, Committee on Legislation, Clyde N. Rogers.

1620. Town and Country Work, Commission on—Membership of, Para. 1231.1 and Para. 1231.5. Baltimore Conference, Paul H. Groseclose, Secretary.

1621. Conferences on Town and Country Work, Para. 1229.7, Baltimore Conference, Paul H. Groseclose, Secretary.

1622. Membership on Annual Conference Commission on Town and Country Work, South Iowa Conference, W. W. Steinmetz, Secretary.
1623. Commission on Town and Country Work, Members and District Secretary, Para. 1231.1, 1231.5. Missouri East Conference, John W. Ward, Jr.
1624. Commission on Rural and Urban Work, Para. 1231.1. Town and Country Commission, Pacific Northwest Conference, Thomas F. Ludwig.
1625. Members of Commission on Town and Country Work, Para. 1231.1 (1231.2), Town and Country Commission, Pacific Northwest Conference, Thomas F. Ludwig.
1626. Renumber Paragraph 1231.2 to 1231.3. Town and Country Commission, Pacific Northwest Conference, Thomas F. Ludwig.
1627. Change Paragraph 1231.2 to 1231.3 and Make Insertion. Town and Country Commission, Pacific Northwest Conference, Thomas F. Ludwig.
1628. Change Paragraph 1231.3 to Paragraph 1231.8, Town and Country Commission, Pacific Northwest Conference, Thomas F. Ludwig.
1629. Commission on Town and Country Work, Para. 1231.4. Town and Country Commission, Pacific Northwest Conference, Thomas F. Ludwig.
1630. District Committee on Town and Country Work, Para. 1231.5. Methodist Rural Fellowship, Committee on Legislation, Clyde N. Rogers.
1631. Membership of Commission on Rural and Urban Work, Para. 1231.5. Methodist Rural Fellowship, Committee on Legislation, Clyde N. Rogers.
1632. Commission on Rural and Urban Work, Function of, Para. 1231.6. Town and Country Commission, Pacific Northwest Conference, Thomas F. Ludwig.
1633. Membership of Commission on Rural and Urban Work, Para. 1231.7. Town and Country Commission, Pacific Northwest Conference, Thomas F. Ludwig.

MEMORIAL 1634 DEALS WITH CRUSADE SCHOLARSHIP COMMITTEE, PARA. 1290.2. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

1634. Crusade Scholarship Committee, Margaret Swift, Director.

MEMORIAL 1635 DEALS WITH ANNUAL CONFERENCE COMMITTEE ON URBAN WORK, PARA. 1305.1. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

1635. Town and Country Commission, Pacific Northwest Conference, Thomas F. Ludwig.

MEMORIAL 1636 DEALS WITH ONE MISSIONARY FOR EACH 500 CHURCH MEMBERS, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MISSIONS

1636. Board of Missions, Northwest Texas Conference, Darris L. Egger, Secretary.

MEMORIAL 1637 DEALS WITH GENERAL CONFERENCE BOARD OF EVANGELISM MEMBERSHIP—PARA. 1468, ARTICLE 3 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MEMBERSHIP AND EVANGELISM

1637. National Conference of Methodist Student Movement, Charles W. Rinker, Jr.

MEMORIAL 1638 DEALS WITH RESOLUTION ON TOWN AND COUNTRY WORK, PARA. 2030 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LOCAL CHURCH

1638. Methodist Rural Fellowship, Committee on Legislation, Clyde N. Rogers.

MEMORIAL 1639 DEALS WITH CIRCUIT CHARGE UNIFY ORGANIZATION, PARA. 144.1-2 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

1639. Methodist Rural Fellowship, Committee on Legislation, Clyde N. Rogers.

MEMORIALS 1640 AND 1641 WERE REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LOCAL CHURCH

1640. Commission in Local Churches of Small Membership, Para. 220, 231, 256, 262.1. Methodist Rural Fellowship, Committee on Legislation, Clyde N. Rogers.
1641. Charge Circuit Commission Plan, Para. 206, Methodist Rural Fellowship, Committee on Legislation, Clyde N. Rogers.

MEMORIALS 1642-1647, INCLUSIVE REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MISSIONS

1642. Billion Dollar Endowment for Missions. Bennett Wm. Palmer, Florida Conference.
1643. Retired Personnel Used in Mission Fields. Bennett Wm. Palmer, Florida Conference.
1644. Endorsement of Missions. Bennett Wm. Palmer, Florida Conference.
1645. Establish Interboard Commission on Work in the Inter City. Southern California-Arizona Conference, Frank M. Gray, Secretary.
1646. Advance Special Program and World Service Program. Ohio Conference, W. Arthur Milne, Secretary.
1647. Program of Church Extension, etc. 1964-1968. Newark Conference, Joseph M. Blessing, Secretary.

MEMORIALS 1648-1656, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON RITUAL AND ORDERS OF WORSHIP

1648. Apostles' Creed—Change Word. Official Board, Nooksack Methodist Church, Nooksack, Wash.
1649. Wording of the Apostles' Creed. Oscar Hyche, retired, North Alabama Conference.
1650. Wording of Apostles' Creed. Official Board, Holleman Park Methodist Church, Miami, Fla.
1651. Wording of Apostles' Creed. S. D. Lambert, Southwest Texas Conference.
1652. Apostles' Creed—Change Word. Walter T. Higgins, First Methodist Church, Everett, Wash.
1653. Apostles' Creed—Change Word. The Challengers, First Methodist Church, Everett, Wash.
1654. Postpone Hymnal Until Union with EUB. Eugene Tate, Minnesota Conference.
1655. Infant Dedication Not Baptism. Elmer Dobson, Community Methodist Church, Lanesboro, Iowa.
1656. Water from River Jordan for Baptismal Purposes. Bennett Wm. Palmer, Florida Conference.
1657. Clarify Service re Reception of Children into Church Membership. Clifford Zirkel, Jr., Southwest Texas Conference.

1658. Ritual Order for Receiving Adults into Membership. Clifford Zirkel, Jr., Southwest Texas Conference.
1659. Ritual Changes—re—Solemnization of Matrimony. Charles H. Marks, Northern New York Conference.
1660. Changes in Ritual of Holy Communion. Clifford Zirkel, Jr., Southwest Texas Conference.
1661. Ship to Administer Medical and Dental Aid. James H. Arthur, Faith Methodist Church, Burlington, N. C.
1662. Clarify Confirmation. Clifford Zirkel, Jr., Southwest Texas Conference.

MEMORIAL 1663 DEALS WITH CHRIST WORSHIP AND NON-CHRISTIAN RELIGIONS REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MISSIONS

1663. Bennett Wm. Palmer, Florida Conference.

MEMORIALS 1664-1666, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON RITUAL AND ORDERS OF WORSHIP

1664. Consecration of Director of Christian Eduation, Para. 431.9 and Para. 1926. Harold E. Perkins, St. John's Methodist Church, Dover, N. H.
1665. Rubric for Consecration of Director of Christian Education, Para. 1926. Harold E. Perkins, St. John's Methodist Church, Dover, N. H.
1666. Change Words in Ritual, Para. 1934. Henry M. Braun, Northwest Indiana Conference.

MEMORIALS 1667-1689, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

1667. Number of Lay Members in Annual Conference. Rhodesia Conference, Kenneth Choto, Secretary.
1668. Conference Committee on Nominations—Name Chairman of Conference Boards and Commissions. Seaborn M. Kiker, Southwest Texas Conference.
1669. Annual Conference Nominating Committee and Nominees Consulted. J. W. Reynolds, Jr., Virginia Conference.
1670. Conference Interboard Council, Authority of. J. W. Reynolds, et al., Virginia Conference.
1671. Membership of Annual Conference, Para. 21. Jack J. Cook, Asbury Memorial Church, Savannah, Ga.
1672. Election of Delegates to General and Jurisdictional Conferences. Howell A. Watkins, Northwest Texas Conference.
1673. Time and Frequency of General Conference Meetings. John J. Shaffer, Central Illinois Conference.
1674. General Conference Speak for the Church. Official Board, East Lake Methodist Church, Birmingham, Ala.
1675. Election of Delegates to General Conference. Bennett Wm. Palmer, Florida Conference.
1676. General Conference, Extra Session of—Para. 509. G. Clifford Pettygrove, Epworth Methodist Church, Berkeley, Calif.
1677. Conference Ecumenize Uniformly. Roger F. Winchester, Foothills Methodist Church, La Mesa, Calif.
1678. Committee on Conference Relations, Paras. 668 and 647. Florida Conference, Robert C. Boggs, Secretary.
1679. Committee on Episcopacy Meet with Bishops, Para. 526. California-Nevada Conference, Robert A. Panzer, Chairman, Committee on Memorials.
1680. Election of Bishops. Western North Carolina Conference, Charles D. White, Secretary.

1681. Tenure of Bishop—Para. 526. Southern California-Arizona Conference, Frank M. Gray, Secretary.
1682. Jurisdictional Conference Elect Bishops in Reserve. Louisville Conference, L. W. Woodward, Secretary.
1683. Churches of Central Jurisdiction and The North Central Jurisdiction. Official Board, Christ Methodist Church, Kettering, Ohio.
1684. A Plan of Separation. Enon Methodist Church, Vaiden, Miss., Turner Mann, Lay Leader.
1685. Continue Commission on Interjurisdictional Relations. Tulane University Wesley Foundation.
1686. Commission on Interjurisdictional Relations be continued for 1964-1968. National Conference, Methodist Student Movement, Charles W. Rinker, Jr.
1687. Remove from Constitution the Central Jurisdiction, Genesee Conference, Wm. E. Slocum, Secretary.
1688. Jurisdictional Commission re Abolishing Central Jurisdiction. Michigan Conference, J. Marion DeVinney, Secretary.
1689. Jurisdictional System and the Proposed United Methodist Church. David M. Campbell, New York East Conference.

MEMORIAL 1690 DEALS WITH STUDY STATUS OF A CHURCH WITH THIRTY OR LESS MEMBERS REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LOCAL CHURCH

1690. Genesee Conference, Wm. E. Slocum, Secy.

MEMORIALS 1691-1698, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

1691. Conference Cemeteries. Bennett Wm. Palmer, Florida Conference.
1692. Simultaneous Translations at General Conference, etc. Central Congo Conference, Joseph M. Davis, Secy.
1693. Membership in Annual Conference re Lay Leaders, Para. 21. W. H. Ruff, North Georgia Conference.
1694. District Board of Church Location and Buildings, etc. Paras. 171.3 and 172.3 Official Board, St. Mark's Methodist Church, Florissant, Miss.
1695. District Advisory Committee (District Cabinet) New Para. 692. East Wisconsin Conference, A. J. Lindgren, Chmn., Memorials Committee.
1696. Lay Delegates at Large—Members of Annual Conference. A. O. Ernst, Nebraska Conference.
1697. Church, Charge and Circuit Conferences. Fourth Quarterly Conference of the Hudson Falls Methodist Church, Hudson Falls, N. Y.
1698. Conferences and Related Agencies. Roger F. Winchester, Foothills Methodist Church, La Mesa, Calif.

MEMORIAL 1699 DEALS WITH NAMING OF CHURCHES REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LAY ACTIVITIES AND TEMPORAL ECONOMY

1699. Warren H. Dobert, Methodist Church, Averill Park, N. Y.

MEMORIAL 1700 DEALS WITH AUTHORITY TO CLOSE CHURCHES REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LOCAL CHURCH

1700. Ohio Conference, W. Arthur Milne, Secy.

MEMORIAL 1701 DEALS WITH AMENDMENT IX—CHANGE VOTING MAJORITY. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

1701. Tulane University Wesley Foundation, Howard Daughenbaugh, Jr.

MEMORIALS 1702 AND 1703 WERE REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON INTERDENOMINATIONAL RELATIONS AND ACTIVITIES

1702. Retain name The Methodist Church. Edward B. Hollenbeck, Little Rock Conference.
1703. Methodist Relation to the American Bible Society. James V. Claypool, New England Southern Conference.

MEMORIALS 1704-1715, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LAY ACTIVITIES AND TEMPORAL ECONOMY

1704. Tenure of Board Secretaries. James V. Claypool, New England Southern Conference.
1705. Change in General Rules—Constitutional Amendment, Para. 96. Roy G. Goodell, Central Illinois Conference.
1706. Change General Rules. Jackson Doy, Methodist Church, Westminster, Md.
1707. John Street Church—Right of Trustees to Solicit Financial Aid. New York East Conference, Edwin S. Gault, Jr., Secy.
1708. John Street Methodist Church: Financial Support for—Board of Trustees, John Street Methodist Church, N. Y., Wilson P. Tanner, President.
1709. John Street Methodist Church, N. Y.—Nominations of Trustees for: Board of Trustees, John Street Methodist Church, New York, Wilson P. Tanner, President.
1710. John Street Methodist Church, New York, Authorized to Solicit Funds. Board of Trustees, John Street Methodist Church, New York, Wilson P. Tanner, President.
1711. Basis for Apportionments. Mrs. Paul W. Evans, Methodist Church, De Witt, Nebr.
1712. General Conference Minimum Salary. East Tennessee Conference, George D. Walker, Secy.
1713. Eliminate Membership as a Factor in Apportionments' Base. Official Board, First Methodist Church, Cuyahoga Falls, Ohio.
1714. Formula for Apportionments. William J. Robinson, Virginia Conference.
1715. Methodist Church—Bonding Plan. W. E. Boyd, Trinity Methodist Church, Corbin, Ky.
1716. Age Limit of a Layman's Right to Vote. George Whiting, Methodist Church, Santee, Calif.
1717. Authority of Council on World Service and Finance. Harry C. Spencer, Rock River Conference.
1718. Council on World Service and Finance Furnish Conference Commission on World Service and Finance with Detailed Information, Para. 737, 738. Laymen's Club of Nashville Dist., Nashville, Tenn.
1719. Formulate a Stewardship Creed. T. Russell Reitz, Kansas Conference.
1720. Financial Support of General Conference Commission on Chaplains and Armed Forces Personnel, Para. 778. Dow Kirkpatrick, Rock River Conference.
1721. Management Consultant Firm. Norman E. Amtower, Rose City Park Methodist Church, Portland, Oregon.

1722. Erection of Church Centers and Financial Obligations. L. Thomas Moore, Jr., Philadelphia Conference.
1723. Resolution re TRAFCO and Communication Media. Television, Radio and Film Commission, Harry C. Spencer, General Secy.
1724. TRAFCO—A TV Mission Series. Oregon Conference, H. James Jenkins, Secy.
1725. Change Name of Church. Bennett Wm. Palmer, Florida Conference.
1726. Increase Financial Aid to Negro Schools, etc. Rock River Conference, Gilbert A. Weisshaar, Secy.

MEMORIALS 1727-1731, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

1727. Teach Methodism in Methodist Related Colleges, etc. Official Board, Parker's Methodist Church, North Carolina Conference.
1728. Closer Relations Between Methodist Church Related Colleges and The Methodist Church. Mrs. Willard Newman, et al., Walnut Grove Methodist Church, Walnut Grove, Miss.
1729. Increased Support for Methodist Seminaries, 1964-1968. Clergy Advisory Council for Wesley Theological Seminary, Norman L. Trott, Secy.
1730. Financial Support for Seminary Students, Para. 821, etc. Methodist Rural Fellowship, Hagerstown Dist., Baltimore Conference.
1731. Church School Curriculum for City and Inner City Churches. Official Board, Metropolitan-Duane Methodist Church, New York, N. Y.

MEMORIALS 1732 AND 1733 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON PENSIONS

1732. Retirement and Annuity Claim. District Committee on Ministerial Qualifications, Plainview District, Northwest Texas Conference.
1733. Pension Rights for Approved Supply Pastors. Board of Pensions, North-East Ohio Conference, Charles Stoneburner, Secy.

MEMORIAL 1734 DEALS WITH ONLY ORDAINED PERSONS TO ADMINISTER HOLY COMMUNION REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY

1734. Genesee Conference, Wm. E. Slocum, Secy.

MEMORIALS 1735-1737 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LOCAL CHURCH

1735. One Official Board for a Circuit. Genesee Conference, Wm. E. Slocum, Secy.
1736. Commission on Worship and Music Constituted by Quarterly Conference. Genesee Conference, Wm. E. Slocum, Secy.
1737. Parliamentary Procedure and Official Boards. John Eckert, Point Loma Church, San Diego, Calif.

MEMORIALS 1738 AND 1739 WERE REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

1738. Number of Lay Members to Annual Conference from Local Charge. Rock River Conference, Gilbert A. Weisshaar, Secy.

1739. Conference Lay Leader and Conference President of W.S.C.S. Vote in Annual Conference, Para. 1256.5 and 1505.2 Rock River Annual Conference, Gilbert A. Weisshaar, Secy.
1740. Para. 145, Sec. 2, page 145, *Discipline* to include Church Lay Leader on Pastoral Relations Committee. Deerford Methodist Church, Official Board, E. R. Pesirs, Chmn.
1741. W.S.C.S. and Official Men's Organization Represented on Commissions, Para. 262.2 and Para. 274. New York East Conference, Edwin S. Gault, Jr., Secy.

MEMORIALS 1742 AND 1743 WERE REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MEMBERSHIP AND EVANGELISM

1742. Delete 'Goal' Principle on New Members, Para. 222.4. David M. Campbell, New York East Conference.
1743. Christian Perfection Year 1965. Arthur Sellers, Buena Vista Methodist Church, Buena Vista, Pa.

MEMORIAL 1744 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LAY ACTIVITIES AND TEMPORAL ECONOMY

1744. A National Weekly Television Program. Quarterly Conference of Liberty Methodist Church, Rudolph, Ohio.

MEMORIAL 1745 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MEMBERSHIP AND EVANGELISM

1745. Keeping the General Rules. Mrs. Laona Underkoffer, et al., Collegiate Methodist Church, Ames, Iowa.

MEMORIALS 1746-1751 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

1746. Study and Support Four Conventions. Men's Bible Class, Methodist Church, Lakewood, Ohio.
1747. Methodist United Nations Building. James V. Claypool, New England Southern Conference.
1748. Recognition of International Authority. Board of Christian Social Concerns, Detroit Conference, James W. Bristah, Director.
1749. Strong Statement on Sabbath Observance. Philip E. Pierce, Central Illinois Conference.
1750. Mixed Marriages—Opposed. Arthur Sellers, Western Pennsylvania Conference.
1751. Gambling and Religious Organizations, Para. 2022.2. H. C. Schroeder, et al., Virginia Conference.

MEMORIAL 1752 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON INTERDENOMINATIONAL RELATIONS AND ACTIVITIES

1752. Abolish All Ties and Financial Support to the National Council of Churches and World Council of Churches. Official Board, Minor Memorial Methodist Church, Horn Lake, Walls, Miss.

MEMORIAL 1753 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

1753. Include Statement on Tobacco in "The Social Creed." Commission on Christian Social Concerns, First Methodist Church, Los Alamos, New Mexico.

MEMORIAL 1754 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY

1754. Retain Stain on Abstinence from Tobacco. L. Thomas Moore, Jr., Philadelphia Conference.

MEMORIALS 1755-1757, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LAY ACTIVITIES AND TEMPORAL ECONOMY

1755. Statement re Biblical Religion. George M. Horne, Louisiana Conference.
1756. Identify Whoever Speaks for, etc. Dan R. Newburn, Southern California-Arizona Conf.
1757. Opposed to Ill-Considered Statements. Official Board, East Lake Methodist Church, Birmingham, Ala.

MEMORIALS 1758-1763, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

1758. Support an Amendment to the Constitution of the United States. C. M. White, retired, Western North Carolina Conference.
1759. Church and State, Bible and Parochial Schools. Quarterly Conference and Official Board, Methodist Church, Hudson Falls, N. Y.
1760. Opposed to P.O.A.U. Seeking Funds Through Local Methodist Church. Commission on Christian Social Concerns, New England Southern Conference.
1761. Against Adoption of 1962 Charter of Racial Policies of the Woman's Division of Christian Service. W.S.C.S., First Methodist Church, Prichard, Ala.
1762. Allocation of Funds and Discrimination. Official Board, Epworth Methodist Church, Council Bluffs, Iowa.
1763. Approval of Agency Budget and Segregation, Para. 737, Central Jurisdictional Study Committee, W. Astor Kirk, Secy.

MEMORIAL 1764 DEALS WITH BOY SCOUT SUNDAY AND RACE RELATIONS SUNDAY, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

1764. Bethesda Methodist Church, Commissions on Education and Christian Social Concerns.

MEMORIALS 1765 AND 1766 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

1765. Up Date Social Creed as to Town and Country. Para. 2020 (8). Missouri East Conference, John W. Ward, Jr., Secy.
1766. Reaffirm Statement re World Order, etc. Para. 2024. New England Southern Conference, M. Philip Susag, Chmn., Committee on Memorials.

MEMORIALS 1767-1771, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LAY ACTIVITIES AND TEMPORAL ECONOMY

1767. Science of Chiropractic. F. E. Chapman, First Methodist Church, South Milwaukee, Wis.
1768. Christian Supernaturalism. Bennett Wm. Palmer, Florida Conference.
1769. Sea Water Clubs. Bennett Wm. Palmer, Florida Conference.
1770. Use Modernized Diptych. Bennett Wm. Palmer, Florida Conference.
1771. Detailed Program 1964-1968, the *Discipline* and the Bible. T. A. Coleman, North Alabama Conference.

MEMORIAL 1772 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY

1772. Bishop Anecdotes. Bennett Wm. Palmer, Florida Conference.

MEMORIALS 1773-1776 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LAY ACTIVITIES AND TEMPORAL ECONOMY

1773. Names of Methodist Institutions. Bennett Wm. Palmer, Florida Conference.
1774. Zoning Laws and Divisions of Population. Bennett Wm. Palmer, Florida Conference.
1775. Gospel Chain. Bennett Wm. Palmer, Florida Conference.
1776. Visions and Apparitions of Jesus. Bennett Wm. Palmer, Florida Conference.

MEMORIAL 1777 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON RITUAL AND ORDERS OF WORSHIP

1777. Strong Statement on Funerals. Philip E. Pierce, Central Illinois Conference.

MEMORIALS 1778 AND 1779 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LAY ACTIVITIES AND TEMPORAL ECONOMY

1778. Program on Problem of Deaths and Injuries by Automobiles. Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Methodist Church, Garrison, Iowa.
1779. Program on Problem of Safety in Automobile Accidents. Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Kenwood Park Methodist Church, Cedar Rapids, Iowa.

MEMORIALS 1780-1784 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

1780. Elect Bishops by General Conference. School of Missions, Northeastern Jurisdiction W.S.C.S., Mrs. William H. Fuller.
1781. Constitutional Amendment to Elect Bishops and Assign Them by General Conference. Central New York Conference, Stanley C. Robinson, Secy.
1782. Election of Bishops by General Conference. Woman's Society of Christian Service, New York East Conference, Mrs. Norman O. Edwards, Secy.
1783. Election of Bishops by General Conference. Woman's Society of Christian Service, Western Pennsylvania Conference, Mrs. Robert D. Hill, Secy.
1784. Election of Bishop by General Conference. School of Missions, Western Pennsylvania Conference, W.S.C.S., Mrs. Merle Silvis, Secy.

MEMORIAL 1785 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LAY ACTIVITIES AND TEMPORAL ECONOMY

1785. Additional Lay Member in Annual Conference. Ella Faye Shelburne, Otisco Methodist Church, Otisco, Indiana.

MEMORIALS 1786 THROUGH 1822 DEAL WITH ADDITIONAL LAY MEMBER IN ANNUAL CONFERENCE REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

1786. Shirley Bayes White, Otisco Methodist Church, Otisco, Ind.
1787. Charlotte McDaniel, Otisco Methodist Church, Otisco, Ind.
1788. Ruth Ann Van Sciver, Otisco Methodist Church, Otisco, Ind.
1789. Douglas Gilbert, Otisco Methodist Church, Otisco, Ind.
1790. Florence Hopwood, Otisco Methodist Church, Otisco, Ind.
1791. Inez M. Willey, Otisco Methodist Church, Otisco, Ind.
1792. Mabel Cissell, Otisco Methodist Church, Otisco, Ind.
1793. Lana B. Sarver, Otisco Methodist Church, Otisco, Ind.
1794. Raymond Hopwood, Otisco Methodist Church, Otisco, Ind.

1795. Daisy McDaniel, Otisco Methodist Church, Otisco, Ind.
1796. Charles McVicker, Otisco Methodist Church, Otisco, Ind.
1797. Elsie McVicker, Otisco Methodist Church, Otisco, Ind.
1798. Mrs. William Feye, Otisco Methodist Church, Otisco, Ind.
1799. Mr. William Feye, Otisco Methodist Church, Otisco, Ind.
1800. Carol McDaniel, Otisco Methodist Church, Otisco, Ind.
1801. Linda Adams, Otisco Methodist Church, Otisco, Ind.
1802. Lonnie E. Aler, Otisco Methodist Church, Otisco, Ind.
1803. Raymond Baley, Otisco Methodist Church, Otisco, Ind.
1804. Mark Reynolds, Otisco Methodist Church, Otisco, Ind.
1805. Joseph W. Cissell, Otisco Methodist Church, Otisco, Ind.
1806. Mrs. Ruby McCommon, Otisco Methodist Church, Otisco, Ind.
1807. Harry Sullivan, Otisco Methodist Church, Otisco, Ind.
1808. Nelda Gilbert, Otisco Methodist Church, Otisco, Ind.
1809. Larry Mick, Otisco Methodist Church, Otisco, Ind.
1810. Verna Jean McDaniel, Otisco Methodist Church, Otisco, Ind.
1811. Cecil R. DeCamp, Otisco Methodist Church, Otisco, Ind.
1812. Mrs. Ruth Mick, Otisco Methodist Church, Otisco, Ind.
1813. Mrs. Mark Reynolds, Otisco Methodist Church, Otisco, Ind.
1814. Lula Ater, Otisco Church, Otisco, Ind.
1815. Theodore McDaniel, Otisco Church, Otisco, Ind.
1816. Betty J. Boley, Otisco Church, Otisco, Ind.
1817. Grant Mick, Otisco Church, Otisco, Ind.
1818. Christena DeCamp, Otisco Church, Otisco, Ind.
1819. Mrs. Amelia Evans, Otisco Church, Otisco, Ind.
1820. Ruth Sullivan, Otisco Church, Otisco, Ind.
1821. Guthra Bayes, Otisco Church, Otisco, Ind.
1822. E. G. Murphy, Otisco Church, Otisco, Ind.

MEMORIALS 1823-1868, ENTITLED MINISTRY OF SPIRITUAL HEALING, ARE REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY

1823. David Aycock, So. Georgia Conf.
1824. Mr. and Mrs. Sherman Holt, Fort Blvd. Meth. Church, El Paso, Tex.
1825. Mr. and Mrs. E. C. Martin, Fort Blvd. Meth. Church, El Paso, Tex.
1826. Mrs. Helen Loman, Fort Blvd. Meth. Church, El Paso, Tex.
1827. Mrs. Erma Stewart, Fort Blvd. Meth. Church, El Paso, Tex.
1828. W. L. Montgomery, Fort Blvd. Meth. Church, El Paso, Tex.
1829. Robert L. Anderson, Kentucky Conference.
1830. Mrs. J. E. Robicheau, Park Avenue Methodist, Salisbury, N. C.
1831. Mrs. Leo Rosenbaum, Boyds Grove Church, Bradford, Ill.
1832. Friday A.M. Prayer Group, Trinity Methodist Church, Tallahassee, Fla.
1833. Robert Patterson, Grace Methodist Church, Lake Bluff, Ill.
1834. Mr. and Mrs. E. L. Bula, First Church, Deming, N. M.
1835. Merle Silvis, Western Pennsylvania Conference.
1836. Chester P. Honnold, Harmony Methodist Church, Kansas, Ill.
1837. Josephine B. Honnold, Harmony Methodist Church, Kansas, Ill.
1838. Gale Merrill, North Texas Conference.
1839. Harper J. Sasser, Holston, Conference.
1840. Mrs. Nell Witt, State Street Methodist Church, Bristol, Va.
1841. Mrs. Sam Shores, Park Avenue Methodist Church, Salisbury, N. C.
1842. Sam E. Webster, Tennessee Conference.
1843. Frank B. Stanger, New Jersey Conference.
1844. Mrs. Albert Emmett, Methodist Church of Arcade, N. Y.
1845. W. K. Tirkington, New Jersey.

1846. Mrs. D. S. Varner, Methodist Church of Monticello, Ill.
1847. D. Wray Richardson, Methodist Church of Wilmore, Ky.
1848. Mardelle A. Stanger, Methodist Church of Wilmore, Ky.
1849. Donna Hague, Methodist Church of Deming, N. Mex.
1850. J. C. Austin, 1st Church, Clinton, Conn.
1851. Harry T. Cupp, New York East Conference.
1852. Mrs. Lottie Grass, First Church, Taft, Calif.
1853. William T. Switzler, First Church, Taft, Calif.
1854. J. D. Spencer, Tennessee Conference.
1855. Mrs. R. V. Allison, Methodist Community Church, Belle Glade, Fla.
1856. Mr. and Mrs. Harry Osenbruggen, First Church, Willows, Calif.
1857. Mrs. Grace L. Blakeman, Methodist Church of Fulton, N. Y.
1858. Mrs. Alvy Williams, Carlsbad, N. M.
1859. Manassas Methodist Church, Manassas, Ga.
1860. Mrs. Helen G. Stillman, First Church, Pasadena, Calif.
1861. A. B. Williams, First Church, Carlsbad, N. M.
1862. Mr. and Mrs. Duane Hull, Kendall Church, Miami, Fla.
1863. Prayer Group, First Church, Sarasota, Fla.
1864. Raymond D. Church, Fort Boulevard Church, El Paso, Tex.
1865. Mrs. Charles R. Cook, Groesbeck Methodist Church, Cincinnati, Ohio.
1866. Chester H. Brewer, Detroit Conference.
1867. Wyburn Skidmore, Southern California-Arizona Conference.
1868. Mrs. Mary J. Switzler, First Church, Taft, Calif.

MEMORIALS 1869-1893, DEALING WITH MISCELLANEOUS SUBJECTS, ARE REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY

1869. The Spiritual Need of the Methodist Church, Walter G. Griffiths, Calcutta Conference, India.
1870. Requirements for Admission into Full Connection, Ivan Burnett, Jr., on Trial Mississippi Conference.
1871. Responsibility of District Superintendent for Cleaning Parsonage, Ronald E. Terry, Central Kansas, Conference.
1872. Reconsider Bishops' Stand on Race Issue, Officers and Leaders of the Dodson Methodist Church, Dodson, La.
1873. Require Abstinence for Ministers, Official Board of Pleasant Valley Methodist Church, St. Louis, Mich.
1874. Program to Secure More Ministers, General Board of Education, Methodist Church, Nashville, Tenn.
1875. Approved Supply Pastors and Annual Conference, Official Board of First Methodist Church, Long Prairie, Minn.
1876. Retain Restriction on use of Tobacco, Official Board of the San Gabriel Methodist Church, San Gabriel, Calif.
1877. General Commission on Chaplains, etc., James Roy Smith, Virginia, Conference.
1878. Pastor and Services in Church, The Board of Christian Social Concerns, Central New York Conference.
1879. Church Membership and Right of Pastor, Board of Christian Social Concerns, Central New York Conference.
1880. Total Cash Support of Minister and the Conference Journal, Rev. Bernard C. Wahl, Jr., Central Illinois Conference.
1881. Election and Assignment of Bishops, Lemuel C. Summers, Okla. Conf.
1882. Term of Eight Years for a Bishop, Robert B. Shaw, University Methodist Church, Seattle, Wash.
1883. Bishop's Administrative Duties by a Layman, Milton G. Killebrew, South-West Texas Conference.

1884. Duties of a Bishop, John J. Waggy, Jr., New York East Conference.
1885. Duties of a Bishop, David B. Joslin, New York East Conference.
1886. An Area Theologian, Harry W. Baker, First Methodist Church, Schenectady, N. Y.
1887. An Area Theologian, John J. Waggy, Jr., New York East Conference.
1888. An Area Theologian, David B. Joslin, New York East Conference.
1889. Duties of a Bishop, Harry W. Baker, First Methodist Church Schenectady, N. Y.
1890. Legislation on the Ministry, Committee on Legislation, Conference Boards of Ministerial Training, Evanston, Ill.
1891. Panel To Consider Complaints Against Ministers; Mrs. Lee W. Hubbard, Kynett Memorial Methodist Church, Frakes, Ky.
1892. Duties of the Pastor, Official Board and Pastor, Church of the Temple, New York, N. Y.
1893. Emphasize "Call" to Christian Service, Clarence A. Knott, Baltimore Conf.

MEMORIALS 1894-1928 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

1894. Retain Position on Abstinence. Mrs. Grady May, Central Methodist Church, Meridian, Miss.
1895. Retain Position on Abstinence. Dodson Methodist Church, Dodson, La.
1896. Retain Position on Abstinence. Mrs. Bessie M. Miller, First Methodist Church, Corvallis, Ore.
1897. Encourage Personal Abstinence. Eva B. Briggs, First Church, Brattleboro, Vt.
1898. Support Prayer and Bible Reading in Schools. W. L. Weiss, Trinity, Havertown, Pa.
1899. Support Prayer and Bible Study in Public Schools. Marion Junction Church, Alabama.
1900. Reject Proposals of Board of Christian Social Concerns. Trinity Church, Miami, Fla.
1901. Support Civil Rights Legislation. Christian Social Concerns Commission of Centenary Church, Mankato, Minn.
1902. Abolish Discrimination in Administrative Agencies. Metropolitan Commission Methodist Church, New York City.
1903. Withhold Funds from Agencies Practicing Discrimination. Metropolitan Commission Methodist Church, New York City.
1904. Eliminate Discrimination. South End Methodist Parish Staff, Boston, Mass., John Barclay, coordinator.
1905. Eliminate Discrimination. W.S.C.S., Tylersburg Church, Penna.
1906. Eliminate Discrimination. Jeanne M. Devlin, First Church, Meriden, Conn.
1907. Eliminate Discrimination. Gilbert H. Caldwell, New England Conf.
1908. Diplomatic Recognition for Communist China. Christian Social Concerns Commission of Centenary Church, Mankato, Minn.
1909. Habits of Christians. Louneta Lorah, missionary to Japan, Wyoming Conference.
1910. Quadrennial Program for Peace and World Order. George P. Carter, California-Nevada Conference.
1911. Crusade for Peace. Murray H. Jones, Missouri West Conference.

1912. Action for World Peace. Lynas E. Lushbough, First Church, Oconomowoc, Wis.
1913. Emphasize Areas of Christian Social Concern, Marian Junction Church, Alabama.
1914. Refrain from Supporting Government Foreign Aid. Max Salisbury, Aldersgate Church, Fort Wayne, Ind.
1915. Refrain from Supporting United Nations. Max Salisbury, Aldersgate Church, Fort Wayne, Ind.
1916. Oppose Civil Rights Demonstrations, Springhead Methodist Church, Tampa, Fla.
1917. Refrain from Adopting Position Favoring Political or Economic Opinion. Max Salisbury, Aldersgate Church, Fort Wayne, Ind.
1918. Refrain from Adopting Positions on Political Issues. Max Salisbury, Aldersgate Church, Fort Wayne, Ind.

MEMORIALS 1919 THROUGH 1928 ON MISCELLANEOUS SUBJECTS ARE REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

1919. Continuance of *Concern* Magazine, Mrs. Harold C. Hinshaw, Mississippi Methodist Church, College, Mo.
1920. Quadrennial Program for World Order, Students at Millsaps College, Jackson, Miss.
1921. Suggestions for Sunday School Program, Mrs. Betty Ordas, Methodist Church, Huntertown, Ind.
1922. General Board of Christian Social Concerns. Official Board, St. Mark's Methodist Church, Port Arthur, Texas.
1923. Two Income Families, Austin H. Gale, Westwood Community Methodist Church, Los Angeles, Calif.
1924. Abolition of the Senate Internal Security Subcommittee, Methodist Federation for Social Action, Ardsley, N. Y.
1925. Repeal of McCarran Act, Lee H. Ball, New York Conference.
1926. Services to Retarded Children, Board of Hospitals and Homes, Evanston, Ill.
1927. Eliminate Discrimination, Official Board, Glendale Methodist Church, Everett, Mass.
1928. World Order Sunday, Board of Christian Social Concerns. North Carolina Conference.

MEMORIALS 1929 THROUGH 1941 SUPPORT THE BECKER AMENDMENT AND ARE REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

1929. W.S.C.S., Bowling Green Methodist Church, Bowling Green, Ind.
1930. W.S.C.S., Central Barren Methodist Church, Central Barren, Ind.
1931. W.S.C.S., Sugar Creek Methodist Church, Fairland, Ind.
1932. W.S.C.S., Forest Manor Methodist Church, Indianapolis, Ind.
1933. W.S.C.S., Trinity Methodist Church, Vincennes, Ind.
1934. W.S.C.S., Trinity Methodist Church, Indianapolis, Ind.
1935. W.S.C.S., Zoar Methodist Church, Holland, Ind.
1936. W.S.C.S., Winslow Methodist Church, Winslow, Ind.
1937. W.S.C.S., North Methodist Church, Indianapolis, Ind.
1938. W.S.C.S., Bethel Methodist Church, Washington, Ind.
1939. K. N. Calkin, Old Hickory Methodist Church, Old Hickory, Tenn.
1940. W.S.C.S., First Methodist Church, Bicknell, Ind.
1941. W.S.C.S., First Methodist Church, Charleston, Ind.

MEMORIALS 1942-1978 REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

1942. Retain Position on Abstinence, W.S.C.S., First Methodist Church, Bellefontaine, Ohio.
1943. W.S.C.S., Newberry Methodist Church, Newberry, Ind.
1944. W.S.C.S., Beech Grove Methodist Church, Beech Grove, Ind.
1945. W.S.C.S., Hatfield Methodist Church, Hatfield, Ind.
1946. W.S.C.S., Johnson Memorial Methodist Church, New Harmony, Ind.
1947. W.S.C.S., Whiteland Methodist Church, Whiteland, Ind.
1948. W.S.C.S., Old Union Methodist Church, Shelbyville, Ind.
1949. W.S.C.S., Edwards Memorial Methodist Church, Liberty, Ind.
1950. W.S.C.S., First Methodist Church, Washington, Ind.
1951. W.S.C.S., Guilford Methodist Church, Guilford, Ind.
1952. W.S.C.S., Castleton Methodist Church, Castleton, Ind.
1953. W.S.C.S., St. James Methodist Church, Evansville, Ind.
1954. W.S.C.S., Aurora Methodist Church, Aurora, Ind.
1955. W.S.C.S. of Black's Chapel Methodist Church, Mt. Vernon, Ind.
1956. W.S.C.S., First Methodist Church, Vincennes, Ind.
1957. W.S.C.S., Gentryville Methodist Church, Gentryville, Ind.
1958. W.S.C.S., Pleasant Hill Methodist Church, Washington, Ind.
1959. W.S.C.S., Prairieton Methodist Church, Prairieton, Ind.
1960. W.S.C.S., Bakers' Chapel Methodist Church, Boonville, Ind.
1961. W.S.C.S., Corydon Methodist Church, Corydon, Ind.
1962. W.S.C.S., McCutchanville Methodist Church, Evansville, Ind.
1963. W.S.C.S., Alquina Methodist Church, Cornersville, Ind.
1964. W.S.C.S., Hope Methodist Church, Hope, Ind.
1965. W.S.C.S., Ebenezer Methodist Church, Boonville, Ind.
1966. W.S.C.S., New Philadelphia Methodist Church, Salem, Ind.
1967. W.S.C.S., Merom Methodist Church, Merom, Ind.
1968. W.S.C.S., First Methodist Church, Cannelton, Ind.
1969. W.S.C.S., First Methodist Church, Tell City, Ind.
1970. W.S.C.S., Fort Branch Methodist Church, Fort Branch, Ind.
1971. Odie Bregg, North Alabama Conference.
1972. W.S.C.S., Linden Heights Methodist Church, Baltimore, Md.
1973. Official Board, Linden Heights Methodist Church, Baltimore, Md.
1974. W.S.C.S., Caroline Street Methodist Church, Baltimore, Md.
1975. W.S.C.S., Folsom Memorial Methodist Church, Worthington, Ind.
1976. W.S.C.S., Westport Methodist Church, Westport, Ind.
1977. W.S.C.S., Main Street Methodist Church, Boonville, Ind.
1978. John W. Gilbert, Philadelphia Conference.

MEMORIALS 1979 THROUGH 2011 ON MISCELLANEOUS SUBJECTS ARE REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

1979. Strengthen Position re: use of Alcoholic Beverages, George S. Sahai, Lucknow Conference, India.
1980. Oppose Red China in UN, Official Board and Pastor, Howe Memorial Methodist Church, Crescent City, Fla.

THROUGH MECHANICAL ERROR, 1981-1989, INCLUSIVE ARE NOT ASSIGNED TO ANY MEMORIAL

1990. Reaffirm Position on Total Abstinence, W.S.C.S., Port Royal Methodist Church, Clarksville, Tenn.
1991. Reaffirm Position on Total Abstinence, Mrs. C. B. Howard, Trinity Methodist Church, Oak Ridge, Tenn.

1992. Reaffirm Position on Total Abstinence, Central Texas Conference.
1993. Reaffirm Position on Total Abstinence, W.S.C.S., Hillman Methodist Church, Hillman, Mich.
1994. Reaffirm Position on Total Abstinence, W.S.C.S., Cookeville Methodist Church, Cookeville, Tenn.
1995. Reaffirm Position on Total Abstinence, W.S.C.S., Lima District, Ohio Conference.
1996. Reaffirm Position on Total Abstinence, Rev. E. P. Frye, New England Conference.
1997. Distribution of Cigarettes and Methodist Related Institutions, Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Trinity Methodist Church, Minneapolis.
1998. Studies re Alcohol, Christian Social Concerns Commission, West Market Street Methodist Church, Greensboro, N. C.
1999. Support Becker Amendment, W.S.C.S., Adams Methodist Church, Adams, Ind.
2000. Prayer and Bible Reading in Public Schools, Zipporah Circle, W.S.C.S., St. Luke Methodist Church, Bryn Mawr, Pa.
2001. Bible Reading and Prayer in Public Schools, W.S.C.S., Prairie Chapel Methodist Church, Lyons, Ind.
2002. Reprimand Staff of General Board of Christian Social Concerns, Howard Lydick, First Methodist Church, Richardson, Tex.
2003. The Methodist Church and Race, Board of Christian Social Concerns, Central New York Conference.
2004. Bible Reading and prayer in Public Schools, Official Board, First Methodist Church, Coral Gables, Fla.
2005. Continue Publication of *Concern*, Board of Christian Social Concerns, Ohio Conference.
2006. Church Equipment for Handicapped, Official Board, Trinity Methodist Church, Idaho Falls, Idaho.
2007. Sunday Observance Laws & the Methodist Church, Christian Social Concerns Commission, Sweet Home, Oregon.
2008. Help for those on Welfare, Lewis M. White, Westwood Community Methodist Church, Los Angeles, Calif.
2009. Opposed to Board of Christian Social Concerns on Demonstrations, L. Thomas Moore, Jr., Philadelphia Conference.
2010. No Forced Integration, Fourth Quarterly Conference of Grace Methodist Church, St. Augustine, Fla.
2011. Segregation, Employment and Financial Support, Official Board and Pastor, Church of the People, New York, N. Y.

MEMORIALS NUMBERS 2012 THROUGH 2023 TO ELIMINATE DISCRIMINATION ARE REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

2012. Earle W. Devlin, First Methodist Church, Meriden, Conn.
2013. Robert W. Hannum, New England Conference.
2014. Students at Millsaps College, Jackson, Miss.
2015. Gene A. McLean, First Methodist Church, Meriden, Conn.
2016. W.S.C.S., Brimfield Methodist Church, Kent, Ohio.
2017. Official Board of Lafayette Street Methodist Church, Salem, Mass.
2018. W.S.C.S., St. Matthews Methodist Church, West Acton, Mass.
2019. Miss Ramona M. Clough, St. Matthews Methodist Church, West Acton, Mass.
2020. Board of Christian Social Concerns of South Dakota Annual Conference.
2021. Commission on Christian Social Concerns of Grace Methodist Church, Des Moines, Iowa.

2022. South End Methodist Parish Staff of New England Conference.
2023. Conference Youth Council of Southern Illinois Conference.
2024. Carl C. Hackman, Missouri West Conference.

MEMORIALS 2025 THROUGH 2049 ON A CRUSADE FOR WORLD PEACE ARE REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

2025. Dale M. Sienn, First Methodist Church, Charles City, Iowa.
2026. Fulton M. Meyer, First Methodist Church, Charles City, Iowa.
2027. Dr. and Mrs. Frank L. Myers, First Methodist Church, Charles City, Iowa.
2028. L. S. Wagoner, First Methodist Church, Charles City, Iowa.
2029. Mrs. Geo. E. Taylor, First Methodist Church, Charles City, Iowa.
2030. Mrs. Lois M. Trindle, First Methodist Church, Charles City, Iowa.
2031. Mrs. Vivian F. Greenzweig, First Methodist Church, Charles City, Iowa.
2032. Anita E. Otto, First Methodist Church, Charles City, Iowa.
2033. Annetta Bartlett, First Methodist Church, Charles City, Iowa.
2034. Helen E. Adams, First Methodist Church, Charles City, Iowa.
2035. Clarence F. Shaffer, First Methodist Church, Charles City. Iowa.
2036. Elsie Folkerts, First Methodist Church, Charles City, Iowa.
2037. Ardyce Shaffer, First Methodist Church, Charles City, Iowa.
2038. Edward M. Folkerts, First Methodist Church, Charles City, Iowa.
2039. Helen Bell, First Methodist Church, Charles City, Iowa.
2040. G. E. Taylor, First Methodist Church, Charles City, Iowa.
2041. Mrs. Frank Van Hooser, First Methodist Church, Charles City, Iowa.
2042. Mrs. Delwin Otto, First Methodist Church, Charles City, Iowa.
2043. Edward L. Bruns, First Methodist Church, Charles City, Iowa.
2044. F. R. Esser, First Methodist Church, Charles City, Iowa.
2045. Mark Young, First Methodist Church, Charles City, Iowa.
2046. Mrs. Katherine Royal, First Methodist Church, Charles City, Iowa.
2047. Howard Martin, First Methodist Church, Charles City, Iowa.
2048. Lois Thurow, First Methodist Church, Charles City, Iowa.
2049. Charles F. Adams, First Methodist Church, Charles City, Iowa.

MEMORIALS 2050-2064, INCLUSIVE, DEAL WITH ELIMINATE DICRIMINATION REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MEMBERSHIP AND EVANGELISM

2050. Austin H. Armitstead, New York East Conference.
2051. Board of Christian Social Concerns, Detroit Conference, James Ragland, Secy.
2052. David S. Benedict, New York East Conference.
2053. Quarterly Conference, First Methodist Church, Milford, Mass.
2054. Clarence F. Avey, New England Conference.
2055. W.S.C.S., St. Matthews Methodist Church, West Acton, Mass.
2056. Marion Smerage, Bethany Methodist Church, Roslindale, Mass.
2057. Robert H. Williams, Central Illinois Conference.
2058. Methodists for Church Renewal, James H. Laird, Secy.
2059. Austin H. Armitstead, New York East Conference.

2060. Mrs. Loyall A. Tether, St. John's Methodist Church, Dedham, Mass.
2061. Mrs. Loyall A. Tether, St. John's Methodist Church, Dedham, Mass.
2062. W.S.C.S., St. Matthews Methodist Church, West Acton, Mass.
2063. Miss Ramona M. Clough, St. Matthews Methodist Church, West Acton, Mass.
2064. Miss Ramona M. Clough, St. Matthews Methodist Church, West Acton, Mass.

MEMORIALS 2065-2083 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MEMBERSHIP AND EVANGELISM

2065. Membership Training. 11th Grade Church School, First Methodist Church, Salina, Kan., Mrs. Bruce Russell, Teacher.
2066. Holy Communion and Unconfirmed Children. J. Noble Atkins, New Mexico Conference.
2067. Report Resident and Non Resident Members. Commission on Membership and Evangelism; Official Board, Valley View Methodist Church, Las Cruces, N. M.
2068. Ritual re Name for Pastor's Membership Class, etc. Milton G. Killebrew, Southwest Texas Conference.
2069. Membership requirements, Milton G. Killebrew, Southwest Texas Conference.
2070. Study Course for Membership. Milton G. Killebrew, Southwest Texas Conference.
2071. Church Membership Open to All. Official Board, Church of the People, New York, N. Y.
2072. Church Services Open to All. Official Board, Church of the People, New York, N. Y.
2073. Rotation of Membership, etc. Para. 143. James Allen Kestle, Philadelphia Conference.
2074. Requirement for Full Membership in Methodist Church. Morning Methodist Youth Fellowship, Canistota Methodist Church, Canistota, S. D.
2075. Certificate of Transfer of Church Members. Wayne W. Woodward, Western North Carolina Conference.

MEMORIALS 2076-2079, INCLUSIVE, DEAL WITH ELIMINATE DISCRIMINATION

2076. Lawrence A. Larson, New England Conference.
2077. South End Methodist Parish Staff, Boston, Mass.
2078. Official Board, Tenafly Methodist Church, Tenafly, N. J.
2079. Official Board, Tenafly Methodist Church, Tenafly, N. J.
2080. Receive Members without Regard to Race. Official Board, Metropolitan Community Methodist Church, New York, N. Y.
2081. Remove M.F.S.A. Members from Methodist Church. M. F. McDavid, Woods Chapel Methodist Church, Harriman, Tenn.
2082. Receive Members Without Regard to Race (Amend Para. 107). Victor L. Neumeier, Sudbury Methodist Church, Sudbury, Mass.
2083. Inactive Members (Rescind Para. 127), Edward F. Gallahue, North Methodist Church, Indianapolis, Ind.

MEMORIALS 2084-2120, INCLUSIVE, DEAL WITH COMMISSION TO STUDY HEALING MINISTRY. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON RITUAL AND ORDERS OF WORSHIP

2084. Charles E. Price, Assistant, 1st Methodist Church, Carlsbad, N. M.

2085. Prayer Group, Centenary Methodist Church, Chattanooga, Tenn.
2086. Mrs. Florence V. Yeager, Miss Vivian V. Yeager, Hamline Methodist Church, Washington, D. C.
2087. Mrs. Emmett L. Hill, First Methodist Church, Umatilla, Fla.
2088. Charlotte Ann Harris, Ft. Boulevard Methodist Church, El Paso, Texas.
2089. Mrs. John M. Kilroy, Country Club Methodist Church, Kansas City, Mo.
2090. Mrs. Lon Meadows, First Methodist Church, Deming, N. M.
2091. J. F. Swiney, Tennessee Conference.
2092. M. Irene Johnson, Washington Street Methodist Church, Indianapolis, Ind.
2093. Mrs. J. Howard Lee, Trinity Methodist Church, Grand Rapids, Mich.
2094. H. R. Tate, Missouri East Conference.
2095. Norman A. Savin, First Methodist Church, Brocton, N. Y.
2096. George E. King, North Carolina Conference.
2097. Ira G. Zepp, Jr., Baltimore Conference.
2098. Mary M. Bugby, St. Paul's Methodist Church, Ocean Grove, N. J.
2099. Mrs. William N. Rogers, First Methodist Church, New Albany, Miss.
2100. Mr. and Mrs. W. M. Walker, First Methodist Church, Umatilla, Fla.
2101. Mrs. Estrel Gunn, Methodist Church, New Palestine, Ind.
2102. Mrs. Laura Candler, Pine Castle Methodist Church, Orlando, Fla.
2103. Mrs. Wayne Danner, Methodist Church, Bushnell, Ill.
2104. Official Board, Mount Carmel Methodist Church, Collins, Ga.
2105. Official Board, Shiloh Methodist Church, Reidsville, Ga.
2106. Charles F. Kieklry, Baltimore Conference.
2107. Mrs. Isabel H. Rickmond, Bayview Methodist Church, Surry, Va.
2108. Mrs. W. J. Evans, First Methodist Church, Deming, N. M.
2109. J. F. Swiney, Tennessee Conference.
2110. Mrs. Chester Alwin, First Methodist Church, New Ulm, Minn.
2111. Clarence F. Avey, New England Conference.
2112. Prayer Group, Warren Methodist Church, Washburn, Wisc.
2113. Official Board, Harmony Methodist Church, Glennville, Ga.
2114. Rev. and Mrs. Robert K. Baird, Fort Boulevard Methodist Church, El Paso, Tex.
2115. R. E. Simpson, Little Rock Conference.
2116. Mrs. Harold Fagot, First Methodist Church, Lexington, Neb.
2117. Prayer Groups, West Anaheim Methodist Church, Anaheim, Calif.
2118. Mrs. Barbara Pugh, First Methodist Church, New Castle, Ind.
2119. Mrs. Joyce Philipps, First Methodist Church, Deming, N. M.
2120. 4th Quarterly Conference, Wilmore Methodist Church, Wilmore, Ky.

MEMORIALS 2121-2130 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON JURISDICTIONS

2121. Abolish Rio Grande Conference. Milton G. Killebrew, Southwest Texas Conference.
2122. Election of General Conference Delegates. Robert B. Shaw, Pacific Northwest Conference.

2123. Eliminate Discrimination. Richard W. Pettit, North-East Ohio Conference.
2124. Eliminate Discrimination. Methodists for Church Renewal, James H. Laird, Detroit, chairman.
2125. Minimum Salary for Pastors. Murray A. Carson, Virginia Conference.
2126. Study Question of Central Jurisdiction. Weston Methodist Church, Weston, Mo.
2127. Retain Present Jurisdictional System. Cottonwood Methodist Church. Cottonwood, Ala.
2128. Voting Members of Annual Conference Board of Lay Activities of Western North Carolina Conference, Robert M. Smith, Conference Lay Leader.
2129. Minimum Salary Fund. Murray A. Carson, Virginia Conference.
2130. Ministers' Membership on Committees. R. W. Hayward, First Church of Fairfield, Ill.

MEMORIALS 2131-2181 ENTITLED RETAIN JURISDICTIONAL SYSTEM. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

2131. 67th Street Methodist Church, Birmingham, Ala.
2132. Mrs. Ernest Harry, Briggs Chapel Methodist Church, Porterville, Miss.
2133. Mr. and Mrs. Oscar Pool, Sr., Central Methodist Church, Meridian, Miss.
2134. W.S.C.S., Porterville Methodist Church, Porterville, Miss.
2135. Morningsport Methodist Church, Morningsport, La.
2136. Robert W. Soul, Main Street Methodist Church, Hattiesburg, Miss.
2137. Mrs. E. A. Ruble, Main Street Methodist Church, Hattiesburg, Miss.
2138. W.S.C.S., Main Street Methodist Church, Hattiesburg, Miss.
2139. First Methodist Church, Commission on Christian Social Conference, Greensboro, Ala.
2140. Martha L. Wright, Central Methodist Church, Meridian, Miss.
2141. Mrs. J. C. Floyd, Central Methodist Church, Meridian, Miss.
2142. First Methodist Church Official Board, Lexington, Miss.
2143. W.S.C.S., Oakland Heights Methodist Church, Meridian, Miss.
2144. Mr. and Mrs. Lionel Lamon, Chapel Hill Methodist Church, Porterville, Miss.
2145. Jim Luke, Chapel Hill Methodist Church, Porterville, Miss.
2146. Ben B. Briggs, Pascagoula Methodist Church, Pascagoula, Miss.
2147. Mr. and Mrs. D. D. Briggs, Sr., Butler and Briggs Chapel Methodist Churches, Butler, Ala.
2148. Mr. and Mrs. W. H. Murray, Briggs Chapel Methodist Church, Porterville, Miss.
2149. Miss Bob Tillman. Central Methodist Church, Meridian, Miss.
2150. Wesley Chapel Official Board, Jackson, S. C.
2151. First Church Official Board, St. Augustine, Fla.
2152. Capital Heights Official Board, Montgomery, Ala.
2153. Mr. and Mrs. T. L. Wright, Sr., Capitol St. Methodist Church, Jackson, Miss.
2154. J. C. Floyd, Central Methodist Church, Meridian, Miss.
2155. Mr. and Mrs. J. W. Gordon, Mt. Hebron Church, Lauderdale, Miss.
2156. Mrs. Billy Fort. Central Church, Meridian, Miss.
2157. Burns Church Official Board, Augusta, Ga.
2158. Jim Luke, Union Church, Porterville, Miss.

2159. Mr. and Mrs. Marvin Keeton, Broadmeadow Methodist Church, Jackson, Miss.
2160. W.S.C.S., First Church, Greensboro, Ala.
2161. W.S.C.S., Highland Church, Meridian, Miss.
2162. Mr. and Mrs. C. C. Carnathan, Porterville Church, Porterville, Miss.
2163. Canterbury Church Official Board and Board of Trustees, Birmingham, Ala.
2164. Esperance Church Official Board, Esperance, N. Y.
2165. W.S.C.S., Wisner Church, Wisner, La.
2166. First Church Official Board, St. Augustine, Fla.
2167. Pembroke Church Official Board, Pembroke, Ky.
2168. Fort Davis Church Official Board, Fort Davis, Ala.
2169. Oak Ridge Church Official Board, Oak Ridge. La.
2170. Mr. and Mrs. W. B. Keeton, Union Methodist Church, Porterville, Miss.
2171. Mrs. Leah Gordon and Mrs. Verna Seale, Mt. Hebron Church, Lauderdale, Miss.
2172. Mr. and Mrs. Jack Webb, Porterville Church, Porterville, Miss.
2173. Mr. and Mrs. K. G. Rush, Porterville Church, Porterville, Miss.
2174. Mr. and Mrs. George L. Seale, Mt. Hebron Methodist Church, Porterville, Miss.
2175. Miss Zola Grantham, Briggs Chapel, Porterville, Miss.
2176. Mr. and Mrs. W. H. Walker, Briggs Chapel, Porterville, Miss.
2177. Mrs. O. W. Hall and Mrs. Walter H. Hall, Chapel Hill Methodist Church, Porterville, Miss.
2178. Carol J. Smith, East Wisconsin Conference.
2179. Lauderdale Church Official Board, Lauderdale, Miss.
2180. Mr. and Mrs. Fred W. Phelps, Porterville Methodist Church, Porterville, Miss.
2181. Tallulah Church Official Board, Tallulah, La.
2182. Jonesboro Official Board, Jonesboro, La.
2183. Howe Memorial Church Official Board, Crescent City, Fla.
2184. Methodist Men, Tunica Church, Tunica, Miss.
2185. Mrs. Chas. A. Rogers, Main St. Church, Hattiesburg, Miss.
2186. Edward H. Alsdorf, First Church, National City, Calif.
2187. Marion Junction Church, Official Board, Marion Junction, Ala.
2188. K. N. Calkin, Old Hickory Church, Old Hickory, Tenn.
2189. Whitehaven Church Official Board, Memphis, Tenn.
2190. Mrs. Howard C. Steiber, Brandywine Methodist Church, Wilmington, Del.
2191. Mrs. Willis P. Scout, Brandywine Methodist Church, Wilmington, Del.
2192. Willis P. Scout, Brandywine Methodist Church, Wilmington, Del.

MEMORIALS 2193-2210 REERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

2193. Withdraw from National Council. Mrs. Lyle Farver, Ferguson Church, Ferguson, Mo.
2194. Lay Representation in Annual Conference. Clare Dinn, Letts Methodist Church, Greensburg, Ind.
2195. Lay Representation in Annual Conference. Luella Robbins, Letts Methodist Church, Greensburg, Ind.
2196. Local Charge Representation in Annual Conference. Arnold Atkins, Letts Methodist Church, Greensburg, Ind.
2197. Rollin E. Robbins, Letts Methodist Church, Greensburg, Ind.
2198. Martha Robbins, Letts Methodist Church, Greensburg, Ind.

2199. Number of Lay Members in Annual Conference. Nina Venters, Letts Methodist Church, Greensburg, Ind.
2200. Number of Lay Members in Annual Conference. John Robbins, Letts Methodist Church, Greensburg, Ind.
2201. Number of Lay Members in Annual Conference. Aeretta Venters, Letts Church, Greensburg, Ind.
2202. Number of Lay Members in Annual Conference. Tillis Dinn, Letts Methodist Church, Greensburg, Ind.
2203. Eliminate Discrimination. Charlton City Official Board, Charlton City, Mass.
2204. Abolish Segregation in Conference Agencies. Metropolitan Community Methodist Church, Official Board, New York City.
2205. Election of Members of Conference Boards of Christian Social Concerns. North Carolina Conference Board of Christian Social Concerns, North Carolina Conference, Jack Crum, secretary.
2206. Chairman of Committee on Christian Social Concerns on Official Board. Board of Christian Social Concerns, North Carolina Conference, Jack Crum, secy.
2207. Change in Amendment IX. Indiana Methodist Student Movement, Arthur R. Gifford, pres.
2208. Continue Commission on Jurisdictional Relations. Indiana Methodist Student Movement, Arthur R. Gifford, pres.
2209. Meeting Date of General Conference. Louisville Annual Conference, L. W. Woodward, secretary.
2210. Remove Racial Segregation from Church Agencies. W.S.C.S. of South Dakota Conference, Mrs. John Ritz, secretary.

MEMORIALS 2211-2303 ENTITLED ABOLISH CENTRAL JURISDICTION. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

2211. Official Board of Church of the People (Methodist), 5-pts. Mission, Mrs. James Gantt, secy.
2212. W.S.C.S. Dorcas Group, First Church, DuBois, Penn.
2213. Miriam Group W.S.C.S., First Church, DuBois, Penn.
2214. Committee on Christian Social Concerns, Shenendehowa Methodist Church, Elnora, N. Y.
2215. Mathewson St. Church Policy Committee, Providence, R. I.
2216. Kappa Phi (Alpha Tau Chapter), Central Michigan University, Mt. Pleasant, Mich.
2217. W.S.C.S., Chas. Wesley Methodist Church, McLean, Va.
2218. Pastors of New Haven Dist., N. Y. East Conference, David M. Campbell, secy.
2219. W.S.C.S., Haddenfield Methodist Church, Haddenfield, N. J.
2220. W.S.C.S., Grace Methodist Church, Piqua, Ohio.
2221. W.S.C.S., Canal Fulton Methodist Church, Ohio.
2222. Lafayette St. Official Board, Salem, Mass.
2223. Young Adult Class, Pennington Methodist Church, Trenton, N. J.
2224. W.S.C.S., Dennison Methodist Church, Ohio.
2225. Harold A. Otis, First Church, Kalamazoo, Mich.
2226. W.S.C.S. of the Ohio Conference, Mrs. Howard E. Chalfant, secretary.
2227. Lester W. Auman, New York East Conference.
2228. W.S.C.S. of the Oklahoma Conference, Mrs. M. C. Roberts, secy.
2229. Austin H. Armitstead, New York East Conference.
2230. W.S.C.S., McKownville Methodist Church, Albany, N. Y.
2231. M.Y.F. of Metropolitan Community Methodist Church, New York City.
2232. W.S.C.S., Methodist Church of Chambersburg, Pa.

2233. W.S.C.S., Immanuel Methodist Church of St. Louis, Mo.
2234. Trinity Methodist Church Committee on Christian Social Concerns, Schenectady, N. Y.
2235. W.S.C.S. of West Sand Lake Methodist Church, West Sand Lake, N. Y.
2236. Averill Pk. Methodist Church, Official Board.
2237. Lexington Methodist Church Official Board, Lexington, Mass.
2238. Missouri Methodist Church Committee on Christian Social Concerns, Columbia, Mo.
2239. Trinity Methodist Church Official Board, Troy, N. Y.
2240. W.S.C.S., Lottsville Methodist Church, Sugar Grove Charge, Bear Lake, Pa.
2241. Simpson Methodist Church Quarterly Committee, Minneapolis, Minn.
2242. Port Orchard Quarterly Conference, Port Orchard, Wash.
2243. Minnesota Methodist Short-Term Missionary Class of 1964, Merlyn Jorgensen, secy.
2244. First Methodist Church Committee on Christian Social Concerns and W.S.C.S., LaGrande, Ore.
2245. Deborah Group, W.S.C.S., First Church, Dubois, Pa.
2246. W.S.C.S., Simpson Methodist Church, Minneapolis, Minn.
2247. First Methodist Church, Committee on Christian Social Concerns, Saratoga Springs, N. Y.
2248. W.S.C.S. Naomi Group, First Methodist Church, Dubois, Pa.
2249. Waianae Methodist Church Official Board, Waianae, Oahu, Hawaii.
2250. Dr. and Mrs. David D. Neiswender, Pennington Methodist Church, N. J.
2251. Kappa Phi (Mu Chapter), Seattle, Wash.
2252. Diane Dickerson, et al., Millsaps College, Jackson, Miss.
2253. Manning Methodist Church Committee on Christian Social Concerns, Manning, Iowa.
2254. McKownville Methodist Church, Committee on Christian Social Concern, Albany, N. Y.
2255. W.S.C.S., Marienville, Pa.
2256. Madison Methodist Church Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Madison, N. J.
2257. Agnes B. Chapman, South Walpole Methodist Church, Mass.
2258. Trinity Methodist Church, Official Board, Idaho Falls, Idaho.
2259. First Methodist Church, Official Board, Dubois, Penn.
2260. St. Matthew's Methodist Church Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Wayne, Penn.
2261. Mr. and Mrs. Charles R. Hogge, Jr., Cherry Hill Methodist Church, N. J.
2262. Charles J. Warner, Troy Conference.
2263. Rose B. Warner, McKnownville Methodist Church, Albany, N. Y.
2264. Jason Lee Memorial Methodist Church, Official Board, Salem, Ore.
2265. W.S.C.S., Shenendehowa Methodist Church, Elnora, N. Y.
2266. W.S.C.S. Ridgecrest Community Methodist Church, Ridgecrest, Calif.
2267. McKownville Methodist Church, Official Board, Albany, N. Y.
2268. Kappa Phi (Alpha Xi Chapter), Baldwin-Wallace College, Berea, Ohio.
2269. Weedman Methodist Church W.S.C.S., Methodist Men, MYF, Farmer City, Ill.
2270. Mrs. Beth Groseclose, et al., Mt. Zion Methodist Church, St. Louis, Mo.

2271. W.S.C.S., First Methodist Church, Mechanicville, N. Y.
2272. Lee H. Ball, New York Conference.
2273. St. Matthew's Methodist Church, W.S.C.S., West Acton, Mass.
2274. Lee H. Ball, New York Conference.
2275. First Methodist Church, Official Board, Waukesha, Wisc.
2276. Butler Methodist Church, Official Board, Butler, N. J.
2277. Mrs. Elinore B. Friese, Honokaa Methodist Church, Honokaa, Hawaii.
2278. Grafton Methodist Church, Official Board, Grafton, N. Y.
2279. Miss Ramona Clough, St. Matthew's Methodist Church, West Acton, Mass.
2280. Centenary Methodist Church, Commission on Christian Society Concerns, Mankato, Minn.
2281. Paul Lanier, North Arkansas Conference.
2282. Metropolitan Community Methodist Church Official Board, New York City.
2283. Greene St. Methodist Church, Official Board, Piqua, Ohio.
2284. Fifth Avenue-State Street Church, Official Board, Troy, N. Y.
2285. First Methodist Church, Official Board, Manning, Iowa.
2286. Brooklyn Methodist Church, Official Board, Minneapolis, Minn.
2287. Trinity Methodist Church, Official Board, Montpelier, Vt.
2288. Austin H. Gale, Westwood Community Methodist Church, Los Angeles, Calif.
2289. Robert W. Williams, Central Illinois Conference.
2290. Kappa Phi (Gamma Chapter), Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa.
2291. Methodists for Church Renewal, James H. Laird, chairman.
2292. Loyd F. Worley, New York East Conference.
2293. W.S.C.S. of Falls Creek, Penn.
2294. Thomas V. Merz, McKownville Methodist Church, Albany, N. Y.
2295. Marion F. Seim, McKownville Methodist Church, Albany, N. Y.
2296. James Allen Kestle, Philadelphia Conference.
2297. Community Methodist Church, Official Board, Slingerlands, N. Y.
2298. Rexford Methodist Church, Official Board, Rexford, N. Y.
2299. David S. Benedict, N. Y. East Conference.
2300. Taylor Memorial Methodist Church, Official Board, Philadelphia, Penn.
2301. Wesley Methodist Church, W.S.C.S., St. Paul, Minn.
2302. Columbus District W.S.C.S. of the Ohio Conference, Mrs. J. W. Leonard, pres.
2303. Wayne St. Methodist Church, Official Board, Ft. Wayne, Ind.

MEMORIALS 2304-2318, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LOCAL CHURCH

2304. Chairman of Commissions. James A. Kestle, Philadelphia Conference.
2305. A Pastor's Cabinet. James A. Kestle, Philadelphia Conference.
2306. Commission to Study the Local Church. Murray A. Carson, Virginia Conference.
2307. More Efficient Use of Church Buildings. Miss Monta Hughes, First Methodist Church, Blytheville, Ark.
2308. Church Membership Records. Lemuel C. Summers, Oklahoma Conference.
2309. Official Board, Cottonwood Methodist Church, Cottonwood, Ala.

2310. Official Board, Tenafly Methodist Church, Tenafly, N. J. Eliminate Discrimination.
2311. Commission on Memorials in Local Church. Willard L. Robinson, Jr., Peninsula Conference.
2312. Qualifications of Official Board Members. Charles Pfister, Bay Ridge Methodist Church, Brooklyn, N. Y.
2313. Trustees of Local Church All Methodists (Para. 159). Board of Trustees, Bay Ridge Methodist Church, Brooklyn, N. Y.
2314. Trustees of Local Church and Financial Pledge (Para. 159). Board of Trustees, Bay Ridge Methodist Church, Brooklyn, N. Y.
2315. Suggestions for Program, W.S.C.S. Mrs. Betty Ordas, Huntertown Methodist Church, Huntertown, Ind.
2316. In-Service Training for Ministers. Myron M. Hall, Oregon Conference.
2317. Substitute Term Annual Church Conference for Quarterly Conference. Francis L. Wagner, First Methodist Church, Bakersfield, Calif.
2318. Committee on Nominations (Para. 145). Virgil M. Hale, Holston Conference.

MEMORIALS 2319-2352 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LAY ACTIVITIES AND TEMPORAL ECONOMY

2319. Basis of Apportionment, etc. Murray A. Carson, Virginia Conference.
2320. Pastor's Fund. Murray A. Carson, Virginia Conference.
2321. Allocation of Contributions. John M. Jones, First Methodist Church, Ironton, Ohio.
2322. The Church the Center of Philanthropic Endeavor. Lewis M. White, Westwood Community Methodist Church, Los Angeles, Calif.
2323. New Name for The Methodist Church. Raymond E. Cardwell, Virginia Conference.
2324. Name of Churches. Mr. and Mrs. Charles Sloca, First Methodist Church, Fairfield, Iowa.
2325. Negro College Support and World Service Apportionment. Beverly Mitchell, First Methodist Church, Manning, Iowa.
2326. No Allocation of Funds, etc. and Segregation. Beverly Mitchell, First Methodist Church, Manning, Iowa.
2327. Annual Conference Lay Member Effective Date of Election, Para. 143. C. Clifford Pettygrove, Epworth Methodist Church, Berkeley, Calif.
2328. Formula for Apportionments. Murray A. Carson, Virginia Conference.
2329. Sale, Transfer or Mortgage of Church Property (Unincorporated Church), Orris G. Robinson, Baltimore Conference.
2330. Certified Lay Speakers—Restrictions on. Clarence A. Knott, Baltimore Conference.
2331. Status of Methodist Men, Para. 687, 1487, 1545. Northern Philippines Conference, Eduardo Garcia, Secy.
2332. Abolition of House Committee on Un-American Activities. Lee H. Ball, New York Conference.
2333. Tribute to Dr. Harry F. Ward. Lee H. Ball, New York Conference.
2334. Status of the Methodist Federation for Social Action. Lee H. Ball, New York Conference.
2335. Separate World Service from Conference Benevolences. Official Board, First Methodist Church, Lexington, Miss.
2336. Birthplace of Francis Asbury. New York East Conference, Edwin S. Gault, Secy.

2337. Increase Financial Support of Board of Missions. Austin H. Gale, Westwood Community Methodist Church, Los Angeles, Calif.
2338. Financial Requirements of Seminaries (Para. 1378). Association of Methodist Theological Schools, Norman L. Trott, Secy.
2339. Retain Position on Tobacco. W.S.C.S., Countryside Methodist Church, Topeka, Kan.
2340. Uniform Method of Reporting by Conference Treasurers. Association of Conference Treasurers, South Central Jurisdiction, John H. Frey, Secy.
2341. Channelling of Monies through Conference Treasurer's Office. Association of Conference Treasurers, South Central Jurisdiction, John H. Frey, Secy.
2342. Eliminate Discrimination. Lawrence A. Larson, New England Conference.
2343. Refrain from Political Activity. Mrs. Duane O. Crummett, Westwood Community Methodist Church, Los Angeles, Calif.
2344. Methodist Youth Fund. MY Fund Advisory Committee, Leon M. Adkins, Chairman.
2345. Remove Methodist from MFSA. M. F. McDavid, Wood's Chapel Methodist Church, Harriman, Tenn.
2346. Define Minimum Salary. Virgil N. Hale, Holston Conference.
2347. Establish Insurance Company. Eston H. Hoffman, Mt. Carmel Methodist Church, Baltimore Conference.
2348. Revise General Rules. Young Adult Class, Centenary Methodist Church, Norristown, Tenn.
2349. Age Restriction on Percentage of Giving. The Dodson Methodist Church, Officers and Leaders, Dodson, La.
2350. Withdraw Support from National Council of Churches. Official Board, Oakland Methodist Church, Dry Fork, Va.
2351. Withdraw from National Council of Churches. Official Board, Methodist Church, Marion Junction, Ala.
2352. Support of National and World Council of Churches. C. E. Jordan, Westwood Community Methodist Church, Los Angeles, Calif.

MEMORIAL 2353 DEALS WITH ANNUITY CLAIM OF MINISTERS WIDOW REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON PENSIONS

2353. Frank D. Dennis, Newark Conference.

MEMORIALS 2354-2357, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS AND HOMES

2354. Approval of Financial Requests of Hospitals, Homes, etc. Austin H. Armitstead, New York East Conference.
2355. Eliminate Discrimination. Health Care Agencies of the New York East Conference. Austin H. Armitstead, Secy.
2356. Abolish Discrimination in Hospitals and Homes. Official Board, Metropolitan Community Methodist Church, New York, N. Y.
2357. Care of Senior Citizens. Christian Social Concerns Commission of Centenary Methodist Church, Mankato, Minn.

MEMORIALS 2358-2370, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON RITUAL AND ORDERS OF WORSHIP

2358. Apostles' Creed Wording Change. George S. Sahai, Lucknow Conference.
2359. Apostles' Creed and Phrase "He descended . . .". Raymond E. Cardwell, Virginia Conference.

2360. Apostles' Creed Wording. Official Board, Wesley Methodist Church, Lexington, Ky.
2361. Hymn for Dedication of Church, etc. Fritz E. Funk, First Methodist Church, Colorado Springs, Colo.
2362. Ritual for "The Christian Funeral." Charleston District, West Virginia Conference, J. Elbert Perkins, Secy.
2363. Ritual on Marriage, S. E. Emrich, Virginia Conference.
2364. Ritual for Ordination. S. E. Emrich, Virginia Conference.
2365. Deepening our Spiritual Ministry. George S. Sahai, Lucknow, Conference.
2366. Affirmation of Faith in New Hymnal. Mrs. Sam H. Breedlove, Bigham Chapel Methodist Church, Fortson, Ga.
2367. Keys for Hymns. James F. Allen, Western Pennsylvania Conference.
2368. Revisions in Book of Worship. Lorenz Schultz, Oregon Conference.
2369. Substitute "Cup" for "Wine" in Communion Ritual. Walter G. Griffiths, Calcutta, India.
2370. Ritual for Holy Communion. Ray C. Hollis, Jr., Associate Pastor, South Methodist Church, Manchester, Conn.

MEMORIALS 2371-2376, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON RITUAL AND ORDERS OF WORSHIP

2371. Use of Grape Juice for Communion. Walter R. Dickhaut, Jr. (on trial) Ohio Conference.
2372. Revision of Communion Ritual. Triad Class, Broad Street Church, Kingsport, Tenn.
2373. Eliminate Vestments and Ritual. Mrs. Robert Jones, First Methodist Church, Chicago, Ill.
2374. Reference to "Blood of Christ" in Hymnal. Officers and Leaders, Methodist Church, Dodson, La.
2375. Candle Lighting in Methodist Church Worship. Margaret M. Bennett, Gypsum Methodist Church, Gypsum, Kan.
2376. Ritual for the Burial of the Dead. Frank D. Dennis, Newark Conference.

MEMORIALS 2377-2382, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON PUBLISHING INTERESTS

2377. Church School Literature and Education. Guy M. Hale, Methodist Church, Stark City, Mo.
2378. Consolidate *Central Christian Advocate*. Austin H. Armitstead, New York East Conference.
2379. Consolidate *Together*, *Christian Advocate*, and *Central Christian Advocate*. Official Board, Metropolitan Community Methodist Church, New York, N. Y.
2380. Code of Ethics for All Methodist Publications. Official Board, First Methodist Church, Coral Gables, Fla.
2381. Continue *Concern*. Christian Social Concerns Commission, Centenary Methodist Church, Mankato, Minn.

MEMORIALS 2382-2383, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON PUBLISHING INTERESTS

2382. Abolish Discrimination in Methodist Publishing House. Official Board, Metropolitan Community Methodist Church, New York, N. Y.
2383. Daily Suggester for Minister's Wife. Ronald E. Terry, Central Kansas Conference.

MEMORIALS 2384—2397, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON INTERDENOMINATIONAL RELATIONS AND ACTIVITIES

2384. Approve Plan of Union Methodist and EUB Churches. Official Board and Pastor, Valley View Methodist Church, Las Cruces, N. M.
2385. Withdraw Membership and Support from World Council of Churches. Mr. and Mrs. J. A. Lindfors, First Methodist Church, North Hollywood, Calif.
2386. Withdraw from National Council of Churches. Mrs. C. B. Howard, Trinity Methodist Church, Oak Ridge, Tenn.
2387. Withdraw Membership and Support from World Council of Churches. Mrs. M. F. McDavid, Woods Chapel Methodist Church, Harriman, Tenn.
2388. Withdraw from National Council of Churches. Mrs. Cora A. Lindfors, First Methodist Church, North Hollywood, Calif.
2389. Withdraw from National Council of Churches. J. A. Lindfors, First Methodist Church, North Hollywood, Calif.
2390. Membership in Interdenominational Agencies. Commission on Ecumenical Consultation, Walter G. Williams, Chmn., Special Study Committee.
2391. Union with the Evangelical and United Brethren Church. Official Board, Trinity Methodist Church, Troy, N. Y.
2392. Investigate National Council of Churches. Official Board, Westland Methodist Church, Lebanon, Tenn.
2393. Withdraw from National Council of Churches. M. F. McDavid, Wood's Chapel, Methodist Church, Harriman, Tenn.
2394. Withdraw from National Council of Churches. Officers and Leaders, Dodson Methodist Church, Dodson, La.
2395. Create Commission on Ecumenical Affairs and Church Union. Students, Millsaps College, Jackson, Miss.
2396. Disapprove Union with EUB Church. Official Board, Whitehaven Methodist Church, Memphis, Tenn.
2397. Withhold financial Support from National Council of Churches. Official Board, First Methodist Church, Lexington, Miss.

MEMORIALS 2398-2413 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

2398. Interview Methodist Students about Methodist Colleges. First Methodist Church, W.S.C.S., Santa Barbara, Calif.
2399. Consecration of Certified Directors of Christian Education. Tennie V. Severtson, First Methodist Church, Monroe, Louisiana.
2400. Certified Directors of Christian Education. Miss Tennie V. Severtson, First Methodist Church, Monroe, La.
2401. Financial Support for Wesley Foundations. Wesley Foundation Board of Directors, University of California, Los Angeles.
2402. Abolish Discrimination in Educational Institutions. Metropolitan Community Methodist Church, Official Board, New York City.
2403. Emphasis on Integration in Educational Curriculum. Goshen Methodist Church, MYF, Goshen, N. Y.
2404. Emphasis on Integration in Educational Curriculum. Metropolitan Community Methodist Church, MYF, New York City.
2405. Emphasis on Integration in Educational Curriculum. Copake Methodist Church, MYF, Copake, N. Y.
2406. Program of Teaching Dangers of Communism. Hopewell

Methodist Church Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Dry Fork, Va.

2407. Self-Study by Methodist Schools of Theology. Association of Methodist Theological Schools, Norman L. Trott, Secy.

2408. Committee to Confer with National Conference of Social Work Education. Detroit Conference Board of Christian Social Concern.

2409. Emphasis on Integration in Educational Curriculum. Metropolitan Methodist Church, MYF, New York City.

2410. Delete mention of Race and National Council in Curriculum. Lacy Humperley, et al., Dodson Methodist Church, Dodson, La.

2411. Materials for Training in Church Membership. Central Pennsylvania Conference. MYF Council.

2412. Survey Course in Church-School Curriculum. Central Pennsylvania Conference. MYF Council.

2413. Refrain from using RSV in Church-School Literature. J. A. Keele, et al., First Methodist Church, Pie Town, N. M.

MEMORIALS 2414-2416 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MISSIONS

2414. Mission Station Personnel. Mrs. Vernon Heidenriech, Jynett Memorial Church, Frakes, Ky.

2415. Reorganization of Board of Missions. General Board of Missions, Barbara Lewis, recording secretary.

2416. Changes of Legislation about Board of Missions. General Board of Missions, Barbara Lewis, recording secretary.

MEMORIALS 2417-2422 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

2417. Conference Board of Education Members At Large. Cabinet of North Carolina Conference, M. W. Lawrence, secretary.

2418. Interconference Commission on Christian Higher Education. Methodist Conference on Christian Education, Chicago, Walter N. Vernon, Secy.

2419. Election of Official Board Officers. Methodist Conference on Christian Education, Chicago, Ill., Walter N. Vernon, Secy.

2420. Survey Course on Church-School Curriculum for Pastors. Methodist Conference on Christian Education, Chicago, Walter N. Vernon, Secy.

2421. Manual for Teen-Age Youth. First Methodist Church, Commission on Education, First Methodist Church, Vancouver, Wash.

2422. District Staff of Christian Education. Cabinet of North Carolina Conference, G. W. Lawrence, Secy.

MEMORIALS 2423 AND 2424 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON INTERDENOMINATIONAL RELATIONS AND ACTIVITIES

2423. Withdraw from National Council. Oakland Methodist Church, Official Board, Chatham, Va.

2424. Methodist Participation in National Council. Williamsport Methodist Church, Official Board, Williamsport, Tenn.

MEMORIALS 2425-2438 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY

2425. The Church's Healing Ministry. General Board of Hospitals and Homes, Olin E. Oeschger, Ex. Secy.

2426. Volunteers and Short Term Services in Healing Agencies. General Board of Hospitals and Homes, Olin E. Oeschger, Ex. Secy.
2427. Change District Superintendent to Presiding Elder. Champ T. Breeden, Jr., Missouri West Conference.
2428. A Delegated Body to Speak for The Methodist Church. First Methodist Church, Official Board, Pensacola, Fla.
2429. Tenure of Bishop in One Area. George R. Sweeney, North-East Ohio Conference.
2430. Retirement Age of Bishops. Carolyn DeMont, Trinity Methodist Church, San Francisco, Calif.
2431. Commission on Chaplains. William R. Keeffe, New Hampshire Conference.
2432. Ordination of Elders in Local Churches. Bond Fleming, Louisiana Conference.
2433. Remove Restrictions on Approved Supply Pastors. Approved Supply Pastors Ass'n., J. F. Watson, Secy.
2434. Supply Pastor's Loyalty to The Methodist Church. A. R. Kirk, North-East Ohio Conference.
2435. Restate Par. 432.1. Florida Conference, J. J. Rooks, Secy.
2436. Unordained Persons Not to Administer Sacraments. Admiral N. Phillips, Missouri West Conf.
2437. Committee on Pastoral Care, Department of Ministerial Education. Interboard Consultation on Pastoral Counseling Centers, Dale White, Secy.
2438. Compensation for Ministers. Huntington Avenue Methodist Church, Official Board, Jonesboro, Ark.

MEMORIALS 2439-2454 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON RITUAL AND ORDERS OF WORSHIP

2439. Apostles' Creed. Jordan's Chapel Methodist Church, WSCS, Pulsaki, Va.
2440. Eliminate Social Creed.
2441. Last Rites for Sick and Dying. Milford M. Oliver, Pacific Northwest Conference.
2442. Fixed Date for Easter. F. W. Shreve, North Iowa Conf.
2443. Acknowledgment of God in Public Life. Peniel Methodist Church, Official Board, Newport, Del.
2444. Change Apostles' Creed. Champ T. Breeden, Jr., Missouri West Conference.
2445. Change Apostles' Creed. Champ T. Breeden, Jr., Missouri West Conference.
2446. Fixed Date for Easter. G. R. Sweeney, North-East Ohio Conference.
2447. Change Apostles' Creed. Miss Lucille Miller, Spencer Methodist Church, Pittsburgh, Pa.
2448. Change Apostles' Creed. Miss Lucille Miller, Spencer Methodist Church, Pittsburgh, Pa.
2449. Communion Ritual. David S. Benedict, New York East Conference.
2450. Music Education for Laity and Clergy. Nolan W. Frochner, First Methodist Church, Dimmitt, Texas.
2451. Consecration of Certified Director of Christian Education. Directors of Education and Ministers of Education, Louisiana Conference.
2452. Use of Ritual for Lord's Supper. Harold H. Hines, Western Pennsylvania Conference.
2453. The Apostles' Creed. E. Reginald Craig, First Methodist Church, Oakland, Calif.

2454. Ritual for Sacrament of Baptism. General Committee on Family Life, Edward D. Staples, Secy.

MEMORIALS 2455-2466 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS AND HOMES

2455. Executive Committee of Board of Hospitals and Homes. General Board of Hospitals and Homes, Olin E. Oeschger, Ex. Secy.
2456. Golden Cross Society. General Board of Hospitals and Homes, Olin E. Oeschger, Ex. Secy.
2457. Personnel and Recruitment. General Board of Hospitals and Homes, Olin E. Oeschger, Ex. Secy.
2458. Strengthen Church Relationship with Methodist Agencies. General Board of Hospitals and Homes, Olin E. Oeschger, Ex. Secy.
2459. Need for Nursing Homes. General Board of Hospitals and Homes, Olin E. Oeschger, Ex. Secy.
2460. District Director of Hospitals and Homes General Board of Hospitals and Homes, Olin E. Oeschger, Ex. Secy.
2461. Golden Cross Fellowship. General Board of Hospitals and Homes, Olin E. Oeschger, Ex. Secy.
2462. Powers of Board of Hospitals and Homes, Olin E. Oeschger, Ex. Secy.
2463. Certification Council. General Board of Hospitals and Homes, Olin E. Oeschger, Ex. Secy.
2464. Officers. General Board of Hospitals and Homes, Olin E. Oeschger, Ex. Secy.
2465. Management. General Board of Hospitals and Homes. Olin E. Oeschger, Ex. Secy.
2466. Annual Conference Board of Hospitals and Homes, Duties, etc. General Board of Hospitals and Homes, Olin E. Oeschger, Ex. Secy.

MEMORIAL 2467 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MISSIONS

2467. Wesleyan Service Guild Report to Quarterly Conference, First Methodist Church, Wesleyan Service Guild, Eastman, Ga.

MEMORIALS 2468 AND 2469 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LAY ACTIVITIES AND TEMPORAL ECONOMY

2468. Status of Certified Lay Speaker. John K. Fockler, Bay Methodist Church, Bay Village, Ohio.
2469. Financial Aids to Ministers. Frank E. Smith, Methodist Church of Concord, Tenn.

MEMORIALS 2470 AND 2471 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON PUBLISHING INTERESTS

2470. Publication of Number and Subject of Memorials. Gilbert H. Caldwell, New England Conf.
2471. Discontinue *motive*. Mrs. Fred Luethke, First Methodist Church, North Hollywood, Calif.

MEMORIALS 2472-2500 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

2472. Dissolve General Board of Christian Social Concerns. G. Kenneth Vaugh, First Methodist Church, North Hollywood, Calif.

2473. Tobacco Advertising. H. A. Hanke, Rocky Mountain Conf.
2474. Discontinue *Concern*. G. Kenneth Vaughn, First Methodist Church, North Hollywood, Calif.
2475. Service to Unmarried Expectant Parents. General Board of Hospitals and Homes, Olin E. Oeschger, Ex. Secy.
2476. Policy on Viet Nam. First Methodist Church, Committee on Christian Social Concerns, Seattle, Wash.
2477. Reject Social Creed. Houston Chapel Official Board, Bessemer, Ala.
2478. Disapprove Resolution on China & Cuba. Official Board of Methodist Church of Columbus, Ill.
2479. Withdraw from National Council. W.S.C.S. of Main Street Methodist Church, Hattiesburg, Miss.
2480. Continue *Concern*. Mrs. Wm. C. McHorris, Broad Street Methodist Church, Kingsport, Tenn.
2481. Oppose Resolution on China and Cuba. Committee on Christian Social Concerns, and Official Board of First Methodist Church, Brookville, Ind.
2482. Retain Position on Abstinence. Pleasant Grove Methodist Church, Luttrell, Tenn.
2483. Withdraw from National Council. D. E. Clifton, North Alabama Conference.
2484. Retain Position on Abstinence. D. E. Clifton, North Alabama Conference.
2485. Race Relations Offering. D. V. Whitenack, Ohio Conference.
2486. Quadrennial Program for Peace. Austin Regier, Michigan Conference.
2487. Support Civil Rights Bill. Walworth Methodist Church, W.S.C.S., Walworth, N. Y.
2488. Retain Position on Abstinence. Mrs. Orpha L. Roberts, First Methodist Church, Eugene, Ore.
2489. Quadrennial Program for Peace. First Methodist Church, W.S.C.S., Kanawha, Iowa.
2490. Educational Program on Alcohol and Tobacco. North Iowa Conference, Mason City District, Osage Subdistrict, Paul V. Somerville, Secy.
2491. Quadrennial Program for Peace. Grace Methodist Church, Committee on Christian Social Concerns, Des Moines, Ia.
2492. Separation of Church and State. Victor Harbor, Jr., Central Park Methodist Church, Birmingham, Ala.
2493. Support Bible-Reading and Prayer in Public Schools. Lynnville, Indiana, W.S.C.S.
2494. Opposed to Bias Statements by General Boards. St. John's Methodist Church, Official Board, Memphis, Tenn.
2495. Commission to Study Observance of Christmas. Huntington Avenue Methodist Church, Official Board, Jonesboro, Ark.
2496. Churchwide Program for Peace. Michigan Conference, Howard Fuller, secy.
2497. Endorse Action of Methodists for Church Renewal Steering Committee. Detroit Avenue Methodist Church, Committee on Christian Social Concerns, Lakewood, Ohio.
2498. Interracial Marriage. Gilbert H. Caldwell, New England Conf.
2499. Methodist in Federation for Social Action. Ardsley, N. Y., Official Board.
2500. Refrain from Demonstrating Against Other Methodists. Forest Hills Methodist Church, Quarterly Conference, Jackson, Miss.

MEMORIALS 2501-2503 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON INTERDENOMINATIONAL RELATIONS AND ACTIVITIES

2501. First Methodist Church, Official Board, Pensacola, Fla.
2502. Name for Church Union with EUB. Gilbert H. Caldwell, New England Conference.
2503. Withdraw from National Council. Hibben Methodist Church, Quarterly Conference, Mount Pleasant, S. C.

MEMORIALS 2504-2522 ENTITLED "ABOLISH CENTRAL JURISDICTION" REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

2504. W.S.C.S. of Sheffield, Penn.
2505. W.S.C.S. of Lima District, Ohio Conference.
2506. Valley View Methodist Church, Official Board, Las Cruces, N. M.
2507. Beverly Mitchell, First Methodist Church, Manning, Iowa.
2508. W.S.C.S. of Southeastern Jurisdiction.
2509. Albany St. Methodist Church, Committee on Christian Social Concerns, Schenectady, N. Y.
2510. W.S.C.S. of Trinity Church, Waterford, Mich.
2511. W.S.C.S. of First Methodist Church, Batavia, Ill.
2512. Official Board of Methodist Church of Fort Hunter, N. Y.
2513. John J. Waggy, Jr., New York East.
2514. Harry W. Baker, First Methodist Church, Schenectady, N. Y.
2515. David B. Joslin, New York East.
2516. Conference Town and Country Commission at Large Members. Cabinet of North Carolina Conference, M. W. Lawrence, secretary.
2517. Create Commission for Ecumenical Affairs. Commission on Ecumenical Consultation, M. J. Shrayer.
2518. Retirement Facilities Available. General Board of Hospitals and Homes, Olin E. Oeschger, Secy.
2519. Conference Relationship of Men Under Special Appointment. Francis L. Wagner, First Methodist Church, Bakersfield, Calif.
2520. Uniform Church Year. D. V. Whiteneck, Ohio Conference.
2521. Additional Bishop for S.E. Jurisdiction. Offie L. Hathaway, North Carolina Conference.
2522. Constitutional Amendment on Integration. Board of Christian Social Concerns of New England Southern Conference, John E. Post.

MEMORIALS 2523-2525 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MEMBERSHIP AND EVANGELISM

2523. Transfer of Membership. Wm. R. Keeffe, New Hampshire Conference.
2524. Inactive Members. James H. Grace, Phila. Conference.
2525. Membership in The Methodist Church. Dale Whitemarsh, Okla. Conf.

MEMORIALS 2526 AND 2527 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LAY ACTIVITIES AND TEMPORAL ECONOMY

2526. Support of Negro Colleges and Regular World Service. First Methodist Church, Official Board, Manning, Iowa.
2527. Church Relationship for Full-Time Lay Workers. John Evans, Centenary Methodist Church, Chattanooga, Tenn.

MEMORIALS 2528-2556 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LOCAL CHURCH

2528. Calendar Year Be Church Year. Wayne B. Price, Western Pennsylvania Conference.
2529. Wesleyan Service Guild President on Official Board. WSG of First Methodist Church, Eastman, Ga.
2530. Revise Par. 95 in General Rules. Young Adult Class of First Methodist Church, Morristown, Tenn.
2531. Pastors' Expense Accounts. D. E. Clifton, North Alabama Conference.
2532. Purchase or Sale of Church Property. Fred B. Noble, Jacksonville, Fla.
2533. Local Church Commission on Christian Vocations Mandatory. Louisiana Conference Commission on Christian Vocations, Nellie Kirkpatrick, Chm.
2534. Committee on Nominations. James D. Nixon, Detroit Conferference.
2535. Pastor's Salary and Commission on Stewardship and Finance. G. R. Sweeney, North-East Ohio Conference.
2536. Pastoral Relations Committee. Paul Whipple, North-East Ohio Conference.
2537. Pastor's Salary and the Official Board. G. R. Sweeney, North-East Ohio Conference.
2538. Committee on Nominations. G. R. Sweeney, North-East Ohio Conference.
2539. Church Board of Trustees. G. R. Sweeney, North-East Ohio Conference.
2540. Committee on Personnel, Policy and Program. G. R. Sweeney, North-East Ohio Conference.
2541. Pastor's Salary and Committee on Pastoral Relations. G. R. Sweeney, North-East Ohio Conference.
2542. Quarterly Conference Elect Church Treasurer. Champ T. Breeden, Jr., Missouri West Conference.
2543. Use of K.J.V. Only in Local Church. G. Kenneth Vaughn, First Methodist Church, North Hollywood, Calif.
2544. Discourage Selling of Food and Merchandise by Churches. James Griner, Huntington Ave. Methodist Church, Jonesboro, Arkansas.
2545. Local Church Chairman on Christian Vocations Report. Iowa Area Interboard Commission on Christian Vocations, G. D. LaMotte, Secy.
2546. Commissioning Missionaries and Deaconesses in Local Church. Harold H. Hines, Western Pennsylvania Conference.
2547. Campus Ministry Legislation Changes. Board of Education, Division of Higher Education, John O. Gross, Gen. Secy.
2548. Changes in Legislation on Trafco. TRAFCO, Harry C. Spencer, Secy.
2549. Changes in Legislation for Trafco. TRAFCO, Harry C. Spencer, Secy.
2550. Changes in Legislation for Trafco. TRAFCO, Harry C. Spencer, Secy.
2551. Changes in Legislation for Trafco. TRAFCO, Harry C. Spencer, Secy.
2552. Changes in Legislation for Trafco. TRAFCO, Harry C. Spencer, Secy.
2553. Inactive Role for Churches Near Government Installations. Official Board, Wesley Methodist Church, Dover, Del., M. Yolande Thomas, Secy.

2554. Legislation Changes Re Church Building. Division of National Missions, Board of Missions, Eleanor L. Welch.
2555. Effective Date for Newly Elected Officers. Official Board, St. Matthews of Valley Forge Methodist Church, Wayne, Pa., Mrs. Edith Carr, Secy.
2556. Ex-officio Stewards. National Fellowship of Methodist Musicians. Norma I. Lowder, Secy.

MEMORIALS 2557-2686 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

2557. Retain Position on Abstinence. Charles B. Rhudy, Oliver Springs Methodist Church, Oliver Springs, Tenn.
2558. Retain Position on Abstinence. Members of First Methodist Church, Donna, Texas.
2559. Remedial Action on Smoking. A. Dudley Ward, Board of Christian Social Concerns.
2560. Support World Democracy. Bennett W. Palmer, Florida Conference.
2561. Methodism, Technology, and Human Destiny. A. Dudley Ward, The Board of Christian Social Concerns, Washington, D. C.
2562. Study on Aging. A. Dudley Ward, The Board of Christian Social Concerns, Washington, D. C.
2563. Retain Restriction Re—Use of Tobacco (Par. 306.5) (Par. 322.5). Clarence F. Avey of First Methodist Church, Westfield, Mass.
2564. Opposed to Recognition of Red China being in the U.N. L. Elbert Wilson of Central Pennsylvania Conference.
2565. Opposed to Recognition of Red China being in the U.N. William L. Gibson of College Park Methodist Church, Orlando, Florida.
2566. Strengthen Position on Use of Tobacco. Richard O. Coorpender, Wm. B. Reily Memorial Methodist Church, Baton Rouge, La.
2567. Retain Position Re Use of Alcoholic Beverages. W. J. May, First Methodist Church, Camden, Ark.
2568. Inexpensive Retirement Centers. Mrs. S. Elizabeth Gardiner, First Methodist Church, Daytona Beach, Fla.
2569. Support Becker Amendment. Mrs. Herbe Martindale, W.S.C.S. of Greene County Chapel Methodist Church, Solsberry, Ind.
2570. Discontinue *motive*. Richard N. Thompson, North Hollywood First Methodist Church, Van Nuvs, Calif.
2571. Discontinue *motive*. Mrs. Irene N. Thompson, North Hollywood First Methodist Church, Van Nuys, Calif.
2572. Abolish Discrimination in Methodist Agencies. Agnes B. Chapman, Methodist Church, South Walpole, Mass.
2573. Keep Church Out of Politics—Harley C. Taylor, Westwood Community Methodist Church, Los Angeles, Calif.
2574. Policy on Cuba and China. Walter O. Kugler, Commission on Christian Social Concerns, First Methodist Church, Seattle, Washington.
2575. Withhold Funds from Agencies that Discriminate. James H. Laird, Michigan Conference.
2576. Create Civil Rights Fund. Merrell Thorne of First Methodist Church, Owosso, Mich.
2577. Disapprove Resolution on Cuba and Red China. Official Board, Trinity Methodist Church, Bridgeton, N. J., Kenneth Halter.
2578. Alcoholic Beverages. Miss Zoe E. Bashline, Mount Joy Church, Sligo, Pa.

2579. Keep Church Out of Politics. Sally F. Taylor, Westwood Community Methodist Church, Los Angeles, Calif.
2580. Use of Word "Christian." John J. Main of Rock River Conference.
2581. Moral Pronouncements. Howard H. Hooker of Methodist Church, Eads, Colorado.
2582. Withdraw from National Council. W. S. Underwood, Official Board, Wesley Memorial Methodist Church, Chattanooga, Tenn.
2583. Withhold Funds from Institutions that Discriminate. Jean Foor, Ohio Conference Board of Christian Social Concerns.
2584. Support Becker Amendment. Mrs. Rex Crane, W.S.C.S., Elnora Methodist Church, Elnora, Ind.
2585. Quadrennial Program for Peace. Margaret Owens, Official Board, Methodist Church, Reading, Mich.
2586. Withhold Funds from Agencies which Discriminate. Edwin S. Gault of New York East Conference.
2587. Eliminate Discrimination in Local Churches. William L. Woods, First Methodist Church, Meriden, Conn.
2588. Prohibit Methodist from Sale of Alcoholic Beverages. J. Carlisle Miller, District Superintendent, Alabama-West Florida Conference.
2589. Withdraw from National Council. Sally F. Taylor, Westwood Community Methodist Church, Los Angeles, Calif.
2590. Eliminate Discrimination in Local Church. John B. Olds, North-East Ohio Annual Conference.
2591. The Faith and Witness of the Church. Jenny Lind, Japan.
2592. The Practice and Teaching of Total Abstinence. Jenny Lind, Japan.
2593. Retain Position on Abstinence. Mary C. Brackelbank of Commission on Christian Social Concerns and Official Board, First Methodist Church, Eugene, Oregon.
2594. Eliminate Segregation in Colleges. Miss Patricia A. Hallowell. Youth Councils of Delaware, New Jersey, Peninsula and Philadelphia Conferences.
2595. Limited Term for Local Church Trustees. Mrs. Virginia G. Pope, St. Mark's Methodist Church, New York, N. Y.
2596. Disapprove National Council. Lucille B. Kelly, First Methodist Church, Tullahoma, Tenn.
2597. The Practice and Teaching of Temperance. Isabella Thoburn College, E. Sunanda, Lucknow, India.
2598. Crusade for World Order. Rev. C. Roy Everett, Jr., Virginia Conference.
2599. Crusade for World Order. Mrs. Walter W. Eugelke, Wesley Methodist Church, Madison, Wis.
2600. Crusade for World Order. Mrs. George E. Lewis of Wesley Methodist Church, Madison, Wis.
2601. Eliminate Discrimination in Methodist Agencies. Edwin S. Gault, New York East Conference.
2602. Support Becker Amendment. Gertrude Roberson, W.S.C.S., Alford Methodist Church, Petersburg, Ind.
2603. Ministry to Industrial Workers. Ross R. Rockwell, Property Committee of Chenango St. Methodist Church, Binghamton, N. Y.
2604. Use of Tobacco and Alcohol on Church Property. Ross R. Rockwell, Property Committee, Chenango St. Methodist Church, Binghamton, New York.
2605. Quadrennial Program for Peace. Willard Bottoms, Official Board, Grandview Methodist Church, Dubuque, Iowa.
2606. Change in Social Creed. Allen Lambert, Idaho Conference.

2607. Review Program of Methodist Boards, etc. A. J. Tamsberg, Bethel Methodist Church, Charleston, S. C.
2608. No Discrimination in Employment. James H. Laird, Michigan Conference.
2609. Retain and Strengthen Position on Tobacco. Arthur P. Cooley, Danville District Conference.
2610. Continue *Concern*. Mr. and Mrs. Clyde Pomeroy, College Methodist Church, Southwick, Mass.
2611. Retain Position on Abstinence. Mrs. Nellie Desler, First Methodist Church, Guntersville, Ala.
2612. Emphasis on World Peace. Elizabeth Grenfell, Centenary-Wilbur Methodist Church of Portland, Oregon.
2613. Eliminate Discrimination in Local Church. Richard A. Littlefield, Commission on Christian Social Concerns, First Methodist Church of Hamilton, South Hamilton, Mass.
2614. Retain Position on Tobacco. Charles H. Sawyer, First Methodist Church, West Palm Beach, Florida.
2615. Civil Rights Fund. James H. Laird, Central Methodist Church, Michigan Conference.
2616. Oppose Resolution Recognizing Cuba and Red China. Mark Bramlett, Official Board, Ortega Methodist Church, Jacksonville, Fla.
2617. Permit Prayer—Bible Reading in Public Schools, etc. Louis Chauncey of Official Board of Trinity Methodist Church, Philadelphia 49, Pa.
2618. Retain Position on Abstinence. Arthur P. Cooley, Danville, Virginia, District Conference.
2619. Relationship of Division of Temperance of General Welfare to Board of Hospitals and Homes. A. Dudley Ward, Board of Christian Social Concerns, Washington, D. C.
2620. Listing of Issues for Division of Temperance and General Welfare. A. Dudley Ward, Board of Christian Social Concerns, Washington, D. C.
2621. Withholding Funds from Schools which Discriminate. A. Dudley Ward, Board of Christian Social Concerns, Washington, D. C.
2622. Date of Commitment Day. A. Dudley Ward, Board of Christian Social Concerns, Washington, D. C.
2623. No discrimination in Employment by World Service Agencies. A. Dudley Ward, Board of Christian Social Concerns, Washington, D. C.
2624. Joint Committee on Temperance Education. A. Dudley Ward, Board of Christian Social Concerns, Washington, D. C.
2625. No Discrimination in Employment. James H. Laird, Michigan Conference.
2626. Urge Leaders to Emphasize Changing Society. Robert A. Rogers, Official Board, Westwood Community Methodist Church, Los Angeles, Calif.
2627. Withhold Funds from Agencies Practicing Discrimination. George Opperman, Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Manning Methodist Church, Manning, Iowa.
2628. Church and Political Issues. R. F. Wear, Official Board, 67th Street Methodist Church, Birmingham, Ala.
2629. Name of the Division of Temperance and General Welfare of the Board of Christian Social Concerns. A. Dudley Ward, Board of Christian Social Concerns, Washington, D. C.
2630. Relationship of Board of Christian Social Concerns—Hospitals and Homes. Olin E. Oeschger, Board of Christian Social Concerns and Board of Hospitals and Homes, Evanston, Ill.
2631. No Discrimination in Connectional Agencies. James H. Laird, Michigan Conference.

2632. Opposed to Recognition of Red China being in the U.N. Douglas Harris, Official Board, First Methodist Church, Pensacola, Fla.
2633. Concern of the Church for Movies and Television. A. Dudley Ward, Board of Christian Social Concerns, Washington, D. C.
2634. Eliminate Discrimination. Phyllis Haenze, Brooklyn Methodist Church, Brooklyn Center, Minn.
2635. Eliminate Discrimination. Randolph E. Hock, Wesley Foundation, Salisbury State College, Salisbury, Md.
2636. Voluntary Integration. Robert A. Rogers, Official Board, Westwood Community Methodist Church, Los Angeles, Calif.
2637. Eliminate Segregation in the Methodist Church. R. D. Joshi, Gatlinburg, Tenn.
2638. Support Becker Amendment. Mrs. Marion Wright, W.S.C.S., Mount Carmel Methodist Church, Brookville, Ind.
2639. Anti-Semitism in the Soviet Union. Grover C. Bagby of Southern California-Arizona Conference.
2640. Disapprove Resolution on Cuba and China. E. L. Swift, Official Board, Community Methodist Church, DeBary, Fla.
2641. Emphasis on Integration in Educational Curriculum. Betty Wright, Official Board, Mount Calvary Methodist Church, New York, N. Y.
2642. Quadrennial Program for Peace. Bessie R. Kurtz, Commission on Christian Social Concerns, First Methodist Church, Kanawha, Iowa.
2643. Prayer and Bible-Reading in Public Schools. Mrs. Loretta Bumble, W.S.C.S., First Methodist Church, Petersburg, Indiana.
2644. Resolution Challenging Need for Legislation in Relief of Poverty. Walter O. Kugler, Commission on Christian Social Concerns, First Methodist Church, Seattle, Wash.
2645. Retain Position on Abstinence. Mrs. A. T. O'Berry, W.S.C.S., Evergreen Methodist Church, Evergreen, N. C.
2646. Retain Position on Abstinence. Mrs. Ella Pearle, The Wesleyan Workers Class of Llano, Texas.
2647. Prayer and Bible-Reading in Public Schools. Phyllis Cline, Commission on Christian Social Concerns and Official Board, Kempton Methodist Church, Kempton, Ind.
2648. Prayer and Bible-Reading in Schools. Elizabeth Emerick, Susannah Wesley Circle, First Methodist Church, Washington, Pa.
2649. Addition to Statement on Alcohol. Mrs. Bernard Burdett, Methodist Church, San Luis Obispo, Calif.
2650. Procedure for Local Church Taking a Stand on an Issue. Harry M. Straine, Jr., et al., Christ Methodist Church, Sacramento, Calif.
2651. Eliminate Social Creed. Mr. and Mrs. John C. Beck, Westwood Community Methodist Church, Los Angeles, Calif.
2652. Withdraw from National Council of Churches. Mr. and Mrs. John C. Beck, Westwood Community Methodist Church, Los Angeles, Calif.
2653. Support Senate Civil Rights Bill. Mrs. C. R. Layton, W.S.C.S., Methodist Church, New Concord, Ohio.
2654. Race Relations Sunday. A. Dudley Ward, Board of Christian Social Concerns, Washington, D. C.
2655. Withdraw from National Council. J. U. Breitzman, Jr., Haddonfield Methodist Church, Haddonfield, N. J.
2656. Eliminate Trust Clause. Clarence King, Official Board, Marion Junction Methodist Church, Marion Junction, Ala.
2657. Funds for Advisory Committee to Re-Draw Conference Lines. James H. Laird of Michigan Conference.

2658. Allocate Funds to Eliminate Inequalities in Central Jurisdiction. Patricia A. Hallowell of Youth Councils of the Delaware, New Jersey, Peninsula and Philadelphia Conferences.
2659. Withhold Funds from Agencies that Discriminate. James H. Laird, Michigan Conference.
2660. Eliminate Discrimination. Jean Foor, Ohio Conference Board of Christian Social Concerns.
2661. Eliminate Discrimination in Local Church. Betty Wright, Official Board, Mount Calvary Methodist Church, New York, N. Y.
2662. Continue *Concern.* Catherine Crossman, Commission on Christian Social Concerns of First Methodist Church, Whitewater, Wis.
2663. Continue *Concern* as an Official Publication. C. Roy Everett, Jr., Board of Christian Social Concerns, Virginia Conference.
2664. Oppose Resolution Recognizing Cuba and Red China. Charles E. Callender, Official Board, Goss Memorial Methodist Church, Orlando, Fla.
2665. Resolution on Peace and World Order. A. Dudley Ward, Board of Christian Social Concerns, Washington, D. C.
2666. Employment Practices, Board of Christian Social Concerns. A. Dudley Ward, Board of Christian Social Concerns, Washington, D. C.
2667. Eliminate all Forms of Segregation. Mrs. Avery Brown, W.S.C.S., Crosby Methodist Church, Kane, Pa.
2668. Retain Position on Abstinence. Kenneth Halter, Official Board, Trinity Methodist Church, Bridgeton, N. J.
2669. Keep Church out of Politics. Mrs. W. P. Young, First Methodist Church, LaGrange, Ill.
2670. Material Printed in Methodist Literature. Mrs. Fannie F. Wilkinson, Farmersville Methodist Church, California.
2671. Eliminate Discrimination. Austin H. Gale, Westwood Community Methodist Church, Los Angeles, Calif.
2672. Resolution on Race. A. Dudley Ward, Board of Christian Social Concerns, Washington, D. C.
2673. Revision of Social Creed. A. Dudley Ward, Board of Christian Social Concerns, Washington, D. C.
2674. Resolution on Alcohol Problems. A. Dudley Ward, Board of Christian Social Concerns, Washington, D. C.
2675. Crusade for World Order. Monroe J. Wilcox, Sr., Montana Conference.
2676. Eliminate Discrimination in Local Church. Edwin S. Gault, New York East Conference.
2677. No Discrimination. A. Dudley Ward, Board of Christian Social Concerns, Washington, D. C.
2678. World Parliament of Religion. Bennett W. Palmer, Florida Conference.
2679. No Discrimination in Recruitment for General Agencies. A. Dudley Ward, Board of Christian Social Concerns, Washington, D. C.
2680. Support Becker Amendment. Mrs. Thomas Ripperdan, W.S.C.S., of Heidelberg Methodist Church, Corydon, Ind.
2681. Disapprove Resolution on China and Cuba. Finley Tucker, Official Board, First Methodist Church, Jacksonville, Fla.
2682. Protest Residential Segregation. Edwin S. Gault, New York East Conference.
2683. Remove Statement on Tobacco. Edwin S. Gault, New York East Conference.
2684. The Practice and Teaching of Temperance. J. O. N. Shukla, Lucknow, India.

2685. Report of Study Commission on Church and State Relations. A. Dudley Ward, Board of Christian Social Concerns, Washington, D. C.
2686. Quadrennial Reports. W. Carroll Beatty, General Conference Committee on Reference.

MEMORIALS 2687-2708, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY

MEMORIALS 2687-2693 DEAL WITH "MINISTRY OF DIVINE HEALING"

2687. Prayer Therapy Group, St. Andrews Methodist Church, Fort Lauderdale, Fla.
2688. G. Russell Shaw, First Methodist Church, Huntington Beach, Calif.
2689. Mrs. S. A. Adams, Goss Memorial Methodist Church, Orlando, Fla.
2690. Official Board, First Methodist Church, Bakersfield, Calif.
2691. W.S.C.S., Deming, N. M., Methodist Church.
2692. Prayer Group, Westhampton M. E. Church, Richmond, Va.
2693. Mrs. James E. Graham, Franklin Street Methodist Church, Johnstown, Pa.
2694. Tenure of Office for Ministers and Local Church Officials. Property Committee Chenango Street Methodist Church, Binghamton, N. Y.
2695. Ministerial Appointments. Agnes B. Chapman, Methodist Church, South Walpole, Mass.
2696. No Discrimination in Ministerial Appointments. Official Board, Lafayette Street Methodist Church, Salem, Mass.
2697. Duties of District Superintendent. James H. Laird, Michigan Conference.
2698. Duties of Bishop. James H. Laird, Michigan Conference.
2699. Appointment of Practices. Merrell Thorne, First Methodist Church, Owosso, Mich.
2700. Election and Tenure of Bishop. Joseph W. Thompson, Missouri East Conference.
2701. Change Title of District Superintendent. Bennett W. Palmer, Florida Conference.
2702. Rights of Supply Pastors, Official Board, Pagosa Spring Community Methodist Church, Pagosa Springs, Colo.
2703. Appointment of Ministers. Edwin S. Gault, New York East Conference.
2704. Election and Assignment of Bishops. Edwin S. Gault, New York East Conference.
2705. Revise Para. 2021—The Christian Family. Committee on Family Life, Edward D. Staples.
2706. Ministerial Education. Association of Methodist Theological Schools, Gerald O. McCulloh.
2707. Ministerial Education. Association of Methodist Theological Schools, Gerald O. McCulloh.
2708. Churchwide Program for Ministerial Recruitment. Association of Methodist Theological Schools, Gerald O. McCulloh.

MEMORIALS 2709-2732, INCLUSIVE, DEAL WITH ABOLISH CENTRAL JURISDICTION, WERE REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

2709. Fourth Quarterly Conference, Methodist Church, Waitsfield, Vt.
2710. Members of Pine Bank Church, Jollytown, Pa.
2711. Members of First Methodist Church, Pennington, N. J.

2712. Methodist Youth Fellowship Council, St. Paul, Minn.
2713. Robert S. Clark, Baltimore Conference.
2714. Methodist Student Movement of Florida.
2715. Mrs. Constance J. Anderson, St. Mathews Methodist Church, Acton, Mass.
2716. Edwin S. Gault, New York East Conference.
2717. W.S.C.S., Executive Committee, Lima District, Ohio Conference.
2718. Mr. and Mrs. Stephen P. Hayes, First Methodist Church, Meriden, Conn.
2719. W.S.C.S., Grace Methodist Church, Essex Junction, Vt.
2720. Youth Councils of Delaware, New Jersey, Peninsula, and Philadelphia Conferences.
2721. Oklahoma Methodist Student Movement.
2722. Kenneth D. Paul, et al., First Methodist Church, Pennington, N. J.
2723. Official Board, Spencerport Methodist Church, Spencerport, N. Y.
2724. Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Mount Winans Methodist Church, Baltimore, Md.
2725. Bennington Troy District, W.S.C.S., Troy Conference.
2726. S.E. Draper, et al., First Methodist Church, Westfield, Mass.
2727. Official Board, North Cambridge Methodist Church, New York.
2728. Harold E. Blish, Genesee Conference.
2729. Wesleyan Service Guild, Thoburn Memorial Methodist Church, Clairsville, Ohio.
2730. Exec. Com., W.S.C.S., Methodist Church, Wooster, Ohio.
2731. Members of Fish Memorial Methodist Church, Natick, Mass.
2732. Members of Fish Memorial Methodist Church, Natick, Mass.
2733. Official Board, Methodist Church, Moravia, Iowa.

MEMORIALS 2734 AND 2735 DEAL WITH RETAIN THE JURISDICTIONAL SYSTEM, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

2734. W.S.C.S., Dundee Methodist Church, Dundee, Miss.
2735. Dan A. Wright, Capitol Street Methodist Church, Dundee, Miss.

MEMORIAL 2736 DEALS WITH THE SPIRITUAL NEED OF THE METHODIST CHURCH, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MEMBERSHIP AND EVANGELISM

2736. J. O. N. Shukla, Methodist Church, Lucknow, India.

MEMORIAL 2737 DEALS WITH DISCONTINUE *CONCERN*, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON PUBLISHING INTERESTS

2737. John Waggy, Jr., New York East Conference.

MEMORIALS 2738-2744, INCLUSIVE DEAL WITH RETAIN CENTRAL JURISDICTION, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

2738. Helen C. Kennedy, Central Methodist Church, Meridian, Miss.
2739. Charles B. Rhudy, Oliver Springs Methodist Church, Oliver Springs, Tenn.
2740. Mrs. Pearl C. Funk, Lake View Methodist Church, Wolcottville, Ind.
2741. Mr. and Mrs. Walter T. Byrd, Ooltewah Methodist Church, Ooltewah, Tenn.
2742. Official Board, First Methodist Church, Pensacola, Florida.
2743. W. J. May, First Methodist Church, Camden, Ark.
2744. Official Board, Aldersgate Methodist Church, Sumter, S. C.

MEMORIAL 2745 DEALS WITH ELIMINATE JURISDICTIONAL SYSTEM, MERGING WITH E.U.B. CHURCH MAKES THIS POSSIBLE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

2745. Members Methodist Church, Bloomfield, Conn.

MEMORIAL 2746 DEALS WITH DISCONTINUE *CONCERN*, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON PUBLISHING INTERESTS

2746. Mr. and Mrs. John C. Beck, Westwood Community Methodist Church, Los Angeles, Calif.

MEMORIALS 2747-2764, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

2747. Adopt Proposal of Central Jurisdiction Study Committee. Methodist Student Movement, Depauw University, Greencastle, Ind.
2748. *Discipline of The Methodist Church.* V. Leon Bolen, Idaho Conference.
2749. Establishing a Provisional Annual Conference in Hawaii. William A. Buford, Secy., Hawaii Mission.
2750. Enabling Act—District Status for Hawaii. William A. Buford, Secy., Hawaii Mission.
2751. Withhold Funds From National Council of Churches. Official Board, First Methodist Church, Jackson, Tenn.
2752. Withdraw from National Council. Official Board, First Methodist Church, Laurel, Miss.
2753. Voting Membership in Western Jurisdictional Conference. William A. Buford, Secy., Hawaii Mission.
2754. Enabling Act for Tennessee Conference. D. W. Simmons, Secy.
2755. Methodist Church Withdraw from National and World Councils of Churches. G. Kenneth Vaughn, North Hollywood First Methodist Church, North Hollywood, Calif.
2756. Resolution re Central Jurisdiction. Quarterly Conference, Bethel Methodist Church, Aubrey E. Davis, Recording Steward.
2757. Nominating Ballot for District Superintendents. Joseph W. Thompson, Missouri East Conference.
2758. Integration of Conferences by Voluntary Action. J. Noel Hinson, North Mississippi Conference.
2759. Transfer of Annual Conferences and Ministers. Methodists for Church Renewal (national) James H. Laird, Chmn.
2760. Transfer of Churches and Conferences. Methodists for Church Renewal (National), James H. Laird, Chmn.
2761. Appointment of District Superintendents. Harold E. Blish, Genesee Conference.
2762. Coordinating Committee of Provisional Annual Conference. Peru Provisional Annual Conference, Hector M. Laporta, Secy.
2763. Integration of Conferences. James H. Laird, Michigan Conference.
2764. Advisory Committee to Re-Draw Conference Lines. James H. Laird, Michigan Conference.

MEMORIALS 2765-2787, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LAY ACTIVITIES AND TEMPORAL ECONOMY

2765. Recommend Francis Asbury for N. Y. University Hall of Fame. Allen Lamber, Idaho Conference.
2766. Unified Budget in Local Church. Francis L. Watner, First

Methodist Church, Bakersfield, Calif.

2767. Withhold Funds from Agencies that Discriminate. Elton D. Coleman, South Iowa Conference.

2768. Withhold Funds from Agencies that Discriminate. Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Grace Methodist Church, Des Moines, Iowa.

2769. Form Protestant Charities. Property Committee, Chenango Street Methodist Church, Binghamton, N. Y.

2770. Approve List of Stocks to be Bought by Churches, Property Committee, Chenango Street Methodist Church, Binghamton, N. Y.

2771. Solicitation of Funds by Hospitals and Homes. Health Care Agencies of New York East Conference, Austin H. Armitstead, Secy.

2272. Remove Trust Clause from Local Church Agreement. Official Board, First Methodist Church, Greenville, Ala.

2773. TRAFCO—Changes in *Discipline*. TRAFCO, Harry C. Spencer, Secy.

2774. Trust Clauses, etc. Para. 174. Official Board, First Methodist Church, Eufaula, Ala.

2775. Uniform Reporting by Conference Treasurers. Carleton C. Rogers, Chairman, North Central Jurisdiction.

2776. Television and Radio Ministry Fund. TRAFCO, Harry C. Spencer, Secy.

2777. TRAFCO—Changes in *Discipline*. TRAFCO, Harry C. Spencer, Secy.

2778. Authority Over Use of Church Property. Board of Christian Social Concerns, A. Dudley Ward, Secy.

2779. Uniform Reporting of Conference Statistics. Carleton C. Rogers, North Central Jurisdiction.

2780. Procedure for Auditing Books in Local Church. Property Committee, Chenango Street Methodist Church, Binghamton, N. Y.

2781. Church Insurance. Stewardship and Finance Committee, Northumberland Methodist Church, Northumberland, Pa.

2782. Program of Lay Activities. Board of Christian Social Concerns, A. Dudley Ward, Secy.

2783. TRAFCO—Changes in *Discipline*. TRAFCO, Harry C. Spencer, Secy.

2784. TRAFCO—Changes in *Discipline*. TRAFCO, Harry C. Spencer, Secy.

2785. Quadrennial Reports. General Conference Committee on Reference, W. Carroll Beatty.

2786. TRAFCO—Changes in *Discipline*. TRAFCO, Harry C. Spencer, Secy.

2787. An Experimental Research and Training Institution. Wylie H. Russell, Warren Methodist Church, Lincoln, Nebr.

MEMORIAL 2788 DEALS WITH QUADRENNIAL REPORTS, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY

2788. Quadrennial Reports. W. Carroll Beatty, General Conference Committee on Reference.

MEMORIAL 2789 DEALS WITH QUADRENNIAL REPORTS, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS AND HOMES

2789. Quadrennial Reports. W. Carroll Beatty, General Conference Committee on Reference.

MEMORIAL 2790 DEALS WITH QUADRENNIAL REPORTS, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON PENSIONS

2790. Quadrennial Report. W. Carroll Beatty, General Conference Committee on Reference.

MEMORIAL 2791 DEALS WITH QUADRENNIAL REPORTS, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MEMBERSHIP AND EVANGELISM

2791. Quadrennial Reports. W. Carroll Beatty, General Conference Committee on Reference.

MEMORIALS 2792-2796, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON PUBLISHING INTERESTS

2792. Continue *Concern*. Robert L. Shelton of Kansas Conference.
2793. Discontinue *motive*. Mrs. Fannie F. Wilkinson of Farmersville Methodist Church, Calif.
2794. Eliminate Discrimination in Methodist Publishing House. Jean Foor, Ohio Conference Board of Christian Social Concerns.
2795. No Discrimination in Methodist Publishing House. James H. Laird, Michigan Conference.
2796. Quadrennial Reports. W. Carroll Beatty, General Conference Committee on Reference.

MEMORIALS 2797 TO 2802, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MISSIONS

2797. Quadrennial Reports. W. Carroll Beatty, General Conference Committee on Reference.
2798. Combine W.S.C.S. and W.S.G. Mrs. W. P. Jernigan, First Methodist Church, Clewiston, Fla.
2799. Mission Program for Indians in Santa Domingo, N. M. W. F. Walter of Troy, Kansas Methodist Church.
2800. W.S.C.S. James H. Laird, Michigan Conference.
2801. Encourage Individual Worship in Non-Christian Countries. Bennett W. Palmer, Florida Conference.
2802. Support Reorganization of Board of Missions. Mary E. Felger, Official Board, Wayne Street Methodist Church, Fort Wayne, Ind.

MEMORIALS 2803-2811, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

2803. Quadrennial Reports. W. Carroll Beatty, General Conference Committee on Reference.
2804. Committee on Pastoral Care in Department of Ministerial Education. A. Dudley Ward, Board of Christian Social Concerns, Washington, D. C.
2805. Methodist Church Day. Wilson O. Weldon of Western North Carolina Conference.
2806. Lending Library of Historical Materials. Mrs. William Oberthur, Brightwood Methodist Church, Indianapolis, Ind.
2807. Emphasis on Integration in Educational Curriculum. Pam Finch, Methodist Youth Fellowship of Scotia Methodist Church, Scotia, New York.
2808. Ministerial Education. Gerald O. McCulloh, Association of Methodist Theological Schools.
2809. Relationship Between the Wesley Foundation and the Local

Church or Churches. Don V. McClain, Missouri West Conference.

2810. Commission on Education. James H. Laird, Michigan Conference.

2811. No Discrimination in Educational Institutions. James H. Laird, Michigan Conference.

MEMORIALS 2812-2823, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON RITUAL AND ORDERS OF WORSHIP

2812. Change "Wine" to "Cup" in Communion Ritual. E. Sumanda of Isabella Thoburn College, Lucknow, India.

2813. Observance of Pentecost. Etta R. Doyle, Prayer and Bible Study Group, First Methodist Church, Bakersfield, Calif.

2814. Revision of Communion Ritual. Walter R. Warner, Oregon Conference.

2815. Ritual for Reception of Members. Walter R. Warner, Oregon Conference.

2816. Annual Conference Poetry Club. Bennett W. Palmer, Florida Conference.

2817. Change Apostles' Creed. L. G. Clark Sunday School Class of Jefferson St. Methodist Church, Natchez, Miss., Mrs. Hughes A. Heizer.

2818. Change Apostles' Creed. G. T. Forbes, Grace Methodist Church, Joliet, Ill.

2819. Change Apostles' Creed. George F. Rostrup, Grace Methodist Church, Lindenhurst, N. Y.

2820. Addition to Baptismal Vows. Wilson O. Weldon, Western North Carolina Conference.

2821. Oppose Infant Baptism. Mrs. Barbara Pugh, First Methodist Church, New Castle, Ind.

2822. Change "Wine" to "Cup" in Communion Ritual. J. O. N. Shukla, Lucknow, India.

2823. Prayer Guild for Shut-Ins. Bennett W. Palmer, Florida Conference.

MEMORIALS 2824-2846, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LOCAL CHURCH

2824. Monthly Statements from Local Church to Superintendent. Ross R. Rockwell, Property Committee, Chenango St. Methodist Church, Binghampton, N. Y.

2825. Pastoral Relations and Tenure. Rev. Stanley K. Jensen, Central Illinois Conference.

2826. Interboard Commission on Local Church. A. Dudley Ward, Board of Christian Social Concerns, Washington, D. C.

2827. Interboard Commission on Local Church. A. Dudley Ward, Board of Christian Social Concerns, Washington, D. C.

2828. Seal for Methodist Ministers. Edwin S. Gault, New York East Conference.

2829. Composition of Official Board. Edwin S. Gault, New York East Conference.

2830. Commission Chairmen on Official Board. A. Dudley Ward, Board of Christian Social Concerns, Washington, D. C.

2831. Official Board Requirements. A. Dudley Ward, Board of Christian Social Concerns, Washington, D. C.

2832. Sixty-Day Notice on Resolutions. Robert A. Rogers, Official Board, Westwood Community Methodist Church, Los Angeles, Calif.

2833. Church Trials Open to Public. Kyle Nye, Central Methodist Church, Albuquerque, N. Mex.

2834. Removal of Members in Local Church. Kyle Nye, Central Methodist Church, Albuquerque, N. Mex.
2835. Finance Local Church. Kyle Nye, Central Methodist Church, Albuquerque, N. Mex.
2836. Local Church Financial Report. Kyle Nye, Central Methodist Church, Albuquerque, N. Mex.
2837. Limitation of Action Against Church Members. Kyle Nye, Central Methodist Church, Albuquerque, N. Mex.
2838. Transfer of Membership in Local Church. Wm. D. Langley, Commission on Membership and Evangelism of First Methodist Church, Midland, Texas.
2839. Methods for Closing a Local Church. Robert J. Ash, Methodist Church, Edgerton, Ohio.
2840. Audio-Visual Committee in Local Church. Harry C. Spencer, TRAFCO, Nashville, Tenn.
2841. Drama in Local Churches. Bennett W. Palmer, Florida Conference.
2842. Audio-Visual Committee in Local Church. Harry C. Spencer, TRAFCO, Nashville, Tenn.
2843. Eliminate Discrimination in Local Church. Alexina D. Morrow, Commission on Christian Social Relations, W.S.C.S., First Methodist Church, South Hamilton, Mass.
2844. Eliminate Discrimination in Local Church. Richard A. Littlefield, Commission on Christian Social Concerns, First Methodist Church of Hamilton, South Hamilton, Mass.
2845. Membership in Methodist Men. James H. Laird, Michigan, Conference.
2846. Quadrennial Reports. W. Carroll Beatty, General Conference Committee on Reference.

MEMORIALS 2847-2850, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON INTERDENOMINATIONAL RELATIONS AND ACTIVITIES

2847. Relationship of Methodist Church to National Council. Herbert Murdaugh, Official Board, St. Luke's Methodist Church, Jackson, Miss.
2848. Withdraw from National Council. Fannie F. Wilkinson, Farmersville Methodist Church, Visalia, Calif.
2849. Withdraw Support for World and National Council of Churches. A. J. Tamsberg, Bethel Methodist Church, Charleston, S. C.
2850. Approve Statement of Youth Ministry Consultation. Harrington A. Lackey, West End Methodist Church, Nashville, Tenn.

MEMORIALS 2851-2856, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS AND HOMES

2851. Hospitalization for Widows of Ministers. Mrs. J. C. Hager, South Ashland Methodist Church, Ashland, Ky.
2852. Accreditation Program for Hospitals and Homes. Rev. Austin H. Armitstead, Health Care Agencies, New York East Conference.
2853. Help for Emotionally Disturbed. Mrs. Tera H. Simmons, First Methodist Church, Johnson City, Tenn.
2854. Jurisdictional Conferences. James H. Laird, Michigan Conference.
2855. No Discrimination in Hospitals and Homes. Austin H. Armitstead, New York East Conference.
2856. No Discrimination in Hospitals and Homes. James H. Laird, Michigan Conference.

MEMORIALS 2857 AND 2858 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY

2857. Election of Bishops. James H. Laird, Michigan Conference.
2858. Assignment of Bishops. James H. Laird, Michigan Conference.

MEMORIALS 2859-2874, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MEMBERSHIP AND EVANGELISM

2859. Commission on Membership and Evangelism. Clara W. Scoggin, Commission on Membership and Evangelism, First Methodist Church, Independence, Iowa.
2860. Membership Training. Clara W. Scoggin, Commission on Membership and Evangelism, First Methodist Church, Independence. Iowa.
2861. Inactive Members. Mrs. William Gay, Neenah Methodist Church, Neenah, Wis.
2862. Inactive Members. Adelbert J. Jenson, Jr., First Methodist Church, Neenah, Wis.
2863. Para. 127—Inactive Members. Mrs. Dorothy L. Kreiss, First Methodist Church, Neenah, Wis.
2864. Annual Conference Board of Evangelism. R. A. McBlain, North and South Iowa Conference.
2865. Membership Requirements. Mrs. N. E. Hollingsworth. Commission on Membership and Evangelism, Lexington, Nebr.
2866. Church Membership. John A. Bayliss, North Arkansas Conference.
2867. Recover Tradition of Supernaturalism. Bennett W. Palmer, Florida Conference.
2868. Prayer Guild in Washington, D. C. Bennett W. Palmer, Florida Conference.
2869. Membership Training. Richard Bowyer, West Virginia Conference.
2870. Books for Membership Training. Richard Bowyer of West Virginia Conference.
2871. Removal of Church Member (2 years)—Par. 127.2. Warren S. Paige, Garner Subdistrict Ministers, Mason City District of North Iowa Conference.
2872. Commission on Membership and Evangelism. James H. Laird, Michigan Conference.
2873. Eliminate Discrimination in Local Church. Alexina D. Morrow, Commission on Christian Social Relations, W.S.C.S., First Methodist Church, South Hamilton, Mass.
2874. Individual Membership Record Card, Form 207. Robert J. Ash, Ohio Conference.

MEMORIALS 2875 AND 2876 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATION, ENABLING ACTS AND LEGAL FORMS

2875. Annual Conference Investigative Committee. Kyle Nye, Central Methodist Church, Albuquerque, New Mexico.
2876. Investigating Committee to Examine Charges Against a Bishop, Kyle Nye of Central Methodist Church, Albuquerque, New Mexico.

MEMORIALS 2877-3020, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

2877. Oppose Admission of Red China to U. N. Ethel Boyd, Official Board, First Methodist Church, Sanford, Florida.

2878. Use of Name Christian. Bennett Wm. Palmer, Florida Conference.
2879. Withholding Funds from Church Agencies Practicing Discrimination. Robert E. Willoughby.
2880. End War in Viet Nam. Mrs. Omar Joyce, First Methodist Church, Minneapolis, Kans.
2881. Create Methodist Council of Race Relations. Robert H. Williams, Central Illinois Conference.
2882. Integrate Church Agencies. Jane Davies, Official Board, Kingsley Methodist Church, Staten Island, New York.
2883. Marriage of Divorced Persons. Kyle Nye, Central Methodist Church, Albuquerque, N. M.
2884. Eliminate Segregation. Philip A. C. Clark, Official Board and Christian Social Concerns Commission of the Park Ave. Methodist Church, New York City, N. Y.
2885. Eliminate Discrimination in Local Church. Betty Wright, Official Board, Mount Calvary Methodist Church, New York, N. Y.
2886. World Disarmament. Philip Amidon, Executive Committee of Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Grandview Methodist Church, Dubuque, Iowa.
2887. Temperance as Separate Board. Howard Lydick, Committee on Temperance and General Welfare, Richardson, Texas.
2888. Disapprove Resolution on Cuba and China. Maurice Schmidt, Official Board, Christ Methodist Church, Ft. Lauderdale, Fla.
2889. Call for Repeal of Communist Control Acts. Harold A. Oris, First Methodist Church, Kalamazoo, Mich.
2890. Retain Position on Tobacco for Laymen. Howard Lydick, Committee on Temperance and General Welfare, Richardson, Texas.
2891. Remove Disciplinary Reference to U. N. Howard Lydick, First Methodist Church, Richardson, Texas.
2892. Remove Disciplinary Reference to Disarmament. Howard Lydick, First Methodist Church, Richardson, Texas.
2893. Abolish Certain Divisions of Board of Christian Social Concern. Howard Lydick of First Methodist Church, Richardson, Texas.
2894. Clarify Ex-official Status of Social Concerns Chairman. Mrs. E. John Elliott, Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Methodist Church, Stoughton, Wisc.
2895. Disapprove Recommendation Relative to Commission on Board of Christian Social Concerns. Robert L. Meade, Official Board, First Methodist Church, St. Augustine, Fla.
2896. Retain Abstinence. Floyd M. Ford, Official Board, Port Royal Methodist Church, Clarksville, Tenn.
2897. Disapprove Resolution on Cuba and Red China. Nina Sykes, Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Methodist Church, Murrieta, Calif.
2898. Change Date of Commitment Sunday. Mrs. E. John Elliott, Commission on Christian Social Concerns, First Methodist Church, Stoughton, Wis.
2899. Christian Witness in Russia. Bennett Wm. Palmer, Florida Conference.
2900. Disapprove Resolution on Cuba and China. R. F. Trietsch, Official Board, Wesley Methodist Church of Fort Worth, Texas.
2901. Oppose National Council of Churches. Mary Lee Gattis, First Methodist Church, Tullahoma, Tenn.
2902. Discontinue *Concern*. Margaret M. Orrill, First Methodist Church, No. Hollywood, Calif.

2903. Withdraw from World Council. Mrs. Robert G. Johnson of Westwood Community Methodist Church, Los Angeles, Calif.
2904. Eliminate Discrimination. Philip A. C. Clarke, Official Board and Christian Social Concerns Commission of Park Avenue Methodist Church, New York City, N. Y.
2905. Keep Church Out of Politics. Elizabeth L. Gregerson, Westwood Community Church, Los Angeles, Calif.
2906. Committee to Examine Scope and Function of Board of Christian Social Concerns. Louis W. Garrett, et al., Hyde Park Methodist Church, Tampa, Fla.
2907. Study of Methodist Social and Political Activity. Charles Lachman, Bala-Cynwyd Methodist Church, Bryn Mawr, Pa.
2908. Church and State. Mrs. Edd R. Turner, Jr., Westwood Community Methodist Church, Los Angeles, California.
2909. Take No Steps toward Integration. Frances B. Dean, Official Board, Capital Heights Methodist Church, Montgomery, Ala.
2910. Against Racial Demonstrations. Mrs. K. N. Calkin, Old Hickory Methodist Church, Old Hickory, Tenn.
2911. Church and State. Barbara P. Munzig, Westwood Community Methodist Church, Los Angeles, Calif.
2912. Disapprove Resolution on Cuba and China. W. L. Gray III, Official Board, First Methodist Church, Coral Gables, Fla.
2913. Change Position on Tobacco. Ethel Boyd, Official Board, First Methodist Church, Sanford, Florida.
2914. Encourage Individuals to Become Informed on Social Problems. Robert A. Rogers, Official Board, Westwood Community Methodist Church, Los Angeles, Calif.
2915. Crusade for Peace and World Order. Jim Newkham, Wesley Foundation, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, Okla.
2916. Create Federal Bureau on Racial Condition. Mrs. Anne Deardorff, Geneva Avenue Methodist Church, San Francisco, Calif.
2917. Eliminate Discrimination. Mrs. Isabel Ingalls, Centralville Methodist Church, Lowell, Mass.
2918. Assignment of ministers without regard to race or color. Ethel Boyd, Official Board, First Methodist Church, Sanford, Florida.
2919. Crusade for World Order. Robert H. Adams, Jr. of West Wisconsin Conference.
2920. Recognition of International Authority. Clyde B. Marsh, Official Board, Central Methodist Church, Detroit, Mich.
2921. Disapprove Resolution on Cuba and China. Andrew Flack, Official Board, Pitman Methodist Church, Pitman, N. J.
2922. Retain Position on Abstinence. Violet B. Crandall, First Methodist Church, Eugene, Ore.
2923. Continue *Concern*. Mrs. J. Howard Waring, W.S.C.S., Northeastern Jurisdiction, Orono, Maine.
2924. Retain Central Jurisdiction. Mrs. Hughes A. Heizer, Lelia B. Clark S.S. Class of Jefferson St. Methodist Church, Natchez, Miss.
2925. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Marjorie Shamp, Executive Committee of W.S.C.S., Canton District of North-East Ohio Conference.
2926. Abolish Central Jurisdiction—Helen D. Taylor, Wesleyan Service Guild of Grace Church, Riverside, Calif.
2927. Disapprove Resolution on Cuba and Red China. Rev. Charles H. Ramp, Official Board, First Methodist Church, Blossburg, Pa.
2928. Remove from Denomination Churches that Discriminate. Don V. McClain, Missouri East Conference.
2929. Keep Church Out of Politics. Mrs. E. S. Sherman, Westwood Community Methodist Church, Los Angeles, Calif.

2930. Retain Position on Tobacco. Mr. and Mrs. K. W. Hunt, Methodist Church, Casstown, Ohio.
2931. Change World Calendar. Mrs. Jesse Chorn, Methodist Church, Holton, Kansas.
2932. Disapprove Resolution on Cuba and China. Mrs. Edward Bishop and Mrs. H. V. McDaniels, Official Board, Methodist Church, Lauderdale, Miss.
2933. Disapproval of Ministers Participating in Racial Demonstrations. Ethel Boyd, Official Board, First Methodist Church, Sanford, Fla.
2934. Keep Church Out of Politics. Mr. and Mrs. John C. Beck, Westwood Community Methodist Church, Los Angeles, Calif.
2935. Support Becker Amendment. Mrs. Amos Chesnut, W.S.C.S., First Methodist Church, Columbus, Ind.
2936. Implement Amendment IX. James Parker, Board of Directors, Wesley Foundation, Edinboro, Pa.
2937. Special Offering Through Board of Christian Social Concerns. R. W. Moon, California-Nevada Conference.
2938. World Wide Crusade. Rev. Irving L. Smith, Oklahoma Conference.
2939. Retain Abstinence. Mrs. Freida Warren, et al., Tower Hill Methodist Church, Tower Hill, Illinois.
2940. Disassociate Methodist Church from Activities of MFSA. Mr. and Mrs. E. A. Wheatley, Jr., California Heights Methodist Church, Long Beach, Calif.
2941. Change Position on Tobacco. Robert F. Brown, First Methodist Church, Webster Groves, Mo.
2942. Statement on Alcoholic Beverages. George Licke, Commission on Christian Social Concerns of the Methodist Church, Deer River, Minn.
2943. Keep Church Out of Politics. Lida K. Cole, Epworth Methodist Church, Berkeley, Calif.
2944. Retain Position on Abstinence. Bernice V. Pruetz, Official Board, Selma Street Methodist Church, Cadillac, Mich.
2945. Eliminate Discrimination. Philip A. C. Clarke, Official Board and Christian Social Concerns Commission, Park Avenue Methodist Church, New York City, N. Y.
2946. Integrate Assignment of Ministers. Miss Ramona M. Clough, St. Matthews Methodist Church, West Acton, Mass.
2947. Biological Improvement of Human Race. Bennett Wm. Palmer, Florida Conference.
2948. Support Becker Amendment. Mrs. Phillip Gioe, W.S.C.S., Forest Manor Methodist Church, Indianapolis, Ind.
2949. Relationship of Board of Christian Social Concerns to Board of Hospitals and Homes. A. Dudley Ward, Board of Christian Social Concerns, and Board of Hospitals and Homes, Evanston, Illinois.
2950. Support House Committee on Un-American Activities. Paul Jackson, First Methodist Church, Whittier, Calif.
2951. Financial Support for Program of Peace and Discrimination. Robert L. Shelton, Kansas Conference.
2952. Support Becker Amendment. Mrs. R. E. Wickman, W.S.C.S., Victory Memorial Methodist Church, Indianapolis, Ind.
2953. Retain Position on Abstinence. James N. Williams, Commission on Christian Social Concerns of Haven Methodist Church, East Providence, R. I.
2954. Prayer and Bible-Reading in Schools. Frances B. Morgan, First Methodist Church, Lexington, Ky.
2955. Methodist Federation for Social Action. Joseph W. Thompson, Missouri East Conference.

2956. Residential Segregation. Charles B. Strauss, High Ridge Methodist Church, Stamford, Conn.
2957. Eliminate Discrimination. Charles B. Strauss, High Ridge Methodist Church, Stamford, Conn.
2958. Abolish Discrimination. David Brown, Student Council, Wesley Foundation, Edinboro, Pa.
2959. Abolish Discrimination. Pat Jackson of Wesley Foundation, Edinboro, Pa.
2960. Abolish Discrimination. Leita R. Hopkins, et al., Edinboro Methodist Church, Edinboro, Pa.
2961. Abolish Discrimination. Leita R. Hopkins, et al., Edinboro Methodist Church, Edinboro, Pa.
2962. Abolish Discrimination. David Brown, Student Council, Wesley Foundation, Edinboro, Pa.
2963. Change Position on Tobacco. Charles B. Strauss, High Ridge Methodist Church, Stamford, Conn.
2964. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Merrell Thorne, First Methodist Church, Owosso, Mich.
2965. Eliminate Discrimination. Merrell Thorne, First Methodist Church, Owosso, Mich.
2966. Eliminate Discrimination. Merrell Thorne, First Methodist Church, Owosso, Mich.
2967. Appointment of Preacher. Charles B. Strauss, High Ridge Methodist Church, Stamford, Conn.
2968. Methodist Federation for Social Action. Harold A. Otis, First Methodist Church, Kalamazoo, Mich.
2969. Eliminate Discrimination. Charles B. Strauss, High Ridge Methodist Church, Stamford, Conn.
2970. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Charles B. Strauss, High Ridge Methodist Church, Stamford, Conn.
2971. Eliminate Discrimination. Charles B. Strauss, High Ridge Methodist Church, Stamford, Conn.
2972. National Council of Churches. John W. Barton, Jr., Official Board, West End Methodist Church, Nashville, Tenn.
2973. Quadrennial Program for Peace. J. Henry Cox, Central Illinois Conference.
2974. Eliminate Discrimination. Mrs. M. Wesley Reed, W.S.C.S., Epworth Methodist Church, Lima, Ohio.
2975. Retain Position on Abstinence. Ethel Boyd, Official Board, First Methodist Church, Sanford, Florida.
2976. Support for Becker Amendment. Mrs. Donald Imman, W.S.C.S., Brightwood Methodist Church, Indianapolis, Ind.
2977. Keep Church Out of Politics. Roy E. Coats, Walnut Creek Methodist Church, Pleasant Hill, Calif.
2978. Eliminate Discrimination. Lyman G. Farrar, East Wisconsin Conference.
2979. Withhold Funds from Agencies that Discriminate. Mrs. Sam Wykert of Oakville Methodist Church, Oakville, Iowa.
2980. Support Becker Amendment. Miss Helen I. Morris, W.S.C.S., of Park Place Methodist Church, Jeffersonville, Ind.
2981. Retain Position on Abstinence. Sharon Horsfall of Mount Hope Methodist Church, Wisc.
2982. Disapprove Resolution on Cuba and Red China. Dorris Holman, Official Board, Gulf Breeze Methodist Church, Florida.
2983. Abolish Boards of Christian Social Concerns. Kathryn Q. Newson, et al., First Methodist Church, Macon, Miss.
2984. Retain Phrase "Under God" and "In God We Trust." Mr. and Mrs. Dan W. Musil, Beattie Methodist Church, Beattie, Kans.
2985. Retain Abstinence. Mr. and Mrs. Dan W. Musil of Beattie Methodist Church, Beattie, Kans.

2986. Support Becker Amendment. Mary Lee Hall of W.S.C.S., Wesley Chapel Methodist Church, Spencer, Indiana.
2987. Retain Position on Abstinence. Mr. and Mrs. Kenneth W. Hunt, Methodist Church, Casstown, Ohio.
2988. Eliminate Discrimination. Mrs. Jean Foor, Ohio Conference Board of Christian Social Concerns.
2989. Retain Position on Abstinence—Earl R. Streffeler of North Iowa Conference.
2990. Jurisdiction and Race. James Parker, Board of Directors, Wesley Foundation, Edinboro, Pa.
2991. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Mrs. Grace K. Parker, Islip Methodist Church, Islip, N. Y.
2992. Withholding Funds from Church Agencies Practicing Discrimination. David Brown, Student Council, Wesley Foundation, Edinboro, Pa.
2993. Jurisdiction and Race. Robert E. Willoughby, Methodists for Church Renewal, Mount Olivet Methodist Church, Dearborn, Mich.
2994. Retain Position on Abstinence. Milton J. Peden, Starkville District, North Mississippi Conference.
2995. Retain Position on Abstinence. Phyllis A. McCombs, Official Board, Jenkintown Methodist Church, Jenkintown, Pa.
2996. Disapprove Resolution on Cuba and Red China. Marion C. Leaming, Official Board, First Methodist Church, Riviera Beach, Fla.
2997. Kindness to Animals. B. W. Palmer, Florida Conference.
2998. Abolish Discrimination. Pat Jackson, Wesley Foundation, Edinboro Methodist Church, Edinboro, Pa.
2999. Abolish Discrimination. David Brown, Student Council, Wesley Foundation, Edinboro, Pa.
3000. Abolish Discrimination. James Parker, et al., Edinboro Methodist Church, Edinboro, Pa.
3001. Abolish Discrimination. Pat Jackson, et al., Wesley Foundation, Edinboro Methodist Church, Edinboro, Pa.
3002. Abolish Discrimination. R. E. Willoughby, Methodists for Church Renewal, Mount Olivet Methodist Church, Dearborn, Mich.
3003. Abolish Discrimination. R. E. Willoughby, Methodists for Church Renewal, Mount Olivet Methodist Church, Dearborn, Mich.
3004. Eliminate Discrimination. Randolph E. Hock, Wesley Foundation, Salisbury State College, Salisbury, Md.
3005. Church Membership and Race. Pat Jackson, et al., Edinboro Methodist Church, Edinboro, Pa.
3006. Church Membership and Race. James Parker, et al., Edinboro Methodist Church, Edinboro, Pa.
3007. Church Membership and Race. David Brown, et al., Edinboro Methodist Church, Edinboro, Pa.
3008. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Mrs. Blair Ritterspach, W.S.C.S., First Methodist Church, Oak Park, Ill.
3009. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Agnes Evans, Wesleyan Service Guild, St. Paul's Methodist Church, Wichita, Kans.
3010. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Mrs. Harold Ore, W.S.C.S., First Methodist Church, Downers Grove, Ill.
3011. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Charles Stewart, Jr., First Methodist Church, Prairie du Chien, Wisc.
3012. Abolish Discrimination, Camps. Robert E. Willoughby, Methodists for Church Renewal, Mount Olivet Methodist Church, Dearborn, Mich.
3013. Abolish Discrimination. Grant of Funds. R. E. Willoughby,

Methodists for Church Renewal, Mount Olivet Methodist Church, Dearborn, Mich.

3014. Abolish Discrimination. R. E. Willoughby, Methodists for Church Renewal, Mount Olivet Methodist Church, Dearborn, Mich.
3015. Civil Rights Fund. R. E. Willoughby, Methodists for Church Renewal, Mount Olivet Methodist Church, Dearborn, Mich.
3016. Retain Position on Abstinence. A. D. Ottinger, Board of Lay Activities, Valley City, N. Dak.
3017. Disapprove Resolution in Cuba and China. Edith M. Grace, Official Board, Teaneck Methodist Church, Teaneck, N. J.
3018. A National Weekly Television Program. John H. Woessner, Fourth Quarterly Conference, Liberty Methodist Church, Rudolph, Ohio.
3019. Oppose Forced Integration. Murray A. Carson, Virginia Conference.
3020. Oppose Resolution on Cuba and Red China. George E. Taylor, Jr., Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Knoxville, Tenn.

MEMORIALS 3021 AND 3022 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATION, ENABLING ACTS AND LEGAL FORMS

3021. Nondiscrimination Clause in Building Contracts. Methodists for Church Renewal, Robert D. Willoughby, pastor, Mount Olivet, Dearborn, Mich.
3022. Judicial Administration, Trials. Kyle Nye, Central Methodist Church, Albuquerque, N. M.

MEMORIAL 3023 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY

3023. Record of Termination of On Trial Relationship. J. Henry Ernst, Pacific Northwest Conference.

MEMORIALS 3024-3026 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATION ENABLING ACTS AND LEGAL FORMS

3024. Delete Fathers in Memorial Salutation. Doris Peryam, Amasa Methodist Church, Amasa, Mich.
3025. Alter Doctrinal Statements. Louisa Goddlander, Luthersburg Methodist Church.
3026. Disciplinary Action When Persons Barred from Worship. Gordon S. Fairchild, California-Nevada Conference.

MEMORIAL 3027 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

3027. Changes in Legislation. General Board of Education, Harold W. Hewitt.

MEMORIAL 3028 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

3028. Report of Jurisdictional Study Committee (Committee of 5). General Board of Christian Social Concerns, James S. Thomas, South Carolina Conference, et al.

MEMORIALS 3029-3064 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MEMBERSHIP AND EVANGELISM

3029. Preparatory Members. B. W. Palmer, Florida Conference.
3030. Membership Statistical Reporting. Irving L. Smith, Oklahoma Conference.
3031. No Deletions from Membership Roll. B. W. Palmer, Florida Conference.

3032. Procedure for Handling Inactive Church Membership. Prospect Park Methodist, Commission on Membership and Evangelism, Minneapolis, Minn.
3033. Methodist Men and Race. Methodists for Church Renewal, Robert E. Willoughby, pastor, Mount Olivet, Dearborn, Mich.
3034. Evangelism and Race. Methodists for Church Renewal, Robert E. Willoughby, chairman.
3035. Evangelism and Race. Central Methodist Church, Official Board, Detroit, Mich.
3036. Membership and Cultivation. Central Methodist Church, Official Board, Detroit, Mich.
3037. Church Membership and Race. Kingsley Methodist Church, Official Board, Staten Island, N. Y.
3038. Church Membership and Race. Methodists for Church Renewal, R. E. Willoughby, Chairman.
3039. Church Membership and Race. Christ Methodist Church, Official Board, Mrs. W. L. Shaffer, Arlington, Va.
3040. Continue Same Quadrennial Theme through 1968. Clarence F. Avey, New England Conference.
3041. Inactive Members. Mrs. Wayne G. Bryan, First Methodist Church, Neenah, Wis.
3042. Materials for Membership Classes. North Central Ass'n. for Christian Education, Harvey E. Dibrell, Secretary.
3043. Care of Church Members. General Board of Evangelism, Ray W. Ragsdale, Secretary.
3044. Membership of Service Men. General Board of Evangelism, Ray W. Ragsdale, Secretary.
3045. Continue Joint Committee on Materials for Membership Training. Joint Committee on Materials, Gerald O. McCulloh, Secretary.
3046. Local Church Membership Rolls. G. Lemuel Fenn, New Mexico Conference.
3047. Put Witnessing in Membership Vows. General Board of Evangelism, Ray W. Ragsdale, Secretary.
3048. The Methodist Church and Evangelism. General Board of Evangelism, Ray W. Ragsdale, Secretary.
3049. Study Report of Methodist Church Membership. General Board of Evangelism, R. W. Ragsdale, Secretary.
3050. M.Y.F. Representation, General Board of Evangelism. General Board of Evangelism, R. W. Ragsdale, Secretary.
3051. Membership Secretary of Official Board. General Board of Evangelism, R. W. Ragsdale, Secretary.
3052. A Responsibility Area for Every Church. General Board of Evangelism, R. W. Ragsdale, Secretary.
3053. General Methodist Membership Roll. General Board of Evangelism, R. W. Ragsdale, Secretary.
3054. Preparatory Membership Rolls. General Board of Evangelism, Ray W. Ragsdale, Secretary.
3055. Conference Committee on Family Life. General Board of Evangelism, Ray W. Ragsdale, Secretary.
3056. Conference Director of Evangelism. General Board of Evangelism, Ray W. Ragsdale, Secretary.
3057. Duties of Conference Board of Evangelism. General Board of Evangelism, Ray W. Ragsdale, Secretary.
3058. Duties of District Committee on Evangelism. General Board of Evangelism, Ray W. Ragsdale, Secretary.
3059. District Committee on Evangelism. General Board of Evangelism, Ray W. Ragsdale, Secretary.
3060. Duties of Vice Chairman of Conference Board of Evangelism. General Board of Evangelism, R. W. Ragsdale, Secretary.

3061. Duties of Vice-Chairman of District Committee on Evangelism. General Board of Evangelism, R. W. Ragsdale, Secretary.
3062. Duties, Vice-Chairman of Commission on Membership and Evangelism. General Board of Evangelism, R. W. Ragsdale, Secretary.
3063. Duties of Commission on Membership and Evangelism. General Board of Evangelism, Ray W. Ragsdale, Secretary.
3064. District Secretary of Evangelism. General Board of Evangelism, Ray W. Ragsdale, Secretary.

MEMORIALS NUMBERS 3065 THROUGH 3085 ARE REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON RITUAL AND ORDERS OF WORSHIP

3065. Ritual for Service of Marriage. John W. Tennant, Michigan Conference.
3066. Ritual for Service of Marriage. John W. Tennant, Michigan Conference.
3067. Ritual for Receiving Members. John W. Tennant, Michigan Conference.
3068. Ritual for Dedication of Infants. Jerome Schoeppler, Minnesota Conference.
3069. Commission on Worship, Central Illinois Conference, J. Henry Cox, Secretary.
3070. Particular Hymns for Hymnal, G. B. Bruvold, Rocky Mount Conference.
3071. Apostles' Creed: "Catholic" to "Universal." G. B. Bruvold, Rocky Mount Conference.
3072. Lord's Prayer: "Sins" in place of "Trespasses." Gerome Schoeppler, Minnesota Conference.
3073. Commission on Worship. J. Henry Ernst, Pacific N. W. Conference.
3074. Responsive Reading in present Hymnal. Francisco C. Galam, Philippines, Conference.
3075. Music for New Hymnal, Commission on Worship of Osgood Methodist Church, Osgood, Ind., Earl Triplett, Chairman.
3076. Suggestion for Hymnal. Mrs. Claude F. Ewing, Central Methodist, Mansfield, Ohio.
3077. Music for Hymn in new Hymnal. Curtis W. Smith, New Hampshire Conference.
3078. Deny Sacrament of Holy Communion to all but Confirmed Members. Official Board, First Methodist Church, Sanford, Fla., Ethel Boyd, Chairman.
3079. Changing the Apostles' Creed. Marlin K. Lawson, Marquette Park Methodist Church, Gary, Ind.
3080. Apostles' Creed, "Catholic" to "Universal." Doris Peryam, Amasa Methodist Church, Amasa, Mich.
3081. Kneel for Baptism. Genevieve Sparacino, Mount Juliet Methodist Church, Belle, W. Va.
3082. Mandatory Commission for Worship, Genesee Conference Fine Arts Camp.
3083. Delete Latin from Hymnal. Doris Peryam, Amasa Methodist Church, Amasa, Mich.
3084. Eliminate Social Creed. Mr. and Mrs. E. A. Wheatley, Jr., California Heights Methodist Church, Long Beach, Calif.
3085. Communion Ritual. Robert S. Brightman, Maine Conference.

MEMORIALS 3086 THROUGH 3094 ARE REFERRED TO THE COMMITTTEE ON MISSIONS

3086. Endowment Fund for Board of Missions. B. W. Palmer, Florida Conference.

3087. Missionary Emphasis. Mrs. A. E. Fowler, Methodist Church, Blair, Nebr.
3088. Church Extension Funds and Race. Official Board, Central Methodist Church, Detroit, Mich.
3089. W.S.C.S. and Race. Methodists for Church Renewal, Robert E. Willoughby, Chairman.
3090. Mission and Church Extension. Board of Missions, N. Y., Barbara Lewis, Secretary.
3091. Requirements for Church Related Colleges. Clinton M. Cherry, Philadelphia Conference.
3092. Appalachian Development Commission. Executive Committee, Southeastern Jurisdictional Council, Carl J. Sanders, Chairman.
3093. Missionary Education Secretary Member of Conference Board of Missions. W.S.C.S., East Wisconsin Conference, Mrs. Freeman H. Martin, Secretary.
3094. Create Position of Missionary Personnel Secretary in W.D.C.S. W.S.C.S. East Wisconsin Conference, Mrs. Freeman H. Martin, Secretary.

MEMORIALS 3095 THROUGH 3111 ARE REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON INTERDENOMINATIONAL RELATIONS AND ACTIVITIES

3095. Withdraw from World Council of Churches. John C. Beck, Westwood Community Methodist Church, Los Angeles, Calif.
3096. Withdraw from National Council of Churches. Freda Rell Detwiler, First Methodist Church, Collingswood, N. J.
3097. Withdraw from National Council of Churches. E. A. Wheatley, Jr., California Heights Methodist Church, Long Beach, Calif.
3098. Withdraw from National Council of Churches. Mrs. H. C. Sexton, Westwood Community Methodist Church, Hollywood, Calif.
3099. Withdraw from National and World Councils of Churches. Phoebe H. Schweitzer, Westwood Community Methodist Church, Los Angeles, Calif.
3100. Withdraw from National and World Councils of Churches. Evelyn L. Fox, Westwood Community Methodist Church, Los Angeles, Calif.
3101. Withdraw from National and World Councils of Churches. Paul Jackson, First Methodist Church, Whittier, Calif.
3102. Withdraw from National Council of Churches. Maurine Barcal, Trinity Methodist Church, Los Angeles, Calif.
3103. Withdraw from National Council of Churches. Ruth Newton Cheatham, Centenary Methodist, Lynchburg, Va.
3104. Support National Council of Churches, Central Methodist Church Policy Committee, Knoxville, Tenn.
3105. Ecumenical Movement. Commission on Ecumenical Consultation, Dallas, Texas, Montgomery J. Shroyer, Secretary.
3106. Protest Action of National Council of Churches. Mrs. R. O. Pullin, Centenary Methodist Church, Lynchburg, Va.
3107. Reappraise Function of National Council. James A. Griffin, Jr., Alabama-West Florida Conference.
3108. Lay Representation in National Council of Churches. Commission on Christian Social Concerns, St. Mark Methodist Church, McAllen, Texas.
3109. Methodist E.U.B. Commission on Faith and Order. Official Board of Parish of Holy Covenant, Chicago, Ill., Bernice Gantzert, Secretary.
3110. Disapprove Union with A.M.E. and A.M.E. Zion Churches.

Official Board of the First Methodist Church, Sanford, Fla., Ethel Boyd, Secretary.

3111. Methodist Delegates to National Council of Churches. Edna K. Nutter, Sunburst Community Methodist Church, Sunburst, Mont.

MEMORIALS 3112-3133 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LOCAL CHURCH

3112. Election of Local Church Treasurer. Central Illinois Conference, J. Henry Cox, Secretary.
3113. Representation of Church Members on Official Board. Mrs. Arnold Graber, Christ Methodist Church. Casper, Wyo.
3114. Release Local Churches for Creative Planning. J. David Barkley, Western Pennsylvania Conference.
3115. Local Church Study of Annual Conference Programs. Official Board, Methodist Church. Brewster. Kansas.
3116. Method of Organizing Local Church. Murray A. Carson, Virginia Conference.
3117. Method of Organizing Local Church. Murray A. Carson, Virginia Conference.
3118. Election of Quarterly Conference Members. Austin H. Gale, Westwood Methodist Church, Los Angeles, Calif.
3119. Quarterly Conference Election Effective Immediately. Clarence F. Avey, New England Conference.
3120. Qualifications for Nominees from National Conference of MSM. Indiana Methodist Student Movement, Betty Boyd, Secretary.
3121. Election of Members of Local Boards of Directors of Wesley Foundations. Don V. McLain, Missouri East Conference.
3122. Eliminate Discrimination. Mrs. Isabel Ingalls, Centralville Methodist Church, Lowell, Mass.
3123. Eligibility of Members to Vote on Construction of New Buildings. First Methodist Church, MYF, Platteville. Wisc.
3124. Fine Arts in Local Church. Genesee Conference. Fine Arts Camp, John Morse, Director.
3125. Recognition of Methodist Young Adult Fellowship as Official Organization. National Executive Board of Methodist Young Adult Fellowship of Philippines, Josue L. Villa, Secretary.
3126. Change Voting Age of Official Board Members. D. V. Whitenack, Ohio Conference.
3127. Duties of Elective Stewards. General Board of Evangelism, R. W. Ragsdale, Secy.
3128. W.S.C.S. President as Ex-Officio Steward. Central Methodist Church, Webb City, Mo.
3129. Composition of Official Board. Herschel H. Hedgpeth, Southern California-Arizona Conference.
3130. Absentee Voting in Official Board. D. V. Whitenack, Ohio Conference.
3131. Membership on Official Board. Chas. B. Strauss, High Ridge, Methodist Church, Stamford, Conn.
3132. Local Church Committees on Records and History. Association of Methodist Historical Societies, Lake Junaluska, N. C., Albea Godbold, Secy.
3133. Qualification for Local Church Trustees. First Methodist Church, Official Board, Sanford, Florida.

MEMORIALS 3134-3191 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY

3134. Study of the Ministry. Department of Ministerial Education, Gerald O. McCulloh, Secy.

3135. Return to More Fundamental Position. Mrs. John B. Carson, Westwood Methodist Church, Los Angeles, Calif.
3136. Increase Privileges of Approved Supply Pastors. Earl R. Streffeler, Northern Iowa Conference.
3137. Commission on Chaplains. George T. Taylor, Central Pennsylvania Conference.
3138. Bishops in Demonstrations of Civil Disobedience. Henning Methodist Church, Official Board, Sulphur, La.
3139. Assignment of Bishops. Andrew Juvinall, Calif.-Nevada Conference.
3140. Course of Study. Paul R. Youngholm, New Hampshire Conference.
3141. Integrate Assignment of Bishops. John E. Barclay, New England Conference.
3142. Integrate Assignment of Ministers. St. Matthews Methodist Church, W.S.C.S., West Acton, Mass.
3143. Assignment of Bishops. Merrell Thorne, First Methodist Church, Owosso, Mich.
3144. Integrate Assignment of Ministers. John E. Barclay, New England Conference.
3145. Bishops to Be Assigned by General Conference. Chas. B. Strauss, High Ridge Methodist Church, Stamford, Conn.
3146. Requirements for Admission on Trial. North Carolina Conference Commission on Town & Country Work, Key W. Taylor, chmn.
3147. Requirements for Admission on Trial. North Carolina Conference Commission on Town & Country Work, Key W. Taylor, Chmn.
3148. Use Term "Pastor." Francis L. Wagner, First Methodist Church, Bakersfield, Calif.
3149. Appointments and Race. Methodists for Church Renewal, Robt. E. Willoughby, Chmn.
3150. Assignment of Bishops and Race. Methodists for Church Renewal, Robt. Willoughby, Chmn.
3151. Race in Duties of Pastors. Wesley Foundation Student Council, Edinboro, Pa.
3152. Assignment of Bishops and Race. Methodists for Church Renewal, Robt. Willoughby, Chmn.
3153. Method of Appointment. B. W. Palmer, Florida Conference.
3154. Unite Three Agencies into Departments of Ministry and Word. J. David Barkley, Western Pennsylvania Conference.
3155. Biographies of Bishops. B. W. Palmer, Florida Conference.
3156. Training of District Superintendents. J. Henry Ernst, Pacific Northwest Conference.
3157. Retain Approved Supply Pastors. Approved Supply Pastors' Association of Southern Illinois Conference, J. F. Watson, Secy.
3158. Sabbatical Year. North Central Association for Christian Education, Harvey E. Dibrell, Secy.
3159. Pastors Forego Alcohol. Methodist Church, Official Board, Alma, Kansas.
3160. Give Notice When Approved Supply Appointment Terminated. Key W. Taylor. North Carolina Conference
3161. Pastoral Charge Qualify as Appointment. Levy C. Robinson, New England Southern Conference.
3162. Give Notice when Approved Supply Appointment Terminated. Key W. Taylor, North Carolina Conference.
3163. Appointment of Ministers for Minimum Salary Fund. First Methodist Church, Official Board, Sanford, Fla.

3164. Retain Abstinence. Charlevoix Methodist Church, Official Board, Charlevoix, Mich.
3165. Ministry of Spiritual Healing. Mrs. Herald Lee, United Methodist Church, Belten, Conn.
3166. Retain Tobacco Restrictions for Ministers. Mrs. Florence S. Remington, First Methodist Church, Quitman, Miss.
3167. Retain Position on Abstinence. Mrs. Florence Remington, First Methodist Church, Quitman, Miss.
3168. Sacraments Administered by Approved Supply Pastor. Central Pennsylvania Conference Board of Ministerial Training & Qualifications.
3169. Eligibility of Deacons. Central Pennsylvania Conference Board of Ministerial Training & Qualifications.
3170. Committee on Conference Relations. Central Pennsylvania Conference Board of Ministerial Training & Qualifications.
3171. Ministerial Education Requirements. Central Pennsylvania Conference Board of Ministerial Training & Qualifications.
3172. Retain Position on Abstinence. McKendree Methodist Church, W.S.C.S., McCracken County, Ky.
3173. Retain Present Tenure for District Superintendents. First Methodist Church, Official Board, Sanford, Fla.
3174. Adopt Proposals of Ministry Commission. Robt. H. Williams, Central Illinois Conference.
3175. Ministry of Spiritual Healing. Fred S. Collins, Montana Conference.
3176. Ministry of Spiritual Healing. First Methodist Church, Portage Prayer Group, Westfield, N. Y.
3177. Council of Bishops Given Authority to Speak for Church. First Methodist Church, Official Board, Sanford, Fla.
3178. Gifts to Bishops and District Superintendents. Kyle Nye, Central Methodist Church, Albuquerque, N. M.
3179. Retain Position on Abstinence. Metropolitan Methodist Church, Official Board, Detroit, Mich.
3180. Tenure of Bishops. First Methodist Church, Official Board, Coral Gables, Fla.
3181. Retain Position on Abstinence. North Texas Conference Board of Christian Social Concerns, Howard Lydick, Chmn.
3182. Retain Position on Abstinence. North Texas Conference Board of Christian Social Concerns, Howard Lydick, Chmn.
3183. Retain Tobacco Restrictions for Ministers. North Texas Conference Board of Christian Social Concerns, Howard Lydick, Chmn.
3184. Retain Position on Abstinence. Mrs. Raymond Rogers, Lutie Watkins Methodist Church, Llano, Texas.
3185. Invitation to Christian Discipleship. Murray A. Carson, Virginia Conference.
3186. Number of Bishops. Tennessee Annual Conference, D. W. Simmons, Secy.
3187. Definition of Minister. Richard A. Johnson, et al., members of Troy Conference.
3188. Limit Tenure of Pastor. Mr. & Mrs. Ernest M. Withers, Poland Methodist Church, Ohio.
3189. Ministry of Spiritual Healing. Mrs. Maurice Phelps, Arcade Methodist Church, Chaffee, N. Y.
3190. Retirement Age for Ministers. Richard Bowyer, West Virginia Conference.
3191. Filling Vacancy in Episcopacy. First Methodist Church, Official Board, Sanford, Fla.

MEMORIALS 3192-3215, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

3192. Ex-Officio Membership in Annual Conference for General Conference Delegates. G. Clifford Pettygrove, Epworth Methodist Church, Berkeley, Calif.

MEMORIALS 3193—3200, INCLUSIVE, DEAL WITH RETAIN JURISDICTIONAL SYSTEM

3193. Official Board, First Methodist Church, Birmingham, Ala.
3194. Official Board, Wesley Chapel Methodist Church, Meridian, Miss.
3195. Mr. and Mrs. Leon Hudnall, Porterville, Miss.
3196. W.S.C.S., Hawkins Memorial Methodist Church, Meridian, Miss.
3197. Mr. and Mrs. Irl B. Garnett, First Methodist Church, Boynton Beach, Fla.
3198. Mrs. J. L. Prichard, Central Methodist Church, Meridian, Miss.
3199. Walter A. Byrd, Methodist Church, Ooltewah, Tenn.
3200. J. L. Prichard, Central Methodist Church, Meridian, Miss.
3201. Continue Commission on Interjurisdictional Relations. Executive Council of Texas Methodist Student Movement.
3202. Eliminate Discrimination. Official Board, Park Avenue Methodist Church, New York, N. Y.
3203. Condemn Racial Discrimination. Clyde L. Manschreck, North Carolina Conference.
3204. Extension of Voting Privilege in Annual Conference. W.S.C.S., East Wisconsin Conference.
3205. Amendment of Amendment IX. Executive Council, Texas Methodist Student Movement.
3206. Integration of Jurisdictions. Official Board, Trinity Methodist Church, Berkeley, Calif.
3207. Retain Jurisdictional System. Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Methodist Church, Vestavia Hills, Ala.
3208. Election of Bishops at General Conference. Official Board, First Methodist Church, Sanford, Fla.
3209. Implement Amendment IX. Methodists for Church Renewal, Robert E. Willoughby, Chmn.
3210. A General Committee on Investigation. Samuel N. Gibson, Western Pennsylvania Conference.
3211. Transfer of Bishops Between Jurisdictions. Andrew Juvinall, California-Nevada Conference.
3212. Jurisdiction and Race. Student Council, Wesley Foundation at Edinboro, Pa.
3213. Jurisdiction and Race. Members of Wesley Foundation at Edinboro, Pa.
3214. Implement Amendment IX. Methodists for Church Renewal, Robert E. Willoughby, Chmn.
3215. Implement Amendment IX. Members of Wesley Foundation at Edinboro, Pa.

MEMORIALS 3216-3217, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

3216. Relationship of the Board of Directors of Wesley Foundation and the Interconference Commission or Equivalent Body. Don V. McClain, Missouri East Conference.
3217. Seminary in Florida. Bennett W. Palmer, Florida Conference.
3218. Award for Scouters. W. E. Painter, Central Methodist Church, Sacramento, Calif.

MEMORIALS 3219-3222 DEAL WITH MORE INTEGRATION IN CHURCH SCHOOL LITERATURE

3219. MYF, Trinity Methodist Church, Albany, N. Y.
3220. MYF, First Methodist Church, Greenwich, Conn.
3221. MYF, Knickerbocker Avenue Methodist Church, Brooklyn, N. Y.
3222. MYF, Greene Avenue Methodist Church, Brooklyn, N. Y.
3223. Report Sponsorship of Youth Agencies. W. E. Painter, Central Methodist Church, Sacramento, Calif.
3224. Counteract Doctrine of Reincarnation, Bennett W. Palmer, Florida Conference.
3225. Counteract Spiritualism. Bennett W. Palmer, Florida Conference.
3226. Annual Conference Board of Education. North Central Association of Christian Education, Harvey E. Dibrell, Secy.
3227. Abolish Discrimination—Camps. Student Council, Wesley Foundation, Edinboro, Pa.
3228. Abolish Discrimination—Camps. Wesley Foundation, Edinboro, Pa.
3229. Abolish Discrimination—Camps. Board of Directors, Wesley Foundation, Edinboro, Pa.
3230. Observance of Christmas. John Womack Adult Class, Huntington Avenue Methodist Church, Jonesboro, Ark.
3231. Youth Prayer Pilgrimage. Bennett W. Palmer, Florida Conference.
3232. Emphasis on Integration in Education Curriculum. MYF, Burnt Hills Methodist Church, Burnt Hills, N. Y.
3233. More Religious Fiction. B. W. Palmer, Florida Conference.
3234. Director of Christian Education. Francis L. Wagner, First Methodist Church, Bakersfield, Calif.
3235. Annual Conference Commission on Christian Higher Education. North Central Association for Christian Education, Harvey E. Dibrell, Secy.
3236. New Title for District Commission on Christian Education. North Central Association for Christian Education, Harvey E. Dibrell, Secy.
3237. Church School Teachers—Election. Board of Education, Louisville Conference.
3238. Remove Staff Personnel from Christian Action. Mrs. K. N. Calkin, Old Hickory Methodist Church, Old Hickory, Tenn.
3239. Abstinence for Faculty Members of Methodist Schools. Committee on Temperance and General Welfare, Howard Lydick, Chmn.
3240. Remove Staff Personnel from *Workers with Youth*. Mrs. K. N. Calkin, Methodist Church, Old Hickory, Tenn.
3241. Remove Staff Personnel from *Adult Teacher*. Mrs. K. N. Calkin, Methodist Church, Old Hickory, Tenn.
3242. Forbid Tobacco Advertising in Methodist Institutions. Howard Lydick, First Methodist Church, Richardson, Texas.
3243. Forbid Sale of Tobacco at Methodist Institutions. Howard Lydick, First Methodist Church, Richardson, Texas.
3244. Discontinue *motive*. Margaret M. Orrill, First Methodist Church, N. Hollywood, Calif.
3245. Bible in Church-School Literature. Margaret M. Orrill, First Methodist Church, N. Hollywood, Calif.
3246. Bible in Curriculum of Educational Institutions. Murray A. Carson, Virginia Conference.
3247. Interboard Committee on Christian Vocation Changes in Legislation. Interboard Committee on Christian Vocations, Richard H. Bauer, Secy.

MEMORIALS 3248-3303 WERE REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

3248. Implement Amendment IX. Student Council of Wesley Foundation, Edinboro, Pa.
3249. Annual Conference and Race. Methodists for Church Renewal, Robert E. Willoughby, Chmn.
3250. Integration of Jurisdiction. Methodists For Church Renewal, Robert E. Willoughby, Chmn.
3251. Jurisdictional Commission for Follow-up in Abolishing Central Jurisdiction. Official Board, First Methodist Church, Sanford, Fla.
3252. Support Memorial on Integration of Central Jurisdiction. Christian Social Concerns Commission, Trinity Methodist Church, Berkeley, Calif.
3253. Depositing Records of Abandoned Churches with the Annual Conference Historical Society, rather than with the Annual Conference Secretary. Association of Methodist Historical Societies, Lake Junaluska, N. C., Albea Godbold, Secy.
3254. Annual Conference Voting Privilege for W.S.C.S. President. Western North Carolina Conference W.S.C.S., Mrs. A. B. Johnston, Secy.
3255. Discontinue *motive*. Paul Jackson, First Methodist Church, Whittier, Calif.
3256. Election of District Superintendents. J. Ray Neiser, Pacific Northwest Conference.
3257. Require Every-Member Canvas. Clarence F. Avey, New England Conference.
3258. Change Membership Restriction on Conference Commission on World Service and Finance. Southwest Texas Conference, Philip M. Riley, Secy.
3259. Change Amendment IX. Wesley Foundation, Montana State University, Missoula, Mont.
3260. Support Proposal of Central Jurisdictional Study Committee, Wesley Foundation, Montana State University. Missoula, Montana.
3261. Integration of Conference. Mrs. B. J. A. Anderson, McKee Street Methodist Church, Batavia, Illinois.
3262. Conference W.S.C.S. Secretary of Missionary Education a Member of the Conference Board of Education. Western North Carolina Conference, W.S.C.S.

MEMORIALS 3263-3276, INCLUSIVE, DEAL WITH ABOLISHING CENTRAL JURISDICTION

3263. Mrs. Isabel Ingalls, Centralville Methodist Church, Lowell, Mass.
3264. Executive Council of the Texas Methodist Student Movement.
3265. Christian Social Relations, W.S.C.S., Church Hill Methodist Church, Youngstown, Ohio.
3266. Donald L. Carver, North Iowa Conference.
3267. Methodists For Church Renewal, Robert E. Willoughby, Chairman.
3268. Hawaii Mission of the Methodist Church, William A. Buford, Secy.
3269. Official Board, First Methodist Church, Sanford, Florida.
3270. Grace Methodist Church of Hainfield, Vt.
3271. Ohio Methodist Student Movement, Jerry M. Hultin.
3272. Members of Fisk Memorial Methodist Church, Natick, Mass.
3273. Members of the Fisk Memorial Methodist Church, Natick, Mass.

3274. Leslie S. Uphoff, McKnownville Methodist Church, Albany, N. Y.
3275. Commission on Christian Social Concerns, McKnownville Methodist Church, Albany, N. Y.
3276. W.S.C.S., St. Paul's Methodist Church, Toledo, Ohio.
3277. Retain Central Jurisdiction. Mr. and Mrs. R. W. Heidelberg, Main Street Methodist Church, Hattiesburg, Miss.

MEMORIALS 3278-3303, INCLUSIVE, DEAL WITH ABOLISHING CENTRAL JURISDICTION

3278. R. C. Conrad, et al., Vernon Methodist Church, Vernon, Mich.
3279. Human Rights Groups, W.S.C.S., Epworth Euclid Methodist Church, Cleveland, Ohio.
3280. Glenn Crow, Kingston Methodist Church, Mediaopolis, Iowa.
3281. Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Grace Methodist Church, Des Moines, Iowa.
3282. Commission on Christian Social Concerns, McKnownville Methodist Church, Albany, N. Y.
3283. Gerome A. Harville, Rogersville Methodist Church, Rogersville, Tenn.
3284. Joseph W. Thompson, Missouri East Conference.
3285. Jean Davis, et al., Jennings, Kans.
3286. Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Methodist Church, Central Square, N. Y.
3287. Methodists for Church Renewal, R. E. Willoughby.
3288. Methodists for Church Renewal, R. E. Willoughby.
3289. Mrs. Robert Walker, McKendree Methodist Church, McCracken County, Kentucky.
3290. Lyman G. Farrer, East Wisconsin Conference.
3291. Members of Fisk Memorial Methodist Church, Natick, Mass.
3292. Walter R. Warner, Oregon Conference.
3293. MYF, Montavilla Methodist Church, Portland, Ore.
3294. Mrs. John R. Faust, First Methodist Church, New Carlisle, Ohio.
3295. Official Board, St. John's Methodist Church, Dedham, Mass.
3296. Official Board, Union Methodist Church, South Ozone Park, N. Y.
3297. Wesley Foundation, Montana State University, Missoula, Mont.
3298. Tennessee Annual Conference, D. W. Simmons, Secy.
3299. Mrs. Sam Wykert, Oakville Methodist Church, Oakville, Iowa.
3300. Official Board, Mount Calvary Methodist Church, New York, N. Y.
3301. Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Cox Memorial Methodist Church, Hallowell, Maine.
3302. W.S.C.S., Trinity Church, Lynn, Mass.
3303. Official Board, Church of the Redeemer, Methodist, Swampscott, Mass.

MEMORIALS 3304—3318 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON PUBLISHING INTERESTS

3304. *Christian Advocate.* Murray A. Carson, Virginia Conference.
3305. Advance Publications of General Conference Memorials. Linton H. Bishop, Jr., Northside Methodist Church, Atlanta, Ga.
3306. Continue Publication of Methodist Magazines. Ohio Conference W.S.C.S., Mrs. Howard E. Chalfant, Secy.
3307. Discontinue *motive.* Mrs. Julius Purnell, First Methodist Church, McKinney, Tex.

3308. Continue *Concern.* Grace Methodist Church, Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Corning, N. Y.
3309. Format for Printing General Minutes. Albea Godbold, Missouri East Conference.
3310. Memoirs of Deceased Ministers. Albea Goldbold, Missouri East Conference.
3311. Discontinue *motive.* Mr. & Mrs. E. A. Wheatley, Jr., Calif. Heights Methodist Church, Long Beach, Calif.
3312. Print Tribute to Harry F. Ward. Harold A. Otis, First Methodist Church, Kalamazoo, Mich.
3313. Methodist Heritage Sunday. Albea Godbold, Missouri East Conference.
3314. Eliminate Unchristian Articles from Methodist Literature. Mrs. Milford Gragg, Collister Methodist Church, Boise, Idaho.
3315. Special Literature for Southeastern Jurisdiction. Petal Methodist Church men's club, Hattiesburg, Miss.
3316. Remove Certain Material from Methodist Publications. Mrs. K. N. Calkin, Old Hickory Methodist Church, Old Hickory, Tenn.
3317. Discontinue *motive.* Mr. and Mrs. John C. Beck, Westwood Methodist Church, Los Angeles, Calif.
3318. Removal of Staff Persons on *motive.* Mrs. K. N. Calkin, Old Hickory Methodist Church, Tenn.

MEMORIALS 3319-3327 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS AND HOMES

3319. Clarifying Relationship of General Board of Hospitals & Homes to General Board of Christian Social Concerns. Central Illinois Conference, J. Henry Cox, Secy.
3320. Accreditation Program for Hospitals and Homes. New York East Conference Board of Hospital and Homes.
3321. Ministry to the Aging. General Board of Hospitals and Homes, Olin E. Oeschger, Secy.
3322. Abolish Discrimination in Hospitals and Homes. Wesley Foundation, Edinboro, Pa.
3323. Abolish Discrimination in Hospitals and Homes. Edinboro, Pa., Wesley Foundation Student Council.
3324. Abolish Discrimination in Hospitals and Homes. Methodists for Church Renewal, Robt. Willoughby, Dearborn, Mich., chmn.
3325. Abolish Discrimination in Hospitals and Homes. Wesley Foundation Board of Directors, Edinboro, Pa.
3326. Names for Church Institution. Bennett W. Palmer, Florida Conference.
3327. Home for Aged in Missouri. Christy Methodist Church, W.S.C.S., St. Louis, Mo.

MEMORIALS 3328-3352 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LAY ACTIVITIES AND TEMPORAL ECONOMY

3328. TRAFCO Composition. TRAFCO, Harry C. Spencer, Gen. Secy.
3329. Changes in TRAFCO Legislation. TRAFCO, Harry C. Spencer, Gen. Secy.
3330. World Service Funds. S. A. Stephens, Ainsworth Methodist Church, Ainsworth, Ia.
3331. Refuse Permission for POAU to Solicit Funds. New England Southern Conference Board of Christian Social Concerns, John E. Post, Pres.
3332. Local Church Trust Funds. B. W. Palmer, Florida Conference.

3333. Sell Water from River Jordan. B. W. Palmer, Florida Conference.
3334. Withholding Funds from Church Agencies Practicing Discrimination. Gail Black, et al., Edinboro Wesley Foundation, Pa.
3335. Withholding of Funds from Segregated Institutions. First Methodist Church, Official Board, Manning, Ia.
3336. Withhold Funds from Agencies Which Discriminate. Merrell Thorne, First Methodist Church, Owosso, Mich.
3337. Eliminate Special Offerings. J. David Barkley, Western Pennsylvania Conference.
3338. Grant of Funds to Integrated Institutions. James Parker, et al., Wesley Foundation of Edinboro, Pa.
3339. Grant Funds to Integrated Institutions. David Brown, et al., Edinboro, Pa.
3340. Grant of Funds to Integrated Institutions. Pat Jackson, et al., Wesley Foundation of Edinboro, Pa.
3341. Executive Committee Composition of Association of Methodist Historical Societies. Albea Godbold, Missouri East Conference.
3342. Subscribing Memberships, Methodist Shrines & Landmarks. Albea Godbold, Missouri East Conference.
3343. Change of Legislation About Association of Methodist Historical Society. Albea Godbold, Missouri East Conference.
3344. Purchasing Church Sites. J. Henry Ernst, Jack M. Tuell, Pacific Northwest Conference.
3345. Duties of Lay Leaders. J. Henry Ernst, Jack M. Tuell, Pacific Northwest Conference.
3346. Equitable Method of Apportionments. R. Carl Taylor, Marathon Methodist Church, N. Y.
3347. Increase TRAFCO Appropriation. Mrs. Grace K. Parker, Islip Methodist Church, N. Y.
3348. Withholding Funds from Church Agencies Practicing Discrimination. Wesley Foundation Board of Directors of Edinboro, Pa.
3349. Tenure of Boards. G. P. Warfield, Francis L. Brockman, Virginia Conference.
3350. Change Name of Memorials. Mrs. Maurice Phelps, Arcade Methodist Church, Chaffee, N. Y.
3351. Rehabilitation of Francis Asbury's Birthplace. Melvin G. Williams, N. Y. Eastern Conference.
3352. Remove Architectural Barriers to Handicapped Persons. John R. Bleazard, Marshall Methodist Church, Mo.

RESOLUTIONS 3353-3477 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

3353. Eliminate Segregation. James W. Bristah, Detroit Conference.
3354. Disapprove Bishop's Statement on Race. Hopewell Methodist Church, Official Board, Chesterfield, Va.
3355. Message to Leaders of Communist Nations. B. W. Palmer, Florida Conference.
3356. Separation of Church & State. David Milton, First Methodist Church, North Hollywood, Calif.
3357. Nutrition and Health. Earl W. Mutch, Chardon Methodist Church, Ohio.
3358. Disapprove Resolution on Cuba and China. John H. Hires, Jr., Florida Southeast Conference.
3359. Disapprove Resolution on Cuba and China. First Methodist Church, Official Board, Waukegan, Ill.

3360. Support Adoption of Universal Language. B. W. Palmer, Florida Conference.
3361. Support Tax on Alcoholic Beverages. Centenary Methodist Church Committee on Christian Social Concerns, Mankato, Minn.
3362. Study Municipal Zoning Laws. B. W. Palmer, Florida Conference.
3363. Eliminate Discrimination. Wesley Foundation, University of Maryland, College Park, Md.
3364. Eliminate Discrimination. Centralville Methodist Church Committee on Membership & Evangelism, Lowell, Mass.
3365. Eliminate Discrimination. Centralville Methodist Church Committee on Christian Social Concerns, Lowell, Mass.
3366. Eliminate Discrimination. J. Robt. Johnson, Centralville Methodist Church, Lowell, Mass.
3367. Eliminate Discrimination. Mrs. Marjorie Johnson, Centralville Methodist Church, Lowell, Mass.
3368. Eliminate Discrimination. Centralville Methodist Church, Committee on Membership & Evangelism, Lowell, Mass.
3369. Eliminate Discrimination. Centralville Methodist Church, Committee on Christian Social Concerns, Lowell, Mass.
3370. Eliminate Discrimination. James W. Bristah, Detroit Conference.
3371. Eliminate Discrimination. Wesley Foundation, University of Maryland, College Park, Md.
3372. Eliminate Discrimination. James W. Bristah, Detroit Conference.
3373. Eliminate Discrimination. Wesley Foundation, University of Maryland, College Park, Md.
3374. Eliminate Discrimination. Wesley Foundation, University of Maryland, College Park, Md.
3375. Eliminate Discrimination. Wesley Foundation, University of Maryland, College Park, Md.
3376. Eliminate Discrimination. Wesley Foundation, University of Maryland, College Park, Md.
3377. Eliminate Discrimination. Wesley Foundation, University of Maryland, College Park, Md.
3378. Eliminate Discrimination. James W. Bristah, Detroit Conference.
3379. Eliminate Discrimination. James W. Bristah, Detroit Conference.
3380. Eliminate Discrimination. Wesley Foundation, University of Maryland, College Park, Md.
3381. Eliminate Discrimination. James W. Bristah, Detroit Conference.
3382. Keep Church Out of Politics. Westwood Methodist Church, Committee on Christian Social Concerns, Los Angeles, Calif.
3383. Keep Church Out of Politics. Mrs. Robert G. Johnson, Westwood Methodist Church, Los Angeles, Calif.
3384. Keep Church Out of Politics. Evelyn L. Fox, Westwood Methodist Church, Los Angeles, Calif.
3385. Keep Church Out of Politics. Edna E. Thedford, First Methodist Church, North Hollywood, Calif.
3386. Withhold Funds from Agencies Practicing Discrimination. Wesley Foundation, University of Maryland, College Park, Md.
3387. Withhold Funds from Agencies Which Discriminate. Frances J. Swan, Oakville Methodist Church, Oakville, Ia.
3388. Withhold Funds from Agencies Which Discriminate. Richard L. Stoller, Oakville Methodist Church, Oakville, Ia.

3389. Withhold Funds from Agencies Practicing Discrimination. James W. Bristah, Detroit Conference.
3390. Integration. Zion Methodist Church, W.S.C.S., Brookville, Pa.
3391. Prayer in Schools. St. Paul's Methodist Church, Wesleyan Class, Newport, R. I.
3392. Change Restriction of Tobacco for Ministers. High Ridge Methodist Church, Board of Trustees, Stamford, Conn.
3393. Disapprove Resolution on Cuba and China. First Methodist Church, Official Board, Starke, Fla.
3394. Prayer and Bible-Reading in Public Schools. Herbert A. McKain, Philadelphia Conference.
3395. Prayer and Bible-Reading in Public Schools. John H. Hires, Jr., Florida South East Conference.
3396. Retain Position on Abstinence. Centenary Methodist Church, Committee on Christian Social Concerns, Mankato, Minn.
3397. Retain Position on Abstinence. Urban Herzer, Methodist Church, Edgeby, N. Dak.
3398. Retain Position on Abstinence. Columbus Methodist Church, Official Board, Columbus, Ill.
3399. Retain Position on Abstinence. Mrs. John E. Dillard, Central Park Methodist Church, Birmingham, Ala.
3400. Retain Position on Abstinence. Sharps Chapel. Tenn., WSG.
3401. Retain Position on Abstinence. Marie Ousley, Sharp's Chapel, Tenn.
3402. Retain Position on Abstinence. Mr. & Mrs. Roy Gaar, et al., New Hope Methodist Church, Ruston Dist., La.
3403. Retain Position on Abstinence. Mrs. R. S. Kenyon, First Methodist Church, Houston, Texas.
3404. Mrs. O. R. Barbee, Methodist Church of Richlands, N. C.
3405. Retain Position on Abstinence. W.D.C.S., of General Board of Missions, Helen F. Eichinger, Rec. Secy.
3406. Retain Position on Abstinence. Kingswood Methodist Church, W.S.C.S., Clovis, N. M.
3407. Retain Position on Abstinence. Edward H. Alsdorf, First Methodist Church, National City, Calif.
3408. Support Becker Amendment. Grandview Methodist Church W.S.C.S., Grandview, Ind.
3409. Support Becker Amendment. Columbia Methodist Church W.S.C.S., Rushville, Ind.
3410. Support Becker Amendment. Wall Street Methodist Church W.S.C.S., Jeffersonville, Ind.
3411. Support Becker Amendment. Zion Methodist Church W.S.C.S., Greensburg, Ind.
3412. Support Becker Amendment. Asbury Chapel W.S.C.S., Ragsdale, Ind.
3413. Support Becker Amendment. Blocher Methodist Church W.S.C.S., Blocher, Ind.
3414. Crusade for Peace. Union Methodist Church, Official Board, Union, Mo.
3415. Promote World Democracy. B. W. Palmer, Florida Conference.
3416. Committee on World Democracy. B. W. Palmer, Florida Conference.
3417. Crusade for Peace. Margaret M. Donaldson, Geneva Avenue Methodist Church, San Francisco, Calif.
3418. Crusade for World Peace. North Bethesda Methodist Church, Official Board, Bethesda, Md.
3419. Crusade for Peace and World Order. Mrs. W. C. Hamilton, Christ Church, Santa Rosa, Calif.

3420. Crusade for World Order. William E. Callahan, Pacific Northwest Conference.
3421. Crusade for World Order. Donald L. Carver, Northern Iowa Conference.
3422. Crusade for World Order. John Simon, Florida Conference.
3423. Crusade for World Order. Donald L. Carver, Northern Iowa Conference.
3424. Crusade for World Order. First Methodist Church, Official Board, Kanawha, Ia.
3425. Crusade for World Order. Gordon S. Fairchild, California-Nevada Conference.
3426. Crusade for World Order. Lyle H. Truax, East Vancouver Methodist Church, Vancouver, Wash.
3427. Crusade for World Order. Howard Davis Hull, North-East Ohio Conference.
3428. Recognize Red China. Central Methodist Church, Official Board, Detroit, Mich.
3429. Disapprove Resolution on China & Cuba. First Methodist Church, Official Board & Men's Class, Monticello, Fla.
3430. Disapprove Recognition of Red China. Central Methodist Church, Official Board, Hampton, Va.
3431. Support Recognition of Red China. Mrs. Omar Joyce, First Methodist Church, Minneapolis, Kansas.
3432. Christian Humanitarianism. Westwood Methodist Church, Political Economics Affairs Committee, Los Angeles, Calif.
3433. Change Commitment Day. Fay Charline Mills, Nebraska Conference.
3434. Curtail Board of Christian Social Concerns. Methodist Church, Official Board, Lacrosse, Washington.
3435. Peace and World Order Emphasis. Calif.-Nevada Conference Board of Christian Social Concerns, Gordon Birky, Secretary.
3436. Disapprove Resolution on Cuba and China. First Methodist Church, Official Board, Tallassee, Ala.
3437. Care of Aged at Minimum Expense. First Methodist Church Committee on Christian Social Concerns, Daytona Beach, Fla.
3438. Legal Alternatives to Combat Service. W. B. McCormack, Des Laes Methodist Church, N. Dak.
3439. Practice and Teaching of Temperance. Walter G. Griffiths, Superintendent Lee Memorial Mission, Calcutta, India.
3440. Commitment Day. First Methodist Church, Committee on Christian Social Concerns, Bridgeport, Texas.
3441. Civil Rights Fund. Lee H. Reiff, Central Kansas Conference.
3442. Revise Purpose of Board of Christian Social Concerns. Geo. W. Anderson, Methodist Church of Butler, N. J.
3443. Reconsider Bishops' Statement on Race. Mr. & Mrs. Roy Gaar, et al., New Hope Methodist Church, Ruston District, La.
3444. Refrain from Uniting with Groups that Permit Use of Tobacco. Mary Little Grim, Westwood Methodist Church, Los Angeles, Calif.
3445. Automobile Safety. Committee on Christian Social Concerns, Methodist Church, Forest City, Ia.
3446. Civil Rights Fund. James W. Bristah, Detroit Conference.
3447. Eliminate Discrimination in Church Building. James W. Bristah, Detroit Conference.
3448. Eliminate Discrimination in Methodist Men. James W. Bristah, Detroit Conference.
3449. Eliminate Discrimination in W.S.C.S. James W. Bristah, Detroit Conference.
3450. Continue *Concern*. Glenn Hall, Missouri Western Conference.

3451. Greetings to Heads of States. B. W. Palmer, Florida Conference.
3452. Campaign Contribution at Polling Places. B. W. Palmer, Florida Conference.
3453. Armament/Foreign Aid—Formula. B. W. Palmer, Florida Conference.
3454. Provide Funds to Meet Needs Created by Racial Crisis. W.D.C.S. of General Board of Missions, Helen F. Eichinger, Recording Secretary.
3455. Identification with Christian Causes. B. W. Palmer, Florida Conference.
3456. Society to Eliminate Brutality to Animals. B. W. Palmer, Florida Conference.
3457. Changing Society through the Hearts of Men. Westwood Methodist Church, Committee on Christian Social Concerns. Los Angeles, Calif.
3458. Publicize Truth About Communism. K. N. Calkin, Old Hickory Methodist Church, Tenn.
3459. Message of Conference on Human Relations. Second Methodist Conference on Human Relations of Oregon Conference, Dow Kirkpatrick, Secretary.
3460. Abolish Social Creed. David Milton, First Methodist Church, N. Hollywood, Calif.
3461. Support U. N. Byron L. Roberts, California-Nevada Conference.
3461. Support U. N. Byron L. Roberts, California-Nevada Conference.
3462. Civil Rights Guarantees to Methodists as Church Members. Wesley Foundation of University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland.
3463. Support World Government. Mrs. Omar Joyce, First Methodist Church, Minneapolis, Kansas.
3464. Refrain from Uniting with Groups that Permit Use of Alcoholic Beverages. Mary Little Grim, Westwood Methodist Church, Los Angeles, Calif.
3465. Remove U. S. Flags from Churches. Mrs. Omar Joyce, First Methodist Church, Minneapolis, Kansas.
3466. Approve Fallout Shelter Program. Mrs. Omar Joyce, First Methodist Church, Minneapolis, Kansas.
3467. Opposition to War. Mrs. Omar Joyce, First Methodist Church, Minneapolis, Kansas.
3468. Include Nicene Creed in Hymnal. Champ T. Breeden, Jr., Missouri West Conference.
3469. Oppose Boy Scout Movement in Church. Mrs. Omar Joyce, Minneapolis Methodist Church, Kansas.
3470. Oppose Peacetime Draft. Mrs. Omar Joyce, First Methodist Church, Minneapolis, Kansas.
3471. Oppose Military Chaplaincy. Mrs. Omar Joyce, First Methodist Church, Minneapolis, Kansas.
3472. Proposals of General Board of Christian Social Concerns. Ray Firestone, Kansas Conference.
3473. Re-Examine Belief in Fatherhood of God. Mrs. Della M. Lazier, First Methodist Church, Matoon, Ill.
3474. Maintain Separation of Church and State. Rhoda Milton, First Methodist Church, N. Hollywood, Calif.
3475. Eliminate Social Creed. Rhoda Milton, First Methodist Church, N. Hollywood, Calif.
3476. Reconsider Endorsement of U. N. Bernice F. Ritz, Benedict Methodist Church, Kansas.

3477. Man's Struggle Toward Self-Government. W.D.C.S. of General Board of Missions, Helen F. Eichinger, Recording Secretary.

MEMORIALS 3478 THROUGH 3509 ARE REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON LAY ACTIVITIES AND TEMPORAL ECONOMY

3478. Lay Speakers. General Board of Lay Activities, Evanston, Ill., R. G. Mayfield, Secy.
3479. General Board of Laity—Editorial Change in *Discipline*. General Board of Lay Activities, Evanston, Ill., R. G. Mayfield, Secy.
3480. General Board of Laity—Editorial Change in *Discipline*. General Board of Lay Activities, Evanston, Ill., R. G. Mayfield, Secy.
3481. General Board of Laity—Editorial Change in *Discipline*. General Board of Lay Activities, Evanston, Ill., R. G. Mayfield, Secy.
3482. Board of Laity—Organization, General Board of Lay Activities, Evanston, Ill., R. G. Mayfield, Secy.
3483. Board of Laity—Division of Men's Work. General Board of Lay Activities, Evanston, Ill., R. G. Mayfield, Secy.
3484. Board of Laity—Division of Stewardship & Finance. General Board of Lay Activities, Evanston, Ill., R. G. Mayfield, Secy.
3485. General Board of Lay Activities, Change Name. General Board of Lay Activities, Evanston, Ill., R. G. Mayfield, Secy.
3486. General Board of Laity—Composition. General Board of Lay Activities, Evanston, Ill., R. G. Mayfield, Secy.
3487. Staff Members of General Boards. General Board of Lay Activities, Evanston, Ill., R. G. Mayfield, Secy.
3488. Delegates to General Conference. General Board of Lay Activities, Evanston, Ill., R. G. Mayfield, Secy.
3489. Layman's Day. General Board of Lay Activities, Evanston, Ill., R. G. Mayfield, Secy.
3490. General Board of Laity—Responsibilities. General Board of Lay Activities, Evanston, Ill., R. G. Mayfield, Secy.
3491. Death-Pact Witness. B. W. Palmer, Florida Conference.
3492. Pension Assistance to Certain Merging Conferences. John D. Herr, Philadelphia Conference.
3493. Commission on Promotion and Cultivation—Advance Specials. Commission on Promotion and Cultivation, Methodist Church, Elliott L. Fisher, Secy.
3494. Disapprove Withholding Funds from Segregated Methodist Institutions. Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Marietta Camp Ground Methodist Church.
3495. Annual Conference Commission on Promotion and Cultivation. General Commission on Promotion and Cultivation, Elliott L. Fisher, Secy.
3496. Commission on Promotion and Cultivation. General Commission on Promotion and Cultivation, Elliott L. Fisher, Secy.
3497. Board of Lay Activities Cooperate with Committee on Wills and Legacies. General Board of Lay Activities, Evanston, Ill., R. G. Mayfield, Secy.
3498. Methodist Institutions and Race. Official Board, Central Methodist Church, Detroit, Mich.
3499. Board of Laity—Joint Section of Leadership Development. General Board of Lay Activities, Evanston, Ill., R. G. Mayfield, Secy.
3500. Council of Secretaries—Composition. General Board of Lay Activities, Evanston, Ill., R. G. Mayfield, Secy.

3501. Abolish Trust Clause for Property. Official Board, Autaugaville Methodist Church, Alabama.
3502. Commission on Promotion and Cultivation—Interdenominational Co-operation Fund. Commission on Promotion and Cultivation, Elliott L. Fisher, Secy.
3503. Sustentation Fund Apportionment. John B. Olds, North-East Ohio Conference.
3504. Special Funds for Emergency Program on Race. Raymond M. Balthazor, Epworth Methodist Church, Milwaukee, Wis.
3505. Offering for Debt on U. N. Church Center. Raymond M. Balthazor, Epworth Methodist Church, Milwaukee, Wis.
3506. Funds for Commission on Inter-Jurisdictional Relations. James W. Bristah, Detroit Conference.
3507. Committee on Wills, and Legacies in Local Church. General Board of Lay Activities, Evanston, Ill., R. G. Mayfield, Secy.
3508. Amend Amendment X. General Board of Lay Activities, Evanston, Ill., R. G. Mayfield, Secy.
3509. Funds for Racially Distressed Churches. Millsaps College, Lee H. Reiff, Central Kansas Conference.

MEMORIALS 3510-3549 ARE REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON THE MINISTRY

3510. Race in Duties of Pastors. Members of the Wesley Foundation, Edinboro, Pa.
3511. Race in Duties of Pastors. Members of the Board of Directors, Wesley Foundation, Edinboro, Pa.
3512. Change Board of Ministerial Training and Qualifications to include one layman. M. Philip Susag, South Methodist Church, Manchester, Conn.
3513. Duties of Committee on the Episcopacy, Jack M. Tuell, Pacific Northwest Conference.
3514. Term of Office of District Superintendent. Edward H. Alsdorf, First Methodist Church, National City, Calif.
3515. Limitation of Use of Clerical Garb. William W. Bennett, Central Illinois Conference.
3516. Duties of a Bishop. Millsaps College, Lee H. Reiff, Central Kansas Conference.
3517. Duties of a Pastor. Millsaps College, Lee H. Reiff, Central Kansas Conference.
3518. Elect Archbishop. B. W. Palmer, Florida Conference.
3519. Episcopal Tenure and Elections. Wednesday Night Discussion Group, First Methodist Church, Des Moines, Iowa.
3520. Minimum Salary Fund Apportionment. John B. Olds, North-East Ohio Conference.
3521. Membership Records. Ralph I. Epps, North Carolina Conference.
3522. Appointments and Race. Methodists for Church Renewal, Robert E. Willoughby, Chmn.
3523. Support Race-Conscious Appointment of Pastors. Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Marietta Campground Methodist Church, Mrs. C. B. Sheffer, Chmn.
3524. Pastoral Relations Manual. Charles F. Tuttle, Sebastopol Methodist Church, Sebastopol, Calif.
3525. Ministerial Orders, W.S.C.S. Mount Joy Methodist Church, Sligo, Pa.
3526. Pastoral Relations Manual. Charles F. Tuttle, Sebastopol Methodist Church, Sebastopol, Calif.
3527. Voting Rights for Supply Pastors. Gerald Fincher, Little Rock Conference.

3528. Administering the Sacraments. Champ T. Breeden, Jr., Missouri West Conference.
3529. Appointment of Ministers—One Year Trial, Three Year Minimum Term. Wallace B. Smith, East Wisconsin Conference.
3530. Minimum Salary to be Received in full. John B. Olds, North-East Ohio Conference.
3531. Ministry of Divine Healing. Ruth H. Williams, First Methodist Church, Taft, Calif.
3532. Ministry of Divine Healing. Catherine Guagliardo, Lee's Landing Methodist Church, Ponchatoula, La.
3533. Ministry of Divine Healing, Esta Winegar, Willoughby Methodist Church, Ohio.
3534. Ministry of Divine Healing. Mrs. William Casper, First Methodist Church, Birmingham, Ala.
3535. Ministry of Divine Healing. Henrietta Brown, Immanuel Methodist Church, Lakeside Park, Ky.
3536. Ministry of Divine Healing. J. I. Morill, Pacific Northwest Conference.
3537. Ministry of Divine Healing. Marion S. Sheppard, First Methodist Church, Sarasota, Fla.
3538. Ministry of Spiritual Healing. Nell Crouse, Franklin Street, Methodist Church, Johnstown, Pa.
3539. Ministry of Divine Healing. Mrs. M. C. Hamlin, Methodist Church, Manistee, Mich.
3540. District Committee on Ministerial Qualifications. Board of Ministerial Training and Qualifications of the Central Pennsylvania Conference, Earl E. Kerstetter.
3541. District Committee on Ministerial Qualifications. Board of Ministerial Training and Qualifications of the Central Pennsylvania Conference, Earl E. Kerstetter.
3542. District Committee on Ministerial Qualifications. Board of Ministerial Training and Qualifications of the Central Pennsylvania Conference, Earl E. Kerstetter.
3543. District Committee on Ministerial Qualifications. Board of Ministerial Training and Qualifications of the Central Pennsylvania Conference, Earl E. Kerstetter.
3544. District Committee on Ministerial Qualifications. Board of Ministerial Training and Qualifications, Central Pennsylvania Conference, Earl E. Kerstetter.
3545. District Committee on Ministerial Qualifications. Board of Ministerial Training and Qualifications of the Central Pennsylvania Conference, Earl E. Kerstetter.
3546. District Committee on Ministerial Qualifications. Board of Ministerial Training and Qualifications of the Central Pennsylvania Conference, Earl E. Kerstetter.
3547. District Committee on Ministerial Qualifications, Board of Ministerial Training and Qualifications of the Central Pennsylvania Conference, Earl E. Kerstetter.
3548. Retain Position on Tobacco, William R. Keefe, New Hampshire Conference.
3549. Retain Position on Tobacco. Commission on Christian Social Concerns, First Methodist Church, Jamestown, N. Y., Mrs. Clarence B. Lindstrom, Chmn.

MEMORIALS 3550-3552, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON THE MINISTRY

3550. District Committee on Ministerial Qualifications, Board of Ministerial Training and Qualifications, Central Pennsylvania Conference, Earl E. Kerstetter.

3551. District Committee on Ministerial Qualifications. Board of Ministerial Training and Qualifications of the Central Pennsylvania Conference, Earl E. Kerstetter.
3552. Enlargement of Dept. of Ministerial Education. General Committee on Family Life, Edward D. Staples, Secy.

MEMORIALS NUMBERED 3553-3564 ARE REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON MISSIONS

3553. Missions—Interdenominational Consolidation. G. C. Speidel, Wesley Memorial Methodist Church, Charlottesville, Va.
3554. Reappraise Roles of Board of Missions and W.D.C.S. W.S.C.S. of Westville Methodist Church, New Haven, Conn.
3555. Statue of Christ for Relief of Human Misery. Bennett W. Palmer, Florida Conference.
3556. Adapt Pagan Shrines to Christian Uses. Bennett W. Palmer, Florida Conference.
3557. Christian Witness by Travelers, Bennett W. Palmer, Florida Conference.
3558. Church Extension, Official Board of the Central Methodist Church, Detroit, Mich. Clyde B. Marsh, Chairman.
3559. Ex-officio Members of Missionary Societies, Edward H. Alsdorf, First Methodist Church, National City, Calif.
3560. Approve Asia Mission Consultation. Inocencio M. Ayson, N. W. Philippines Conference.
3561. Angolan Refugees, Miguel Antonio De Andrade, Angola Conference.
3562. Composition, Election, Duties of Committee on Coordination. Inocencio M. Ayson, N. Y. Philippines Conference.
3563. Approve Reorganization of Board of Missions. Inocencio M. Ayson, N. Y. Philippines Conference.
3564. Support Proposed Changes in Organization of Board of Missions. Executive Committee of the North Indiana Conference. W.S.C.S., Mrs. Keith Hammer, Secretary.

MEMORIALS NUMBERED 3565-3574 ON WITHDRAWAL FROM NATIONAL COUNCIL OF CHURCHES ARE REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON INTERDENOMINATIONAL RELATIONS AND ACTIVITIES

3565. David Milton, North Hollywood First Methodist Church, Calif.
3566. Rhoda Milton, North Hollywood First Methodist Church, Calif.
3567. Miss Bob Tillman, Central Methodist Church, Meridian, Miss.
3568. Mrs. Joe Stevens, et al., First Methodist Church, Macon, Miss.
3569. Mrs. W. W. Case, Milwood Methodist Church, Kalamazoo, Mich.
3570. Arwin Frederick Ahrens and Katherine Kennedy Ahrens, Westwood Community Methodist Church, Los Angeles, Calif.
3571. Mr. and Mrs. Roy Gaar, et al., New Hope Methodist Church, Ruston District, La.
3572. Carl C. Cheatham, Centenary Methodist Church, Lynchburg, Va.
3573. Official Board of the First Methodist Church, Lake Jackson, Texas, Robert G. Johnson, Chairman.
3574. W. C. Vaughn, Fort Hill Methodist Church, Lynchburg, Va.

MEMORIALS NUMBERED 3575 TO 3578 ARE REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON INTERDENOMINATIONAL RELATIONS AND ACTIVITIES

3575. Methodist Union, Official Board, Methodist Church of Berea, Ohio, G. E. Bowman, Chairman.
3576. Fraternal Greetings to Christian Bodies, B. W. Palmer, Florida Conference.
3577. Disapprove action of National Council, Official Board of the Myrtle Beach, S. C., Methodist Church, James M. Gardner, Chairman.
3578. Withhold Funds from National Council of Churches, K. N. Calkin, Old Hickory Methodist Church, Tenn.

MEMORIALS NUMBERED 3579 TO 3586 ARE REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

3579. Investigate Greek Letter Societies, Bennett W. Palmer, Retired, Florida Conference.
3580. Change Name of Church School Workers' Conference, Edward H. Alsdorf, First Methodist Church, National City, Calif.
3581. Race Relations Offering, W.D.C.S. of the Board of Missions, Helen F. Eichinger, Secretary.
3582. One year Parochial Schools, Bennett W. Palmer, Florida Conference.
3583. Delete Controversial Matters from Church School Literature, Roy Gaar, et al., New Hope Methodist Church, Ruston District, La.
3584. Duties of Commission on Education, Millsaps College, Lee H. Reiff, Central Kansas Conference.
3585. Education and Race. Methodists for Church Renewal, Robert E. Willoughby, Chairman.
3586. Emphasis on Integration on Education Curriculum, MYF, Calvary Methodist Church, Albany, N. Y.

MEMORIALS NUMBERED 3587 THROUGH 3608 ARE REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON RITUAL AND ORDERS OF WORSHIP

3587. Age for Children to Receive Communion. Champ T. Breeden, Jr., Missouri West Conference.
3588. Adopt Liturgical Colors. Champ T. Breeden, Jr., Missouri West Annual Conference.
3589. Harmonize Hymnal in Ritual and Discipline. Champ T. Breeden, Jr., Missouri West Conference.
3590. Include Music In Communion Ritual. Champ T. Breeden, Jr., Missouri West Conference.
3591. Baptismal Ritual. Carl H. Holbert and Lillian D. Holbert, Aldersgate Methodist Church, Midland, Mich.
3592. Alternative Selection of Hymns. Bennett W. Palmer, Florida Conference.
3593. Oppose Infant Baptism. W. B. McCormack, Des Laes Methodist Church, Des Laes, N. Dak.
3594. Infant Dedication. Murray A. Carson, Virginia Conference.
3595. Document on the Doctrines. Murray A. Carson, Virginia Conference.
3596. Re-phrase Prayer of Consecration. L. L. Moore, South Iowa Conference.
3597. Rearrange Articles of Religion. Murray A. Carson, Virginia Conference.
3598. Apostles' Creed Alteration. Mrs. H. B. Wood, Quinter Methodist Church, Quinter, Kan.

3599. Document of Doctrines. Murray A. Carson, Virginia Conference.
3600. Ritual for Reception of Members. W. B. McCormack, Des Laes Methodist Church, Des Laes, N. Dak.
3601. Abolish Infant Baptism. Murray A. Carson, Virginia Conference.
3602. Worship Material in Hymnal. Champ T. Breeden, Jr., Missouri West Conference.
3603. Order of Worship, Millsaps College. Lee H. Reiff, Central Kansas Conference.
3604. Review of Liturgy. B. W. Palmer, Retired, Florida Conference.
3605. Keep Reference to "The Blood of Christ" in Hymnal. Roy Gaar, et al., New Hope Methodist Church, Ruston District, La.
3606. Order for Confirmation and Reception. Lawrence E. Monk, Oregon Conference.
3607. Use of Ministerial Stoles. Jonathan G. Law, First Methodist Church, Austin, Minn.
3608. Identical Communion Services in all Churches. Sadye Ford Black, First Methodist Church of Oregon City, Ore.
3609. Commission on Promotion and Cultivation, *The Methodist Story*, General Commission on Promotion and Cultivation. Elliott L. Fisher, Secretary.
3610. Discontinue *motive*. David Milton, First Methodist Church, North Hollywood, Calif.
3611. Continue *motive*. Raymond M. and Otlie L. Balthazor, Epworth Methodist Church, Milwaukee, Wis.
3612. Manufacture Grave Markers. B. W. Palmer, Florida Conference.
3613. Continue *Concern*. G. C. Speidel, Wesley Methodist Church, Charlottesville, Va.
3614. Continue *Concern*. Central Methodist Church, Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Kansas City, Mo.
3615. Discontinue *motive*. Rhoda Milton, First Methodist Church, North Hollywood, Calif.

MEMORIALS 3616-3629 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MEMBERSHIP AND EVANGELISM

3616. Probationary Membership in Local Church. Joseph W. Worrell, South Media Charge, Wallingford, Pa.
3617. Sea Water Societies. B. W. Palmer, Florida Conference.
3618. Inactive Membership Procedure. St. Paul's Methodist Church, Official Board, Cedar Rapids, Ia.
3619. Church Membership and Race. Lee H. Reiff, Central Kansas Conference.
3620. Duties of Commission on Membership and Evangelism. Lee H. Reiff, Central Kansas Conference.
3621. Inexpensive Evangelistic Literature. B. W. Palmer, Florida Conference.
3622. Evangelism and Church Extension. Central Methodist Church, Official Board, Detroit, Mich.
3623. Procedure About Inactive Members. Central Methodist Church, Official Board, Detroit, Mich.
3624. Nonresident Members. Ralph I. Epps, North Carolina Conference.
3625. Nonresident Members. Community Methodist Church, Official Board, Pagosa Springs, Colo.
3626. Soul-Saving Campaign. Anderson C. Trice, Louisiana Conference.

3627. Inactive Members. Carol Joseph Smith, East Wisconsin Conference.
3628. Revitalization of Church's Teachings. Elswell Crissey, First Methodist Church, Normal, Ill.
3629. Workbook to Accompany Membership Manual. John B. Olds, North-East Ohio Conference.

MEMORIALS 3630-3640 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LOCAL CHURCH

3630. Quarterly Conference Meeting Boundaries. Pagosa Springs Methodist Church, Official Board, Pagosa Springs, Colo.
3631. Limit Term for Local Church Trustees. Lela S. Kellar, et al., St. Mark's Methodist Church, New York City.
3632. Age Restriction on Percentage of Giving in Local Church. Mr. and Mrs. Roy Gaar, et al., New Hope Methodist Church, Ruston District, La.
3633. Church Lay Leaders. General Board of Lay Activities, Robert G. Mayfield, Secretary.
3634. Composition of Quarterly Conference. General Board of Lay Activities, Robert G. Mayfield, Secretary.
3635. Local Church Committee on Policy. General Board of Lay Activities, Robert G. Mayfield, Secretary.
3636. Composition of Official Board. General Board of Lay Activities, Robert G. Mayfield, Secretary.
3637. Composition of Commission on Stewardship and Finance. General Board of Lay Activities, Robert G. Mayfield, Secretary.
3638. Voting Rights for Honorary Stewards. Ralph I. Epps, North Carolina Conference.
3639. Duties of Local Church Lay Leader. Robert M. Colston, Northwood-Appold Methodist Church, Baltimore, Md.
3640. Youth Members of Local Church Boards and Commissions. Central Methodist Church, Quarterly Conference, Fort Worth, Texas.

MEMORIALS 3641-3654 ENTITLED RETAIN JURISDICTIONAL SYSTEM REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

3641. Houston Chapel Official Board, Bessemer, Ala.
3642. Bonita Methodist Church, W.S.C.S., Bonita, Miss.
3643. Fifth Street Methodist Church, W.S.C.S., Meridian, Miss.
3644. First Methodist Church, Official Board, Dunedin, Fla.
3645. Mrs. W. B. Tate, Jr., et al., First Methodist Church, Macon, Miss.
3646. James Wesley Baird, Claremont, Va.; S. A. Scarborough, Spring Grove, Va.; E. M. Atkins, Waverly, Va. Methodist Churches.
3647. Mrs. Ford Vance, Main Street Methodist Church, Hattiesburg, Miss.
3648. Autaugaville Methodist Church, Official Board, Alabama.
3649. Marietta Camp Ground Methodist Church, Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Marietta, Ga.
3650. Jefferson Street Methodist Church, W.S.C.S., Natchez, Miss.
3651. Lauderdale Methodist Church, W.S.C.S., Miss.
3652. Mrs. Sadie H. Dial, Briggs Chapel, Porterville, Miss.
3653. Mrs. Nellie Desler, First Methodist Church, Guntersville, Ala.
3654. Stockton Methodist Church, Official Board, Stockton, Ala.

MEMORIALS 3655-3679 ENTITLED ABOLISH CENTRAL JURISDICTION REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

3655. Methodist Church Official Board of Berea, Ohio.
3656. High Ridge Methodist Church, Board of Trustees, Stamford, Ohio.
3657. H. E. Hemmett, McKownville Methodist Church, Albany, N. Y.
3658. Human Relations and Economical Affairs Committee of Woodside Methodist Church, Silver Spring, Md.
3659. W.D.C.S. of General Board of Missions, Helen F. Eichinger, Rec. Secy.
3660. Lee H. Reiff, Central Kansas Conference.
3661. Richard L. Stoller, Oakville Methodist Church, Iowa.
3662. Centralville Methodist Church Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Lowell, Mass.
3663. Burton City Methodist Church, W.S.C.S., Iowa.
3664. Central Methodist Church, Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Kansas City, Mo.
3665. Frances J. Swan, Oakville Methodist Church, Oakville, Iowa.
3666. Ruth Webber, First Methodist Church, Springville, N. Y.
3667. W.S.C.S. of Shinglehouse Methodist Church, Shinglehouse, Pa.
3668. Commission on Christian Social Concerns, First Methodist Church, Springville, N. Y.
3669. Wesley Foundation, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, Okla.
3670. Kane Kester, First Methodist Church, Springville, N. Y.
3671. Wesleyan Service Guild, Broadway Methodist Church, Schenectady, N. Y.
3672. National Conference of the Methodist Student Movement, Nashville, Tenn.
3673. Kappa Phi Club, Alpha Gamma Chapter, Leipsic, Ohio.
3674. Franklin P. Frye, New England Conference.
3675. W.S.C.S., Hazen Methodist Church, Hazen, Pa.
3676. Forum Class of First Methodist Church, Springville, New York, Ruth Webber, Secretary.
3677. Commission on Membership and Evangelism, Centralville Methodist Church, Lowell, Mass.
3678. Fourth Quarterly Conference, First Methodist Church, Barnesville, Ohio.
3679. Florence Hunt Fox, Dryden Church, Dryden, N. Y.

MEMORIAL 3680 DEALS WITH COMMITTEE ON WORLD CHRISTIANITY REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

3680. B. W. Palmer, Florida Conference.

MEMORIALS 3681-3723, INCLUSIVE, DEAL WITH MISCELLANEOUS SUBJECTS. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

3681. Election of Lay Members of Annual Conference. Edward H. Alsdorf, First Methodist Church, National City, Calif.
3682. Commission on Town and Country Work. Commission on Town and Country Work, North Carolina Conference.
3683. General Conference Election and Assignment of Bishops. Board of Trustees, High Ridge Methodist Church, Stamford, Conn.
3684. Alternate General Conference Delegation Leadership. J. M. Walker, B. H. Bond, F. H. Coffman, Members of 1964 General Conference.

3685. Jurisdiction—Representation on Judicial Committee. Inocencio M. Ayson, Northwest Philippines Conference.
3686. Autonomy for National Areas. Inocencio M. Ayson, Northwest Philippines Conference.
3687. Retain Central Jurisdiction. O. L. Williams, North-East Ohio.
3688. Change Name "Memorial." Wesleyan Service Guild, Taylor Memorial Methodist Church, Philadelphia, Pa.
3689. Autonomy Granted to Central Conferences, Missions, etc. G. C. Speidel, Wesley Memorial Methodist Church, Charlottesville, Va.
3690. Amend Amendment X of Constitution So That Annual Conference Include Chairmen of Instituted Boards and Agencies as Lay Members. M. Philip Susag, South Methodist Church, Manchester, Conn.
3691. Election of Bishops. James W. Bristah, Detroit Conference.
3692. Assignment of Bishops. James W. Bristah, Detroit Conference.
3693. Commission on Promotion and Cultivation—Changes in Legislation. Commission on Promotion and Cultivation, Elliott L. Fisher.
3694. Commission on Promotion and Cultivation—Changes in Legislation. Commission on Promotion and Cultivation, Elliott L. Fisher.
3695. Conference Membership in Integrating Conferences. Wesley Foundation, University of Maryland, College Park, Md.
3696. Annual Conference Committee of Wills, Bequests and Gifts. General Board of Lay Activities, R. G. Mayfield.
3697. Biennial General Conference. MYF, La Crescenta Valley Methodist Church, Montrose, Calif.
3698. Quarterly Conference Committee on Nomination. Robert C. Armstrong, Western Pennsylvania Conference.
3699. Time and Place of Quarterly Conference. O. L. Williams, North-East Ohio Conference.
3700. Population of Episcopal Areas. John L. Williams, North-East Ohio Conference.
3701. Establishing Episcopal Areas. Raoul C. Calkins, Ohio Conference.
3702. Secret Ballot by Annual Conference About Bishop's Return. B. W. Palmer, Florida Conference.
3703. Integration of Central Jurisdiction. James W. Bristah, Detroit Conference.
3704. Give Lay Leader of Conference the right to Speak and Vote. W. C. Baughan for Hill Methodist Church, Lynchburg, Va.
3705. Quadrennial Emphasis on Ecumenicity. Model General Conference of the Michigan Methodist Student Movement.
3706. Churchwide Conference on Urban Ministry. Margaret M. Donaldson, Geneva Avenue Methodist Church, San Francisco, Calif.
3707. Transfer of Annual Conference. Wesley Foundation, University of Maryland, College Park, Md.
3708. Commission to Aid in Merging Annual Conferences. James W. Bristah, Detroit Conference.
3709. Reorganization of Board of Missions. Council of Secretaries, Horace W. Williams.

MEMORIALS 3710-3718, INCLUSIVE, DEAL WITH DISTRICT COMMITTEE ON MINISTERIAL QUALIFICATIONS AND WERE REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

Submitted by Board of Ministerial Training and Qualifications, Central Pennsylvania Conference, Earl E. Kerstetter, Secy.

3719. Integration of Jurisdiction. Ohio Conference Board of Christian Social Concerns.
3720. Eliminate Discrimination. Ohio Conference Board of Christian Social Concerns.
3721. Respect Belief in Segregation. Mrs. Lewis Featherston, et al., First Methodist Church, Macon, Miss.
3722. Support Public School Segregation. Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Marietta Camp Ground Methodist Church, Marietta, Ga.
3723. Segregation and Housing. Board of Trustees, High Ridge Methodist Church, Stamford, Conn.

MEMORIALS 3724-3739, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

3724. Review of Church Publications. A. H. Pierson, Commission on Education, Trinity Methodist Church, St. Petersburg, Florida.
3725. Protest Universalism in Sunday School Literature. Ruth J. Johnson, Cresaptown Methodist Church, Cresaptown, Md.
3726. Protest Evolution in Sunday School Literature. Martin C. Johnson, Cresaptown Methodist Church, Cresaptown, Md.
3727. Protest Denial of Miracles in Sunday School Literature. Mrs. Elizabeth Higgs, Cresaptown Methodist Church, Cresaptown, Md.
3728. Emphasis on Integration in Educational Curriculum. Eddie Mikenas, Methodist Youth Fellowship. First Methodist Church, Amsterdam, N. Y.
3729. Protest Denial of Second Coming in Sunday School Literature. Martin C. Johnson, Cresaptown Methodist Church, Cresaptown, Md.
3730. Emphasis on Integration in Educational Curriculum. Malcolm M. Hopper, Methodist Youth Fellowship, Calvary Methodist Church, Latham, N. Y.
3731. Tuition Scholarship for First Year Theological Students. Eva Richards, First Methodist Church, Fresno, Calif.
3732. Support for Negro Colleges. George Opperman, Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Manning Methodist Church, Manning, Iowa.
3733. Definition of Work of Director of Christian Education. Mrs. Elinore B. Friese, Honokaa Methodist Church, Honokaa, Hawaii.
3734. Emphasis on Integration in Educational Curriculum. Rod Guldenstern, Methodist Youth Fellowship, Catskill Methodist Church, Catskill, N. Y.
3735. Investigation of Curriculum. Leo E. Brown, Methodist Church, Naperville, Ill.
3736. Race Relations Offering Goal. James S. Thomas, The Presidents' Council.
3737. Negro College Night at 1968 General Conference. James S. Thomas, The Presidents' Council.
3738. Continue Race Relations Sunday. Elizabeth Talbot, Assistant Secretary, General Board of Education.
3739. Observe the 100th Anniversary of Methodism's Efforts in the Education of Negroes. Elizabeth Talbot, Assistant Secretary, General Board of Education.

MEMORIALS 3740-3767, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

3740. Employment Policy of Agencies. Jean Foor, Ohio Conference Board of Christian Social Concerns.

3741. Prohibit Discrimination. Robert L. Browning of Ohio Conference.
3742. Discontinue *Concern*. David B. Joslin of Troy, New York Conference.
3743. Keep Church Out of Politics. Roy M. Brewer, Wilshire Methodist Church, Los Angeles, Calif.
3744. Creation of Office to Combat Pornographic Literature. Mrs. Stephen L. Stover, Commission of Christian Social Concerns, Kenwood Methodist Church, Milwaukee, Wis.
3745. Eliminate Discrimination. John M. Mecartney of West Wisconsin Conference.
3746. Tribute to Dr. Harry Ward. R. L. Jones of Barnes Methodist Church, Augusta, Ga.
3747. Request Roman Catholic Church to Eliminate Premarital Contracts. R. L. Scott, Sr., New Jersey Conference.
3748. Retain Position on Abstinence. Charles Schaller, et al., First Methodist Church, Springfield, Colo.
3749. Employment by Agencies. Ralph L. Roy, New York Area Methodists for Church Renewal. Metropolitan Community Methodist Church, New York, N. Y.
3750. Retain Position on Alcohol and Tobacco. Rev. Richard Unkenholz, Fourth Quarterly Conference, Epworth Methodist Church, Valley City, N. Dak.
3751. Scholarships to Users of Alcoholic Beverages. John B. Clark, Lynville Methodist Church, Preston, Miss.
3752. Continue Commission on Interjurisdictional Relations. Glenn W. Woike, et al., Methodist Student Foundation at DePauw University, Greencastle, Ind.
3753. Strengthening of Position on Tobacco and Abstinence. Walter G. Griffiths, Calcutta, Ind.
3754. Retain Position on Abstinence. Dorothy M. Humphrey, W.S.-C.S., Richlands Methodist Church. Richlands, N. C.
3755. Stronger Position on Tobacco. Mrs. R. B. Dysart, Official Board, Bloomsburg Methodist Church, Bloomsburg, Pa.
3756. Discrimination Against Protestant Clergymen in TV and Motion Pictures. Howard L. Harris, Sr., Memorial Methodist Church, Petersburg, Va.
3757. Financial Support of Segregated Institutions. John B. Clark, Lynville Methodist Church, Preston, Miss.
3758. Premarital Contracts—a condition to Marriage of a Roman Catholic. John B. Clark, Lynville Methodist Church, Preston, Miss.
3759. Retain Social Creed and Other Standards of Church. Mrs. E. F. Carley, First Methodist Church, Macon, Miss.
3760. Oppose Removal of "Under God" from Pledge of Allegiance. Carl Strutz, Jr., Adult Christian Fellowship Class, Mars Methodist Church, Mars, Pa.
3761. Employment Policies of Methodist Corporations. Ralph L. Roy, New York Area Methodists for Church Renewal Metropolitan Community Methodist Church, New York, N. Y.
3762. Withholding Funds from Segregated Church Agencies. Anna M. Hunt, Official Board, Trinity Methodist Church, Lynn, Mass.
3763. Withdraw Financial Support of Segregated Institutions. Dale M. Bass, Methodist Church, Oakville, Iowa.
3764. Retain Position on Abstinence. Joe Eggleston, Official Board, First Methodist Church, Franklin, Pa.
3765. Eliminate Segregation in Institutions of Church. Wilbur V. Pomeroy, Christian Social Concerns Commission, Methodist Church, Dalton, Mass.

3766. Review Alcohol. Mrs. Nelle Cline, First Methodist Church, Eugene, Ore.
3767. Eliminate Segregation in General Agencies. Mrs. Stuart Nash, W.S.C.S., Toledo District, Ohio Conference.

MEMORIALS 3768-3862, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

3768. Procedure for Electing General and Jurisdictional Delegates. Paul R. Flowers, Whitewater and Middleboro Methodist Church, Richmond, Ind.
3769. Nomination of Conference Lay Leader. James J. Babbitt of North Indiana Conference.
3770. Nomination and Election of District Lay Leader and Associates. James J. Babbitt of North Indiana Conference.
3771. Limited Tenure on Conference Board of Lay Activities. Ed Curry, Southwood Methodist Church, Dallas, Texas.
3772. Support Present Election of Bishops. R. L. Jones, Barnes Methodist Church, Augusta, Ga.
3773. Interjurisdictional Transfer of Bishops. R. L. Jones, Barnes Methodist Church, Augusta, Ga.
3774. Transfer of Annual Conference from One Jurisdiction to Another. Jean Foor, Ohio Conference Board of Christian Social Concerns.
3775. Transfer of Church Between Annual Conferences. Jean Foor, Ohio Conference Board of Christian Social Concerns.
3776. Abolish Annual Conference. A. C. McClenney, Methodist Men, St. Paul Methodist Church, Birmingham, Ala.
3777. Nominations for Election of Lay Delegates by Annual Conferences. Rev. Esteben Fetis, General Board, Chile Conference.
3778. Annual Conference Activities Race. Jean Foor, Ohio Conference Board of Christian Social Concerns.
3779. Powers and Duties of Jurisdictional Conference. Jean Foor, Ohio Conference Board of Christian Social Concerns.
3780. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Carleton T. Saake, McKownville Methodist Church, Albany, N. Y.
3781. Retain Central Jurisdiction. Mrs. L. Montgomery, Official Boards, Pelican and Mitchell Methodist Churches, Pelican, La.
3782. Eliminate Central Jurisdiction. Greg Wilson, MYF, Vermont Hills Methodist Church, Portland, Ore.
3783. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Mary C. Brockelbank, Commission on Christian Social Concerns of First Methodist Church, Eugene, Ore.
3784. Retain Central Jurisdiction. Mrs. John Walter McArthur, Main Street Methodist Church, Hattiesburg, Miss.
3785. Eliminate Central Jurisdiction. Miriam Parsell, Commission on Deaconess Work.
3786. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Mrs. Perry Vyvyan, W.S.C.S. Yorkville Methodist Church, Union Grove, Wis.
3787. Eliminate Central Conference by Absorption and End Discrimination. Margaret A. Martin, Official Board of Guilford Methodist Church, Guilford, Maine.
3788. Integration of Central Jurisdiction. Philip P. Steele, Students of the Methodist Theological School in Ohio.
3789. Inclusive Church. John W. Slaughter, Appleton Methodist Church, Johnstown, Ohio.
3790. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Robert M. Bean, Commission on Christian Social Concerns of McKownville Methodist Church, Albany, New York.

3791. Abolish Jurisdictional System. Edward H. Alsdorf, First Methodist Church, National City, Calif.
3792. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Mr. and Mrs. Austin Ritterspach, First Methodist Church, Oak Park, Ill.
3793. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Mrs. J. Pretti, Commission on Christian Social Concerns, First Methodist Church, Owosso, Mich.
3794. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Elizabeth Detrich, Alpha Kappa Chapter of Kappi Phi, Penn State University, State College, Pa.
3795. Retain Jurisdiction System. Mrs. W. S. Mullins, Jr., et al., Brooksville Methodist Church, Brooksville, Miss.
3796. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Mrs. D. A. Mansfield, Official Board, First Methodist Church, Saratoga Springs, N. Y.
3797. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Alice Dayton, Fourth Quarterly Conference, The Methodist Church, Walworth, N. Y.
3798. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Mrs. Jack W. Graham, Bryan Memorial Methodist Church, Coconut Grove, Miami, Fla.
3799. Retain Central Jurisdiction. Mrs. Sue B. Lane, Main Street Methodist Church, Hattiesburg, Miss.
3800. Abolish Central Jurisdiction Deaconess Association. Miriam Parsell, Commission on Deaconess Work, New York, N. Y.
3801. Elimination of Central Jurisdiction. Alice L. Carpenter, Official Board, Grace Methodist Church, St. Johnsbury, Vt.
3802. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Mrs. John O'Brien, Oakville Methodist Church, Oakville, Iowa.
3803. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. David F. Morgan, Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Lake Harriet Methodist Church, Minneapolis, Minn.
3804. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Mrs. D. G. Nowers, W.S.C.S., Millersville Methodist Church, Millersville, Pa.
3805. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Mrs. Stephen L. Stover, Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Kenwood Methodist Church, Milwaukee, Wis.
3806. Abolish Segregation. Miss Ida O. Ilg, Centralville Methodist Church, Lowell, Mass.
3807. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Mrs. Dale M. Bass, Oakville Methodist Church, Oakville, Iowa.
3808. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Dale M. Bass, The Methodist Church, Oakville, Iowa.
3809. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Mrs. John Gillfillan, W.S.C.S. Casstown Methodist Church, Casstown, Ohio.
3810. Central Jurisdiction. Paul F. Longstreth, Wesley Foundation, Tulsa University, Okla.
3811. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Alton R. Pope, Blue Valley Memorial Methodist Church, Manhattan, Kansas.
3812. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. H. W. McCarroll, Fourth Quarterly Conference, First Methodist Church of Deadwood, S. Dak.
3813. Fund to Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Ralph L. Roy, New York Area, Methodists for Church Renewal.
3814. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. William Garson, Wesley Fellowship of Bloomsburg Methodist Church, Bloomsburg, Pa.
3815. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Richard M. Paulus, Methodist Students, Faculty and Friends of Union Theological Seminary.
3816. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Hilda Lohrey, First Methodist Church, Springville, New York.
3817. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. David W. Hunt, Methodist Student Movement, Oklahoma City University.

3818. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Lorraine Shillcutt, Executive Board, Wichita District Wesleyan Service Guild, South Central Jurisdiction.
3819. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Lillian Umphries, W.S.C.S. Mt. Zion Methodist Church, St. Louis, Mo.
3820. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Bob Dotson, Oklahoma Conference.
3821. Retain Central Jurisdiction. Mrs. E. F. Carley, First Methodist Church, Macon, Miss.
3822. Retain Central Jurisdiction. John B. Clark, Lynville Methodist Church, Preston, Miss.
3823. Eliminate Discrimination. David L. Parker, Roseland Methodist Church, Roseland, N. J.
3824. General Conference Every Two Years. Minnie Matoska, et al., Trinity Methodist Church, DeLand, Fla.
3825. Lay Member of Annual Conference. Charles Reynolds of New England Southern Conference.
3826. Alter Amendment IX. Edward Gardner, et al., the Methodist Student Foundation at DePauw University.
3827. Eligibility of Ministers in Annual Conference Transferring from one Jursidiction to Another. Ralph L. Roy, New York Area Methodists for Church Renewal.
3828. One Copy Only of Memorials. Raymond L. Dirks, Carter Memorial Methodist Church, Needham Heights, Miss.
3829. Change Quarterly Conference. Frank Greathouse, Inez Methodist Church, Clovis, N. M.
3830. Conditions of Transferring Churches from Central West Conference. W. D. Lester of Central West Conference Delegation.
3831. Conditions of Transferring Churches from Central West Conference. W. D. Lester of Central West Conference Delegation.
3832. 60 Day Notice on Resolutions. Duane O. Crummett, Commission on Christian Social Concerns of Westwood Community Methodist Church, Los Angeles, Calif.
3833. Support Movement for Peace. Howell O. Wilkins, Peninsula Annual Conference.
3834. Strengthen Position on Abstinence. Harland Fogle, Sr., et al., Trinity Methodist Church, DeLand, Florida.
3835. Modify Statement on Abstinence. Howell O. Wilkins, Peninsula Annual Conference.
3836. General Conference meet in Fall. Ted Hightower, St. Paul Methodist Church, Louisville, Ky.
3837. Biennial General Conference. Ted Hightower, St. Paul Methodist Church, Louisville, Ky.
3838. Jurisdictional Conference Meet prior to General Conference. Ted Hightower, St. Paul Methodist Church, Louisville, Ky.
3839. Union with Methodist Church of Great Britain. John B. Clark, Lynville Methodist Church, Preston, Miss.
3840. Clarification of Two Year Trial Period for Admission to Annual Conference. M. Richard Drake, Rocky River Methodist Church, Cleveland, Ohio.
3841. Support Birth Control. Mrs. William A. Sturm, Hazardville Methodist Church, Hazardville, Conn.
3842. Minimum Government Control. Duane O. Crummett, Commission on Christian Social Concerns of Westwood Community Methodist Church, Los Angeles, Calif.
3843. Lay Representative to the Annual Conference. Virgilio Canlas, Officials and Ministers of Knox Memorial Church, Manila, Philippines.

3844. Facilitate Realignment of Annual Conferences at Central Jurisdiction. Richard Wood, Memorials Committee, Northwest Regional Leadership Training Conference, Methodist Student Movement, Eugene, Ore.
3845. Prohibition of Sanction of Segregation or Discrimination by Annual Conferences. Ralph L. Roy, New York Area, Methodists for Church Renewal, Metropolitan Community Methodist Church, New York, N. Y.
3846. Worship, Membership and Race. Mrs. Joseph Pretti, Commission on Christian Social Concerns, First Methodist Church, Owosso, Mich.
3847. Withhold Funds from Segregated Agencies. Mrs. Joseph Pretti, Commission on Christian Social Concerns, First Methodist Church, Owosso, Mich.
3848. Board of Social Concerns Not to Speak for Church. James J. Babbitt, Forest Park Methodist Church, Fort Wayne, Ind.
3849. Program of Integration. Sharon Flynn, Rushford Methodist Church, Rushford, N. Y.
3850. Enabling Act for Central West Conference. J. O. Irwin of Central West Conference.
3851. Population in Episcopal Areas. C. N. Rogers, Methodist Rural Fellowship, North-East Ohio Conference.
3852. Retain Central West Conference. Barbara V. Vaughan, Central West Conference.
3853. Powers and Duties of Jurisdictional Conference Limited by Non-discriminatory Policy. Ralph L. Roy, New York Area, Methodists for Church Renewal, Metropolitan Community Methodist Church, New York, N. Y.
3854. Continue Commission on Inter-Jurisdictional Relations. Richard Wood, Memorials Committee, Northwest Regional Leadership Training Conference Methodist Student Movement, Eugene, Oregon.
3855. Mandatory Election of Secretary of Christian Vocations for Each Quarterly Conference. Miriam Parsell, Commission on Deaconess Work, New York.
3856. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Ella A. Smith, Official Board of the McKownville Methodist Church, Albany, New York.
3857. Keep Church Out of Politics. Mrs. Dan G. Ellis, Westcliff Methodist Church, Fort Worth, Texas.
3858. Methodist Federation for Social Action. Duane O. Crummett, Commission on Christian Social Concerns of Westwood Community Methodist Church, Los Angeles, Calif.
3859. Study of Appointive System. Ray C. Hollis, Jr., South Methodist Church, Manchester, Conn.
3860. Annual Conference Contribution to M.R.P.F. Percy Mays, Conference Board of Pensions, Nebraska Conference.
3861. Election of Bishops by General Conference. Margaret A. Martin, Official Board of Guilford Methodist Church, Guilford, Maine.
3862. Annual Conference Lay Representation. Frank Greathouse, Inez Methodist Church, Clovis, N. M.

MEMORIALS 3863-3878, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MEMBERSHIP AND EVANGELISM

3863. Inactive Membership Procedure. F. M. Griswold, First Methodist Church, Neenah, Wisc.
3864. Review Membership Standards. Margaret Donaldson, Geneva Avenue Methodist Church, San Francisco, Calif.
3865. Removal of Name from Membership Roll. Ross Kleinstuber, Official Board of Milford Methodist Church, Milford, Pa.

3866. Worship—Membership and Race. Jean Foor, Ohio Conference Board of Christian Social Concerns.
3867. Inactive Membership Roll. Opal M. Grazier, Commission on Membership and Evangelism, Methodist Church, Ainsworth, Nebr.
3868. Abolish Segregation. Miss Ida O. Ilg, Centralville Methodist Church, Lowell, Mass.
3869. Removal of Negligent Members. Louise E. Skinner, Membership and Evangelism Commission, Linden Heights Methodist Church, Baltimore, Md.
3870. Emphasize Evangelism. Rev. Harry B. Alderman, Marietta Camp Ground Methodist Church, Marietta, Georgia.
3871. Representation of Methodist Student Movement on Board of Evangelism. Richard Wood, Memorials Committee, Northwest Regional Leadership Training Conference, Methodist Student Movement, Eugene, Oregon.
3872. Rights of Church Members—Race, Color, Etc. Louise Kesler, et al., Methodist Students, Faculty and Friends of Union Theological Seminary.
3873. Membership Sunday for Renewal of Church Vows. J. J. Babbitt, Official Board, First Methodist Church, Warren, Indiana.
3874. Commission on Membership and Evangelism to Perform Without Regard to Race or Color. Ralph L. Roy, New York Area, Methodists for Church Renewal.
3875. Program for Spiritual Awakening. Rev. Walter G. Griffiths of India Conference.
3876. Procedure for Handling Delinquent Church Membership. Mary Esther Myers, Official Board of First Methodist Church, Canton, Ohio.
3877. Right of Pastor to Remove Church Memberships. Harold H. Himes, Methodist Church, Falls Creek, Pa.
3878. Qualifications for Membership. Howell O. Wilkins of Peninsula Conference.

MEMORIALS 3879-3902 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LOCAL CHURCH

3879. Frequence of Quarterly Conference. North Indiana Conference, J. J. Babbitt, secretary.
3880. Membership of Wesleyan Service Guild. North Indiana Conference WSG, June Deal, secretary.
3881. Authority of Local Board of Trustees. St. Paul Methodist Church, Methodist Men, Birmingham, Ala.
3882. Withhold Local Church Funds from Segregated Institutions. L. L. Moore, South Iowa Conference.
3883. Membership and Services of Church Regardless of Race. Walteria Methodist Church, W.S.C.S., Torrance, Calif.
3884. Structure of W.S.C.S., W.S.G. Riverside Methodist Church, W.S.C.S., Fort Dodge, Iowa.
3885. Commission on Worship and Official Board. St. John's Methodist Church, Commission on Worship, Royal Oak, Mich.
3886. Composition of Commission on Membership and Evangelism. Mrs. Wm. T. Jones, Jr., Syracuse Methodist Church, Syracuse, Ind.
3887. Name Chairman of Christian Social Concerns as Ex-Officio Steward. Grace Methodist Church, Official Board, Hartford City, Ind.
3888. Nondiscrimination in Reception of Members. Methodist Church Commission on Christian Social Concerns of Dalton, Mass.

3889. Procedure on Inactive Membership. Eva Richards, First Methodist Church, Fresno, Calif.
3890. Worship and Race. Methodist Church Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Dalton, Mass.
3891. Voluntary Contributions of Churches to Government. Alton R. Pope, Kansas Conference.
3892. Membership and Race. Trinity Methodist Church, Official Board, Church Lynn, Mass.
3893. Worship and Race. Oswald Sandbach and S. Verne Williams, North Iowa Conference.
3894. Relationship of Members of Commission on Worship to Official Board. First Methodist Church, Official Board, Anderson, Ind.
3895. Disciplinary Action for Churches Refusing Right to Worship. Warren P. Sheen, New York East Conference.
3896. Director of Education. Harland Fogle, Sr., et al., Trinity Methodist Church, DeLand, Florida.
3897. Official Board Resolution. First Methodist Church, History and Records Committee, Delmar, N. Y.
3898. Worship Commission Chairman Ex-Officio Steward. Grace Methodist Church, Official Board, James J. Babitt.
3899. Duties of District Superintendent. North Indiana Conference Commission on Town and Country Work.
3900. Terminology about Abandoned Churches. North Indiana Conference, Commission on Town and Country Work.
3901. Clarify Status of Stewards and Communion Stewards. Albert Dale Hagler, Florida Conference.
3902. Ex-Officio Stewards. Albert Dale Hagler, Florida Conference.

MEMORIALS 3903-3926 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON RITUAL AND ORDERS OF WORSHIP

3903. Revision of Holy Communion. William E. Tiffany, Methodist Church of Shawnee, Okla.
3904. Ritual for Receiving Members. Lester Rumble, North Georgia Conference.
3905. Wording of Communion Ritual. Walter G. Griffiths, India.
3906. Change Communion Ritual. Howell O. Wilkins, Peninsula Conference.
3907. United Prayer for Christmas Day. Lancaster Co. (Pa.) Methodist Ministers' Association, Robert L. Jones, secretary.
3908. Maintain Open Communion. John B. Clark, Lynville Methodist Church, Preston, Miss.
3909. Statement on Communion. Norma M. Dobson, First Methodist, St. Cloud, Minn.
3910. Quadrennial Study of Liturgy's Theology and History. Jonathan G. Law, First Methodist Church, Austin, Minn.
3911. Sponsor at Baptism. Richard Lee Scott, Sr., New Jersey Conference.
3912. Providing Baptistry. Mrs. Robert B. Burke, LaMonte Methodist Church, LaMonte, Mo.
3913. Easter Second Sunday of April. Milton D. Bayly, Florida Conference.
3914. Worship and Race. Trinity Methodist Church, Official Board, Lynn, Mass.
3915. Choir Week. J. C. Baker, First Methodist Church, Harlingen, Texas.
3916. Order of Confirmation and Reception. Mrs. Louise Schank, St. Paul Methodist Church, Omaha, Nebr.
3917. Order of Worship for Abandonment of Church. Guy A. Leinthall, Western Penn. Conference.

3918. Change in Communion Ritual. Howard L. Harris, Sr., Memorial Methodist Church, Petersburg, Va.
3919. Required Commission on Worship and Fine Arts. MSM, Northwest Regional Leadership Training Conference, Richard Wood, chairman.
3920. Footnotes in Hymnal. Howard L. Harris, Sr., Memorial Methodist Church, Petersburg, Va.
3921. Change in Hymnal. Howard L. Harris, Sr., Memorial Methodist Church, Petersburg, Va.
3922. Change in Hymnal. Howard L. Harris, Sr., Memorial Methodist Church, Petersburg, Va.
3923. Change in Hymnal. Howard L. Harris, Sr., Memorial Methodist Church, Petersburg, Va.
3924. Change in Hymnal. Howard L. Harris, Sr., Memorial Methodist Church, Petersburg, Va.
3925. Delay Action on Proposed Hymnal. Bloomsburg Methodist Church, Official Board, Bloomsburg, Pa.
3926. Defer Decision on Production of Hymnal. Chas. Reynolds, New England Southern Conference.
3927. Certain Supply Pastors Administering Sacraments. North Indiana Conference, James Babbitt, secretary.
3928. Record of Sacramental Acts. North Indiana Conference, James J. Babbitt, secretary.
3929. Appointment Without Regard to Race. Methodist for Church Renewal, New York Area, Ralph L. Roy, secretary.
3930. Ministry of Spiritual Healing. Mrs. R. H. Hasek, Broad Street Methodist Church, Kingsport, Tenn.
3931. Ministry of Spiritual Healing. R. C. Elzey, Holston.
3932. Ministry of Spiritual Healing. Phyllis E. Caulfield, Pinecastle Methodist Church, Orlando, Fla.
3933. Ministry of Spiritual Healing. Henry M. Schmidt, Florida Conference.
3934. Ministry of Spiritual Healing. Mrs. Harland R. Fogle, Sr., Trinity Methodist Church, Deland, Fla.
3935. Ministry of Spiritual Healing. Miriam F. Cunningham, Simpson Methodist Church, Elkhart, Ind.
3936. Ministry of Spiritual Healing. Glen E. Hudson, Trinity Methodist Church, Pomona, Calif.
3937. Ministry of Spiritual Healing. Mrs. Frances N. Streit, Bellmore Methodist Church, Bellmore, L. I., N. Y.
3938. Ministry of Spiritual Healing. Mrs. W. J. McNally, Jr., Front Royal Methodist Church, Front Royal, Va.
3939. Ministry of Spiritual Healing. Dessie Ash Arnett, et al., Reeves Methodist Church, Orlando, Fla.
3940. Ministry of Spiritual Healing. Pearl L. Munroe, Methodist Church, Pine Castle, Pa.

MEMORIALS 3941-3979 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY

3941. Assignment of Ministers and Race. R. L. Jones, Barnes Methodist Church, Augusta, Georgia.
3942. Academic Requirements for Ministry. Mrs. Roscoe Smith, Beckville Methodist Church, Texas.
3943. Stand on Interracial Marriages. J. W. Clement, Mt. Vernon Methodist Church, Danville, Va.
3944. Located Preachers. Edwin Schell, Baltimore Conference.
3945. Empahsis on Spiritual Needs. F. A. Elbert Methodist Church, Fairmont, Minn.
3946. Bishop's Appointments Governed by Limited Tenure for Pastors. Lewis H. Beckford, Maine Conference.

3947. Conference Membership of Approved Supply Pastors. Edward A. Hughes, Sr., Delaware Conference.
3948. Voting Rights of Approved Supply Pastors. A. Q. Mixon, J. D. Renfroe, Winston Jay, Alabama-West Florida Conference.
3949. Voting Rights for Approved Supplies. Columbus Methodist Church, Official Board, Columbus, Ill.
3950. Ministerial Use of Tobacco. Baltimore Conference Board of Ministerial Training and Qualifications, Lewis F. Ransom, chairman.
3951. Ministerial Use of Tobacco and Alcohol. Fannie F. Wilkinson, Farmersville Methodist Church, Visalia, Calif.
3952. Age for Retirement. Frank Greathouse, Inez Methodist Church, Clovis, N. M.
3953. Limited Tenure of Bishops. Lewis H. Beckford, Maine Conference.
3954. Special Appointees Assume Supernumerary Relationship. Lewis H. Beckford, Maine Conference.
3955. Funds to Assist Pastors in Duress Over Race Issues. Albert D. Hagler, Florida Conference.
3956. Election of Bishops by General Conference. Grace Methodist Church, St. Johnsbury, Vt.
3957. Approved Supply Ex-Officio Relationship to Annual Conference. Harland L. Dague, Methodist Church, Plymouth, Ohio.
3958. Retain Ministerial Tobacco Restriction. John B. Clark, Lynville Methodist Church, Preston, Miss.
3959. Association of Methodist Ministers and Laymen. Loyd F. Worley, New York East Conference.
3960. Bishops Consecrated at General Conference. Ted Hightower, Louisville Conference.
3961. Official Garb for Pastors. Richard Lee Scott, Sr., New Jersey Conference.
3962. Conference on Urban Ministry. Gordon S. Fairchild, California-Nevada Conference.
3963. Give Notice Prior to Pastoral Change. Mr. and Mrs. A. J. Nelson, Tibbetts Methodist Church, Seattle, Wash.
3964. Report of Pastors on Certain Sermons Preached. J. H. Graham, Upper Mississippi Conference.
3965. Report of Bishops on Intergroup Meetings. J. H. Graham, Upper Mississippi Conference.
3966. Duties of Bishops. J. H. Graham, Upper Mississippi Conference.
3967. Duties of District Superintendents. J. H. Graham, Upper Mississippi Conference.
3968. Council of Bishops Speaks for Church. Ted Hightower, Louisville Conference.
3969. Participation of Methodist Ministers in Demonstrations. John B. Clark, Lynville Methodist Church, Preston, Miss.
3970. Racial Appointment of Ministers. John B. Clark, Lynville Methodist Church, Preston, Miss.
3971. Duties of Bishops. Ted Hightower, Louisville Conference.
3972. Nondiscrimination in Appointments. Methodist Church, Official Board, Dalton, Mass.
3973. Reword Question 51: Where Are Preachers Stationed? Ted Hightower, Louisville Conference.
3974. Withhold Financial Support from Segregated Institutions. Mrs. Dale M. Bass, Oakville Methodist Church, Oakville, Iowa.
3975. Vote of Supply Pastors. J. Richard Hackenberry, Martinsburg, Pa.

3976. Define Supernumerary Relationship. Lewis H. Beckford, Maine Conference.
3977. Evidence before Committee on Investigation. Henry E. Eddins, Pleasant Valley Methodist Church, Mobile, Ala.
3978. Establish Program of Continuing Education for Ministers. Barrett Wilson, Western North Carolina Conference.
3979. Plan of Cancelling Student Loan Indebtedness to Ministerial Students. F. W. Shreve, North Iowa Conference.

MEMORIALS 3980-4005, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON INTERDENOMINATIONAL RELATIONS AND ACTIVITIES

3980. Withdraw from National Council. Jas. B. Adkinson, Belle Meade Methodist Church, Nashville, Tenn.
3981. Withdraw from N.C.C. Alph Nichols, Official Board of Connell Methodist Church, Goodlettsville, Tenn.
3982. Withdraw from National Council. Mrs. Grady May, Central Methodist Church, Meridian, Miss.
3983. Withdrawal from National Council of Churches. Leonard C. Pack, M.D., King Memorial Methodist Church, Whitney, Texas.
3984. Pronouncements of National Council Not to be Considered Position of Church. Mrs. Geo. C. Heard, Official Board of Waverly Place Methodist Church, Nashville, Tenn.
3985. Withdraw from National Council of Churches. Mrs. W. S. Mullins, Jr., et al., Brooksville Methodist Church, Brooksville, Miss.
3986. Withdraw from National Council of Churches. Main Street Methodist Church Membership, Hattiesburg, Miss.
3987. Withdraw from National Council of Churches. Roy M. Brewer, Wilshire Methodist Church, Los Angeles, Calif.
3988. Pronouncements of the National Council of Churches, Duane O. Crummett, Commission on Christian Social Concerns of Westwood Community Methodist Church, Los Angeles, Calif.
3989. Withdrawal from National Council of Churches. Harry L. Williamson, et al., Schoolfield Methodist Church, Danville, Va.
3990. Restriction of Action at National Council of Churches. Henry E. Eddins, Pleasant Valley Methodist Church, Mobile, Ala.
3991. Action of National Council of Churches. Henry E. Eddins, Pleasant Valley Methodist Church, Mobile, Ala.
3992. National Council of Churches Stands on Social Affairs. Mrs. Estelle Parker, First Methodist Church, Tullahoma, Tenn.
3993. Withdraw from National Council and World Council. Mr. and Mrs. Walter L. Johnson, Orange First Methodist, Orange, Calif.
3994. Composition of Delegation to National Council. Mary L. Brown, Mission Hills Methodist Church, San Diego, Calif.
3995. Withdrawal from National Council. J. W. Clement of Mt. Vernon Methodist Church, Danville, Va.
3996. Withdraw from National Council and World Council. Lyle Freiman, Methodist Men's Club, Tunica Methodist Church, Tunica, Miss.
3997. Official Positions stated only by General Conference. C. W. Crowe, Wilmette Methodist Church, Wilmette, Ill.
3998. Withdrawal from National Council. Grady May, Central Methodist Church, Meridian, Miss.
3999. General Church Agency Archival Policy. Edwin Schell, Planning Committee, Northeastern Jurisdictional Association of Methodist Historical Societies, Baltimore, Md.

4000. Approve Union with E.U.B. Catharine Padon, Quarterly Conference of Central Methodist Church, Fort Worth, Texas.
4001. Name of Church Merged with E.U.B. Thomas C. Lembcke of East Wisconsin Conference.
4002. Paid Professional Staff on National Council of Churches. Mary L. Brown, Mission Hills Methodist Church, San Diego, Calif.
4003. Composition of Proposal Committee on Ecumenical Affairs. Henry M. Bullock, General Board of Education, Secretarial Council, Nashville, Tenn.
4004. Unite the Church with other Denominations. Hugh F. Hardin, Jr., California-Nevada Conference.
4005. Religious Freedom for Mennonite Brotherhood. Mrs. W. J. Oberthur, Brightwood Methodist Church, Indianapolis, Ind.

MEMORIALS 4006-4033, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LAY ACTIVITIES AND TEMPORAL ECONOMY

4006. Television Ministry. LeRoy Grimes of Bellefonte Methodist Church, Bellefonte, Wilmington, Delaware.
4007. Study of Church Taxes. Mrs. R. B. Dysart, Official Board of Bloomsburg Methodist Church, Bloomsburg, Pa.
4008. Maintain Tax Exemption Status of Church Property, Muriel H. Coats, Walnut Creek Methodist Church, Pleasant Hill, Calif.
4009. Local Church Broadcast as Benevolent Item. R. G. Cheney, North-East Ohio Conference.
4010. Offering Required for National Methodist Scholarships. Jerald H. Jackson, First Methodist Church, LeSueur, Minn.
4011. Financial Support of Methodist Shrines. Edwin Schell, Planning Committee, Northeastern Jurisdictional Association of Methodist Historical Societies, Baltimore, Md.
4012. Loans and Scholarships for Students Desiring Vocational Training. Robert H. Plummer of Maine Conference.
4013. Limited Term for Trustees and Lay Leaders. Joseph L. Hancock, Manasquan Methodist Church, Manasquan, N. J.
4014. Appointments Based on Active Membership. Sue Boergadine, Memorial Committee of Senior High MYF, Montrose, Calif.
4015. Assistance for Inner-City Churches. Mrs. Sam Overstreet, Mission Study Class, St. Paul Methodist Church, Louisville, Ky.
4016. Special Offering for Church Center at U. N. Ted Hightower, St. Paul Methodist Church, Louisville, Ky.
4017. Standard Salaries for District Superintendents. I. A. Pointer of Southwest Conference.
4018. Limited Membership on Administrative Agencies. Leonard D. Slutz, Hyde Park Community Methodist Church, Cincinnati, Ohio.
4019. Clarification of "Conference Benevolences." Nick Prillaman, Jr., the Official Board of the First Methodist Church, Martinsville, Va.
4020. Creation of Commission to Study Tax Exempt Status of Church. Mrs. Stephen L. Stover, Commission of Christian Social Concerns, Kenwood Methodist Church, Milwaukee, Wisc.
4021. Require Integration in General Agencies. Anna M. Hunt, Official Board of Trinity Methodist Church, Lynn, Mass.
4022. Reduce Financial Demands. Mrs. Milton Froebe, San Jose Salem Methodist Church, San Jose, Ill.

4023. Appropriation of Unallocated Funds for Emergency Fund for Race Relations. Mrs. Stephen L. Stover, Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Kenwood Methodist Church, Milwaukee, Wisc.
4024. Withdrawal of Financial Support from Segregated Institutions. Richard Wood, Memorial Committee, Northwest Regional Leadership Training Conference, Methodist Student Movement, Eugene, Oregon.
4025. Screening of Actions Taken or Statements Made by or In the Name of The Methodist Church and National Council. W. F. Little, Official Board of the First Methodist Church, Franklin, Tenn.
4026. Call Non-Christian Religion to Worship Christ. Bennett Wm. Palmer of Florida Conference.
4027. Withdraw Financial Support of Discriminating Organizations. Ralph L. Roy, New York Area, Methodists for Church Renewal, Metropolitan Community Methodist Church, New York, N. Y.
4028. Withhold Annual Conference Funds from Agencies and Institutions Practicing Segregation. L. L. Moore of South Iowa Annual Conference.
4029. Purchase and Sale of Wesley Foundation Property. Alva I. Cox, Inter-Conference Commission on Student Religious Work of North-East Ohio, Ohio and Lexington Conferences.
4030. Illegal Sale of Church Property, Audrain County, Mo. Harley B. Massey, First Methodist Church, Dodge City, Kansas.
4031. Consideration for each Church on a Charge. James F. Allen of Western Pennsylvania Conference.
4032. Church Building. John E. Barber, et al., Trinity Methodist Church, DeLand, Florida.
4033. Method of Budgeting and Apportioning. John R. Dicken and Dwight E. Conrad of North Indiana Conference.

MEMORIALS 4034-4045, INCLUSIVE, WERE REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLISHING INTERESTS

4034. Subscription Price *Together*. Baltimore Conference, Committee on Good Literature, Haskell R. Deal, Chairman.
4035. Continue *Concern*. Leota M. Wright, Henderson Memorial Methodist Church, Detroit, Mich.
4036. Discontinue *Motive*. Mr. and Mrs. Walter Johnson, Orange First Methodist Church, Orange, Calif.
4037. Consolidate Methodist Publications, Howell O. Wilkins, Peninsula Conference.
4038. Continue *Concern*. Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Kenwood Methodist Church, Milwaukee, Wis.
4039. Discontinue *Motive*. Frances Muir Pomeroy, N. Hollywood Methodist Church, N. Hollywood, Calif.
4040. Discontinue *Motive*. Roy M. Brewer, Wilshire Methodist Church, Los Angeles, Calif.
4041. Continue *Concern*. Board of Christian Social Concerns, East Wisconsin Conference.
4042. Continue *Concern*. Jackson Burns, North Iowa Conference.
4043. Volume of Sermons by Annual Conference Members. Bennett Wm. Palmer, Florida Conference.
4044. Publications Policy Committee. James M. Boyd, Jr., Troy Conference.
4045. Publish Names of Representatives on National Council in *Christian Advocate*. Henry Earl Eddins, Alabama-West Florida Conference.

MEMORIALS 4046-4050, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MISSIONS

4046. Financial Support of Segregated Institutions. R. L. Jones, Barnes Methodist Church, Augusta, Georgia.
4047. Restructuring of the Board of Missions. W.S.C.S., First Methodist Church, Winder, Ga.
4048. Honor Rolls for Military Service. Howard L. Harris, Sr., Memorial Methodist Chruch, Petersburg, Va.
4049. Representation of Methodist Student Union on the Commission on Church Union. Memorial Committee, Northwest Regional Leadership Training Conference, Methodist Student Movement.
4050. Representation of Methodist Student Movement on Board of Missions. Memorial Committee, Northwest Regional Leadership Training Conference, Methodist Student Movement.

MEMORIAL 4051-4054, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATION, ENABLING ACTS AND LEGAL FORMS

4051. Amend Amendment IX of Constitution. Memorials Committee, Northwest Regional Leadership Training Conference, Methodist Student Movement.
4052. Revise General Rules, Paragraph 95. Young Adult Class, Liberty Hill Methodist Church, Norristown, Tenn.
4053. Enabling Act—Rio Grande Conference. Simon A. Nieto, Rio Grande Conference.
4054. Counsel for Accused. Leonard D. Slutz, Hyde Park Community Church, Cincinnati, Ohio.

MEMORIALS 4055-4057, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON PENSIONS

4055. Pensions—Annuity Claims—Years of Service. Newark Conference, Board of Pensions, Edward D. Callender, Chairman.
4056. Disqualification of Divorced Wives as Pension Claimants. John B. Clark, Lynville Methodist Church, Preston, Miss.
4057. Annual Conference Pension Responsibility for Certain Students. Lester L. Moore, South Iowa Conference.

MEMORIALS 4058-4170 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

4058. Support Planned Parenthood. John B. Clark, Lynville Methodist Church, Preston, Miss.
4059. Oppose Sunday Drills at National Guard. John B. Clark, Lynville Methodist Church, Preston, Miss.
4060. Separation of Church and State. Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Kenwood Methodist Church, Milwaukee, Wis.
4061. Support of the Organization, Protestants and Other Americans United for the Separation of Church and State. Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Kenwood Methodist Church, Milwaukee, Wis.
4062. Oppose Integration in Public Schools. John B. Clark, Lynville Methodist Church, Preston, Miss.
4063. Retain Separate Housing for Men and Women on Methodist Campuses. Circle 6, W.S.C.S., First Methodist Church, Williston, North Dakota.
4064. Establish Civil Rights Fund. Official Board, Trinity Methodist Church, Lynn, Mass.

4065. Appointments and Assignments without regard to Color. Official Board, Trinity Methodist Church, Lynn, Mass.
4066. Retain Position on Abstinence. Alfred M. Dye, Christ Methodist Church, Ft. Lauderdale, Fla.
4067. Establish an Experimental Integrated Church. Barrett Wilson, Western North Carolina Conference.
4068. Pay Expenses of Methodist Representative to National Council of Churches. Leonard D. Slutz, Hyde Park Community Methodist Church, Cincinnati, Ohio.
4069. Close Office of Board of Social Concerns and Desist from Lobbying. Official Board, Methodist Church, Lacrosse, Wash.
4070. Clergy and Churches Refrain from Seeking Merchandise Discount. Alton R. Pope, Blue Valley Memorial Methodist Church, Manhattan, Kansas.
4071. Special Collection for Church Center for the UN. Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Kenwood Methodist Church, Milwaukee, Wis.
4072. Delete Abstinence Requirements for Official Positions. Raymand L. Dirks, Carter Memorial Methodist Church, Needham Heights, Mass.
4073. Disapprove Paying Fines by National Council of Churches. Mrs. C. A. Tate, Leland Methodist Church, Leland, Miss.
4074. Delete Social Creed. Dr. and Mrs. Warren Binion, Westcliff Methodist Church.
4075. Against Social Position. Robert P. Kaufman, Germantown Methodist Church, Germantown, Ohio.
4076. Retain Abstinence. Official Board, Jenkintown Methodist Church, Jenkintown, Pa.
4077. Education Programs on Use of Tobacco and Its Effect. Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Kenwood Methodist Church, Milwaukee, Wis.
4078. Withholding Funds from Segregated Church Institutions. Mrs. John O'Brien, Methodist Church, Oakville, Iowa.
4079. Abolish Board of Christian Social Concerns. Mrs. W. S. Mullins, Jr., et al., Methodist Church, Brooksville, Miss.
4080. Reverence for Life. Bennett Wm. Palmer, Florida Conference.
4081. Respect for Segregationist Viewpoint. Mrs. W. S. Mullins, Jr., et al., Methodist Church, Brooksville, Miss.
4082. Retain Position on Abstinence. Mrs. S. T. Slaton, First Methodist Church, Gadsden, Ala.
4083. Delete References to United Nations. Commission on Christian Social Concerns, First Methodist Church, Richardson, Texas.
4084. Retain Position on Abstinence. Christian Social Concerns Commission, The Methodist Church, Walworth, N. Y.
4085. Retain Position on Tobacco. Christian Social Concerns Commission, Methodist Church, Dalton, Mass.
4086. Eliminate Discrimination. David L. Parker, Newark Conference.
4087. Eliminate Discrimination. Students of the Methodist Theological School in Ohio.
4088. Eliminate Discrimination. Students of the Methodist Theological School in Ohio.
4089. Retain Position on Abstinence. John B. Clark, Lynville Methodist Church, Preston, Miss.
4090. Anti Discrimination Directives for Membership, etc. New York Area Methodists for Church Renewal, Ralph Lord Roy.
4091. Create Civil Rights Fund. New York Area, Methodists for Church Renewal, Ralph L. Roy.
4092. Camps of Church not to Discriminate. New York Area, Methodists for Church Renewal, Ralph L. Roy.

4093. Non-Discrimination in Homes and Hospitals. New York Area, Methodists for Church Renewal, Ralph L. Roy.
4094. Non-Discriminatory Assignment of Bishops. New York Area, Methodists for Church Renewal, Ralph L. Roy.
4095. Keep Church Out of Politics. Official Board, St. Joseph Methodist Church, Fort Wayne, Ind.
4096. Increase Aid to Overseas Relief. Commission on Missions, Christ Methodist Church, Lancaster, N. H.
4097. Emphasis on Integration on Education Curriculum. M.Y.F., Trinity Methodist Church, Schenectady, N. Y.
4098. Commitment Sunday. Alaska Mission Conference, Roger W. Thompson, Secretary.
4099. Appoint a District Director of Christian Social Concerns. Quarterly Conference, First Methodist Church, Sedalia, Missouri.
4100. Kindness to Animals. E. Pearl Hannah, First Methodist Church, Palo Alto, Calif.
4101. Require Integration in General Agencies. Commission on Christian Social Concerns, First Methodist Church, Owosso, Michigan.
4102. Church and State and Politics. Austin Fox, Westwood Community Methodist Church, Los Angeles, Calif.
4103. Support Freedom Foundation. Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Westwood Community Methodist Church, Los Angeles, Calif.
4104. Abolish Board of Social Concerns. Christian Social Relations, Greene Street Methodist Church, Piqua, Ohio.
4105. Withholding of Funds to Segregated Institutions. Lester L. Moore, South Iowa Conference.
4106. Curtail and re-Direct Activities of Board of Social Concerns. Official Board, Methodist Church, Lacrosse, Wash.
4107. Adopt *Concern's* Statement on Use of Alcohol. Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Kenwood Methodist Church, Milwaukee, Wis.
4108. Keep Church Out of Politics. Arwin Frederick Ahrens and Katherine Kennedy Ahrens, Westwood Community Methodist Church, Los Angeles, Calif.
4109. Delete Social Creed. Richard W. Miles, Westcliff Methodist Church, Fort Worth, Texas.
4110. Delete Social Creed. Mr. and Mrs. P. V. D. Reitz, First Methodist Church, North Hollywood, Calif.
4111. Methodist Committee for Overseas Relief. MCOR, G. P. Warfield, Secty.
4112. Admission and Employment Policies of Church and Related Institutions. New York Area, Methodists for Church Renewal, Ralph L. Roy.
4113. Prayers in School. Elizabeth Orr, Scircleville, Maine—Methodist Church.

MEMORIALS 4114-4119, INCLUSIVE, DEAL WITH "SUPPORT BECKER AMENDMENT"

4114. W.S.C.S., Pleasant Hill Methodist Church, St. Paul, Ind.
4115. Quarterly Conference, Central Avenue Methodist Church, Indianapolis, Ind.
4116. W.S.C.S., Mt. Pleasant Methodist Church, Lawrenceburg, Ind.
4117. W.S.C.S., Forest Manor Methodist Church, Indianapolis, Ind.
4118. W.S.C.S., Maple Hill Methodist Church, Wanamaker, Ind.
4119. W.S.C.S., Forest Manor Methodist Church, Indianapolis, Ind.

MEMORIAL 4120 DEALS WITH ABOLISH CENTRAL JURISDICTION. REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

4120. David L. Parker, Newark Conference.
4121. Prayer in Public Schools. J. Wesley James, et al., Methodist Churches of East Concord, Vt.
4122. Support Supreme Court Decision on Religious Practices in Schools. Mr. and Mrs. J. W. Graham, Bryan Memorial Methodist Church, Miami, Fla.
4123. Support Becker Amendment. W.S.C.S., Center Point Methodist Church, Center Point, Ind.
4124. Bible Reading and Prayer in Public Schools. Adult Christian Fellowship Class, Mars Methodist Church. Mars, Pa.
4125. Support Becker Amendment. Mrs. I. L. Stephenson, Waukomis Methodist Church, Waukomis, Okla.
4126. Disapproval of Supreme Court Decision on Prayer. John B. Clark, Lynville Methodist Church, Preston, Miss.
4127. Support Becker Amendment. Mrs. Lynn Kjorstad, First Methodist Church, Williston, N. D.
4128. Prayers and Bible Reading in Schools. Earl R. Streyffeler, Ellis Methodist Church, Rt. 1, Iowa Falls, Iowa.
4129. Prayer and Bible Reading in Schools. Commission on Christian Social Concerns, First Methodist Church, Moline, Ill.
4130. Religious Instruction in Public Schools. Christian Social Concerns Commission, Fairmont Methodist Church, Fairmont, Minn.

MEMORIALS 4131-4135, INCLUSIVE, DEAL WITH DISAPPROVE RESOLUTION ON CUBA AND CHINA

4131. Official Board of Methodist Church, Wykoff, Minn.
4132. Erich L. Geyer, et al., Lakeside Methodist Church, Lake Worth, Fla.
4133. Commission on Christian Social Concerns and the Official Board, Grace Methodist Church, West Palm Beach, Fla.
4134. Official Board, First Methodist Church, Adrian, Mo.
4135. Official Board, Elizabeth Swain Memorial Methodist Church, Jacksonville, Fla.
4136. Recognition of Red China. Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Kenwood Methodist Church, Milwaukee, Wis.
4137. Disapprove Resolution on Cuba and China. Official Board, Methodist Church, Lacrosse, Wash.
4138. Non recognition of Red China and Withdrawal from the U.N. John B. Clark, Lynville Methodist Church, Preston, Miss.
4139. Crusade for World Peace. Bob Dodson, Oklahoma Conference.
4140. World Peace—Disarmament. Carl Keith, Jr., First Methodist Church, Evanston, Ill.
4141. Opposing Recognition of Red China. R. L. Jones, Barnes Methodist Church, Augusta, Ga.
4142. Crusade for World Peace. Wesley Foundation at University of Tulsa, Tulsa, Okla.
4143. Disapprove Resolution on China. R. E. Stancliffe, et al., Puffer Methodist Church, Morrisville, Vt.
4144. Reject Resolution on Cuba and Red China. Official Board, South Columbus Methodist Church, Columbus, Ga.
4145. Disapprove Resolution on Cuba and China. Official Board, First Methodist Church, Hughes Springs, Texas.

4146. Disapprove Recognition of Red China. Official Board of Christ Methodist Church, Leesburg, Fla.
4147. Disapprove Resolution on Cuba and China. United Methodist Church of Hastings, Fla.
4148. Eliminate Segregation and Discrimination. First Methodist Church, W.S.C.S., Findlay, Ohio.
4149. Active Lobbying on Conservation Issues. Methodist Church Commission on Christian Social Concerns, San Luis Obispo, Calif.
4150. Stand on Interracial Marriages. Harry L. Williamson, et al., Schoolfield Methodist Church, Danville, Va.
4151. Review Position on Marriage and Divorce. Mrs. Foster Zuccarello, West End Methodist Church, Nashville, Tenn.
4152. Crusade for World Order. North Bethesda Methodist Church, Christian Social Concerns Commission, Bethesda, Md.
4153. Civil Rights in Public Accommodations. Mr. and Mrs. Robert A. Woods, St. John's Methodist Church, Springfield, Va.
4154. Addition to Social Creed. Harlin W. Matkins, St. John's Methodist Church, Springfield, Va.
4155. Retain Social Creed. Glynn Fort, Central Methodist Church, Meridian, Miss.
4156. Disapprove Armament. Members of Lynville Methodist Church, Preston, Miss.
4157. Abolish Board of Christian Social Concerns. First Methodist Church, Official Board, Ft. Stockton, Tex.
4158. Delete Social Creed. Mr. and Mrs. C. D. Stafford, First Methodist Church, North Hollywood, Calif.
4159. Opposed to Integration by Force. United Methodist Church, Official Board, Hastings, Fla.
4160. Resolution on NATO and Establishment of Department of Peace. Gross W. Alexander, New Mexico Conference.
4161. Alcohol Rehabilitation Program. Lynville Methodist Church Members, Preston, Miss.
4162. Agencies and Employment Policy. Methodist Students, faculty, and friends of Union Theological Seminary, Robt. D. Kraft, Evanston, Ill.
4163. Crusade for World Order. Oklahoma City University MSM, David W. Hunt, president, Oklahoma City, Okla.
4164. Forms of Resolutions by Social Concerns and General Conference. Mary L. Brown, Mission Hills Methodist, San Diego, Calif.
4165. Organization of UN Religious Consultative Unit. Lancaster Co. (Pa.) Methodist Ministers' Association.
4166. Withdraw Financial Support of Board of Christian Social Concerns. Oak Ridge Methodist Church, Official Board, Oak Ridge, La.
4167. Agencies Shall Not Endorse Civic Legislation. L. A. Hapgood, First Methodist Church, LaGrange, Ill.
3168. Sovereignty of United States. R. L. Jones, Barnes Methodist Church, Augusta, Ga.
4169. Employment Policies of Church and Agencies. Ohio Conference Board of Church Social Concerns, Jean Foor, secretary.
4170. Emphasize Social, Civic, Ethical Aspects of Gambling. Kenwood Methodist Church, Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Milwaukee, Wisc.
4171. Duties of General Board of Hospitals and Homes. H. A. Springfield, Texas Conference Board of Hospitals and Homes. Referred to Committee on Hospitals and Homes.

MEMORIALS 4172-4174, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATION, ENABLING ACTS AND LEGAL FORMS

4172. Offenses for Which a Member May be Tried. Donald L. Carver of North Iowa Conference.
4173. Offense for Which Lay Person May be Tried. John B. Garner, Galloway Memorial Methodist Church, Jackson, Miss.
4174. Offense for Which a Lay Person May be Tried. John B. Garner, Galloway Memorial Methodist Church, Jackson, Miss.

MEMORIALS 4175-4229, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

4175. Retain Jurisdictional System. George P. Rahn, Official Board, Methodist Church, Springfield, Ga.
4176. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Richard D. Campbell, Official Board, Keeseville Methodist Church, Keeseville, N. Y.
4177. Retain Jurisdictional System. Jesse Culp, Board of Lay Activities, North Alabama Conference.
4178. Procedure in Voluntary Location. Ellsworth Hartsfield, Hyde Park Methodist Church, Tampa, Fla.
4179. Procedure of Minister's Withdrawal from Annual Conference. Ellsworth Hartsfield, Hyde Park Methodist Church, Tampa, Fla.
4180. Keep Record of Those Voluntarily Located. Ellsworth Hartsfield, Hyde Park Methodist Church, Tampa, Florida.
4181. Duties of Jurisdictional Conference. T. Travis, Warren, Official Board, First Methodist and Warren Methodist Churches, Pittsburgh, Pa.
4182. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. T. B. Travis, Official Board, First Methodist and Warren Methodist Churches, Pittsburgh, Pa.
4183. Transfer of Church and of Annual Conferences. T. B. Travis, Official Board of First Methodist Church and Warren Methodist Church of Pittsburgh, Pa.
4184. Composition of Annual Conference. Frank L. Robertson, South Georgia, Conference.
4185. Concern with Methodist Church Union Delegation. Paul W. Chambers, Official Board of First Methodist Church, Collingswood, N. J.
4186. Conflict of Interest in Methodist Officials. Charles Lachman, First Methodist, Bryn Mawr, Pa.
4187. Quarterly Conference Election Effective Immediately. Alan H. Moore of New England Conference.
4188. Annual Conference Committee on Nominations—Composition. James W. Bristah, Detroit Conference.
4189. Youth Membership on General and Annual Conference Boards. Millie Schlagenhauf, Methodist Student Movement State Council, North Carolina Conference.
4190. Determination of Episcopal Areas by Jurisdictional Conference. Leonard D. Slutz of Hyde Park Community Methodist Church, Cincinnati, Ohio.
4191. Meeting Time of Jurisdictional Conference. Leonard D. Slutz, Hyde Park Community Methodist Church, Cincinnati, Ohio.
4192. Substitution of Charge Conference for Quarterly Conference. Leonard D. Slutz of Hyde Park Community Methodist Church, Cincinnati, Ohio.
4193. Change Name of Co-ordinating Council to Executive Council. Leonard D. Slutz of Hyde Park Community Methodist Church, Cincinnati, Ohio.

4194. Retention of Agency Membership at Jurisdictional Level After Change of Jurisdictional Affiliation. Leonard D. Slutz of Hyde Park Community Methodist Church, Cincinnati, Ohio.
4195. Remove Age Restriction for Voting in Local Church Conference. M. Katherine Taylor, Cranberry Methodist Church, Perryman, Md.
4196. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Miss Evelyn Carter, Oakville Methodist Church, Oakville, Iowa.
4197. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Lorene M. Taylor, Wesleyan Service Guild, Christian Social Relations, Eugene District, Eugene, Oregon.
4198. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Mrs. Clyde W. Anderson, Montavilla Methodist Church, Portland, Oregon.
4199. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Edgar Hahn, Oakville Methodist Church. Oakville, Iowa.
4200. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. John W. Chittum, Methodist Church of Wooster, Ohio.
4201. Enlarge Number of Episcopal Areas. John W. Chittum, Methodist Church of Wooster, Ohio.
4202. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Paul E. Schrading, et al., Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Washington Conference and Western Pennsylvania Conference.
4203. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Alan H. Moore, Weston Methodist Church, Weston, Mass.
4204. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. W.S.C.S. Bolton Methodist Church, Alliance, Ohio, Mrs. Lillian Quillman.
4205. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Geneva Smith, Oakville Methodist Church, Oakville, Iowa.
4206. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. W. C. Smith, Oakville Methodist Church, Oakville, Iowa.
4207. Integration of Jurisdictions. Samuel N. Gibson, Western Pennsylvania Conference.
4208. Retain Jurisdictional System. Mrs. Sol Diamond, Official Board of Methodist Church, Sardis, Miss.
4209. Continue Commission on Interjurisdictional Relations. Bishop's Conference on the Ministry, T. Otto Nall of Minnesota Area.
4210. Retain Jurisdictional System. James E. Luke, Porterville Methodist Church, Portersville, Miss.
4211. Effective Date for General Conference Legislation. Walter J. Mains of North-East Ohio Conference.
4212. Non-Voting Status for General Board Staff Members. Eugene L. Smith, Council of Secretaries of the Methodist Church, New York, N. Y.
4213. Lay Membership in the Annual Conference. Mason N. Hartman, St. Mark's Methodist Church, Brookline, Mass.
4214. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Edith M. Clark, W.S.C.S. of Lexington Conference.
4215. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Millie Schlagenhauf, Methodist Student Movement State Council of North Carolina Conference.
4216. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Karlene D. Childs, W.S.C.S. of Mount Pleasant Methodist Church, Gainesville, Florida.
4217. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Janet E. Kraemer, First Methodist Church, Pennington, N. J.
4218. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Martha Sue Clark, et al., Wesleyan Service Guild, Calvary Methodist Church, Washington, D. C.

4219. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Mr. and Mrs. Kenneth W. Hunt, Casstown Methodist Church, Casstown, Ohio.
4220. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Mrs. John E. Thompson, et al., Grace Methodist Church, Schenectady, N. Y.
4221. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Kathryn Payne, Wesleyan Service Guild, Bridgeport Methodist Church, Bridgeport, W. Va.
4222. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Elizabeth Murphy, Wesleyan Service Guild, First Methodist Church, Wichita, Kansas.
4223. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Robert W. Crane, Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Commission on Missions and Church Extension, University of Illinois Wesley Methodist Church, Urbana, Ill.
4224. Foundation for Conference Evangelists. R. Odell Brown of Virginia Annual Conference.
4225. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Abram J. McKnight, Jr., Geneva Avenue Methodist Church, San Francisco, Calif.
4226. Conference Evangelist. R. Odell Brown of Virginia Annual Conference.
4227. Support Committee of Five Report. Mrs. Walter B. Fenton, New England Methodists for Church Renewal.
4228. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Sarah B. Stevens, United Methodist Church, Bolton, Conn.
4229. Balanced Lay-Clerical Representation at Annual Conference. George P. Rahn, Official Board, Methodist Church, Springfield, Ga.

MEMORIALS 4230-4236 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LOCAL CHURCH

4230. Administrative Assistant. Ethel Humphreys, First Methodist Church, Paris, Tenn.
4231. Election and Tenure of Stewards. Wm. W. Bennett, Central Illinois Conference.
4232. Responsibility of Pastoral Relations Committee. Howard Abell, Gaithersburg Methodist Church, Gaithersburg, Maryland.
4233. Pastor's Report to Quarterly Conference. S.W.C. Crosse, Delaware Conference.
4234. Discipline of Methodist Officials Enforcing Segregation. John B. Garner, Galloway Methodist Church, Jackson, Miss.
4235. Privileges for Smaller Churches. South Brooksville Methodist Church, W.S.C.S. and Commission on Stewardship and Finance, South Brooksville, Maine.
4236. Affiliate Member Eligible for Official Board. Swedish Annual Conference delegation, Henry Atterling.

MEMORIALS 4237-4244 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

4237. Duties of Director of Christian Education. Robert W. Fiske, Southern California-Arizona Conference.
4238. Methodist Parochial Schools. Mrs. Walter Lee, First Methodist Church, Hialeah, Florida.
4239. Rally Day Offering. A. L. Meadows, North Mississippi Conference.
4240. Emphasis on Integration in Curriculum. Trinity Methodist Church, MYF, Poughkeepsie, N. Y.
4241. Withhold Funds from Segregated Church Institutions. First and Warren Methodist Churches, Official Boards, Pittsburgh, Pa.
4242. Automation/Leisure Study Committee. C. Edward Blackburn, Tennessee Conference.

4243. Emphasis on Integration in Curriculum. Troy Conference MYF, Vaughn Austin, secretary.
4244. Released Time for Christian Education. Scott C. Hartman, First Methodist Church, Cambridge, Ohio.

MEMORIALS 4245-4256 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LAY ACTIVITIES AND TEMPORAL ECONOMY

4245. From Episcopal Address, pp. 12-15, legislative committee on reference.
4246. Duties of Commissions on Promotion and Cultivation. John W. Chittum, Methodist Church of Wooster, Ohio.
4247. Eligibility of Local Trustees. Wm. W. Bennett, Central Ill.
4248. Standard Salary for All Pastors. Wm. M. Jeffries, North Carolina Conference.
4249. Church Provide Transportation. Mrs. Vernon Tritch, Jr., Ann Street Methodist Church, Middletown, Pa.
4250. Parsonage Standards. Joyce W. Plants, Canal Fulton Methodist Church, Canal Fulton, Ohio.
4251. Annual Conference Committee on Wills and Legacies. Robert S. Pullman, North Carolina Conference.
4252. Eliminate Discrimination. Alan H. Moore, Weston Methodist Church, Weston, Mass.
4253. Dissolution and Liquidation of Corporate Assets and Liabilities. Leanard D. Slutz, Hyde Park Methodist Church, Cincinnati, Ohio.
4254. Church Property. Francis B. Stevens, Broadmeadow Methodist Church, Jackson, Mississippi.
4255. Barratt's Chapel as Shrine. Historical Society of Peninsula Conference.
4256. Apportionments. Pittsburg Methodist Church discussion group, Pittsburg, California.

MEMORIALS 4257-4259 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON PENSIONS

4257. Pension Credit for Year in British Methodism. S. W. C. Crosse, Delaware Conference.
4258. EUB Merger and Pensions. Milton R. Vogel, North Iowa Conference.
4259. Episcopal Address, pp. 18-20. Legislative Committee on Reference.

MEMORIALS 4260-4324 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

4260. Abolish Board of Christian Social Concerns. Lynn T. Littlefield, Friendship Methodist Church, Clearwater, Fla.
4261. Stand on Civil Rights, A. J. McKnight, Jr. Geneva Ave. Methodist Church, San Francisco, Calif.
4262. Continue *Concern*. First Methodist Church, Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Medford, Oregon.
4263. Continue *Concern*. Detroit Conference Board of Christian Social Concerns, Clayton E. Nordstrom, chairman.
4264. Continue *Concern*. Betty June Rotramel, Carbondale Methodist Church, Illinois.
4265. Eliminate Segregation. Morgan Methodist Church of All Nations, Official Board, Boston, Mass.
4266. Print Tributes to Harry E. Ward. Robert C. Howe, Baldwin Methodist Church, Pittsburgh, Pa.
4267. Withhold Funds from Segregated Church Institutions. Geneva Smith, Oakville Methodist Church, Oakville, Iowa.
4268. Withhold Funds from Segregated Church Institutions. Will C. Smith, Oakville Methodist Church, Iowa.

4269. Eliminate Segregation. M. Gilbert Dunn, Asbury Methodist Church, Delaware, Ohio.
4270. Inclusive Church. California-Nevada Conference W.S.C.S. Commission on Christian Social Relations, Mabel C. Schierenbeck, chairman.
4271. Disapprove Resolution on China and Cuba. St. James Methodist Church, Official Board, Augusta, Georgia.
4272. Methodist Civil Rights Agency. John W. Chittum, Methodist Church of Wooster, Ohio.
4273. Withhold Funds from Segregated Institutions. Edgar Hahn, Oakville Methodist Church, Iowa.
4274. Withhold Funds from Segregated Institutions. Mrs. Evelyn Carter, Oakville Methodist Church, Iowa.
4275. Church and State and Politics. A. W. Horton, First Methodist Church, North Hollywood, California.
4276. End Discrimination. Richard L. Eslinger, Baltimore Conference.
4277. Crusade for World Peace. Arthur W. Ballard, Missouri West Conference.
4278. Retain Position on Abstinence. Laurel Methodist Church, Official Board, Springfield, Illinois.
4279. Crusade for World Peace. Abram J. McKnight, Jr., Geneva Ave. Methodist Church, San Francisco, Calif.
4280. Adopt Committee of Five Report. Gordon S. Fairchild, California-Nevada Conference.
4281. End Segregation. Margaret M. Donaldson, Geneva Methodist Church, San Francisco, California.
4282. Methodist Federation for Social Action. G. Eugene Durham, Central New York Conference.
4283. Report Marriages Performed. Winton C. Crosse, Delaware Conference.
4284. Church-wide Vote on Non-Religious Matters. William W. Cargill, Westwood Methodist Church, Pacific Palisades, California.
4285. Churchwide Vote on Non-Religious Matters. Mildred R. Cargill, Westwood Methodist Church, Los Angeles, California.
4286. Restriction on Board of Christian Social Concerns. Louis E. Krantz, et al., First Methodist Church, Pompano Beach, Florida.
4287. Support Committee of Five. Centenary Methodist Church, Official Board, Memphis, Tenn.
4288. Establish Commission Race. J. M. Lawson, Jr., Centenary Methodist Church, Memphis, Tenn.
4289. Retain Position on Abstinence. Hansard's Chapel Methodist Church, Maynardville, Tenn.
4290. Support Becker Amendment. College Corner Methodist Church, W.S.C.S., College Corner, Iowa.
4291. Disapprove Resolution on Cuba and China. First Methodist Church, Official Board, Custer, Oklahoma.
4292. Race Relations Sunday Offering. Jerald H. Jackson, Minnesota Conference.
4293. Censorship of Films and Literature. H. F. Sallenbach, Milford Methodist Church, Nebraska.
4294. Disapprove Resolution on Cuba and China. Mr. and Mrs. G. S. Martin, et al., First Methodist Church, Pompano Beach, Florida.
4295. Emphasize Christian Doctrine. Lila R. Fletcher, Westwood Methodist Church, Los Angeles, Calif.
4296. Prayer and Bible Reading in Public Schools. Woodland Methodist Church, Official Board, Tenn.

4297. Eliminate Segregation in Educational Institutions. First and Warren Methodist Churches, Official Boards, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.
4298. Integrate General Agencies. First and Warren Methodist Churches, Official Boards, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.
4299. Keep Church Out of Politics. Mr. and Mrs. Charles E. Hewitt, Westwood Methodist Church, Los Angeles, California.
4300. Retain Segregation. Springfield Methodist Church, Official Board, Springfield, Georgia.
4301. Abolish HUAC. G. Eugene Durham, Central New York Conference.
4302. Disapprove Policies of Board of Christian Social Concerns. Trinity Methodist Church, Official Board, Arcadia, Florida.
4303. Support Commission on Christian Social Concerns. Mr. and Mrs. Robert A. Woods, St. John's Methodist Church, Springfield, Virginia.
4304. Review Subject of Narcotics Addiction. Betty L. and Robert A. Woods, St. John's Methodist Church, Springfield, Virginia.
4305. Eliminate Discrimination. Virginia Weston Besse, et al., Weston Methodist Church, Weston, Massachusetts.
4306. Stipulates Conditions of Social Action. Highland Park Methodist Church, Official Board, Dallas, Texas.
4307. Support Prayer in Schools. Mrs. Milford Gragg, Collister Methodist Church, Boise, Idaho.
4308. Prayer in Schools. Scott C. Hartman, First Methodist Church, Cambridge, Ohio.
4309. Support Becker Amendment. Forest Manor Methodist Church, W.S.C.S., Indianapolis, Indiana.
4310. Support Becker Amendment. St. Paul Methodist Church, W.S.C.S., Poseyville, Indiana.
4311. Support Becker Amendment. Methodist Church W.S.C.S., Osgood, Ind.
4312. Composition of Board of Christian Social Concerns. Robert S. Pullman, North Carolina Conference.
4313. Emphasize Crusade for World Order. First Methodist Church, Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
4314. A Better Scope of Social Concerns. Mrs. Arthur Styron, Peachtree Road Methodist Church, Atlanta, Ga.
4315. Keep Church Out of Politics. Beatrice Wands, Westwood Methodist Church, Los Angeles, California.
4316. Eliminate Social Creed. Phoebe H. Schweitzer, Westwood Methodist Church, Los Angeles, California.
4317. Recognize Red China. First Methodist Church, Commission on Christian Social Concerns, St. John's, Mich.
4318. Disapprove Resolution on China and Cuba. St. Paul Methodist Church, Official Board, Eau Gallie, Fla.
4319. Disapprove Resolution on China and Cuba. Bethany Methodist Church, Official Board, James Island, Charleston, South Carolina.
4320. Seneca Indian Tribe. Central Methodist Church, Commission on Christian Concerns, Detroit, Mich.
4321. Crusade for World Order. Okla. Panhandle A&M Wesley Foundation, Sue Kilgore, Secretary.
4322. Oppose Use of Tobacco by Methodists. Rocky Mountain Conference Board of Christian Social Concerns, Paul Murphy, Secretary.
4323. Eliminate Segregation. Mr. & Mrs. Walter B. Fenton, Church of All Nations, Boston, Massachusetts.

4324. Delete Social Creed. Mr. Robert Gibson Johnson, Westwood Methodist Church, Los Angeles, California.

MEMORIALS 4325-4349, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY

4325. The Healing Ministry. Mrs. R. C. Greiser, Groesbeck Methodist Church, Cincinnati, Ohio.
4326. Ministry of Spiritual Healing. Lou Ann Loomis, St. Paul Methodist Church, Las Cruces, N. Mexico.
4327. Ministry of Spiritual Healing. Mrs. T. C. Barrie, Willoughby Methodist Church, Willoughby, Ohio.
4328. Duties of Board Ministry. Rev. Earl E. Kerstetter, Board of Ministerial Training and Qualifications of the Central Pennsylvania Conference.
4329. Duties of Board of Ministerial Training. Earl E. Kerstetter, Board of Ministerial Training and Qualifications of the Central Pennsylvania Conference.
4330. Duties of Board of Ministerial Training. Earl E. Kerstetter, Board of Ministerial Training and Qualifications of the Central Pennsylvania Annual Conference.
4331. Ministry of Divine Healing, Mr. and Mrs. Carl E. Burton, First Methodist Church, New Castle, Indiana.
4332. Ministry of Divine Healing. Sarah B. Stephens, United Methodist Church, Bolton, Conn.
4333. Ministry of Spiritual Healing. Kenneth D. Barringer, West Wisconsin Conference.
4334. Processing Written Work for License to Preach. Paul R. Smith, Western Pennsylvania Conference.
4335. Election of District Superintendents. George P. Rahn, Official Board, Methodist Church. Springfield, Georgia.
4336. Transferring Local Preachers. Ellsworth Hartsfield, Hyde Park Methodist Church, Tampa, Florida.
4337. District Committee on Ministerial Qualifications. Ellsworth Hartsfield, Hyde Park Methodist Church, Tampa, Florida.
4338. Ministry of Spiritual Healing. Mrs. David G. Pearce, St. Paul Methodist Church, Las Cruces, N. M.
4339. Conference Membership of Approved Supply Pastor. J. Richard Hackenberry, Martinsburg, Pa.
4340. Courses for Local Preacher's License. Lawrence Rule, Board of Ministerial Training, Minnesota Conference.
4341. Specialized Ministries to the Unemployed. James W. Bristah, Board of Christian Social Concerns, Detroit Conference.
4342. Support short-term foreign appointments. Donald L. Carver, North Iowa Conference.
4343. Church Law Concerning Marriage and Re-marriage. Robert St. Leger, Ohio Conference.
4344. Reconsider Age Limit for Retirement. Susie Roberts, Official Board, Seay-Hubbard Methodist Church, Nashville, Tenn.
4345. Limited Tenure of Bishops. William M. Jeffries, North Carolina Conference.
4346. Minimum Tenure for Pastors. Carl J. Schultz, Eagle Mills Methodist Church, Troy, N. Y.
4347. Healing. Mrs. Esther T. Walker, United Methodist Church, Balton, Connecticut.
4348. Episcopal Address pp. 7-12 from Committee on Reference.
4349. Composition of Conference Commissions on Town and Country Work. Garland R. Safford, Western North Carolina Conference. Referred to Committee on Missions.

MEMORIALS 4350-4354, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON RITUAL AND ORDERS OF WORSHIP

4350. Dad's Appreciation Day. Mrs. Marion McDaniel, First Methodist Church, Alva, Okla.
4351. Change Apostles' Creed. Mrs. Walter J. Lee of First Methodist Church, Hialeah, Fla.
4352. Hymn to be Included. Craig Haines, Oregon Conference MYF.
4353. Open Pulpit Covenant. Abram J. McKnight, Jr., Geneva Ave. Methodist Church, San Francisco, Calif.
4354. Elements of the Sacrament. Karen Jo Emel, et al., Worship Area, Wesley Foundation, Kansas University.

MEMORIALS 4355-4363, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON INTERDENOMINATION RELATIONS AND ACTIVITIES

4355. Withdraw from National Council and World Council. Mrs. A. W. Horton, First Methodist Church, N. Hollywood, Calif.
4356. Withdraw from National Council of Churches. Beatrice Wands, Westwood Community, Methodist Church, Los Angeles, Calif.
4357. Withdraw from National Council of Churches. Mrs. Robert G. Johnson, Westwood Community Methodist Church, Los Angeles, Calif.
4358. Withhold Funds from National Council of Churches. T. B. Garbee, Centenary Methodist Church, Lynchburg, Virginia.
4359. Supports National Council of Churches. Official Board, McKendree Methodist Church, Nashville, Tenn.
4360. Withdraw from World Council of Churches. C. Earl Silver, Collister Methodist Church, Boise, Idaho.
4361. Merge with A.M.E. Church. Betty June Rotramel, Grace Methodist Church, Carbondale, Ill.
4362. Support Protestants and Others United. W. M. Mayton, Farmville District Conference, Virginia Conference.
4363. Withdraw from National Council of Churches. Beverly Weber, Westwood Community Church, Los Angeles, California.

MEMORIALS 4364-4377, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MEMBERSHIP AND EVANGELISM

4364. Worship, Membership and Race. George L. Freeman, Townsend Massachusetts Methodist Church, Townsend, Massachusetts.
4365. Worship, Membership and Race. Patricia Freeman, Townsend Methodist Church, Townsend, Mass.
4366. Worship, Membership and Race. Cheryl A. Moore, Townsend Methodist Church, Townsend, Mass.
4367. Worship, Membership and Race. Mildred E. Moore, Townsend Methodist Church, Townsend, Mass.
4368. Worship, Membership and Race. Robert T. Moore, Townsend Methodist Church, Townsend, Mass.
4369. Worship, Membership and Race. Sandra Moore, Townsend Methodist Church, Townsend, Mass.
4370. Worship, Membership and Race. Olive D. Capen, Townsend Methodist Church, Townsend, Mass.
4371. Worship, Membership and Race. Robert E. Sekinger, North-East Ohio Conference.
4372. Retain Inactive Member List. Ethel M. Aspland, Discussion Group, Pittsburg Methodist Church, Pittsburg, California.
4373. Worship, Membership and Race. T. Beecher Travis, Official Board, First Methodist and Warren Methodist Churches, Pittsburgh, Pa.

4374. Worship and Race. A. J. McKnight, Jr., Geneva Avenue Methodist Church, San Francisco, California.
4375. End Discrimination. Virginia Weston Besse, et al., Western Methodist and Edgartown Methodist Churches, Weston, Mass.
4376. Certify Known Addresses of Members. Odell Brown, Virginia Conference.
4377. Membership and Race. Alan H. Moore, Weston Methodist Church, Weston, Massachusetts.

MEMORIALS 4378 AND 4379 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON PUBLISHING INTERESTS

4378. Seeks Weekly Churchwide Publications. William W. Reid, Leonard M. Perryman, et al., Newark and New York East Conferences.
4379. Manner of Election to Board of Publications. Carl J. Sanders, General Board of Publications, Nashville, Tenn.

MEMORIALS 4380-4425, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CHRISTIAN SOCIAL CONCERNS

4380. Worship, Membership and Race. Kenneth E. McGrath, Townsend Methodist Church, Townsend, Mass.
4381. Worship, Membership and Race. Theda E. McGrath, Townsend Methodist Church, Townsend, Mass.
4382. Worship, Membership and Race. Mrs. Viola Stockwell, Townsend Methodist Church, Townsend, Mass.
4383. Worship, Membership and Race. Theda E. McGrath, Townsend Methodist Church, Townsend, Mass.
4384. Continue *Concern*. Iva B. Colliver, Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Grace Methodist Church, Stockton, California.
4385. Continue *Concern*. Louise Haug, Official Board, Collegiate Methodist Church, Ames, Iowa.
4386. Retain *Concern* Magazine. F. G. Poole, Church School Class, First Methodist Church, Ann Arbor, Mich.
4387. Retain *Concern* Magazine. James W. Bristah, Board of Christian Social Concerns, Detroit Conference.
4388. Retain *Concern* Magazine. Mr. and Mrs. C. W. Falls, First Methodist Church, Schenectady, N. Y.
4389. Continue *Concern*. Richard A. Thornburg, Official Board, Methodist Church, Floral Park, N. Y.
4390. Disapprove Resolution on China and Cuba. Charles Sherrill, Official Board, First Methodist Church, Fort Stockton, Texas.
4391. Support Resolution on China and Cuba. Mildred Charles, First Methodist Church, Coral Gables, Fla.
4392. Disapprove Resolution on Cuba and China. W. R. Boland, Official Board of the Community Methodist Church, Belle Glade, Fla.
4393. Disapprove Resolution on Cuba and China. Dorothy Heidlebaugh, Official Board, Methodist Church of Spring Valley or Wykoff.
4394. Disapprove China and Cuba. Mrs. R. L. Winans, Commission on Social Concerns, Drew Park Methodist Church, Tampa, Fla.
4395. Disapprove Resolution on Cuba and Red China. Mrs. W. E. Buell, Official Board, St. Mark Methodist Church, McAllen, Texas.
4396. Disapprove Resolution on China and Cuba. Ernest Dugan, Jr., Holston Conference.
4397. Disapprove Resolution on Cuba and China. George Oliphant, Official Board, MYF, Commission on Education, Kern Memorial Methodist Church, Oak Ridge, Tenn.

4398. Disapprove Resolution on Cuba and China. Margaret T. Moore, Official Board, Orville Methodist Church, Orville, Alabama.
4399. Disapprove Resolution on Cuba and China. Myron L. Barnhart, Official Board, Newberry Methodist Church, Williamsport, Pa.
4400. Retain Abstinence. Mrs. S. Swanson, W.S.C.S., Bethel Methodist Church, Phoenix, Ariz.
4401. Retain Abstinence. Laura Pirtle, et al., Grace Methodist Church, Wichita, Kans.
4402. Retain Abstinence. Evelyn Whitted, Wesleyan Service Guild, St. Paul's Methodist Church, Wichita, Kans.
4403. Retain Abstinence. Jessie E. Smith, et al., First Methodist Church, Corvallis, Oreg.
4404. Endorsement in Social Creed of International Policies. John R. Williams, Peace and World Order Committee of Commission on Social Concerns, Lakewood Methodist Church, Lakewood, Ohio.
4405. Urge Abitrary Abstinence. Mrs. B. F. Weaver, Official Board, First Methodist Church, Lancaster, Pa.
4406. Statement in Social Creed Regarding Funeral Practices. Charles M. Gryan of Holston Conference.
4407. Church and State. Mildred Charles, First Methodist Church, Coral Gables, Fla.
4408. Keep Church Out of Politics. Mr. and Mrs. Phill Reitz, First Methodist Church, North Hollywood, Calif.
4409. Eliminate Discrimination. Thomas B. Turner and Raymond A. Moore, Official Boards, First and Warren Methodist Churches, Pittsburgh, Pa.
4410. Eliminate Discrimination. Thomas B. Turner and Raymond A. Moore, Official Boards of First and Warren Methodist Churches, Pittsburgh, Pa.
4411. Endorsement in Social Creed of Certain International Conventions. Millard L. Jordan, Peace and World Order Committee of the Commission on Social Concerns of the Lakewood Methodist Church, Lakewood, Ohio.
4412. Crusade for World Order. Robert M. Checkering, East Vancouver Methodist Church, Vancouver, Wash.
4413. Crusade for World Order. Carl A. Clete, Methodist Student Movement Council, Oklahoma Methodist Church, Oklahoma City, Okla.
4414. Crusade for World Order. David A. Passet, Methodist Church, Onalaska, Wis.
4415. Crusade for World Order. Philip J. Dixon, St. Paul Methodist Church, Chevy Chase, Md.
4416. Require Integration in General Agencies. Byrd C. Brown, Committee under the sponsorship of the Commission on Christian Social Concerns of the Warren Methodist Church, Pittsburgh and First Methodist Church, Pittsburgh.
4417. Protest Civil Rights Activities. Martha W. Bailey, Centenary Methodist Church, Lynchburg, Va.
4418. Retain Abstinence. Mrs. Floyd Whaley, Official Board, South Cadillac Community Church, Cadillac, Mich.
4419. Retain Position on Abstinence. Chester A. Smith, United Methodist Church, Peekskill, N. Y.
4420. Methodist Federation for Social Action. Louise Haug, Official Board, Collegiate Methodist Church, Ames, Iowa.
4421. Crusade for World Order. Iva B. Colliver, Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Grace Methodist Church, Stockton, Calif.

4422. Statement on M. F. S. D. Mr. and Mrs. Earle P. Koeble, Methodist Church, Novato, Calif.
4423. Tribute to Dr. Harry F. Ward. Mr. and Mrs. E. P. Koeble, Methodist Church, Novoto, Calif.
4424. Re-Emphasize the Open Church Policy. Richard A. Thornburg, Official Board, Methodist Church, Floral Park, N. Y.
4425. Preach Abolishment of Capitalism and Establishment of "Sharing Society." Chester A. Smith, United Methodist Church, Peekskill, N. Y.

MEMORIALS 4426-4428, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON HOSPITALS AND HOMES

4426. Strengthen Schools of Nursing. Olin E. Oeschger, Board of Hospitals and Homes of Methodist Church.
4427. Eliminate Discrimination in Hospitals and Homes. Thomas B. Turner and Raymond A. Moore, First and Warren Methodist Churches, Pittsburgh, Pa.
4428. Require Integration in Hospitals and Homes. Paul E. Schrading, Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Washington Conference and Western Pennsylvania Conference.

MEMORIALS 4429-4440, INCLUSIVE, REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION

4429. Appointment of 12 Ministers for Student Work. Chester A. Smith, United Methodist Church, Peekskill, N. Y.
4430. Eliminate Discrimination in Camps. Thomas B. Turner, and Raymond A. Moore, Official Boards of First and Warren Methodist Churches, Pittsburgh, Pa.
4431. Discontinue *Motive* Magazine. Mrs. Robert G. Johnson, Westwood Community Methodist Church, Los Angeles, Calif.
4432. Discontinue *Motive* Magazine. Grace R. Hobson, Methodist Church, North Hollywood, Calif.
4433. Discontinue *Motive* Magazine. Mr. and Mrs. Phill V. D. Reitz, First Methodist Church, North Hollywood, Calif.
4434. Discontinue *Adult Student.* Karen McVay Miles, Westcliff Methodist Church, Fort Worth, Texas.
4435. Integration Emphasis in Curriculum. Doreen Anderson, MYF, Washington Street Hedding Methodist Church, Poughkeepsie, N. Y.
4436. Integration Emphasis in Curriculum. Sandra Holder, MYF, First Methodist Church of Jamaica, N. Y.
4437. Emphasis on Integration in Educational Curriculum. Jonathan H. Allen, MYF, Methodist Church, Floral Park, N. Y.
4438. Require Integration of Camps. Paul E. Schrading, Commission on Christian Social Concerns of Washington Conference and Western Pennsylvania Conference.
4439. Withhold Funds from Educational Institutions Practicing Segregation. Paul E. Schrading, Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Washington and Western Pennsylvania Conferences, Warren Methodist Church and First Methodist Church, Pittsburgh, Pa.
4440. Require Integration in Educational Institutions. Paul E. Schrading, et al., Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Washington Conference and Western Pennsylvania Conference.

MEMORIALS 4441-4447 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON RITUAL AND ORDERS OF WORSHIP

4441. Change Apostles' Creed. Mrs. Vernet Robinson, Methodist Church, Beason, Ill.

4442. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. First Methodist Church, W.S.-C.S., Bucyrus, Ohio.
4443. Worship, Membership and Race. Byrd C. Brown, et al.
4444. Apostles' Creed. Harold Steinbach, Central Kansas Conference.
4445. Change Order of Confirmation and Reception. Harold Steinbach, Central Kansas Conference.
4446. Amendment to Report of Commission on Worship. Chester A. Smith, United Methodist Church, Peekskill, N. Y.
4447. Alteration of Marriage Ritual. Raoul C. Calkins, Ohio.

MEMORIALS 4448-4457 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON INTERDENOMINATIONAL RELATIONS AND ACTIVITIES

4448. Revision of National Council. Belle Meade Methodist Church, Official Board, Nashville, Tenn.
4449. Withdraw from National Council. Charles B. Rhudy, Oliver Springs, Tenn.
4450. Withdraw from National Council. Edwin V. Askey, Westwood Methodist Church, Los Angeles, Calif.
4451. Withdraw from National Council. Mr. and Mrs. Chester D. Stafford, First Methodist Church, N. Hollywood, Calif.
4452. Withdraw from National Council. Austin Fox, Westwood Methodist Church, Los Angeles, Calif.
4453. Protest Action of National Council. Louis W. Krantz, et al., First Methodist Church, Pompano Beach, Fla.
4454. Protest Action of National Council. Mr. and Mrs. Guy S. Martin, et al., First Methodist Church, Pompano Beach, Fla.
4455. Change Procedures of National Council. Leo E. Brown, Methodist Church, Naperville, Ill.
4456. Withdraw from National Council. Richard E. Miles, Westcliff Methodist Church, Fort Worth, Texas.
4457. Withdraw from National Council. Mr. and Mrs. Phill Reitz, First Methodist Church, N. Hollywood, Calif.

MEMORIALS 4458-4465 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MINISTRY

4458. Approve Supply Membership on Boards. Commission on Town and Country Work, Troy Conference.
4459. Remove Chaplains from Government Control. Chester A. Smith, United Methodist Church, Peekskill, N. Y.
4460. Election of District Superintendents. Chester A. Smith, United Methodist Church, Peekskill, N. Y.
4461. Conference on Urban Ministry. Abram J. McKnight, Jr., Geneva Avenue Methodist Church, San Francisco, Calif.
4462. Duties of Pastor. Washington and Western Pennsylvania Conferences, Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Paul E. Schrading, et al.
4463. Requirements for Elders. F. B. Brandon, Jr., Troy Conference.
4464. Ministry of Spiritual Healing. Mrs. T. R. Carlson, Willoughby Methodist Church, Eastlake, Ohio.
4465. Ministry of Spiritual Healing. Mrs. Hugh Leggat, Willoughby Methodist Church, Willoughby, Ohio.

MEMORIALS 4466-4468 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATION, ENABLING ACTS AND LEGAL FORMS

4466. Investigation and Trial by General Conference. Samuel N. Gibson, Western Pennsylvania Conference.
4467. *Discipline* in Inclusive Church. Van Bogard Dunn, Memphis Conference.

4468. Appeal from Decision of District Superintendents and Bishops. Chester A. Smith, United Methodist Church, Peekskill, N. Y.

MEMORIALS 4469-4484 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON CONFERENCES

4469. Approve Temporary Aid Plan Proposed by Commission on Interjurisdictional Relations. F. H. Coffman, First Methodist Church, Ottawa, Kans.
4470. Support Committee of Five Report. First Methodist Church, WSG, Aberdeen, S. Dak.
4471. Approve Temporary Aid Plan. Interjurisdictional Relations Committee, Delaware, New Jersey, Philadelphia Conferences.
4472. Support Committee of Five. Northern State College Wesley Foundation, Aberdeen, S. Dak.
4473. Transfer of Ministers in Merging Conferences. Leonard D. Slutz, Hyde Park Methodist Church, Cincinnati, Ohio.
4474. Procedure of Transfer of Churches and Annual Conferences. Joint Committee of Warren and First Methodist Churches, Pittsburgh, Pa.
4475. Duties of Jurisdictional Conference. Joint Committee of Warren and First Methodist Churches, Pittsburgh, Pa.
4476. Retain Jurisdictional System. First Methodist Church, Official Board, Orrville, Ala.
4477. Retain Jurisdictional System. Thomasville Methodist Church, Official Board, Thomasville, Ala.
4478. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Methodists for Church Renewal, New England Area, Mrs. Walter B. Fenton, Secretary.
4479. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Ida O. Ilg, Centralville Methodist Church, Lowell, Mass.
4480. Abolish Jurisdictions. Chester A. Smith, United Methodist Church, Peekskill, N. Y.
4481. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Grace Methodist Church, Commission on Christian Social Concerns, Stockton, Calif.
4482. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Au Sable Forks Methodist Church, Official Board, Au Sable, N. Y.
4483. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Clintonville Methodist Church, Official Board, Clintonville, N. Y.
4484. Abolish Central Jurisdiction. Lexington Conference, W.S.C.S., Portia Jones, Secretary.

MEMORIALS 4485-4492 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MEMBERSHIP AND EVANGELISM

4485. Eliminate Baptism as Condition of Membership. Raoul C. Calkins, Ohio.
4486. Inactive Membership Procedure. Alan H. Moore, Weston Methodist Church, Weston, Mass.
4487. Inactive Membership Procedure. Subdistrict Ministers' Association of Minnesota Conference, J. H. Jackson.
4488. Inactive Membership Procedure. Subdistrict Ministers' Association of Minnesota Conference, J. H. Jackson.
4489. Inactive Membership Procedure. First Methodist Church, Official Board, Galveston, Ind.
4490. Inactive Membership Procedure. W. M. Jeffries, North Carolina Conference.
4491. Inactive Membership Procedure. Gordon S. Fairchild, California-Nevada Conference.
4492. Inactive Membership Procedure. La Crescenta Valley MYF, Montrose, Calif.

MEMORIALS 4493-4495 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON PUBLISHING INTERESTS

4493. Require Integration in Methodist Publishing House. Byrd C. Brown, et al., individuals in Washington and Western Pennsylvania Conferences.
4494. Keep 1960 *Discipline* in Print. F. B. Brandon, Jr., Troy Conference.
4495. Free Subscriptions of *Christian Advocate* to Methodist Ministers Overseas. F. Olin Stockwell, Malaysia Chinese Conference.

MEMORIALS 4496-4503 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON LAY ACTIVITIES AND TEMPORAL ECONOMY

4496. Civil Rights Fund. Samuel M. Gibson, Western Pennsylvania Conference.
4497. Church Finances. E. E. Johnson, Vici, Okla., Methodist Church.
4498. Church Finance. Ernest E. Johnson, Vici Methodist Church, Okla.
4499. Withholding Funds from Agencies Practicing Segregation. Joint Committee of Warren and First Methodist Churches of Pittsburgh, Pa., Byrd Brown.
4500. Withhold Funds from Agencies that Practice Segregation. Donald L. Carver, North Iowa Conference.
4501. Amend Trust Clause Provisions. First Methodist Church, Official Board, Orrville, Ala.
4502. Amend Trust Clause Provisions. Thomasville Methodist Church, Official Board, Thomasville, Ala.
4503. Remove Church Property from Tax Exempt Status. Chester A. Smith, United Methodist Church, Peekskill, N. Y.

MEMORIAL 4504 REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON MISSIONS

4504. Ecumenical Basis for Missionaries. Eva Richards, First Methodist Church, Fresno, Calif.

W. Carroll Beatty, *Chairman*
Paul V. Shearer, *Secretary*

REPORT NO. 2. REFERENCE

Adopted by the Committee April 28, 1964

M, 14; P, 14; F, 14; A, 0; N, 0

The following memorials have been re-referred by the Committee on Reference:

To the Committee on the Ministry

1165. From Christian Social Concerns.
1167. From Christian Social Concerns.
1168. From Christian Social Concerns.
1467. From Conferences.
1591. From Conferences.
2079. From Membership and Evangelism.
2084. through 2120, inclusive. From Ritual and Orders of Worship.
2603. From Christian Social Concerns.
2913. From Christian Social Concerns.
2930. From Christian Social Concerns.
2963. From Christian Social Concerns.
3023. From Judicial Administration.

To the Committee on Missions

1581. From the Ministry.
1606. From Conferences.
1607. From Christian Social Concerns.
1608. From Christian Social Concerns.
1609. From Conferences.
1610. From Conferences.
1612. From Conferences.
1616. From Conferences.
1661. From Lay Activities and Temporal Economy.

To the Committee on Publishing Interests

1757. From Lay Activities and Temporal Economy.

To the Committee on Education

167. From Conferences.
1166. From Christian Social Concerns.
1634. From Conferences.
2338. From Lay Activities and Temporal Economy.
2344. From Lay Activities and Temporal Economy.
2571. From Christian Social Concerns.
2641. From Christian Social Concerns.

To the Committee on Pensions

558. From Conferences.

To the Committee on Interdenominational Relations and Activities

2582. From Christian Social Concerns.
2589. From Christian Social Concerns.
2596. From Christian Social Concerns.
2652. From Christian Social Concerns.
2655. From Christian Social Concerns.
2751. From Conferences.
2752. From Conferences.
2755. From Conferences.

To the Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy

565. From Ministry.
1193. From Ministry.
1488. From Conferences.
1877. From Ministry.
2518. From Conferences.
2657. From Christian Social Concerns.
3021. From Judicial Administration.

To the Committee on Christian Social Concerns

1136. From Conferences.

To the Committee on the Local Church

1342. From Conferences.
1344. From Conferences.
1349. From Conferences.
1639. From Conferences.
1658. From Membership and Evangelism.
1697. From Conferences.
2073. From Membership and Evangelism.

2206. From Conferences.
2595. From Christian Social Concerns.
2656. From Christian Social Concerns.

To the Committee on Membership and Evangelism

1907. From Christian Social Concerns.
1927. From Christian Social Concerns.

To the Committee on Hospitals and Homes

1152. From Conferences.

To the Committee on Christian Social Concerns

1117. From Lay Activities and Temporal Economy.
1128. From Lay Activities and Temporal Economy.
1137. From Lay Activities and Temporal Economy.
1138. From Lay Activities and Temporal Economy.
1143. From Conferences.
1575. From Publishing Interests.
1578. From Publishing Interests.
1579. From Publishing Interests.
1755. From Lay Activities and Temporal Economy.
1778. From Lay Activities and Temporal Economy.
2193. From Conferences.
2210. From Conferences.
2333. From Lay Activities and Temporal Economy.
2339. From Lay Activities and Temporal Economy.
2342. From Lay Activities and Temporal Economy.
2440. From Ritual and Orders of Worship.

To the Committee on Judicial Administration and Enabling Acts

587. From Christian Social Concerns.
2748. From Conferences.
2749. From Conferences.
2750. From Conferences.
2753. From Conferences.
2754. From Conferences.
2762. From Conferences.

W. Carroll Beatty, *Chairman*
Paul V. Shearer, *Secretary*

REPORT NO. 3. REFERENCE

Adopted by the Committee April 29, 1964

M, 14; P, 14; F, 14; A, 0; N, 0

The following memorials have been re-referred by the Committee on Reference.

To the Committee on Ritual and Orders of Worship

907 through 912, inclusive. From Conferences.
3025. From Judicial Administration.

To the Committee on Interdenominational Relations and Activities

2878. From Christian Social Concerns.

To the Committee on Christian Social Concerns

117. From the Committee on Membership and Evangelism.
1767. From Lay Activities and Temporal Economy.

2055. From Membership and Evangelism.
2056. From Membership and Evangelism.
2060. From Membership and Evangelism.
2063. From Membership and Evangelism.
2072. From Membership and Evangelism.

To the Committee on Education

1513. From Missions.
2570. From Christian Social Concerns.
3091. From Missions.

To the Committee on: Judicial Administration, Enabling Acts, and Legal Forms

1393. From Christian Social Concerns.
2059. From Membership and Evangelism.

To the Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy

1248. From Local Church.
1288. From Interdenominational Relations and Activities.
1388. From Local Church.
1730. From Education.
2485. From Christian Social Concerns.
2658. From Christian Social Concerns.
2874. From Membership and Evangelism.
2904. From Christian Social Concerns.
2971. From Christian Social Concerns.
2979. From Christian Social Concerns.
2992. From Christian Social Concerns.
3013. From Christian Social Concerns.

To the Committee on Conferences

6. From Commission on the Structure of Methodism Overseas.
166. From Christian Social Concerns.
2013. From Christian Social Concerns.
2924. From Christian Social Concerns.
2925. From Christian Social Concerns.
2926. From Christian Social Concerns.
2936. From Christian Social Concerns.
2964. From Christian Social Concerns.
2970. From Christian Social Concerns.
2974. From Christian Social Concerns.
2990. From Christian Social Concerns.
2991. From Christian Social Concerns.
2993. From Christian Social Concerns.
3008. From Christian Social Concerns.
3009. From Christian Social Concerns.
3010. From Christian Social Concerns.
3011. From Christian Social Concerns.

To the Committee on Publishing Interests

926. From Ritual and Orders of Worship.
931. From Ritual and Orders of Worship.
948. From Ritual and Orders of Worship.

To the Committee on Membership and Evangelism

2308. From Local Church.
2310. From Local Church.
2553. From Local Church.

To the Committee on the Local Church

2859. From Membership and Evangelism.
2872. From Membership and Evangelism.

To the Committee on Missions

5. From the Commission on the Structure of Methodism Overseas.
14. From the Commission on the Structure of Methodism Overseas.
25. From the Commission on the Structure of Methodism Overseas.
28. From the Commission on the Structure of Methodism Overseas.
33. From the Commission on the Structure of Methodism Overseas.
1638. From Local Church.
2546. From Local Church.

To the Committee on the Ministry

10. From the Commission on the Structure of Methodism Overseas.
24. From the Commission on the Structure of Methodism Overseas.
990. From Pensions.
1359. From Local Church.
1590. From Christian Social Concerns.
2563. From Christian Social Concerns.
2566. From Christian Social Concerns.
2609. From Christian Social Concerns.
2614. From Christian Social Concerns.
2683. From Christian Social Concerns.

Individual Memorials

11. To Entertainment Committee for 1968 from Commission on Structure of Methodism Overseas.
27. To Council on World Service and Finance from Commission on Structure of Methodism Overseas.
985. To Pensions from Interdenominational Relations and Activities.
1704. To Local Church from Lay Activities and Temporal Economy.
1764. To Coordinating Council from Education.
2517. To Interdenominational Relations and Activities from Conferences.
2705. To Christian Social Concerns from Ministry.

W. Carroll Beatty, *Chairman*
Paul V. Shearer, *Secretary*

REPORT NO. 4. REFERENCE

Adopted by the Committee April 30, 1964

M, 14; P, 14; F, 14; A, 0; N, 0

The following memorials have been re-referred by the Committee on Reference:

To the Committee on Missions

1378. From Local Church.
1379. From Local Church.
1380. From Local Church.

1381. From Local Church.
1383. From Lay Activities and Temporal Economy.
1634. From Education.
2826. From Local Church.
2827. From Local Church.

To the Committee on Judicial Administration

2762. From Conferences.
2833. From Local Church.

To the Committee on Interdenominational Relations

2193. From Christian Social Concerns.
2479. From Christian Social Concerns.
2483. From Christian Social Concerns.

To the Committee on Education

1165. From Ministry.
1167. From Ministry.
1168. From Ministry.
1570. From Publishing Interests.
1921. From Christian Social Concerns.
2377. From Publishing Interests.
2471. From Publishing Interests.
2621. From Christian Social Concerns.
2793. From Publishing Interests.
3120. From Local Church.
3315. From Publishing Interests.
3610. From Publishing Interests.
3615. From Publishing Interests.

To the Committee on the Ministry

600. From Christian Social Concerns.
601. From Christian Social Concerns.
602. From Christian Social Concerns.
603. From Christian Social Concerns.
604. From Christian Social Concerns.
605. From Christian Social Concerns.
606. From Christian Social Concerns.
607. From Christian Social Concerns.
608. From Christian Social Concerns.
609. From Christian Social Concerns.
612. From Christian Social Concerns.
1244. From Education.
1484. From Local Church.
2808. From Ministry.

To the Committee on Membership and Evangelism

2384. From Local Church.
2837. From Local Church.
2838. From Local Church.

To the Committee on Hospitals and Homes

727. From Christian Social Concerns.
728. From Christian Social Concerns.
731. From Christian Social Concerns.
732. From Christian Social Concerns.
733. From Christian Social Concerns.
734. From Christian Social Concerns.
735. From Christian Social Concerns.

736. From Christian Social Concerns.
737. From Christian Social Concerns.
738. From Christian Social Concerns.
739. From Christian Social Concerns.
1926. From Christian Social Concerns.
2425. From Ministry.
2426. From Ministry.

To the Committee on Conferences

115. From Christian Social Concerns.
200. From Christian Social Concerns.
201. From Christian Social Concerns.
202-205, inclusive, From Christian Social Concerns.
295. From Christian Social Concerns.
1135. From Ministry.
2854. From Hospitals and Homes.
3115. From Local Church.

To the Committee on the Local Church

117. From Christian Social Concerns.
2072. From Christian Social Concerns.
3062. From Membership and Evangelism.
3063. From Membership and Evangelism.

To the Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy

2835. From Local Church.
2836. From Local Church.
3257. From Conferences.

To the Committee on Publishing Interests

1757. From Lay Activities and Temporal Economy.
3255. From Conferences.

To the Committee on Ritual and Orders of Worship

1658. From Local Church.
2066. From Membership and Evangelism.
3047. From Membership and Evangelism.

To the Committee on Christian Social Concerns

1054. From Ministry.
1723. From Christian Social Concerns.
1774. From Lay Activities and Temporal Economy.
1779. From Lay Activities and Temporal Economy.
2843. From Local Church.
2844. From Local Church.
2845. From Local Church.
3122. From Local Church.
3203. From Conferences.
3314. From Publishing Interests.
3611. From Publishing Interests.
3613. From Publishing Interests.
3614. From Publishing Interests.

To the Committee on the Ministry

2866. From Membership and Evangelism.
3055. From Membership and Evangelism.

W. Carroll Beatty, *Chairman*
Paul V. Shearer, *Secretary*

REPORT NO. 5. REFERENCE

Adopted by the Committee, May 1, 1964

M, 14; P, 14; F, 14; A, 0; N, 0

The following memorials have been re-referred to the Committee on the Ministry.

68. From Conferences.
3710. From Conferences.
3711. From Conferences.
3712. From Conferences.
3713. From Conferences.
3714. From Conferences.
3715. From Conferences.
3716. From Conferences.
3717. From Conferences.
3718. From Conferences.
3827. From Conferences.
3840. From Conferences.
3896. From Local Church.
3899. From Local Church.
4048. From Missions.
4178. From Conferences.
4179. From Conferences.
4180. From Conferences.

The following memorials have been re-referred to the Committee on Christian Social Concerns.

1393. From Judicial Administration.
3722. From Conferences.
3723. From Conferences.
3833. From Conferences.
3834. From Conferences.
3835. From Conferences.
3841. From Conferences.
3842. From Conferences.
3846. From Conferences.
3882. From Local Church.
3883. From Local Church.
3890. From Local Church.
3891. From Local Church.
3893. From Local Church.
3895. From Local Church.
3909. From Ritual and Orders of Worship.
4035. From Publishing Interests.
4038. From Publishing Interests.
4041. From Publishing Interests.
4042. From Publishing Interests.
4044. From Publishing Interests.
4244. From Education.

The following memorials have been re-referred to the Committee on the Local Church.

3698. From Conferences.
3699. From Conferences.
3829. From Conferences.
3855. From Conferences.
4187. From Conferences.
4192. From Conferences.
4195. From Conferences.

The following memorials have been re-referred to the Committee on Missions.

1557. From Local Church.
1558. From Local Church.
3709. From Conferences.

The following memorials have been re-referred to the Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy.

2549. From Local Church.
2550. From Local Church.
3696. From Conferences.

The following memorials have been re-referred to the Committee on Conferences.

2534. From Local Church.
2548. From Local Church.
3900. From Local Church.

The following memorials have been re-referred to the Committee on Membership and Evangelism.

3888. From Local Church.
3889. From Local Church.
3892. From Local Church.

The following memorials have been re-referred to the Committee on Education.

3255. From Publishing Interests.
4036. From Publishing Interests.
4039. From Publishing Interests.
4040. From Publishing Interests.
4049. From Missions.

The following memorials have been re-referred to the Committee on Ritual and Orders of Worship.

1506. From Membership and Evangelism.
1745. From Membership and Evangelism.

The following memorials have been re-referred to the Committee on Interdenominational Relations.

3705. From Conferences.
4185. From Conferences.

Memorial 3850 has been re-referred to the Committee on Judicial Administration from the Committee on Conferences.

Memorial 1559 has been referred to the Committee on Hospitals and Homes from the Committee on the Local Church.

Memorial 3860 has been referred to the Committee on Pensions from the Committee on Conferences.

The following memorials have been re-referred to the Committee on Missions.

4036. From Christian Social Concerns.
4101. From Christian Social Concerns.

The following memorials have been re-referred to the Committee on Education.

3042. From Membership and Evangelism.
3307. From Publishing Interests.
3311. From Publishing Interests.
3317. From Publishing Interests.
3318. From Publishing Interests.

The following memorials have been re-referred to the Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy.

3309. From Publishing Interests.
4068. From Christian Social Concerns.
4070. From Christian Social Concerns.

Memorial 3758 has been re-referred to the Committee on Interde-

nominational Relations and Activities from the Committee on Christian Social Concerns.

Memorial 3468 has been re-referred to the Committee on Ritual and Orders of Worship from the Committee on Christian Social Concerns.

Memorial 2568 has been re-referred to the Committee on Hospitals and Homes from the Committee on Christian Social Concerns.

Memorial 4469 has been re-referred to the Committee on Pensions from the Committee on Conferences.

Memorial 4471 has been re-referred to the Committee on Pensions from the Committee on Conferences.

Memorial 3308 has been re-referred to the Committee on Christian Social Concerns from the Committee on Publishing Interests.

W. CARROLL BEATTY, *Chairman*
PAUL V. SHEARER, *Secretary*

REPORT NO. 6. REFERENCE

Adopted by the Committee, May 1, 1964

M, 14; P, 14; F, 14; A, 0; N, 0

The following memorials have been re-referred by the Committee on Reference.

To the Committee on Education

566. From Ministry.
908. From Ritual and Orders of Worship.
909. From Ritual and Orders of Worship.
961. From Ritual and Orders of Worship.
962. From Ritual and Orders of Worship.
963. From Ritual and Orders of Worship.
2450. From Ritual and Orders of Worship.
3055. From Ministry.
3262. From Conferences.
3314. From Publishing Interests.
3721. From Conferences.
4063. From Christian Social Concerns.

To the Committee on Christian Social Concerns

1332. From Ritual and Orders of Worship.
1333. From Ritual and Orders of Worship.
3164. From Ministry.
3167. From Ministry.
3172. From Ministry.
3179. From Ministry.
3181. From Ministry.
3812. From Ministry.
3814. From Ministry.
4362. From Interdenominational Relations.

To the Committee on Lay Activities and Temporal Economy

83. From Conferences.
3018. From Christian Social Concerns.
3258. From Conferences.
3520. From Ministry.
3847. From Conferences.
3962. From Ministry.
3999. From Interdenominational Relations.

To the Committee on Interdenominational Relations

3392. From Christian Social Concerns.
3444. From Christian Social Concerns.
3464. From Christian Social Concerns.
4085. From Christian Social Concerns.

To the Committee on Pensions

589. From Ministry.
590. From Ministry.
591. From Ministry.

To the Committee on the Local Church

3069. From Ritual and Orders of Worship.
3073. From Ritual and Orders of Worship.
3082. From Ritual and Orders of Worship.

To the Committee on Membership and Evangelism

2365. From Ritual and Orders of Worship.
4443. From Ritual and Orders of Worship.

To the Committee on Judicial Administration

3685. From Conferences.

To the Committee on Conferences

4051. From Judicial Administration.
4283. From Christian Social Concerns.

Memorial 3437 has been re-referred to the Committee on Hospitals and Homes from the Committee on Christian Social Concerns.

Memorial 4151 has been re-referred to the Committee on the Ministry from the Committee on Christian Social Concerns.

Memorial 3806 has been re-referred to the Committee on the Structure of Methodism Overseas from the Committee on Conferences.

W. Carroll Beatty, *Chairman*
Paul V. Shearer, *Secretary*

REPORT NO. 7. REFERENCE

Adopted by the Committee, May 4, 1964

M, 14; P, 14; F, 14; A, 0; N, 0

The following memorials have been re-referred by the Committee on Reference:

To the Committee on Missions

1128. From Lay Activities and Temporal Economy.
3689. From Lay Activities and Temporal Economy.

To the Committee on the Ministry

4283. From the Committee on Conferences.

To the Committee on the Structure of Methodism Overseas

3689. From Conferences.

To the Committee on Education

1757. From Publishing Interests.

W. Carroll Beatty, *Chairman*
Paul V. Shearer, *Secretary*

12. TELLERS

Tellers. There shall be a committee of sixty, to act as tellers for the purpose of reporting on count votes and ballots ordered by the General Conference. The tellers shall be divided into two groups of thirty each. Each group shall have reserve tellers. *The Secretary of the General Conference shall appoint Tellers and Reserve Tellers whose names shall be printed in the* Daily Christian Advocate. (See Plan of Organization and Rules of Order (12) page Journal. Editor)

Personnel

TELLERS—GROUP A

(*Italics Denote Minister*)

REGULAR	RESERVE
SECTION A	
Chairman—*Allen M. Mayes* (Texas)	**Chairman**—Thomas W. Cole (Texas)
1-2 *Allen H. Randolph* (Tennessee)	1-2 *Madella D. Robert* (Hyderabad)
3-4 *Leon W. Bouton* (Wyoming)	3-4 G. Wesley Lewis (Wyoming)
5-6 Cortelyou C. Hall (Southwest)	5-6 *Paul M. Hann* (South Iowa)
7-8 Mrs. John A. Owens (South Iowa)	7-8 *Wesley H. Hager* (Missouri East)
9-10 J. C. Hawkins (Missouri East)	9-10 *Wm. F. Dunkle, Jr.* (Peninsula)
11-12 Wilmer F. Davis (Peninsula)	11-12-13 *Wayne H. McCleskey* (Texas)
13 Ray W. Goens (Texas)	
SECTION B	
Chairman—*Walter L. Scranton* (New York)	**Chairman**—Richard N. Lander (New York)
1-2 *Major J. Jones* (East Tennessee)	1-2 *Poul B. Poulsen* (Denmark)
3-4 *Harvey H. Potthoff* (Rocky Mt.)	3-4 R. S. Downges (Rocky Mt.)
5-6 *Earl G. Hunt* (Holston)	5-6 L. T. Prigmore, Jr. (Holston)
7-8 *Francis T. Cunningham* (So. Car.)	7-8 Marion L. Mobley (So. Car.)
9-10 *Robert G. Tuttle* (Western N. C.)	9-10 Edwin L. Jones, Sr. (Western No. Car.)
11-12 *Roy Nichols* (California-Nevada)	11-12 Frank Webber (Cal.-Nevada)
13-14 *Offie L. Hathaway* (North Carolina)	13-14 J. Nelson Gibson, Jr. (No. Car.)
SECTION C	
Chairman—*Henry C. Whyman* (New York East)	**Chairman**—Louis C. Hansen (New York East)
1-2 *Maurice E. Descamps* (Belgium)	1-2 Kim Hao Yap (Malaya)
3-4 Mason N. Hartman (New England)	3-4 Wilbur C. Ziegler (New England)
5-6 John D. Wolf (Northwest Indiana)	5-6 Mrs. C. J. Rogers (N. W. Indiana)
7-8 Ronald Quellhorst (Kansas)	7-8 *Albert F. Bramble* (Kansas)
9-10 *Ted Richardson* (Southwest Texas)	9-10 James M. Walker (S. W. Texas)
11-12 Paul B. Momberg (Ohio)	11-12 *Raoul C. Calkins* (Ohio)
13-14 *Lacy H. Burns* (West Virginia)	13-14 Wm. A. Bayers, Jr. (West Virginia)
SECTION D	
Chairman—*Dennis Fletcher* (Delaware)	**Chairman**—James C. Hardcastle (Delaware)
1-2 *H. Ellis Finger, Jr.* (N. Mississippi)	1-2 Roy Black (North Mississippi)
3-4 Harold M. Karls (Detroit)	3-4 *Orville H. McKay* (Detroit)
5-6 *John B. Jones* (Baltimore)	5-6 W. Carroll Beatty (Baltimore)
7-8 Robert P. Cook (Central Kansas)	7-8 *George W. Richards* (Central Kansas)
9-10 *Finis A. Crutchfield* (Oklahoma)	9-10 Wm. C. Doenges (Oklahoma)
11-12 Samuel Tsopotsa (Rhodesia)	11-12 Josiah Chidzikwe (Rhodesia)
13-14 *Harvey H. Sander* (So. Dakota)	13-14 Ervin R. Ortman (South Dakota)
SECTION E	
Chairman—*Joseph E. Lowery* (Central Alabama)	**Chairman**—Quentin D. Adams (Central Alabama)
1-2 Mrs. R. S. Hammer (Memphis)	1-2 *Paul T. Lyles* (Memphis)
3-4 *Carl C. Bracy* (Northwest Ohio)	3-4 Robert L. Moulton (North-East Ohio)
5-6 Robert P. Lay (Louisiana)	5-6 *Daniel W. Poole* (Louisiana)
7-8 *T. Cecil Myers* (North Georgia)	7-8 Warren Haisten (North Georgia)
9-10 Joseph H. Owens (North Alabama)	9-10 *W. Nelson Guthrie, Sr.* (North Alabama)
11-12 *Warren A. Bugbee* (Western Penn.)	11-12 Fred E. Murdock (Western Penn.)
13-14 Albert S. Milikies (North Texas)	13-14 *J. Daniel Barron* (North Texas)

SECTION F

Chairman—*Lawrence F. Almond* (New England Southern)
1-2 Ezekias G. Gacutan (N. W. Philippines)
3-4 Matthew R. Davidson (Lucknow)
5-6 Clifford G. Lau (West Wisconsin)
7-8 *Henry G. Blackburn* (Florida)
9-10 Mrs. E. Boettcher (East Wisconsin)
11-12-13 *Hobart F. Goewey* (Troy)

Chairman—Thomas Bell (New England Southern)
1-2 Ralph F. Gunkleman (North Dakota)
3-4 Mrs. May Alvirez (Rio Grande)
5-6 *Gomer W. Finch* (West Wisconsin)
7-8 Fred B. Noble (Florida)
9-10 *Marvin A. Schilling* (East Wisconsin)
11-12-13 Don S. Robb (Troy)

TELLERS—GROUP B

(*Italics Denote Minister*)

REGULAR

SECTION A

Chairman—Mrs. Robert Davis (Southern Illinois)
1-2 *Luther B. Felder* (Texas)
3-4 *Hubert G. Hurley* (Southern Illinois)
5-6 *Wm. F. B. Rodda* (Newark)
7-8 Sam A. Munson (Montana)
9-10 *Daniel B. Massey* (Moradabad)
11-12 Erwin H. Schwiebert (Idaho)
13 Claude Edge (Texas)

SECTION B

Chairman—George M. Northrop (New York)
1-2 *Wm. M. James* (New York)
3-4 *A. James Armstrong* (Indiana)
5-6 *Chas W. Richards* (Little Rock)
7-8 *Robert N. Arbaugh* (Missouri West)
9-10 *Lewis H. Moulton* (New Hampshire)
11-12 *Wallace F. Stettler* (Philadelphia)
13-14 *George A. Butters* (Minnesota)

SECTION C

Chairman—*Wm. Halderson* (New York East)
1-2 Wm. W. Reid (New York East)
3-4 Johann M. Bruns (N.W. Germany)
5-6 Mrs. Kenneth E. Lundy (Central N.Y.)
7-8 *Ted Hightower* (Louisville)
9-10 Joseph T. Johnson (Lexington)
11-12 *John W. Curry* (South Carolina)
13-14 Mrs. Clarence W. Tompkins (North Iowa)

SECTION D

Chairman—*Robert D. Jones* (No. New York)
1-2 Willard A. Zellmer (Pacific Northwest)
3-4 *H. Brown Loyd* (Central Texas)
5-6 Norman E. Amtower (Oregon)
7-8 Edsel A. Ammons (Rock River)
9-10 Paul B. Daugherty (North Indiana)
11-12 *Harry W. Backhus III* (Virginia)
13-14 Melvin Gammage (So. Calif.-Arizona)

SECTION E

Chairman—*Franklin A. Flatt* (Memphis)
1-2 *Stafford S. Robinson* (Florida)
3-4 *Eric A. Mitchell* (Bombay)
5-6 *Siva M. Sagar* (Agra)
7-8 Wilbert K. Flaming (Nebraska)
9-10 *John A. Bayliss* (N. Arkansas)
11-12 Joe T. Salem (N. W. Texas)
13-14 Wm. W. Bennett (Central Illinois)

RESERVE

SECTION A

Chairman—*Joseph C. Evers* (Southern Illinois)
1-2 Mrs. H. L. Wooten (Texas)
3-4 Russell Rigden (Southern Illinois)
5-6 Robert W. Carson (Newark)
7-8 Ernest Ryser (Switzerland)
9-10 Daniel D. Lura Villanueva (Argentina)
11-12-13 *Durwood Fleming* (Texas)

SECTION B

Chairman—*Harold A. Bosley* (New York)
1-2 Chester A. Smith (New York)
3-4 Glenn Dougherty (Indiana)
5-6 Bento Naves (Southwest Africa)
7-8 Mrs. W. L. Perryman (Missouri West)
9-10 James P. Lytle (New Hampshire)
11-12 J. Lee Bausher (Philadelphia)
13-14 Mrs. Clarence E. Richardson (Minnesota)

SECTION C

Chairman—*Robt. W. Preusch* (New York East)
1-2 *Richard A. Thornburg* (New York East)
3-4 Rudolf Schiele (S.W. Germany)
5-6 Henry G. Budd (Central New York)
7-8 Thomas McQuary (Louisville)
9-10 *Sumpter M. Riley, Jr.* (Lexington)
11-12 Mrs. Beulah L. Baxley (So. Carolina)
13-14 J. Richard Palmer (North Iowa)

SECTION D

Chairman—Vernon L. Lee (Northern New York)
1-2 *Wm. H. Ritchey* (Pacific Northwest)
3-4 Jarrard Secrest (Central Texas)
5-6 *Raymand E. Balcomb* (Oregon)
7-8 Samuel W. Witwer (Rock River)
9-10 *O. Wayne Paulen* (North Indiana)
11-12 John H. Rixse (Virginia)
13-14 *Stanley S. McKee* (So. Calif.-Arizona)

SECTION E

Chairman—Red H. Bond (Memphis)
1-2 Charlemagne P. Payne (Mississippi)
3-4 Tomas De los Santos (Mindanao)
5-6 Joel Bulava (Southern Congo)
7-8 *Alva H. Clark* (Nebraska)
9-10 E. Clay Bumpers (North Arkansas)
11-12 *Marvin P. Boyd* (N. W. Texas)
13-14 Richard H. Ganty (Central Illinois)

SECTION F

Chairman—*John H. Graham* (Upper Mississippi)

1-2 *James V. Claypool* (New England Southern)
3-4 *Leander A. Brown* (North Carolina)
5-6 Curt Amark (Sweden)
7-8 *George A. Foster* (Florida)
9-10 L. S. Moore (Alabama-West Florida)
11-12-13 *Fidel P. Galang* (Middle Philippines)

Chairman—Isaac P. Presley (Upper Mississippi)

1-2 Mrs. F. Morris Cochran (New Eng. Southern)
3-4 Ram Datt Joshi (North India)
5-6 *Arne G. Widegard* (Sweden)
7-8 R. Howard Berg (Florida)
9-10 *Edward L. Hardin* (Alabama-West Florida)
11-12-13 *Adolfo C. Angeles* (Bolivia)

DREW UNIVERSITY LIBRARY

SERIALS

DO NOT CIRCULATE